

The Influence of SO2 on the Corrosion of Mg and Mg-Al Alloys

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-04-27 11:46 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Esmaily, M., Blucher, D., Lindstrom, R. et al (2015). The Influence of SO2 on the Corrosion of Mg and Mg-Al Alloys. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 162(6): C260-C269. http://dx.doi.org/10.1149/2.0801506jes

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library



OPEN ACCESS

The Influence of SO_2 on the Corrosion of Mg and Mg-Al Alloys

To cite this article: M. Esmaily et al 2015 J. Electrochem. Soc. 162 C260

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Influence of ppb Levels of SO₂ on the Atmospheric Corrosion of Aluminum in the Presence of NaCl
 D. Bengtsson Blücher, J-E. Svensson and L.-G. Johansson
- Insight into the Effect of the Continuous Testing and Aging on the so, Sensing Characteristics of a YSZ (Yttria-stabilized Zirconia)-Based Sensor Utilizing ZnGa₂O₄ and Pt Electrodes Xidong Hao, Xishuang Liang and Geyu Lu
- Protective Efficiency of the Oil Composition Coatings Against Carbon Steel Atmospheric Corrosion in the SO₂ Presence

Natalia Shel, Vladimir Vigdorovich, Liudmila Tsygankova et al.

Investigate your battery materials under defined force! The new PAT-Cell-Force, especially suitable for solid-state electrolytes!



 Battery test cell for force adjustment and measurement, 0 to 1500 Newton (0-5.9 MPa at 18mm electrode diameter)

Additional monitoring of gas pressure and temperature

www.el-cell.com +49 (0) 40 79012 737 sales@el-cell.com







The Influence of SO₂ on the Corrosion of Mg and Mg-Al Alloys

M. Esmaily,^{a,*,z} D. B. Blücher,^b R. W. Lindström,^{c,**} J.-E. Svensson,^{a,**} and L. G. Johansson^a

^aDepartment of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

^bSINTEF Materials and Chemistry, N-7465 Trondheim, Norway

^cDepartment of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Applied Electrochemistry, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-10044 Stockholm, Sweden

The SO₂-induced atmospheric corrosion of some magnesium-aluminum (Mg-Al) alloys, including Mg alloy AZ91D, and commercially pure Mg (CP Mg) was investigated using well-controlled laboratory exposures and included real-time measurements of SO₂ deposition. The influence of SO₂ concentration, alloy composition, humidity, and ppb level additions of O₃ or NO₂ on the rate of SO₂ deposition was investigated. SO₂ accelerates the corrosion of Mg and Mg alloys causing localized corrosion, MgSO₃6H₂O being the dominant corrosion product. At 60% RH, traces of O₃ or NO₂ strongly increased both the SO₂ deposition and the corrosion rate. The rate of SO₂ deposition was strongly dependent on humidity; at 70% RH and higher the SO₂ deposition rate was very rapid and constant in time while it was transient below 50% RH. At 60% RH, a change from transient to rapid, steady-state, SO₂ deposition occurred. The sudden activation is explained by the onset of electrochemical corrosion. The activation behavior was shown to depend on SO₂ concentration, the thickness of the surface film and by the presence of ambient O₂.

© The Author(s) 2015. Published by ECS. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. [DOI: 10.1149/2.0801506jes] All rights reserved.

Manuscript submitted February 11, 2015; revised manuscript received March 4, 2015. Published March 12, 2015.

Magnesium-aluminum (Mg-Al) alloys are widely used as structural materials in many engineering sectors as a result of their good castability and good mechanical properties at room temperature. Due to their low weight/strength ratio, these types of Mg alloys have found widespread applications; from portable microelectronics to automobiles and aircraft. However, Mg alloys are sensitive towards corrosion and exhibit the lowest corrosion potentials among the engineering metals.^{1–4} Also, Mg and Mg-Al alloys exhibit high corrosion rates when immersed in NaCl (aq) solution, corrosion being more severe compared to other engineering alloys, including carbon steel. Nevertheless, Mg alloys exhibit somewhat better corrosion properties than steels under atmospheric conditions.^{5–8} The causes behind the relatively slow corrosion of Mg-Al alloys in the atmosphere are still not fully clear.

Because Mg-Al alloys are often used in atmospheric conditions, a better understanding of the atmospheric corrosion properties is of importance. A large number of parameters affect the atmospheric corrosion process of Mg-Al alloys. Therefore, systematic studies are required to clarify their role on the corrosion. There have been extensive researches on the atmospheric corrosion behavior of Mg alloys using field studies that correlate corrosion rate to selected environmental parameters.⁹⁻¹⁹ The variability and complexity of the outdoor atmosphere constitute a major problem when trying to correlate the corrosion rate of a metal to the concentration of, e.g., a particular trace gas in the environment. Laboratory studies under controlled conditions provide the opportunity to study the effect of a specific parameter and/or the synergic effects of atmospheric variable on the corrosion of metallic materials. For example, laboratory investigations have shown that carbon dioxide (CO₂) slows down the corrosion of Al, Zinc (Zn), Mg and Mg-Al alloys in the presence of NaCl.^{8,20,21} Very recently, Esmaily et al.,⁷ investigated the influence of exposure temperature on the atmospheric corrosion behavior of commercially pure Mg (CPMg) and alloy AM50 using laboratory experiments and reported a strong positive temperature dependency of Mg-Al alloy AM50. The same exposures, however, revealed an unclear temperature dependency of CP Mg. The influence of microstructure on the atmospheric corrosion of Mg-Al alloys has also been widely studied.²²⁻³¹

Table I lists the typical ambient concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and ozone (O₃).^{32,33} O₃ and NO₂ are among the most important trace oxidants in the outdoor atmosphere, which often occur at higher concentration than SO₂, see for e.g. Table I. Field-based experiments have shown in several cases that trace levels of both gases can accelerate atmospheric corrosion in the presence of SO₂.^{12,15} Since two decades ago, the atmospheric concentration of these corrosive gases has been increased significantly due to human activities, and thus their role on the corrosion behavior of various metals has become a challenge for corrosion scientists.^{34,35} Both field investigations and lab studies have shown that acidic trace gases, especially SO₂, accelerate the atmospheric corrosion of many engineering alloys.^{15–18,36–38} This is also the case for Mg and Mg alloys. Thus, significant amounts of sulfate-containing corrosion products are typically found on Mg and Mg alloys after exposure in both indoor and outdoor environments.^{12,15,19} This is coming from the fact that CP Mg and Mg-Al alloys such as AM50 and AZ91D are excellent getters for SO₂ at 95% RH, the rate of SO₂ deposition being constant in time and independent of the presence of sodium chloride (NaCl).¹²

Hence, in this study we investigate the influence of SO_2 on the atmospheric corrosion of Mg and some Mg-Al alloys, such as AZ91D, using carefully controlled laboratory exposures. The influence of SO_2 on the mass gain of was studied and deposition of SO_2 was investigated thoroughly, including the effects of adding O_3 and NO_2 . Further, the combined effects of SO_2 and NaCl were investigated. To our knowledge, this is the first laboratory study that deals with the effect of these gases on the corrosion of Mg-Al alloys. This study was motivated by interests in advancing the understanding of the effect of SO_2 and to some extent O_3 and NO_2 , as important atmospheric variables, on the corrosion behavior of Mg-Al alloy in real atmospheric environments.

Experimental

Sample preparation.— This study includes a number of highpressure die cast Mg-Al alloys as well as CP Mg from ingot with chemical compositions shown in Table II. The alloys were received from Husqvarna AB and Finnveden Metal Structures AB, Sweden. As-received materials were cut to produce $15 \times 15 \times 3$ mm³ corrosion coupons. The CP Mg ingot tested was 99.97% pure and bought from Johnson Matthey Puratronic. Prior to exposures, samples were ground on SiC paper (4000 mesh) in de-ionized water. Thereafter, the samples were polished with 1 μ m diamond spray, whereas the Mg samples were polished with alumina slurry. Samples were then ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, dried in air and stored over a desiccant for 2 hours (h) before exposure. To investigate the deposition rate of SO₂ on MgO, single crystals with a dimension of $10 \times 10 \times 1$ mm³

^{*}Electrochemical Society Student Member.

^{**}Electrochemical Society Active Member.

^zE-mail: mohsen.esmaily@chalmers.se

Table I. Typical peak concentrations of $SO_2,\,NO_2$ and O_3 in the troposphere over the continents. 32,33

Pollutant	Remote	Rural	Moderately polluted	Heavily polluted
SO ₂	1	1-30	30-200	200-500
NO_2	1	1 - 20	20-200	200-500
O ₃	50	20-80	100-200	200-500

*Concentrations of the pollutants are given in part per billion (ppb).

that were supplied by the commercial thin film manufacturer SPI were used.

Gravimetric analysis.— After the exposures, the mass gain of the samples was registered after storing for one week over a desiccant at ambient pressure and temperature and this is termed the dry mass gain. The amount of corrosion products was determined by leaching and pickling the samples at ambient temperature using ultrasonic agitation. The water-soluble corrosion products and unreacted NaCl were removed by leaching in pure water (pH 7) in two steps, for 30 and 60 seconds. Thereafter, the samples were pickled up to five times in 20% CrO₃ (aq) at room temperature. The individual corrosion removal process lasted 15 or 30 seconds. To avoid the accumulation of anions in the pickling solution, the chromic acid was renewed before each pickling. The samples were then stored in a desiccator for one week prior to the weighing for determining the metal loss values. Self-corrosion during Cr-treatment was negligible. After pickling, the samples were rinsed with water and acetone.

Experimental setup.— All exposures in this study were performed in a well-controlled synthetic environment. The two main experimental approaches are displayed in Fig. 1. The four-week exposure (672 hour (h)) (Fig. 1a) was chosen to determine the mass gain and the formation of corrosion products, while Time-Resolved trace gas Analysis of the Deposition (TRAD) (Fig. 1b) was employed to investigate the deposition rate of SO₂, NO₂ and O₃ on Mg and Mg-Al

Table II. Composition (wt. %) of the alloys investigated.

alloys during the first 20 h of exposure. Both the exposure systems are made entirely of glass and Teflon. The samples were suspended by a thin nylon string in the middle of the chamber. The corrosion chambers were immersed in a water tank held at constant temperature $(22.0 \pm 0.3^{\circ}\text{C})$. To avoid condensation in the parts of the system outside the water tank the temperature in the room was kept at 25°C. The exposure gas was prepared from dried and purified air. Because the air purification system removes most of the CO₂ in the air we achieved a CO₂ concentration of 350 ppm (close to the atmospheric concentration of CO_2) by adding pure CO_2 from a cylinder and monitoring the concentration. Relative humidity (RH) was regulated to be 50-100% with an accuracy of $\pm 0.5\%$ by mixing dry air and air saturated with water vapor. SO₂ (g) and NO₂ (g) were added to the dry air stream using permeation tubes designed and manufactured by the authors. The permeation rates of the SO₂ tubes correspond to SO₂ concentrations of 48, 96 and 501 ppb in the exposure gas. The permeation rate of the NO₂ tube corresponded to a concentration of 200 ppb. The ozone was added to the dry purified air flow by means of an ozone generator (UV radiation, $\lambda < 230$ nm) to form atomic oxygen which then reacts with dioxygen molecules to form O₃ (g). The exposure reactors contained eight parallel chambers in the, see Fig. 1a. The gas flow (1 dm³/min) was passing through each chamber in turn for 15 seconds. The net gas flow was 7 mm/sec corresponding to a Reynolds number (Re) of about 25 in an empty chamber. The SO₂ content in the gas leaving each chamber was determined by absorbing in 1% $H_2O_2\ (aq)$ solution and analyzing as sulfate using ion chromatography. In order to determine the amount of SO₂ deposited on samples, we compared this analysis to the results obtained using two empty corrosion chambers that were used as blanks. After the completion of the 672 h exposures the dry mass gain of samples was determined after storing over a desiccant at ambient pressure and temperature for one week.

The TRAD system (Fig. 1b) was used for deposition of SO₂, NO₂ and O₃. The gas flow resulted in a net gas velocity of 2.7 cm/s (laminar flow conditions, $R_e = 50$). The setup consisted of a single exposure chamber with continuous flow and real-time analysis of SO₂, NO_x and O₃ in the output gas. SO₂, NO₂ and O₃ were added to the dry, purified air stream as described above. SO₂ was analyzed by a fluorescence instrument (Environnment AF21M), the sensitivity was

r									
Material	% Mg	% Al	% Zn	% Mn	% Si	% Fe	% Cu	% Ni	% Pb
CP Mg	99.97	0.003	0.005	0.0023	0.003	0.0018	0.0003	0.0002	0.001
AM20	97.4	2.1	0.04	0.4	0.01	0.0017	0.0016	0.0005	< 0.0001
AM50	94.7	5.0	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.0016	0.0010	0.0007	< 0.0001
AM60	93.7	6.0	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.0016	0.0010	0.0007	< 0.0001
AZ91	90.1	8.9	0.74	0.21	0.008	0.0022	0.0007	0.0004	< 0.0001



Fig. 1. (a) Experimental setup for exposures with some with ppb levels of SO₂ at 22°C. (1) pure air inlet; (2) mass flow regulators; (3) humidifier; (4) NO₂ and/or SO₂ permeation tubes; (5) mixing point; (6) exposure chambers; (7) gas trap; (8) solenoid valves; (9) thermostated water tank; (10) CO₂ or O₃ inlet; (11) carrier gas (purified air) for NO₂ and or SO₂. (b) The experimental setup of TRAD at 22°C. (1) pure air inlet with pure CO₂ (g) added from a cylinder; (2) mass flow regulators; (3) O₃ generator (UV light λ < 230 nm); (4) humidifier; (5) NO₂ and/or SO₂ permeation tubes; (6) exposure chambers with Al or Mg-Al samples; (7) real-time gas analyzers for SO₂, O₃ and NO_x-NO₂; (8) air outlet; (9) thermostated water tank.

1 ppb. The ozone concentration in the output gas was analyzed by using an instrument based on UV photometry (Dasibi 1108).

NO and NO_x were analyzed by a chemiluminescence instrument (Environnment AC 30M). Before the start of each experiment, the interaction of the pollutant with the reactor had reached a steady state so that the output gas from the corrosion chamber had a constant composition. The mass transfer-limited deposition of SO2 was measured using an "ideal absorber", i.e. a glass plate $(30 \times 30 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3)$ with a thin layer of NaOH (aq). The deposition rate (ng/cm²s) and the deposition velocity (cm/s) on the samples were determined by measuring the difference between the input and output concentrations of the pollutants. The deposition velocity V_d was defined as the flux of an air pollutant to a surface, divided by the concentration in the gas: $V_d = F/c$ where F is the flux to the surface (g/cm²s) and c is the concentration of the pollutant in the gas (g/cm³).^{39,40} In our exposures, the concentration of the SO₂ was taken as the mean of the input and output concentrations in the cell. The same analytical techniques were used to analyze the samples after exposure as described above.

Ion Chromatography (IC) measurements.— Water-soluble corrosion products were removed by leaching in milli-q water (pH 7) for 1 minute and then for 30 minutes. The amount of water soluble anions removed by this process was determined by ion chromatography (Dionex DX100 with an Ionpac AD9-SC column). The flow rate was 2 ml/min and 1.8 mM Na_2CO_3 / 1.7 mM NaHCO₃ was used for elevations.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses.— Grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GI-XRD) was used for characterization of crystalline corrosion products (Siemens D-5000 CuK α radiation equipped with a Göbel mirror, Cu K α radiation). The diffraction peaks of metallic Mg were used as an internal standard. The samples were also studied by ESEM (Electroscan 2020) and EDX (Link ISIS).

AFM/SKPFM.— Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) with Scanning Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (SKPFM) allowed us to study the initial stages of atmospheric corrosion. Previously, SKPFM was successfully used for investigating the corrosion on Al alloys, pure Al, duplex stainless steels, and on CP Mg.^{41–44} A Nanoscope IIIa Multimode was used (Olympus micro cantilevers, n⁺ silicon conductor, and a spring constant of about 27 N/m). In tapping mode, the topography and the Volta potential distribution were mapped simultaneously in situ with sub-micrometer resolution. The instrument was equipped with customized in situ cell to monitor the continuous corrosion process. The setup is described elsewhere.⁴⁵

Fourier Transform Infrared Reflection Absorption Spectroscopy (FT-IRAS).— The in situ formation of corrosion products was monitored by Fourier Transform Infrared Reflection Absorption Spectroscopy (FT-IRAS) using a chamber with a volume of 27 cm³, in which the sample was exposed laterally to the weathering gas. A Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (BIORAD FTS 60A) was connected to the exposure cell. The temperature of the gas was 22° C and the SO₂ concentration was about 200 ppm. IRAS spectra were recorded in absorbance units ($-\log R/R_0$), where R is the reflectance of the exposed sample and R₀ the background reflectance obtained after 0.5 h of exposure to a dry atmosphere. CP Mg and AZ91D were analyzed at high humidity (95% RH). The exposures lasted up to 2 h.

Analytical scanning electron microscope (SEM/EDX).— The morphology of the corrosion products was examined by an FEI Quanta 200 environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) with a Schottky field emission gun (FEG). The instrument was equipped with Oxford Inca energy dispersive X-ray detector (EDX) system. Chemical composition analysis was performed with an Oxford Inca energy dispersive X-ray system (EDX). Imaging was performed using a range of acceleration voltages, 5 to 20 kV. SEM/EDX was used for local chemical analysis as well as elemental mapping of the corroded metal surfaces.

Results

Gravimetric results.- Table III lists the mass gains of CP Mg and AZ91D in different environments. The concentration of SO2 was 48 ppb and the concentration of NO2 and O3 was 200 ppb. The samples were weighed every 168 hours but only the 672 h mass gains are shown. Both materials showed linear mass gains in the presence of SO₂. At 95% RH and 48 ppb SO₂, the accumulated mass gain of Mg after 672 h was 15 times higher than in the absence of SO_2 . Table III also shows the fraction of the SO₂ in the corrosive atmosphere passing over the samples that was absorbed by the samples during the exposure. It may be noted that the fraction of SO_2 deposited on AZ91D at 95% RH was close to the maximum value attainable in the exposure system (as measured using a sample covered by a thin layer of NaOH (aq). This is in accordance with the short-term exposures described below; showing that SO₂ deposition on alloy AZ91D was in the transport-limited regime at 95% RH. The SO₂ deposition and mass gains were much lower at 60% RH. However, both the SO₂ deposition and mass gains at 60% RH increased significantly in the presence of NO_2 and O_3 . Thus, after 4 weeks at 60% RH in the presence of SO_2 + NO₂ or SO₂ + O₃, mass gain was 4–5 times higher than when SO_2 was the only pollutant added. The effect of NO_2 and O_3 on SO_2 deposition is treated in greater detail below.

Corrosion product composition.— The only sulfur-containing corrosion product identified by XRD was Mg sulfite hexahydrate (MgSO₃6H₂O). This corrosion product has also been reported for Mg-Al alloys exposed in an industrial outdoor environment.¹⁵ The dominance of this compound in the corrosion product is supported by the mass gain and SO₂ deposition data in Table III, indicating that MgSO₃6H₂O accounted for most of the mass gain. The calculation was based on the assumption that all deposited SO₂ was present as

Table III. Mass gain and fraction of SO₂ deposited on CP Mg, and AZ91D after 672 hours.

Material	Environment	% RH	Fraction of SO ₂ deposited (%)	Fraction of mass gain attributed to formation of MgSO ₃ .6H ₂ O	Dry mass gain (mg/cm ²)
Mg	Pure air	95	-	-	0.0055
AZ91	Pure air	95	-	-	0.005
Mg	SO ₂	95	n.a.	n.a.	0.9
AZ91	SO ₂	95	75	77	0.092
AZ91	SO ₂	60	15	88	0.016
AZ91	$\overline{SO_2} + O_3$	60	60	88	0.065
AZ91	$SO_2 + NO_2$	60	65	62	0.076

The SO₂ concentration was 48 ppb, the NO₂ and O₃ concentrations were 200 ppb and temperature was 22° C. The mass gain results represent average values for triplicate samples. The scatter in mass gain was about \pm 5%. The percentage of mass gain attributed to SO₂ deposition was calculated supposing that the deposited SO₂ forms MgSO₃(H₂O)₆ on the surface. The maximum fraction of SO₂ that can be deposited on the samples under the present experimental conditions corresponds to 75–80% of the SO₂ added to the exposure chambers.



Fig. 2. SE-SEM image and EDX maps of Mg exposed to 49 ppb SO2 at 95% RH for 672 h. The temperature was 22°C. (a) Plane view SE-image; (b) Mg; (c) O; (d) S.

MgSO₃6H₂O. The dominance of sulfite on the surface is in accordance with the in situ FTIR measurements described below. The remaining mass gain was attributed to hydroxides and carbonates. After exposure, the samples were leached in water and the leaching solutions were analyzed for anions using Ion Chromatography (IC). Both sulfite and sulfate were detected by IC analyses of samples exposed to SO₂. However, at least a part of the sulfate found by IC was formed during analysis, by oxidation of sulfite in aqueous solution. Supposing that the corrosion product formed on CP Mg in pure air at 95% was hydromagnesite (Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂4H₂O) and that the corresponding product in air with 48 ppb SO₂ was MgSO₃6H₂O, the loss of Mg after 672 h was calculated to be 1.7 μ g/cm² in the former case and 12 μ g/cm² in the latter case.

SEM and EDX analyses.— After 672 h exposure in the corrosive environment the Mg samples had lost their luster and were dark. Optical microscopy inspections revealed a transparent, glassy layer on the sample surface. The SEM images in Fig. 2 show more or less circular areas, about 100 µm in diameter, together with elongated shapes, both features corresponding to corrosion product accumulations on the surface. Working with pure Mg in aqueous sodium chloride (NaCl) solutions, Williams et al.⁴⁶ reported the formation of corrosion products with similar corrosion morphologies. The EDX analyses showed that the corrosion products were enriched in oxygen and sulfur. The center of the circular corrosion products contains oxygen but relatively little sulfur. Based on the XRD and gravimetric analyses above, it is concluded that the corrosion product agglomerations are dominated by MgSO₃6H₂O. The observation that the circular areas are cracked is tentatively attributed to shrinking of the corrosion product by the loss of water from MgSO₃6H₂O during imaging. Figure 3 shows ESEM/EDX maps of a corroded AZ91 surface after exposure for 672 h in 49 ppb SO₂ at 95% RH. Similar to CP Mg, large corrosion product accumulations enriched in S and O were observed. Again, the relative sulfur content was lower at the center of the circular features. The β -phase, showing a network-like morphology, is clearly seen both in the secondary electron (SE) micrograph and in the Al map. The βphase network was not visible on an unexposed sample, indicating that SO₂-induced corrosion affects the α - and β -phase differently, creating an etching effect. The Mg-rich α -phase regions exhibit higher oxygen yields compared to the β -phase (see O map in Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. SE-SEM and EDX maps of AZ91 exposed to 49 ppb SO₂ at 95% RH. (a) Plane view SE- image; (b) Al; (c) Mg; (d) O; (e) S.

In situ corrosion experiment using FTIR and SKPFM.— To study the initial formation of surface species in the presence of SO₂, timeresolved IR spectra were obtained from CP Mg and alloy AZ91D during exposure in the presence of 200 ppb SO₂ at different humidities. Figure 4 shows spectra measured at 95% RH in air. Absorption bands appear at 3000–3600, 1650, 900–1150 and at around 700 cm⁻¹, the bands growing with exposure time. The band at about 2350 cm^{-1} is an artefact due to $CO_2(g)$. The broad band at 3000–3600 cm⁻¹ is attributed to OH stretching vibrations in water and in surface hydroxide. The H₂O bending vibration is seen at around 1650 cm⁻¹. The surface water is attributed to adsorbed water and to solid salt hydrates. The lack of evidence for Mg sulfate on the surface in the SO_2 exposures (see below) implies that the surface water cannot be attributed to MgSO₄ (aq) solution (solid MgSO₄ is reported to form a solution at RH > 86% at 22°C).⁴⁷ In the absence of SO₂, the two water bands did not grow appreciably during exposure. This indicates that in the presence of SO₂, much of the water on the surface belongs to solid compounds accumulating as a result of corrosion. The negative peak appearing at 3700 cm⁻¹ upon exposure to SO₂ is characteristic of brucite (Mg(OH)₂). It is considered that brucite is present on the sample surface before exposure and is consumed by the reaction with SO₂. The region 850–1250 cm⁻¹ corresponds to S-O stretching vibrations in sulfur-oxygen anions.⁴⁸ The broad peak centered around 980 cm⁻¹ is attributed to surface sulfite. For MgSO₃(H₂O)₆(s), the corresponding peak appears at 936 cm^{-1} .⁴⁹ The presence of surface sulfite is in accordance with the identification of MgSO₃(H₂O)₆(s) by XRD after prolonged exposure to SO₂ in air (see below). The



Fig. 4. Infrared absorption spectra at 200 ppb SO_2 on Mg at 95% RH. The dry spectrum was recorded after pure air had passed through the reaction chamber for 30 minutes.

accumulation of water on the surface in the presence of SO₂ is attributed to the formation of hydrated surface sulfite. No bands appeared that could be unambiguously attributed to sulfate. This is in contrast to the behavior of e.g., zinc.⁵⁰ The FT IRAS spectra obtained in N₂/SO₂ were essentially the same as in air/SO₂. The absorption bands formed upon exposure to SO₂ at 60 and 50% RH were qualitatively the same as at 90% RH but the bands were weaker and did not grow as rapidly. At high RH, the spectra obtained from AZ91D and CP Mg were qualitatively the same. At lower humidity, the growth of the sulfite bands was slower for AZ91D than for Mg. To summarize, the FT IRAS results show that SO₂ forms sulfite on Mg and on AZ91D and that there is little evidence for oxidation to sulfate.

Figure 5 shows in situ SKPFM time-resolved measurements on Mg at 60% RH in air with 49 ppb SO₂. The left column shows the surface topography, showing the formation and growth of discrete corrosion product accumulations on the surface. The features had a diameter of about 0.1 μ m after one hour, and grew with time. After 5 h exposure they had partly coalesced and covered most of the surface. The right column shows the Volta potential maps. A comparison of the two columns shows that the growing features tended to exhibit a relatively low potential, indicating that these areas were anodic to the surrounding surface.

 SO_2 deposition.— The rate of SO_2 deposition on the samples was investigated during the first 20 h of exposure. The influence of relative humidity, SO₂ concentration, alloy composition and pretreatment as well as the effect of O₂ and traces of O₃ and NO₂ were investigated. Figure 6a shows the deposition of SO₂ on Mg and on alloy AZ91D at different humidity as a function of exposure time. The SO₂ concentration was 49 ppb. At high humidity (>70% RH), SO₂ deposition was very rapid and constant with time on both materials. The SO₂ deposition rate was equal to that measured for an identical sample that had been covered by a thin layer of NaOH (aq). This value represents the maximum SO₂ deposition rate that can be attained in the exposure set-up, given the flow conditions, sample size and temperature. It represents the gas-transport limited value for deposition, meaning that all SO₂ molecules that impinged on the surface were deposited. At 60% RH, SO₂ deposition was initially in the gas-transport limited regime but rapidly slowed down, signifying a saturation of the surface. AZ91D showed such saturation at higher RHs than CP Mg, as seen in Fig. 6a. At 50% RH, SO₂ deposition on AZ91D and CP Mg dropped to 1–2% of the maximum value after 12 h.

The SO₂ concentration dependence.— In order to compare SO₂ deposition at different SO₂ concentrations it is useful to introduce the concept deposition velocity V_{dep} , (see Fig. 6b). The deposition velocity is equal to the deposition rate of SO₂ on the sample surface (g/m²s) divided by the concentration of SO₂ in the gas (g/m³). Thus, the unit of the quotient is ms⁻¹. The SO₂ concentration was taken as the av-



Fig. 5. Time-resolved in situ AFM and SKPFM images of Mg. The images in the left hand column show the topography by tapping mode AFM while the right hand column shows Volta potential maps imaged by SKPFM. The brighter areas are protruding from the surface in the topography images and have a higher relative potential in the Volta potential images. The top row shows the Mg surface at the start of the experiment and the lower rows show the surface after 1, 2 and 5 hours. Note: The height range is 200 nm and the Volta potential range is 200 nV. (RH: 60%, T: 22°C, SO2 (g): 49 ppb).

erage of the input and output concentrations in the reaction chamber. At high humidity, SO₂ deposition was in the transport-limited regime throughout the SO₂ concentration range studied (49–501 ppb), corresponding to a deposition velocity of 0.26 cm/s in the experimental conditions, deposition rate being proportional to SO₂ concentration. At lower humidity the situation was different, as illustrated in Fig. 6b, showing SO₂ deposition velocity on CP Mg and AZ91D as a function of exposure time at 60% RH for three different SO₂ concentrations. Initially, the deposition velocity equaled the transport-limited value of 0.26 cm/s in all cases. With time, V_{dep} decreased, corresponding



Fig. 6. Deposition of SO₂ on Mg and on alloy AZ91; (a) SO₂ deposition as a function of exposure time in air with 49 ppb SO₂ at different RHs, (b) influence of SO₂ concentration on the SO₂ deposition velocity at 60% RH.



Fig. 7. SO₂ deposition rate as a function of Al content in the Mg-Al alloys at 60% RH. The SO₂ concentration was 264 ppb.

to a saturation of the surface with respect to SO₂. As expected, V_{dep} dropped off more rapidly at higher SO₂ concentration. It may be noted that the SO₂ deposition velocity slowed down much more rapidly for AZ91D than for CP Mg. The two materials differed also in another respect. Thus, while V_{dep} dropped monotonically with time for AZ91D, there was a change in curvature in the case of CP Mg. Thus, after the initial decrease, the deposition velocity increased again on CP Mg, corresponding to an activation of the surface. The change of curvature (activation behavior) was especially evident at the highest SO₂ concentration (501 ppb).

The influence of alloy composition.— The observation that the SO₂ deposition behavior of CP Mg was different from that of AZ91D motivated an investigation of the dependence of the SO₂ deposition rate on Al content. Fig. 7 illustrates the rate SO₂ deposition of several Mg-Al alloys exposed to 264 ppb SO₂ at 60% RH. Initially, deposition was in the transport limited regime and therefore independent of alloy composition. After 20 h exposure, the deposition rates showed a strong dependence on Al content, the two alloys with more than 6% Al exhibiting very low deposition rates. The activation behavior was more pronounced on the alloys low in Al. Exposure to at 60% RH for 20 h resulted in limited corrosion and it was not possible to reliably determine the mass loss. In contrast, mass losses after 672 h exposure at 95% RH were measurable. In that case, there was a strong dependence of corrosion rate on alloy composition. Thus, the registered mass loss values were ~0.042, 0.068, 0.072, 0.11 and 0.34 mg/cm² for alloy AZ91D, AM60, AM50, AM20 and CP Mg, respectively.

The effect of pre-exposure on SO_2 deposition.— The change in curvature of the SO_2 deposition curves for CP Mg at medium humidity has already been noted (see Figs. 6a and 6b). To test whether

this behavior was caused by surface de-passivation and initiation of corrosion, we studied the effect of pre-exposure in clean humid air. Thus, Mg was first exposed for 13 h in clean air at 95% RH in order to form a surface film that was considerably thicker than the air-formed film present after polishing. The Mg sample was then exposed to SO₂ at 60% RH. The resulting SO₂ deposition in Fig. 8a, indicated that the pre-exposed sample exhibited a much stronger decrease in SO₂ deposition rate with time than the reference sample. Notably, the pre-exposed sample showed no upturn in the SO₂ deposition curve. This supports the interpretation of the upturn of the SO₂ deposition curve as evidence for a corrosion process that starts after surface de-passivation.

 SO_2 deposition on single crystal MgO.— Because the experiments with pre-exposed samples imply that deposition of SO₂ on Mg involves both reaction with the surface film and corrosion of the underlying metal, it was decided to investigate SO₂ deposition on MgO. Thus, the deposition of SO₂ on a single crystal MgO specimen (10 × 10 × 1 mm³) was measured at different RHs at a SO₂ concentration of 49 ppb (not shown). At 95% RH, the behavior of MgO towards SO₂ was similar to that of CP Mg, SO₂ deposition being in the transport-limited regime. In contrast, the SO₂ deposition velocity on MgO at 60% RH was small and soon dropped below the limit of detection. This was the case in air as well as in N₂. As noted above, CP Mg and alloy AZ91D exhibited relatively rapid SO₂ deposition at this humidity, especially in air.

The effect of O_2/N_2 on SO_2 deposition.— Figure 8b shows the effect of replacing O_2 by N_2 on SO_2 deposition on CP Mg at 60% RH. To our surprise, the rate of deposition of SO_2 in N_2 was only about one third of that measured in air. Also, all SO_2 deposition curves acquired in air and in pure oxygen at 60% RH featured an activation step whereas the exposure in N_2 did not. It may be noted that the N_2 environment included traces of O_2 (on the order of 100 ppm). The presence of oxygen had a similar but smaller effect on the rate of deposition of SO_2 on alloy AZ91D. In the case of single crystal MgO, the SO_2 deposition curves in air and in N_2 were the same.

The influence of NO_2 and O_3 on the deposition rate of SO_2 .— Figure 9 shows the influence of 200 ppb NO₂ in air on the SO₂ deposition rate for AZ91D. The SO₂ concentration was 48 ppb and RH was 50, 60 and 70%. At 50% RH, the introduction of NO₂ only increased the SO₂ deposition initially and the effect prevailed for less than an hour. At 60% RH, the introduction of NO₂ strongly increased SO₂ deposition so that it became mass-transfer limited. The increased deposition rate prevailed for as long as NO₂ was added. At 70% RH, SO₂ deposition was already in the transport limited regime in the absence of NO₂ (compare Fig. 2). Hence the rate of SO₂ deposition at 70% RH was not influenced by NO₂. The spikes in the curves when NO₂ was added are due to instability in the gas flow. The effect of ozone on SO₂ deposition was similar to that of NO₂ (Fig. 10). However, the effect of ozone extended to lower humidity (compare



Fig. 8. (a) Influence of pre-exposure on the deposition of SO_2 on Mg. RH was 60% and the SO_2 concentration was 264 ppb, (b) influence of O_2 on the deposition of SO_2 on Mg. RH was 60% and the SO_2 concentration was 264 ppb.



Fig. 9. Influence of addition of 200 ppb NO2 on SO2 deposition on polished AZ91 samples at 50, 60 and 70% RH. The SO2 concentration was 48 ppb.

the 50% RH curves in Figs. 9 and 10). At 40% RH and below, the introduction of O_3 only resulted in a slight and temporary increase of the SO₂ deposition rate.

air at both 60 and 95% RH. This shows that SO_2 which is adsorbed at 60% RH is more loosely bonded to the surface than SO_2 which is adsorbed at 95% RH.

Discussion

Desorption of SO_2 .— Finally, the desorption of SO_2 was investigated by transferring CP Mg and AZ91D samples, that had been exposed to SO_2 -containing (264 ppb) in air with different humidity for 2 h, to a reactor with flowing clean humid air and analyzing the output gas for SO_2 (not shown). The results showed that samples exposed to SO_2 at 95% RH did not desorb measurable amounts of SO_2 (<1pb) while samples exposed to SO_2 at 60% RH and lower exhibited small but measurable SO_2 desorption when exposed to clean

The gravimetric data and the SO₂ deposition studies (Table III and Fig. 6a) show that the surface of Mg and AZ91D are almost ideal absorbers for SO₂ at 95% RH and 49 ppb SO₂. In contrast to e.g., zinc (Zn),³⁹ copper (Cu)⁵¹ and Al⁵² the oxidation of (IV)-valent sulfur on the Mg and Mg-Al alloy surface appears to be slow. Thus, the only corrosion product detected by XRD after 672 h at 95% RH and



Fig. 10. Influence of addition of 200 ppb O₃ on SO₂ deposition on polished AZ91 samples at 50, 60 and 70% RHs. The SO₂ concentration was 48 ppb.

49 ppb SO₂ was Mg sulfite, MgSO₃6H₂O, and in situ FTIR investigation provided no evidence for sulfate formation. The dominance of MgSO₃6H₂O in the corrosion product (Table III) implies that SO₂ only speeds up corrosion to the extent that, in principle, all Mg ions generated in corrosion form sulfite. Hence, it could be argued that corrosion in air at high RH in the presence of SO₂ is chemical rather than electrochemical in nature. In such a scenario the protective oxide/hydroxide surface film reacts with SO₂ and dissolves in an aqueous surface film. The resulting thinning of the protective film then results in the formation of new oxide by a Cabrera Mott type mechanism. In this way, the rate of oxidation is determined by the rate of film thinning which, in turn is determined by the rate of SO₂ deposition. The ability of MgO to react rapidly with SO₂ at high humidity in the absence of a metal substrate is indeed verified by the observation that single crystal MgO is an ideal getter for SO₂ at 95% RH.

However, the present results do not support a purely chemical corrosion mechanism. Thus, the tiny corrosion product aggregates formed initially and visualized by SKPFM (Fig. 5), indicate that corrosion in the presence of SO_2 is localized from the beginning, implying a separation of anodic and cathodic areas. Indeed, the observation of large circular corrosion product accumulations on both Mg and alloy AZ91D after 672 h (SEM images in Figs. 3 and 4) indicate that electrochemical cells have been active on the surface. This is also true for the etching effect observed on alloy AZ91D (Fig. 3). Below, the present observations concerning the kinetics of SO_2 deposition on the samples are discussed in the context of the corrosion of the metal.

Before going into the details of the effect of SO₂ on Mg corrosion it is appropriate to mention the corrosion properties of Mg in humid air, in the absence of SO_2 . In dry air Mg is oxidized by O_2 , forming a surface oxide (passive film) by a Cabrera-Mott type mechanism. In the presence of water vapor the film becomes partly hydroxylated forming a MgO/Mg(OH)₂ layer.^{1,53,54} Also, CO₂ in ambient air reacts with the film to form a very thin surface layer.⁶ It has been reported that the amount of water adsorbed on an oxide surface at 95% RH in ambient temperature air corresponds to an average of about 10 monolayers.⁵⁵ According to⁵⁶ the Cabrera-Mott passive film on Mg tends to dissolve in this liquid-like adsorbed water film. The authors claim that the resulting thinning of the passive film causes oxidation of Mg by O₂ to be resumed. As a result of dissolution of the relatively soluble passive film, the aqueous film becomes supersaturated, causing brucite to precipitate. After an induction period and running parallel to this process, an electrochemical corrosion process starts, involving anodic dissolution of Mg and hydrogen evolution, and giving rise to localized corrosion. In this scenario, the fast and sustained deposition of SO₂ measured at high RH is explained by the presence of a Mg(OH)₂ (aq)-containing aqueous film with high pH (equation 1). Because of the dissolution of SO_2 , the aqueous surface film is acidified (equation 2), hence increasing its ability to dissolve new passive film. Also, the sulfite generated forms weak complexes with Mg²⁺,⁴⁸ further enhancing film dissolution. The dissolved Mg ions are expected to form adsorbed sulfite (equation 3) as well as forming the sparingly soluble Mg sulfite hexahydrate (equation 4) which was observed:

$$MgO + H_2O \Leftrightarrow Mg^{2+}(aq) + 2OH^{-}(aq)$$
[1]

$$SO_2(g) + 2OH^-(aq) \rightarrow H_2O + SO_3^{2-}(aq)$$
 [2]

$$SO_3^{2-}(aq) + Mg^{2+}(aq) \Leftrightarrow MgSO_3(ads)$$
 [3]

$$MgSO_{3}(ads) + 6H_{2}O(ads) \rightarrow MgSO_{3}.6H_{2}O(s)$$
[4]

In this way the process of Mg oxidation by O_2 in combination with SO_2 deposition becomes self-sustained. It is conceivable that under some circumstances Mg sulfite may passivate the surface. However, this was not observed. It may be noted that the lack of SO₂ desorption from samples exposed to SO₂ at high humidity (see results section)

implies that a high pH prevails on the surface, indicating that the surface electrolyte is in contact with MgO or $Mg(OH)_2$.

At low RH the process just described is not operational because of the absence of a liquid-like water on the passive film surface that can dissolve the passive film. However, SO_2 is readily adsorbed on the film surface, forming sulfite according the following equation:

$$Mg(OH)_2(surface) + SO_2(g) \rightleftharpoons MgSO_3(ads) + H_2O$$
 [5]

The SO₂ deposition measurements at low humidity (<60% RH) (See Fig. 6a) show that the uptake of SO₂ is transient. Also, the desorption measurements revealed that SO₂ uptake is partially reversible. The transient deposition and the presence of loosely bonded S(IV) on the surface is in accordance with reaction 5, showing the tendency for the Mg surface to become saturated with SO₂.

At medium humidity (60% RH), the SO₂ deposition curve for Mg in air in some cases exhibits a characteristic upturn after a few hours (see, e.g. Figs. 6b and 7). It is argued that this signifies a transition from the low humidity behavior to the high humidity behavior. Thus, the change in curvature denotes the transition from a transient mode of SO₂ deposition, where part of the surface S(IV) is reversibly bound (reaction 5), to a steady-state mode of SO_2 deposition where SO_2 is irreversibly bound to the surface (reactions (1-4)). It is considered significant that this change of curvature was absent in N2 environment and that it was also absent in the case of MgO in air. The observation that Mg metal and oxygen are both necessary for the change in curvature in the SO₂ deposition curve to occur implies that the transition is related to a reaction that involves both Mg metal and oxygen. Considering the role of O₂ in the atmospheric corrosion of Mg in humid air proposed by,⁵⁷ it is suggested that the activation of SO_2 deposition is caused by surface de-passivation and initiation of corrosion. Thus, the upturn of the SO₂ deposition curve is interpreted as the commencement of the process of passive film dissolution and Mg oxidation by O₂. In this way a slow but sustained corrosion process is started that generates fresh Mg hydroxide. In this scenario, the induction period of a few hours before the upturn of the SO₂ deposition curve corresponds to the time needed for the passive film to be sufficiently thinned for Mg oxidation by O_2 to start, the ability of the surface water film to dissolve the passive film being limited due to the relatively low RH. A similar upturn of the SO₂ deposition curve has been reported for zinc.⁵⁸ In that case the effect was reported to involve the oxidation of surface sulfite to sulfate. However, because of the lack of evidence for sulfate formation the change of curvature cannot be explained thus in the present case.

As was already mentioned, there is compelling evidence for electrochemical processes occurring on the corroding Mg surface. It is tentatively suggested that the large scale features seen after 672 h exposure (Figs. 3 and 4) are related to the electrochemical mode of corrosion suggested by,⁵⁸ involving hydrogen evolution and that the circular features result from the migration of sulfite ions towards anodic sites in the center.

The localized corrosion attack on AZ91D in air with 49 ppb SO₂ at 95% RH is illustrated in the SEM images in Fig. 3. The Mg rich α -phase is seen to be preferentially attacked. This is in accordance with previous SKPFM measurements at this laboratory that show that the α -phase is anodic to the intermetallic β -phase particles (Mg₁₇Al₁₂).^{6,59}

At high RH (95%), the SO₂ deposition rate is equally fast on both CP Mg and AZ91D (see Fig. 6a). This is in accordance with the results from the four week exposures (see Table III). In contrast, at 60% RH the interaction between SO₂ and the metal depends strongly on alloy composition, the SO₂ deposition rate decreasing with increasing Al content (see Fig. 7). Also, the change of curvature in the SO₂ deposition rate on CP Mg at 60% RH discussed above, becomes less marked with increasing Al content and is absent in the high Al alloys (AM60 and AZ91D). The MgAl alloys included in this study have microstructures dominated by α -Mg and intermetallic β -phase particles (Mg₁₇Al₁₂), the volume fraction of a-Mg being >85%. Hence the surface properties of the alloys, such as SO₂ deposition, are expected to be dominated by α -Mg. It has been shown that the passive film on α -Mg in Mg-Al alloys is enriched in Al.^{8,60} In comparison to Mg

oxide, alumina is much less soluble in neutral and acidic environment.⁶¹ It is therefore expected that the dissolution of the passive film by the acid formed by the hydrolysis of SO₂ (see reactions 1-4 above) will be become increasingly slow as the Al content in the substrate increases. This is suggested to explain the differences observed between Mg and Mg-Al alloys regarding SO₂ deposition at 60% RH. Apparently, the protective properties of the aluminacontaining film are not sufficient to protect the material at high RH. The observation that increasing Al content in the alloy results in a lower activity towards SO2 is thus attributed to the corresponding increase in the Al content of α -Mg. It may be noted that for MgAl alloys, the Al content of the α -Mg phase also depends on alloy fabrication. Hence, it has been shown that the Al content in α-Mg in alloy AZ91D can be increased by modifying the casting technology, resulting in improved corrosion properties.

The presence of ppb levels of ozone and NO₂ strongly increases the SO₂ deposition on AZ91D at 60% RH (see Figs. 9 and 10). The strong influence of O₃ and NO₂ at 60% RH can be seen also in the four-week exposures (see Table III), the mass gains in the combined $SO_2 + O_3$ and $SO_2 + NO_2$ environments being 4–5 times higher than for exposures with SO₂ alone. It is argued that this effect has the same origin as the effect of oxygen on SO₂ deposition on CP Mg (see above). Thus, it is argued that the molecules themselves or some reaction product on the surface act as oxidants on the surface of the passive film:

$$O_3 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow O_2(g) + 2OH^ E^\circ = 1.24 \text{ V}$$
 [6]

$$2NO_2 + 2OH^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + NO_3^- + H_2O$$
 [7]

$$NO_2^- + H_2O + e^- \rightarrow NO(g) + 2OH^- \qquad E^\circ = -0.46 V$$
 [8]

$$NO_3^- + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + 2OH^ E^\circ = -0.01 V$$
 [9]

The resulting increased formation of alkali would then explain the higher rate of SO₂ deposition in the presence of ozone and NO₂. It may be noted that, at 60% RH, the corrosion products were the same whether O₃ and NO₂ were present or not. In all cases, MgSO₃6H₂O (s) was the only crystalline corrosion product detected.

Conclusions

The SO₂-induced atmospheric corrosion of CP Mg and several Mg-Al alloys was investigated by means of laboratory exposures in humid atmospheres containing trace amounts of air pollutants namely ozone (O₃) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). The observations and findings are as follows;

• The presence of SO₂ in ppb levels accelerates the atmospheric corrosion of CP Mg and Mg-Al alloys. A linear mass gain was registered both at 95 and 60% RH in the presence of 49 ppb SO₂ during four weeks of exposure.

• The SO₂-induced corrosion of CP Mg and the investigated Mg-Al alloys is localized, indicating the presence of electrochemical cells. Magnesium sulfite (MgSO₃6H₂O) was the dominant corrosion product formed.

• At low relative humidity SO₂ deposition on CP Mg is a transient process that terminates when the surface of the oxide film is covered by S(IV) species. At high humidity, the rate of SO₂ deposition on CP Mg and Mg alloy AZ91D is very high and limited by the mass transfer in the gas phase. At medium humidity, (60% RH) SO₂ deposition on CP Mg exhibits a characteristic change in curvature corresponding to the transition from a transient mode of SO₂ deposition to a rapid, steady-state mode of deposition where SO₂ is irreversibly bound to the surface.

• The observed activation behavior was inhibited by a thicker passive film, by higher Al alloy content, and by removal of ambient O₂, indicating that it was caused by the onset of electrochemical corrosion of Mg, part of the cathodic current being supplied by O2 reduction.

• At 60% RH, the addition of O₃ or NO₂ strongly increased the SO₂ deposition rate on alloy AZ91D. This effect is explained by O₃ and NO2 acting as cathodic depolarizers, providing cathodic current for the corrosion of CP Mg.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Corrosion Department at KTH, Stockholm for letting us perform the IRAS measurements at their instrument. The authors would also like to express their thanks to The Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF) for funding this project.

References

- 1. G. L. Song and A. Atrens, Adv. Eng. Mater., 5, 837 (2003).
- 2. O. Lunder, J. E. Lein, T. K. Aune, and K. Nisancioglu, Corrosion, 45, 741 (1989).
- 3. M. Grabowski, D. Bluecher, M. Korte, and S. Virtanen, Corrosion, 70, 1008 (2014).
- 4. M. Esmaily, M. Shahabi-Navid, N. Mortazavi, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, M. Wessén, A. E. W. Jarfors, and L. G. Johansson, Mater. Charac., 95, 50 (2014).
- 5. J. R. Kish, Y. Hu, J. Li, W. Zheng, and J. R. McDermid, Corrosion, 68, 468 (2012).
- 6. G. L. Song and A. Atrens, Adv. Eng. Mater., 1, 11 (1999).
- 7. M. Esmaily, M. Shahabi-Navid, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, L. Nyborg, Y. Cao, and L. G. Johansson, Corros. Sci., 90, 420 (2015).
- 8. M. Shahabi-Navid, M. Esmaily, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, L. Nyborg, Y. Cao, and L. G. Johansson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 161, C277 (2014).
- 9. Z. Cui, X. Li, K. Xiao, and C. Dong, Corros. Sci., 76, 243 (2013).
- 10. J. Liao, M. Hotta, S. Motoda, and T. Shinohara, Corros. Sci., 71, 53 (2013).
- M. Jönsson, D. Persson, and C. Leygraf, *Corros. Sci.*, **50**, 1406 (2008).
 L. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Wei, L. Hou, and Y. Tian, *Corros. Sci.*, **52**, 2188 (2010).
- 13. J. Chen, J. Q. Wang, E. H. Han, and W. Ke, Corr. Eng., Sci. Tech., 46, 277 (2011).
- 14. M. Zhaoa, P. Schmutz, S. Brunner, M. Liua, G. L. Song, and A. Atrens, Corros. Sci.,
- 51, 1277 (2009).
- 15. Y. G. Li, Y. H. Wei, L. F. Hou, and P. J. Han, Corros. Sci., 69, 67 (2013).
- 16. L. Hao, S. Zhang, J. Dong, and W. Ke, Corros. Sci., 59 270 (2012).
- 17. Y. Ma, Y. Li, and F. Wang, Corros. Sci., 51, 997 (2009).
- 18. W. Chen, L. Hao, J. Dong, and W. Ke, Corros. Sci., 83, 155(2014).
- 19. Z. Cui, X. Li, K. Xiao, and C. Dong, Corros. Sci., 76, 243 (2013).
- 20. R. Lindström, On chemistry of atmospheric corrosion, Doctoral thesis, Department of Chemistry, Göteborg University, Göteborg, 2003.
- 21. D. Bluecher, R. Lindström, J. E. Svensson, and L. G. Johansson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 148, B127 (2001).
- 22. G. L. Song, Adv. Eng. Mater., 7, 563 (2005).
- 23. G. L. Song, A. Atrens, D. StJhon, J. Nairn, and Y. Li, Corros. Sci., 39, 855 (1997).
- 24. M. Jönsson, D. Persson, and R. Gubner, J. Electrochem. Soc., 154, C684 (2007).
- 25. O. Lunder, J. E. Lein, T. Kr Aune, and K. Nisancioglu, Corrsion, 45, 741 (1989)
- 26. X. Y. Sun, B. Zhang, H. Q. Lin, Y. Zhou, L. Sun, J. Q. Wang, E.-H. Han, and W. Ke, Corros. Sci., 77, 103 (2013).
- 27. R. M. Asmussen, P. Jakupia, M. Danaie, G. A. Botton, and D. W. Shoesmith, Corros. Sci., 75, 114 (2013)
- 28. R. M. Asmussen, W. J. Binns, P. Jakupi, and D. W. Shoesmith, J. Electrochem. Soc., 161, C501 (2014).
- 29. M. Esmaily, N. Mortazavi, M. Shahabi-Navid, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, L. Nyborg, Y. Cao, M. Wessén, A. E. W. Jarfors, and L. G. Johansson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 162, C85 (2015)
- 30. M. Danaie, R. M. Asmussen, P. Jakupia, D. W. Shoesmith, and G. A. Botton, Corros. Sci.,77, 151 (2013).
- 31. M. Danaie, R. M. Asmussen, P. Jakupia, D. W. Shoesmith, and G. A. Botton, Corros. ci..83, 299 (2014).
- 32. B. J. Finlayson-Pitts and J. N. Pitts, Atmospheric chemistry fundamentals and experimental techniques, John Wiley and sons, New York (1986).
- 33. T. E. Graedel, Corros. Sci., 27, 721 (1987).
- 34. Z. Y. Chen, D. Liang, G. Ma, G. S. Frankel, H. C. Allen, and R. G. Kelly, Corros. Eng. Sci. Technol., 45, 169 (2010).
- 5. D. Liang, H.C. Allen, G. S. Frankel, Z. Y. Chen, R. G. Kelly, Y. Wu, and B. E. Wyslouzil, J. Electrochem. Soc., 157, C146 (2010).
- 36. S. Oesch and M. Faller, Corros. Sci., 39, 1505 (1997).
- 37. C. Kleber, R. Wiesinger, J. Schnöller, U. Hilfrich, H. Hutter, and M. Schreiner, Corros. Sci., 50, 1112 (2008).
- 38. W. S. Lassiter, J. Phys. Chem., 76, 1289 (1972).
- 39. J. E. Svensson and L. G. Johansson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 140 2210 (1993)
- 40. J. A. Garland, Atmos. Environ., 12, 249 (1978).
 - 41. P. Schmutz and G. S. Frankel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 145, 2295 (1998).
 - 42. M. F. Hurley, C. M. Efaw, P. H. Davis, J. R. Croteau, E. Graugnard, and N. Birbilis, Corrosion, In press (2014). 43. V. Guillaumin, P. Schmutz, and G. S. Frankel, J. Electrochem. Soc., 148, B163 (2011).
 - 44. S. K. Kim, I. J. Park, D. Y. Lee, and J. G. Kim, J. Appl. Electrochem., 43, 507 (2013).

- 45. D. Blueher, J. E. Svensson, L. G. Johansson, M. Rohwerder, and M. Stratmann, J. Electrochem. Soc., 151, B621 (2014).
- 46. G. Williams, N. Birbilis, and N. McMurray, Faraday Disscuss., in press (2015).
- 47. Z. Ha and C. K. Chan, J. Geoph. Res., 99, 18801 (1994).
- H. D. Lutz, S. M. El-Suradi, and B. Engelen, Z. Naturforsch. B, 32, 1230 (1977).
- 49. L. Yang, Y. Wei, L. Hou, and D. Zhang, Corros. Sci., 52, 345 (2010).
- 50. J. E. Svensson and L.-G. Johansson, Proceedings of the 12th International Corrosion Congress, Houston, USA, (1993): p. 662.
- 51. H. Strandberg and L. G. Johanson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 14, 2334 (1997).
- 52. D. Bluecher, J. E. Svensson, and L. G. Johansson, J. Electrochem. Soc., 152, B397
- (2005). 53. N. Thomas, N. V. Medhekar, G. S. Frankel, and N. Birbilis, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci., In press (2014).
- 54. G. S. Frankel, A. Samaniego, and N. Birbilis, Corros. Sci., 70, 104 (2013).

- 55. P. B. P. Phipps and D. W. Rice, ACS Symp. Ser., 89, 235 (1979).
- 56. N. Cabrera and N. F. Mott, *Rep. Progr. Phys.*, **12**, 163 (1948).
- 57. R. Lindström, L. G. Johansson, G. E. Thompson, P. Skeldon, and J. E. Svensson, Corros, Sci., 46, 1141 (2004).
 Q. Qu, C. Yan, Y. Wan, and C. Cao, Corros. Sci., 44, 2789 (2002).
- 59. M. Esmaily, M. Ström, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, and L. G. Johansson, CORROSION, in press (2015).
- 60. J. H. Nordlien, K. Nisancioglu, S. Ono, and N. Masuko, J. Electrochem. Soc., 144, 461 (1997).
- 61. M. J. N. Pourbaix, Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibriums in Aqueous Solutions. 2nd ed, (1974).
- 62. M. Esmaily, N. Mortazavi, J. E. Svensson, M. Halvarsson, D. B. Blücher, A. E. W. Jarfors, M. Wessén, and L. G. Johansson, submitted to J. Electrochem. Soc. (2015).