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Metasurfing Since 1987 – A Personal Story Involving Soft and Hard Surfaces, EBG Surfaces, Cloaking, Gap Waveguides and Mass Production

Per-Simon Kildal
Department of Signals and Systems, Chalmers University of Technology (Chalmers)
41296 Gothenburg, SWEDEN. per-simon.kildal@chalmers.se

Abstract— This paper will describe my personal experiences with what today is referred to as metamaterials, and in particular in my case metasurfaces. My journey starts with the introduction of a concept of soft and hard surfaces in 1988, being a generalization of the corrugated surface, and today most conveniently represented by canonical PEC/PMC strip grids. The first industrial application of the soft surface was the patent-protected hat feed in 1987. This has until now been manufactured in more than 940 000 copies mainly for Ericsson’s successful MINILINK product. The anisotropic soft surface is a forerunner of the isotropic EBG surface appearing around year 2000, and the hard surface was used for cloaking 10 years before cloaking became a popular research topic in 2007. The concept of soft and hard surfaces has since 2009 developed into a novel gap waveguide technology. The gap waveguide technology is used to package microstrip and CPW circuits, but also to replace them. The gap waveguides can have similar low-loss performance as solid rectangular waveguides, but they can be realized in a much simpler way in particular at millimeter- and submillimeterwave frequencies. Good performance has been demonstrated for filters, transitions, MMIC packaging, corporate distribution networks, and slot and horn array antennas. The first commercial applications can come soon, probably at 60 GHz.

I. INTRODUCTION

We call them metamaterials today; these natural materials that are just periodic on microscopic level, whereas they on macroscopic level have electromagnetic material characteristics that cannot be found in nature. We knew them 30 years ago also, mainly in the form of artificial dielectrics, and they were used in practical antenna designs to achieve permittivity that was not available by natural dielectrics, or to realize materials with smaller weight than natural materials and having similar permittivity. Today metamaterials are also used to realize fascinating characteristics that cannot be found for in nature, and they are proposed for a lot of different applications. Unfortunately many claims are unrealistic because the artificial characteristics in most case are extremely narrowband, and the losses are high, or they are simply not documented in a reliable way. The present paper deals with the metasurface concept known as soft and hard surfaces that has been used to design practically useful since 1987, and that also has resulted in mass-produced antennas. The concept itself has first of all been useful as a simplification, to improve creativity; to see solutions that otherwise could not so easily be seen. This paper will summarize this story including the main references.

II. CONCEPT OF SOFT AND HARD SURFACES

The soft and hard surfaces were defined in 1987 [1] and described more thoroughly in 1990. The soft and hard surfaces terms are taken from acoustics, and were already used in electromagnetics (EM) as names for the two boundary conditions (BC) appearing in H-plane (soft case) and E-plane (hard case) in diffraction theory. The soft surface has accordingly a polarization-independent soft boundary condition. This was initially only associated with transversely corrugated, but these have to be filled with dielectrics in contrast to the soft surface that could be realized with air-filled corrugations. The soft surface texture was used to stop waves from propagating along the surface, similar to EBG surfaces. The hard surface was used to enhance propagation of EM waves along the surface, and two applications were foreseen: hard horn antennas, and to make masts and struts invisible to EM waves. The latter is today more commonly known as cloaking.

A. PEC/PMC strip model, asymptotic BC and EBG surfaces

The EBG surface appeared in the literature around 2000, and this was isotropic, with similar characteristics in all directions along the surface as the soft surface has in one direction. The relation between the soft surface and the EBG surface was sorted out in [2], where also the PEC/PMC strip model of the soft and hard surfaces was introduced. This simplifies the physical understanding, and the general usage of the surfaces in EM computations. The isotropic EBG surface does not have a similar simple model, but some simplifications have been constructed [3]. The PEC/PMC model of the ideal soft and hard surfaces is useful in initial works, but it cannot be used to predict bandwidth. The asymptotic boundary conditions introduced in [4] can predict bandwidth very appropriate, in particular for small periods of the surface texture. The published EBG research after year 2000 stimulated the further development of the strip-loaded realization of the soft surface. Erik Lier actually already studied this during the first “soft and hard” years in the late 1980s. It is important to point out that the soft surface generally is much more wideband than the EBG in most applications [5], and also that the anisotropy of the soft surface normally is no problem. This is because in antennas the location of the source is known, and thereby normally also the direction of propagation of the waves.
We foresee commercial networks are being designed for the commercial 60 GHz band. The stopband of the parallel microstrip version with via holes in the strip forms depending on how the waves are guided in the gap [18]. They appear in gaps between metal surfaces, and in three main types of slots depending on how the waves are guided in the gap [14]: ridge gap waveguide [15], groove gap waveguides [16] and microstrip gap waveguides [17]. The latter appears also in a version with via holes in the strip [18], now referred to as a microstrip-ridge gap waveguide. The waves are prohibited from propagating in other directions inside the gap by means of periodic structures, working ideally as PMC. However, the stopband of the parallel-plate waves in the gap is very different from and much larger than the bandwidth of the PMC itself. Therefore, the dispersion diagrams of the gapwaves are determined by this stopband [19].

The gap waveguide technology is in particular applicable for solving the problem of electronic packaging at millimeterwaves: of passive devices [20], filters [22], RF front-ends and MMICs [23]. Presently, several array antennas with gap waveguide-based distribution networks are being designed for the commercial 60 GHz band. We foresee commercial applications in a few years.
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