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Abstract

We use a global version of Heath-Brown’s $p$-adic determinant method developed by Salberger to give upper bounds for the number of rational points of height at most $B$ on non-singular cubic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The bounds are uniform in the sense that they only depend on the rank of the corresponding Jacobian.
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1. Introduction

Let $F(X_0, X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2]$ be a non-singular cubic form, so that $F = 0$ defines a smooth plane cubic curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. We want to study the asymptotic behaviour of the counting function

$$N(B) = \sharp\{P \in C(\mathbb{Q}) : H(P) \leq B\},$$

with respect to the naive height function $H(P) := \max\{|x_0|, |x_1|, |x_2|\}$ for $P = [x_0, x_1, x_2]$ with co-prime integer values of $x_0, x_1, x_2$.
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It is known that if the rank \( r \) of the Jacobian \( \text{Jac}(C) \) is positive, then we have

\[
N(B) \sim c_F (\log B)^{r/2}
\]  

as \( B \to \infty \). This result was shown by Néron. Moreover, if \( r = 0 \) then \( N(B) \leq 16 \) by Mazur’s theorem (see Mazur [5], Theorem 8) on torsion groups of elliptic curves. But (1) is not a uniform upper bound as the constant \( c_F \) depends on \( C \). The aim of this paper is to give uniform upper bounds for \( N(B) \) which only depend on the rank of \( \text{Jac}(C) \).

In this direction, Heath-Brown and Testa (see [4], Corollary 1.3) established the uniform bound

\[
N(B) \ll (\log B)^{3+r/2}
\]  

by using the \( p \)-adic determinant method developed by the first author (see [3]). In [4], they also used a result of David [1] about the successive minima of the quadratic form given by the canonical height pairing on \( \text{Jac}(C) \) to prove the sharper uniform bounds \( N(B) \ll (\log B)^{1+r/2} \) for all \( r \) and \( N(B) \ll (\log B)^{r/2} \) if \( r \) is sufficiently large.

We shall in this paper give a direct proof of the bound

\[
N(B) \ll (\log B)^{3+r/2}
\]  

(2)

based on the determinant method, which does not depend on any deep result about the canonical height pairing.

To do this, we follow the approach in [4] with descent. But we replace the \( p \)-adic determinant method by a global determinant method developed by Salberger [6]. The main result of this paper is the following

**Theorem 1.** Let \( F(X_0, X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_0, X_1, X_2] \) be a non-singular cubic form, so that \( F = 0 \) defines a smooth plane cubic curve \( C \). Let \( r \) be the rank of \( \text{Jac}(C) \). Then for any \( B \geq 3 \) and any positive integer \( m \) we have

\[
N(B) \ll m^r \left( B^{\frac{3}{m+r}} + m^2 \right) \log B
\]

uniformly in \( C \), with an implied constant independent of \( m \).

This bound improves upon the estimate

\[
N(B) \ll m^{r+2} \left( B^{\frac{2}{3m+r}} \log B + \log^2 B \right)
\]

in [4] (see Theorem 1.2). Taking \( m = 1 + \lfloor \sqrt{\log B} \rfloor \) we immediately obtain the following result.
Corollary 2. Under the conditions above we have

\[ N(B) \ll (\log B)^{2+r/2} \]

uniformly in \( C \).

In the appendix we include for comparison a short account of the bounds for \( N(B) \) that can be deduced from David’s result.

2. The descent argument

We shall in this section recall the argument in [4], where the study of \( N(B) \) is reduced to a counting problem for a biprojective curve.

Let \( \psi : C \times C \to \Jac(C) \) be the morphism to the Jacobian of \( C \) defined by \( \psi(P, Q) = [P] - [Q] \). Let \( m \) be a positive integer and define an equivalence relation on \( C(\Q) \) as follows: \( P \sim_m Q \) if \( \psi(P, Q) \in m(\Jac(C)(\Q)) \). The number of equivalence classes is at most \( 16m^r \) by the theorems of Mazur and Mordell–Weil. There is therefore a class \( K \) such that

\[ N(B) \ll m^r \sharp \{ P \in K : H(P) \leq B \}. \]

If we fix a point \( R \) in \( K \) then for any other point \( P \) in \( K \), there will be a further point \( Q \) in \( C(\Q) \) such that \( [P] = m[Q] - (m - 1)[R] \) in the divisor class group of \( C \). We define the curve \( X = X_R \) by

\[ X_R := \{(P, Q) \in C \times C : [P] = m[Q] - (m - 1)[R]\} \]

in \( \P^2 \times \P^2 \). Then \( N(B) \ll m^r \sharp K \), where

\[ K := \{(P, Q) \in X(\Q) : H(P) \leq B\}. \]

We have thus reduced the counting problem for \( C \) to a counting problem for a biprojective curve \( X \) in \( \P^2 \times \P^2 \). We shall also need the following lemma from [4] (see Lemma 2.1).

Lemma 3. Let \( C \) be a smooth plane cubic curve defined by a primitive form \( F \) with \( \| F \| \ll B^{30} \), and \( R \) be a point in \( C(\Q) \). There exists an absolute constant \( A \) with the following property. Suppose that \( (P, Q) \) is a point in \( X_R(\Q) \) and that \( B \geq 3 \). Then if \( H(P), H(R) \leq B \) we have \( H(Q) \leq B^A \).

3. The global determinant method

We shall in this section apply Salberger’s global determinant method in [6] to \( X \) and consider congruences between integral points on \( X \) modulo all primes of good reduction for \( C \) and \( X \). It is a refinement of the \( p \)-adic determinant method used in [3] and [4].
We will label the points in $\mathcal{K}$ as $(P_j, Q_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$, say, and fix integers $a, b \geq 1$. Let $I_1$ be the vector space of all bihomogeneous forms in $(x_0, x_1, x_2; y_0, y_1, y_2)$ of bidegree $(a, b)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ and $I_2$ be the subspace of such forms which vanish on $X$. Since the monomials

$$x_0^{e_0} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} y_0^{f_0} y_1^{f_1} y_2^{f_2}$$

with

$$e_0 + e_1 + e_2 = a \text{ and } f_0 + f_1 + f_2 = b$$

form a basis for $I_1$, there is a subset of monomials $\{F_1, ..., F_s\}$ whose corresponding cosets form a basis for $I_1/I_2$. As in [4] (see Lemma 3.1), if $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{m^2}{b} < 3$, then $s = 3(m^2a + b)$. Thus we shall always assume that $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq m^2$ to make sure that $s = 3(m^2a + b)$. Consider the $N \times s$ matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix}
F_1(P_1, Q_1) & F_2(P_1, Q_1) & \cdots & F_s(P_1, Q_1) \\
F_1(P_2, Q_2) & F_2(P_2, Q_2) & \cdots & F_s(P_2, Q_2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
F_1(P_N, Q_N) & F_2(P_N, Q_N) & \cdots & F_s(P_N, Q_N)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

If we can choose $a$ and $b$ such that $\text{rank}(M) < s$, then there is a non-zero column vector $c$ such that $M^T c = 0$. This will produce a bihomogeneous form $G$, say, of bidegree $(a, b)$ such that $G(P_j, Q_j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$. Hence all points in $\mathcal{K}$ will lie on the variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $G = 0$, while the irreducible curve $X$ does not lie on $Y$. Thus

$$N \leq \#(X \cap Y) \leq 3(m^2a + b) \quad (4)$$

by the Bézout-type argument in [4] (see Lemma 5.1).

In order to show that $\text{rank}(M) < s$, we may clearly suppose that $N \geq s$. We will show that each $s \times s$ minor $\det(\Delta)$ of $M$ vanishes. Without loss of generality, let $\Delta$ be the $s \times s$ matrix formed by the first $s$ rows of $M$.

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix}
F_1(P_1, Q_1) & F_2(P_1, Q_1) & \cdots & F_s(P_1, Q_1) \\
F_1(P_2, Q_2) & F_2(P_2, Q_2) & \cdots & F_s(P_2, Q_2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
F_1(P_s, Q_s) & F_2(P_s, Q_s) & \cdots & F_s(P_s, Q_s)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The idea is now to give an upper bound for $\det(\Delta)$ which is smaller than a certain integral factor of $\det(\Delta)$. To do this, we first recall a result from [3] (see Theorem 4).

**Lemma 4.** For a plane cubic curve $C$ defined by a primitive integral form $F$, either $N(B) \leq 9$ or $\|F\| \ll B^{30}$. 

Thus from now on, we may and shall always suppose that $\|F\| \ll B^{30}$. It is not difficult to see that every entry in $\Delta$ has modulus at most $B^a B^{Ab}$, where $A$ is the absolute constant in Lemma 3. Since $\Delta$ is an $s \times s$ matrix, we get that

$$\log|\text{det}(\Delta)| \leq s \log s + s \log B^{a+Ab}. \quad (5)$$

Now we find a factor of $\text{det}(\Delta)$ of the form $p^{N_p}$, where $p$ is a prime of good reduction for $C$. In order to do that, we divide $\Delta$ into blocks such that elements in each block have the same reduction modulo $p$.

Let $p$ be a prime number and $Q^*$ be a point on $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then we define the set

$$S(Q^*, p, \Delta) = \{(P_j, Q_j) : 1 \leq j \leq s, \overline{Q}_j = Q^*\},$$

where $\overline{Q}_j$ denotes the reduction from $C(\mathbb{Q})$ to $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Suppose $\sharp S(Q^*, p, \Delta) = E$. We consider any $E \times E$ sub-matrix $\Delta^*$ of $\Delta$ corresponding to $S(Q^*, p, \Delta)$ and recall a result from [4] (see Lemma 4.2). Note that our set $S(Q^*, p, \Delta)$ has fewer elements than the set $S(Q'; p, B)$ defined at the beginning of Section 3 in [4] but the proof still works.

**Lemma 5.** If $p$ is a prime of good reduction for $C$, then $p^{E(E-1)/2}$ divides $\text{det}(\Delta^*)$.

From this lemma we obtain a factor of $\text{det}(\Delta)$ of the form $p^{N_p}$ by means of Laplace expansion. Moreover, we can do the same argument for all primes of good reduction for $C$ and then obtain a very large factor of $\text{det}(\Delta)$. That is the idea of the global determinant method in [6].

**Lemma 6.** Let $p$ be a prime of good reduction for $C$. There exists a non-negative integer $N_p \geq \frac{s^2}{2n_p} + O(s)$ such that $p^{N_p}|\text{det}(\Delta)$, where $n_p$ is the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points on $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

**Proof.** Let $P$ be a point on $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $s_P$ be the number of elements in $S(P, p, \Delta)$. Then by Lemma 5, there exists an integer $N_P = s_P(s_P - 1)/2$ such that $p^{N_p}|\text{det}(\Delta^*)$ for each $s_P \times s_P$ sub-matrix $\Delta^*$ of $\Delta$ corresponding to $S(P, p, \Delta)$.

If we apply this to all points on $C(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and use Laplace expansion, then we get that $p^{N_p}|\text{det}(\Delta)$ for

$$N_p = \sum_P N_P = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P s_P^2 - \frac{s}{2} \geq \frac{s^2}{2n_p} + O(s)$$

in case $C$ has good reduction at $p$. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

We now give a bound for the product of primes of bad reduction for $C$. Since $\|F\| \ll B^{30}$, the discriminant $D_F$ of $F$ will satisfy $\log|D_F| \ll \log B$. Thus $\log \Pi_C \ll \log B$, where $\Pi_C$ is the product of all primes of bad reduction for $C$. We have therefore the following bound.
Lemma 7. Suppose that $\|F\| \ll B^{30}$. The product $\Pi_C$ of all primes of bad reduction for $C$ satisfies $\log \Pi_C = O(\log B)$.

We need one more lemma from [6] (see Lemma 1.10).

Lemma 8. Let $\Pi > 1$ be an integer and $p$ run over all prime factors of $\Pi$. Then

$$\sum_{p|\Pi} \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log \log \Pi + 2.$$ 

Proof. We may and shall assume that $\Pi$ is a square-free. Let $l$ be a positive integer such that $l \leq \Pi$ and $v_p(n)$ be the highest integer such that $p^{v_p(n)}|n$. We then have (see Tenenbaum [7], pp. 13–14)

$$\sum_{p|\Pi} \log p - \sum_{p|\Pi} \log p \leq \sum_{p \leq \Pi} v_p(l!) \log p \leq \log l! \leq l \log l,$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{p|\Pi} \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log l + \frac{1}{l} \sum_{p|\Pi} \log p \leq \log l + (1/l) \log \Pi.$$ 

To obtain the assertion, let $l = \lfloor \log \Pi \rfloor$ for $\Pi > 2$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1

We now use the lemmas in Section 3 to prove that det($\Delta$) vanishes if $s$ is large enough. Let $\Pi_C$ be the product of all primes $p$ of bad reduction for $C$. Then

$$\sum_{p|\Pi_C} \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log \log B + O(1) \quad (6)$$

by Lemma 7 and Lemma 8. We apply Lemma 6 to the primes $p \leq s$ of good reduction for $C$ and write $\sum_{p \leq s}^*$ for a sum over these primes. We then obtain a positive factor $T$ of det($\Delta$) which is relatively prime to $\Pi_C$ such that

$$\log T \geq \frac{s^2}{2} \sum_{p \leq s}^* \frac{\log p}{n_p} + O(s) \sum_{p \leq s}^* \log p.$$ 

The last term is $O(s^2)$ since $\sum_{p \leq s} \log p = O(s)$ (see [7], p. 31). Also,

$$\frac{\log p}{n_p} \geq \frac{\log p}{p} - \frac{(n_p - p) \log p}{p^2}.$$
Moreover, it is well-known that if \( p \) is a prime of good reduction for \( C \), then \( n_p = p + O(\sqrt{p}) \). Thus we conclude that

\[
\frac{\log p}{n_p} \geq \frac{\log p}{p} + O\left(\frac{\log p}{p^{3/2}}\right)
\]

for all primes \( p \) of good reduction for \( C \). Therefore,

\[
\sum_{p \leq s} *\frac{\log p}{n_p} \geq \sum_{p \leq s} *\frac{\log p}{p} + O(1)
\]

and then

\[
\log T \geq \frac{s^2}{2} \sum_{p \leq s} *\frac{\log p}{p} + O(s^2).
\]

But by (6),

\[
\sum_{p \leq s} \frac{\log p}{p} - \sum_{p \leq s} *\frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log \log B + O(1)
\]

and \( \sum_{p \leq s} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log s + O(1) \) (see [7], p. 14). Hence,

\[
\log T \geq \frac{s^2}{2} \log \left(\frac{s}{\log B}\right) + O(s^2).
\]

Thus from (5) and (7) we obtain

\[
\log \left(\frac{\left|\det(\Delta)\right|}{T}\right) \leq \log s + s \log B^{a + Ab} - \frac{s^2}{2} \log \left(\frac{s}{\log B}\right) + O(s^2)
\]

\[
= \frac{s^2}{2} \left(\log B^{\frac{2(a + Ab)}{a}} - \log \left(\frac{s}{u\log B}\right)\right) + O(s^2).
\]

There is therefore an absolute constant \( u \geq 1 \) such that

\[
\log \left(\frac{\left|\det(\Delta)\right|}{T}\right) \leq \frac{s^2}{2} \left(\log B^{\frac{2(a + Ab)}{a}} - \log \left(\frac{s}{u\log B}\right)\right).
\]

If

\[
s > uB^{\frac{2(a + Ab)}{a}} \log B
\]

we have in particular that \( \log \left(\frac{\left|\det(\Delta)\right|}{T}\right) < 0 \) and hence \( \det(\Delta) = 0 \) as \( \frac{\left|\det(\Delta)\right|}{T} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \).
Remember that $s = 3(m^2a + b)$ if $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq m^2$. We now choose $b = m^2$ and

$$a = 1 + \left[\frac{uB^{\frac{2}{3m^2}} \log B}{m^2} + A \log B\right].$$

Then

$$uB^{\frac{2(a + Ab)}{3m^2}} \log B = uB^{\frac{2(a + A m^2)}{3m^2(n + 1)}} \log B$$

$$< uB^{\frac{2}{3m^2}} B^{\frac{2A}{3m^2}} \log B < s.$$

Thus (8) holds and hence $\det(\Delta) = 0$. Then $\text{rank}(M) < s$ such that there is a bihomogeneous form in $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2, y_0, y_1, y_2]$ which vanishes at all $(P_j, Q_j) \in X(Q), 1 \leq j \leq N$, with $H(P_j) \leq B$ but not everywhere on $X$. Hence (see (4))

$$N \leq 3(m^2a + b) \ll \left(B^{\frac{2}{3m^2}} + m^2\right) \log B$$

$$\Rightarrow N(B) \ll m^r \left(B^{\frac{2}{3m^2}} + m^2\right) \log B.$$ 

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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Appendix A

In this appendix we record the following more precise version of a result in [4].

Theorem 9. Let $C$ be any smooth plane cubic curve and $r$ be the rank of $\text{Jac}(C)$. Let $m_l = \frac{l^2 - 4l - 4}{8l^2 + 8l}$ for $l \geq 1$. Then

$$N(B) \ll \begin{cases} (\log B)^{-\left(m_1 + \ldots + m_r + r/2\right)}, & \text{if } 1 \leq r < 16; \\
(\log B)^{r/2}, & \text{if } r \geq 16, \end{cases}$$

with an absolute implied constant. In particular, $N(B) \ll (\log B)^{1 + r/2}$ for all $r$.

Proof. The proof is just a careful re-examination of the argument of Heath-Brown and Testa [4]. This argument is based on a result of David [1] about successive minima for the quadratic form $Q$ corresponding to the canonical height on $\text{Jac}(C)$. As in [4] (see (11)),
\begin{equation}
N(B) \ll \max_{j \leq r} \left\{ 1, 4 \frac{\sqrt{\log B}}{M_j} \right\},
\end{equation}

where \( c \) is an absolute constant and \( M_j, j = 1, \ldots, r \) are successive minima of \( \sqrt{Q} \).

We now recall Corollary 1.6 from [1], which shows that if \( D \) is the discriminant of \( \text{Jac}(C) \) then for all \( l \leq r \), \( M_l \gg (\log|D|)^{m_l} \), where \( m_l = \frac{l^2 - 4l - 4}{8l^2 + 8l} \). Note that David’s result refers to the successive minima for \( Q \), while we have given the corresponding results for \( \sqrt{Q} \).

In Lemma 4 we saw that \( \|F\| \ll B^{30} \) if \( N(B) > 9 \). There is, therefore, in that case an absolute constant \( k \) such that

\[
\max \left\{ 1, 4 \frac{\sqrt{c \log B}}{M_j} \right\} \leq k (\log B)^{1/2} (\log|D|)^{-m_j}
\]

for \( j = 1, \ldots, r \) since \( |m_j| < 1/2 \) and \( \log|D| \ll \log B \). Hence, if \( N(B) > 9 \), then from (9) we obtain

\[
N(B) \ll k^r (\log B)^{r/2} (\log|D|)^{-(m_1 + \ldots + m_r)}.
\]

If \( 1 \leq r < 16 \), then \(-(m_1 + \ldots + m_r) > 0\) and the assertion holds. If \( r \geq 16 \), let \( D_0 = \exp(k^{1/m_{16}}) \). Then \( k (\log|D|)^{-m_j} \leq 1 \) for \( j > 16 \) and \( |D| \geq D_0 \). Hence

\[
N(B) \ll (\log B)^{r/2} (\log|D|)^{-(m_1 + \ldots + m_{16})} \ll (\log B)^{r/2}
\]

as \(-(m_1 + \ldots + m_{16}) < 0\). When \( |D| \leq D_0 \) the rank \( r \) is bounded and we get the same assertion by (10).

So in any case, \( N(B) \ll (\log B)^{r/2} \), if \( r \geq 16 \). It should thereby be noted that Elkies (see [2]) has shown that there exist elliptic curves of rank \( r \geq 28 \).
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