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Weak thermal quenching of the luminescence in the Ca$_3$Sc$_2$Si$_3$O$_{12}$:Ce$^{3+}$ garnet phosphor†

Suchinder K. Sharma, Yuan-Chih Lin, Irene Carrasco, Tobias Tingberg, Marco Bettinelli and Maths Karlsson

We report results of the luminescence properties of the three garnet type phosphors Ce$^{3+}$-doped Ca$_3$Sc$_2$Si$_3$O$_{12}$ (CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$), Sr$_3$Y$_2$Ge$_3$O$_{12}$ (SYG:Ce$^{3+}$) and Y$_3$Al$_5$O$_{12}$ (YAG:Ce$^{3+}$), investigated using optical spectroscopy techniques and vacuum referred binding energy (VRBE) diagram analysis. By monitoring the temperature dependence of the luminescence decay time we establish an excellent, intrinsic, thermal stability of luminescence in CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, with a nearly constant decay time ($\approx$ 60 ns) up to, at least, $T = 860$ K. In comparison, SYG:Ce$^{3+}$ and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ exhibit a significant reduction of the luminescence decay time upon heating, starting at around $T = 280$ K and $T = 550$ K, respectively, suggesting a lower internal thermal stability of luminescence in these two garnet phosphors. These findings are supported by the energy separation between the Ce$^{3+}$ 5d$_1$ level and the conduction band (CB) of the respective hosts, which are found at 1.36 eV (CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$), 0.45 eV (SYG:Ce$^{3+}$), and 1.17 eV (YAG:Ce$^{3+}$), respectively, as predicted by their VRBE diagrams. The performance of CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ was evaluated by applying the phosphor on a blue InGaN LED. The system shows a luminous efficacy of optical radiation of 243 lm W$^{-1}$ and a linear response with increasing applied voltage, suggesting it is a highly promising phosphor for future technological applications, particularly at high temperature operating environments.

1 Introduction

Phosphor-converted white-light emitting diodes (pc-WLEDs) based on blue InGaN LEDs offer many advantages compared to traditional light sources due to their high efficiency, tuneable color, and long lifetimes. The phosphors consist of a crystalline host lattice containing a small amount of luminescent ions, which convert the blue light to longer wavelengths via electronic transitions. Garnet crystals, of general formula A$_3$B$_2$C$_3$O$_{12}$, where B and C may be the same or different atoms and as characterized by a 160-atom body-centered cubic unit cell with a lattice constant $a$, are widely considered to be one of the most important families of hosts for luminescent ions, especially for trivalent lanthanide ions such as Ce$^{3+}$.
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but, due to the charge mismatch, two Ce$^{3+}$ ions are expected to substitute for three Ca$^{2+}$/Sr$^{2+}$ ions to maintain charge neutrality. Simultaneously, cation vacancies are formed as a consequence of the charge imbalance. Simultaneously, cation vacancies are formed as a consequence of the charge imbalance. 

A critical challenge in the development of pc-WLEDs is to develop phosphors with high luminance and high thermal stability.\(^{19-21}\) In the literature available on YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, the thermal stability of luminescence of YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ has been studied extensively. YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ exhibits a 20% loss of the room temperature intensity at \(T = 500\) K and more than 50% at \(T = 700\) K, depending on Ce$^{3+}$ dopant concentration and excitation wavelength.\(^{22,23}\) The lowering of the emission intensity as a function of increasing temperature has been attributed to a combination of a change in the 4f–5d absorption strength, as well as (intrinsic) thermal quenching of luminescence, as inferred by a decrease in the luminescence decay time of the Ce$^{3+}$ emission.\(^{22}\) For CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, the decrease in emission intensity with increasing temperature is less pronounced than for YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ and has been also attributed to a change of the 4f–5d absorption strength, partly related to absorption by impurity phases (β-Ca$_3$SiO$_4$, CaSiO$_3$, CeO$_2$), whereas the luminescence decay time is nearly constant (≈71 ns) up to \(T = 700\) K.\(^{24}\) The higher intrinsic thermal stability with respect to luminescence of CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ as compared to YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ not only makes it a promising phosphor for application in pc-WLEDs, but it is also highly interesting from a more fundamental point of view. For the structurally similar phosphor SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, there is a lack of information as the thermal stability of luminescence has not been investigated, yet.

The aim of the present work is to compare and understand the emission and excitation characteristics, especially in regard to the intrinsic thermal stability, of SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ upon excitation at 420–470 nm, thus mimicking the experimental conditions for a pc-WLED using a blue LED. With a view toward practical applications, we also evaluate the performance of CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ phosphor in a pc-WLED prototype. Combined analyses of the emission and excitation spectra, the temperature dependence of the luminescence decay time of Ce$^{3+}$ in SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, and the vacuum referred binding energy (VRBE) diagram for Ce$^{3+}$ in the respective host lattices, suggest that the separation between the host CB and the Ce$^{3+}$ 5d$_{4}$ level plays the most critical role in determining the thermal stability of the three garnet type phosphors.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ was prepared through solid state sintering, by mixing stoichiometric amounts of CaCO$_3$ (Aldrich, ≥99% purity), Sc$_2$O$_3$ (Strem, 99.99% purity), SiO$_2$ (Aldrich, ≥99.995% purity), and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Aldrich, 99.99% purity), followed by three repetitive heat treatments for 3 h at 1450 °C, with intermediate grindings and compacting of pellets under ambient conditions. SYG:Ce$^{3+}$ was prepared by solid state sintering, by mixing stoichiometric amounts of AR grade SrCO$_3$ (Aldrich, ≥99% purity), Y$_2$O$_3$ (Aldrich, 99.99% purity), Al$_2$O$_3$ (Aldrich, 99.99% purity), and Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Aldrich, 99.99% purity), followed by three repetitive heat treatments at 1250 °C (24 h), with intermediate grindings and compacting of pellets. YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ was prepared by co-precipitation. Aqueous solutions of Y(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Strem, 99.999% purity), Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O (Aldrich, 99.99% purity), and NH$_4$Al(SO$_4$)$_2$·12H$_2$O (Aldrich, >99% purity) were prepared from AR reagents. The mixed nitrate and sulfate solution was slowly added to a 3 M solution of ammonium hydroxide, keeping the pH > 10. The precipitate was washed with diluted ammonia and ethanol, filtered and dried overnight at 90 °C to obtain the precursor powder, which was subsequently annealed at 1100 °C for 2 h. The as-prepared samples were phase pure with no detectable traces of impurities as evaluated from powder X-ray diffraction patterns, collected on a Thermo ARL XTRA powder diffractometer, operating in the Bragg–Brentano method.
geometry and equipped with a Cu-anode X-ray source (Kα, λ = 1.5418 Å), using a Peltier Si (Li) cooled solid state detector. The patterns were collected with a scan rate of 0.03°s⁻¹ in the 2θ range 5–90°.

2.2 Photoluminescence spectroscopy

The PL excitation and emission spectra were measured using two different setups. Room temperature PL spectra were measured at the University of Verona, Italy, using a Fluorolog 3 spectrofluorometer (Horiba-Jobin Yvon), equipped with a Xe lamp, a double excitation monochromator, a single emission monochromator (mod. HR320) and a photomultiplier in photon counting mode for the detection of the emitted signal. Variable temperature emission spectra and luminescence decay curves were measured at Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, over the temperature range T = 80–860 K. The temperature was controlled using a Linkam THMS 600 heating stage. The excitation was achieved using a 454 nm laser (DeltaDiode DD-450L) connected to a DD-Ci picosecond diode controller from Horiba Scientific. Due to a very weak and relatively broad emission band (420–490 nm) from the laser, which overlaps partly with the shorter-wavelength part of the emission bands of the studied phosphors, the laser light was further monochromatized by the use of a monochromator (300–800 nm Manual Mini-Chrom, Edmund Optics). Upon the pulsed excitation with a repetition rate of 500 kHz, luminescence decay curves were then detected using a H10721-20 photosensor module (Hamamatsu) containing a photomultiplier and a high-voltage power supply circuit in the 230–920 nm wavelength range, which was coupled to a 500 nm longpass filter (A10033-62, Hamamatsu). The detected decay curves were recorded on an Agilent DSO-X 2022A oscilloscope. The emission spectra were measured as the samples were excited by the 454 nm pulsed laser with a repetition rate of 100 MHz, by using an Ocean Optics USB2000+ UV-Vis spectrometer coupled to an optical fiber with a 455 nm longpass colored glass filter (FGL455, Thorlabs) attached in front of the fiber inlet. Reference spectra were measured using the same setting as described above, but without the blue light irradiating on the samples, and subtracted from the spectra of the samples.

2.3 Phosphor-converted WLED prototype

In order to evaluate the actual performance of CSSO:Ce⁺³ phosphor in a pc-WLED device, we integrated this phosphor onto a commercial 420 nm emitting blue LED chip, using the novel pc-WLED design, as developed by Bin Im et al. The phosphor was molded with silicon resin, put on a cap that was placed onto the LED, and left to solidify for a period over 24 h. A small air gap (≤ 5 mm; refractive index n = 1) between the LED chip and phosphor cap (n ≈ 1.7) reduces the amount of light that is reflected back into the chip, due to the differences in refractive indices of the various layers. Further, the phosphor layer is insulated from the heat as generated by the LED chip, thus reducing a loss of emission intensity due to thermal quenching effects.

The spectral output of the pc-WLED prototype was monitored on a OL 770 multi-channel spectroradiometer from Optronic Laboratories at Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg. The input current was varied using a DC power supply from BK Precision.

3 Results

3.1 Photoluminescence spectra

The room temperature PL excitation and emission spectra of CSSO:Ce⁺³, SYG:Ce⁺³, and YAG:Ce⁺³ are shown in Fig. 2. The excitation spectra (black color) were measured at a fixed emission wavelength of 504 nm (CSSO:Ce⁺³), 513 nm (SYG:Ce⁺³), and 525 nm (YAG:Ce⁺³), respectively. The spectra possess excitation maxima at approximately 440 nm (CSSO:Ce⁺³), 427 nm (SYG:Ce⁺³), and 470 nm (YAG:Ce⁺³), with a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 73 nm, 53 nm, and 72 nm, respectively, for the three materials. The excitation bands correspond to Ce⁺³ 4f → 5d₁ transitions (ΔE₅d₁) in the respective hosts. Higher-energy bands peaking at approximately 285 nm (CSSO:Ce⁺³), 297 nm (SYG:Ce⁺³), and 340 nm (YAG:Ce⁺³), which correspond to Ce⁺³ 4f → 5d₃ transitions (ΔE₅d₃), can also be observed. The energy difference (ΔE₅d₂₃) between the band maxima of the excitation transitions to the 5d₂ and 5d₃ levels is 12.360 cm⁻¹, 10.251 cm⁻¹, and 8135 cm⁻¹, for CSSO:Ce⁺³, SYG:Ce⁺³, and YAG:Ce⁺³, respectively, and can be used as a measure for the strength of “tetragonal” crystal-field splitting of the 8-fold coordinated Ce⁺³ ions. The ΔE₅d₂₃ values are in good agreement with the literature: for CSSO:Ce⁺³, the value (12.360 cm⁻¹) is very similar to the one reported for Ce⁺³-doped Lu₂O₃:MgO:S₂O₃ (a similar Ce⁺³-doped silicate garnet) with
The relation of the emission wavelengths between the three materials exhibits an opposite trend as one could expect based on the strength and temperature dependence of energy migration (energy transfer, radiative decay, reabsorption), an absolute, quantitative analysis of the quantum efficiency (e.g., temperature dependence of the absorption strength and temperature dependence of energy migration and reabsorption), an absolute, quantitative analysis of the intrinsic temperature quenching is difficult from the emission intensity data alone. Hence, for an evaluation of the intrinsic quenching process, luminescence decay time data are expected to provide a better, more accurate description.

### 3.2 Temperature dependence of the emission spectra

To investigate the thermal stability of luminescence of the three garnet phosphors, both the emission intensity and the decay time of the Ce³⁺ emission were evaluated. It should be noted that because of the complexity of the various factors that can contribute to the temperature dependence of the luminescence intensity (e.g., temperature dependence of the absorption strength and temperature dependence of energy migration and reabsorption), an absolute, quantitative analysis of the intrinsic temperature quenching is difficult from the emission intensity data alone. Hence, for an evaluation of the intrinsic quenching process, luminescence decay time data are expected to provide a better, more accurate description.

### Table 1  Luminescence data for SYG:Ce³⁺, CSSO:Ce³⁺, and YAG:Ce³⁺, as described in the text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( \lambda_{\text{ex}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>( \lambda_{\text{em}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>( \Delta \lambda_{\text{em}} ) (nm)</th>
<th>( \Delta \lambda_{\text{ex}} ) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>( \Delta \lambda_{\text{em}} ) (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>(x, y)</th>
<th>CCT (K)</th>
<th>( T_{80%} ) (K)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} ) (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYG:Ce³⁺</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>10 251</td>
<td>3926</td>
<td>(0.314, 0.509)</td>
<td>5911</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.45/0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSSO:Ce³⁺</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>12 360</td>
<td>2866</td>
<td>(0.273, 0.542)</td>
<td>6749</td>
<td>&gt; 860</td>
<td>1.36/0.5–1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAG:Ce³⁺</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>18 135</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>(0.355, 0.576)</td>
<td>5130</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.17/0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} \) is obtained from the fits of the temperature dependent luminescence decay time [Fig. 5(d)] to a single-barrier quenching model.

### Notes

1. \( T_{80\%} \) is the temperature at which the luminescence decay time has decreased to 80% with respect to the value at low temperature (here 80 K), i.e. with respect to that of the “intrinsic” decay time also known as lifetime.
2. Estimated from the VRBE diagrams (Fig. 6).
3. \( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} \) is obtained from the fits of the temperature dependent luminescence decay time [Fig. 5(d)] to a single-barrier quenching model.
4. Estimated from \( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} \) of CSSO:Ce³⁺, using the relation between \( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} \) and \( E_{\text{em}}/E_{\text{ex}} \) of SYG:Ce³⁺ and YAG:Ce³⁺, respectively.
Fig. 4  Temperature dependence of the PL emission spectra and emission maxima [triangles, circles, and squares in (a–c)] of (a) SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, (b) CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and (c) YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, upon excitation at 454 nm. (d) Temperature dependence of the normalized emission intensity for SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$.

The energy difference between the 2F$_{5/2}$ and 2F$_{7/2}$ levels is approximately 1710 cm$^{-1}$, 1410 cm$^{-1}$, and 1560 cm$^{-1}$ at $T = 80$ K for CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, respectively. The energy difference between the 2F$_{5/2}$ and 2F$_{7/2}$ levels is comparable to the expected value of 2000 ± 250 cm$^{-1}$ for Ce$^{3+}$-doped garnet phosphors. The different values between CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ is due to a difference in the spin-orbit coupling strength as Ce$^{3+}$ ions interact with the neighboring atoms in the respective hosts. Furthermore, the emission maximum exhibits a shift toward longer wavelengths (red-shift) upon increasing temperature for all phosphors in the measured temperature range, see Fig. 4(a–c). Note that the emission maximum of SYG:Ce$^{3+}$ is only shown up to $T = 360$ K since the spectra at $T > 360$ K are too weak to determine the emission maximum. The red-shift trend of the emission maximum upon temperature increase is in accordance with the temperature behavior of the color coordinates (Fig. 3). For YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, the red-shift of the color coordinates persists up to around $T = 600$ K, which is in accordance with the results reported in ref. 19 and 30, whereas it turns to a blue-shift at $T > 600$ K. The blue-shift for YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ at $T > 600$ K was not observed in previous studies due to limited highest temperatures ($T \leq 573$ K). It is worth noting that the blue-shift of the color coordinates of YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ from $T = 600$ K to $T = 860$ K (Fig. 3) is not evident for the emission maximum in the same temperature range [Fig. 4(c)]. The discrepancy between the color coordinates and the emission maximum originates from the way in which they have been obtained. For the calculation of the color coordinates $x$ and $y$, the whole emission spectrum, which is determined by all spectral parameters such as the position, width and intensity of the 2F$_{5/2}$ and 2F$_{7/2}$ bands, is used. However, the emission maximum considers only the intensity of the superposition of the two bands. The latter implies that the emission maximum only contains partial information of the spectrum. This information depends on the extent of the spectral overlap between the 2F$_{5/2}$ and 2F$_{7/2}$ bands.
as explained by the following example: the emission maximum for CSSO:Ce\(^{3+}\) at \(T = 80\) K [Fig. 4(b)] is mainly determined by the \(2F_{5/2}\) band. Unlike the color coordinates, the emission maximum only reflects the emission color of part of the spectrum, particularly when the separation between the \(2F_{5/2}\) and \(2F_{7/2}\) bands is large. Therefore, to investigate the shift of the emission color as a function of temperature, the variation of the color coordinates, as shown in the upper image in Fig. 3, reflects the effect in a more accurate manner. It is also noted that the RT color coordinates (Fig. 3) are different between the ones derived from the emission spectra at RT in Fig. 2 and 4(a–c). This is likely an effect of that the measurements were performed with different excitation wavelengths.

On a fundamental level, the color shifting effect may be associated with a change in the tetragonal crystal field acting on the Ce\(^{3+}\) ions upon heating, e.g., through the increase of vibrationally induced tetragonal distortions of the local CeO\(_8\) moieties (red-shift), in competition with local thermal lattice expansion that, conversely, reduces the tetragonal crystal field (blue-shift).\(^{31}\) As the lattice size increases with elevating temperature, the local symmetry of CeO\(_8\) becomes more cubic-like due to the elongation of the Ce–O bonds.\(^{9}\) The red-shift may, however, be also explained by thermally activated energy migration and energy transfer to Ce\(^{3+}\) ions emitting at longer wavelength as the excitation and emission bands are thermally broadened.

### 3.3 Luminescence decay time measurements

Fig. 5(a–c) shows the luminescence decay curves for SYG:Ce\(^{3+}\), CSSO:Ce\(^{3+}\), and YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\) for excitation at 454 nm, at a selection of temperatures. Data for all temperatures are given in Fig. S1 (ESI\(^\dagger\)). All decay curves can be adequately approximated by single exponential fits. Fig. 5(d) compares the luminescence decay time, \(\tau(T)\), over the measured temperature ranges, as extracted from the single exponential fits to the \(T\) dependent data in (a–c). In the low-temperature regime \((T = 80–200\) K), the luminescence decay time remains nearly constant, i.e. 52, 65, and 94 ns for SYG:Ce\(^{3+}\), CSSO:Ce\(^{3+}\), and YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\), respectively. The “intrinsic” decay time (lifetime) of the three Ce\(^{3+}\)-doped garnet phosphors is within the range of the lifetime of Ce\(^{3+}\) luminescence (<100 ns) in many host materials.\(^{32}\) However, the lifetime of YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\) (94 ns) is higher than the values (60–67 ns) as reported in the literature by Bachmann \textit{et al.}\(^{22}\) for YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\) for the Ce\(^{3+}\) dopant concentrations of 0.033–3.333%.

Fig. 5(d) also compares the temperature dependent decay time of YAG:1\%Ce\(^{3+}\) between this work and the one reported in ref. 22. The longer lifetime of YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\) observed here may be attributed to enhanced re-absorption processes due to a larger overlap between the excitation and emission spectra (Fig. 2), compared to the spectra reported by Bachmann \textit{et al.}\(^{22}\) The difference in spectral overlap may stem from different synthesis details such as starting reagents and heat treatments, which may, for example, affect the distribution (homogeneity) of Ce\(^{2+}\) ions in the YAG host lattice, and lead to differences in the distance between Ce\(^{3+}\) ions. Such differences in the Ce–Ce distance should affect the energy transfer among Ce\(^{3+}\) ions, which shifts the position of the emission spectrum\(^{22}\) and hence alters the spectral overlap, i.e. the probability of re-absorption processes.

Upon further temperature increase \((T > 200\) K), the three materials show different behaviors. The onset temperature of thermal quenching for SYG:Ce\(^{3+}\), CSSO:Ce\(^{3+}\), and YAG:Ce\(^{3+}\) are at approximately \(T = 220\) K, 600 K, and 400 K, respectively.
In agreement with the recent study on CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, their luminescence decay time is approximately constant (71 ns) up to $T = 600–700$ K, which confirms an excellent internal thermal stability up to at least $T = 600$ K, although we observe a slightly different lifetime (65 ns) as compared to previous work. At $T > 600$ K, the decay time of CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ exhibits a relatively slow decrease from 64 ns at $T = 600$ K to 60 ns at $T = 860$ K, which further confirms that CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ has strong resistance against thermal quenching at high temperatures (up to at least $T = 860$ K). In contrast, the luminescence decay time of SYG:Ce$^{3+}$ decreases abruptly from about 51 ns at $T = 220$ K to 29 ns at $T = 300$ K, and to 2 ns at $T = 400$ K at which the luminescence is nearly completely quenched. For YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, the luminescence decay time decreases abruptly from $\tau = 86$ ns at $T = 400$ K to $\tau = 63$ ns at $T = 600$ K, and to $\tau = 9$ ns at $T = 860$ K. The temperature at which the luminescence decay time has dropped to 80% of its “intrinsic” value, $T_{80\%}$, is approximately $T = 280$ K, $T > 860$ K, and $T = 550$ K, for SYG:Ce$^{3+}$, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, respectively. The resistance to thermal quenching of luminescence for 5d$_1$ → 4f emission for the three materials is hence ranked as follows, CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$ > YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ > SYG:Ce$^{3+}$. It is worth noting that the $T_{80\%}$ of YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ with 1% doping concentration ($T = 550$ K) is almost 100 K lower than that ($T = 645$ K) of the same material synthesized with different starting reagents and heat treatments. This is in accordance with the longer lifetime obtained here (94 ns) compared to the one (≈61 ns) reported in ref. 22.

The overall thermal quenching behavior can be adequately fitted to a single-barrier quenching model [Fig. 5(d)], i.e. $\tau(T) = 1/[\Gamma_\gamma + \Gamma_0 \exp(-E_a/k_B T)]$, where $\Gamma_\gamma$ is the radiative rate, $\Gamma_0$ is the attempt rate for non-radiative processes, $E_a$ is the activation energy for the thermal quenching processes, and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. From a free fit, we obtain $E_a$ of 0.33 ± 0.005 eV (SYG:Ce$^{3+}$), and 0.45 ± 0.002 eV (YAG:Ce$^{3+}$), see Table 1. In comparison, the $E_a$ of thermal quenching of YAG:1%Ce$^{3+}$ estimated from the data of Bachmann et al. is 0.53 ± 0.007 eV [Fig. 5(d)]. This is in good agreement with the one obtained here (0.45 eV), which suggests that the thermal quenching of luminescence of the two YAG:Ce$^{3+}$ samples is driven by similar quenching processes. As for CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, the decay time is almost constant up to $T = 860$ K (i.e., the highest applied $T$), which makes a free fit to the data unreliable. In order to estimate $E_a$ for CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$, we employed an empirical relation based on the results of SYG:Ce$^{3+}$ and YAG:Ce$^{3+}$, which shows that $E_a$ is lowered by 26–62% with respect to $E_{5d,cb}$ (Table 1), whose details are described in the next section. With this method, we estimate $E_a$ to 0.5–1.0 eV for CSSO:Ce$^{3+}$. In order to interpret in more detail these experimental findings, especially in regard to the positions of the ground and excited configurations of the Ce$^{3+}$ dopants with respect to the valence and conduction bands of the respective hosts, we have constructed the VRBE diagrams of Ce$^{3+}$ within SYG, CSSO, and YAG, as described in the following.

### 3.4 Vacuum referred binding energy diagrams

The way in which we have built the VRBE diagrams for the different hosts is based on published methods. The model, called the chemical shift model, provides electronic structure with absolute binding energies relative to the energies of the electron at rest, in vacuum.

Using the spectroscopic data of the Ce$^{3+}$ luminescence from the present work (Fig. 2 and Table 1) in comparison with previous studies, and the data for the Eu$^{2+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ dopants in the studied hosts, the VRBE diagrams were constructed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VRBE parameters</th>
<th>SYG</th>
<th>CSSO</th>
<th>YAG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_1$ (eV), top of valence band</td>
<td>-8.43$^{44}$</td>
<td>-8.90$^{45}$</td>
<td>-9.38$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{exc}$ (eV), exciton creation energy</td>
<td>5.90$^{14}$a</td>
<td>6.81$^{45}$a</td>
<td>7.16$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{VC}$ (eV), band gap energy</td>
<td>6.37$^{44}$</td>
<td>7.35$^{45}$</td>
<td>7.67$^{11}$a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$ (eV), 4f-electron Coulomb repulsion energy of Eu$^{3+}$</td>
<td>4.43$^{44}$b</td>
<td>4.86$^{45}$</td>
<td>5.42$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{5d,cb}$ (nm), band maximum of 4f → 5d$_i$ excitation (this work/reference)</td>
<td>427/435$^{44}$</td>
<td>440/450$^{46}$</td>
<td>470/452$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ (eV), red-shift of the lowest 5d level of Ce$^{3+}$ (this work/reference)</td>
<td>3.22/3.27</td>
<td>3.30/3.36</td>
<td>3.48/3.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a Derived from the relation $E_{VC} = (1 + 8\%)E_{exc}^{11}$. b Derived from the known $E_{5d,cb} = -5.42$ eV$^{44}$ and using the relation $E_{cb,un} = E_{exc} + E_1^{11}$ see arrow 1 in Fig. 6. c Derived from the relation $E_{5d,cb} = E_{exc} + E_1^{11}$ see arrow 2 in Fig. 6. d Derived from the relation $E_{cb,un} = E_{exc} + E_1^{11}$ see arrow 3 in Fig. 6. e Derived from the relation $E_{cb,un} = E_{exc} + \Delta E_{5d,cb}$, where $\Delta E_{5d,cb}$ is defined in $b^{11}$ see arrow 4 in Fig. 6. f Derived from the relation $E_{cb,un} = E_{exc} + 1240/\lambda_{5d,cb}$, where $i = 1$ and 2$^{11}$ see arrow 5 in Fig. 6. g Derived from the relation $D = E_{cb,un} - 1240/\lambda_{5d,cb}$, where $E_{cb,un} = 6.12$ eV$^{11}$. 
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of Y₃Al₂Ga₃O₁₂:Ce³⁺ and Y₃Ga₅O₁₂:Ce³⁺ garnet phosphors when the process has been confirmed by measuring the photoconductivity levels located within the band gap. This type of quenching followed by charge trapping (luminescence is "killed") at defect levels in the CB and the delocalized electron in the CB may be moted into the CB and the delocalized electron in the CB may be followed by charge trapping (luminescence is "killed") at defect levels located within the band gap. This type of quenching process has been confirmed by measuring the photocconductivity of Y₃Al₂Ga₃O₁₂:Ce³⁺ and Y₃Ga₅O₁₂:Ce³⁺ garnet phosphors when exciting the Ce³⁺ 4f electron to the 5d₁ level as a function of temperature. In this context, a phosphor with a larger E₅d₂,CB is expected to exhibit higher stability against thermal quenching of luminescence, which is in good agreement with our results, cf. CSSO:Ce³⁺ (E₅d₂,CB = 1.36 eV, T₈₀% > 860 K), YAG:Ce³⁺ (E₅d₂,CB = 1.17 eV, T₈₀% = 550 K), and SYG:Ce³⁺ (E₅d₂,CB = 0.45 eV, T₈₀% = 280 K), see Table 1. Similarly, for YAG:Ce³⁺, the separation between the Ce³⁺ 5d₂ level and the bottom of the CB, as denoted by E₅d₂,CB is much smaller than E₅d₃,CB. This suggests that, at a given temperature, more luminescence of Ce³⁺ ions with the excitation at λ₅d₃ is killed due to charge trapping at defects, as compared to the excitation at λ₅d₁. This is evident from a comparison of the intensity of the excitation bands centered at λ₅d₁ (stronger) and λ₅d₃ (weaker), see Fig. 2. The Ce³⁺ 5d₂ levels of CSSO:Ce³⁺ and SYG:Ce³⁺ are located within the CB, which implies that the luminescence is completely quenched upon excitation at λ₅d₂. However, weak λ₅d₃ bands for CSSO:Ce³⁺ and SYG:Ce³⁺ can be still observed (Fig. 2). This may have its origin in a non-negligible amount of electrons in the CB returning to the 5d₁ level, followed by 5d₁ → 4f emission.

### 4 Discussion

The three green-to-yellow-emitting phosphors SYG:Ce³⁺, CSSO:Ce³⁺, and YAG:Ce³⁺ have been shown to exhibit quite remarkably different luminescence properties, thus highlighting the important role of garnet host in regard to their optical performance. The nearly constant luminescence decay time (t ≈ 60 ns) up to very high temperature, T = 860 K, for CSSO:Ce³⁺ is a unique feature as shown by the present host and is even better than the Na₃Al₂Sc₂(OPO₄)₃:Eu³⁺ blue phosphor, which was recently claimed to be a zero-thermal-quenching phosphor up to T = 473 K. Moreover, the 4f–5d₁ excitation and emission spectral characteristics of CSSO:Ce³⁺ make it a very good candidate for pc-WLEDs based on a blue LED, in particular, under a high-power operation which generates significant heat in pc-WLEDs, including the phosphor materials.

The difference in intrinsic thermal stability of luminescence in SYG:Ce³⁺, CSSO:Ce³⁺, and YAG:Ce³⁺ may have its origin in different quenching processes in the three materials. On a fundamental level, the three primary processes that are thought to play a role in the thermal quenching of luminescence in Ce³⁺-doped materials exhibiting 5d–4f luminescence are (1) thermal ionization of the Ce³⁺ 5d electrons into the CB of the host crystal, followed by charge trapping at defects, (2) thermally activated nonradiative energy migration among Ce³⁺ ions to killer centers (generally known as concentration quenching), and (3) thermally activated crossover from the 5d excited state to the 4f ground state via electron–phonon coupling mechanisms. The fact that the Ce³⁺ concentration is identical (1%) in the three materials, but their stability against the thermal quenching of luminescence is remarkably different, suggests that concentration quenching is not responsible for the observed difference in the thermal quenching between the three phosphors. On the contrary, any of the other two processes are likely to play a significant role in determining the overall quenching behavior. The trend of increasing the thermal stability of luminescence (e.g. higher T₈₀%) with increasing E₅d₂,CB values, see Table 1, would suggest that the quenching behavior is primarily determined by thermal ionization. However, the relatively large difference between E₅d₂,CB and E₄ shows that thermal ionization alone cannot determine the overall quenching behavior. Rather, these results point towards the contribution of both thermal ionization of the Ce³⁺ 5d electrons into the CB of the host crystal, followed by charge trapping, and thermally activated crossover from the 5d
excited state to the 4f ground state via electron-phonon coupling mechanisms. In this context, we have previously shown that the excitation of the high-frequency phonon modes in the range of 600–900 cm⁻¹ for YAG:Ce³⁺ promotes crossover non-radiative relaxation. For CSSO, this set of phonon modes are found at somewhat higher vibrational frequencies (800–900 cm⁻¹), meaning that a higher temperature is needed for them to be thermally excited. Under the assumption that thermally activated 5d₁ → 4f crossover contributes to the thermal quenching of luminescence in YAG:Ce³⁺ and CSSO:Ce³⁺, this would be in line with the observed difference in phonon frequencies. In comparison, the same set of phonon modes for SYG is located in the same frequency range as for YAG, i.e. 600–900 cm⁻¹ (see Fig. S2, ESI†). This implies that the lower quenching temperature of SYG:Ce³⁺, see T₈₀% in Table 1, is primarily attributed to its relatively smaller E₅d₁-CB (0.45 eV) as compared to that of YAG:Ce³⁺ (1.17 eV). In other words, this suggests that thermal quenching of luminescence in SYG:Ce³⁺ is driven primarily by thermal ionization rather than by 5d₁ → 4f crossover relaxation.

The excellent thermal stability of CSSO:Ce³⁺ up to T = 860 K, suggests that it is a promising phosphor for application in efficient pc-WLEDs, which require a high thermal stability of luminescence up to at least T = 450 K (the temperature of the phosphors) in today’s available LED packages. Fig. 4(d) shows that the luminescence intensity of CSSO:Ce³⁺ at T = 450 K is about 26% lower with respect to that at T = 80 K. Since the decay time of CSSO:Ce³⁺ remains almost constant up to T = 860 K, this reduction of the luminescence intensity is mainly attributed to the decrease of the absorption strength of the 4f → 5d₁ transition, as in the case for YAG:Ce³⁺. In comparison to YAG:Ce³⁺, which shows a decrease of the luminescence intensity by ≈37% at T = 450 K with respect to that at T = 80 K [Fig. 4(d)], CSSO:Ce³⁺ shows a better thermal stability in terms of luminescence intensity, in agreement with the literature. The difference in thermal stability of luminescence intensity between CSSO:Ce³⁺ and YAG:Ce³⁺ tends to become larger at higher temperatures, e.g. differences of 11% at T = 450 K, and 28% at T = 600 K [Fig. 4(d)], which suggests that CSSO:Ce³⁺ is a better candidate for high power pc-WLEDs.

Fig. 7(a) shows the PL emission spectra of the pc-WLED prototype. The prototype consists of the CSSO:Ce³⁺ phosphor deposited on a commercial InGaN blue LED (λ ≈ 420 nm) under a voltage of 3.4, 3.6, 3.8, and 4.0 V, respectively. The integrated intensity of the emitted light of the pc-WLED prototype shows a linear increase with increasing applied voltage [Fig. 7(b)]. This suggests that the variation of the temperature of the blue LED chip upon applied voltage within the typical operating range for InGaN LEDs (3.4–4.0 V) has no significant impact on the strength of the 4f–5d transition (both blue absorption and green emission) of CSSO:Ce³⁺ when coated on top of the LED chip. This agrees with the observed excellent thermal stability of the emission intensity of CSSO:Ce³⁺ [Fig. 4(d)]. Under an applied voltage of 3.4 V, the prototype exhibits a luminous efficacy of optical radiation (LER) of 243 lm W⁻¹ (≈ 4.191 × 10⁻³ lm/1.725 × 10⁻⁵ W, defined as the ratio of the total luminous flux to radiant power); the inset in Fig. 7(a) shows a photograph of the pc-WLED prototype under this bias. The prototype has a color rendering index (CRI) of 58, which is
calculated using the Python colour science package called “Colour” with the measured spectral power distribution [Fig. 7(a)]. In comparison with other pc-WLEDs with similar CRIs (57–63), the LER obtained here is generally lower than the others (370–430 lm W−1), which may be caused by a larger fraction of blue light leaking through the phosphor. This relatively strong blue light is also evident from the CIE coordinates of the pc-WLED prototype that lay near the region of bluish white color or blue color (Fig. 8). Thus, the light emitted from the prototype is perceived as cold white light. The prototype exhibits a CCT = 13 604 K, which may be compared to that of the well known system comprising a blue LED and YAG:Ce3+ (CCT = 5600 K), 28 see Fig. 8. The white balance and the CRI of the pc-WLED prototype may thus be improved by the addition of a red-emitting phosphor and/or red-emitting core/shell quantum dots to the present system, as implemented in other studies.28,32,35

5 Conclusions
To conclude, we have investigated the luminescence properties of the three garnet type phosphors CSSO:Ce3+, SYG:Ce3+ and YAG:Ce3+. By monitoring the temperature dependence of the luminescence decay time, we establish an excellent, intrinsic, thermal stability of luminescence in CSSO:Ce3+ with a nearly constant decay time (≈60 ns) up to T = 860 K. In comparison, SYG:Ce3+ and YAG:Ce3+ exhibit a significant reduction of the luminescence decay time, starting at around T = 280 K and T = 550 K, respectively, suggesting a lower internal thermal stability of luminescence in these materials. These experimental results are supported by the energy separation between the Ce3+ 5d1 level and the CB of the respective hosts, which are found at 1.36 eV (CSSO:Ce3+), 0.45 eV (SYG:Ce3+), and 1.17 eV (YAG:Ce3+), respectively, as predicted by their VRBE diagrams. The performance of CSSO:Ce3+ was further evaluated by applying the phosphor on a blue InGaN LED. The system shows a luminous efficacy of optical radiation of 243 lm W−1 and a linear response with increasing applied voltage.
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