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Abstract  
Production of biomass derived fuels such as renewable diesel, are primarily intended to reduce the reliance of the conventional engines on petroleum fuels as well as the emission of CO₂ from fossil hydrocarbons. Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) is a powerful technique that has been regularly used in the fuel upgrading processes. Sulfided molybdenum catalysts, supported on alumina and promoted by cobalt or nickel, are frequently used in the HDO processes. Despite the high efficiency of the HDO, catalyst activity and selectivity have raised major concerns from the economic and technological perspectives. The aim of this study was to gain a better understanding of the HDO catalyst structure, and then to assess the effect of different pretreatment and operational conditions on the catalyst activity and selectivity.

The effect of preparation and pretreatment conditions on hydrogen uptake capacity and dispersion of the prepared Ni, Co and Mo containing catalysts was evaluated by using several characterization techniques such as BET, ICP-SFMS, SEM, TEM, TPO, ethylamine-TPD, XPS and H₂-chemisorption. The H₂-chemisorption, XPS and SEM results confirmed the detrimental effect of calcination on hydrogen uptake capacity of catalysts. The effect of pH of the impregnating solutions on the dispersion of the metal phases was also assessed from the TEM experiments. Moreover, HDO reactions of oleic acid and abietic acid over a prepared sulfided NiMo catalyst were studied. The results show that addition of DMDS to an oleic acid feed clearly promoted maintenance of the active sulfide phases on the NiMo catalysts. Higher concentration of DMDS also promoted the decarbonylation/decarboxylation (DCOx) route, and more importantly, decreased the amount of carbon deposition on the NiMo catalyst. On the other hand, addition of abietic acid to an oleic acid feed, is shown to decrease the deoxygenation rate of the oleic acid and increase the amount of carbon deposition on the catalyst. The inhibition effect of abietic acid on the HDO of oleic acid was related to stronger adsorption of the bulkier abietic acid molecules on the active sites compared to oleic acid that may have sterically hindered adsorption of oleic acid on neighboring sites.

Furthermore, the poisoning effect of iron on the HDO of oleic acid over sulfided NiMo and Mo catalysts was investigated. It is shown that addition of iron to an oleic acid feed decreased the oxygenate conversion activity of both catalysts and changed their selectivities towards the final products. TEM results of the poisoned spent NiMo catalyst revealed that iron was mainly deposited on and in the vicinity of the Ni particles. This may also indicate that iron has reacted with Ni phase and as a result modified the catalyst activity. Finally, hydroconversion of rosin acids over supported NiMoS catalysts on alumina, USY-zeolite and mixed alumina/USY-zeolite was investigated. Various catalyst properties such as dispersion of the NiMo phases and Brønsted acidity affected the selectivity for the products. The results also indicate that the Brønsted acidity of the support could be optimized by the USY-zeolite content of the catalyst to achieve a satisfactory level of deoxygenation, ring opening and cracking of the rosin acid while avoiding excessive coke formation.
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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Active carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET</td>
<td>Brunauer Emmett Teller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJH</td>
<td>Barret Joyner Halenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSTFA</td>
<td>Bis trimethylsilyl trifluoroacetamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>Cetane number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO</td>
<td>Crude tall oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUS</td>
<td>Coordinatively unsaturated sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFT</td>
<td>Density functional theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMDS</td>
<td>Dimethyl disulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSC</td>
<td>Differential Scanning Calorimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTO</td>
<td>Distilled tall oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Decarbonylation/Decarboxylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>Deoxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EELS</td>
<td>Electron energy loss spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDX</td>
<td>Energy dispersive x-ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAME</td>
<td>Fatty acid methyl ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Fatty acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFA</td>
<td>Free fatty acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gas chromatograph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEFA</td>
<td>Hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAADF</td>
<td>High angle annular dark field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDO</td>
<td>Hydrodeoxygenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDM</td>
<td>Hydrodemetalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDN</td>
<td>Hydrodenitrogenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDS</td>
<td>Hydrodesulfurization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;-TPR</td>
<td>Hydrogen temperature programmed reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYD</td>
<td>Hydrogenation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>Internal combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP-SFMS</td>
<td>Inductively coupled plasma and sector field mass spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFFT</td>
<td>Inverse fast Fourier transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGO</td>
<td>Light gas oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCO</td>
<td>Light cycle oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFC</td>
<td>Mass flow controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RME</td>
<td>Rapeseed methyl ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Secondary electron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEM</td>
<td>Scanning transmission electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning tunneling microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOFA</td>
<td>Tall oil fatty acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Tall oil rosin acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>Temperature programmed oxidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XPS</td>
<td>X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEDS</td>
<td>X-ray energy dispersive spectrometry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1.1 The development in sustainable biofuel production

The exploitation of cheaply available fossil based fuels, used in the production of fuel and energy, started in twentieth century 1. Ever since, the fossil fuel demand of combustion engines, which are the most important sources of mobility in the world, is rapidly rising as a result of population and economic growth 2. The world consumption of non-renewable petroleum fuels is estimated to grow from 80 million barrels per day in 2015 to 90 million barrels per day in 2040 by the U.S. Energy Information Administration’s (EIA’s) International Energy Outlook 2017 3. Moreover, fossil fuel usage is the primary source of the world carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission which is a greenhouse gas 4. There are serious concerns over long term climate change and global warming due to increasing consumption of fossil fuels. Moreover, considering ecological and environmental aspects, burning fossil fuels are not a sustainable way of producing energy 5. Thus, producing renewable fuels compatible with the existing petrochemical infrastructure has been the prime interest of many academic and industrial studies.

It is generally accepted that producing biofuel from renewable sources such as biomass can reduce the reliance on fossil fuels as well as the emission of CO₂. The produced CO₂ from biofuels is recycled in the earth’s biosphere therefore biofuels emissions are considered carbon neutral 1, 6, 7. Biomass is becoming increasingly important globally as a clean alternative source of energy to fossil resources 1. Biomass is a concept used for all organic matter derived from living or recently living organisms such as plants and animals. Biomass resources include wood and wood wastes, agricultural crops and their waste by-products, animal wastes, wastes from food processing, aquatic plants and algae 8, 9.

The first generation biofuels that have been used as transportation fuels are bioethanol and fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) diesel. Bioethanol and bioethanol/gasoline blends have a long history as alternative transportation fuels. They have been used in Germany and France as early as 1894 due to the emerging use of internal combustion (IC) engines 10. Bioethanol can literally be produced from any organic matter which contains high sugar and starch contents such as sugarcane and corn 11, 12. However, the sustainability of long term production of first generation biofuels is under serious reviews due to their direct competition with land and water used in food production 1.
FAME diesel is a mixture of saturated and unsaturated mono-alkyl esters of long chain fatty acids which is predominantly produced via transesterification of triglyceride, as the main component in vegetable oils and animal fats, by methanol. Rapeseed methyl ester (RME) is one of the most common FAMEs. However, compared to conventional petroleum diesel, FAME diesel is very unstable due to its high oxygen content, and thus, it is only blended with conventional petroleum diesel in an attempt to produce a partly sustainable fuel. For example, a fuel coded as B20 which is a blend of 20% FAME diesel with conventional diesel has been introduced in the US. A major disadvantage with FAME however is that it may precipitate at low temperatures (in colder climates) if over 2-5% of it is blended with conventional petroleum diesel. Moreover, European fuel regulation (EN 590) only allows 7% FAME blended in diesel. Thus, attention has shifted towards the second and third generation biofuels that are produced from non-edible agricultural, forest and lignocellulosic feedstocks and Algae.

Tall oil is a by-product from the Kraft cooking process in the pulp and paper industry which is used as a feedstock for the production of second generation biofuels. Tall oil is a nonedible bio-oil source, derived from renewable woody biomass that is typically cultivated on non-arable land. Moreover, in countries with a high capacity for Kraft pulping such as Sweden, Finland, United States, Canada, Russia and China, tall oil can be produced on a cost competitive basis compared to other bio-oil sources like vegetable oil. Crude tall oil (CTO) is a mixture of free fatty acids (FFA, 38-55 wt%), rosin acids (RA, 35-53 wt%) and unsaponified compounds (neutrals, 7-18 wt%) and is produced via acidification of tall oil soap by sulfuric acid.

FFAs and FAME can be hydroprocessed by hydrogen via catalytic hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) to produce highly paraffinic diesel like hydrocarbons. Recently, a lot of attention has been paid to a new type of renewable liquid fuel called hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids (HEFA). HEFA fuels are produced from renewable feedstocks such as vegetable or animal waste oils and tall oil, and they are also known as drop-in fuels due to their similarity to fossil derived fuels. HEFA biofuels have been recognized as the most common biofuels produced with a capacity of more than one billion gallons in 2014. During an HDO process, the oxygen in the triglycerides, FFAs and esters of biofeeds is removed by hydrogen in the form of CO, CO2 and water. NEXBTL™, UOP/Eni Ecofining™, Vegan™ and Hydroflex™ are examples of commercial HDO processes that produce HEFA fuels.

The conventional metal sulfide (NiMo and CoMo) catalysts supported on alumina are the most common catalysts used in industrial HDO processes. But, noble metals such as platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), rhodium (Rh), ruthenium (Ru) or base metals nickel (Ni), cobalt (Co), molybdenum (Mo) and tungsten (W), supported on alumina, silica, zeolites and activated carbons have also been demonstrated as HDO catalysts in research and laboratory studies.

There has been growing interest in HDO process optimization to justify the economic and technical aspects of using tall oil as the feedstock for production of biofuels and other chemicals. Catalytic HDO process is a relatively new and complex process. Many
different reactions such as hydrodecarboxylation, hydrodecarbonylation, hydrogenation, hydrodeoxygenation, isomerization and cracking are involved in the HDO process which can affect the properties of the produced biofuels. These reactions and their mechanisms are critical and must be well understood. Moreover, the activity of HDO catalysts can be affected by many critical factors such as the catalyst support, chemical composition, catalyst preparation, catalyst pretreatment steps, hydrogen uptake capacity and deactivation mechanisms.

1.2 Objectives

The main objective of this thesis is to deepen the understanding of catalyst deactivation due to loss of sulfidity, iron poisoning and coke formation during HDO of tall oil. To realize this, alumina and zeolite supported Ni, Co and Mo containing catalysts were prepared and the effect of the preparation conditions such as calcination on the hydrogen uptake capacity and textural properties of these catalysts has been studied. Then, the activity of an alumina supported metal sulfide (NiMo) catalyst towards production of the final deoxygenated products in an HDO reaction of tall oil fatty acid was investigated.

The goal was to study the effects of several process parameters such as temperature, pressure, feed concentration, iron (Fe) as a poison and dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) on fatty acid HDO activity. Additionally, the objective was to investigate the effect of rosin acid on hydrodeoxygenation of fatty acid. Oleic acid and abietic acid were used as model compounds for fatty acid and rosin acid respectively in tall oil. Several characterization techniques such as SEM, TEM, XPS, TPO, TPD, TPR, elemental microanalysis and hydrogen chemisorption were used.

Finally, the possibility of converting rosin acid into fuel components and other valuable products via a hydroconversion reaction over supported NiMoS catalysts was investigated.
2.1 Catalytic hydrotreating process

The first catalytic hydroprocessing, known as the Sabatier process, was performed by the French chemist Paul Sabatier in 1897. He discovered that the introduction of a trace of nickel catalyst facilitated the reaction of hydrogen with gaseous hydrocarbons. Catalytic hydrotreating processes have long been used as a powerful technique in many fuel production industries such as petroleum refining and coal liquefaction processes.

The conventional hydrotreating process consists of several reactions such as hydrodesulfurization (HDS), hydrodenitrogenation (HDN), hydrodemetalation (HDM) and hydrodeoxygenation (HDO). During these reactions, the heteroatom species such as sulfur, nitrogen, metal and oxygen (from coal derived liquid feedstocks) are removed via hydrogenolysis of the reactants C-heteroatom bands by hydrogen. Examples of typical reactants found in petroleum are presented in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Typical petroleum reactants containing sulfur, nitrogen and oxygen heteroatoms](image)

Industrial hydrotreating processes have been performed as three phase reactions (hydrogen gas, liquid feedstock and solid catalysts), in various multiphase catalytic packed-bed reactors such as trickle-bed or in ebulminating-bed reactors, at elevated
temperature and pressure. In a multiphase catalytic reaction system where gas, liquid and solid co-exist, physical transport processes such as external and internal diffusions are often more responsible for limiting the rate of the reaction. A simplified schematic of the packed bed reactors for three phase (gas-liquid-solid) reactions is shown in Figure 2.

In such systems, hydrogen gas must first diffuse through the gas-liquid interface, dissolve in the liquid feedstock bulk, and then alongside the liquid reactant diffuse though pores of the catalyst and finally react on the active sites. Thus, a high pressure of hydrogen is always required to facilitate the diffusion of hydrogen through the gas-liquid interface and to increases the solubility of hydrogen in the liquid reactant. Also, HDO reactions are performed at high temperatures since high temperature facilitates an adequate rate of conversion per mass of catalyst or reactor volume.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagrams of three phase reactor systems. (a) Trickle-bed in co-current mode (b) Trickle-bed in counter-current mode (c) Packed bed with bubble flow. Reprinted permission from reference [46].](image)

### 2.1.1 Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) process in renewable fuel production

The HDO process is an important refinery and upgrading treatment that is used to reduce the oxygen contents of both fossil based and bio-based feedstocks. For instance, pyrolysis derived bio oils generally have low energy density and low chemical stability in contrast to fossil derived oils due to their high oxygenate contents (ketones, aldehydes, esters, carboxylic acid and aromatic alcohols), thus, they need to be upgraded via an HDO process. Similarly, FAME diesels (Biodiesel) derived from vegetable oils or animal fats have significantly lower stability compared to petroleum diesel so, they also need to be upgraded via an HDO process. HDO processes of feedstocks such as animal fats, tall oil and vegetable oils have been developed to produce highly paraffinic hydrocarbons in the same boiling temperature range of petroleum diesel. HEFA fuels derived from triglyceride and fatty acid based bio-oils are considered the most common commercial biofuels which are produced via an HDO process.

An HDO process with renewable feedstocks is generally considered to involve a very complex network of different reactions including: cracking, decarbonylation/decarboxylation (DCO/DCO), hydrocracking, hydrogenation (HYD) and...
deoxygenation (DO)\textsuperscript{55, 56}. Examples of these reactions are presented in Figure 3. Amongst these reactions, HDO mainly proceeds through DCO\textsubscript{x} and DO in which CO and CO\textsubscript{2} are formed during decarbonylation and decarboxylation routes, respectively, and water is formed during DO\textsuperscript{33, 51, 57, 58}. Thus, the produced diesel is a mixture of alkanes with an odd and even number of carbon atoms.

In principle, HDO and conventional HDS processes are closely related as hydrogen is used in both HDO and HDS processes to remove oxygen and sulfur respectively. Therefore, HDO can be performed in co-hydrotreatment units where biodiesel and petroleum derived diesel are mixed and co-processed\textsuperscript{54, 59-62}. Moreover, the hydrodeoxygenation route is an exothermic reaction (due to high oxygen content of bio feedstocks) and one practical way to control the exotherm is to co-process bio feedstocks with petroleum derived feedstocks such as light gas oil (LGO) with negligible oxygen content (O/C ≤ 0.03)\textsuperscript{63}. Nonetheless, many studies have indicated that the HDO process could be performed in dedicated stand-alone units when operating with 100% renewable feeds\textsuperscript{64-68}.

Figure 3. Reactions associated with catalytic HDO process\textsuperscript{47}.

Many operating factors such as pressure, temperature, catalyst, type of feedstock, feed velocity and the ratio of hydrogen to oil (H/O) can affect the HDO reaction rate. For instance, in continuous operations, depending on the liquid velocity, the reaction rate can be limited by the mass transfer and the reaction kinetics. At lower velocities, when the thickness of the hydrodynamic boundary layer around the catalysts particles are larger, the rate is limited by the transport and at higher velocities, when the thickness of the boundary layer is decreased, the rate is limited by the reaction kinetics\textsuperscript{47}. Also, in patent and open literature a high pressure ranging from 10 to 300 bar has been reported to facilitate the solubility of hydrogen in the liquid feeds\textsuperscript{47, 67, 69-71}. Furthermore, due to the exothermic
nature of HDO reactions, it has been proposed that the operating temperature should be decided based on the kinetic characteristics \(^{47}\). Still, a temperature range from 250 to 450 °C has been reported to be carried out as operating conditions in HDO processes \(^{47, 72}\).

Tall oil, in contrast to other bio feed stocks like vegetable oils, is considered as one of the most cost competitive commercial feedstock for biodiesel production \(^{26, 73}\). Tall oil is an American phrase adapted from the Swedish word “tallolja” (pine oil) which is a by-product from the alkaline pulping process (Kraft pulping). In a Kraft process, fatty acids and rosin acids in wood are converted to their sodium salts and then separated from the black liquor (spent cooking liquor) in form of a soapy material \(^{74, 75}\). The extracted tall oil soap, however, is reported to have low calorific value (half of fuel oil), therefore, it needs to be upgraded \(^{76}\). The upgrading is done via acidification (by sulfuric acid) and crude tall oil (CTO) is produced \(^{73, 75, 76}\). CTO is a mixture of free fatty acids (FFA), resin acids (RA) and unsaponifiables compounds (neutrals) \(^{27, 29}\). Current global production of CTO is estimated at 2 million metric tons annually \(^{25, 77}\). Nevertheless, the exact composition of a CTO is subject to the wood species and pulping process from which it is derived. Regional composition of CTO is exemplified in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Regional composition of CTO \(^{75}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (wt%)</th>
<th>South-eastern USA</th>
<th>Northern USA and Canada</th>
<th>Scandinavia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosin Acids</td>
<td>35-45</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acids</td>
<td>45-55</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>50-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsaponifiables</td>
<td>7-10</td>
<td>12-18</td>
<td>18-24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FFA portion of tall oil are long chain monocarboxylic acids, mainly C\(_{18}\), with saturated or unsaturated aliphatic chains such as oleic acid, stearic acid, linoleic acid and palmitic acid \(^{73}\). On the contrary, rosin acids have very different molecular structures containing a tri-ring structure, conjugated double bounds and a carboxylic group with a general formula C\(_{20}\)H\(_{30}\)O\(_2\) \(^{75, 78}\). Abietic acid and dehydroabietic acid are the main components of tall oil rosin acids. Phytosterols, containing both sterol and stanol molecules, fatty and wax alcohols (long chain alcohols (C\(_{22}\) and C\(_{24}\)) and terpenes are the major components of CTO unsaponifiables (neutrals) compounds \(^{73, 75}\). Figure 4 presents the major FFAs, RAs and neutral compounds in CTO.
CTO is often vacuum distilled and depending on the distillation process, a head fraction, tall oil fatty acid (TOFA), distilled tall oil (DTO) and tall oil rosin acid (TOR), and a pitch fraction are produced and commercially available\(^{76,79-81}\). Amongst the CTO upgraded fractions, the TOFA and DTO, containing high portions of FFAs (≥ 70 wt%), are generally considered as the most desirable fractions for biodiesel production\(^{28,82,83}\).

### 2.2 HDO catalysts

HDO catalysts are reported to be mostly heterogeneous catalysts containing noble and base metal nanoparticles such as platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), ruthenium (Ru), rhodium (Rh), nickel (Ni), cobalt (Co), molybdenum (Mo) and tungsten (W), dispersed onto acidic and basic supports such as alumina (Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)), active carbon (AC), magnesium oxide (MgO), silica (SiO\(_2\)), zirconia (ZrO\(_2\)), titania (TiO\(_2\)) and zeolite\(^{36,56,84-93}\). Noble metals such as Pt and Pd have generally shown to have high activity for HDO of fatty acids and their esters, but their high cost has restricted their industrial HDO uses and they are limited to laboratory evaluations only\(^{94}\). Compared to noble metals, the low cost base metals such as Ni are considered as good alternatives. Though, the supported Ni catalysts are reactive for other undesirable reactions such as hydrogenolysis of C-C and methanation that decrease the product yields\(^{95}\). It has however been suggested that the structure of Ni can be modified by addition of a second metal with lower reactivity such as Mo and Fe and those undesirable reactions can be avoided to a great extent\(^{96}\). So far, the conventional bimetallic HDS sulfided catalysts such as NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CoMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) have been the most commonly used catalysts for the commercial HDO processes\(^{61,97}\).

Catalyst supports can have major impact on the activity and selectivity of the catalysts by varying the dispersion of the active phase and imposing metal-support interactions\(^{98-100}\). Gamma alumina (γ-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)) has been exclusively used as a support for the
commercial HDO catalysts due its excellent properties such as strong textural and mechanical properties, high surface area, high packing density and its low cost \(^8\text{9, 101, 102}\). However, alumina is not inert and it reacts with the supported active metals, to change their chemical structures and hampers their activities \(^9\text{8, 103, 104}\). Alumina is also reported to become unstable in the presence of large amount of water that can be formed during a large scale HDO of highly oxygenated feeds (pyrolysis oils) \(^7\text{2, 105}\). Also, when operating at higher temperatures which e.g. is the case for deoxygenation of phenolic type molecules in pyrolysis oils, alumina is susceptible to be clogged by carbonaceous compounds (coking) that are mainly adsorbed on the existing acid sites on the alumina surface (The concept of coking will be fully described in section (2.3)) \(^3\text{4, 43, 62, 105, 106}\). Moreover, to meet the new fuel specifications to comply with the tougher upcoming environmental regulations, the activity, selectivity and stability of the commercial hydrotreatment catalysts must be improved.

Compared to alumina, high surface area carbon materials (~ 1000 m\(^2\)/g) are inert and they are more water tolerant \(^9\text{1, 98, 106}\). The carbon supported hydrotreating catalysts are also reported to be more active and stable compared to those supported on alumina \(^10\text{7}\). Nevertheless, for HDO of larger molecules (e.g. polyaromatics), extensive micro porosity of microporous carbons may have a negative impact on the number of available active metal sites since some of the metal particles will be deposited inside the inaccessible small pores, and thus, they will effectively be inactive. To rectify this shortcoming, utilizing the mesoporous carbons with larger pores seems to be a better option.

Similar to carbon supports, zirconia (ZrO\(_2\)) and titania (TiO\(_2\)) supports are reported to be more water resistant, more active at lower temperatures, less acidic and also have minimal interactions with the active metal phases \(^9\text{1, 106, 108}\). Still, the main drawbacks for using zirconia and titania are their low surface area (typically ~ 30-120 m\(^2\)/g), which is approximately half that of alumina, and their low porosity for hydrotreating processes \(^9\text{2,106}\). The emerging new preparation methods such as digestion method are able to improve the surface area of zirconia supports (350 m\(^2\)/g) but these methods are very complex and difficult to execute \(^9\text{2, 109}\).

Basic supports such as MgO have shown to improve the dispersion of the acidic molybdenum oxide phase, decrease the rate of coking due to the basic character of the supports and to have higher HDO/HDS activities compared to the corresponding alumina supported molybdenum based catalysts \(^9\text{3, 110, 111}\). Amongst hydrotreating acidic supports, zeolite (crystalline aluminosilicates) supports have gained considerable attention. Zeolite materials can offer manageable and well-ordered pore structures, on the molecular level, as well as providing a sufficient number of Brønsted acid sites that are needed to convert the low value multi-ring aromatic and heavy molecules present in residual oils into value-added products \(^11\text{2-114}\).
Modification of the alumina supports has also been shown to improve the catalysts activity. For instance, covering the surface of alumina by a thin layer of carbon, before impregnation of metals, was shown to reduce the metal-support interactions and improve the activity and stability. Also, addition of phosphorous to alumina supports has been reported to improve the activity of hydrotreating catalysts. It has also been proposed that unsupported transient metal sulfides can effectively be used as hydroprocessing catalysts in order reduce the interference effect of the supports.

2.2.1 NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst preparation method

NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts are often prepared via impregnation and co-precipitation methods. The simplicity of the impregnation method has made it the method of choice in industrial practice. A typical weight concentration of these metals are reported as (1-5) wt% for Ni/Co and (8-16) wt% for Mo in which nickel and cobalt are regarded as promoters and molybdenum as the main catalyst. The first step in an impregnation method starts by making an aqueous solution of the metal salts (metal oxide precursor). Nitrate salts such as Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O and ammonium salt as (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O are very often preferred over chloride salts due to the environmental restrictions on chloride containing materials. Then, the prepared aqueous solution is mixed with alumina. During a contact time of typically an hour, the cationic complexes of metals such as Ni(NH₃)₅²⁺ in the aqueous solution absorbs on the surface of support and then, the prepared mixture is dried. After drying, the impregnated metal salts are decomposed into metal oxides via thermal treatment that is known as calcination at a temperature range between 400-600 °C. Depending on the reaction mode (batch or continuous), solid catalysts can be configured in different shapes such as powder or pellets.

Figure 5 shows a photograph of calcined NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst prepared via an impregnation method as an example. Many different parameters such as pH of the impregnating solution and calcination operating conditions, are involved in such catalyst preparation procedures that can significantly affect the performance of supported catalysts. The number of available active sites and the state of dispersion (surface to volume ratio) is generally accepted as very critical properties that can significantly affect activity of a catalyst.

It has been reported that three important parameters such as: isoelectric point of the support, pH of the impregnating solution and the nature of the metallic complex precursors must be carefully regulated in order to prepare supported catalysts with high dispersion. For example, a pH range of 9 to 11 has been reported to be an optimum range for producing highly dispersed alumina supported catalysts containing metals situated in groups 8 and 1b such as Co, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Ir and Pt in the periodic table.
2.2.2 NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts activation process

After calcination treatment, the metal phases in NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts are in many different oxide forms such as single phase metal oxides NiO, Ni₂O₃, CoO, Co₂O₃ and MoO₃ or spinels NiAl₂O₄ and CoAl₂O₄, and mixed phase oxides such as NiMoO₄ and CoMoO₄. These oxide phases are stable with minimal reactivity, therefore, they need to be activated. Activation is achieved by either reducing the inactive metal oxide phases to their active metallic states or converting them to sulfide forms in a sulfidation process. The reduction process is generally performed in a hydrogen atmosphere (often pure) at elevated temperatures (300-600 °C).

It has been suggested that long term use of the sulfided catalysts can affect the quality of fuel products due to possible sulfur contamination leaching from the sulfided catalysts. However, the sulfided forms of NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts are reported to be more active for HDO processes, also more tolerant against sulfur contaminants in feedstocks. Sulfidation is performed by using a sulfiding agent such as hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), carbon disulfide (CS₂), elemental sulfur and dimethyl disulfide (DMDS) in a hydrogen containing atmosphere at elevated temperatures in a temperature range of 300 to 400 °C. These sulfiding agents have also been used to maintain the sulfidity of the catalysts used for renewable fuel productions as a necessary procedure to reduce the possible modification effects of oxygen containing compounds in renewable feedstocks.

During the sulfidation processes, the metal oxide phases are converted into more active metal sulfides such as NiₓSₓ, CoₓSₓ, MoS₂, NiMoS and CoMoS phases. Literature studies have indicated that different sites are responsible for different reactions occurring during an HDO process. But, a complication comes in the fact that the precise mechanistic role of the catalyst is still a subject of debate. TEM images of freshly sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts are shown in Figure 6. The black thread-shape fringes represents the MoS₂ phase that is dispersed on the surface of alumina.

Figure 5. Calcined non-sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ powder catalyst.
A model that is still widely accepted, was proposed by Topsøe et al. more than three decades ago based on the structure of Ni-Mo-S and Co-Mo-S phases. In this model, the active phases of the NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts were attributed to the Ni-Mo-S and Co-Mo-S phases in form of type (I) and type (II). Type (I) consists of the MoS₂ monolayer slabs and type (II) consists of multilayer slabs of MoS₂ which are decorated by the promoter metals Ni or Co on their edges. The type (I) is reported to be less active due to the higher interaction between the MoS₂ monolayer and alumina support through the electronic transfer Mo-O-Al linkages.

It was further reported that the active sites in unpromoted alumina supported molybdenum sulfide catalysts are coordinatively unsaturated sites (CUS) i.e. sulfur vacancies with Lewis acid characters and the Brønsted acid sites that are associated to the S-H groups. The presence of hydrogen results in formation of H₂S which creates the sulfur vacancies on the metallic edge of MoS₂ phase. Also, the hydrolytic dissociation of hydrogen on the edges of MoS₂ slabs leads to formations of one S-H group and one Mo-H group (Figure 7). The adsorption and dissociation of H₂S can also change a Lewis acid character site (sulfur vacancy) to a Brønsted acid site (S-H).

Addition of promoters such as Ni and Co to the sulfided Mo/Al₂O₃ increases the number of sulfur vacancies which results in more activity of the promoted catalysts. According to these studies, new sulfur vacancies are created when the Mo-S bonds become weaker due to donation of electrons from the promoters such as Ni and Co. However, the increase in the concentration of promoters (Ni and Co) does not increase the number of vacancies significantly, but it may create vacancies with higher activities compared to vacancies present on the unprompted molybdenum sulfide catalysts. The HDY activity of these catalysts is related to the CUS (sulfur vacancies) on the metallic edge of MoS₂ slabs, where two or more neighboring vacancies are present and the hydrogenolysis (C-O cleavage) activity is linked to the Brønsted acid sites (S-H groups) located on the sulfur edges.
The concentration of S-H groups and vacancy sites are closely related to the sulfided structure of the catalysts (Ni-Mo-S and Co-Mo-S phases), the concentration of H\(_2\)S that is needed to create the S-H groups and negate the oxidizing nature of the oxygenate feedstock and reaction intermediates \(^{43, 155-158}\). The alumina support itself may also show some catalytic activity. Alumina contains acid sites that can interact with oxygenate feeds (dehydration of alcohols) \(^{149}\).

The combination of density functional theory (DFT) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) studies have also proposed a model that attribute the active sites in both promoted and unpromoted sulfided Mo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts to the so called brim sites \(^{159}\). These sites are reported to be specific sites located on the metallic edges of MoS\(_2\) clusters that can simply be identified during STM as bright brims. These brim sites may be responsible for both HYD and hydrogenolysis reactions.

2.3 Catalyst deactivation

Major concerns, from economic and technological perspectives, have raised regarding loss in activity with time on stream or catalyst deactivation \(^{43}\). In the literature the key reason for the loss in activity of HDO catalysts is commonly shown to be due to four major mechanisms such as: poisoning, coking, support pore blockage and thermal degradation \(^{160, 161-163}\). Loss of sulfidity or gradual sulfur removal from the catalysts are also reported to be another main reason for the deactivation of sulfided HDO catalysts \(^{140, 158, 164}\).

Poisoning is generally defined as strong chemisorption of a poison, which can be the reactant, products or impurities, on the active sites \(^{43, 160}\). Poisons can either occupy the active sites or compete with other reactant for the active sites. In either case, the main reaction rate will decrease. Depending on the strength of chemisorption, poisoning can be either a reversible or an irreversible mechanism. In the reversible case, poisons can be removed and the catalyst activity can be restored. Since the catalyst activity is only
temporarily diminished during the reversible poisoning, the reversible poisons are often described as inhibitors for the main reaction. On the other hand, an irreversible poison can permanently occupy an active site, maintain a depressed catalyst activity, change the catalyst structure and contribute to the overall deactivation. However, an irreversible poison at low temperature can become reversible at higher temperatures. Thus, sometimes it can be difficult to make clear distinctions. The main poisons in HDO of renewable feedstocks are reported to be the naturally occurring impurities such as phosphorous and alkaline earth metals such as Na, Ca and Mg.

As mentioned earlier (section (2.1)), metal impurities are separated from the oil in a hydrodemetalation (HDM) process. Since achieving a 100% HDM is often difficult, it has been reported that even a very low concentration (≤ 1 ppm) metal impurities such as vanadium can be deposited on hydrotreating catalysts (NiMo/Al₂O₃ and CoMo/ Al₂O₃) with a moderate rate of nearly 1 mgh⁻¹kg⁻¹. The same study has also reported that vanadium can compete with Ni and Co, interfere with their promoting effects on MoS₂ edges and form the topotatic structures such as V₅S₈ and VMo₄S₈ that also can block the support. Iron impurities also can exist in renewable feedstocks either because they are naturally occurring and/or their accumulation during storage and transportation due to the reaction between iron vessels and the feedstocks (e.g. fatty acids) because of their high total acid number (TAN). It is thermodynamically possible that iron react with hydrotreating catalysts to form species such as FeMoO₄, CoFe₂O₅, NiFe₂O₄ and FeAl₂O₄. However, only two patented reports suggested that iron may deactivate hydrotreatment catalysts.

Coking is known to be an ever-present deactivation mode for all hydrotreatment catalysts. Deactivation by coking is defined as the coverage or blockage of both active sites and micro pores due to strong chemisorption of carbonaceous material on the active sites as a monolayer as well as physical adsorption of carbon as multilayers. Coke build-up can significantly reduce the surface area and pore volume of catalysts and with extreme accumulation, can also cause disintegration of catalysts and complete blockage of void space in a catalyst bed. Figure 8 presents an inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) pattern of the pore structure of a spent NiMo catalyst with a high carbon content (~ 22 wt%). It can clearly be observed, the majority of the pores are blocked by carbon deposition. Coke deactivation is a relatively fast reaction and several studies have shown that up to 30% of the catalysts pores can be blocked in the initial stage of an HDO reaction. Coke can literally be formed from all HDO feedstocks but olefins and aromatics are reported to be the main coke precursors. The amount of coke deposition is however related to many factors such as feed composition, HDO operating conditions and the structure of HDO catalyst. For example, a high level of impurities in the feed and high reaction temperature may stimulate the coke deposition while high partial pressure of hydrogen can reduce the coke deposition.
A general mechanism for the coke formation that has been proposed in literature, includes a reaction path which contains several steps such as adsorption, dehydrogenation, condensation/polymerization and cyclization of hydrogen deficient fragments that form polynuclear deposits \textsuperscript{43, 160, 162}.

Loss of sulfidity is another main reason for the deactivation of sulfided HDO/HDS catalysts. Long-term presence of the chemisorbed oxygenate compounds on the metallic edges of the MoS\(_2\) slabs can convert them into less active oxide and sulfate phases \textsuperscript{34, 140, 164, 177}. Also, gradual preferential sulfur leaching from the more active multilayer MoS\(_2\) phases (Type I), can convert them into less active MoS\(_2\) monolayers \textsuperscript{147}. The active sulfided structures of the molybdenum based catalysts and their active sites can be preserved if during a hydrotreatment, certain amount of a sulfiding agent such as H\(_2\)S is used. The promotional effect of H\(_2\)S on the overall HDO activity of NiMo and CoMo catalysts for conversion of aliphatic acids and esters is reported \textsuperscript{140, 177, 178}. However, using an excess amount of H\(_2\)S may have an adverse effect on the activity of hydrotreating catalysts for other feeds.

High temperature can affect the supported metal catalysts and deactivate them via three main processes. First, growth of active metal phases which results in loss in the catalytic surface area or reduction of dispersion. Second, reducing the accessibility of active phases due to support pore collapse. And third, transformation of active metal phase into inactive phases due to solid phase chemical reactions. The first and second processes are referred to as deactivation by sintering \textsuperscript{160}. A low rate of sintering has been reported at low temperatures, but the sintering rate considerably increases at temperatures around one third of the melting temperature of the metals and in the presence of water \textsuperscript{176}. Moreover, the thermally induced degradation can happen during different stages of the life process of a catalyst such as during the catalyst preparation due to calcination and reduction, during the reaction due to presence of hot spots, or during the catalyst regeneration (burning coke) \textsuperscript{162}. Sintering is known as an irreversible process so measures should be focused on prevention \textsuperscript{160}.
3.1 Catalysts preparation

Two sets of alumina supported base metal catalysts were prepared via impregnation methods. The first set of samples, contained alumina supported monometallic and bimetallic catalysts such as Ni, Co, Mo, NiMo and CoMo, and the second set only contained a bimetallic alumina supported NiMo catalyst. Detailed preparation methods for both sets are described in Paper I and Paper II.

After the preparation, the catalysts in the first set were further treated via calcination and reduction processes. The calcination processes were performed in atmospheric air at two different temperatures 400°C for 1.5 h as a mild condition and 550°C for 2 h as a harsher condition. Based on the calcination treatments, they were divided into different groups. Calcination was followed by the reduction treatments which were performed at 450°C, with different concentrations of hydrogen (5%, 10% and 15% H₂ in Ar) and different durations of 3, 6 and 12 h. It has to be mentioned that some samples in the first set were kept uncalcined but reduced in the same reduction procedures as described above. The prepared NiMo catalysts in the second set was first calcined at 400°C for 1.5 h, not reduced, but instead it was sulfided in a sulfidation process which is fully described in Paper II.

Additionally, a series of NiMo catalysts supported on mixed alumina/ultrastable Y-type (USY)-zeolite were synthesized via a sequential impregnation method that is further described in Paper V. The metal contents of all catalysts were the same (4 wt% Ni and 12 wt% Mo), but their alumina/USY-zeolite ratios were varied.

3.2 Catalyst characterization

Catalyst samples were characterized by inductively coupled plasma and sector field mass spectroscopy (ICP-SFMS), nitrogen physisorption, elemental microanalysis, hydrogen chemisorption, temperature programmed oxidation (TPO), temperature programmed reaction (H₂-TPR), ethylamine-temperature programmed desorption (ethylamine-TPD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

3.2.1 Nitrogen physisorption

The textural properties of the samples such as specific surface area, pore size and pore volume were evaluated by nitrogen physisorption using a TriStar 3000 gas
adsorption analyzer (Paper I and Paper IV). Before nitrogen physisorption analysis, approximately 300 mg of each sample was thermally dried (degassed) at 200°C for 3 h under vacuum. The specific surface area was calculated by using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller equation (BET).

After drying, samples were cooled under vacuum to -195°C (78 K) and subsequently dosed with a small amount of nitrogen. After each dosing, the partial pressure of N₂, when it reached an equilibrium state, was recorded and then the volume of the adsorbed of N₂ was calculated via the ideal gas law. First a monolayer of N₂ is formed which gradually becomes a multilayer. By assuming no interaction between layers, the volume of the monolayer is calculated by using the BET equation.

\[
P = \frac{P}{V(P_0-P)} = \frac{1}{v_mC} + \frac{C-1}{v_mC} \frac{P}{P_0} ; C = \exp \left( \frac{H_1-H_L}{RT} \right)
\]

Where \( P \) is the partial pressure of nitrogen at equilibrium, \( P_0 \) is the saturation pressure of nitrogen, \( V \) is the calculated volume of adsorbed nitrogen, \( V_m \) is volume of the nitrogen monolayer, \( C \) is the BET coefficient, \( H_1 \) and \( H_L \) are the heat of adsorption of the first and succeeding monolayers, \( R \) is the gas constant and \( T \) is the adsorption temperature. \( V_m \) is calculated via plotting \( \frac{P}{V(P_0-P)} \) against \( \frac{L}{P_0} \) and calculating the slope when linear (0.05 < \( P/P_0 \) < 0.3).

It is assumed that the surface of a solid catalyst contains equivalent sites and each site adsorbs only one molecule of nitrogen gas in which the adsorbate nitrogen molecules do not interact with each other. Based on this assumption, it has been shown that one molecule of N₂ occupies 0.16 nm². Thus, the BET surface area can be calculated as: \((V_mN/VM)\). \( M \) is the mass of solid and \( N \) is Avogadro’s number. The pore size was calculated by using the Barret-Joyner-Halenda equation (BJH) from the desorption isotherm. The pore volume was assessed from a single point adsorption of N₂ at the \( P/P_0 = 0.99 \).

### 3.2.2 Hydrogen chemisorption and temperature programmed oxidation and desorption

Hydrogen chemisorption studies were conducted to assess the effect of calcination and reduction treatments on the hydrogen uptake capacity of non-sulfided catalysts (Paper I). Temperature programmed oxidation (TPO) studies were performed to measure the carbon content of spent sulfided catalyst (Paper II, Paper III and Paper V). Ethylamine temperature programmed desorption (ethylamine-TPD) were done to measure the acidity of the non-sulfided catalysts (Paper V).

The experimental set up for H₂-chemisorption, TPO and ethylamine-TPD consisted of a manifold of gas mass flow controllers (MFC, Bronkhorst), a Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC, Setaram Sensys) and a mass spectrometer (MS, Hiden Analytical HPR 20). An illustration of this setup is presented in Figure 9. The desired inlet gas flow and composition was obtained from the gas manifold prior to the DSC. In the DSC, the heat released due to H₂ chemisorption was detected. The DSC consisted of two vertical quartz tubes used for reference and sampling, respectively. The reference tube was
kept empty but the sampling tube contained sample which was placed on a sintered quartz bed located in the middle of the tube. Subsequently, the outlet gas compositions were analyzed by the MS. Briefly, in H₂-chemisorption experiments samples were first degassed by a flow of 8% H₂ in Ar at 500°C for 15 min. Following the degassing treatment, samples were reduced with different flows of H₂ and different durations. Then, samples were cooled in Ar to 80°C. Finally, the samples were exposed to 100 ppm of H₂ in Ar at 80°C for 1 h. The DSC heat signals and the MS concentration signals were both monitored and the amount of adsorbed hydrogen was calculated. Detailed experimental procedures of H₂-chemisorption are described in Paper I.

In TPO experiments, samples were first pre-treated in a flow of Ar at 110 °C for 1 h and at 250 °C for 3 h to remove adsorbed water and loosely bound stearic acid and then, the samples were cooled to 50 °C. Following the pretreatment steps, samples were oxidized in a flow of 21% O₂/Ar and 9% O₂/Ar with the heating rate of 2 °C/min to 800 °C. The carbon contents of the spent samples were measured by monitoring the evolved CO, CO₂ concentrations from MS analysis during the oxidation treatment. (Paper II, III and V). In ethylamine-TPD, samples were first pre-treated in a flow of Ar at 110 °C for 1 h and at 250 °C for 3 h to remove adsorbed water, and then reduced by a flow of 13% H₂ in Ar at 600 °C for 2 h. Then, the temperature was decreased to 100 °C and the sample was stabilized in a flow of Ar for 1 h. After stabilization, the sample was exposed to a flow of 543 ppm ethylamine (C₂H₅NH₂) in Ar at 100 °C for 3 h (adsorption). Following the adsorption, sample was flushed with Ar for 2 h at 100 °C. Finally, desorption was performed by exposing the sample to a flow of Ar while the temperature was linearly increased at a rate of 5 °C/min to 600 °C.

![Figure 9. Hydrogen chemisorption, TPO and ethylamine-TPD experimental setup. MFCs = mass flow controllers, DSC = differential scanning calorimeter oven, MS = mass spectrometer.](image)
3.2.3 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to observe the surface structure of non-sulfided samples by using a Zeiss Ultra 55 FEG SEM microscope combined with an energy dispersive x-ray spectrometry (EDS) system. The images were formed by secondary electrons (SEs) for all measurements. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies were performed to obtain quantitative overviews on size and shape of metal species on the samples. The TEM investigation was done using an FEI Titan 80-300 microscope equipped with a field emission gun (FEG), a probe Cs corrector and a Gatan image filter (GIF) Tridium. This instrument operated at an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. The microscope was set in scanning TEM (STEM) mode and a high angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector was used. Further description of TEM experiment setups are presented in Papers I, II, IV and V.

3.2.4 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

The basis of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is to detect and count the ejected electrons from the surface of a sample when exposed to an X-ray source. In an XPS system, X-rays are produced by exposing aluminum (Al) or magnesium (Mg) with an electron beam resulting in emission photons at energy levels of $hv=1486.6 \text{ (Al K} \alpha_{1.2}\text{)}$ and $hv=1253.6 \text{ (Mg K} \alpha_{1.2}\text{)}$. Then, a sample is exposed to the x-ray source, which results in emission of photoelectrons with characteristic kinetic energies. The ejected photoelectrons are detected in an electron energy analyzer to form spectrum with intensities at electron energy levels which can provide both quantitative and qualitative information such as the composition and electronic state of the surface region of a sample.

XPS measurements were done to investigate the oxidation states and the chemical compositions of the non-sulfided catalysts as well as the sulfidation sates of the sulfided catalysts by using a Perkin Elmer PHI 5000C ESCA system. The reduced non-sulfided samples were degreened in a flow of 8% $\text{H}_2$ in Ar at 500°C for 15 min to remove hydrocarbon contaminations, but, the sulfided samples were not degreened. The samples were placed on carbon rubber pads which were situated on a sample holder. When the pressure of the main chamber was dropped to $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$, the sample holder was shifted to the ultrahigh vacuum chamber. The XPS spectra were collected using a monochromatic Al Kα source with a binding energy of 1486.6 eV. A 90° angle between the x-ray source and the detected photoelectrons was used for all measurements. Sample charge neutralization was done on all samples. The O 1s peak from the alumina with a binding energy of 531.0 eV was taken as reference for all obtained spectra for the non-sulfided samples whereas, the C 1s peak with a binding energy of 284.6 eV was taken as reference for all obtained spectra for the sulfided samples.

3.3 Hydrodeoxygenation and hydroconversion experiments

3.3.1 Materials

Oleic acid ($\geq 90\%$, Sigma Aldrich and 90%, Fluka) and abietic acid ($\geq 85\%$, Sigma Aldrich) were chosen as model compounds for the fatty acid and rosin acid in tall oil, respectively. According to GC-MS analysis, the oleic acid contained oleic acid (90
wt%), myristic acid (5 wt%), pentadecanoic acid (3 wt %) and tridecane (2 wt%). The GC-MS analysis also showed that abietic acid contained abietic acid (85 wt. %), dehydroabietic acid (9 wt%), pimaric acid (4 wt %) and dihydroabietic acid (2 wt%). Dodecane (grade ≥ 99%, Sigma Aldrich) was used as solvent, mainly to minimize possible temperature peaks due to exothermic HDO reactions. Dimethyl disulfide (DMDS, grade ≥ 99%, Sigma Aldrich) was used as a sulfiding agent. The purity of hydrogen feed used in all experiments was over 99.9%. A complex called iron oleate was synthesized as a poison according to a synthesis method described elsewhere.  

3.3.2 Hydrodeoxygenation and hydroconversion reactor setup

The hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) and hydroconversion reactions were carried out in a 300 mL stainless steel autoclave (Parr instrument). A simplified schematic and a picture of the reactor setup is shown in Figure 10.

![Figure 10. Schematic presentation of the reactor setup used in HDO and hydroconversion reactions.](image)

The catalyst activation process for all HDO experiments was performed via a sulfidation treatment. In brief, 500 mg of the prepared NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst was activated by 0.5 mL of DMDS with 20 bar of H₂ at 350°C for 4h. The catalyst activation process for all hydroconversion experiments was done via a reduction-sulfidation treatment. In short, 1 g of each catalyst was loaded in the reactor, then first reduced with H₂ (20 bar) at 350 °C for 3 h. Following the reduction treatment, the reduced catalyst was sulfided using 0.1 mL DMDS with H₂ (20 bar) at 350°C for 3 h. After activation, the catalysts were mixed with a feed containing oxygenates (oleic acid and abietic acid), dodecane (solvent) and DMDS, and based on the objective of the study, the concentration of the feeds were varied. However, the total volumes of the feeds were maintained at 150 mL in all experiments.

Then, the system was purged three times with N₂ and H₂, and after that, the temperature was elevated and stabilized at desired reaction temperature. When the temperature was stabilized, stirring was adjusted to 1000 rpm and the reactor was pressurized with hydrogen. The pressure of hydrogen was calculated based on the stoichiometric moles of hydrogen needed for a complete reaction with oleic acid, abietic acid and DMDS. During the experiment, liquid samples were taken at certain time intervals to assess the catalyst activities. The pressure drop after each sampling was compensated by adding more H₂ immediately following each withdrawal of liquid sample. Finally, after
completing each experiment, a portion of the gas was transferred from the reactor to a cylinder that was pressurized to 10 bar with product gas samples at room temperature.

3.3.3 Effect of temperature and pressure on the activity of a HDO catalyst

Five HDO experiments were performed with varying starting temperature from 300°C to 340 °C and the effect of temperature on the activity of a sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ was studied. In these set of HDO experiments, 500 mg of sulfided catalyst was mixed with 150 mL of a feed containing 10 wt% oleic acid, 90 wt% dodecane and 0.03 mL DMDS. These reactions were all started with the same quantity of hydrogen (0.165 mole) and run for 6h. Also, during each HDO experiment, the temperature, stirring rate and total pressure were all kept constant. Then, after completion of each HDO run, the catalysts were recovered from the reaction mixture and further characterized. In the next set of experiments, three HDO experiments were performed to assess the effect of pressure on the activity of a sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃. In this set of experiments, three HDO experiments were done with three hydrogen partial pressures (60 bar, 65 bar and 70 bar), at 310 °C for 6h. Also, the concentrations of the oleic acid and dodecane were still kept constant at 10 wt% and 90 wt%, respectively, and the total volume of the feed, containing 0.03 mL DMDS, was maintained at 150 mL.

3.3.4 Effect of DMDS concentration on the activity of a NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst

The effect of the DMDS concentration on the activity of a sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst was investigated in two sets of experiments and each set contained three HDO experiments. In the first set of experiments, three different quantities of DMDS (0.015 mL, 0.03 mL and 0.3 mL) were added to 150 mL of reaction mixture containing 10 wt% oleic acid in dodecane. Then, depending on the quantity of added DMDS, HDO reactions were started with 49.3 bar, 49.6 bar and 53 bar of H₂, respectively, and they were run for 4h. It should be noted that H₂ is consumed during the decomposition of DMDS during heating to 320°C. Thus, at higher DMDS concentrations, a larger amount of H₂ was required to produce H₂S. The pressure of H₂ was therefore varied to maintain a constant partial pressure of H₂ after the decomposition of the DMDS. In the second set, three HDO experiments were run under a constant concentration of DMDS, but different pressure of hydrogen. In these experiments, 0.03 mL DMDS was added to a 150 mL of reaction mixture containing 10 wt% oleic acid in dodecane and the reactions were started with three different total pressures of 50, 60 and 70 bar. The second set of HDO experiments were run for 4h.

3.3.5 Effect of rosin acid concentration on the HDO activity of fatty acid

The effect of the abietic acid concentration on the deoxygenation rate of oleic acid was investigated with a set of HDO experiments that were performed with a sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The HDO experiments were performed with 10 wt% oxygenates, including varying ratios of abietic acid and oleic acid, in 90 wt% dodecane (solvent), at 320 °C, with 500 mg of catalyst and 0.1 mL of DMDS. For complete stoichiometric HDO of each mole of oleic acid and abietic acid, 4 moles and 5 moles of H₂ were required, respectively. Thus, the start pressure of hydrogen was adjusted based on the compositions
of oxygenates in the feed. During each HDO experiment, the temperature, stirring rate and total pressure were all kept constant.

3.3.6 Effect of iron poison on the activity of HDO catalysts

The poisoning effect of iron on the activity of sulfided Mo/Al₂O₃ and NiMo/Al₂O₃ used in HDO of oleic acid was studied. In these studies, different quantities of an iron containing compound (iron oleate), used as poison, was added to a 150 mL feed containing 15 wt% oleic acid mixed in dodecane, 0.1 mL of DMDS and 1g of sulfided catalyst. All experiments were carried out at 60 bar H₂, at 325 °C and with a stirring rate of 1000 rpm for 330 minutes.

3.3.7 Activity changes of the spent catalyst

A number of HDO reactions were repeated with the recovered catalysts from their corresponding previous HDO reactions. In short, after each HDO experiment, the catalysts were recovered from the reaction mixtures by filtration, washed with warm ethanol and acetone, and dried overnight. It was expected that the catalyst sulfidity would be fairly maintained during the HDO runs due to the presence of DMDS, thus the recovered catalysts were used in the subsequent HDO reactions without additional sulfidation. The liquid sampling procedure was also the same as that performed in the previous HDO experiments with fresh catalysts. The spent catalysts from the repeated experiments were also recovered and further characterized.

3.3.8 Hydroconversion of rosin acids into fuel components

Hydroconversion reactions of abietic acid were performed using alumina, USY-zeolite and mixed alumina/USY-zeolite supported NiMoS catalysts. In each experiment, 1 g of the activated catalyst was mixed with a feed (150 mL), containing 5 wt% of abietic acid and 95 wt% dodecane. Also, 0.1 mL DMDS was added to maintain the sulfidity of the catalysts during the course of reactions. Then, the system was purged three times with first N₂ and then H₂, before the temperature was elevated to 380 °C and stirring was adjusted to 1000 rpm. Afterward, the reactor was pressurized with 75 bar of hydrogen (99.9%) to start the hydroconversion reactions.

3.3.9 Liquid and gas sample analysis

The liquid samples were analyzed by GC/MS technique. The reaction products were identified by a mass selective detector system (Agilent 5977) and quantified by a gas chromatograph system (Agilent 7890B). Before the GC/MS analysis, liquid samples that were partially solidified due to their stearic acid content, were first liquefied in a warm water bath at 70°C. Then, 1 mL of the liquefied samples were mixed with 200 µl pyridine (grade ≥ 99%, Sigma Aldrich) to obtain a single liquid phase.

The liquid samples were subsequently centrifuged (WIFUG Lab centrifuges, 500 E) at 1500 rpm for 2 min, and 200 µl of the clear solution were mixed with 30 µl N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA). After this, the mixtures were kept for 17h at room temperature to achieve complete silylation of the compounds. Finally, 1 µl of the filtrate was analyzed by GC/MS. The oven ramp settings for the GC were as follows: 100°C for 1min, ramp 10 °C/min to 190 °C, and continued to ramp at 30°C/min to 300°C and
retained for 5 min. The GC was equipped with an HP-5 column, and an FID detector (maintained at 335°C). The injector temperature was maintained at 325°C.

The gas samples were analyzed by a calibrated GC (Scion 456 GC, Bruker) that was equipped with a FID detector for organic components and a TCD detector for H₂, CO and CO₂. The initial oven temperature in the GC was 40 °C and increased at 5 °C min⁻¹ to 80 °C and then increased at 30 °C min⁻¹ to 150 °C where it was held constant for 1 min.
This study was performed to investigate the activity of alumina and USY-zeolite supported Ni, Co and Mo containing catalysts used for HDO and hydroconversion of tall oil fatty acid and tall oil rosin acid. In the first part, the effect of different pretreatment steps on the resulting properties such as the hydrogen uptake capacity of non-sulfided alumina supported Ni, Co and Mo containing catalysts were investigated (Paper I). Next, HDO of a tall oil fatty acid (oleic acid) over a prepared sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ was studied and effects of temperature, hydrogen pressure and concentration of DMDS on the catalyst activity was analyzed (Paper II). In the second part of this study, HDO of a tall oil rosin acid (abietic acid) over a prepared sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ was studied. Also, the inhibition of oleic acid HDO activity due to addition of abietic acid was investigated (Paper III). Moreover, the poisoning effect of iron on the activity of sulfided Mo/Al₂O₃ and NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts used in HDO of oleic acid was studied (Paper IV). Finally, the activity of alumina, USY-zeolite and mixed alumina/USY-zeolite supported NiMo sulfide catalysts used for hydroconversion of abietic-type rosin acids was examined (Paper V).

4.1 Effect of pre-treatments on hydrogen uptake capacity

In industrial hydrotreating processes, hydrogen gas is chemisorbed on the surface of the catalysts. Therefore, hydrogen uptake capacity is an imperative property that affects the performance of all hydrotreating catalysts. The hydrogen uptake capacity can be influenced by several physical and chemical properties of a catalyst such as textural, surface structure, state of dispersion and oxidation of metal phases. The physical and chemical properties of a catalyst can also be affected due to variations in their preparations and different treatments throughout their use. The textural properties of the catalysts studied are shown in Paper I. The reported metal contents of these samples were measured by inductively coupled plasma and sector field mass spectroscopy (ICP-SFMS). The increase in calcination temperature from 400 °C to 550 °C did not have any significant effect on the physical properties such as the BET surface area, pore size and volume of the non-sulfided samples (see Paper I).

The effect of calcination on the surface structure of the non-sulfided catalysts was studied by SEM measurements. A selection of SEM results including micrographs of the alumina supported Ni sample calcined at 550 °C (a), alumina supported Co sample, calcined at 400 °C (b) and alumina supported CoMo sample, calcined at 550 °C (c) are
shown in Figure 11. A distribution of small and irregular shaped particles (≤ 10 µm), dispersed among larger particles (~ 140 µm) were observed in all the calcined samples.

The chemical composition of the small and large particles were analyzed using X-ray energy dispersive spectrometry (XEDS) elemental mapping on different locations of the calcined samples. The analyzed areas are marked as box 2 for the smaller and inhomogeneously shaped particles, and box 1 for the larger and more homogeneously shaped particles in Figure 11. The XEDS results showed large variations in the metal concentrations between large and small (fractured) particles. For instance, the metal/alumina ratio of smaller particles were 10 times higher than the metal/alumina of larger particles in the calcined Co sample (Paper I, Table 2).

The chemical composition of the small particles also indicated that the metal oxides formed new phases that are known as heteropolymetal compounds which are composed of alumina as their central heteroatom. These heteropolymetal compounds could have been formed during two different processes: either during the impregnation of the alumina with the metal precursors or during the calcination of the samples. The second possibility would imply that, the metal oxide precursors have interacted with the alumina support to form a new phase as heteropolymetal compounds. To validate the possibility of calcination, two additional SEM measurements on two uncalcined samples (Co and NiMo) were performed (Figure 12).

The XEDS analyzed areas are marked as box 2 for the very small particles (~10 µm), box 1 and box 3 for the larger particles (20-150 µm) in Figure 12. From the SEM micrograph shown in Figure 12, it can be observed that the distribution of the small particles (≤ 10 µm), are still present in both uncalcined Co/Al₂O₃ and NiMo/Al₂O₃ samples. However, the detected concentrations of alumina for the area 2 were very small (1.4% and 1.1%). Moreover, the distribution of the small particles (≤ 10 µm), in both uncalcined
samples, are reduced in comparison to the calcined samples (Figure 11). Therefore, we propose that the small particles (~ 10 µm, heteropolymetal compounds) are predominately formed during the calcination of dried salt precursors. The formation of the heteropolymetal phase would reduce the catalyst activity due to reducing the surface area of the metal particles on the support.

The dispersion (surface to volume ratio) of the active metal is a decisive property that steers many catalytic reactions. The dispersion of a catalyst can be affected by many parameters such as pH of the impregnating solutions during the catalyst preparations. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) analysis was used to assess the dispersion of the prepared catalysts. Figure 13 presents the STEM micrographs of two NiMo/Al₂O₃ samples that were prepared via two different impregnation methods, under different pH values. Detailed preparation procedures are described in Paper I and Paper II.

Briefly, the first NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample (Figure 13a) was prepared by a co-impregnation method in which both solutions of nickel and molybdenum precursors were added to a prepared alumina slurry, which its pH was maintained at 10.5. The second NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample (Figure 13b) was prepared by a sequential impregnation method in which the molybdenum precursor solution was first added to a prepared alumina slurry, maintained with a pH of 4, and then the mixture of alumina and molybdenum precursor was freeze-dried and calcined. Afterwards, the nickel precursor solution was added to a slurry of the prepared Mo/Al₂O₃ sample and its pH was increased and stabilized at 9, and then the final solution was freeze-dried and calcined. The freeze drying and calcination conditions for both NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample were the same. Also, in both preparations, the concentrations of Ni and Mo in the aqueous solutions were adjusted to result in a targeted metal loading on the sample of 5 wt% and 15 wt%, respectively. The metallic nanoparticles were analyzed using XEDS and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) analysis.

![Figure 13. STEM micrographs of a NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample prepared by co-impregnation under pH of 10.5 (a) , and a NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample prepared by sequential impregnation under pH of 4 and 9.](image)

The averaged nanoparticle sizes on the first NiMo/Al₂O₃ (Figure 13, a) were calculated to be 125 nm (28 particles counted). Whereas, the averaged nanoparticle sizes on the second NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample (Figure 13, b) were calculated to be 28 nm (45 particles counted). The NiMo nanoparticles in the sample which was prepared by co-impregnation under alkaline condition (pH of 10.5) seemed to be agglomerated to form larger NiMo nanoparticles which resulted in a lower NiMo dispersion.
The effect of calcination treatment on the hydrogen uptake capacity of non-sulfided catalysts was studied by performing H₂-chemisorption experiments. In the H₂-chemisorption experiments, samples were first calcined in different calcination conditions and then they were reduced in the same reduction conditions. Detailed experimental procedures are described in Paper I. Figure 14 presents the hydrogen chemisorption measurements from three Ni/Al₂O₃ samples, with the same Ni loadings but different calcination conditions. The hydrogen chemisorption results evidently show that the hydrogen uptake of the sample precalcined at 400 °C was considerably higher compared to the sample precalcined at 550 °C. Also, the highest hydrogen uptake occurred on the Ni sample which was not previously calcined (fresh sample) but instead the precursor was decomposed during the reduction treatment in a flow of hydrogen.

Figure 14. The effect of calcination on the reducibility of Ni/Al₂O₃ (10 wt%) samples. The top panel shows the heat signal from the DSC and the sample temperature during the adsorption. The bottom panel presents the corresponding hydrogen concentration signal measured by MS.

The difference in the hydrogen uptake may be explained by the oxidation states of the Ni sites. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed to investigate the changes in oxidation state of Ni sites caused by the initial calcination of the samples. The XPS spectra for all three samples are shown in Figure 15. The left panel presents the Ni 2p core level spectrums including clear peaks of Ni 2p½, Ni 2p¾ and their shake-ups satellites. The Ni 2p¾ peaks of all three samples were further investigated and deconvoluted by fitting a Gaussian–Lorentzian function (Figure 15, right panel). The center of the Ni 2p¾ peaks are positioned in a region of 853.4-855.2 eV with apparent satellite features with approximately 6 eV higher than the main photoemissions. This would clearly indicate the presence of Ni²⁺ in all samples. The deconvolution of the Ni 2p¾ peak of the uncalcined Ni sample revealed three peaks with binding energies of 852.7 eV, 853.8 eV and 854.3 eV, respectively. These binding energies can be attributed to Ni° and Ni²⁺ sites. It can clearly be observed that the uncalcined Ni sample mainly
contained the metallic form of Ni (Ni°) and a very small fraction of the oxide form (Ni^{2+}). The deconvoluted Ni 2p_{3/2} peak of the sample calcined at 400 °C contained four peaks with binding energies of 852.7 eV, 853.4 eV, 854.1 eV and 855.6 eV. These peaks can be attributed to Ni°, Ni^{2+} and Ni^{3+}/NiAl_{2}O_{4} sites respectively. The fraction of Ni° sites gradually decreased while the fraction of Ni^{2+} and particularly Ni^{3+}/NiAl_{2}O_{4} increased due to the pre-calcination treatment. The deconvolution results of the Ni 2p_{3/2} peak of the sample calcined at 550°C showed that this sample mainly contained the oxide forms of Ni, including Ni^{2+} and Ni^{3+}/NiAl_{2}O_{4}. These results are indicative of a detrimental effect of a pre-calcination treatment on the reducibility of Ni samples. However, the NiMo/Al_{2}O_{3} samples that were calcined and reduced with similar conditions as those for Ni/Al_{2}O_{3} samples, showed small hydrogen adsorption and the following XPS measurements confirmed that their Ni and Mo phases were mainly in forms of metal oxides and spinels such as Ni^{2+}, NiMoO_{4}, MoO_{3} and Al_{2}(MoO_{4})_{3}. The calcined CoMo samples also did not show any hydrogen uptakes since the metal phases where in the form of oxides and spinels. Further results are reported in Paper I.

![Figure 15](image.png)

**Figure 15.** The left panel shows the XPS spectra of three Ni/Al_{2}O_{3} samples for binding energies between 890 and 840 eV, which contain the Ni 2p_{1/2} and Ni 2p_{3/2} and their satellite peak positions. The right panel shows the deconvolution of the Ni 2p_{3/2} peaks.

To summarize, higher calcination temperature (550 °C versus 400 °C) caused lower dispersions of metal phases on the surface of catalysts and at the same time, it stimulated the metal-support interactions by increasing the formation of spinels. Moreover, the detrimental effect of using an inappropriate pH of the impregnating solutions on the dispersion of the metal phases was also found.

### 4.2 Characterization of alumina supported MoS and NiMoS catalysts

Alumina supported Mo and NiMo catalysts were prepared via an impregnation method and thereafter they were sulfided. The preparation and sulfidation
procedures are described in Papers II, III and IV. After sulfidation, the sulfur, metal and carbon contents of the catalysts were characterized by inductively coupled plasma and sector field mass spectroscopy (ICP-SFMS) and elemental microanalysis. Afterwards, the catalysts were further characterized by XPS, TEM, SEM, temperature programme oxidation (TPO) and hydrogen temperature programmed reaction (H₂-TPR). The ICP and elemental microanalysis results are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** The chemical composition of sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ sample following sulfidation treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Ni (wt%)</th>
<th>Mo (wt%)</th>
<th>S (wt%)</th>
<th>C (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICP-SFMS a</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elemental Microanalysis b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Inductively coupled plasma and sector field mass spectroscopy (ALS Scandinavia AB). b Elemental Microanalysis Ltd. UK.

The sulfidation state of the sulfided catalysts was analyzed by XPS measurements. The Ni 2p core level spectrum includes Ni 2p½, Ni 2p¾, and shake-up satellites, and the Mo 3d/S 2s core level spectrum includes Mo 3d½, Mo3d½₂, S 2s and shake-up satellites were deconvoluted by fitting a Gaussian-Lorentzian function. The peak positions and areas were optimized until the standard deviation (χ²) stabilized to a minimum at 0.5. The deconvolution of the Ni 2p½ peak of all samples revealed four peaks with binding energies of 852.7 eV, 853.8 eV, 854.4 eV and 855.9 eV. These binding energies can be attributed to NiS, NiMoS, Ni²⁺ and NiMoO₄, respectively. The deconvolution of the Mo 3d½₂ peak revealed two peaks with binding energies of 229.1 eV and 229.8 eV which can be attributed to MoS₂ and Mo⁶⁺, respectively. Also, the broadened low intensity S 2s peak with the binding energy of 226.4 eV can be attributed to elemental sulfur (S). The XPS results of the deconvoluted Ni 2p and Mo 3d core level spectra for a freshly sulfided catalyst before being used in the reaction are presented in Figure 16.

![Figure 16. XPS spectra of the Ni 2p, Mo 3d and S 2s core level spectrum for a freshly sulfided sample.](image)

The composition of the nickel and molybdenum phases were also estimated based on the area of different deconvoluted peaks. The results indicate that the activated (sulfided) catalysts mainly contained the mixed phase NiMoS (79% of total nickel content) and MoS₂ (87% of total molybdenum content). Still, small portions of NiS (6% of total
nickel content) and metal oxides such as $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ (8% of total nickel content), $\text{NiMoO}_4$ (7% of total nickel content) and $\text{Mo}^{6+}$ (13% of total molybdenum content) were detected.

The detected metal oxides may be a result of either incomplete sulfidation or superficial oxidation of the catalyst, when exposed to air during its transfer from reactor to XPS instrument. The presence of a strong peak for the elemental sulfur may indicate that an excess amount of DMDS was used during the sulfidation process. However, the amount of the detected elemental sulfur, which is often added to the total sulfur contented of a catalyst (Table 2) from a bulk elemental sulfur analysis, should not be considered as an assessment of the catalyst sulfidity.

The $\text{NiMoS}$ and $\text{MoS}_2$ phases in the sulfided catalyst were also identified by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis. A TEM micrograph showing typical structures of the $\text{NiMoS}$ and $\text{MoS}_2$ phases in the sulfided catalyst is presented in Figure 17. The black thread-shape fringes represents the $\text{MoS}_2$ slabs that are dispersed on the surface of the alumina. The presence of a single $\text{NiS}$ phase was not observed in the TEM analysis. An average slab length for this sample was calculated to be 4.3 nm, based on measuring 150 slabs.

![Figure 17. TEM micrograph of freshly sulfided NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$.](image)

**4.3 Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) reaction of oleic acid**

During HDO of oleic acid, the activity and selectivity of a prepared sulfided NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst were measured. Figure 18 shows the distribution of yields of the liquid products, including error bars, as a function of time and the gas phase composition of the HDO experiments. The reproducibility of the experiments were confirmed from the repeated experiments and the molar balances of liquid products were 94% on average. The baseline experiment was performed at 320 °C, with 500 mg of the sulfided catalyst, under 49 bar $\text{H}_2$ for 4h (without DMDS/$\text{H}_2\text{S}$). The volume of the feed mixture, including oleic acid (reactant) and dodecane (solvent), was 150 mL and a reactant/catalyst mass ratio of 25.4 was used in this experiment.

The concentration of oleic acid dropped sharply (during the first two hours of the reaction) and then reached a very small concentration of 0.15% after 4h. Thus, an almost complete conversion of oleic acid was observed in the end of experiment (Figure 18A).
Also, at the beginning of the reaction (during the first 60 min), a sharp increase in concentration of stearic acid was observed.

The quick formation of the stearic acid can be explained by the fast HYD reaction of the unsaturated carbon double bond (C=C) of oleic acid by H₂ which is in good agreement with previous studies ²⁰, ¹⁸⁴. Then, the concentration of stearic acid, however, gradually decreased while the concentrations of the heptadecane (C₁₇) and octadecane (C₁₈), increased with time towards the end of the reaction. Unsaturated hydrocarbons such as heptadecene and octadecene, and other oxygen containing compounds such as 1-octadecanol were also detected in the liquid samples and their concentration profiles are shown in Figure 18A. Other identified oxygenates such as heptadecanal and octadecanal were detected but they are not shown here because their detected yields were very small (less than 1%).

According to many studies, C₁₇ and C₁₈ hydrocarbons are formed via three reaction routes: decarbonylation/decarboxylation (DCOₓ) and deoxygenation (DO) ¹⁷, ¹⁸⁵-¹⁸⁷. In a recent HDO study, Coumans et al. ¹⁸⁷ proposed that fatty acids are first deoxygenated to aldehydes and alcohols intermediates by releasing water. Then, these intermediates undergo further deoxygenation and decarbonylation to produce olefin intermediates with even and odd numbers of carbon (octadecene and heptadecene). These olefins intermediates are finally hydrogenated to produce alkanes (C₁₈ and C₁₇) ¹⁸⁷.

From the concentration profiles (Figure 18), it may be suggested that C₁₇ is produced via DCOₓ of stearic acid and/or HDY of unsaturated heptadecene, which may have been formed by DCOₓ of oleic acid and/or DO of stearic acid. The presence of CO and CO₂ in the gas phase composition (Figure 18B) is an indication of the occurrence of decarboxylation and decarbonylation routes, respectively. Higher concentrations of heptadecane and CO can also indicate that the decarbonylation route was favored. The small detected concentration of hexadecane (C₁₆) and pentadecane (C₁₅) can indicate a minor hydrogenolysis reactions of C₁₈ and C₁₇ occurred on the acid sites of the weakly acidic gamma alumina catalyst support. The presence of CH₄ in the gas phase composition

Figure 18. Distribution of the detected reactant and products (A), and final gas phase composition (B) of a baseline HDO reaction of oleic acid. The reported yields are calculated as the ratio of the number of moles of product to the initial number of moles of the oleic acid, excluding the solvent (dodecane).
(Figure 18B), partially indicates the occurrence of methanation of CO and CO₂ and partially hydrogenolysis of C₁₈ and C₁₇.

4.4 Effect of temperature and pressure on the activity of NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst

The effect of temperature and pressure on activity and selectivity of the NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst used in HDO of oleic acid was investigated. To assess the effect of temperature, five HDO experiments were performed, with varying starting temperature from 300 °C to 340 °C, under hydrogen partial pressures from 53 bar to 57 bar for 6h and 1000 rpm stirring rate (Figure 19). In all experiments, 500 mg of sulfided catalyst was mixed with 150 mL of a feed containing 10 wt% oleic acid, 90 wt% dodecane and 0.03 mL DMDS.

The strong temperature dependence of the conversion indicates that the HDO of oleic acid is likely to be a kinetically limited process. The results in Figure 19 (A and B) also show that the yields of the main products, heptadecane (C₁₇) and octadecane (C₁₈), increased when temperature increased. Also, under all the studied temperatures, C₁₇ was the main product. However, the selectivity towards C₁₇ increased by 6% when temperature increased from 300 °C to 310 °C and then slightly decreased at higher temperatures up to 340 °C (Figure 19D). On the other hand, the selectivity towards C₁₈ was near constant for lower temperatures (300 °C to 310 °C) and then slightly increased for higher temperatures (310 °C to 340 °C).

Figure 19. Effect of temperature on: (A) oxygenate conversion (B) yields of heptadecane (C₁₇), (C) yields of octadecane (C₁₈) and (D) selectivity.

Figure 20 presents the activity and selectivity changes of sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst due to the change in HDO pressure. These experiments were started at 310 °C, with 500 mg of sulfided catalyst mixed with 150 mL of a feed (10 wt% oleic...
acid and 90 wt% dodecane) and 0.03 mL DMDS with varying total pressure from 50 bar to 70 bar. In all experiments, the oxygenate conversion rate was generally unaffected when pressure increased from 50 bar to 70 bar and stayed nearly constant with an overall rate of \(~ 22 \text{ mmol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}\) (Figure 20A). If the reaction is first order with respect to hydrogen concentration (partial pressure), then the rate of increase of conversion would be independent of the starting partial pressure of hydrogen. However, for a reaction order greater than one, a lower start partial pressure would also reduce the rate of increase of conversion and if reaction order is lesser than one then the opposite effect would be expected. Thus, according to results in Figure 20, the rate of conversion of oleic acids is nearly first order reaction with respect to hydrogen partial pressure. Also, for lower pressures (50 bar and 60 bar), the selectivity towards both products C\(_{17}\) and C\(_{18}\) was constant (Figure 20B). However, at higher pressure of 70 bar, the selectivity towards C\(_{17}\) decreased slightly by 6\% while it increased by the same percentage of 6\% for C\(_{18}\).

4.5 Effect of DMDS concentration on the activity of NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst

The effect of DMDS concentration on the activity of the NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst, used for HDO of oleic acid, was evaluated in two sets of experiments. DMDS is added to the feed to maintain the sulfided state of the catalyst. In the first set, three HDO experiments were done at 320 °C, with 500 mg of the freshly sulfided catalyst (1\(^{st}\) runs) and with varying quantities of added DMDS (0.015 mL, 0.03 mL and 0.3 mL) to the initial feed. In order to use the same hydrogen partial pressure in the HDO experiments, depending on the quantity of added DMDS (0.015 mL, 0.03 mL and 0.3 mL), reactions were started with pressures of 49.3 bar, 49.6 bar and 53 bar of H\(_2\), respectively. After 4 h reaction, the catalysts were recovered and analyzed. In the second set, three HDO experiments were done by using the recovered catalysts (2\(^{nd}\) runs) from the first run experiments, under the same conditions as the corresponding first HDO experiments.

4.5.1 Activity and selectivity changes in 1\(^{st}\) run and 2\(^{nd}\) run NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts

Figure 21 and 22 present the activity and selectivity results from the HDO reactions that were performed by the 1\(^{st}\) (freshly sulfided) and 2\(^{nd}\) run (recovered from the 1\(^{st}\) runs) catalysts, respectively. Initial and average rates of conversion were calculated based on the slope of the conversion curves (Figure 21) for the first 60 min reaction time and over the entire reaction period, respectively.
Figure 21. Conversion during the first run and second runs of HDO experiments. Reactions were performed with (A) 0.3 mL DMDS, (B) 0.03 mL DMDS and (C) 0.015 mL DMDS.

For short reaction times (less than 60 min), the initial rate of conversion with both catalysts (1st and 2nd runs) slightly deceased, when the concentration of DMDS increased. In other words, the initial rate of conversion was somewhat inhibited when higher concentration of DMDS was used. However, the overall rate of conversion for all catalysts was promoted when a higher concentration of DMDS was used.

The selectivity results (Figure 22) also show that higher concentration of DMDS promoted the selectivity towards C_{17} and suppress the selectivity of C_{18}. In other words, DMDS clearly promoted DCO routes and suppressed the DO route. Our results are in line with the work done by Coumans et al.\(^{187}\) and Senol et al.\(^{188}\). Also, for all DMDS concentrations, the overall rate of conversion for 1st run catalysts was more than the 2nd runs. Results from the characterization of used catalysts will be shown in sections 4.5.2 and 4.5.3.

Figure 22. Selectivities of heptadecane and octadecane formed during the first run and second runs of HDO experiments. Reactions were performed with (A) 0.3 mL DMDS, (B) 0.03 mL DMDS and (C) 0.015 mL DMDS.

### 4.5.2 Sulfidation state of 1st run and 2nd run NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts

As previously stated, loss of sulfidity is considered as one of the main contributing factor to a drop in activity of sulfided catalysts. During an HDO reaction, the coexistence of sulfided catalysts with oxygenate reactants and products such as oxygenate feeds, CO, CO₂ and water, would result in oxidation of active metal sulfide phases NiMoS and MoS₂ to their less active oxide forms. Therefore, a sulfiding agent such as DMDS, H₂S and CS₂ must be added to the feed to maintain the sulfided state of the catalyst. Rapid deactivation of a sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst during HDO of oleic acid, which was performed without a sulfiding agent, is reported elsewhere.\(^{187}\)
Figures 23 present the XPS results of recovered catalysts from the 1st HDO run and Figure 24 present the XPS results of recovered catalysts from both 1st and 2nd HDO runs.

**Figure 23.** XPS deconvolution of the Ni 2p, Mo 3d and S 2s for the 1st run catalysts; (A) Ni, 0.3 mL DMDS (B) Ni, 0.03 mL DMDS (C) Ni, 0.015 mL DMDS (D) Mo, 0.3 mL DMDS (E) Mo, 0.03 mL DMDS (F) Mo, 0.015 mL DMDS.

**Figure 24.** XPS deconvolution of the Ni 2p, Mo 3d and S 2s for: (A) Ni of first run catalyst (B) Ni of second run catalysts (C) Mo of first run catalyst (D) Mo of second run catalysts. Catalysts were maintained with 0.015 mL DMDS.

The Ni 2p (Ni 2p1/2, Ni 2p3/2, and shake-up satellites), and Mo 3d/S 2s (Mo 3d3/2, Mo3d5/2, S 2s and shake-up satellite) core level spectrums were deconvoluted by fitting a Gaussian-Lorentzian function. Also, the detected active sulfided NiMoS and MoS2 phases were all estimated based on the measured area of the corresponding deconvoluted peaks (Table 3).
Table 3. The active sulfide metal content of sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ recovered from 1st and 2nd HDO runs following different DMDS treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active sulfided phases</th>
<th>1st run catalyst</th>
<th>2nd run catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 mL DMDS</td>
<td>0.03 mL DMDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMoS</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiMoS</td>
<td>0.015 mL DMDS</td>
<td>0.015 mL DMDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The XPS results of 1st run catalysts clearly indicates that the fractions of both NiMoS and MoS₂ phases decreased when the concentration of the sulfiding agent (DMDS) decreased. The results in Table 3 shows that a 95% decrease in the amount of added DMDS (from 0.3 mL to 0.015 mL) results in approximately 42% and 63% decrease in the content of NiMoS and MoS₂ phases, respectively. The same trend was also observed from the 2nd run catalysts (partially shown in Figure 24). Moreover, comparing the XPS results between the unused catalyst (Figure 16) and 1st run catalyst, it can be seen that the lower concentrations of DMDS (0.03 mL and 0.015 mL) were not sufficient to maintain the sulfidation state of the catalysts. Moreover, with higher concentrations of DMDS (0.3 mL and 0.03 mL), the results showed that the fractions of both active metal phases (NiMoS and MoS₂) detected from the 1st and 2nd runs catalysts were almost identical. And, with lowest DMDS concentration (0.015 mL), the fraction of active phases only slightly decreased (Figure 24 and Table 3). Therefore, it can clearly be concluded that concentration of DMDS has a significant impact on preservation of the active metal sulfide phases of the sulfided HDO catalyst.

4.5.3 Carbon deposition of 1st run and 2nd run NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts

The carbon content of the recovered catalysts from the 1st and 2nd runs HDO experiments were measured by temperature programmed oxidation (TPO). During the TPO experiments, the evolving CO and CO₂ peaks were monitored, then the carbon contents of the samples were measured based on the peak areas of CO and CO₂. For the purpose of easier verification, the CO and CO₂ concentrations were added together, and they are shown in the form of COₓ. Also, during the TPO, water is expected to be formed due to oxidation of the deposited hydrocarbon species. Therefore, the water concentration signals were monitored and the amount of produced water was measured from the water peak areas. Figures 25 and 26 presents the COₓ and H₂O concentration peaks during the TPO experiments.

![Figure 25. Concentration profile of the COₓ during the TPO of the first run and second runs catalysts in 21% O₂/Ar. The sulfidity of catalysts were maintained with: 0.3 mL DMDS (A), 0.03 mL DMDS (B) and 0.015 mL DMDS (C).](image)
For all concentrations of DMDS (0.3, 0.03 and 0.015 mL), the COx peaks from both 1st and 2nd run catalysts were all in forms of double peaks, evolved in the same temperature range between 180 °C to 300 °C (Figure 25). The measured carbon contents of the 1st run catalysts, measured based on the peak areas of CO and CO2, were almost the same (~ 8.6 wt%) and no correlation between the DMDS concentration and amount of carbon deposition was found.

As previously mentioned, carbon deposition often occurs by degradation of reactant/product which leads to the blockage of the active sites of catalysts and pores of the catalyst supports. Also, the XPS results in section (4.5.2) indicated that the sulfidity of catalysts were almost preserved when the same amount of DMDS was added to the catalysts. Therefore, for each DMDS concentration, the observed drop in the activity of the 2nd run catalysts compared to 1st runs (Figure 21), can be related to the loss in number of active sites of the 1st run catalysts due to carbon deposition. On the other hand, unlike the 1st run catalysts, the carbon contents of the catalysts recovered from the 2nd HDO runs increased when the concentration of DMDS decreased. The carbon deposition measurements showed that when the amount of added DMDS decreased by 95% (from 0.3 mL to 0.015 mL), the carbon content of the catalysts increased by almost 30%. Moreover, the XPS results from the section (4.5.2) showed that the same decrease in the amount of added DMDS results in a decrease of nearly 42% and 63% of NiMoS and MoS2 metal sulfide active phases, respectively. Thus, the drop in the activity of the 2nd run catalysts can be relate to both carbon deposition and loss in sulfidity.

Furthermore, for each catalyst, a C/H mass ration was calculated from the water and COx peaks (Figure 25 and Figure 26). The calculated C/H ratios for the 1st and 2nd catalysts were approximately 6 and 15, respectively. This shows that the coking process on the 2nd run samples is in a more advance stage of coking reactions with more carbonaceous deposits compared to that for the 1st run catalysts.

4.6 HDO of abietic acid

Two HDO reactions of abietic acid were performed. Both reactions were started with abietic acid (2.26 g) mixed with dodecane (solvent, 148 mL), at 320 °C, with the sulfided NiMo/Al2O3 catalyst (0.5 g), under 54 bar H2. The first HDO run was stopped after only 1 h of reaction but the second HDO run was continued for 6 h of reaction. These two experiments were performed to obtain detailed information about the intermediates and products formed from HDO of abietic acid. During the course of the 1h HDO experiment,
samples were taken at 10 min intervals, giving a total of six samples. During the longer HDO experiment (6h), initially two samples were taken at shorter intervals of every 30 min, and then samples were taken at longer intervals (60 min). Throughout the analysis, the products with major yields were quantified but other identified products with lower yields (less than 1 mol%) such as naphthalene were not considered. The reproducibility of the results were evaluated from repeated experiments and indicated by the error bars. The results of these two HDO experiments are shown in Figure 27.

![Figure 27](image.png)

Figure 27. Distribution of the detected reactant and products of a hydrodeoxygenation reaction of abietic acid for 1 h (A), and 6 h (B). Reaction condition: temperature = 320 °C, pressure = 54 bar, catalyst (500 mg), abietic acid (2.26 g), dodecane (solvent, 148 mL (111 g)), DMDS (0.1 mL) and stirring rate = 1000 rpm.

The abietic acid start feed contained impurities such as dehydroabietic acid (9 wt%) and dihydroabietic acid (2 wt%) and pimaric acid (4 wt%). As a result, their yields at time zero are equal to these values (Figure 27). The results in Figure 27A show that the concentration of abietic acid decreased sharply during less than 10 min, with a high initial reaction rate to a small yield of 7.1% and then was almost fully converted after a reaction time of 40 min. The same result (fast depletion of abietic acid) was also observed during the first 30 min of the longer HDO experiment (Figure 27B). Previously, Wang et al. reported that at high temperature (250-290 °C) and in presence of a catalyst, abietic acid with its reactive conjugated double bonds can easily lose hydrogen and then rearrange to form a disproportionated rosin acid such as dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid 78. The observed fast increase in the yields of dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid during the initial stage of HDO reactions is evidence of the fast disproportionation of abietic acid. The disproportionation is also reported to involve HYD, dehydrogenation and isomerization reaction pathways 189, 190.

However, after an initial increase, the yields of dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid decreased and after 1 h of reaction time they reached 17 mol% and 0.1 mol%, respectively. The yields of deoxygenated tri-ring components such as 18-norabiet-8, 11, 13-triene, 10, 18-bisnorabiet-8, 11, 13-triene and 18-norabietane however increased and, after 1h of HDO reaction, reached 32%, 27% and 8%, respectively. 18-norabiet-8, 11, 13-triene is probably produced via DO, and also cracking of the isopropyl group of the dehydroabietic acid. 10, 18-bisnorabiet-8, 11, 13-triene could also be produced via DCOx.
of dehydroabietic acid. The DCO and HYD of dihydroabietic acid and HYD of 10, 18-bisnorabieta-8, 11, 13-triene could produce 18-norabietane

A very similar trend was also observed during the initial stage (less than 60 min) of the longer (6 h) experiment (Figure 27B), as the initial rapid conversion of abietic acid was followed by quick production of 18-norabieta-8, 11, 13-triene and the 10, 18-bisnorabieta-8, 11, 13-triene. Similarly, the disproportionated dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid were detected as the main intermediate products during the initial stage. However, after 60 min of reaction, the yields of dehydroabietic and dihydroabietic acid decreased and after 300 min of reaction, finally they were both fully converted. Also, after 120 min of reaction, the yield of 10, 18-bisnorabieta-8, 11, 13-triene decreased and eventually after 6 h of reaction reached 18% with a slow average reaction rate of 19 mmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The yields of 18-norabieta-8, 11, 13-triene and 18-norabietane, both increased, and finally reached 53% and 25%, respectively. After 6 h of HDO reaction, all oxygenates (reactants and products) related to the abietic acid feed were fully converted to deoxygenated unsaturated and saturated hydrocarbons. Based on these results, a reaction mechanism for the HDO of abietic acid is suggested and presented in Figure 28.

![Figure 28. Proposed reaction mechanism for the HDO of abietic acid. Deoxygenation (DO), Decarbonylation/Decarboxylation (DCO), and Hydrogenation (HYD).](image)

### 4.6.1 Effect of abietic acid on HDO of oleic acid

The effect of the abietic acid concentration on the deoxygenation rate of oleic acid was investigated in two sets of HDO experiments. In both sets, the HDO experiments were performed with 10 wt% feed, including varying ratios of abietic acid and oleic acid, in 90 wt% dodecane (solvent), at 320 °C. The first set of HDO experiments (1st run), were performed with 0.5 g of freshly sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst but the second set (2nd run) was performed with the recovered NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst from the 1st run. For a complete stoichiometric hydrodeoxygenation of each mole of oleic acid and abietic acid, 4 moles and 5 moles of H₂ is required, respectively. Thus, depending on the quantity of added abietic
acid (0 wt%, 0.5 wt%, 1 wt% and 1.5 wt%), reactions were started with pressures of 54 bar, 55 bar, 55.5 bar and 56 bar of H₂, respectively. The fatty acid oxygenate conversion results of both HDO (1st and 2nd) experiments are shown in Figure 29 and the rosin acid oxygenate conversion results of the 1st HDO experiments are presented in Figure 30. The reported fatty acid (FA) oxygenate conversion is defined as the ratio of the number of moles of converted FA (oleic acid and stearic acid) to the initial number of moles of the oleic acid. The reported rosin acid (RA) oxygenate conversion is defined as the ratio of the number of moles of converted RA (abietic acid, dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid) to the initial number of moles of the abietic acid.

**Figure 29.** Effect of the abietic acid (AA) concentration on: (a) fatty acid (FA) oxygenate conversion rate of oleic acid (OA) portion of feed with 1st run catalysts, (b) yields of heptadecane (C₁₇) and octadecane (C₁₈) with 1st run catalysts, (c) fatty acid (FA) oxygenate conversion rate of oleic acid (OA) portion of feed with 2nd run catalysts, (d) yields of heptadecane (C₁₇) and octadecane (C₁₈) with 2nd run catalysts. Experiments were performed at 320 °C, with 500 mg freshly sulfided catalysts, maintained by DMDS (0.1 mL) under pressures 54 -56 bar H₂.

**Figure 30.** Effect of the oleic acid (OA) concentration on abietic acid (AA) oxygenate conversion rate with 1st run catalysts. Experiments were performed at 320 °C, with 500 mg freshly sulfided catalysts, maintained by DMDS (0.1 mL) under pressures 54 -56 bar H₂.
For both 1st and 2nd HDO runs, the oleic acid portion of feed was initially converted to stearic acid via the rapid saturation of its double bond (-C=C-) and then, stearic acid was eventually converted to straight chain heptadecane (C_{17}) and octadecane (C_{18}), as in good agreement with our previous study and other reports 140, 187, 192. The abietic acid portion of feed (Figure 30, only shown for 1st runs) was also initially converted to dehydroabietic acid and dihydroabietic acid and then at the end of the HDO reactions (both 1st and 2nd runs), deoxygenated tri-ring hydrocarbons were produced. Therefore, after 6 h of HDO reactions, all oxygenates (reactants and products) related to oleic acid as well as abietic acid portions of the feeds were completely converted.

For the 1st run catalysts (Figure 29a), a complete FA oxygenate conversion was reached after 3 h when oxygenate feed contained only oleic acid. However, addition of abietic acid delayed the complete FA oxygenate conversion for almost one hour, so the complete FA oxygenate conversions were reached only after 4 h. Also, a similar trend was observed for the 2nd HDO runs (Figure 29c), as the addition of abietic acid to the feed decreased the FA oxygenate conversion, most notably, the initial stage (first 30 min) of the FA oxygenate conversion. Moreover, addition of abietic acid clearly decreased the C_{17} selectivity and increased the C_{18} selectivity of the catalysts, thus DO is favored over DCOx (Figure 29 b and d). However, for both 1st and 2nd HDO experiments, the RA oxygenate conversion rate (Figure 30, only shown for 1st runs) was almost unaffected by addition of oleic acid to the feeds.

The apparent initial inhibition in the deoxygenation rate of FA (Figure 29a and c) may partly be due to the rapid disproportionation of abietic acid due to its stronger adsorption on sites compared to oleic acid. Alternatively, the inhibition may be partly due to the fact that abietic acid is bulkier than oleic acid and thus it may sterically hinder adsorption on more neighboring sites and in this way hinder reaction of the fatty acids. It has been reported that strong adsorption of large polyaromatics such as phenanthrene on the active phase of a NiMo/Al_2O_3 catalyst can completely or partially cover the active phase of the catalysts and consequently inhibit its activity 193. Another possible explanation for the decreased FA deoxygenation rate would be the amount of the carbon deposition (coking) on the catalysts that can partially block their active sites. Moreover, the proportionate decrease in start concentration of oleic acid may also have influenced the FA oxygenate conversion rate. If the reaction is first order with respect to FA concentration, then the rate of increase of conversion would be independent of the starting concentration. However, for a reaction order greater than one, a lower start concentration would also reduce the rate of increase of conversion and if reaction order is lesser than one then the opposite effect would be expected.

To evaluate this hypothesis, the effect of the oleic acid start concentration on the rate of FA oxygenate conversion was assessed and the results are shown in Figure 31. These experiments were started with different concentrations of oleic acid (10 wt%, 8 wt% and 6 wt%) in dodecane (solvent), at 320 °C, with freshly sulfided NiMo/Al_2O_3 catalyst (500 mg). A near identical rate of increase of FA oxygenate conversion was observed for these HDO experiments. Also, based on the experimental data obtained from time zero to
360 min and using regression analysis, a first order reaction rate constant \((k)\) was estimated as 0.0191 min\(^{-1}\). Then, the value of the estimated reaction rate constant was used to predict a first order conversion which is also presented in Figure 31. For the studied range of oleic acid concentrations, a comparison between the experimental conversions and the predicted first order conversion shows that the rate of reaction of FA is very nearly first order. The order of oleic acid conversion is also reported to be first order elsewhere\(^\text{187}\).

![Figure 31](image)

**Figure 31.** Effect of the start concentration of oleic acid (OA) on rate of change of oxygenate conversion. Experiments were performed at 320 °C, with freshly sulfided catalysts (500 mg), maintained by DMDS (0.1 mL) under H\(_2\) pressure of 54 bar. The dotted line is corresponding the predicated first order conversion.

In order to further validate the observed inhibition due to the addition of abietic acid, two additional HDO experiments with a higher catalyst/feed weight ratio were performed. In these experiments, a catalyst to feed weight ratio of 0.06, at 320 °C and under a constant hydrogen pressure of 60 bar was used. The new results (see Paper III) also clearly confirmed the inhibition of abietic acid on the HDO of the oleic acid. Therefore, the observed initial inhibition of the deoxygenation rate of FA, shown in Figure 29, is related to the addition of abietic acid.

### 4.6.2 Characterization of spent sulfided NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts

The carbon and sulfur contents of the recovered catalysts from both sets of HDO were measured by TPO studies and elemental microanalysis. Also, the textural properties such as specific surface area, pore volume and pore size were measured by using N\(_2\)-physisorption. Table 4 presents the carbon and sulfur contents as well as the textural properties of the spent NiMoS catalysts recovered from the 1\(^{st}\) run of HDO experiments. The carbon content of the spent catalyst from the 1\(^{st}\) HDO run that was started with 2% abietic acid is nearly 1.75 times higher than the spent catalyst from the reaction which started under similar condition but with 10% oleic acid only. Moreover, when the percentage of abietic in the feed for the mixed feed experiments increased from 0.5% to 1.5%, the carbon deposition increased by nearly 21%. Furthermore, the measured textural properties of the spent catalysts indicate that addition of abietic acid to the oleic acid feed slightly reduced the specific BET surface area, pore volume and average pore size due to carbon deposition.
Figure 32 presents the TPO measurements of the NiMoS catalyst recovered from the 2nd HDO run. Detailed quantifications of the carbon contents of the 2nd run catalysts are presented in Paper III. Compared to the first run catalysts (Table 4), the measured carbon deposition of the 2nd run catalysts (Figure 32) was on average nearly 30% higher. Also, the carbon content of the 2nd run catalysts increased with an increase in the start concentration of abietic acid. After running two sets of HDO experiments, it may therefore be concluded that higher concentrations of abietic acid resulted in a larger portion of deposited carbon. However, the variation in abietic start concentration did not significantly affect the sulfidity of samples. This is probably due to the fact that the same amount of DMDS was used in all experiments, also the amount of DMDS used was in excess to what is required for a complete sulfidation of both nickel and molybdenum phases.

Table 4. Carbon and sulfur content of recovered NiMoS/Al₂O₃ samples following the first runs of HDO experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed composition</th>
<th>C (wt%)</th>
<th>S (wt%)</th>
<th>C/H (mass ratio)</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm³/g)</th>
<th>Average pore size (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed 1 a</td>
<td>0.7 (TPO) b, 0.8 (EM c)</td>
<td>9.4 (EM c)</td>
<td>11.7 (TPO) b</td>
<td>133.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 2 a</td>
<td>1.1 (TPO) b, 1.3 (EM c)</td>
<td>9.1 (EM c)</td>
<td>12.5 (TPO) b</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 3 a</td>
<td>1.2 (TPO) b, 1.3 (EM c)</td>
<td>9.5 (EM c)</td>
<td>13.6 (TPO) b</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 4 a</td>
<td>1.4 (TPO) b, 1.4 (EM c)</td>
<td>9.6 (EM c)</td>
<td>14 (TPO) b</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>61.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 5 a</td>
<td>1.2 (TPO) b, 1.4 (EM c)</td>
<td>9.4 (EM c)</td>
<td>12.2 (TPO) b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Feed 1 (10 wt% Oleic acid), Feed 2 (9.5 wt% Oleic acid and 0.5 wt% Abietic acid), Feed 3 (9 wt% Oleic acid and 1 wt% Abietic acid), Feed 4 (8.5 wt% Oleic acid and 1.5 wt% Abietic acid), Feed 5 (2 wt% Abietic acid). b TPO (Temperature programmed oxidation). c EM (Elemental Microanalysis Ltd. UK).

Figure 32. Concentration profiles of COₓ during the TPO of the recovered catalysts (from the second HDO experiments) in 9% O₂ in Ar.
Additionally, the evolved CO\textsubscript{2} profile for both 1\textsuperscript{st} (not shown here) and 2\textsuperscript{nd} (Figure 32) HDO runs seems to be composed of two convoluted peaks at ~ 290 °C and at ~ 365 °C. The difference in the oxidation temperature may indicate that the deposited carbon on the samples was comprised of at least two different carbonaceous structures. From the water signals, the C/H mass ratios of all spent catalysts (1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} runs) were calculated and the C/H mass ratios of 1\textsuperscript{st} run catalysts are presented in Table 4. It can be noted that the calculated C/H mass ratios are higher than the structure based C/H mass ratios of oleic acid (6.35), abietic acid (8) and their intermediates and products. Thus, the reactants, intermediates and products were largely removed during the catalyst recovery step (washing and filtration) and the argon treatment performed before the TPO measurements.

The deconvolution of the CO\textsubscript{2} and the corresponding water profile (see Paper III) showed that the low temperature regions had a lower C/H mass ratio compared to the higher temperature regions. The fraction of the detected carbon deposits with lower temperature of oxidation and lower C/H mass ratio result from species in an early stage of the coke formation reactions (adsorption and dehydrogenation). However, the carbon portions with higher temperature of oxidation and larger C/H mass ratio should result from species from a more advanced stage of coking reactions, such as polymerization and cyclization of hydrogen deficient fragments. In addition, nearly 40% of the deposited carbon of the 1\textsuperscript{st} run sample from the experiment started with 10% oleic acid had a C/H mass ratio of 8, evolving at lower temperature (290 °C) and 60% had a higher C/H mass ratio of 14.7, at higher temperature (365 °C). In other words, approximately only half of the deposited carbon reached the more advanced stage of coke formation. On the other hand, for other samples from the experiments started with abietic acid in their feed, on average 75% of the deposited carbon had higher C/H mass ratios of ~ 15 at the higher temperature (365 °C). Thus, nearly 75% of the deposited carbon on these samples with abietic acid reached the more advanced stage of the coking reaction. In conclusion, compared to oleic acid feed, abietic acid seems to produce different types of coke precursors with greater quantities that advance more readily to form coke with a higher temperature stability.

4.7 Effect of iron poison on HDO of oleic acid

The effect of iron concentration on the activity and selectivity of sulfided NiMo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Mo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts during HDO of oleic acid was studied. As mentioned earlier, iron was added as an iron oleate complex. The activity results for HDO of the Ni promoted Mo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} are presented in Figure 33 but the activity results of unpromoted sulfided Mo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} can be accessed from Paper IV. The oleic acid HDO experiments were started under 60 bar of total pressure at 325 °C, over 1 g of sulfided NiMo/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts for 330 min. In all experiments, 0.1 mL of DMDS was added to 150 mL of a feed containing 15 wt% oleic acid, 85 wt% dodecane and different quantities of iron oleate (0 wt. ppm to 8400 wt. ppm based on the total weight of the feed (acid and solvent)). The reported ppm concentrations of iron are calculated based on the percentage of iron in the added iron oleate (nearly 24%, done by ICP-SFMS). A part from the experiment with 2000 ppm iron (the highest Fe concentration), the molar balances of liquid products from the rest of HDO
experiments were over 90% on average. The molar balance for intermediate samples of the experiment with 2000 ppm iron were generally poor, so only results for the sample collected at the end of the experiment (after 330 minutes), directly collected from the reactor, then analyzed and are shown here.

![Figure 3. Effect of poison concentration (Fe) on: (A) oxygenate conversion and (B) selectivity for C<sub>17</sub> (heptadecane) and C<sub>18</sub> (octadecane) during HDO of oleic acid over sulfided NiMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst.](image)

The overall oxygenate conversion rate of the HDO experiment that was started without the iron poison (0 ppm Fe, Figure 33A) was the highest observed rate (32 mmolg<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>), nearly 4 times higher than the observed average rates (~ 8.6 mmolg<sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) of other experiments that started with iron poison. Clearly, addition of iron has inhibited the oxygenate conversion rate of oleic acid. Moreover, the selectivity results presented in Figure 33B shows that the increase in the amount of iron poison has resulted in significant changes in the selectivities towards heptadecane (C<sub>17</sub>) and octadecane (C<sub>18</sub>). When, the added concentration of iron poison increased from 0 ppm to 500 ppm, the selectivity towards C<sub>17</sub> decreased from 76 mol% to 57 mol%, while the selectivity towards C<sub>18</sub> increased from 24 mol% to 43 mol%. Also, compared to HDO experiment with no added poison (0 ppm Fe), when the highest concentration of iron was used (2000 ppm Fe, green points), the selectivity towards C<sub>17</sub> decreased by nearly 79% and the selectivity towards C<sub>18</sub> increased from 24 mol% to 83 mol%. Unlike the NiMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, the Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (not shown here) was more selective towards C<sub>18</sub>. However, similar to NiMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, the overall oxygenate rate of the Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst decreased when iron oleate was added to the feed. Also, addition iron oleate, decreased the C<sub>18</sub> selectivity and increased the C<sub>17</sub> selectivity of the Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst. Moreover, no correlation between the iron concentration and amount of carbon deposition on the samples was found. In conclusion, addition of iron decreased the HDO activity of the promoted and unpromoted Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, and also changed their selectivity towards the major products.

4.7.1 Effect of iron poison on deactivation of NiMo catalyst

The effect of addition of iron on the morphology of the active phases of sulfided NiMo catalysts were studied by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) technique coupled with XEDS elemental mapping (Figure 34). A high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) micrograph, showing a nanostructure of MoS<sub>2</sub> clusters in the spent sulfided NiMo catalyst, is presented in Figure
To determine the elemental concentration gradients and distributions of Ni, Mo, Fe and S, a specific area which is depicted as a yellow box (198x198 pixels) was chosen to be scanned and analyzed by XEDS elemental mapping. The concentration gradients of Ni, Mo, Fe and S are shown in Figure 34(B-E). Figure 34B shows that the nickel is mainly concentrated in form of two particles with sizes of nearly 25 nm and 17 nm, whereas Mo and to some extent S, are uniformly distributed on the probed area of the sample (Figure 34D and E).

![Figure 34](image)

**Figure 34.** HAADF-STEM imaging and EDX mapping of catalyst recovered after 330 mins of HDO (NiMo_500 Fe) experiment exhibiting (A) coverage of MoS2 clusters; sub images present elemental distribution of (B) nickel (C) iron (D) molybdenum and (E) sulfur.

Iron species are deposited on the catalysts due to the deoxygenation of the oleate ligands. However, Figure 34C shows that the distribution of Fe is mainly concentrated around Ni particles, even though scattered signals for Fe are also detected in other areas. These results may indicate that iron has possibly reacted with Ni species and produce a new bimetallic sulfide phase (e.g. Fe$_x$Ni$_y$S$_z$) which has a different chemical structure compared to active NiMoS phase. In other words, iron seems to be interfering with the promoting effect of Ni which caused the observed decrease in DCO$_x$ selectivity of the NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst (Figure 33B). On the other hand, iron may partially act as a promoter on the unpromoted Mo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst to increase the DCO$_x$ selectivity.

### 4.8 Hydroconversion of rosin acid

Hydroconversion experiments of rosin acid over alumina, USY-zeolite and mixed alumina/USY-zeolite supported NiMoS catalysts were performed. In these experiments, a RA feed containing abietic acid (85 wt%), dehydroabietic acid (9 wt%), pimaric acid (4 wt%) and dihydroabietic acid (2 wt%) were used. The reactor setup and experimental conditions for these experiments are described in sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.8.

#### 4.8.1 Catalyst activity measurements

Figure 35 presents the product mole distributions during a hydroconversion of abietic acid over a sulfided NiMo catalyst supported on alumina/USY-zeolite with a 50/50 weight ratio. Hydroconversion of abietic acid resulted in a large number of different products, where in total 32 components were identified by GC-MS analysis (Paper V, Figure 6). However, the distributions of 19 major products were quantified and they are...
presented in Figure 36. The reported product mole distributions are calculated based on the number of moles of a product divided by the total moles of the identified reactant and products at each sampling point, excluding dodecane. On average, 60 wt% of the carbon of the RA feed was recovered in the liquid samples based on the identified and quantified major products. In the gas sample, products such as CO, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, and C₃H₈ were detected. To simplify the presentation of the results, different major reactants and products were grouped by the number of their carbon rings.

Figure 35. Distributions of the detected reactant and products using sulfided NiMo catalyst supported on alumina/USY-zeolite with a 50/50 weight ratio: tri-ring structures (A), di-ring structures (B) and mono-ring structures (C). RA feed contain abietic acid, dehydroabietic acid, pimaric acid and dihydroabietic acid. For more details see Paper V.

Figure 35A shows tri-ring reactant and product distributions during the hydroconversion reaction. A complete oxygenate conversion for the oxygenated RA components such as abietic acid, dehydroabietic acid, pimaric acid and dihydroabietic acid was achieved during the reaction time less than 60 min. Moreover, during the initial stage of reaction (first 30 min reaction), the concentrations of retene and the methyl-substituted phenanthrene components (containing mono, di and tri-methyl phenanthrene) increased to 8 mol% and 27 mol%, respectively. The methyl-substituted phenanthrenes and retene are possibly formed via HDO (including DCO₃ and DO routes) of the carboxylic groups of rosin acids on the metal and metal sulfide sites, as well as dehydrogenation of the rings and cracking of the alkyl groups on the Brønsted acid sites. Then, after 30 min of reaction, the concentrations of retene and the methyl-substituted phenanthrenes gradually decreased and finally after 5 h of reaction, small values of nearly 1 mol% and 5 mol%, respectively were reached. The concentration of the methyl substituted o-terphenyl components however steadily increased and finally reached 6 mol%. A possible ring-opening (RO) reaction of the middle ring of the methyl-substituted phenanthrenes on the Brønsted acid sites could also produce the alkyl-substituted biphenyls.

The distributions of the di-ring products are presented in Figure 35B. The concentrations of the di-ring hydrocarbons, including methyl-substituted naphthalene, tetralin and biphenyl components initially (first 30 min) increased and then gradually decreased. The alkyl-substituted naphthalenes are most likely formed via the HDO reaction on the metal and metal sulfide sites, as well as the RO and dehydrogenation reactions of rosin acids on the Brønsted acid site. Then, alkyl-substituted naphthalenes are most likely converted to the alkyl-substituted tetrалins via a possible HYD reaction on the metal
and Brønsted acid sites. Afterward, the alkyl-substituted tetralins can be converted into dimethyl indan via HYD and isomerization reactions on the Brønsted acid sites.

Figure 35C presents the distributions of the mono-ring products. The concentrations of the methyl-substituted benzenes and methyl cyclopentane always increased and finally reached 40.1 mol% and 29 mol%, respectively. However, the concentrations of the methyl-substituted cyclohexanes initially increased and then gradually decreased to a small value of 2 mol%. The alkyl-substituted benzenes may have been formed via the cracking of both dimethyl indan and alkyl-substituted biphenyl intermediate components on Brønsted acid sites. Also, a ring-saturation (RS) and cracking reactions of the alkyl-substituted benzenes can result in formation of the methyl-substituted cyclohexane and methyl cyclopentane products.

Finally, it should be noted that amongst the final products, some branched alkanes such as dimethyl pentane and dimethyl hexane were identified. The detected branched alkanes can be formed from either the RO reaction of cycloalkane methyl or cracking of the dodecane (solvent). However, extra hydroconversion experiments that were performed, using only dodecane as the feed over supported NiMo catalysts, indicated that most of dimethyl pentane was formed from the dodecane feed. Also, it was found that the cracking of dodecane was even slightly higher when rosin acid was not included in the feed. It has been reported that the apparent extra cracking of dodecane may be due to the fact that compared to dodecane, rosin acids are more nucleophilic towards the active acid and metal sites and as a result, they can inhibit cracking of dodecane. As a result, it is very difficult to quantify how much of the detected branched alkanes were produced from rosin acids, and thus their distributions were not considered in this thesis. Based on the above discussion of likely reactions, a reaction scheme for the HDO of abietic acid is suggested and presented in Figure 36.
Figure 36. Proposed reaction scheme for the formation of single ring structures and branched alkanes from the hydroconversion of rosin acids.

4.8.2 Catalyst selectivity measurements

Figure 37 presents the changes in the product selectivity over sulfided NiMo catalysts with different supports. The results shown in Figure 37A reveal that the highest selectivity towards the mono-ring structures and the lowest selectivity towards the tri-ring structures was achieved over the NiMo catalyst supported only on USY-zeolite. However, the results in Figure 37A and B shows that a significant decrease in the USY-zeolite content of the support i.e. from 100 wt% to 50 wt% only caused a moderate reduction in the selectivities for the mono-ring products. Whereas, the largest reduction in selectivity for the mono-ring products and increase for the tri-ring products occurred when the USY-zeolite content of the support was reduced from 25 wt% to 0 wt% (Figure 37C and D). Thus, only a small increase in the USY-zeolite content of the support (to 25 wt%) significantly promoted the RO reaction route, but further increases in the USY-zeolite content resulted only in moderate selectivity changes.
Figure 37. Change of product selectivity over NiMo sulfided catalysts with varying support compositions. Sulfided NiMo catalyst supported on USY-zeolite only (A), 50 wt% alumina and 50 wt% USY-zeolite (B), 75 wt% alumina and 25 wt% USY-zeolite (C) and on alumina only (D).

4.8.3 Catalyst characterization

The supported NiMoS catalysts were characterized using ICP-SFMS, N2-physysorption, ethylamine-TPD, SEM, STEM and TPO. However, only the results from ethylamine-TPD, STEM and TPO characterizations are presented in this summary and the rest are presented in Paper V. Figure 38 presents the ethylamine-TPD results for the fresh and nonsulfided catalysts which are summarized in Table 5.

It is widely accepted that the Brønsted acid sites are created due to the proton (H+) donations to satisfy the Al tetrahedron when the Al^{3+} ions substitute the Si^{4+} ions in the alumina-silica frameworks of e.g zeolites. Various TPD studies indicated that using appropriate molecule probes such as alkylamines can be an effective method to measure and distinguish between Brønsted acid and Lewis acid site densities of solids. According to the Hofmann elimination, a molecule probe such as ethylamine decomposes over Brønsted acid sites and produces ethylene and ammonia molecules. On the other hand, desorption of the intact Ethylamine molecule can indicate the number of Lewis acid sites. Therefore, the number of Lewis and Brønsted acid site densities of the samples were calculated from the ethylamine and ethylene desorption signals, respectively.

Figure 38A shows that the ethylamine desorption peaks from all samples appeared in the same temperature region between 100 °C to 220 °C with near similar shapes. Also, the corresponding results in Table 5 reveal that the alumina supported NiMo sample contains the highest number of Lewis acid sites whereas the USY-zeolite only supported catalyst contains the lowest number of Lewis acid sites. Furthermore, the number of Lewis acid sites decreased slightly when the weight fraction of USY-zeolite of the support increased. On the other hand, the ethylene desorption peaks (Figure 38B),
corresponding to the Brønsted acid sites, appeared in a relatively higher temperature range between 300 °C to 480 °C and were significantly larger compared to the ethylamine peaks. Calculations of the Brønsted acid site densities shows that the alumina supported NiMo catalyst contained the lowest number of Brønsted acid sites while the number of Brønsted acid sites increased proportionately to the USY-zeolite content of the support (Table 5).

![Figure 38](image)

**Figure 38.** Relative desorption concentration profiles of ethylamine (A) and ethylene (B) during the ethylamine-TPD of NiMo catalysts supported on USY-zeolite only (NM-YZ), 50 wt% alumina and 50 wt% USY-zeolite (NM-AlYZ2), 75 wt% alumina and 25 wt% USY-zeolite (NM-AlYZ1) and alumina only (NM-AL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>C(_2)H(_5)NH(_2) (μmol)</th>
<th>Lewis acid site density (μmol g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>C(_2)H(_4) (μmol)</th>
<th>Brønsted acid site density (μmol g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NM-YZ(^a)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>401.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM-ALYZ(^a)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>369.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM-ALYZ(^b)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>311.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM-AL(^c)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>257.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several studies have indicated that the increase in the concentration of Brønsted acid sites of supported catalysts results in higher rates of cracking, isomerization, RS and RO reactions \(^{195, 198, 208}\). Therefore, the observed higher selectivity towards production of the mono-ring components with catalysts containing higher amount of USY-zeolite (Figure 37) can partially be due to their higher concentrations of Brønsted acid sites.

A selection of STEM micrographs of the sulfided NiMo supported on USY-zeolite, alumina/USY-zeolite and alumina supports are presented in Figure 39. Based on the STEM results, the average particle size for the NiMo phases were estimated. The average particle size for the NiMo phases supported on USY-zeolite only, alumina/USY-zeolite with 50% weight ratio and alumina only were estimated to be 18.5 nm (22 particles counted), 21 nm (15 particles counted) and 31 nm (10 particles counted), respectively. The results from the STEM characterization shows that the supported NiMo samples that
contained a larger portion of USY-zeolite in their support had a smaller average NiMo particle size and thus improved dispersions. Therefore, improvements in the dispersion of the metal NiMo phases on the USY-zeolite containing catalysts, may also be considered as a significant factor contributing to the increase in selectivity of the RO and cracking reactions in Figure 37.

![Figure 39](image)

**Figure 39.** STEM micrographs of (A) NiMoS supported on USY-zeolite only, (B) NiMoS supported on alumina/USY-zeolite with 50% ratio and (C) NiMoS supported on alumina only.

The carbon content of the catalysts recovered from the rosin acid hydroconversion reactions were quantified by TPO. Detailed quantification of the carbon contents are presented in Paper V. The correlations between the USY-zeolite, the carbon contents and the selectivity of mono-ring structures of the supported NiMo catalysts is shown in Figure 40.

![Figure 40](image)

**Figure 40.** Carbon content versus the USY-zeolite contents (A) and Variation of the mono and tri-ring products versus the carbon deposition of the NiMo catalysts (B). NiMo catalysts supported on USY-zeolite only (NM-YZ), 50 wt% alumina and 50 wt% USY-zeolite (NM-AlYZ1), 75 wt% alumina and 25 wt% USY-zeolite (NM-AlYZ2) and alumina only (NM-AL).

Figure 40A shows that the carbon content increased nearly exponentially with the USY-zeolite content of the catalysts. Figure 40B shows that the largest variations in the carbon deposition occurred when only moderate selectivity changes towards both mono and tri-ring products occurred. Whereas, significant variations in selectivities only resulted in moderate carbon deposition variations. In other words, a significant decrease in the USY-zeolite content of the support caused a substantial reduction in the carbon deposition and only moderate changes in selectivities. Based on these results, it seems that the NiMo catalyst supported on alumina/USY-zeolite with 50% weight ratio is the most promising catalyst for the hydroconversion of rosin acids of the examined materials.
Hydrotreatment of renewable feedstocks over alumina and zeolite supported sulfided NiMo and CoMo catalysts is recognized as one of the most efficient and promising processes for producing high quality renewable diesel fuels. However, loss in activity and changes in selectivity of hydrotreating catalysts are very complex processes and they have raised major concerns from economic and technological perspectives. The objective of this thesis was to deepen the understanding of how different catalyst preparations and process parameters affect the activity and selectivity of the hydrotreating catalysts.

Alumina supported Ni, Co and Mo containing catalysts were synthesized via an impregnation method. The effect of different synthesizing and pretreatment parameters on the hydrogen uptake capacity and dispersion of metal phases of the catalysts were investigated. The results showed that the pH of the impregnating solutions is an important parameter that can significantly impact the size and dispersion of metal phases of catalysts. For the metal precursors used in this thesis, an optimum range for the pH was proposed that results in a considerable improvement for the catalyst dispersion. Also, it was shown that that performing a pre-calcination in air can have very detrimental effects on the vital catalyst properties such as reducibility, dispersion and hydrogen uptake capacity. For instance, a non-calcined Ni/Al₂O₃ sample was found to have a much higher hydrogen uptake capacity compared to the calcined Ni/Al₂O₃ samples. The detrimental effect of calcination was related to promotion of high metal-support interactions that resulted in formation of heteropolymetal compounds and complex species such as CoAl₂O₄, NiAl₂O₄, Al₂(MoO₄)₃ and CoMoO₄ which are generally very stable and difficult to reduce. Therefore, when operating with nonsulfided HDO catalysts, it would be very beneficial to avoid the calcination step but instead, directly reduce the catalysts in a hydrogen containing atmosphere.

Moreover, the activity of sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ and Mo/Al₂O₃ catalysts used for the HDO reaction of oleic acid and abietic acid was studied. The HDO reactions of oleic acid were mainly proceeded through DCO₃ and DO reaction routes from which heptadecane and octadecane were produced, respectively. The sulfided NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst was more selective towards heptadecane whereas sulfided Mo/Al₂O₃ catalyst was more selective towards octadecane. The HDO reactions of abietic acid were initially proceeded through disproportionation route when dehydroabietic and dihydroabietic acid...
were produced and then the final deoxygenated products (tri-cycles) were produced mainly through DCO₃, HYD and dehydration routes.

Additionally, the effect of DMDS concentrations on the activity of the sulfided NiMo catalysts was investigated. For the studied concentrations of DMDS, the activity results showed that higher concentration of DMDS generally improved the oxygenate conversion rate of the NiMo catalyst. Also, higher concentration of DMDS notably increased the production of heptadecane, but the production of octadecane was almost unaffected by using different concentrations of DMDS. In other words, higher concentrations of DMDS promoted DCO₃ route and obstructed DO route. The XPS results showed that the active phases such as NiMoS and MoS₂ of the NiMo catalyst were better maintained when higher concentrations of DMDS were used. The TPO results showed that higher concentration of DMDS decreased the contents of more carbonaceous deposits on spent catalysts. The results in this thesis also shows that addition of abietic acid to an oleic acid feed inhibits the oxygenate conversion of oleic acid. The observed inhibition was related to fast disproportionation of more strongly adsorbed abietic acid as well as possibly steric hindrance associated to adsorption of rosin acids. Also, the results revealed that compared to oleic acid, abietic acid produced different types of coke precursors with greater quantities that advanced more readily to more carbonaceous coke with higher temperature stability.

Furthermore, the deactivation of HDO catalysts due to iron poisoning is revealed in this thesis. The oxygen conversion rates of both NiMo and Mo catalysts decreased when an iron poison was added to the oxygenate feed. Also, addition of the iron poison affected the selectivities of both catalysts. The reason for the apparent inhibition of NiMo catalyst was revealed to be due to the disturbance on the promotional effect of Ni atoms which was caused by iron.

Finally, sulfided NiMo catalysts supported on alumina, USY-zeolite and mixed alumina/USY-zeolite were used to investigate the deoxygenation and ring opening reactions of tri-ring structured rosin acids. The increase in the number of Brønsted acid sites as well as improvement in the dispersion of the metal NiMo phases of the catalysts containing more USY-zeolite in their supports, resulted in the increase in selectivity of the RO and cracking reactions. However, the extensive Brønsted acidity of the Y-zeolite modified NiMo catalyst resulted in formation of the tetra-ring structures (pyrene) via undesirable dealkylation and bimolecular reactions. As a final point, this thesis shows that the Brønsted acidity of the support could be optimized by the USY-zeolite content of the catalyst to achieve a satisfactory level of deoxygenation, RO and cracking of the rosin acid while avoiding excessive coke formation.

In future study, using other characterization methods such as NMR, for characterization of the liquid samples, and optimized soxhlet technique for further identification of the deposited carbon on spent catalysts should be considered. Moreover, further improvement of the NiMo containing hydroconverting catalysts with higher catalytic activity performance at lower temperatures with low quality feeds such as rosin acids is interesting to be investigated. Furthermore, as relatively fast catalyst deactivation
via coking can frequently occur during the hydrotreating processes, for a reasonably expensive catalyst, a regeneration (decoking) process on a regular basis may be required. Therefore, it is very interesting to examine and optimize different regeneration methods.

As well, hydrotreating of the emerging new types of bio oils such as lignin and algae oils is very interesting and could be important areas in the future.
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