Brief announcement: 2D-stack - A scalable lock-free stack design that continuously relaxes semantics for better performance

Brief Announcement: 2D-Stack – A Scalable Lock-Free Stack Design that Continuously Relaxes Semantics for Better Performance*

Adones Rukundo
Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
adones@chalmers.se

Aras Atalar
Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
aaras@chalmers.se

Philippas Tsigas
Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
tsigas@chalmers.se

ABSTRACT

We briefly describe an efficient lock-free concurrent stack design with tunable and tenable relaxed semantics to allow for better performance. The design is tunable and allow for a continuous monotonic trade of weaker semantics for better throughput performance. Concurrent stacks have an inherent scalability bottleneck due to their single access point for both their operations. Elimination and semantics relaxation have been proposed in the literature to address this problem. Semantics relaxation has the potential to reach monotonically very high throughput by continuously trading relaxation for throughput. Previous solutions could not fully leverage this potential. We suggest a new two dimensional design that can achieve this by exploiting disjoint access parallelism in one dimension and locality in the other within tight accuracy bounds. The behaviour of the algorithm is tightly bound. We compare experimentally to previous work, with respect to throughput and relaxed behaviour observed, on different relaxation and concurrency settings. The experimental evaluation shows that our algorithm significantly outperform all other algorithms in terms of performance, also maintain better accuracy in contrast to other designs with relaxed semantics.

KEYWORDS

Lock-free, Data-structures, Relaxation, Distributed, Concurrency, Parallel, NUMA, Shared-Memory

1 INTRODUCTION

To improve performance scalability of concurrent data structures, recent research has focused on expanding the set of legal behaviours, including; weakening consistency and semantic relaxation for providing trade-offs between scalability and linearizability guarantees. Relaxed semantics definitions including; *k-Out-of-Order*, k-Lateness and k-Stuttering have been proposed in the literature [6, 11] as interesting relaxation models to consider. Distributing parts and hence access of the data-structure [4, 7, 13], has come out as a frequent technique used to implement relaxation. A given data-structure is split into multiple sub-structures (*horizontal*) with independent access points to improve on disjoint access parallelism. Operations are distributed over the sub-structures using different scheduling techniques; *thread* binding [13], random access [7], load-balancing [4], round robin and a combination of others. Until now, most proposed relaxed data-structures are one dimension, *horizontal* or *vertical*. *horizontal* for disjoint parallelism, *vertical* for locality.

Concurrent stacks, are fundamental data structures that suffer from an inherent scalability bottleneck, due to their single access point for both of their operations. Because of that, semantic relaxation is a promising approach to be used for improving their performance. We propose a lock-free concurrent design for stacks (*2D-Stack*) that leverages semantics relaxation through exploiting both disjoint access parallelism and locality leading to a two dimensional design. To achieve this, we implement a light weight synchronization mechanism that also maintains tight accuracy bounds. Our design, compared to previous solutions, would not only increase the performance for a given configuration but also give to the application the capability to monotonically trade accuracy for better performance, which was not possible before. We compare our design with known scheduling techniques and other stacks from the literature. Among the scheduling techniques, we compare with; random (*random*), random choice of two (*random-c2*) [7] and round-robin (*k-robin*). From the literature, we compare with segmented (*k-segment*), elimination (*elimination*) and Treiber stack (*treiber*). *2D-stack* significantly outperforms previous stack implementations as observed in the experimental evaluation Section 4.

2 RELATED WORK

Concurrent stacks suffer from their inherent single point access bottleneck. In the quest to improve performance scalability, disjoint access strategies have been proposed for designing concurrent stacks including; elimination trees [1, 9], combining funnels [10] and elimination back-off [3, 5]. Elimination back-off implements a collision array in which pop operations try to collide and cancel with concurrent push operations. Such operation pairs create disjoint collisions that are executed in parallel with operations accessing the main stack implementation. Elimination back-off mostly benefits symmetric workloads in which the numbers of push and pop operations are roughly equal, its performance deteriorates when workloads are asymmetric.

Recently, semantic relaxation has been proposed for data-structures that provide trade-offs between scalability and linearizability guarantees. Relaxation introduce an acceptable error within the legal

*This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet) project "Models and Techniques for Energy-Efficient Concurrent Data Access Designs" Under Contract No.: 2016-05360 and SIDA/BRIGHT project 317 under the Makerere-Sweden bilateral research programme 2015-2020.

PODC '18, July 23–27, 2018, Egham, United Kingdom

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5795-1/18/07…$15.00
https://doi.org/10.1145/3212734.3212794

strict semantics of a given data-structure, i.e. the pop operation of a relaxed stack can return any of the k items of the stack. To quantify this error, relaxed semantic definitions have been introduced [6, 11]. Based on these definitions, a *k-Out-of-Order* stack has been proposed in [6], referred to as *k-segment*. It is composed of a linked list of memory segments whose size is defined by k number of indexes. The stack items can only be accessed through the topmost segment, where an operation pushes or pops an item from any k indexes. A *Push* operation adds a new segment if top segment is full whereas a *Pop* removes a segment if it is empty and not the last segment.

Other relaxed data-structures proposed in the literature include, priority queues [2, 7, 13] and distributed queues [4].

3 *2D-STACK*

Our stack is composed of multiple lock-free *sub-stacks*. An individual *sub-stack* is implemented using a linked list whose operations follow the Treiber stack design [12]. Each *sub-stack* has a unique *descriptor* that keeps track of the *sub-stack* information including; pointer to the topmost item and item-counter. A *descriptor* has a dedicated memory location accessed through an array (*stack-array*). Using a CAE[1] instruction we can update the descriptor contents in one atomic step to maintain correctness.

We introduce and implement an operational region (*window*) in which an operation can occur. It is defined by two parameters; $width$ and $depth$. $width$ defines the number of *sub-stacks* whereas $depth$ defines the maximum number of items acceptable for a single *sub-stack* per *window*. We also implement a global counter (*Global*) that defines the maximum number of items per *sub-stack*. The *window* and *Global* together help us to tightly bound both relaxation and execution time.

To perform an operation, a *thread* searches for a *sub-stack* based on the *Global*. A *thread* selects a *sub-stack*, then, compares the *sub-stack* item-count with the *Global*. The *thread* can then proceed on the selected *sub-stack* only if the comparison evaluates to true. Otherwise the *thread* has to search for another *sub-stack*. For each operation, the *thread* starts from the previously known *sub-stack* on which it succeeded. First the *thread* tries a given number of random hops, then switches to round robin until a valid *sub-stack* is found, or the *thread* updates the *Global*, after failing on all *sub-stacks*. The *Global* is updated in relation to $depth$. If the *thread* detects contention on a *sub-stack*, a random hop to another *sub-stack* is performed. This is to reduce possible contention on consecutive *sub-stacks* that might arise from round robin hops.

During the search, the *thread* validates each *sub-stack* item-count against the *Global*. The item-count must be less than *Global* for *Push* or greater than the difference between *Global* and $depth$ for *Pop*. If the item-count is zero, then the *sub-stack* is empty. If no valid *sub-stack* is found, the *Global* is updated atomically. *Push* adds whereas *Pop* subtracts a value ($shift$), $shift$ must be less than or equal to $depth$. Then the search is restarted with a fresh search count. If a valid *sub-stack* is found, the *thread* tries to operate on the it, on success the *sub-stack descriptor* is updated otherwise another *sub-stack* is searched for, starting from a random index. A successful *Push* increments whereas a *Push* decrements the item-counter by one. Also the topmost item pointer is updated. At this point, a *Push* adds an item whereas a *Pop* returns an item for a non empty *sub-stack* or NULL for empty. An empty *sub-stack* is represented by a NULL item pointer within the *descriptor*. As an optimization strategy, the *thread* keeps track of the *Global* for every hop during the search process, restarting for every *Global* change detected.

[1]Compare and Exchange (CAE) atomically compares 16 bytes of memory content and exchanges it with new content on success.



Figure 1: Throughput and observed accuracy as the k bound for relaxation increases. (k bounded algorithms).

2D-stack is correct with respect to *k-Out-of-Order* stack semantics. The deterministic bound for the relaxation is tunable, controlled by the parameters of our design, given by Theorem 1. Also, the step complexity analysis provide a tight bound for the algorithm [8].

Theorem 1. *2D-stack is linearizable with respect to k-Out-of-Order stack semantics, where* $k = (2shift + depth)(width - 1)$.

4 EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

We evaluate the performance of our implementation together with other existing stack designs including; the *k-segment* relaxed stack [6], *Elimination-Stack* (*elimination*) [5] and *Treiber-Stack* (*treiber*) [12]. All experiments run on an Intel Xeon CPU E5-2687W v2 machine with two 8-core Intel Xeon processors (2 threads per core). We pin one thread per core, filling one processor at a time up-to 16 threads before we switch to hyper-threading. Two NUMA settings are tested; intra-socket (1 to 8 threads) and inter-socket (9 to 16 threads). Threads select operations uniformly at random (*i.e.* with probability 1/2) from *Pop* and *Push* operations. To simulate high contention, we put no computational load between operations. For each experiment, the stack is initialized with 32,768 items, run for five seconds obtaining an average of five repeats. The stack algorithms are initialized in this way to avoid NULL returns that might arise from empty *sub-stacks*. Throughput is measured in terms of operations per second, whereas accuracy (quality) is measured in terms of error distance from the LIFO semantics.

To measure the quality, we adopt a similar method used in [2, 7]. A sequential linked list is run alongside the stack, for each *Push* or *Pop* a simultaneous insert or delete is performed on the list respectively. Items on the stack are duplicated on the list and can be identified by their unique labels. Insert operations happen at the head of the list similar to the push whereas the delete operation searches for the given item deletes it and returns its distance from the head (error distance). We then calculate the expected error distance for a given experiment run for 5 seconds with 5 repeats.

Scalability is evaluated on both increasing relaxation and concurrency, for different NUMA settings. Experiment results are then



Figure 2: Throughput and observed accuracy as concurrency increases.

plotted using logarithmic scales, throughput (solid lines) and error distance (dotted lines) sharing the x-axis. Based on experimental observations and analysis presented in the full paper [8], we select $4P$ (P stands for number of threads and $width = 4P$) as the optimal performance configuration for *2D-stack* $width$. In Figure 1, we evaluate the performance of all algorithms, that are linearizable with respect to *k-Out-of-Order* stack (*k-robin*,*2D-stack* and *k-segment*), at different relaxation levels. We observe that *2D-stack* consistently outperforms the other algorithms. On low degree of relaxation, *2D-stack* avoids contention by hopping to another *sub-stack* on a failed *CAE*. This highly improves performance compared to *k-robin* that keeps retrying on the same *sub-stack*. As the relaxation increases, *2D-stack* combines contention avoidance with locality exploitation, a parameter exclusive to the *2D-stack* design as explained in [8]. While for the other algorithms the quality reduces almost linearly with the increase in relaxation, *2D-stack* maintains good quality with $width > 4P$ ($k > 200$ for $P = 8$ and $k > 600$ for $P = 16$). At this point, the algorithm switches from *horizontal* to *vertical* by increasing the depth. This change has a smaller negative impact on the quality, compared to the other algorithms. *2D-stack* continuously trades off quality for throughput by switching between relaxation dimensions for different relaxation levels. *k-segment* is mostly affected by the high cost of maintaining segments coupled with increased number of hops as relaxation increases.

We now configure the algorithms to obtain high throughput performance for both intra and inter-socket settings, Figure 2. Two "non-relaxed" algorithms *elimination* and *treiber* are also included in the experiment to compare the power of relaxation to improve performance compared to other strict semantics efficiency improvement techniques. We generally observe that, *2D-stack* is able to maintain the increase in throughput also while increasing the number of threads, even for the NUMA settings. As the number of threads increases, *random*, *random-c2* and *k-segment* maintain almost constant quality due to the fixed number of *sub-stacks*. *k-robin* and *2D-stack* vary the number of *sub-stacks* as the number of *threads* change. *k-robin* reduces number of *sub-stacks* with the increase in number of *threads* to keep the quality bound, this improves quality but hurts throughput due to the increased contention. Overall, *2D-stack* shows a full control to leverage the semantics relaxation to reach very high throughput in a continuous way. A property that was missing from previous solutions.

5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The aim of this work is to design an efficient lock-free stack algorithmic that can continuously relax *k-Out-of-Order* semantics to improve throughput through exploiting disjoint access parallelism and locality. We have achieved this through our two dimension relaxation technique that exploits disjoint access parallelism in one dimension and locality in the other. Our algorithm, *2D-stack*, uses also an efficient widows based synchronization that manages to keep the relaxation low without receding significantly performance achieved by disjoint access parallelism and locality. *2D-stack* significantly outperformed all the other stack implementations due to its capability to monotonically trade accuracy for better performance. In addition to *2D-stack*, we have implemented and tested a set of other possible relaxed stack designs:*random*,*random-c2* and *k-robin*. The full version of this paper further elaborates on a number of topics treated only briefly here, including complexity analysis, correctness, optimization but also Lock freedom and other experiments that due to space constraints have not been treated at all here [8].

As future work, we are working towards generalizing our design to work for other concurrent data structures.

REFERENCES

[1] Yehuda Afek, Guy Korland, Maria Natanzon, and Nir Shavit. 2010. Scalable producer-consumer pools based on elimination-diffraction trees. *Euro-Par 2010-Parallel Processing* (2010), 151–162.

[2] Dan Alistarh, Justin Kopinsky, Jerry Li, and Nir Shavit. 2015. The SprayList: a scalable relaxed priority queue. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming, PPoPP 2015, San Francisco, CA, USA, February 7-11, 2015*. 11–20.

[3] Gal Bar-Nissan, Danny Hendler, and Adi Suissa. 2011. A Dynamic Elimination-Combining Stack Algorithm. *CoRR* abs/1106.6304 (2011). arXiv:1106.6304 http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.6304

[4] Andreas Haas, Michael Lippautz, Thomas A. Henzinger, Hannes Payer, Ana Sokolova, Christoph M. Kirsch, and Ali Sezgin. 2013. Distributed Queues in Shared Memory: Multicore Performance and Scalability Through Quantitative Relaxation. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Computing Frontiers (CF '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 17, 9 pages.

[5] Danny Hendler, Nir Shavit, and Lena Yerushalmi. 2010. A scalable lock-free stack algorithm. *J. Parallel Distrib. Comput.* 70, 1 (2010), 1–12.

[6] Thomas A. Henzinger, Christoph M. Kirsch, Hannes Payer, Ali Sezgin, and Ana Sokolova. 2013. Quantitative relaxation of concurrent data structures. In *The 40th Annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages, POPL '13, Rome, Italy - January 23 - 25, 2013*. 317–328.

[7] Hamza Rihani, Peter Sanders, and Roman Dementiev. 2015. Brief announcement: Multiqueues: Simple relaxed concurrent priority queues. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms and Architectures*. ACM, 80–82.

[8] Adones Rukundo, Aras Atalar, and Philippas Tsigas. 2018. *2D-Stack: A scalable lock-free stack design that continuously relaxes semantics for better performance.* Technical Report 2018:06. Chalmers University of Technology.

[9] Nir Shavit and Dan Touitou. 1995. Elimination trees and the construction of pools and stacks: preliminary version. In *Proceedings of the seventh annual ACM symposium on Parallel algorithms and architectures*. ACM, 54–63.

[10] Nir Shavit and Asaph Zemach. 2000. Combining funnels: a dynamic approach to software combining. *J. Parallel and Distrib. Comput.* 60, 11 (2000), 1355–1387.

[11] Edward Talmage and Jennifer L. Welch. 2017. Relaxed Data Types as Consistency Conditions. In *Stabilization, Safety, and Security of Distributed Systems - 19th International Symposium, SSS 2017, Boston, MA, USA, November 5-8, 2017, Proceedings*. 142–156.

[12] R.K. Treiber. 1986. *Systems Programming: Coping with Parallelism.* International Business Machines Incorporated, Thomas J. Watson Research Center. https://books.google.se/books?id=YQg3HAAACAAJ

[13] Martin Wimmer, Jakob Gruber, Jesper Larsson Träff, and Philippas Tsigas. 2015. The lock-free k-LSM relaxed priority queue. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming, PPoPP 2015, San Francisco, CA, USA, February 7-11, 2015*. 277–278.