

Risk-based cost-benefit analysis for evaluating microbial risk mitigation in a drinking water system

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-06-18 04:41 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Bergion, V., Lindhe, A., Sokolova, E. et al (2018). Risk-based cost-benefit analysis for evaluating microbial risk mitigation in a drinking water system. Water Research, 132: 111-123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.054

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Accepted Manuscript

Risk-based cost-benefit analysis for evaluating microbial risk mitigation in a drinking water system

Viktor Bergion, Andreas Lindhe, Ekaterina Sokolova, Lars Rosén

PII: S0043-1354(17)31049-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.054

Reference: WR 13452

To appear in: Water Research

Received Date: 13 July 2017

Revised Date: 31 October 2017

Accepted Date: 22 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Bergion, V., Lindhe, A., Sokolova, E., Rosén, L., Risk-based cost-benefit analysis for evaluating microbial risk mitigation in a drinking water system, *Water Research* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.12.054.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Risk-based decision model



¹ Risk-based cost-benefit analysis for evaluating

² microbial risk mitigation in a drinking water

3 system

4 Viktor Bergion^{a*}, Andreas Lindhe^a, Ekaterina Sokolova^a, Lars Rosén^a

^a Chalmers University of Technology, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering

- 6 SE-41269 Gothenburg, Sweden
- 7 ^{*} Corresponding author, e-mail address: <u>viktor.bergion@chalmers.se</u>

8 Abstract

Waterborne outbreaks of gastrointestinal diseases can cause large costs to society. Risk 9 management needs to be holistic and transparent in order to reduce these risks in an effective 10 manner. Microbial risk mitigation measures in a drinking water system were investigated 11 using a novel approach combining probabilistic risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis. 12 Lake Vomb in Sweden was used to exemplify and illustrate the risk-based decision model. 13 Four mitigation alternatives were compared, where the first three alternatives, A1-A3, 14 represented connecting 25, 50 and 75 %, respectively, of on-site wastewater treatment 15 16 systems in the catchment to the municipal wastewater treatment plant. The fourth alternative, A4, represented installing a UV-disinfection unit in the drinking water treatment plant. 17 Quantitative microbial risk assessment was used to estimate the positive health effects in 18 19 terms of quality adjusted life years (QALYs), resulting from the four mitigation alternatives. The health benefits were monetised using a unit cost per QALY. For each mitigation 20 alternative, the net present value of health and environmental benefits and investment, 21

maintenance and running costs was calculated. The results showed that only A4 can reduce 22 the risk (probability of infection) below the World Health Organization guidelines of 10^{-4} 23 infections per person per year (looking at the 95th percentile). Furthermore, all alternatives 24 resulted in a negative net present value. However, the net present value would be positive 25 (looking at the 50th percentile using a 1 % discount rate) if non-monetised benefits (e.g. 26 27 increased property value divided evenly over the studied time horizon and reduced microbial risks posed to animals), estimated at 800-1200 SEK (€100-150) per connected on-site 28 29 wastewater treatment system per year, were included. This risk-based decision model creates a robust and transparent decision support tool. It is flexible enough to be tailored and applied 30 to local settings of drinking water systems. The model provides a clear and holistic structure 31 for decisions related to microbial risk mitigation. To improve the decision model, we suggest 32 to further develop the valuation and monetisation of health effects and to refine the 33 34 propagation of uncertainties and variabilities between the included methods.

35

Keywords: cost-benefit analysis (CBA), decision support, drinking water, quality adjusted life
year (QALY), quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA), water quality modelling

38 1 Introduction

Risk management of drinking water systems (DWSs) is an iterative process including risk 39 40 assessment and risk mitigation (i.e. risk treatment) (ISO 2009). To be effective in providing safe drinking water supply, the risk management must comprise the entire system, from 41 catchment to consumer. If the risks are unacceptable, risk mitigation measures should be 42 43 implemented, and alternatives for risk mitigation evaluated. Water Safety Plans procedures, developed by the World Health Organization (WHO), can serve as a risk management 44 strategy for water providers (Bartram et al. 2009). However, in order to allocate societal 45 resources for risk mitigation in an efficient manner, the economic dimension of risk levels and 46 possible risk mitigation measures must be considered (WHO 2011). 47 Risks related to DWSs have been extensively discussed in the literature (e.g. Beuken et al. 48 49 2008, Keller and Wilson 1992, WHO 2011). Health risks in DWSs can be related to chemical, microbial and radiological hazards (WHO 2011). In this paper, the microbial risks are the 50 main focus. Microbial risks in the form of pathogenic microorganisms can originate from 51 faecal sources (Dufour et al. 2012, Ferguson et al. 2009) related to humans (municipal 52 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) or on-site wastewater treatment systems (OWTSs) on 53 54 private properties) or animals (wild animals, domestic grazing animals or use of manure on cropland). Pathogens in DWSs can cause endemic waterborne illness (Payment and Hunter 55 2001) as well as waterborne outbreaks of gastrointestinal diseases, resulting in high costs for 56 the society (Corso et al. 2003, Larsson et al. 2014). The WHO pointed out that the societal 57 costs for endemic waterborne illness and related gastrointestinal disease are commonly 58 underestimated (WHO 2001). 59

Quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA) has been applied to DWSs in various settings
(Haas et al. 2014, WHO 2016) in order to assess the risk in relation to an acceptable or

tolerable risk level. The result from a QMRA is typically reported as probability of infection,
disability adjusted life years (DALYs) or quality adjusted life years (QALYs). Both DALYs
and QALYs are health metrics that combine mortality and morbidity. Drinking water
producers commonly look at the (WHO) for guidance and the suggested risk levels of an
annual probability of infection of 10⁻⁴ per person per year, and DALYs of 10⁻⁶ per person per
year (WHO 2011).

To make informed decisions on which risk mitigation measure to implement in order to use 68 societal resources effectively, the alternatives need to be compared. Comprehensive lists and 69 procedures for identifying risk mitigation measures (e.g. Åström and Pettersson 2010, NZMH 70 71 2014, Rosén et al. 2010) are available. Decision support systems or decision models such as cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) and multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) can aid 72 decision makers in comparing the alternatives. If there are no regulations regarding acceptable 73 risk levels, other evaluation methods might be needed in order to justify the implementation 74 of risk mitigation measures. Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) provides a robust well-established 75 76 decision support approach to investigate the measure that is the most profitable or least costly (if a certain risk level is required) for society (Boardman et al. 2011, Cameron et al. 2011). 77

Comparing mitigation measures directed at different parts of the supply system and 78 79 identifying the options most profitable for society are key steps towards a holistic and sustainable risk management approach. Adopting holistic risk management also enables the 80 multi-barrier approach emphasised by the WHO (2011). Using CBA as a basis for decision 81 support helps to allocate monetary resources in an efficient manner providing possibilities to 82 compare mitigation measures with interventions in other sectors (e.g. food, health care, traffic 83 84 and environmental risk management). CBA facilitates optimisation of the societal resources by comparing economic metrics, such as net present value (NPV), and performing 85 distributional analysis (Cameron et al. 2011). CBA also helps highlight the societal benefits of 86

reducing microbial risks in DWSs and creates a systematic and transparent decision supporttool.

Different frameworks for combining risk management, decision making process and CBA in the drinking water context have been investigated (e.g. Assmuth et al. 2016, Rizak et al. 2003). Despite the aforementioned implementations, there are few, if any, methods that use a probabilistic quantitative risk-based approach to create decision support in the form of a CBA for microbial risk management in DWSs. To include an economic dimension and to perform a CBA in this way is uncommon, even though the need is emphasised by the WHO (WHO 2001).

96 Aim

In this study we develop a method for creating a systematic, holistic and transparent decision 97 support for microbial risk management in DWSs. We present a novel CBA approach from 98 catchment to consumer. More in detail, we perform a CBA using a combination of water 99 quality modelling and QMRA to compare microbial risk mitigation alternatives in a DWS. 100 101 The methodology is exemplified using Lake Vomb in the south of Sweden. Different alternatives of removing OWTSs are compared to installation of an additional treatment step 102 in the drinking water treatment plant (DWTP). We also highlight the choices that needs to be 103 104 made in the CBA-model, and what implications these might have on the outcome of the CBA.

105 2 Risk-based decision model

The suggested approach for combining the methods for QMRA and CBA is presented as a
decision model in Figure 1. The four major compartments are: (i) source characterisation, (ii)
water quality modelling, (iii) dose-response, and (iv) CBA. The source characterisation
provides input to the water quality modelling, and the water quality modelling provides input
to the dose-response. The QMRA framework, including (i), (ii) and (iii), describes the entire

risk chain in the DWS and provides input for the CBA. Epistemic uncertainties (associated 111 with lack of knowledge) and aleatory uncertainties (associated with natural variations) in all 112 compartments are incorporated into the model by means of Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. 113 The combination of methods aims to enable an estimation of the microbial risk in the DWS as 114 well as an estimation of the effect of risk reduction measures and their societal profitability. 115 Hence, the decision model can serve as a tool within the water safety plan framework. When 116 analysing different mitigation measures, each compartment of the decision model needs to be 117 executed. Detailed method descriptions of each compartment are presented in sections 3.2-118 3.4. It should be noted that this decision model is generic, and the applied methods in each 119 case study should be selected to fit the specific context of the analysed DWS. 120







124 3 Methods

125 3.1 Lake Vomb

126 Lake Vomb is a small lake in Scania, the southernmost part of Sweden, providing 330,000 consumers with drinking water. The average water depth is 6.6 m, and the maximum depth is 127 16 m. Three major tributaries discharge into Lake Vomb: Borstbäcken, Torpsbäcken and 128 Björkaån draining 26, 42 and 340 km², respectively. There are approximately 2800 OWTSs in 129 the catchment (Norwegian Water BA 2009) posing a risk to the drinking water source. Other 130 sources of microbial risks are e.g. WWTP, fertilisation using manure, grazing animals, wild 131 animals. Raw water is extracted from Lake Vomb and artificially infiltrated into a 132 glaciofluvial aquifer and then treated using conventional treatment consisting of rapid sand 133 filtration and chlorination (Norwegian Water BA 2009). Figure 2 illustrates the case study 134





136



Microbial risk mitigation alternatives in different parts of the DWS were chosen to illustrate
how the risk-based decision model can be used. The mitigation alternatives also reflect the
contemporary trends in Sweden regarding OWTSs management and an increase in installation
of UV-disinfection in DWTPs. Three of the analysed alternatives represent connection of

142	different proportions (25, 50 and 75 %, respectively) of the OWTSs in the catchment to the
143	municipal WWTP. The costs for the alternatives were based on connection of clusters of
144	closely located OWTSs. However, the pathogen load from these OWTSs was assumed to be
145	removed evenly across the different types of OWTSs and geographically across the catchment
146	area. This assumption was made because of the short transport time in the catchment (Sundahl
147	et al. 2008). The fourth alternative was to install UV-disinfection at the DWTP at Lake Vomb.
148	The four decision alternatives and one reference alternative were analysed:
149	• Reference alternative (A-Ref) – Continuation of the present state.
150	• Alternative 1 (A1) – Connecting 25 % (621) of the OWTSs to the local WWTP.
151	• Alternative 2 (A2) – Connecting 50 % (1240) of the OWTSs to the local WWTP.
152	• Alternative 3 (A3) – Connecting 75 % (1861) of the OWTSs to the local WWTP.
153	• Alternative 4 (A4) – An additional barrier, UV-disinfection, is installed at the
154	DWTP.

155 3.2 Quantitative microbial risk assessment (QMRA)

The QMRA methodology (Haas et al. 2014) was used for quantifying the health effects
related to the reference alternative and the microbial risk mitigation alternatives. All inputs for
the QMRA are listed in Table 1; input distributions represent both epistemic uncertainties and
aleatory uncertainties.

160 3.2.1 Source characterisation

Human pathogens in wastewater from OWTS were quantified as described by Ottoson and
Stenström (2003). It was assumed that the population was large enough to have pathogens
present continuously, and that the entire pathogen load was evenly spread throughout the
catchment. Three reference pathogens were used, one for each of the pathogen groups: *Cryptosporidium* for protozoa; *Campylobacter* for bacteria; and norovirus for viruses. The

166 pathogen concentration ($C_{Tributary}$, *pathogens/L*) of each reference pathogen in each tributary

168
$$C_{Tributary} = \frac{I_{Path} \cdot U \cdot D_{Path} \cdot FP \cdot C \cdot P}{365 \cdot 10^5 \cdot F_{Tributary}}$$
(1)

where I_{Path} (*infections/year/10⁵ inhabitants*) was the incidence; U (*no unit*) was the factor for underreporting; D_{Path} (*days*) was the duration of excretion; FP (g) was the faecal production per person per day; C (*pathogens/g*) was the pathogen concentration in faeces when infected; P (*persons*) was the number of persons that are using OWTSs in the sub-basin; and $F_{Tributary}$ (*L/day*) was the average daily flow for each tributary.

174 Table 1 Inputs for the quantitative microbial risk assessment.

Input	Unit	Abbr.	Distr. ^s	Parameters
		General inp	outs	
Pers. connected to OWTSs ^a	#	Р	PV	ARef=6215, A1=4661, A2=3107, A3=1554,
				A4=6215
Factor of underreporting ^b	-	U	PV	38
Faecal production ^c	g	FP	N	P05=115.7, P95=144.2
Red. in on-site sewage ^d	Log_{10} red.	R _{OWTS}	PV	0.72
Depth of unsat. zone ^e	m	Dp_{UZ}	Т	Min=1.2, Mode=1.5, Max=2.4
Length of satur. zone ^e	m	L_{SZ}	Т	Min=100, Mode=150, Max=250
Drinking water consumption ^f	L/day	WI	e ^N	Ν(μ=-0.299, σ=0.57)
Average flow Björkaån ^g	L/day	F_{Biorka}	PV	$3.21*10^{8}$
Average flow Torpsbäcken ^g	L/day	F _{Torps}	PV	$3.46*10^7$
Average flow Borstbäcken ^g	L/day	F _{Borst}	PV	$2.16*10^7$
		Inputs for nor	ovirus	
Incidence ^h	Inf./10 ⁵ inh/y	I _{Noro}	G	α=6.25, β=10.6
Days excreting ⁱ	days	D_{Noro}	LN	P01=13, P99=27, Loc=0
Path. conc. in faeces if inf. ^j	Log ₁₀ path./g	C_{Noro}	Ν	P01=5, P99=9
Red. lake transp. Björkaån ^k	Log_{10} red.	R _{Biork Noro}	Ν	μ=4.5, σ=0.12, Tr: Min=0
Red. lake transp. Torpsbäcken ^k	Log_{10} red.	R _{Torp Noro}	Ν	$\mu = 4.5, \sigma = 0.11, \text{Tr: Min} = 0$
Red. lake transp. Borstbäcken ^k	Log_{10} red.	R _{Borst Noro}	Ν	μ =4.6, σ =0.10, Tr: Min=0
Red. in unsatur. zone ¹	Log ₁₀ red./m	R _{UZ/m} Noro	Т	Min=0.05, Mode=0.3, Max=1
Red. in satur. zone ^m	Log ₁₀ red./m	R _{SZ Noro}	LN	μ=6.6587, σ=5.5366
Red. in conv. treatm. ⁿ	Log_{10} red.	R _{CT Noro}	Т	Min=0.4, Mode=0.5, Max=0.6
Red. in UV-treatm. °	Log_{10} red.	R _{UV Noro}	PV	4.2
Infectivity ^p	-	m _{Noro}	EBP	$\alpha = 0.04, \beta = 0.055$
DALYs per infection ^q	DALY/inf	D_{Noro}	PV	0.000716
QALYs per infection ^r	QALY/inf	Q_{Noro}	PV	0.0009
7	Inp	outs for Campy	vlobacter	
Incidence ^h	Inf./10 ⁵ inh/y	I _{Camp}	G	α=64.6, β=1.27
Days excreting ⁱ	days	D_{Camp}	LN	P01=13, P99=27, Loc=0
Path. conc. in faeces if inf. ^j	Log ₁₀ path./g	C_{Camp}	Ν	P01=4, P99=10
Red. lake transp. Björkaån ^k	Log ₁₀ red.	R_{Bjork_Camp}	Ν	μ=5. 5, σ=0.40, Tr: Min=0
Red. lake transp. Torpsbäcken ^k	Log_{10} red.	R _{Torp Camp}	Ν	μ=5.6, σ=0.38, Tr: Min=0
Red. lake transp. Borstbäcken ^k	Log_{10} red.	R _{Borst Camp}	Ν	μ=5.9, σ=0.29, Tr: Min=0
Red. in unsatur. Zone ¹	Log10 red./m	R _{UZ/m} Camp	Т	Min=0.05, Mode=0.5, Max=1
Red. in satur. Zone ¹	Log ₁₀ red./m	R _{SZ/m} Camp	Т	Min=0.001, Mode=0.05, Max=1
Red. in conv. treatm. ⁿ	Log ₁₀ red.	R _{CT Camp}	Т	Min=0.2, Mode=0.25, Max=0.3
Red. in UV-treatm. °	Log_{10} red.	R _{UV Camp}	PV	5.3
Infectivity ^p	-	m _{Camp}	EBP	α=0.024, β=0.011

	DALYs	per infection ^q	DALY/inf	D _{Camp}	PV	0.00328
	QALYs per infection ^r		QALY/inf	Q_{Camp}	PV	0.0163
			Inpu	uts for Crypto	sporidium	
	Incidence ^f		Inf./10 ⁵ inh/y	I _{Crypt}	G	$\alpha = 5.43, \beta = 0.228$
	Days excreting ^g		days	D_{Cryp}	LN	P01=5, P99=30, Loc=0
	Path. conc. in faeces if inf.		Log ₁₀ path./g	C_{Cryp}	Ν	P01=7, P99=9
	Red. lake transp. Björkaån ^k		Log_{10} red.	R_{Bjork_Cryp}	Ν	μ =4.5, σ = 0.12, Tr: Min=0
	Red. lake	e transp. Torpsbäcken ^k	Log_{10} red.	R_{Torp_Cryp}	N	μ =4.6, σ =0.10, Tr: Min=0
	Red. lake	e transp. Borstbäcken *	Log_{10} red.	R_{Borst_Cryp}	N	$\mu = 4.6, \sigma = 0.095, \text{ Tr: Min} = 0$
	Red. in u	insatur. zone	Log_{10} red./m	R_{UZ/m_Cryp}	Т	Min=0.05, Mode=0.5, Max=1
	Red. in s	atur. zone	Log_{10} red./m	R_{SZ/m_Cryp}	T	$M_{1n}=0.001$, $M_{0n}=0.05$, $M_{0n}=1$
	Red. in c	onv. treatm.	Log_{10} red.	R_{CT_Cryp}	T	Min=0.4, Mode=0.5, Max=0.6
	Red. in U	V-treatm.	Log_{10} red.	R _{UV_Cryp}		3
	DALVa	ly ^r	- DALV/inf	m_{Cryp}	EBP	$\alpha = 0.115, p = 0.176$
	DALIS	per infection ^r	DAL I/IIII OAL V/inf	D_{Cryp}		0.00207
175	QALIS	2.5 marsana (Åström and	QAL 1/III	Q_{Cryp}		0.0055
176	a) b)	2.5 persons (Astroni and Maad at al. (1000)	Jonansson 2015) per on-site	wastewate	r treatment system.
170	0)	We et al. (1999)				
170	c)	wyman et al. $(19/8)$				
178	d)	Log_{10} reduction in on-sit	e sewage was es	timated using	standard	values for four types of systems, achieving 100 %
1/9		99 %, 95 %, 50 %, respe	ctively (SEPA 1	991, 2002, 20	03, 2008b	b), and the proportions of OWTS types.
180	e)	Personal communication	with B.M. Pott	at Southern S	weden Wa	ater Supply (Sydvatten)
181	f)	Westrell et al. (2006)				
182	g)	SMHI (2017)				
183	h)	Based on yearly inciden	ce data 2006-201	16 (PHAS 201	7). Norov	irus and Campylobacter: Best fit using Chi-
184		Squared, Cryptosporidiu	m: Best fit using	g Kolmogorov	-Smirnov.	For norovirus: incidence was based on per season
185		incidence and data from	2006-2012 was	based on natio	onal data c	lue to lack of regional estimates.
186	i)	Norovirus: The Min/Me	dian of the range	of days shed	ding from	Atmar et al. (2008) was chosen as P01/P99
187		respectively, Campyloba	acter and Crypto.	sporidium: Re	ported tria	angular Min/Max from Petterson et al. (2016) was
188		chosen for P01/P99 resp	ectively.		\mathbf{X}	
189	j)	Norovirus: From Marsha	all et al. (2001) a	s reported in	Westrell 2	2004), Campylobacter and Cryptosporidium:
190		Reported triangular Min	Max from Pette	rson et al. (20	16) was cl	hosen for P01/P99 respectively.
191	k)	Distributions fitted from	three years (200	05-2007) of si	mulated da	aily Log ₁₀ reduction from the hydrodynamic
192		modelling. Tr=Truncation	n			
193	l)	Estimation based on exp	ert judgement.			
194	m)	Reduction calculated usi	ng 10,000 MC it	terations using	g a ground	water transport model estimating the removal due
195		to attachment, inactivation	on and dilution (Åström et al.	2016, Sch	ijven et al. 2006).
196	n)	Norwegian Water BA (2	009)			
197	o)	Calculated using Equation	on 7. UV-dose (f	luence) was s	et to 400 J	$1/m^2$. The inactivation constant (k) and intercept of
198	,	the fluence axis (b) were	set to (k/b) (0.1)	06/0). (0.293/	0) and (0.2	225/1.087) for norovirus. <i>Campylobacter</i> and
199		Cryptosporidium respect	ively (Hiinen et	al. 2006). No	t to exceed	the experimental range (Hijnen et al. 2006), the
200		maximum Log ₁₀ reduction	on was used for i	norovirus and	Cryntosn	oridium
201	n)	Norovirus: Teunis et al	(2008) Campyle	o <i>bacter</i> : Teun	is et al. (20	005) Cryptosporidium: Teunis et al. (2002)
202	(P)	Norovirus and Cryptosn	oridium: based o	n a re-analysi	s from Ke	mmeren et al. (2006). Campylobacter: based on
202	<i>q)</i>	Havelaar and Melse (20))3)	in a re-anarysi	s nom Ke	milleren et al. (2000), eunpytobaeter: based on
203	r)	Batz et al. (2014)				
204	1) s)	Duz et al. (2014) DV-point value N-Nor	mal distribution	T_triangular	distributio	on a ^N -avponential with a normal distribution in the
205	5)	rv-point value, N-Noin	tribution I N_I		uisuibution	EDD-Exact Data Daisage distribution
200		exponent, G=Gamma dis	surbution, LIN=L	Loginormai dis	surroution,	EDF-EXACT DETA-POISSON DISTRIBUTION.
207						
207						

2083.2.2 Water quality modelling

209 The pathogen concentrations at the raw water intake (C_{RW} , *pathogens/L*) were calculated as:

210
$$C_{RW} = (C_{Borst} \cdot 10^{-(R_{OWTS} + R_{Borst})}) + (C_{Torp} \cdot 10^{-(R_{OWTS} + R_{Torp})}) + (C_{Bjorka} \cdot 10^{-(R_{OWTS} + R_{Bjork})})$$
(2)

where C_{Borst} , C_{Torp} and C_{Bjorka} (*pathogens/L*) were the pathogen concentrations in the tributaries; R_{OWTS} (*no unit*) was the Log₁₀ reduction in the OWTSs; and R_{Borst} , R_{Torp} and R_{Bjork} (*no unit*) were the Log₁₀ reduction due to transport in Lake Vomb from the tributary to the raw water intake. Reduction in the catchment from OWTS discharge until entering Lake Vomb was conservatively assumed to be negligible due to the longevity of pathogens and the rapid transport (Sundahl et al. 2008) in the catchment.

Hydrodynamic modelling was performed to simulate the fate and transport of pathogens from
the point of entering Lake Vomb from the three tributaries to the raw water intake. Due to the
linearity of the hydrodynamic model, a constant load was used to estimate the pathogen
reduction. Decay of the pathogens was calculated as:

$$C_t = C_0 \cdot e^{-wt} \tag{3}$$

where C_t (pathogens/L) was the concentration at t; C_0 (pathogens/L) was the initial 222 concentration; w(1/day) was the decay rate; and t was the time step. The w value was set to 223 0.23 for Campylobacter and 0.03 for Cryptosporidium and norovirus, based on the estimates 224 of half-life of pathogens in environment. For *Campylobacter*, the median half-life was 225 estimated from various literature sources (Catalao Dionisio et al. 2000, Cook and Bolster 226 2007, Hendricks 1971, McGee et al. 2002, Medema et al. 1997, Nasser et al. 2003, Ottosson 227 and Stenström 2003, Rhodes and Kator 1988, Terzieva and McFeters 1991). For 228 Cryptosporidium and norovirus the same half-life was used, estimated as the median half-life 229 given in literature (Medema et al. 1997, Nasser et al. 2003, Ottosson and Stenström 2003). 230 Cryptosporidium was also reduced due to settling in the lake. In the MIKE 3 FM, the settling 231 velocity for Cryptosporidium was specified as 0.03 m/day, which is the settling velocity 232 previously suggested for free oocysts (Medema et al. 1998). It was conservatively assumed 233 that Cryptosporidium oocysts released into the lake were not attached to particles. 234

Comparing the input pathogen concentration at each tributary with the resulting pathogen concentration at the raw water intake, the daily Log_{10} reduction due to transport in the lake was calculated. Three year time-series of daily Log_{10} reductions were used to estimate the variability in the daily Log_{10} reduction for the three different transport paths. The estimated Log_{10} reductions for each tributary are presented in Table 1.

240 The pathogen concentration in drinking water (C_{DW} , pathogens/L) was calculated as:

241
$$C_{DW} = C_{RW} \cdot 10^{-(R_{UZ} + R_{SZ} + R_{CT} + R_{UV})}$$
(4)

where R_{UZ} (*no unit*) was the total Log₁₀ reduction in the unsaturated zone; R_{SZ} (*no unit*) was the total Log₁₀ reduction in the saturated zone; R_{CT} (*no unit*) was the Log₁₀ reduction by the conventional treatment at the DWTP; and R_{UV} (*no unit*) was the total Log₁₀ reduction by the UV-disinfection. The chlorination step was assumed not to contribute to the microbial removal because of a small dose and that chloramine was used as disinfection agent¹.

- 247 The Log₁₀ reduction in the unsaturated zone (R_{UZ} , no unit) was calculated as:
- 248

 $R_{UZ} = R_{UZ/m} \cdot Dp_{UZ} \tag{5}$

249 where $R_{UZ/m}$ (Log_{10}/m) was the Log₁₀ reduction per meter, and $Dp_{UZ}(m)$ was the depth of the 250 unsaturated zone.

For *Campylobacter* and *Cryptosporidium*, the Log_{10} reduction in the saturated zone (R_{SZ} , *no unit*) was calculated as:

$$R_{SZ} = R_{SZ/m} \cdot L_{SZ} \tag{6}$$

where $R_{SZ/m}$ (Log_{10}/m) was the Log₁₀ reduction per meter in saturated zone, and L_{SZ} (*m*) was

the length of the saturated zone. For norovirus, the Log_{10} reduction in saturated zone (R_{SZ} , no

¹ Personal communication the Southern Sweden Water Supply (Sydvatten).

unit) was estimated using a groundwater transport model (Åström et al. 2016, Schijven et al. 256 257 2006). The estimated Log_{10} reductions in conventional treatment (R_{CT}) are presented in Table 1. 258 The Log_{10} reduction by the UV-disinfection (R_{UV} , no unit) was described as a first order 259 disinfection model and calculated as: 260 $R_{IV} = -x \cdot f - b$ 261 where $x (cm^2/mJ)$ was an inactivation constant; b (no unit) was the interception of the fluence 262 axis; and $f(mJ/cm^2)$ was fluence. 263 3.2.3 Dose-response 264 To estimate the health effects in the form of infections due to the pathogens in the drinking 265 water, the pathogen daily dose (D, pathogens/day) was calculated as. 266 $D = C_{DW} \cdot W$ (8) 267 where WI (L) was the daily ingested volume of drinking water per capita in Sweden (Westrell 268 269 et al. 2006). All three reference pathogens were assigned the Exact Beta-Poisson doseresponse function. An Exact Beta-Poisson function can be represented by an exponential 270 function with a beta distribution in the exponent (Equation 9); this approach has been reported 271 to be representative in infection studies (Teunis et al. 2005, Teunis et al. 2002, Teunis et al. 272 2008). 273

$$P_{inf} = 1 - e^{-m \cdot D}$$

274

where P_{inf} (probability) was the daily probability of infection for each pathogen; m (no unit) 275 was the infectivity; and D was the simulated daily pathogen dose that was ingested. 276

The annual probability of infection $(P_{annual}, probability)$ was calculated as: 277

(9)

(10)

278
$$P_{annual} = 1 - \prod_{1}^{365} \left(1 - P_{inf} \right)$$

A bootstrap technique was used to sample 365 random P_{inf} values for each iteration

calculating the annual probability (Equation 10). This is necessary since the daily probability of infection is not constant for one year. P_{annual} was used to calculate the QALYs lost (*QALYs*,

282 *QALYs lost per person per year*):

$$QALYS = P_{annual} \cdot Q \tag{11}$$

where *Q* (*QALYs/infection*) was the amount of QALYs reported per infection for each
pathogen. All infections were assumed to result in QALYs. *P_{annual}* was also used to calculate *DALYs* per person as suggested by the WHO (Havelaar et al. 2000, Kemmeren et al. 2006,
WHO 2001). The Swedish population age structure of 2010 from the European database (EU
2010) was used to characterise the drinking water consumer population.

Three separate probabilities of infection² for the three pathogens were summarised into the total probability of infection (P_{annual_tot} , *probability*) calculated as:

291
$$P_{annual_tot} = 1 - \left(1 - P_{annual_noro}\right) \cdot \left(1 - P_{annual_camp}\right) \cdot \left(1 - P_{annual_cryp}\right) (12)$$

- where P_{annual_noro} , P_{annual_camp} and P_{annual_cryp} (*probabilities*) were the annual probabilities of infection due to norovirus, *Campylobacter* and *Cryptosporidium* respectively.
- 294 3.3 Cost-benefit analysis (CBA)

A CBA was performed to compare the economic negative effects (costs) with the positive effects (benefits) for each alternative. All inputs for the CBA are presented in Table 2; input distributions represent both epistemic uncertainties and aleatory uncertainties. To enable a

 $^{^{2}}$ This implies that the different events are independent. Since pathogens often originate from faecal contamination, one could argue that the presence of one pathogen could increase the probability for the presence of another, resulting in a positive correlation that has not been accounted for.

comparison of the alternatives' societal profitability, the net present value (*NPV*) was
calculated and a distributional analysis was performed for each alternative. The *NPV* (*SEK*)
was calculated as:

301
$$NPV = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{(B_t)}{(1+r)^t} - \sum_{t=0}^{T} \frac{(C_t)}{(1+r)^t}$$
(13)

where B (*SEK*) and C (*SEK*) were the benefits and costs for each year t during the time horizon T (*years*); r (%) was the discount rate used. T was set to 100 years, representing the expected life-time of the mitigation alternatives.

The procedure used in this study for taking into account the project-specific costs and benefits, as well as externalities, follows the basic concept of CBA given by e.g. Boardman et al. (2011), among others. The total annual benefits (B_{tot} , SEK) were calculated as:

$$B_{tot} = B_{health} + B_{environmental} + B_{other}$$
(14)

309 where B_{health} (*SEK*) were the benefits estimated from reduced negative health effects to 310 drinking water consumers; $B_{environmental}$ (*SEK*) were the benefits from reduced nitrogen (N) and 311 phosphorous (P) discharge to recipient water bodies due to increased treatment efficiency; and 312 B_{other} (*SEK*) were other benefits.

Health benefits (B_{health}) for A1-A4 were calculated as:

314
$$B_{health} = \Delta QALY_S \cdot QALY_B \cdot DWC_t$$
(15)

where $\triangle QALYs$ (QALYs) were the QALYs gained per person in year *t* in relation to the reference alternative (QALYs A-*ref*) for each mitigation alternative; $QALY_B$ (SEK/QALY) was the monetary value per QALY; and DWC (*persons*) was the number of drinking water consumers in year *t*. The value of a QALY is further discussed in the sensitivity analysis, Section 3.4.

Environmental benefits for A1-A3 (in A4, environmental benefits were assumed to be zero)
were calculated as:

$$B_{Environmental} = N \cdot SEK_N + Php \cdot SEK_P$$
(16)

where N(kg) was the increased nitrogen removal; $SEK_N(SEK/kg)$ was the monetary value per kg nitrogen removed; *Php* (kg) was the increased phosphorus removal; and $SEK_P(SEK/kg)$ was the monetary value per kg phosphorous removed.

326 Other benefits (B_{other}) were not monetised using quantitative measures. However, to illustrate

- 327 the importance of these benefits, an analysis of how large they need to be to produce a
- 328 positive *NPV* was conducted within the sensitivity analysis.
- 329 Investment costs were added to the first year of the CBA. For A1-A3, the investment costs
- 330 (*C*_{Investments}, *SEK*) were calculated as:

331
$$C_{Investments} = C_{WWIP} + C_{Pump} \cdot Pumps + C_{Con_WWIP} \cdot OWISs + C_{Pipe} \cdot WP \quad (17)$$

where C_{WWTP} (*SEK*) was the cost for expanding the WWTP; C_{Pump} (*SEK*) was the cost per pump; *Pumps* (#) was the number of pumps needed; C_{Con_WWTP} (*SEK*) was the connection cost per OWTS; *OWTSs* (#) was the number of OWTS connected; C_{Pipe} (*SEK/m*) was the cost per pipe meter; and *WP* (*m*) was the pipe length for each alternative.

Table 2 Inputs for the cost-benefit analysis.

			TT 1 .		D : ^m	D
	Input		Unit	Abbr.	Distr. "	Parameters
				General inp	out	
	Time hor	izon	y	Т	PV	100
	Discount	rate	%	r	PV	High value=3.5. Low value=1%
	OWTSs (connected	#	OWTSs	PV	A1=621 A2=1 240 A3=1864 A4=0
	Persons r	per OWTS	#	Pourra	PV	2.5 (as reported in Table 1)
	Westown	tor pipe length $(\Lambda 1)^{a}$	" "	I OWIS	т Т	$M_{in}=2^{*1}\Omega^{3}$ Mode= $2^{*1}\Omega^{3}$ Max= $0.5^{*1}\Omega^{3}$
	wastewa	ter pipe length (A1)	111		I T	MIII = 0.10, $MI0U = -0.0.10$, $MI0X = 9.5.10$
	Wastewa	ter pipe length (A2)	m	WP_{A2}	1	$M_{11}=1.4*10^{\circ}$, $M_{10}=1.5*10^{\circ}$, $M_{10}=1.6*10^{\circ}$
	Wastewa	ter pipe length (A3) "	m	WP_{A3}	Т	$Min=2.8*10^{\circ}, Mode=3*10^{\circ}, Max=3.2*10^{\circ}$
	Drinking	water consumers	#	DWC	PV	330,000
-	Populatio	on increase	#/year	PI	PV	2300
				Investment c	ost	
	Number	of pumps required ^b	Pumps	Pumps	PV	A1=1, A2=10, A3=20, A4=0
	Cost for	expanding WWTP ($\Delta 1$) ^a	SEK	Cummer	IN	$P05-5*10^{6}$ P95-6*10 ⁶ Location-0
	Cost for	expanding WWTP (A2) ^a	SEK	C_{WWIP_AI}	LN	$P_{05-10*10^6} P_{05-11*10^6} L_{ocation-0}$
	Cost for	$(A2)^{a}$	SEK	C_{WWTP_A2}		103-10, 103-11, 10, 100-10, 100-10
	Cost for o	expanding ww IP (A3)	SEK	C_{WWTP_A3}	LN	P05=1.6*10, $P95=1.7*10$, Location=0
	Cost per	pump installation	SEK	C_{Pump}	Ν	P05=4.5*10 ⁺ , P95=5.5*10 ⁺ , Location=0
	Cost per	meter pipe ⁶	SEK/m	C_{Pipe}	LN	P05=3,150, P95=3,850, Location=0
	Cost for i	installing UV treatm. ^c	SEK	C_{UV}	LN	P05=5.3*10', P95=5.7*10', Location=0
	Cost for	connecting to WWTP ⁱ	SEK/OWTS	C _{con WWTP}	PV	$1.29*10^5$
				Annual cos	ts	
	Water us	e per person per day ^e	$m^3/n/v$	WII	PV	58.4
	Cost par	m^3 water used f	SEV/m^3	C		26.26
	Cost per	iii water used	SEK/III	C _{Water}		20.30
	Cost for	water services	SEK/y/Con	C _{Con_Year}	PV	2,192
	Cost for	OWTS maintenance ⁶	SEK/y	C_{Sludge}	PV	1,118
	Lifetime	of an OWTS "	У	$OWTS_{Life}$	PV	25
	Cost for a	re-investing in OWTS ^b	SEK	C_{OWTS_RI}	PV	1.3*10 ⁵
	Cost for	UV treatm. maintenance ^d	SEK/y	C _{UVmaint.}	LN	P05=4.2*10 ⁵ , P95=4.4*10 ⁵ , Location=0
			•	Benefits		
	Benefit/C	ALY avoided (High) ^j	SEK/OALY	OALY _D II	PV	$1.22*10^{6}$
	Benefit/($\Delta I Y$ avoided $(I ow)^{j}$	SEK/OALY	$QALY_{B_{H}}$	PV	7*10 ⁵
	Bonofit n	ar kg N avoided k	SER/QALI	QALIB_L	DV	22.01
	Denefit p	$r lar D resided^k$	SEK/N	SEKN	F V DV	52.06
	Benefit p	er kg P avoided	SEK/N	SEKP	PV	55.00
	Increase,	N removal/year (A1)	kg N/y	N_{AI}	1	$M_{1n}=3,550, M_{00}=4,000, M_{0}=4,450$
	Increase,	N removal/year (A2)	kg N/y	N_{A2}	Т	Min=7,100, Mode=8,000, Max=7,900
	Increase,	N removal/year (A3) ¹	kg N/y	N_{A3}	Т	Min=10,700, Mode=12,000, Max=13,300
	Increase	P removal/year (A1) ¹	kg P/y	Php_{AI}	Т	Min=580, Mode=650, Max=720
	Increase	P removal/year (A2) ¹	kg P/y	Php A2	Т	Min=1,160, Mode=1,300, Max=1,440
	Increase	P removal/vear $(A3)^{1}$	kg P/v	Php A3	Т	Min=1.750, Mode=2.000, Max=2.150
338	a)	Total wastewater pipe length	and cost for exi	nanding the m	unicinal W	WTP for each alternative was derived from
220	u)	nonconal communication with	D Ensid at Sis	ho municipali	try and hy y	sing ownert indeements
222	•	personal communication with	IF. Floju al Sjo	bo municipan	ity and by u	sing expert judgements.
340	b)	Cost per pump, amount of pu	imps and the pip	pe cost per me	ter were ba	sed on Swedish literature (Kärrman et al.
341		2012).	X Y			
342	c)	Cost for installing UV-treatm	nent was based o	on personal co	mmunicatio	on with B.M. Pott at Southern Sweden Water
343		Supply (Sydvatten)				
3//	(b	Based on the cost per litre for	r medium sized	drinking wate	r treatment	plants (Cotton et al. 2001)
2/F	u)	Å ström og J L-L-		wale	i ireatilicilt	Plants (Cotton et al. 2001).
345	e)	Astrom and Jonansson (2015)			
346	t)	Since stormwater is not inclu	ided, the fee for	connecting O	WTS to the	e municipal WWTP was reduced (Sjöbo
347		Municipality 2016b).				
348	g)	Sludge removal cost (968 SE	K/vear) (Siöbo	Municipality	2016a) and	electricity cost (150 SEK/year) (expert
3/19	8/	judgement)	·	1.1.1	,	
250	1 \	Judgement).				
350	h)	Wastewater guide (2016)				
351	i)	Connection fee (101,450 SEI	K) (Sjöbo Munio	cipality 2016b), applicati	on fee (2,550 SEK) (Sjöbo Municipality
352		2016c), and excavation and p	olumbing on ow	n property (25	5,000 SEK)	(expert judgement).
353	i)	Svensson et al. (2015)	e	1 1 2 4		
257	J/ 1-)	SEDA(2008a)				
254	K)	SEFA (2008a)				
355	1)	Based on: 41% non-function	ing (zero reduct	10n) OWTSs (SEPA 2004	4); triangular distributions representing the
356		nitrogen (Min=20, Mode=30	, Max=40) and j	phosphorous (Min=60, M	lode=70, Max=80) percentage removal in
357		OWTSs (SEPA 2015); point	values estimatir	ng the nitrogen	n (70) (SEP	A 2017) and phosphorous (96) (SEPA 2013)
358		percentage removal in WWT	P. Triangular di	stributions we	ere derived	using MC simulations
359	m)	PV-noint value T-triangula	r distribution T	N-L ogNorma	distributi	on N-Normal distribution
	111)	· · -point value, 1-utangula	i aistitution, L	Logronina	a ansurbutt	

Annual costs (ΔC_{Annual} , *SEK*) for A1-A3 when connected to the municipal WWTP in relation to having an OWTS were calculated as:

$$\Delta C_{Arnual} = C_{Arnual_WWIS} - C_{Arnual_OWIS}$$
(18)

363 where C_{Annual_WWTP} (SEK) was the annual cost per property when connected to the municipal

WWTP; and C_{Annual_OWTS} (SEK) was the annual cost per property when having an OWTS.

365 The C_{Annual_WWTP} was calculated as:

$$C_{Avinual_WWIP} = C_{Water} \cdot WU \cdot P_{OWIS} \cdot OWISs + C_{Con_Year} \cdot OWISs$$
(19)

where C_{Water} (*SEK/m*³) was the cost for water use; *WU* (*m*³/*p*/year) was the water use per person and year; P_{OWTS} (persons) was the number of persons per OWTS; OWTSs (#) was the number of OWTSs connected to the WWTP; and C_{Con_Year} (*SEK/year/OWTS*) was the annual connection fee per OWTS per year.

371 The C_{Annual_OWTS} was calculated as:

372
$$C_{Annual_OWTS} = C_{Sludge} \cdot OWTSs + \frac{C_{Reinv} \cdot OWTSs}{OWTS_{Life}}$$
(20)

where C_{Sludge} (*SEK/OWTS*) was the annual cost for sludge removal per OWTS per year; C_{Reinv} (*SEK*) was the cost for re-investing in a new OWTS; and *OWTS_{Life}* (*years*) was the expected life time of an OWTS.

For A4, the investment cost was the installation of UV treatment (C_{UV} , SEK), and the annual cost was the maintenance of the UV treatment ($C_{UVmaint}$, SEK).

- 378 A distributional analysis was performed by assigning costs and benefits to private OWTS
- 379 owners, drinking water consumers, or inhabitants/visitors of the catchment of Lake Vomb.

380 3.4 Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis

To acknowledge epistemic and aleatory uncertainties, MC simulations were used in the 381 QMRA and the CBA calculations. The model was divided into the following compartments: 382 source characterisation; water quality modelling in the DWTP; dose-response in the QMRA; 383 and the CBA. An adaptation of the local sensitivity analysis, which investigates the change in 384 output by varying one input variable at a time, keeping all other input variables constant, as 385 suggested by Schijven et al. (2013), was used. For the compartments with monotonic 386 behaviour i.e. source characterisation, water quality modelling in the DWTP, and the CBA, 387 the Spearman's rank correlation analysis was used (Mokhtari and Frey 2005). For the 388 compartment with non-monotonic behaviour, i.e. dose-response in QMRA, scatter plots were 389 used (Frey and Patil 2002). All results from the uncertainty and sensitivity analysis are 390 reported in the supplementary material. 391

For investigating the uncertainties not suitable to model using probability distributions, 392 scenarios were defined. Scenarios were used for the different values of a QALY, discount 393 rate, and the proportion of OWTSs contribution to the total pathogen load. The low and high 394 values of a QALY were assumed to be 700,000 and 1,220,000 SEK based on the government 395 implied willingness to pay for a QALY (Svensson et al. 2015). For the discount rate, two 396 scenarios were used: 1 % or 3.5 %. The Swedish Transport Administration recommends 3.5 397 % for infrastructure projects in the traffic sector (STA 2016); this value is commonly used in 398 other sectors as well. Since the time horizon was long and decisions affect several future 399 generations, a low discount rate was also used. The pathogen load to Lake Vomb from 400 401 OWTSs (L_{OWTS} , pathogens/day) was calculated as:

402
$$L_{OWTS} = C_{Tributary} \cdot F_{Tributary}$$
(21)

403	The total pathogen load (L_{total} , pathogens/day) originates from many sources (e.g. WWTP,
404	fertilisation using manure, grazing animals, wild animals) and was illustrated as:

$$L_{total} = L_{OWIS} + L_{other}$$
(22)

406 where L_{other} (*pathogen/day*) was the load from all other pathogen sources. Since the OWTSs 407 load to Lake Vomb in relation to the total pathogen load was unknown, two scenarios (L_{OWTS} 408 = 75 % and L_{OWTS} = 50 % of L_{total}) were investigated.

- 409 To estimate in what range the non-monetised benefits in A1-A3 would have to be in order to
- 410 render a positive *NPV*, a calculation of non-monetised benefits to reach break-even ($NPV \ge 0$)
- 411 was performed.

412 3.5 Software

413 For the source characterisation and CBA calculations, the MC simulations were performed

414 using *Microsoft Excel*, @*RISK* version 7.5.1. For the drinking water treatment performance,

virus groundwater transport model and the dose-response relationship, the MC simulations

416 were performed using *Analytica* release 4.1.6.30. For the hydrodynamic modelling, the model

417 for Lake Vomb was developed using *MIKE 3 FM (MIKE Powered by DHI*), which is a

418 deterministic three-dimensional numerical model that solves the incompressible Reynolds

419 averaged Navier-Stokes equations invoking the assumptions of Boussinesq and hydrostatic

420 pressure (DHI 2011). The period 2005-2007 was simulated using the observed

421 hydrometeorological data.

Uncertainties were propagated between the different model compartments to calculate the
probability distributions of the final results of the CBA. Using 10,000 MC iterations, the
resulting probability distribution of the output of one model compartment was then used as an
input in the next model compartment. The propagation of uncertainties and the combination
of methods are illustrated in Figure 3.



428 Figure 3 Schematic illustration of how the different methods are combined in the model.429

430 4 Results

- 431 All results, unless stated otherwise, are from the scenario assuming that OWTSs are
- 432 contributing 75 % of the total pathogen load to Lake Vomb. The complete results from the
- 433 source characterisation (Table S1), dose-response (Table S2), CBA (Table S3), and
- 434 uncertainty and sensitivity analysis (Tables S4-S8, Figures S1-S5) are presented in the
- 435 supplementary material.
- 436 4.1 Cost-benefit analysis
- 437 The costs, benefits and *NPV* for the scenario with a high value (1,220,000 SEK) of a QALY
- and a discount rate of 3.5 % are presented (Figure 4) for the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles.





439

Figure 4. The costs (a), benefits (b) and NPV (c) for the scenario with the high value of a
QALY (1,220,000 SEK) and the discount rate of 3.5 % are presented for the 5th, 50th, and 95th
percentiles in million SEK (MSEK).

For A1-A3, the costs would be solely taken by the owner of the OWTS that will be connected
to the WWTP (installation of pipes on their own property and a connection fee). For A4, the
costs would be solely taken by the drinking water producers initially and eventually by the
drinking water consumers through a higher drinking water fee.

The benefits were distributed between the drinking water consumers (health benefits) and the
inhabitants and visitors of the catchment area of Lake Vomb (environmental benefits). For
A1-A3, the drinking water consumers received 11 or 18 % and the inhabitants and visitors
received 89 or 82 % of the benefits, using a low or high valuation of a QALY, respectively.
For A4, the benefits were solely attributed to the drinking water consumers.

- 452 4.2 Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis
- 453 For A1-A3 using 3.5 % discount rate, the NPV sensitivity to the inputs in the CBA were (top
- 454 three in descending order): cost per pipe meter (C_{Pipe}), wastewater pipe length (WP), and
- 455 $\Delta QALYs$ (A1-A3). For A4 using 3.5 % discount rate, the NPV sensitivity to the inputs in the
- 456 CBA were (top three in descending order): $\Delta QALYs$ (A4), cost for installation of UV
- 457 treatment (C_{UV}) and cost for maintenance of UV treatment ($C_{UVmaint}$).
- The concentration of norovirus in raw water ($C_{RW Noro}$) was the most sensitive to the following 458 inputs (top three in descending order): concentration in faeces (C), incidence (I_{Noro}), and days 459 excreting (D_{Noro}) . The concentration of *Campylobacter* in raw water $(C_{RW Camp})$ was the most 460 sensitive to the following inputs (top three in descending order): concentration in faeces (C), 461 Log_{10} reduction in Björkaån ($R_{Biork Camp}$), and Log_{10} reduction in Torpsbäcken ($R_{Torp Camp}$). 462 463 The concentration of *Cryptosporidium* in raw water (C_{RW_Cryp}) was the most sensitive to the following inputs (top three in descending order): concentration in faeces (C), days excreting 464 465 (D_{Cryp}) , and incidence (I_{Cryp}) .

466 The concentration of norovirus in drinking water (C_{DW_Noro}) was the most sensitive to the 467 foellowing inputs (top three in descending order): Log₁₀ reduction in saturated zone (R_{SZ_noro}), 468 raw water concentration (C_{RW_Noro}), and Log₁₀ reduction per meter in unsaturated zone 469 (R_{UZ/m_Noro}). The concentrations of *Campylobacter* (C_{DW_Camp}) and *Cryptosporidium* (C_{DW_Cryp}) 470 in drinking water were the most sensitive to the following inputs (top three in descending

471	order): Log ₁₀ reduction per meter in saturated zone (R_{SZ_Camp} and R_{SZ_Crypp}), saturated zone
472	vertical length (L_{SZ}), and raw water concentration (C_{RW_Camp} and C_{RW_Cryp}).
473	The dose-response relationship between the concentrations of pathogens in drinking water
474	(C_{DW}) , infectivity (m) , drinking water consumption (WI) and the probability of infection (P_{inf})
475	was illustrated using scatter plots from the 10,000 MC simulations.
476	A scenario-based analysis was performed to analyse the effects on the final NPVs from
477	uncertainties regarding the QALY valuation, discount rate, and the OWTSs contribution to
478	the total pathogen load. The rank order of the 50^{th} percentiles for the <i>NPV</i> (A1>A4>A2>A3)
479	does not change depending on the level of OWTSs contribution to the total pathogen load nor
480	the QALY valuation. However, with a low discount rate (1%), the rank order changes to
481	A1>A2>A4>A3.
482	Benefits that have not yet been monetised and included in the CBA that might alter the rank
483	order of NPV for the alternatives were identified. For alternatives A1-A3, non-monetised
484	benefits are:
485	• positive health effects for humans from improved water quality for recreational
486	activities in Lake Vomb;
487	• positive health effect for animals (both domestic and wild) from improved water
488	quality in the catchment and in Lake Vomb;
489	• perceived value for private OWTS owners not being responsible for treating their
490	wastewater;
491	• increased market value of the properties connected to the municipal water and
492	wastewater system;
493	• benefits of removing the possible risk of direct contamination of private wells by
494	OWTSs;

495	• possibility to recycle nutrients when wastewater is treated at the WWTP;
496	• reduction of CO ₂ emission when sludge transportation trucks do not need to empty
497	closed tanks and three compartment septic tanks;
498	• reduced traffic accidents and related risks since heavy traffic is reduced in the
499	catchment area.
500	For alternative A4, non-monetised benefits are:
501	• less disinfection by-products due to lower dosage in chlorination;
502	• reduced handling and storage of chlorination chemicals.
503	For A1-A3, these additional benefits need to be 800-1200 SEK or 1800-2400 SEK per OWTS
504	per year for 1% and 3.5% discount rate, respectively, to give a positive NPV (50 th percentile).
505	These ranges apply for both the high and low valuation of a QALY.

506 5 Discussion

507 The aim of this study was to present an approach for comprehensive decision analysis using 508 CBA of microbial risk mitigation measures in DWSs, and including Lake Vomb as a case to 509 illustrate the assumptions needed and the associated variabilities and uncertainties. Below we 510 discuss the QMRA, the CBA, the uncertainties, and the overall applicability of the decision 511 model.

512 5.1 Quantitative microbial risk assessment

513 5.1.1 Source characterisation

514 Pathogen concentration in faeces and the pathogen excretion duration are subject to large

515 variability. In this study, it was assumed that the catchment was large enough to have

516 pathogens present at all times, evenly distributed geographically. However, if a smaller

517 catchment is to be described, it will be important to account for temporal and geographical

variations of the pathogen prevalence. If persons are infected, there will be high pathogen 518 concentrations in the OWTSs effluents, otherwise there will be no pathogens present. One 519 way forward is to combine the data on incidence with binary probability density functions. 520 This would capture the on/off characteristics of infections and enable the use of the decision 521 model on smaller systems, even on a single OWTS. 522 To acknowledge the ambiguity (a factor of 100 between values) and the lack of information in 523 the underreporting factor, it was assigned a point value and not included in the MC 524 simulations. However, the factor for underreporting is uncertain, and further investigations on 525 how to describe this input need to be conducted. The factor for underreporting is important, 526 527 since increased underreporting results in a corresponding increase of the estimation of the pathogen concentration at the raw water intake. 528 The estimated pathogen load to Lake Vomb can be validated. The estimated concentrations of 529 Cryptosporidium in the tributaries (0.36-1.4 oocysts/L) in this study are in agreement with the 530 values reported by other studies, e.g. the mean of 0.62 oocysts/L in an Australian river 531

532 (Swaffer et al. 2014). The estimated concentrations could also be validated by monitoring the

533 local pathogen concentrations in the catchment; however, this is tedious and expensive.

534 Instead, based on the factor for underreporting and the incidence of norovirus (since it was the

pathogen causing the main part of the loss of QALYs), we made an estimate of the annual

536 infections in the drinking water consumer population, confirming that the waterborne

537 infections only represented a small proportion of the total infections calculated from the

538 incidence. Chosen values and associated probability distributions should be regarded as a

possible, but not necessarily the optimal, representation of the pathogen source characteristics.

540 5.1.2 Water quality modelling

541 The log_{10} reduction during transport in Lake Vomb was estimated using hydrodynamic

542 modelling encompassing several years of daily and sub-daily variation in

hydrometeorological data. Looking at the best fit, a normal distribution was reasonable to use 543 for describing the variability in pathogen reduction. Future development of water quality 544 modelling within the decision model is to include probabilistic modelling. Probabilistic 545 modelling will further facilitate risk-based modelling approaches, QMRA and holistic water 546 resource management (Oliver et al. 2016). 547 The model describing the artificial groundwater recharge system was highly simplified. The 548 549 pathogen reduction was based on a conceptual model describing the artificial groundwater infiltration as one system, when in reality there are many smaller sub-systems with complex 550 flow and transport conditions between different infiltration ponds and abstraction wells. 551 Nonetheless, the model is assumed to give a good understanding of the key processes 552 affecting the level of reduction in the artificial infiltration. 553 Local investigations of the barrier efficiency at the DWTP would be preferred. Since it is not 554 ethical to use active DWSs to directly test the reduction of pathogens, surrogate organisms 555 can be used instead. It is also possible to use literature estimates. The Log_{10} reduction of 556 557 *Cryptosporidium* by the UV-disinfection was not allowed to be higher than 3 Log₁₀, in order not to interpret results outside of the investigated range (Hijnen et al. 2006). Although this can 558 be considered a low reduction given the efficiency of UV-disinfection towards 559 560 Cryptosporidium, this approach is used in the QMRA-tool for drinking water producers in Sweden. However, the truncation in UV-treatment needs to be further investigated and 561 thoroughly reviewed. Investigation of altering the UV-dose may also be of importance for 562 future implementation of the decision model. 563

564 5.1.3 Dose-response

565 The estimated annual probability of infection (P_{annual}) was slightly higher than the WHO

guidelines in the current situation (A-ref, 50^{th} percentile), while the *DALYs* were under the

threshold (A-ref, 5th percentile), indicating that there is ambiguity whether the microbial risks

were acceptable or not. The large uncertainty and variability described in input probability 568 distributions should be taken under consideration when interpreting the results. To get below 569 the WHO guideline for P_{annual} looking at the 50th percentile, A2, A3 and A4 are the possible 570 options, while A1 almost reaches the threshold. It is only A4 that meets the guideline level 571 with respect to the 95th percentile. Even though no strict guideline level exists in Sweden, 572 drinking water producers should be aware of the discrepancy between meeting the DALY or 573 P_{annual} WHO guideline. The same pathogen concentration in drinking water can meet one 574 target and miss the other. 575

 P_{annual} was calculated into to QALYs using standard unit values adopted from a study from 576 the U.S (Batz et al. 2014). It may be argued that results from the U.S. are not representative 577 for Swedish settings. Even so, to illustrate the methodology, it was assumed that the U.S. 578 values would be useful. However, further development of the model could use more detailed 579 health effect quantification and implement local studies for estimating the quantity of the 580 health risk reduction in the risk mitigation alternatives. To monetise the health effects, there 581 are other approaches which can be implemented into the model, e.g. information from 582 previous events, quality of life investigations, etc. 583

584 5.2 Cost-benefit analysis

None of the mitigation alternative rendered a positive NPV. However, the NPV results must 585 be interpreted using a wider perspective in combination with other results from the CBA, such 586 as distributional analysis and non-monetised benefits. From a socio-economic perspective, it 587 is important to identify the alternative with the least negative NPV (A1). In a situation where 588 decision makers are required to reduce the microbial risk, they will need to choose an 589 alternative. Such a situation would occur e.g. if there is a guideline or risk level that needs to 590 be achieved, such as the WHO recommendation of a maximum yearly probability of infection 591 per person of 10⁻⁴ or a maximum DALY of 10⁻⁶ (WHO 2011). If looking at the 50th percentile 592

with 1 % discount rate, both A1 and A2 resulted in higher *NPVs* than A4. Nevertheless, as noted above, only A4 would achieve the WHO recommendation of the P_{annual} with a high degree of certainty (looking at the 95th percentile).

596 When monetising health benefits, it is important to make sure that the underlying valuation

597 study represents the relevant health effects. The monetisation of health benefits was based on

a governmental implied willingness to pay for a QALY (Svensson et al. 2015). The values

used were estimated from a societal perspective, i.e. the effects both within the health care

sector (e.g. reduced medical and hospitalisation costs) and beyond the health care sector (e.g.

reduced discomfort from being ill and loss of production) were accounted for.

602 When decision makers choose an alternative, they also accept the distribution between

beneficiaries and payers associated with the decision. Even though the Kaldor-Hicks

604 criterion³ can be argued, the distribution of the costs and benefits will need to be

605 communicated with stakeholders. Alternative A4 is the only alternative when the beneficiary

and the payer are the same stakeholder. In decision making, distributional analysis can be of

- 607 importance when applying the polluter pays principle.
- 5.3 Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis

609 The Spearman's rank correlation is inadequate (Ellouze et al. 2010) for measuring sensitivity

610 when analysing complex relationships such as the dose-response relation in QMRA. We have

- 611 used scatter plots to illustrate the relationships between drinking water pathogen
- 612 concentration, drinking water consumption and the infectivity. Future research needs to
- 613 investigate more advanced sensitivity analysis methods (see e.g. Mokhtari and Frey 2005).

³ The Kaldor-Hicks criterion, simply put, state that: beneficiaries can compensate those that pay or experience negative effects. However, the compensation only needs to be possible and not realized, since it is argued that if a decision is societally profitable, the costs and benefits will eventually even out with regard to different stakeholders.

Since the total risk level in the drinking water system cannot be estimated, it was important to
investigate whether the results change if the OWTSs contribution to the total risk is altered.
Results showed that changing the OWTSs contribution to the total pathogen load did not
change the ranking of the alternatives.

618 After scrutinising the CBA results, decision-makers need to consider benefits that were

619 omitted from the monetised analysis. Even though the *NPVs* were negative for the A1-A3

alternatives, all alternatives could render a positive NPV (looking at the 50th percentile) if

these other benefits could be valued in the range of 800-2400 SEK per connected OWTS per

622 year. The value of the benefits when using a 3.5 % discount rate need to be approximately

623 1000 SEK higher than when using a 1 % discount rate.

Some factors vary over time both within a year, e.g. incidence and water flow etc., and over longer time periods, e.g. population increase, climate change etc., to mention a few. The model included a population increase based on population projections for Sweden in general. However, the inter-yearly variations have not been included. For further development of the decision model, methods for including these temporal variations and uncertainties need to be developed.

630 5.4 Risk-based decision model

Depending on the type of decision and the local settings, other methods than presented in this
paper can be more suitable to combine in the decision model. For decisions aiming at
reaching a certain guideline or threshold value, a CEA may be preferred, instead of a CBA.
CBA represents a strict anthropocentric and utilitarian context, only accounting for benefits
attributed to human values (Hutton 2001). If decision makers want to include intrinsic values,
they need to apply methods that can consider such values as well, such as multi-criteria
decision analysis (see e.g. DCLG 2009). In such multi-criteria decision models, the decision

support rendered from the CBA and the QMRA can be used as input for appropriate criteria. 638 To give some examples, the NPV can provide information to the economic dimension, 639 distributional analysis and QALY assessment can provide input to the social dimension, and 640 water quality modelling can provide input to the environmental dimension in a sustainability 641 assessment, see e.g. Rosén et al. (2015). 642 The focus of this study was to describe the methodology of comparing microbial risk 643 644 mitigation measures using CBA in combination with QMRA to estimate risk levels and the effect of possible mitigation measures. Benefits, in terms of the health risk reduction obtained 645 in each alternative were described in detail. Environmental benefits were included using a 646 647 more simplified approach. However, including the environmental benefits illustrates a key element of the CBA, i.e. the possibility to include other benefits, apart from the target risk 648

reduction. These additional benefits may be of substantial importance and heavily affect thefinal decision.

The decision model incorporates both aleatory and epistemic uncertainties in the input probability distributions. To further develop the model and to provide additional decision support, these uncertainties can be divided. This separation would also facilitate additional decision analyses, e.g. value of information analysis.

655 6 Conclusions

Results from the case study showed that the alternative to connect the smallest proportion
(25 %) of on-site wastewater treatment systems to the wastewater treatment plant (A1) at
Lake Vomb was the most societally beneficial. However, the only alternative that would
reduce the annual probability of infection to meet the WHO guidelines with a high degree of
certainty (95th percentile) was installing UV-disinfection (A4). In relation to the development
of the risk-based decision model, the following conclusions were drawn:

662	•	The developed decision model is flexible and can be tailored to different drinking
663		water systems and different types of decision problems.
664	•	To implement the decision model, a multitude of uncertainties and variabilities needs
665		to be addressed. However, the model provides tools to include these variabilities and
666		uncertainties in a structured manner.
667	•	Through the process of performing the cost-benefit analysis, aspects important for
668		decision making that may otherwise easily be overlooked or ignored are openly
669		displayed and assessed.
670	•	The combination of quantitative microbial risk assessment and cost-benefit analysis
671		provides a novel decision model that creates transparent and holistic decision support
672		tool for microbial risk mitigation.
673	•	For improvement of the decision model, we suggest to further develop the valuation
674		and monetisation of health effects and the propagation of variability and uncertainty

675

676 Acknowledgement

between the included methods.

This research has been performed as part of the project *Risk-Based Decision Support for Safe Drinking Water*, financed by the Swedish Water and Wastewater Association (project 13-102), and as part of DRICKS, a framework programme for drinking water research at the Chalmers University of Technology. The information provided by the local water utility (Southern Sweden Water Supply) and the municipality of Sjöbo was most appreciated. Input regarding the sensitivity analysis, provided from Tommy Norberg was highly appreciated.

683 References

- 684 Assmuth, T., Simola, A., Pitkänen, T., Lyytimäki, J. and Huttula, T., 2016. Integrated frameworks for
- assessing and managing health risks in the context of managed aquifer recharge with river water.
- 686 Integrated environmental assessment and management 12(1), 160-173.
- 687 Åström, J. and Johansson, V., 2015. GIS-based dispersion modelling of parasites in surface water
- 688 sources, (In Swedish: GIS-baserad spridningsmodellering av parasiter i ytvattentäkter),
- 689 <u>http://vav.griffel.net/filer/SVU-rapport_2015-07.pdf</u>, Swedish Water and Wastewater Association,
- 690 Stockholm.
- 691 Åström, J., Lindhe, A., Bergvall, M., Rosén, L. and Lång, L.-O., 2016. Microbial risk assessment of
- 692 groundwater sources development and implementation of a QMRA tool, (In Swedish:
- 693 Mikrobiologisk riskbedömning av grundvattentäkter utveckling och tillämpning av ett QMRA-
- 694 verktyg), <u>http://vav.griffel.net/filer/SVU-rapport_2016-19.pdf</u>, Swedish Water and Wastewater
- 695 Association, Stockholm.
- ⁶⁹⁶Åström, J. and Pettersson, T.J.R., 2010. Technical efficiency of existing risk reduction options in
- 697 surface water systems,
- 698 <u>https://www.techneau.org/fileadmin/files/Publications/Publications/Deliverables/D4.3.3.pdf</u>,
- 699 TECHNEAU.
- Atmar, R.L., Opekun, A.R., Gilger, M.A., Estes, M.K., Crawford, S.E., Neill, F.H. and Graham, D.Y.,
- 2008. Norwalk virus shedding after experimental human infection. Emerging infectious diseases14(10), 1553.
- 703 Bartram, J., Coralles, L., Davison, A., Deere, D., Drury, D., Gordon, B., Howard, G., Rineholt, A. and
- 704 Stevens, M., 2009. Water safety plan manual: step/by/step risk management for drinking-water
- suppliers, http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/75141/1/9789241562638_eng.pdf, World Health
- 706 Organization, Geneva.
- 707 Batz, M., Hoffmann, S. and Morris Jr, J.G., 2014. Disease-outcome trees, EQ-5D scores, and
- ros estimated annual losses of quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) for 14 foodborne pathogens in the
- 709 United States. Foodborne pathogens and disease 11(5), 395-402.

- 710 Beuken, R., Reinosos, M., Sturm, S., Kiefer, J., Bondelind, M., Åström, J., Lindhe, A., Rosén, L.,
- 711 Pettersson, T.J.R., Machenbach, I., Melin, E., Thorsen, T., Eikebrokk, B., Hokstad, P., Røstum, J.,
- 712 Niewersch, C., Kirchner, D., Kozisek, F., Gari Weyessa, D., Swartz, C. and Menaia, J., 2008.
- 713 Identification and description of hazards for water supply systems A catalogue of today's hazards
- and possible future hazards, updated version,
- 715 https://www.techneau.org/fileadmin/files/Publications/Publications/Deliverables/D4.1.4.pdf,
- 716 TECHNEAU.
- 717 Boardman, A.E., Greenberg, D.H., Vining, A.R. and Weimar, D.L., 2011. Cost-benefit analysis:
- 718 concepts and practice, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- 719 Cameron, J., Hunter, P., Jagals, P. and Pond, K., 2011. Valuing water, valuing livelihoods: guidance
- 720 on social cost-benefit analysis of drinking-water interventions, with special reference to small
- 721 community water supplies, IWA Publishing, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- 722 Catalao Dionisio, L.P., Joao, M., Soares Ferreiro, V., Leonor Fidalgo, M., García Rosado, M.E. and
- 723 Borrego, J.J., 2000. Occurrence of Salmonella spp in estuarine and coastal waters of Portugal. Antonie
- van Leeuwenhoek 78(1), 99-106.
- 725 Cook, K.L. and Bolster, C.H., 2007. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni and Escherichia coli in
- 726 groundwater during prolonged starvation at low temperatures. Journal of Applied Microbiology
- 727 103(3), 573-583.
- 728 Corso, P.S., Kramer, M.H., Blair, K.A., Addiss, D.G., Davis, J.P. and Haddix, A.C., 2003. Cost of
- 729 illness in the 1993 waterborne cryptosporidium outbreak, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Emerging infectious
- 730 diseases 9(4), 426-432.
- 731 Cotton, C.A., Owen, D.M., Cline, G.C. and Brodeur, T.P., 2001. UV disinfection costs for inactivating
- 732 Cryptosporidium. American Water Works Association, 93(6), 82-94.
- 733 DCLG, 2009. Multi-criteria Analysis: a Manual,
- 734 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/7612/1132618.pdf</u>,
- 735 Department for Communities and Local Government, London.
- 736 DHI, 2011. MIKE 21 & MIKE 3 Flow Model FM Hydrodynamic and Transport Module Scientific
- 737 Documentation, Hørsholm.

- 738 Dufour, A., Bartram, J., Bos, R. and Gannon, V., 2012. Animal waste, water quality and human health,
- 739 IWA Publishing, London.
- 740 Ellouze, M., Gauchi, J.-P. and Augustin, J.-C., 2010. Global Sensitivity Analysis Applied to a
- 741 Contamination Assessment Model of Listeria monocytogenes in Cold Smoked Salmon at
- 742 Consumption. Risk Analysis 30(5), 841-852.
- 743 EU, 2010. Eurostat, <u>http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/</u>, Union, E.
- 744 Ferguson, C.M., Charles, K. and Deere, D.A., 2009. Quantification of Microbial Sources in Drinking-
- 745 Water Catchments. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 39(1), 1-40.
- 746 Frey, C.H. and Patil, S.R., 2002. Identification and Review of Sensitivity Analysis Methods. Risk
- 747 Analysis 22(3), 553-578.
- Haas, C.N., Rose, J.B. and Gerba, C.P., 2014. Quantitative microbial risk assessment, Wiley, New
- 749 Jersey.
- 750 Havelaar, A., de Wit, M. and van Koningsveld, R., 2000. Health burden of infections with
- thermophilic Campylobacter species in the Netherlands, 1990-1995,
- 752 <u>http://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/284550004.pdf</u>, Netherlands National Institute of Public
- 753 Health and the Environment, Rotterdam.
- Havelaar, A. and Melse, J., 2003. Quantifying public health risk in the WHO Guidelines for drinking-
- 755 water quality: A burden of disease approach.
- 756 Hendricks, C.W., 1971. Increased Recovery Rate of Salmonellae from Stream Bottom Sediments
- 757 Versus Surface Waters. Applied Microbiology 21(2), 379-380.
- 758 Hijnen, W.A.M., Beerendonk, E.F. and Medema, G.J., 2006. Inactivation credit of UV radiation for
- viruses, bacteria and protozoan (oo)cysts in water: A review. Water research 40(1), 3-22.
- 760 Hutton, G., 2001. Economic evaluation and priority setting in water and sanitation interventions, Ch
- 15 in Water quality: guidelines, standard and health, pp. 333-359, IWA Publishing, World Health
- 762 Organization.
- 763 ISO, 2009. Risk management–Principles and guidelines, International Organization for
- 764 Standardization, Geneva.

- 765 Kärrman, E., Pettersson, F. and Erlandsson, Å., 2012. Costs for water and wastewater systems in
- 766 transition areas a guide book, (In Swedish Kostnader för VA i omvandlingsområden en
- 767 handledning), Swedish Water and Wastewater Association, Stockholm.
- 768 Keller, A.Z. and Wilson, H.C., 1992. Hazards to drinking water supplies, Springer.
- 769 Kemmeren, J.M., Mangen, M., Van Duynhoven, Y. and Havelaar, A., 2006. Priority setting of
- foodborne pathogens: disease burden and costs of selected enteric pathogens,
- 771 http://www.rivm.nl/dsresource?objectid=258d5bf6-58d9-49ec-b268-
- 772 <u>2d0a0e5bb63b&type=org&disposition=inline</u>, Netherlands National Institute of Public Health and the
- 773 Environment, Bilthoven.
- Larsson, C., Andersson, Y., Allestam, G., Lindqvist, A., Nenonen, N. and Bergstedt, O., 2014.
- Figure 275 Epidemiology and estimated costs of a large waterborne outbreak of norovirus infection in Sweden.
- Epidemiology and Infection 142(03), 592-600.
- 777 Marshall, J.A., Salamone, S., Yuen, L., Catton, M.G. and Wright, J.P., 2001. High level excretion of
- 778 Norwalk-like virus following resolution of clinical illness. Pathology 33(1), 50-52.
- 779 McGee, P., Bolton, D.J., Sheridan, J.J., Earley, B., Kelly, G. and Leonard, N., 2002. Survival of
- 780 Escherichia coli O157:H7 in farm water: its role as a vector in the transmission of the organism within
- herds. Journal of Applied Microbiology 93(4), 706-713.
- 782 Mead, P.S., Slutsker, L., Dietz, V., McCaig, L.F., Bresee, J.S., Shapiro, C., Griffin, P.M. and Tauxe,
- R.V., 1999. Food-related illness and death in the United States. Emerging infectious diseases 5(5),
 607.
- 785 Medema, G., Schets, F., Teunis, P. and Havelaar, A., 1998. Sedimentation of Free and Attached
- 786 Cryptosporidium Oocysts and Giardia Cysts in Water. Applied and environmental microbiology
 787 64(11), 4460-4466.
- 788 Medema, G.J., Bahar, M. and Schets, F.M., 1997. Survival of Cryptosporidium parvum, Escherichia
- coli, faecal enterococci and Clostridium perfringens in river water: influence of temperature and
- autochthonous microorganisms. Water Science and Technology 35(11), 249-252.

- 791 Mokhtari, A. and Frey, H.C., 2005. Recommended Practice Regarding Selection of Sensitivity
- 792 Analysis Methods Applied to Microbial Food Safety Process Risk Models. Human and Ecological
- 793 Risk Assessment: An International Journal 11(3), 591-605.
- Nasser, A.M., Zaruk, N., Tenenbaum, L. and Netzan, Y., 2003. Comparative survival of
- 795 Cryptosporidium, coxsackievirus A9 and Escherichia coli in stream, brackish and sea waters. Water
- Science and Technology 47(3), 91.
- 797 Norwegian Water BA, 2009. Optimal disinfection practice phase 2, (In norwegian: Optimal
- desinfeksjonspraksis fase 2), <u>http://vav.griffel.net/filer/C_169-2009.pdf</u>, Norwegian Water BA,
- 799 Hamar.
- 800 NZMH, 2014. Water Safety Plan Guides for Drinking Water Supplies, New Zeeland Ministry of
- 801 Health, Wellington.
- 802 Oliver, D.M., Porter, K.D.H., Pachepsky, Y.A., Muirhead, R.W., Reaney, S.M., Coffey, R., Kay, D.,
- 803 Milledge, D.G., Hong, E., Anthony, S.G., Page, T., Bloodworth, J.W., Mellander, P.-E., Carbonneau,
- 804 P.E., McGrane, S.J. and Quilliam, R.S., 2016. Predicting microbial water quality with models: Over-
- 805 arching questions for managing risk in agricultural catchments. Science of The Total Environment
- 806 544, 39-47.
- 807 Ottoson, J. and Stenström, T.A., 2003. Faecal contamination of greywater and associated microbial
 808 risks. Water research 37(3), 645-655.
- 809 Ottosson, J. and Stenström, T.A., 2003. Growth and reduction of microorganisms in sediments
- 810 collected from a greywater treatment system. Letters in Applied Microbiology 36(3), 168-172.
- 811 Payment, P. and Hunter, P.R., 2001. Endemic and epidemic infectious intestinal disease and its
- relationship to drinking water, Ch 4 in Water quality: guidelines, standard and health, pp. 61-88, IWA
- 813 Publishing World Health Organization, London.
- Petterson, S.R., Mitchell, V.G., Davies, C.M., O'Connor, J., Kaucner, C., Roser, D. and Ashbolt, N.,
- 815 2016. Evaluation of three full-scale stormwater treatment systems with respect to water yield,
- 816 pathogen removal efficacy and human health risk from faecal pathogens. Science of The Total
- 817 Environment 543, Part A, 691-702.

- 818 PHAS, 2017. Statistics on Illness, (In Swedish: Sjukdomsstatistik),
- 819 <u>https://www.folkhalsomyndigheten.se/folkhalsorapportering-statistik/statistikdatabaser-och-</u>
- 820 <u>visualisering/sjukdomsstatistik/</u>, The Public Health Agency of Sweden Accessed: 2017, March 13.
- 821 Rhodes, M.W. and Kator, H., 1988. Survival of Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp. in estuarine
- environments. Applied and environmental microbiology 54(12), 2902-2907.
- 823 Rizak, S., Cunliffe, D., Sinclair, M., Vulcano, R., Howard, J., Hrudey, S. and Callan, P., 2003.
- 824 Drinking water quality management: a holistic approach. Water Science & Technology 47(9), 31-36.
- 825 Rosén, L., Back, P.-E., Söderqvist, T., Norrman, J., Brinkhoff, P., Norberg, T., Volchko, Y., Norin,
- 826 M., Bergknut, M. and Döberl, G., 2015. SCORE: A novel multi-criteria decision analysis approach to
- assessing the sustainability of contaminated land remediation. Science of The Total Environment 511,
- **828** 621-638.
- 829 Rosén, L., Lindhe, A., Chenoweth, J., Kelay, T., Fife-Schaw, C. and Beuken, R., 2010. Decision
- support for risk management in drinking water supply: Overview and framework,
- 831 <u>https://www.techneau.org/fileadmin/files/Publications/Publications/Deliverables/D4.4.1-Report.pdf</u>
 832 TECHNEAU.
- 833 Schijven, J., Bouwknegt, M., de Roda Husman, A.M., Rutjes, S., Sudre, B., Suk, J.E. and Semenza,
- 834 J.C., 2013. A Decision Support Tool to Compare Waterborne and Foodborne Infection and/or Illness
- Risks Associated with Climate Change. Risk Analysis 33(12), 2154-2167.
- 836 Schijven, J.F., Mülschlegel, J.H.C., Hassanizadeh, S.M., Teunis, P.F.M. and de Roda Husman, A.M.,
- 837 2006. Determination of protection zones for Dutch groundwater wells against virus contamination -
- uncertainty and sensitivity analysis. Journal of Water and Health 4(3), 297-312.
- 839 SEPA, 1991. Treatment of household wastewater, (In Swedish: Rening av hushålsspillvatten),
- 840 <u>http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Documents/allmrad/ar-91-2.pdf</u>, Swedish Environmental Protection
- 841 Agency, Stockholm.
- 842 SEPA, 2002. Robust, sustainable small on-site wastewater systems A review., In Swedish: Robusta,
- 843 uthålliga små avloppssystem En kunskapssammanställning.
- 844 <u>http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Documents/publikationer/620-5224-1.pdf?pid=2891</u>, Swedish
- 845 Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm.

- 846 SEPA, 2003. Small on-site wastewater systems, (In Swedish: Små avloppsanläggningar),
- 847 https://www.naturvardsverket.se/upload/stod-i-miljoarbetet/vagledning/avlopp/faktablad-8147-enskilt-
- 848 <u>avlopp/faktablad-8147-sma-avloppsanlaggningar.pdf</u>, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency,
- 849 Stockholm.
- 850 SEPA, 2004. Knowledge of on-site wastewater treatment systems in Swedish municipalities, (In
- 851 Swedish: Kunskapsläget om enskilda avlopp i Svenska kommuner) Stockholm.
- 852 SEPA, 2008a. Cross-section charge system proposal for nitrogen and phosphorous, (In Swedish:
- 853 Förslag till avgiftssystem för kväve och fosfor), http://www.naturvardsverket.se/upload/stod-i-
- 854 <u>miljoarbetet/vagledning/avlopp/faktablad-8147-enskilt-avlopp/faktablad-8147-sma-</u>
- 855 <u>avloppsanlaggningar.pdf</u>, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm.
- 856 SEPA, 2008b. Small on-site wastewater systems Guidebook on general advices, (In Swedish: Små
- 857 avloppsanläggningar Handbok till allmänna råd),
- 858 <u>https://www.havochvatten.se/download/18.5f66a4e81416b5e51f7c41/1381136453410/handbok-sma-</u>
- 859 <u>avloppsanlaggningar.pdf</u>, Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm.
- 860 SEPA, 2013. Sustainable recycling of phosphorous, (In Swedish: Hållbar återföring av fosfor),
- 861 <u>http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Documents/publikationer6400/978-91-620-6580-5.pdf</u>, Swedish
- 862 Environmental Protection Agency, Stockholm.
- 863 SEPA, 2015. Guidence and application of efficient regulation of small on-site wastewater treatment
- systems, (In Swedish: Vägledning och exempel för effektiv tillsyn av små avlopp)
- 865 <u>http://www.naturvardsverket.se/upload/stod-i-miljoarbetet/vagledning/avlopp/faktablad-8147-enskilt-</u>
- 866 <u>avlopp/faktablad-8147-sma-avloppsanlaggningar.pdf</u>, Stockholm.
- 867 SEPA, 2017. EU demands on Swedish wastewater treatment plants, (In Swedish: EU-krav på svenska
- 868 avloppsreningsverk), http://www.naturvardsverket.se/Stod-i-
- 869 <u>miljoarbetet/Rattsinformation/Rattsfall/Avloppsreningsverk/EU-krav-pa-svenska-avloppsreningsverk/</u>,
- 870 Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, Accessed: 2017, February 3.
- 871 Sjöbo Municipality, 2016a. Fee for waste removal services 2016, Sjöbo municipality, In Swedish:
- 872 Avfallstaxa, Sjöbo kommun

- 873 <u>http://www.sjobo.se/files/Bygga,%20bo%20och%20milj%C3%B6/Avfall/F%C3%B6rslag%20till%20</u>
- 874 <u>Avfallstaxa%202016.pdf</u>, Accessed: 2016, Juli 6 Sjöbo municipality.
- 875 Sjöbo Municipality, 2016b. Fee for water and wastewater services 2016, Sjöbo municipality, In
- 876 Swedish: VA-taxa, Sjöbo kommun
- 877 <u>http://www.sjobo.se/files/Bygga,%20bo%20och%20milj%C3%B6/Vatten%20och%20avlopp/Kommu</u>
- 878 nalt% 20vatten% 20och% 20avlopp/VA-taxa% 202016.pdf, Accessed: 2016, Juli 6 Sjöbo municipality.
- 879 Sjöbo Municipality, 2016c. Information and instructions for expanding municipal water and
- 880 wastewater services in Sjöbo sommarby, Sjöbo municipality, In Swedish: Information och
- 881 anvisningar vid utbyggnad av vatten- och avloppsnät i Sjöbo sommarby, Sjöbo kommun
- 882 <u>http://www.sjobo.se/files/Bygga,%20bo%20och%20milj%c3%b6/Vatten%20och%20avlopp/Kommun</u>
- 883 <u>alt%20vatten%20och%20avlopp/Sj%c3%b6bo%20sommarby/Information%20och%20anvisningar%2</u>
- 884 <u>0vid%20utbyggnad.pdf</u>, Accessed: 2016, Juli 2 Sjöbo municipality.
- 885 SMHI, 2017. Vattenwebb, <u>http://vattenwebb.smhi.se/</u>, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological
- 886 Institute Accessed: 2017, February 28.
- 887 STA, 2016. Method and socioeconomical calculation vavlues for the transport sector: ASEK 6.0,
- 888 Analysmetod och samhällsekonomiska kalkylvärden för transportsektorn: ASEK 6.0
- 890 <u>ek 6 0.pdf</u>, Borlänge.
- Sundahl, A.-C., Wennberg, C., Tilly, L., Wettemark, F., Magnusson, P. and Schuster, J., 2008.
- 892 Vombsjön a Water Framework Directive project, (In Swedish: Vombsjön ett ramdirektivprojekt).
- 893 VATTEN (64), 129-136.
- 894 Svensson, M., Nilsson, F.O.L. and Arnberg, K., 2015. Reimbursement Decisions for Pharmaceuticals
- in Sweden: The Impact of Disease Severity and Cost Effectiveness. PharmacoEconomics 33(11),
- 896 1229-1236.
- 897 Swaffer, B.A., Vial, H.M., King, B.J., Daly, R., Frizenschaf, J. and Monis, P.T., 2014. Investigating
- source water Cryptosporidium concentration, species and infectivity rates during rainfall-runoff in a
- multi-use catchment. Water research 67, 310-320.

- 900 Terzieva, S.I. and McFeters, G.A., 1991. Survival and injury of Escherichia coli, Campylobacter
- 901 jejuni, and Yersinia enterocolitica in stream water. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 37(10), 785902 790.
- 903 Teunis, P., Van den Brandhof, W., Nauta, M., Wagenaar, J., Van den Kerkhof, H. and Van Pelt, W.,
- 2005. A reconsideration of the Campylobacter dose–response relation. Epidemiology and Infection
- 905 133(04), 583-592.
- 906 Teunis, P.F.M., Chappell, C.L. and Okhuysen, P.C., 2002. Cryptosporidium Dose Response Studies:
- 907 Variation Between Isolates. Risk Analysis 22(1), 175-185.
- 908 Teunis, P.F.M., Moe, C.L., Liu, P., E. Miller, S., Lindesmith, L., Baric, R.S., Le Pendu, J. and
- 909 Calderon, R.L., 2008. Norwalk virus: How infectious is it? Journal of Medical Virology 80(8), 1468-
- **910** 1476.
- 911 Wastewater guide, 2016. Is your onsite sewage system green, yellow or red? (How to classify your
- 912 onsite sewage system), In Swedish: Är ditt avlopp grönt, gult eller rött?
- 913 <u>http://husagare.avloppsguiden.se/svea/avloppsguiden_checklista_avlopp_v2.pdf</u>, Accessed: 2016, Juli
 914 2.
- 915 Westrell, T., 2004. Microbial risk assessment and its implications for risk management in urban water
- 916 systems. Doctoral Thesis, Linköping University Electronic Press, Linköping.
- 917 Westrell, T., Andersson, Y. and Stenström, T.A., 2006. Drinking water consumption patterns in
- 918 Sweden. Journal of Water and Health 4(4), 511-522.
- 919 WHO, 2001. Water Quality Guidelines, Standards & Health: Assessment of risk and risk
- 920 management for water-related infectious disease, IWA publishing, World Health Organization,
- 921 Geneva.
- 922 WHO, 2011. Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 4th edition, World Health Organization, Geneva.
- 923 WHO, 2016. Quantitative microbial risk assessment: Application for water safety management, World
- 924 Health Organization, Geneva.
- 925 Wyman, J., Heaton, K., Manning, A. and Wicks, A., 1978. Variability of colonic function in healthy
- 926 subjects. Gut 19(2), 146-150.

- A decision model for drinking water context, combining QMRA and CBA, was developed.
- This flexible model can be tailored to different systems and decision problems.
- The microbial risk mitigation measures were compared in a Swedish case-study.
- Microbial risk reduction was measured in QALYs and monetised.
- This novel decision model provides transparent and holistic decision support.