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Abstract

This paper studies 2-D high speed photography combined with digital image correlation (DIC) applied to experimental research of reinforced concrete beams at moderate loading rates. The aim of the present research is to understand the influence of 2-D DIC set-up parameters in the results. Drop-weight tests have been completed in 1180 × 100 × 100 mm longitudinally reinforced concrete beams. The study has confirmed results sensitivity to image subdivision and mesh properties. While smaller subdivision sizes allow to obtain results nearby boundaries, being more suitable to study local effects, larger sizes enhance computational cost, increase mesh stability and accuracy. A discussion of key aspects of 2-D DIC for measuring different parameters (such as acceleration, displacements, strains and strain-rate) is presented along this paper.
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1. Introduction

Hazardous events and antagonistic activities are part of our daily challenging society. The need for understanding the response of impact-loaded concrete structures has increased for the last years. These structures have shown high sensitivity to develop brittle failure when subjected to impact loading [1, 2].

Numerous experimental investigations on the impact performance of reinforced concrete (RC) have been carried out by different authors [3–5], with purpose of increasing the knowledge on concrete dynamic behaviour. Valuable cinematic measurements might be found among scientific literature, such as accelerations, displacements or strain at representative discrete points, as well impact force, support reactions or dissipated energy. Nevertheless, it is unclear how the distribution of the former parameters evolves over the surface of concrete elements when subjected to impact loading. Consequently, there is a lack of generalized understanding of some relevant dynamic effects, such as the propagation of impact loads along the tested element [6, 7], the distribution of inertia forces [4, 8] or the strain-rate effects at the failure mechanism [9].

An interesting way to study these effects is the use of optical full-field measurement methods. This technique might be divided into two main groups, interferometric methodologies and non-interferometric techniques, according to their
approach to measure deformations with light. While the former focuses on phase difference of light wave from a coherent light source, the latter compares changes on a grey-scale pattern with a simple set-up and post-processing. Among the non-interferometric methods, digital image correlation (DIC) stands out as widespread tool for experimental measurement due to its robustness, ease of implementation and adaptability for different test setups [10–13]. Furthermore, DIC in combination with high speed photography (HSP) has been proven a reliable technology to measure dynamic tests using 2-D imaging [6, 14], as well as 3-D [15, 16]. Nevertheless, its application in impact loading tests on RC beams is still limited in the scientific literature, with a further need to establish guidelines for its future use.

The aim of the research presented in this paper is to give advice on the use of DIC when conducting impact tests on RC beams. Hence, the influence of test set-up and post-processing settings on results will be discussed from a critical perspective.

The study will focus on three impact test carried out at Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden, that have been analysed with 2-D DIC. The tests presented are part of a broader experimental campaign carried out by Jönsson & Stenseke [17]. The results of these tests are analysed with a different perspective in Ulzurrun et al. [18], exploring the potential of using this technology to analyse impact tests.

2. Experimental research

2.1 Test description

The experimental campaign covers three prismatic RC beams tested under impact loading. The tests were recorded with a HSP camera and analyzed using DIC measurement technique. An overview of the test set-up is shown in Figure 1.

Beams, that were identical, were named numerically, from 12 to 14. The dimensions of the beams were 1180 mm of length with a square cross-section with a side of 100 mm. A reinforcement ratio $\rho = 0.7\%$ was chosen, without placing any transversal reinforcement. Tests were completed in a three-point bending configuration, with a span length of 1000 mm between supports. Both supports consisted of a solid steel roll with a diameter of 80 mm that was not intended to prevent the uplift movements upon impact loading. A speckle pattern was applied on one side of the beam. Pattern size ranged from 1.5 to 3.5 mm, still recognisable for image resolution employed whilst keeping contrast with neighbouring pixels.

A free falling 20 kg weight was dropped onto the beam’s midspan. The weight consisted of a solid steel cylinder of 80 mm diameter and 500 mm height, with a spherical impact surface of 200 mm radius. The mass was released from a height of 5 m, using a drop weight testing rig, reaching an impact velocity of 9.9 m/s. A speckle pattern was also applied on the side faces of the drop-weight.

![Figure 1. Schematic test set-up. Dimensions in mm.](image)

2.2 Measurement system: DIC

Image acquisition was done using a single HSP camera. 2-D imaging was employed as it was not expected any deformations out of the vertical plane. The employed lens, Tamron AF 28-75/2.8, was mounted in a Photron SA4 camera. The focal length of the lens was set to 75 mm and its aperture to $f/2.8$. The camera body was placed perpendicular to the lateral surface of the beam, at 2225 mm of distance. Images were recorded at 5000 frames per second (fps), with a shutter speed of 1/5000. Due to high recording speed natural light illumination was insufficient, thus an additional white light source was employed during the tests. The image resolution was $1024 \times 512$ pixels, covering a $626.30 \times 313.15$ mm$^2$ area. Pixel size, 0.61162 mm, was obtained by calibrating the spatial scale with a 60 mm gauge block.

The captured images were analysed with DIC. This technique is capable of tracking a grey-scale
Different facet sizes and distance-to-size ratios were employed to analyze the results. The facet size employed ranged between 4 and 32 pixels, increasing geometrically by a factor of 2. While distance-to-size ratios employed were 1/8, 2/8, 3/8, 4/8 and 6/8, except for the 4-pixel meshes that the ratios employed were 2/8, 4/8 and 6/8.

2.3 Experimental results

The typical evolution of the measured parameters at Beam 12 is shown in Figure 3 for different facet sizes. The other two tests showed similar results. The results are shown in terms of midspan deflection and drop-weight vertical acceleration, as well as major strain and its rate at the midspan top fiber. The two former parameters were measured with individual facets, while the two latter were measured with facet meshes, with a constant distance-to-size ratio of 4/8.

The displacement shows a good agreement for different facets sizes, providing almost identical results for the two larger facet sizes. The maximum deflection was reached 18 ms after the beginning of the impact load with a value of almost 60 mm. The drop-weight acceleration history presents higher noise levels for smaller facet sizes, while larger facet results are steadier. There are two stages, the first one having a high peak acceleration with a very short duration while the second one had a smaller peak load. The major strain recorded at the midspan top fiber show large noise levels once the maximum deflection is reached, presenting a high strain
value, 10‰. Strain-rates are only displayed for facet size of 16 and 32 pixels, due to the high noise levels of the other sizes. Nevertheless, noise levels for the displayed meshes are considerable high, especially for a facet size of 16 pixels. The values recorded for a facet size of 32 pixels once the impact has started range within the strain-rate domain for impact loading, 1-10 s\(^{-1}\). It should be noted that measurements for smaller mesh sizes shows gaps that correspond to uncomputed steps, due to the lack of facet stability for those sizes.

3. Analysis of results and discussion

The results presented in the previous section have confirmed their dependence on facet properties chosen in the DIC analysis, in terms of noise levels and facet stability. Facet stability is the capacity of a facet mesh to maintain its measurement points over time. The lack of facet stability responds to the inability to establish a correlation with the reference image. This might be due to noise, motion blur or monochromatic areas within the speckle pattern.

An interesting way to study the influence of facet properties on stability is to measure over time the percentage of facets that are correlated with the reference image. This analysis has been done for different meshes (according to the facet size and distance-to-size ratios referred-to in Section 2.2). The analysis has shown that for a certain facet size the distance-to-size ratio had a small influence, increasing slightly the stability for smaller ratios. The facet stability is presented in Figure 4 as the average percentage of measuring points correlated for each facet size. It can be noted that very small facets sizes, such as 4 pixels, may be too instable, even showing a loss of measurement points before impact occurs. This might be due to the fact that smaller facet sizes cover lesser variations of greys, being harder to identify uniquely. In addition, the 4-pixel facet size is somehow similar to the size of the speckle pattern, as it is shown in Figure 2, providing smaller colour gradients. Larger facet
sizes were rather stable, showing smaller drops of measurement point points, once the impact began, as facet sizes were larger.

3.1 Sensitivity analysis for facet properties

Some measurements presented in Figure 3 shows large fluctuations even before impact occurs. This might be due to errors related to the imaging system, the set up or to the correlation algorithm [10]. An interesting way to characterize measurement resolution of a certain parameter is to study its standard deviation before deformation, suggested by Pritchard et al. [19]. This technique has been used here to perform a sensitivity analysis to facet properties of the measurements at each stage for the 10 ms previous to impact loading.

A Figure 5 shows a study of the measurement resolution for different parameters. In each graph is plotted the standard deviation of each measured parameter for a certain facet size. The results are shown in terms of maximum, minimum and average value of the standard deviation obtained at different stages and covering different distance-to-size ratios (referred to in Section 2.2). It is noteworthy that studied parameters, namely

Figure 4. Beam 12 percentage of facets correlated, for different facet sizes, over time.

Figure 5. Sensitivity analysis. Maximum, minimum and average value of standard deviation, before beams deformation, for different facet sizes: a) vertical displacement; b) vertical acceleration; c) principal strain; d) principal strain-rate.
displacement, acceleration, principal strain and its rate, have similar error distribution, but higher in magnitude for the parameters obtained by time-differentiation, as numerical differentiation amplifies the noise included in any measurement. Besides, Beam 14 has shown for all parameters large errors for a 4-pixel facet size, probably due to the speckle pattern of this beam, with few smeared areas.

In general, vertical displacements and accelerations showed a consistent standard deviation distribution, with similar maximum and minimum values. Both increased its resolution with larger facet sizes, ranging from 0.003 to 0.5 mm and 2.5 to 70 g, respectively. Principal strain and its rate standard deviations were more dispersed, with average value equidistant between them. The reason of this is the influence of the distance-to-size ratio, as it is shown in Figure 6, where the resolution is defined for each of meshes employed. It can be observed that higher distance-to-size ratios decreased resolution. Strains are computed as a numerical differentiation of the displacement field in the spatial domain, therefore errors are smoothened with larger point distance. Although not shown in this paper, similar results were observed for the principal strain-rate. Principal strain and its rate resolution ranged from 0.1 to 50‰ and 0.3 to 170 s⁻¹, respectively.

### 3.2 Discussion

It is clear from the previous analysis that facet properties, size and distance-to-size ratio, play a key role in the resolution of DIC measurements. Nevertheless, decreasing noise levels by changing facet properties may have some downsides. An increase of facet size increases measurement resolution. Although it reduces the capacity to measure near to the boundaries, as it sets-back the limits of the mesh from beam boundaries. Additionally it increases computing cost, if point distance is kept constant. Similarly, when measuring strains, or its rate, an increase of distance-to-size ratio could enhance resolution, as well as decreasing computational costs. Nevertheless, this increase lowers measurement point density, therefore smoothening or even covering local effects.

![Figure 6. Principal strain resolution. Standard deviation, for the undeformed beam in terms of facet size, for different distance-to-size ratios.](image-url)

It seems that some criteria have to be set to enhance resolution by choosing ideal facet properties. By comparing the standard deviation values for the undeformed beams, shown in Figures 5 and 6, with the expected measured values some guidelines might be established. In addition, stability should also be taken into account, and therefore further analysis of these tests should avoid 4 pixels facets.

Typical deflections in impact test are in the range from a few millimetres to more than 100 mm. The resolution for all the meshes employed were well under those values, therefore any of the employed meshes, is suitable to be used when studying the midspan deflections. In terms of vertical accelerations, the expected values are in the range from almost 100 to 1000 g. Nevertheless, if the post-peak wave needs to be analysed, facet sizes should be at least 16 pixels or larger. However, as the acceleration is a very sensitive parameter the use of the largest facet size possible is strongly recommended. Regarding principal strains, the maximum expected value for concrete is 5‰ in compression and 0.2‰ in tension, before cracks propagate. Those strains compared with the resolution of the meshes seem too small to be distinguished from the noise, except for 32-pixel facet size meshes with large distance-to-size ratios. Lastly, strain-rates within the impact domain range between 1-10 s⁻¹, which compared to the sensitivity analysis, seem to be also too high to obtain reasonable measurements.
Another use of principal strain measurements may be to study the localization of cracks, not visible through other means, which produce tensile strains artificially high. Figure 7 shows crack patterns for beam 12 at the same instant, when using different facet settings. Scale range has been adjusted taking into account the resolutions showed in Figure 6, in order to avoid noise to be included in the crack patterns. These patterns show how spurious data decrease by increasing facet size and distance-to-size ratio, but also that details are lost as data smoothens. Therefore, medium facet sizes and distance-to-size ratios is recommended to be used to localise cracks accurately. It is noteworthy that the loss of measurement points in the vicinity of cracked areas may explain part of the small decreases of stability observed in Figure 4.

![Figure 7. Beam 12 crack pattern 2.6 ms after impact for different meshes.](image)

4. Conclusion

Experimental results of three RC beams tested at under impact load are presented in this paper. 2-D DIC has been employed as a full-field measurement system. The study analysed the influence of DIC set-up parameters and other error sources in the results, aiming to reduce noise levels and loss of measurement points.

The study shows that all parameters measured are sensible to facet, or sub-set, size, enhancing results quality with its increase. Point distance, or step size, only affected strains and strain rates, improving the resolution with its increase. Nevertheless the increase of both factors is translated into a lower accuracy of local effects. Therefore the ideal facet properties should be adjusted to its purpose, even changing from one parameter to another.

Other factors, related with experimental set-up, have influence in the accuracy of DIC measurements. Those have been discussed along the paper setting their advantages and drawbacks.
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