

A GapWaveguide-Fed Wideband Patch Antenna Array for 60-GHz Applications

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-06-18 03:22 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Zarifi, D., Farahbakhsh, A., Uz Zaman, A. (2017). A GapWaveguide-Fed Wideband Patch Antenna Array for 60-GHz Applications. IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, 65(9): 4875-4879. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tap.2017.2722866

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

© 2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

A Gap Waveguide-Fed Wideband Patch Antenna Array for 60-GHz Applications

Davoud Zarifi, Ali Farahbakhsh and Ashraf Uz Zaman

Abstract—This communication presents a wideband aperturecoupled patch antenna array based on Ridge Gap Waveguide (RGW) feed layer for 60-GHz applications. The novelty of this antenna lies in the combination of relatively new gap waveguide technology along with conventional patch antenna arrays allowing to achieve a wideband patch antenna array with high gain and high radiation efficiency. An 8×8-element array antenna is designed, fabricated and tested. Experimental results show that the bandwidth of VSWR <2.0 is up to 15.5% (57.5–67.2 GHz). More than 75% efficiency and higher than 21.5 dBi gain are achieved over the operational bandwidth. The results are valuable for the design and evaluation of wideband planar antenna arrays at millimeter-wave frequencies.

Index Terms—Patch antenna, planar arrays, gap waveguide technology.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been an increase in the development of millimeter-wave wireless communication systems at 60 GHz band due to the demand of high-data-rate short-range wireless communication [1]. The main challenge of the 60 GHz band is very high radio wave absorption caused by the resonance of oxygen molecules. One candidate as a solving method is using high gain antennas with high radiation efficiency.

Development of high-gain wideband millimeter-wave antenna arrays with high radiation efficiency has attracted increasing attention in the recent years. Different planar antenna arrays, such as microstrip and substrate-integrated waveguide (SIW) arrays and slot antenna arrays are the two main technologies for millimeter-wave applications [2-6]. The low efficiency of the large microstrip and SIW antenna arrays brings a lot of restrictions to their practical millimeter wave applications. One major aspect restricting the achievable gain of these antenna arrays is the losses in feeding networks. In fact, realizing a high-gain array antenna in the millimeterwave band requires a low-loss feeding network. As common candidates, corporate-feed waveguide slot arrays have been used to achieve high gain and efficiency. At high frequencies, these antennas require accurate, high precision and expensive manufacturing [7]. Recently, the gap waveguide technology has been introduced and used in [8-10]. In this technology, the waveguide can be realized without any requirement of metal contact between the upper metal surface and the lower surface. This simplifies the mechanical assembly of the designed antennas and hence reduces the production cost for the antennas. To date, some wideband high gain and efficiency

array antennas have been realized based on gap waveguide technology in different frequency ranges [11-17]. Also, the gap technology can be used for RF packaging which makes it possible to integrate the RF electronics with the gap waveguide antennas [18-19].

In this communication, aperture-coupled microstrip antenna arrays fed by ridge gap waveguide (RGW) feed networks are investigated at 60-GHz band. An Array of 64 radiating elements is designed and simulated. The main advantage compared to other reported gap waveguide based planar arrays is that this structure can keep a two layer planar profile compared with three layers slot arrays included feed network, cavity layer and radiating slots layer [12, 13]. Also, the dimensions of the proposed 2×2-element sub-array are 4.9 mm $(0.98\lambda_0) \times 4.9$ mm $(0.98\lambda_0)$ which are smaller than 8.8 mm $(1.76 \lambda_0) \times 8.8$ mm $(1.76\lambda_0)$ [13] allowing limited range of scanning if needed. The simulation and measurement results show that the proposed array has high gain and efficiency for 60 GHz applications. The metal feed network can be easily manufactured by Computer Numerical Control (CNC) milling, moulding or by electric discharge machining.

The communication is organized as follows. Section II deals with the design of 2×2 -element sub-array, feeding network, 8×8 -element antenna array and the transition from RGW to WR-15. The performances of the antenna array are discussed in Section III and the simulation and measurement results are presented. Finally, Section IV provides a conclusion.

II. ANTENNA DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

This section describes the design procedure and the simulation results of the antenna sub-array and complete array. The simulations are performed using the full-wave electromagnetic simulator CST MWS.

A. 2×2-Element Sub-array

The configuration of 2×2-element sub-array is depicted in Fig. 1. It consists of a dielectric layer, a patch layer and a RGW feed layer. Patches are metallic rectangles of 1.6 mm × 1.25 mm on a Duroid 6002 substrate ($\varepsilon_r = 2.94$, loss tangent = 0.0012 and thickness = 0.254 mm.). Two copper layers are on the opposite sides of the substrate with the thickness of the metal layers 18µm. The center to center spacing between adjacent patch elements is 2.45 mm (0.49 λ_0 at 60 GHz) in both x and y directions. Thus, the problems associated with grating lobes will be much smaller than for the other gap waveguide slot array antennas [12, 13].

The microstrip patch is a narrow band resonant structure. There are many available techniques to enhance the bandwidth of microstrip patch antennas. Here, to expand the bandwidth, a coupling slot is used to feed center feed line of patches. Aperture coupled feed provides a greater radiation pattern symmetry and greater ease of design for larger impedance band width owing to a large number of design parameters. A wideband 2×2 patch antenna with SIW based aperture coupled feed has been proposed in [20] for W-band applications from 91-97 GHz (6.4% bandwidth). In our case, we use the topology of microstrip T-junctions to divide power with microstrip T-junctions to divide power with wideband characteristic. Thus a wider range of impedance matching is

D. Zarifi is with the School of Electrical Engineering, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran. A. Farahbakhsh is with the School of Engineering, Graduate University of Advanced Technology, Kerman, Iran. A. U. Zaman is with the Department of Signals and Systems, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden (e-mail:zarifi@kashanu.ac.ir; a_farahbakhsh@iust.ac.ir; zaman@chalmers.se)




Fig. 1. 2×2-element sub-array. (a) Exploded view. (b) Side view.




Fig. 2. Simulated reflection coefficient of proposed 2×2-element sub-array

achieved by proper design of the length and width of the aperture and width of center line feed.

The lower layer contains some metal pins and a ridge forming a RGW distribution network. Periodic metal pins are planted on both sides of the ridge to produce desired stop-band characteristics and to prevent wave propagation in unwanted directions. Based on [12, 13], the dimensions of pins are chosen to achieve a cut-off bandwidth from 40 to 100 GHz. As shown in Fig. 1(b) there is a small air gap between the top surface of pins and conducting plane so there is no requirement for electrical contact between them. The RGW feed structure excites the coupling slot etched in the ground plane of the substrate. By optimizing the dimensions of coupling slots and microstrip feed lines, four patches can be excited with same amplitude and phase [20]. This is performed by using optimization in CST to achieve acceptable matching and radiation properties. Notice that four radiating patches have the same phases and amplitudes of the E-field, which shows the sub-array has a maximum in the broadside.

Fig. 3.Perspective view of 8×8-element patch antenna array.

The designed sub-array has $4.9 \times 4.9 \text{ mm}^2$ dimensions in *x*and *y* directions. The mutual coupling between sub-arrays is automatically included by using the infinite array approach. As shown in Fig. 2, the simulated reflection coefficient of the sub-array shows the bandwidth of 56.5-66 GHz (15.5%)for |S11| <-10 dB.

For high gain applications, an array with 8×8 -patch antenna array is designed as shown in Fig. 3.The corporate feed network is realized by interconnecting T-junction power dividers. In lower layer, a RGW power divider is designed to feed upper 64 radiating patches uniformly by 16 rectangular coupling slots. The feeding network is based on the quarterwave impedance transformers and matched T-junctions. The detailed design of different power dividers based on RGW technology has been discussed in [13].

For the purpose of measurement, a wideband and compact transition between WR-15 and RWG is designed, as shown in Fig. 3. Observe that at the end of the ridges, two steps are used, which makes the mode of RGW transform to the TE_{10} mode of rectangular waveguide. The transition is designed and optimized for minimum reflection and insertion loss in the operating frequency band.

Notice that due to the differential outputs provided by the transition in two ridges, left hand side and right side of the feed layer are mirrored. The input power to rectangular waveguide excites the antenna by the designed transition and then flows through a RGW 16-way power divider. For achieving the desired matching, all the parameters of the transition, power divider and microstrip structure are optimized. The detailed optimal dimensions of the proposed antenna array are given in Table I.

TABLE I. DESIGN PARAMETERS OF 8×8-ELEMENT ARRAY

Length1.6Width1.25Center to center space2.45Radiating PatchesWidth of side feed lines0.3Width of center feed line0.10.1Larger Length of T- Junction0.35Smaller Length of T- Junction0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight1.1T-JunctionsLarger Length3Smaller Length0.3Quarter-waveLength0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.88RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8TransitionHeight of Step0.14	Component	Parameter	Value (mm)	
Center to center space2.45Radiating PatchesWidth of side feed lines0.3Width of center feed line0.1Larger Length of T- Junction0.35Smaller Length of T- Junction0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight1.1T-JunctionsLarger Length3Quarter-waveLength0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.05Coupling SlotsLength2RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Length	1.6	
Radiating PatchesWidth of side feed lines Width of center feed line Larger Length of T- Junction Smaller Length of T- Junction0.3 0.35 0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight Width1.1 0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length Smaller Length3 0.3Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight 0.050.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Width	1.25	
Width of center feed line0.1Larger Length of T- Junction0.35Smaller Length of T- Junction0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight1.1Width0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length3Quarter-waveLength0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.80.8		Center to center space	2.45	
Larger Length of T- Junction Smaller Length of T- Junction0.35 0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight Width1.1 0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length Smaller Length3 0.3Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight Width0.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	Radiating Patches	Width of side feed lines	0.3	
Smaller Length of T- Junction0.06PinsDimensions0.4×0.4×1.3RidgeHeight Width1.1 0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length Smaller Length3 0.3Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight Width0.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Width of center feed line	0.1	
PinsDimensions $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 1.3$ RidgeHeight Width1.1 0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length Smaller Length3 0.3Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Larger Length of T- Junction	0.35	
RidgeHeight Width1.1 0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length Smaller Length3 0.3Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Smaller Length of T- Junction	0.06	
RidgeWidth0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length3Smaller Length0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	Pins	Dimensions	0.4×0.4×1.3	
RidgeWidth0.4T-JunctionsLarger Length3Smaller Length0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	Ridge	Height	11	
T-JunctionsEnglish0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.80.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8				
T-JunctionsEnglity0.3Quarter-waveLength0.65TransformersWidth0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Larger Length	3	
Quarter-wave TransformersLength Width0.65 0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength Width2 0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	T-Junctions			
TransformersWidth0.93Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		-		
Air Gapheight0.05Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8			0.00	
Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	Transformers	Width	0.93	
Coupling SlotsLength2Width0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8				
Coupling SlotsWidth0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8	Air Gap	height	0.05	
Coupling SlotsWidth0.8RGW to WR-15Length of Step0.8		Length	2	
RGW to WR-15 Length of Step 0.8	Coupling Slots	e	=	
			0.0	
Transition Height of Step 0.14	RGW to WR-15	8		
	Transition	Height of Step	0.14	

III. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the simulated results, a prototype of the designed patch antenna array was fabricated by standard CNC milling techniques, which is exhibited in Fig. 4.To verify the antenna operation experimentally, the antenna was fed by a standard rectangular waveguide. Measurements on S_{11} , gain, and radiation patterns were performed by a millimeter-wave band vector Network Analyzer in an outdoor test range measurement system.

The simulated and measured input reflection coefficients of the antenna array are shown in Fig. 5. The measured bandwidth for |S11| < -10 dB is 15.5% from 57.5 to 67.2 GHz. The differences between the measured and the simulated results are because of the tolerances of manufacturing and assembling tolerances.

Fig. 6 shows the frequency behavior of the simulated directivity and measured gain, as well as the aperture efficiency. However, there is less than 1 dB difference between the measured gain and simulated directivity results. This discrepancy results partially from deviation of the dielectric and metallic losses in simulation and the measurement setup and also the tolerance of the fabricated antenna. Still the total radiation efficiency of the antenna array is higher than 75% over the operating frequency band. The difference between simulated and measured values 60-61 GHz is due to measurement uncertainty which is considered to be ± 0.25 dB or approximately 0.5dB using standard gain horn in out measurement chamber.

Fig. 7 shows the simulated and measured normalized radiation patterns at 58 and 62 and 67 GHz in both E- and Hplanes. The main reason of the small difference is measurement uncertainty. The measured radiation patterns




Fig. 4. Photograph of fabricated antenna. The structure has dimension of $28 \times 28 \times 7 \text{ mm}^3$.




Fig. 5.Simulated and measured |S11| of 8×8-element patch antenna array.




Fig. 6. Simulated directivity and measured gain of 8×8-element array antenna and 100%, 90%, 80% and 70% efficiency lines.

with 3-dB beamwidth at 62 GHz are around 13° and 15° in Eand H-planes, respectively. Also, the measured maximum sidelobe level at 62 GHz is -13.5 dB and the front-to-back ratio is better than 25 dB. In addition, the measured crosspolarization level of the antenna is detected as -28 dB at the boresight.

In Table II, we compare our work with different published 60-GHz antenna arrays and we summarize the findings in terms of bandwidth, realized gain and efficiency (gain/ $D_{uniform}$). Observe that the efficiency of our RGW fed patch antenna array is higher than those of the proposed arrays in [2-




Fig. 7. Simulated and measured radiation patterns of the 8×8-element array. (a) 58 GHz, (b) 62 GHz, (c) 67 GHz.

5]. This is mainly due to the absence of the dielectric loss in the feeding network of antenna array. In addition, the proposed antenna array can keep a two layer planar profile compared with other three layers RGW slot arrays [12, 13].

TABLE II.COMPARISON BETWEEN PROPOSED AND REPORTED 60-GHZ PLANAR ANTENNA ARRAYS

	Our work	[12]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
Aperture Size (mm ²)	19.6×19.6	32×32	20×15	35×34	47×31	35×31
Number of Elements	64	256	16	64	64	64
Frequency (GHz)	57.5-67.2	56.2-65	55-68	56.3-65.7	54.7-65.1	57.5-67
Bandwidth(%) <u>S11 <-10 dB</u>	15.5	14	21	15.4	17	14.1
Gain (dBi)	21.5-23	24.7-26	12.8-15.6	21.2-24.2	20-22.1	< 26
Efficiency (%)	75-87	60-80	58-70	40-42	44.5	60-68.5
Technology	Gap Waveguide	Gap Waveguide	Microstrip	SIW- LTCC	SIW- LTCC	SIW
Number of Layers	2	3	1	2	3	3

IV. CONCLUSIONS

An 8×8-element patch array antenna fed by RGW was proposed in this communication. The simulation results have been verified by measuring a fabricated prototype of the proposed antenna array. Experimental results showed satisfactory agreement with the simulation. At the operation frequency (57.5-67.2 GHz), the fabricated prototype has an impedance bandwidth of 15.5% for a reflection coefficient lower than -10 dB, a gain of higher than 21.5 dBi, and a sidelobe level below -13 dB in both E- and H-planes. The proposed antenna array fed by RGW could be utilized in a wide range of applications where microstrip antennas are usually used.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge late Prof. Per-Simon Kildal from Chalmers University, Sweden for his sincere support during this work. He passed away unexpectedly before this paper could be submitted for review. Many of the ideas and concepts presented in this paper have been stimulated after several meetings and discussion with him.

The work has been supported by the European Research Council (ERC) via an advanced investigator grant ERC-2012-ADG 20120216, and by the VINNOVA smart electronics program with the project grant 2015-01387.

REFERENCES

- P. Smulders, "Exploiting the 60 GHz band for local wireless multimedia access: Prospects and future directions," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 140–147, Jan. 2002.
- [2] W. Yang, K. Ma, K. S. Yeo, and W. M. Lim, "A Compact High-Performance Patch Antenna Array for 60-GHz Applications," *IEEE*

Antennas and Wireless Propagation Lett., vol. 15, pp. 313-316, Feb. 2016.

- [3] W. Liu, Z. N. Chen, and X. Qing, "60-GHz thin broadband high-gain LTCC metamaterial-mushroom antenna array," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 4592–4601, Sep. 2014.
- [4] J. F. Xu, Z. N. Chen, X. M. Qing, and W. Hong, "Bandwidth enhancement for a 60 GHz substrate integrated waveguide fed cavity array antenna on LTCC," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Progag.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 826–832, Mar.2011.
- [5] J. Wu, Y. J. Cheng, Y. Fan, "60-GHz substrate integrated waveguide fed cavity-backed aperture-coupled microstrip patch antenna array," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 1075-1085, March 2015.
- [6] D. Kim, M. Zhang, J. Hirokawa, andM. Ando, "Design and fabrication of a dual-polarization waveguide slot array antenna with high isolation and high antenna efficiency for the 60 GHz band," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 3019-3027, June 2014.
- [7] Y. Miura, J. Hirokawa, M. Ando, Y. Shibuya, and G. Yoshida, "Doublelayer full-corporate-feed hollow-waveguide slot array antenna in the 60 GHz-band," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 2844– 2851, Aug. 2011.
- [8] P.-S. Kildal, E. Alfonso, A. Valero-Nogueira, and E. Rajo-Iglesias, "Local metamaterial-based waveguides in gaps between parallel metal plates," *IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett.*, vol. 8, pp. 84–87, 2009.
- [9] P.-S. Kildal, "Three metamaterial-based gap waveguides between parallel metal plates for mm/submm waves," in *Proc. 3rd Eur. Conf. Antennas Propag.*, Berlin, Mar. 2009.
- [10] P.-S. Kildal, A. U. Zaman, E. Rajo-Iglesias, E. Alfonso, and A. Valero-Nogueira, "Design and experimental verification of ridge gap waveguides in bed of nails for parallel plate mode suppression," *IETMicrow., Antennas Propag.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 262–270, Mar. 2011.
- [11] A. Razavi, P.-S. Kildal, X. Liangliang, E. Alfonso, and H. Chen, "2x2slot Element for 60GHz Planar Array Antenna Realized on Two Doubled-sided PCBs Using SIW Cavity and EBG-type Soft Surface fed by Microstrip-Ridge Gap Waveguide," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 9, pp. 4564-4573, Sep. 2014.

- [12] A. Vosoogh and P. S. Kildal, "Corporate-Fed Planar 60 GHz Slot Array Made of Three Unconnected Metal Layers Using AMC pin surface for the Gap Waveguide," *IEEE Antenna Wireless Propagation Letter.*,vol. 15, pp. 1935-1938, 2016.
- [13] D. Zarifi, A. Farahbakhsh, A. U. Zaman and P. S. Kildal, "Design and Fabrication of A High-Gain 60 GHz Corrugated Slot Antenna Array with Ridge Gap Waveguide Distribution Layer," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 2905-2913, July 2016.
- [14] A. U. Zaman and P. S. Kildal, "Wide-Band Slot Antenna Arrays With Single-Layer Corporate-Feed Network in Ridge Gap Waveguide Technology," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2992-3001, June 2014.
- [15] B. Cao, H. Wang and Y. Huang, "W-Band High-Gain TE₂₂₀-Mode Slot Antenna Array With Gap Waveguide Feeding Network," *IEEE Antennas* and Wireless Propagation Letters, vol. 15, no., pp. 988-991, 2016.
- [16] M. Al Sharkawy and A. A. Kishk, "Wideband Beam-Scanning Circularly Polarized Inclined Slots Using Ridge Gap Waveguide," in *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, vol. 13, no., pp. 1187-1190, 2014.
- [17] A. Jiménez Sáez, A. Valero-Nogueira, J. I. Herranz and B. Bernardo, "Single-Layer Cavity-Backed Slot Array Fed by Groove Gap Waveguide," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters*, vol. 15, no., pp. 1402-1405, 2016.
- [18] Zhang, X. Zhang, D. Shen and K. Wu, "Gap Waveguide PMC Packaging for a SIW-GCPW-Based Filter," *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 159-161, March 2016.
- [19] A. U. Zaman, V. Vassilev, P. S. Kildal and H. Zirath, "Millimeter Wave E-Plane Transition From Waveguide to Microstrip Line With Large Substrate Size Related to MMIC Integration," *IEEE Microwave and Wireless Components Letters*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 481-483, July 2016.
- [20] Y. J. Cheng, Y. X. Guo, and Z. Q. Liu, "W-Band large-scale high-gain planar integrated antenna array," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 3370–3373, Jun. 2014.