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Abstract
The advent of genetic engineering tools has initiated an era of manipulating microorganisms for the production of valuable compounds for our society. Precise engineering of these microbes commonly requires introducing genetic modifications such as gene deletion, overexpression, and accurate regulation in order to enhance the production of the compound of interest. In this context, the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 technology, adapted from the prokaryotic adaptive immune system, has revolutionized our ability to manipulate a broad range of living organisms. In contrast to other methods, this technology works like a molecular pair of scissors (Cas9) which is guided by a programmable RNA (gRNA) molecule binding at a specific location in the DNA. The programmability and time-efficiency offered by this technology have in the recent years been successfully exploited in rewiring the metabolic network to enhance the production of metabolites used in various areas of industrial biotechnology.

In this thesis, I present several studies applying the technological diversity provided by CRISPR in the context of building efficient yeast cell factories for the production of oleochemicals - sustainable substitutes for plant derived lipids. Since oleochemicals derive from lipid products, the main engineering strategies presented essentially focus on fatty acid metabolism and its precursors. First, we exploited CRISPR/Cas9 endonuclease capacity to extensively remodel yeast lipid metabolism. We showed that the disruption of several metabolic fluxes allows to overcome the main limiting steps in fatty acid biosynthesis and favors the production of free fatty acids and triacylglycerols, two important precursors for the production of oleochemicals. Second, we harnessed the ability to precisely regulate genes using the catalytically deactivated form of the Cas9 protein (dCas9) coupled to transcription factors for fine-tuning the expression of genes involved in lipid biogenesis. Additionally, we proposed a framework for dCas9-based applications based on computational techniques for predicting key genes potentially favoring the production of yeast endogenous metabolites. Finally, we expanded the CRISPR repertoire by building new tools to accelerate yeast cell factory design. We exploited a Type I CRISPR-associated endoribonuclease for multiplex genome engineering and transcriptional regulation via processing an RNA transcript into multiple gRNAs, and we developed a computational tool for designing gRNAs targeting multiple loci at once. In summary, the work presented in this thesis provides various ways to efficiently engineer yeast metabolism by exploiting the diversity of CRISPR technologies, as well as new tools to the community for future engineering strategies.
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Chapter I: Engineering yeast metabolism

1.1 Prologue

The concept of microbial biotechnology, when defined as the manipulation of microorganisms to convert raw materials into valuable products, can be traced back to the early ages of human society (1, 2). Such utilization exclusively relied on basic fermentation principles from a narrowed number of organisms, with baker’s yeast figuring prominently as a result of its fermentative capability to yield beer, bread, and wine. Arguably, with the polyvalence of its fermented products, domestication of baker’s yeast can be praised as one of the most critical contributors to the societal growth: with beer and wine as necessary means of diplomacy, recreation and socialization, and bread as a universal nutritious food, also core to several religious cultures. Though, these processes were uncharacterized until the mid-19th century, attributed to the now obsolete theory of spontaneous generation (Fig. I-1). Among other seminal works that provided better understanding of the biology behind fermentation, Louis Pasteur’s work on deciphering yeast as the catalyst behind the alcoholic fermentation has initiated the discipline of microbiology (3). The advent of this discipline provided the fundamental characterization of microorganisms on the subject of biochemistry, physiology, and cell biology, as well as enhanced the search for new species with attracting properties. Consequently, the war economy, and more specifically the manufacturing of explosives, greatly benefited from microbial production of acetone and glycerol by Clostridium acetobutylicum and Saccharomyces cerevisiae, respectively (4, 5). In the medical field, Alexander Fleming’s serendipitous discovery of penicillin, an
antibacterial compound secreted by *Penicillium chrysogenum*, is a landmark in the history of treating bacterial diseases (6). While these examples highlight the exploitation of intrinsic microbial qualities shaped through evolution to human advantages, these microbes naturally only produce a small amount of these compounds. Thus, scientists’ past efforts to improve the production have been essentially focused on altering the physiological state of these organisms by tweaking their cultivation methods, e.g. addition of sulfite in the media to inhibit the conversion of acetaldehyde to ethanol to rewire fluxes towards glycerol (7) (Fig. I-1).

![Figure I-1. Timeline of key event in microbial biotechnology. References: (1, 3, 6, 8–28)](image_url)

The twentieth century also marks important advances in our fundamental understanding of genetics via radiation technologies, e.g. the elucidation of the protein structure (29) and the double helix structure of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) (8). Additionally, these technologies enabled the characterization of a myriad of genetic mapping and physiologic characterizations through their mutagenesis properties, e.g. elucidation of genes encoding for proteins catalyzing different sugar consuming reactions (30–33) (Fig. I-1). Rather than focusing on culture conditions, exposing microorganisms to random
mutagenesis allowed targeted selection of strains, with genetic variants leading to desired physiological properties.

A major technological revolution occurred in 1973 when Stanley Cohen co-jointly with Herbert Boyer developed a technique for merging together strands of DNA and artificially introduce them into an organism, coined recombinant DNA technology (9). This method allowed for the first time the expression of heterologous genes into a given host. Consequently, the first genetic engineering company, Genentech Inc. founded by Herbert Boyer and Robert Swanson, famously established the first production of the synthetic human protein (somatostatin) in *Escherichia coli*, with identical properties to the naturally occurring one (34). In 1987, Novo produced human insulin in *S. cerevisiae* as a substitute for their insulin obtained from animal origin (35). These engineering advances also enabled better control over metabolic pathways in order to enhance the production of natural and new compounds. This consequently extended the focus towards model organisms such as *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*, for the production of relevant compound rather than natural producers.

**Strain optimization using synthetic biology and systems biology**

The advent of genetic engineering tools along with modern information processing technologies, have initiated an era of precise control of microbial fermentation for the production of diverse compounds. Here, these microbial producers, coined cell factories, are not only engineered to express relevant compounds but also extensively characterized through incorporated approaches aiming to understand how to further enhance production processes. Thus, in the beginning of the 1990s, a discipline studying the directed enhancement of metabolic pathways to achieve a specific function, e.g. high production of a desired product, was initiated (coined metabolic engineering) (20, 36). Its principles lie on the rational application of the knowledge obtained from fundamental biology combined with the efficiency of molecular biology tools in cell factory engineering.

Distinctively, sequential and targeted optimization of strain engineering is iteratively applied through the so-called Design, Build, Test, and Learn (DBTL) cycle (Figure 1) (37). In the design step, the desired target compound defines which chassis to select as well as its cellular functions to engineer. For example, based on the complexity of the target product, one might start from an organism originally producing the compound or from a model organism easier to engineer. The systematic analysis of vast biological datasets can enable the prediction of biological entities’ behavior upon metabolic rerouting and majorly contributes to the accuracy of strain design. For example, genome-scale metabolic models (GEMs), which are genome-wide mathematical models, are attractive tools for predicting key reactions that potentially favor the production of a metabolite of interest (38–40). Furthermore, technological progresses in mapping the various layers of cellular processes can also be integrated into strain design, e.g. genomics for genome mapping, transcriptomics for RNA mapping, or metabolomics for mapping metabolites etc.
Based on the design, appropriate biological parts are selected for the building step. For example, control over gene expression requires using libraries of promoters or increasing the copy of a given gene. This step generally lies on molecular biology approaches to assemble multiple DNA fragments together and transform them into the selected host organism (41, 42). Additionally, besides rewiring existing (or creating new) pathways through these building processes, tools that allow sensitive and rapid screening of candidate strains can be also integrated to the design (43, 44). For example, transcription-factor based biosensors allow to monitor the intracellular concentration of a specific compound when coupled to a fluorescent gene and can ultimately accelerate the throughput of evaluating the desired phenotype. An example of utilization of a biosensor will be described in Chapter III.

Next, the test phase assesses the performance of the engineered strains for different parameters, e.g. measuring the production of the desired product through the determination of titer, rate, and yield, using analytic techniques; specific growth behavior and cell physiology using bioreactors; or high-throughput screening of strain libraries by tracking single cell GFP fluorescence using fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). Finally, the most optimized strains, i.e. strains giving the best expected output of the study, are analyzed to learn which characteristics lie behind these superior performances as well as how to
further improve them (Fig. I-2). For example, genome or gene sequencing to identify causal mutations in these strains, or, RNA sequencing analysis to identify genes and pathways causing physiological changes in these strains (37).

1.2 The last genetic engineering technology

Metabolic pathway optimization towards a product of interest commonly requires deletions of multiple genes, e.g. competitive metabolic pathways, which, in yeast, is traditionally performed through iterative cycles of genetic marker integration and removal (45). However, these tools are commonly experienced with difficulties in design, synthesis, and time-efficiency which altogether prevents fast strain engineering (45).

In the last seven years, one particular technique, named Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR), has been efficiently addressing most of the above-mentioned challenges in genetic engineering. This technology originates from prokaryotic and archaeal immune systems to neutralize phage invasions, and has now been tailored for manipulating a broad range of living organisms (24, 46, 47), including cell factories (48).

CRISPR Principles

First reported in 1987, CRISPR derives from arrays found in the genome of numerous bacteria and archaea (49). These arrays are composed of DNA sequences encoding non-coding CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs), comprised of short direct repeat sequences (DR) interspaced with unique ones (spacers), and clustered together with several CRISPR-associated (cas) genes. These two distinct elements together form the main elements of the adaptive immunity against foreign nucleic acid invasion such as phage genomes and conjugating plasmids (50, 51). The mediated immunity is divided into two stages: (i) the adaptation stage, commonly driven by cas1 and cas2 genes (52), whose function is to genomically integrate elements of the phage genome into the beginning of the CRISPR loci; and (ii) expression and interference stage, composed of diverse subsystems involved in processing of the different spacers and degradation of the invasive viral genome through cas nuclease effectors. Interestingly, the constant war against phages has yielded an extreme diversification of cas genes and genomic locus architecture and rigorous classification of the different CRISPR types and classes remains an ongoing work (53) (54) (Box 1).
Box 1. Type I, II, and III CRISPR-systems.

Type I systems use Cas6e/Cas6f/Csy4 endoribonucleases (in yellow) to generate CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) by cleaving at the intersection of the hairpin loops in the CRISPR direct repeat. The interference stage occurs when the crRNAs bind to its viral complementary strand (protospacer), considering the presence of the PAM. Once bound, the multiprotein Cascade complex (in grey) recruits Cas3 (in blue) for DNA degradation.

Type II systems use a trans-activating RNA (tracrRNA) to generate multiple crRNAs. This system relies on a single protein, e.g. Cas9, for the interference step.

Type III systems use Cas6 to produce mature crRNAs. As with type I, type III systems require multiple Cas proteins binding to crRNAs, called Csm/Cmr complex. The type III systems can either target the viral mRNA or DNA.

Application in genome engineering and gene regulation

Scientists have since borrowed these different CRISPR-systems to perform complex gene editing (48), with the type II system adapted from Streptococcus pyogenes being the most widely adopted for genetic engineering and gene regulation (Box 1) (55, 56). This technology targets DNA with an endonuclease, CRISPR associated protein 9 (Cas9), which is programmed by an RNA, guide-RNA (gRNA). The gRNA is composed of two parts: (i) the crRNA, i.e. the transcribed spacer, usually 20 nucleotide long and complement to the target DNA (protospacer); and (ii) the tracrRNA, which acts as connecting scaffold with Cas9 (24, 57) (Box 1, Fig. I-3). The crystal structure of Cas9 revealed the composition of two lobes linked with a bridge helix (BH): the target recognition (REC) whose function is involved in binding between the gRNA and the DNA target, and the nuclease lobe (NUC) containing the nucleases HNH and RuvC (58) (Fig. I-3). Once the Cas9-gRNA complex is bound to the DNA target by base pairing, Cas9 nuclease domains cleave the DNA sequence three nucleotides upstream the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM, NGG-3’ for Cas9), recognized by the PAM-interacting domain (PI) located in the NUC lobe (58, 59) (Fig. I-3). The PAM sequence is juxtaposed to the protospacer region and is required for DNA cleavage (Fig. I-3) (60).
Figure 1-3. The main elements of the CRISPR technology: Cas9 and the gRNA. Cas9 is a bilobed multidomain protein composed of the REC lobe whose function assures correct binding of the gRNA to the DNA target, and the NUC lobe which contains the two nuclease domains (HNH and RuvC) as well as the PAM interacting domain. These two lobes are connected with a bridge helix (BH). Cas9 is guided by the gRNA, which is composed of the crRNA, complement to the target sequence, and the tracrRNA scaffold connecting with Cas9. Upon recognition of the PAM sequence by the formed heteroduplex gRNA:DNA:Cas9, Cas9 nuclease domains ultimately cleave the DNA target 3 nt upstream the PAM.

The CRISPR revolution started from a seminal work from Jinek and colleagues showing that the crRNA can be programmed to target a specific sequence in vitro, and it can be linked with the tracrRNA into a single RNA element (sgRNA, interchangeably used with gRNA) (24) (Fig. I-3). Later in January 2013, two independent labs, namely George Church’s and Feng Zhang’s labs, showed that these gRNAs could be used for genetic engineering human cell lines (47, 61). When targeted to a living organism’s genomic DNA, the “blunt” cut initiates the endogenous repair mechanisms, such as HR or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and thus offers a window to perform genome engineering (Fig. I-4, Box 1).

The attractiveness of CRISPR technology also lies in the engineering versatility offered on both the nuclease and the guide, as well as its diverse catalogue of cas genes provided by the different CRISPR containing living organisms (62). The structural characterization of Cas9 has led to the development of mutagenized variants with various catalytic properties, e.g. the inactivation of one of the nuclease activity domains (RuvC\textsuperscript{D10A} or HNH\textsuperscript{H840A}, Cas9n) to perform a single-strand DNA break (nick); modification of Cas9’s PAM-interacting domain to increase the recognition range PAM sequences, e.g. Hu and colleagues evolved Cas9 to recognize NG, GAA and GAT as PAM sequences (63); or modifications reducing the likelihood of interaction between the gRNA and an off-target genomic sites (64, 65). Complete disruption of the two nuclease activities (RuvC\textsuperscript{D10A} and HNH\textsuperscript{H840A}) results in a catalytically inactive Cas9 or dead-Cas9 (dCas9) which has been exploited as a mean of interfering with transcriptional regulation by sterically hindering the transcriptional activity of the RNA polymerase (66, 67). Additionally, dCas9 can be fused to transcription factors such as the mammalian transcriptional repressor domain Mxi1 to
further improve the efficiency of repression, or with the tripartite VP64-p65-Rta (VPR), composed of three different transcription activators, for gene activation (Figure I-5) (68–72). Finally, other kind of CRISPR nucleases such as Cas12a (also known as Cpf1) from *Franciscella novicida*, and the recently identified CasX (also known as Cas12e) from *Deltaproteobacteria bacterium*, whose small size and different PAM recognition specificities ultimately make them established alternatives to Cas9 (73–75).

Thus, the programmability of the gRNA allows the user to target virtually any position in the genome of interest, considering the presence of a PAM sequence. However, correct functionality of the gRNA is also subject to several parameters depending on the desired engineering approach, e.g. improper GC content can affect the stability of the RNA secondary structure (76), or targeting a non-unique region might create unintended binding elsewhere in the genome, called off-targets (77). For most of CRISPR/dCas9-based applications, the distance to the TSS affect has been characterized as an important factor for proper regulation, e.g. -100 to 0bp for downregulation. Nucleosomes, the main component of the chromatin structure, as well as transcription factors can also sterically hinder proper binding of the gRNA (78). Further modifications on the gRNA are usually introduced in the spacer, e.g. to improve on-target specificity, or in the scaffold by adding extra RNA stem-loops, e.g. self-processing elements to prevent degradation. Additionally, processing elements are also exploited for multiplexing by collocating these cleavable elements between each gRNA sequence, which I will further detail in **Chapter IV**.

---

**Figure I-4. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing and gene regulation.** a. Genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. b. Gene regulation using dCas9-based technologies.
Figure I-5. Key features considered for gRNA design. GC content affects RNA secondary structure which can impede proper binding to the DNA target or to Cas9. Depending on the nuclease employed, the presence of a PAM in the target is critical for genome editing to occur. Off-target analysis which is routinely performed to prevent unwanted binding in the genome. For most of CRISPR/dCas9-based applications, the distance to the TSS affect has been characterized as an important factor for proper regulation, e.g. -100 to 0bp for downregulation. Nucleosome occupancy as well as transcription factors can influence proper binding of the gRNA.

1.3 Engineering *S. cerevisiae* for the production of oleochemicals

*Quintessential cell factory*

Throughout its extensive utilization, *S. cerevisiae*’s metabolism has been significantly characterized, and it is, consequently, one of the favored microbes for the production of many valuable compounds (79–81). It was the first eukaryotic organism to be sequenced and extensive knowledge regarding its metabolism and physiology is available into several databases (21). Based on this knowledge, the reconstruction of its cellular metabolism has been achieved on the genome scale, generating so-called GEMs, which ultimately allows quantitative predictions of metabolism, e.g. estimating the growth rate under certain culture conditions or simulating gene deletions (39, 80). Additionally, numerous pathways also occurring in higher eukaryotes such human and plant are highly conserved in yeast, which not only has allowed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of these pathways, e.g. the discovery of autophagy in *S. cerevisiae*, but also facilitated the expression of heterologous genes from these organisms (82, 83). It has a strong track-record for large-scale industrial cultivation, due to its short generation time (doubling time of approximately 2 h at 30°C), and robustness to harsh environments such as low pH and resistance to phages, known to frequently fault bacteria-based cultivations. It naturally possesses an extremely efficient
homologous recombination system (HR) which greatly facilitates genome engineering such as the insertion of multiple genetic elements. Significant scientific contributions also arose from the use of the yeast collections, e.g. a yeast library carrying each individual gene knockout, particularly in screens for synthetic lethality and investigating novel drug targets (84–86).

**Anthropocene era – the undesired exponential**

My graduate studies have sorrowfully coincided with records of heatwaves that stormed Europe, with France hitting its all-time temperature record in June 2019 with 46°C in the southern village of Gallargues-le-Montueux (87). Concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHGs) — including CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ — in the atmosphere have drastically increased since the beginning of the industrialization of our society, primarily coming from our dependence on fossil sources (88). Experts’ reports regarding the forecast on the climate are unequivocal: inaction on the rising global GHG emissions will be ineluctably followed by increased temperature at higher frequency (89). The global increase of temperature is currently devastating several ecosystems, and recent predictions have placed 1.000.000 species threatened with extinction, with Bramble Cay melomys (*Melomys rubicola*) being the first mammal recognized as extinct due to climate change (90–92). Additionally, the permafrost region which holds twice more carbon than the atmosphere could not only collapse as the temperature rises, but would also transition from a white reflecting surface region to a dark absorbing one, ultimately creating a snowball effect (93, 94). Thus, substantial efforts are needed to prevent reaching the “2°C above pre-industrial era” threshold established at the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP21) in 2015.

**Production of oleochemicals in S. cerevisiae**

In order to palliate the harmful effect of climate change, there has been an increasing interest in exploiting renewable resources for the synthesis of oleochemicals (95) (Fig. I-4). Oleochemicals are described as a class of hydrocarbon compounds, i.e. composed of carbon and hydrogen, generally extracted from vegetable lipids (96). These lipids are constituted of fatty acids (FAs) esterified with different backbones, with triacylglycerols (TAGs) - three FA units esterified to a glycerol backbone - as the main component (97). Depending on the origin, chemical processing of these lipids into basic oleochemicals can yield different products such as free fatty acids (FFAs), fatty alcohols, alkenes, or alkenes, whose value depends on their acyl chain length, saturation level, terminal groups, and applications (98).

While oleochemicals offer a cost-effective substitute for petrochemicals and fuels, important sustainability and technical challenges from their utilization remain to be addressed. First, many of these oleochemicals derive from the extraction of lipids from exotic plant species that only grow in specific regions on Earth, which ultimately creates
economic instabilities as supply chains are controlled by few suppliers; e.g. 85% of the world palm oil comes from the Malay Archipelago (99). Also, driven by the increasing demand for palm oil, large expansion of the land used to produce it has led to significant deforestation and biodiversity loss, e.g. annual conversion of 270,000 ha of tropical forest conversion to palm trees from 2000–2011 in the main exporting countries (100). Finally, engineering these plants remains extremely challenging due to their slow growth and the lack of efficient tools. Altogether, efforts to alternatively produce oleochemicals by yeast and other microbes through metabolic engineering have increasingly been investigated in the recent years (98, 101, 102) (Fig. I-6).

Since oleochemicals are lipid-derived products, common metabolic engineering strategies applied for microbial cell factories often focus on redirecting carbon flux from sugar to the fatty acid metabolism (Fig. I-7). In S. cerevisiae, the synthesis of FAs is catalyzed by the fatty acid synthase (FAS) complex, encoded by the FAS1 and FAS2 genes, where malonyl-CoA and acetyl-CoA are used as building blocks to form acyl-CoAs (103). Malonyl-CoA is synthesized from acetyl-CoA by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Acc1), an enzyme tightly regulated at the transcriptional level by Ino2/4 and Opi1, as well as at the post-transcriptional level by Snf1, which altogether makes this reaction the rate limiting step of the FA biosynthesis (104–106). Malonyl-CoA is iteratively utilized by the FAS complex as an elongation block to extend the size of the acyl-chain. In S. cerevisiae, most of the acyl-CoA generated by the FAS complex is naturally composed of long chain fatty acids (LCFAs; C16 and C18), complemented by a small fraction composed of C12 and C14. These FAs can be further elongated to very long chain fatty acids (VLCFAs; C20 up to C26), carried out by elongases (Elo1, Elo2, and Elo3), located at the endoplasmic reticulum.

(ER), as well as desaturated at the Δ9 position by the only fatty acid desaturase Ole1 (Fig. I-7) (107–110). Since the acyl chain length and saturation level of oleochemicals determine their value and application, several strategies to tweak the abundance of the different FAs have been relying on fine-tuning these genes, e.g. overexpression of ELO2 to accumulate C22 FAs (111), engineering the endogenous FAS genes to indrocuce heterologous parts which ultimately favored the generation of short-chain FAs (SCFAs) (112), or increasing the rate of C18:1 by replacing OLE1 by the Δ9 desaturase gene, ChDes9-2, from *Calanus hyperboreus* with higher substrate specificity for C18:0 (113).
Intracellular pools of acyl-CoAs are then either used as substrates for generating major lipid classes in the cell, namely phospholipids (PLs), TAGs, sterol esters (SEs), and sphingolipids, or, imported to peroxisomes for degradation to acetyl-CoA through the β-oxidation pathway (Fig. I-7) (114). For PLs and TAGs, acyl-CoAs are sequentially esterified into a glycerol backbone via different acyl transferases, producing phosphatidic acid (PA) (Fig. I-7) (115). PA is a key intermediate of yeast glycerophospholipid biosynthesis as it can either be metabolically converted to diacylglycerol (DAG) for the biogenesis of TAGs, or to cytidine diphosphate diacylglycerol (CPD-DAG), precursor of the different PL classes. SEs synthesis is catalyzed by the acyl-CoA:sterol acyltransferases, encoded by *ARE1* and *ARE2* (116). The hydrolysis of the major lipid classes involves lipases and esterases, and results in a cleavage of the esterified fatty acyl-chain into FFAs (115, 117). These FFAs are directly converted to acyl-CoA by one of the fatty acyl-CoA synthetases, mainly Faa1 and Faa4 in the cytosol, and Faa2 for degradation through β-oxidation in the peroxisome (Fig. I-7).

Besides FFAs and TAGs, synthesis of oleochemicals is not feasible endogenously in *S. cerevisiae*. Thus, efforts have been made, these recent years, towards identifying critical heterologous enzymes catalyzing reactions producing these downstream products (98, 102). Fatty alcohols are used in numerous industrial applications, e.g. surfactants, detergents, and cosmetics (Fig. I-6). Production of fatty alcohols in *S. cerevisiae* can efficiently be achieved from FFAs in a two-step reaction, a carboxylic acid reductase, e.g. from *Mycobacterium marinum*, converts FFAs to fatty aldehydes which can be then converted to fatty alcohols using the endogenous alcohol dehydrogenase (e.g. Adh5) (Fig. I-8). This strategy is usually coupled to removing genes responsible for the degradation of the intermediate metabolites, i.e. the main acyl-CoA activating genes (*FAA1* and *FAA4*) as well the first step of the beta-oxidation (*POX1*) for FFA degradation, and the fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase which converts fatty aldehydes back to FFAs (*HFD1*) (Fig. I-8) (118–120). Another route can be achieved using acyl-CoAs as main substrate through fatty acyl-CoA reductases (FAR) enzymes (121). As mentioned above, fatty acid metabolism is tightly regulated, which ultimately limits metabolic engineering strategies focusing on improving acyl-CoA pools, in comparison with FFAs which can accumulate to high levels (200 fold higher than fatty acyl-CoA) by the removal of *FAA1* and *FAA4* (119).

Fatty alcohols can be esterified with acyl-CoAs by wax ester synthases to produce wax esters (WEs) (Fig. I-8) (121–123). WEs are traditionally extracted from the seed oil of *Simmondsia chinensis* (jojoba) and are applied in a broad range of industrial applications, e.g. cosmetic, anti-inflammatory ointment, and candles (124). For the production of WEs in *S. cerevisiae*, since fatty acids present in the jojoba oil are mostly composed of C20:1 and C22:1, recent studies have extensively focused on obtaining the required acyl chain length by overexpressing *ELO2*, to push from C16/18 to C20/22, and removing *ELO3*, to prevent elongation to C24/26, together with expressing heterologous FAR with higher substrate affinity towards these long chain fatty acids (111, 125, 126). As with fatty alcohols, alkene and alkanes can be derived from fatty aldehydes through fatty aldehyde deformylating oxygenases, or from acyl-CoAs using decarboxylases (127, 128). In contrast
to WEs, alkanes and alkenes usually require shorter acyl chain (C7 to C13) for industrial application, which is challenging to accumulate in *S. cerevisiae* due to the fatty acid machinery predominantly generating C16 and C18 fatty acid precursors (129). Recent studies have enabled the synthesis of medium chain alkanes and alkenes in *S. cerevisiae* by primarily engineering endogenous FAS genes into a single enzyme with domains borrowed from other fungal species, yielding a FAS complex generating short and medium chain fatty acids (112, 130).

![Figure I-8. Strategies for the production of oleochemicals in *S. cerevisiae*.](image)

Other engineering strategies include the engineering of permeases to secrete toxic oleochemicals, e.g. fatty alcohols (131–135). Also, since *S. cerevisiae* is an eukaryote, subcellular compartmentalization of complete metabolic pathways can be achieved in different organelles such as the mitochondria, ER, and peroxisomes, with increased efficiency due to the availability of certain metabolites, e.g. unique presence of heme in the mitochondria (136). Besides acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA, FA synthesis consumes large amounts of NADPH, whose main cellular formation takes place in the oxidative pentose
phosphate pathway (PPP) (107). Thus, examples of recent strategies have rewired fluxes from glycolysis through the PPP to generate more NADPH, as well as expressing enzymes regenerating NADPH, e.g. NADP⁺-dependent glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPN) from Bacillus cereus which converts 3-P-glyceraldehyde to 3-P-glycerate in a single reaction using NADP⁺ as co-factor (137, 138).

1.4 Scope of the thesis

In Chapter I, I have introduced the concept of metabolic engineering metabolism and how it can be purposely modified to enhance the production of metabolites of interest. The DBTL cycle which leverages tools from systems biology and synthetic biology, is commonly implemented to develop efficient cell factories. Building a strain includes introducing genetic modifications such as gene deletion, overexpression, and exact regulation to improve pathway efficiency and product yield. In this context, CRISPR has in the recent years been adapted for manipulating a broad range of living organisms, including their metabolism. This chapter has also been focusing on giving an overview of CRISPR technology from its discovery to its application in living organisms (Paper I). This thesis will explore how CRISPR technologies can be applied in the context of building efficient yeast cell factories for the production of oleochemicals.

In Chapter II, I will present two studies underlining the time-efficiency of CRISPR technology for remodeling S. cerevisiae’s lipid metabolism for increasing the production of free fatty acids and triacylglycerols, respectively. I will present results from Paper II, in which we generated a free fatty acid production strain by minimizing the lipid metabolism network and deregulating different feedback loops. Then results from Paper III will be presented, where several metabolic fluxes were engineered to overcome the main limiting steps in fatty acid biosynthesis, ultimately generating a strain accumulating the highest levels of triacylglycerols reported in S. cerevisiae so far.

After focusing on genome remodeling by principally removing multiple genes involved in a particular pathway, Chapter III will explore dCas9-based technologies for fine-tuning of biosynthetic pathways, i.e. precise control over gene expression. This is often a key step for the efficient synthesis of a particular target compound but is relatively challenging to achieve through traditional engineering strategies. The CRISPR system, besides its efficient endonuclease activity, can enable transcriptional regulation via the catalytically deactivated form of the Cas9 protein coupled to transcription factors. This chapter will be supported by two studies: In Paper IV, we benchmarked two dCas9-mediated systems for controlling the expression of 14 genes targeted by a total of 101 gRNAs. We also demonstrated the impact of single and multiplex gRNA strategies for reprogramming expression of multiple genes in the isoprenoid and TAG biosynthetic pathways. In Paper V, we proposed a framework based on computational techniques for predicting key genes that potentially favor the production of the endogenous metabolites, and on the versatility of CRISPR technology for fine-tuning gene expression coupled with an intracellular
biosensor for selecting high-producing cells. This was carried out by designing targeted gRNA libraries to explore multiple metabolic pathways.

In Chapter IV, we seek to expand the CRISPR repertoire by building new tools to accelerate microbial cell factory design. This chapter is supported by several studies: (i) exploiting CRISPR-associated endoribonuclease Csy4 for multiplex genome engineering and transcriptional regulation via processing an RNA transcript into multiple gRNAs (Paper VI), (ii) using promiscuous on-target score of a given gRNA which ultimately allows to target multiple loci at once (Paper VII), and (iii) characterization of other CRISPR nucleases.

Finally, Chapter V will be the concluding chapter of the thesis focusing on the future perspectives of CRISPR technology in engineering metabolism and its applications in yeast. This section will emphasize the recent technologies that have been developed and are yet to be applied to yeast metabolism. Additionally, comments will be made regarding the future utilization of S. cerevisiae as chassis for metabolic engineering and advancing CRISPR technologies.

Graphical abstract of the thesis.
Chapter II: Remodeling specific metabolic pathways

2.1 Towards a minimal lipid metabolism (Paper II)

As previously mentioned, FFAs are attractive endogenous chemicals that can readily be converted into a broad range of industrial compounds (Fig. I-5). These metabolites are mostly extracted from plant oils after hydrolysis of TAGs, and engineering efforts towards a more sustainable process through the development of microbial cells capable of efficiently converting sugars into FFAs are actively being sought. Fatty acid synthesis is strongly regulated by feedback mechanisms and FFAs are found natively only in low levels (less than 0.1% of dry cell weight) (114). These challenges make, theoretically, S. cerevisiae an unfavored chassis for the production of these compounds. In Paper II, harnessing CRISPR efficiency, we sought to investigate the dynamics of lipid metabolism surrounding FFA biosynthesis by minimizing S. cerevisiae’s lipid metabolic network towards its essential components, i.e. fatty acid and membrane lipid biosynthesis.

In S. cerevisiae, the main routes to generate FFAs come from hydrolysis of the main lipid classes, i.e. TAG lipases, e.g. TGL3, TGL4, and TGL5, degrading TAGs into DAGs and FFAs; sterol esterase, e.g. TGL1 and YEH1, degrading SEs into sterols and FFAs; and phospholipases, e.g. PLB1, PLB2, and PLB3, which cleave the acyl-chain from phospholipids (139–143). As mentioned above, FFAs derived from hydrolysis of the main
lipid classes, are directly converted to acyl-CoA by fatty acyl-CoA synthetases which keeps FFA levels in the cytoplasm low. Previous engineering strategies have shown that deletion of the two main acyl-CoA synthetase genes FAA1 and FAA4 results in de-regulation of fatty acid biosynthesis and over-accumulation of FFAs orders of magnitude above a wild-type strain. This double deletion is usually the starting platform strain for metabolic engineering of *S. cerevisiae* for the production of FFAs. To further prevent FFA and acyl-CoA degradation and provide a more constrained lipid metabolism network, deletion the fatty acyl-CoA oxidase encoded by POX1, which catalyzes the first step of the β-oxidation pathway located in the peroxisomes results in a strain (RP02) accumulating to 53 mg∙gDCW⁻¹ of FFAs, significantly higher than wild-type levels.

**Figure II-1. Simplified lipid metabolism fluxes and genes in *S. cerevisiae* and the effect of disrupting lipid droplet formation on FFA biogenesis.**

**a.** The lipid species experimentally quantified in blue: PA, phosphatidic acid; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PS, phosphatidylserine; SE, sterol esters; TAG, triacylglycerols; with Free Fatty Acids in red as it is the main metabolite studied. Genes highlighted in red are targeted for deletion in the RP09 strain: fatty acyl-CoA synthetases FAA1 and FAA4, fatty acyl-CoA oxidase POX1, diacylglycerol acyltransferase DGA1, phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase LRO1, and acyl-CoA:sterol acyltransferases ARE1 and ARE2. **b.** Total FFAs were quantified for the wild-type wt, RP02 strain lacking fatty acid reactivation (FAA1 and FAA4 deletions), β-oxidation (POX1 deletion), and RP09 with additionally deleted storage lipid formation (DGA1, LRO1, ARE1, and ARE2 deletion).

Next, we investigated the role of the storage-lipid formation pathways in FFA biogenesis. Thus, we deleted the main storage lipid biogenesis genes, DGA1 encoding diacylglycerol acyltransferase, LRO1 encoding phospholipid:diacylglycerol acyltransferase, and ARE1 and ARE2 encoding acyl-CoA:sterol acyltransferases (Fig. II-1). The resulting strain (RP09: Δfaa1 Δfaa4 Δpox1 Δdga1 Δlro1 Δare1 Δare2), did not significantly accumulate more FFAs compared to RP02 (53 mg∙gDCW⁻¹ and 57 mg∙gDCW⁻¹ respectively, Student’s
t-test p-value > 0.05). Thus, the combinatorial deletion of the storage-lipid formation genes shows that FFAs biogenesis is independent of the lipid storage pathway.

The strain RP09 allowed for further study of the fatty acid dynamics and pathways involved in FFA formation, since it was devoid of neutral lipids and therefore these could be excluded from the fatty acid pools existent in the cell. Here, we hypothesized that removal of storage lipid formation could have led to an accumulation of intermediates such as diacylglycerols (DAGs), which are formed by dephosphorylation of PA. Notably, previous reports have demonstrated that high-levels of PA reduce translocation of the transcriptional regulator OpI1 to the nucleus, which ultimately prevent its binding to Ino2. Since Ino2 is a transcription factor activating several lipid biosynthesis genes, we sought to increase PA levels by removing the dephosphorylation step from PA to DAG. Deletion of the main phosphatidate phosphatases encoded by PAH1, LPP1 and DPP1, from RP09 resulting in the strain MLM1.0 (MLM1.0: Δfaa1 Δfaa4 Δpox1 Δdga1 Δare1 Δpah1 Δlpp1 Δdpp1), accumulated a total of 102 mg·gDCW⁻¹ FFAs, a 98% increase from RP09. Removal of the phosphatidate phosphatases resulted in exclusive use of PA for phospholipid biosynthesis, combined with the deregulation through PA signaling, phospholipid levels were subsequently increased by 8-fold compared to RP09, reaching 30 mg·gDCW⁻¹ (Fig 3c).

![Figure II-2](image.png)

**Figure II-2. Effect of deleting the main phosphatidate phosphatases on the FFA levels.**

_a._ Deletion of the main phosphatidate phosphatases activates the signaling mechanism linking PA accumulation and the up-regulation of fatty acid biosynthesis through OpI1. PA binds to OpI1, which indirectly causes an up-regulation of ACC1, FAS1, and FAS2 genes. **b.** Total FFA quantification of MLM1.0 compared with strains RP09, RP02, and the control strain (wt).
While phosphatidylserine (PS) and cardiolipin (CL) remained unchanged and present in low percentages compared to RP09, a significant accumulation of phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) and phosphatidylinositol (PI) was observed in MLM1.0 (Figure 3). Notably, we observed that the accumulation of phospholipid was associated with a formation of large membrane structures in MLM1.0 compared to WT, RP02, and RP09 (Fig. II-3), in accordance with previous reports which characterized the expansion of internal ER and nuclear membrane structures upon Opi1 deregulation.

Figure II-3. Phospholipid levels in strains RP09, MLM1.0 and the resulting effect on ER membrane. a. PI, phosphatidylinositol; PS, phosphatidylserine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PE, phosphatidylethanolamine; CL, cardiolipin. b. Transmission electron microscopy of strains generated in this study. Observed stacked membrane structures in strain MLM1.0 marked with a red arrow.

Since we observed a combined increase of both FFAs and phospholipids in MLM1.0, we sought to investigate the link between these two lipid classes by targeting the main phospholipase B genes, namely PLB1 and PLB2. These genes encode for enzymes catalyzing the hydrolysis of acyl chains from phospholipids at positions sn-1 and sn-2. Here, deletion of PLB1 and PLB2 (MLM1.0 + Δplb1 + Δplb2: Δfaa1 Δfaa4 Δpox1 Δdga1 Δlro1 Δare1 Δpah1 Δpp1 Δpilb1 Δpilb2) led to significant decrease of FFAs and an increase of phospholipids by 46% and 105% respectively (p value < 0.05; Student’s t-test: one-tailed, two-sample equal variance) (Fig. II-4). This result indicates that phospholipases play an important role in cytosolic and extracellular FFA biogenesis, particularly when the acyl-CoA flux is redirected towards phospholipids.
This hypothesis is reinforced by the acyl-chain class distribution composing of the secreted FFAs which showed a decrease of unsaturated FAs (C16:1 and C18:1) from 62% in RP09 to 56% in MLM1.0, as PLs are mostly composed of unsaturated FAs (129).

2.2 “Maximizing” lipid metabolism (Paper III)

As mentioned in Chapter I, TAGs are valuable versatile compounds that can be harnessed for biofuel production as well as for nutrition and health (144). TAGs are comprised of three fatty acids esterified with a glycerol backbone, and are stored, together with SEs, in lipid bodies (also referred as lipid droplets (LD)) (115, 117). LDs are composed of a monolayer of phospholipids loaded by several proteins whose roles impact LD biogenesis, cellular distribution, and degradation (145). TAGs serve as an important storage form of energy which, upon requirement, are hydrolyzed by lipases to release DAGs and fatty acids required for membrane synthesis (146). In laboratory condition, S. cerevisiae does not naturally accumulate high amounts of TAGs, with levels reaching ~1% of its cell dry weight (145). This is significantly lower than oleaginous species such as Yarrowia lypolitica that can accumulate TAGs up to >70% of their dry weight (147, 148).

In this study, in order to increase levels of TAGs we sought to perform three distinct engineering strategies: (i) increase fatty acid biosynthesis, (ii) push fluxes from PA to TAGs instead of phospholipids, and (iii) prevent any degradation of our desired product. For the first two steps, we co-overexpressed genes encoding enzymes catalyzing the first and last steps of TAG biogenesis, namely the conversion of acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA by ACC1 and the conversion of PA to DAG (PAH1) and DAG to TAGs (DGA1). In that regard, we used a deregulated mutant version of ACC1, ACC1<sup>S659A/S1157A</sup> (ACC1**), expressed under control of the promoter HXT7p that leads to high levels of expression.
under low glucose concentrations. We genomically integrated one additional copy of \textit{PAH1} and \textit{DGA1} each, expressed under control of strong constitutive promoters. The resulting strain, ADP (ADP: \textit{HXT7p-ACC1** + PGK1p-PAH1 + TEF1p-DGA1}) accumulated 129 mg·gCDW\(^{-1}\) of TAGs, i.e. a 10-fold increase in comparison to the reference strain (Fig. II-2). Expectedly, the accumulation of TAGs also led to an increased number of LDs, as their formation is driven by the synthesis of TAG and SE (Fig. II-6) (117).

![Diagram](image)

Figure II-5. Metabolic engineering strategy for overproducing TAGs. a. Engineering strategy used for increasing TAG levels. Highlighted in green are genes overexpressed, \textit{ACC1**} (acetyl-CoA carboxylase), \textit{DGA1} (diacylglycerol acyltransferase) and \textit{PAH1} (phosphatidic acid phosphohydrolase). Highlighted in red are deleted genes, \textit{GUT2} (glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase), \textit{ARE1} (acyl-CoA:sterol acyltransferase), \textit{TGL3/4/5} (triacylglycerol lipases), \textit{PXA1} (subunit of peroxisomal ABC-transporter), \textit{POX1} (fatty acyl-CoA oxidase), and \textit{FAA2} (fatty acyl-CoA synthetase). PLs: phospholipids b. TAG levels of the engineered strains.

Next, we sought to prevent TAGs from degradation by removing the three main TAG lipases, namely Tgl3, Tgl4, and Tgl5, which has been reported to increase TAG levels (139). Additionally, as neutral lipids stored in LDs are normally composed of 50\% TAGs and 50\% SEs, we removed sterol ester formation through deletion of \textit{ARE1} in order to obtain a larger fraction of the neutral lipids in form of triacylglycerols. The resulting strain, RF08 (RF08: \textit{HXT7p-ACC1** + PGK1p-PAH1 + TEF1p-DGA1 Δtgl3 Δtgl4 Δtgl5 Δare1}), accumulated 218 mg·gCDW\(^{-1}\) TAGs, a 68\% increase in TAGs levels compared to the previous strain, ADP (p value < 0.05; Student’s t-test: one-tailed, two-sample equal variance) (Fig. II-5). These results indicate a potential direct turnover of TAGs triggered upon their accumulation, in agreement with previous report showing that lipase activity is affected by neutral lipid levels (140).
Figure II-6. Lipid droplet staining of strains IMX581 and ADP. Lipid droplets were stained with BODIPY and analyzed with a fluorescence microscope. Black bars represent a 5 µm scale.

Next, we aimed to increase the main constituent of TAGs, namely acyl-CoA and glycerol supplies. For acyl-CoAs, we targeted peroxisomal genes to prevent both FFA and acyl-CoA degradation by deleting FAA2 and PXA1, encoding peroxisomal importers of FFAs and acyl-CoAs respectively, as well as POXI. For glycerol backbone supply, we removed the conversion of glycerol-3-phosphate to dihydroxyacetone phosphate by deleting GUT2, which encodes the enzyme responsible for this catalytic reaction. Notably, POXI removal, which yielded RF09, led to a decrease of 9% in TAGs compared to RF08. Removal of GUT2, yielding RF10 strain, accumulated TAGs at a level of 222 mg·gCDW⁻¹, a slight increase compared to RF09, reaching similar levels as RF08 (Fig 2). However, PXA1 removal, resulting in RF11, significantly improved TAG accumulation, reaching 254 mg·gCDW⁻¹, i.e. a 14% increase in comparison to RF10. Finally, deletion of FAA2, resulting in RF12 had no impact on TAG levels (Fig II-5).

2.3 Conclusions of Chapter II

In this Chapter, I aimed to highlight Cas9 efficacy for genome remodeling in the context of engineering yeast cell factories for the production of lipid-derived products. Notably, through the engineering strategies applied in these two papers, we learnt that the complexity of the lipid metabolism, i.e. its numerous feedback regulation and balanced homeostasis between the different lipid classes, can be exploited to accumulate some of its compounds.

In Paper II, we investigated the pathways involved in FFA biogenesis and showed the dynamics through which these metabolites can be biosynthesized. We constrained the acyl-CoA fluxes to phospholipids in absence of fatty acid activating genes (FAA1 and FAA4) and ultimately generated a relatively highly engineered strain, accumulating high levels of FFAs and phospholipids. We exploited the Ino2/Ino4/Opi1 system which regulates the fatty acid and phospholipid synthesis pathways to further increase FFAs levels (149). These results are in agreement with previous reports where Δpah1 was shown to modulate phospholipids, TAGs, and FFA levels (150). While we mainly focused on FFA production in this study, the titers reported remained below previous reports (119, 151, 152). Notably,
MLM1.0 accumulates high-levels of phospholipids which could also be used as excipients for pharmaceutical applications (153). Additionally, we attempted to take advantage of this pool of phospholipids to further increase FFAs by overexpressing phospholipase B genes, namely \textit{PLB1}, \textit{PLB2} and \textit{PLB3}, but FFAs were not affected by these upregulations (\textbf{Paper II}: Figure 5) (154).

For the study on enhancing TAG accumulation, the implemented push-and-pull strategy by overexpressing genes encoding a constitutively active version of \textit{ACCl}, together the last two steps of TAG formation, namely \textit{PAH1} and \textit{DGA1}, ultimately resulted in an accumulation of 12\% of the dry cell biomass, more than 10-fold above the levels in the reference strain. Next, the disruption of several lipid droplet associated-proteins involved in both TAG hydrolysis, namely \textit{TGL3}, \textit{TGL4}, \textit{TGL5}, further increased TAG levels up to 22\% of the dry cell weight (Fig. II-5). However, further disruption of the beta-oxidation and FFA import in the peroxisome, as well as providing more glycerol-3-phosphate substrate, did not affect TAGs levels. As mentioned above, FFAs are found in low quantity in normal conditions, as they are directly converted to acyl-CoAs by fatty acyl-CoA (114). While not experimentally measured, the disruption of TAG lipases might have further decreased the amount of FFAs available in the cell. Also, theoretically, \textit{FAA2} disruption would have allowed more FFAs to be converted to acyl-CoAs. Previous studies have reported an increase of TAG levels upon \textit{POXI} disruption, which altogether indicates that these disruption strategies, namely the deletion of \textit{FAA2} and \textit{POXI}, could have significantly increase TAG levels only in the presence of TAG lipases (155).

Finally, disruption of the peroxisomal fatty acyl-CoA transporter \textit{PXAl} increased TAG levels up to 25\% of the cell dry weight. Since \textit{PXAl} encodes a transporter of fatty acyl-CoA to the peroxisome, I speculate that deleting it ultimately increased the levels of available substrate for TAG biosynthesis, which ultimately points towards a potential limitation in cytosolic acyl-CoA availability for TAG biosynthesis.
Chapter III: Rewiring metabolic fluxes via transcriptional regulation

3.1 Benchmarking CRISPR/dCas9 tools (Paper IV)

As described in Chapter I, dCas9 variants can be used for tunable and orthogonal control of gene expression by sterically hindering the transcriptional activity of the RNA polymerase, and by fusing them with repressor and activator domains, such as the mammalian transcriptional repressor domain Mxi1 or with VPR. In this context, these tools can be harnessed for tunable expression of genes encoding enzymes of metabolic pathways to increase productivity. However, at the conception of the study, the metabolic engineering field was lacking robust orthogonal and tunable transcriptional control mechanisms, and metabolic engineers primarily utilized a few characterized promoters for enhancing gene expression. However, CRISPR/dCas9-based systems have, hitherto, not only been reported as robustly achieving several folds of up- or down-regulation. Graded transcriptional patterns can also be achieved depending on where the dCas9 complex is guided in the promoter region.

In Paper IV, we benchmarked two dCas9-mediated systems for controlling gene expression of genes targeted by gRNAs in the context of metabolic engineering, i.e. coupled to the production of industrially relevant compounds, namely TAGs and β-carotene (Figure III-1). This project was a collaboration between our lab (SysBio) and Jay Keasling’s lab at Danmarks Tekniske Universitet (DTU), where we explored the design, engineering and application of CRISPR-dCas9 technology for multiplex genome
reprogramming of metabolic genes in yeast. The study was one of the first of its kind to describe the systematic use of gRNA libraries and dCas9 designs to explore CRISPR-mediated gene expression tuning for optimizing metabolic fluxes. The first system offers an anhydrotetracycline (aTc) inducible expression of gRNA with dCas9 fused to regulatory domains. The second system combines the gRNA with RNA-stem loops facilitating the recruitment of scaffold-binding domains MCP and PCP fused to either VPR or Mxi, which ultimately allows simultaneous bi-directional and targeted gene regulation (Figure III-1) (156). I was involved, almost exclusively, in characterizing dCas9-VPR/Mxi applied to the lipid metabolism, while my colleagues at DTU were focusing on the scaffolding systems applied to the β-carotene pathway (70).

![Figure III-1. CRISPR-dCas9 tools benchmarked in β-carotene and TAG biosynthesis pathways. a. aTc-inducible gRNA expression vector with dCas9 fused to VPR or Mxi. b. Constitutive gRNA expression vector. The gRNAs are designed with an extra RNA stem-loop to recognize either MCP-VPR or PCP-Mxi ultimately allowing simultaneous repression and activation. c. β-carotene and TAG pathways. Marked in green are all the genes targeted in Paper IV for dCas9-based transcriptional regulation](image)

While throughout the study we evaluated more than 100 gRNAs targeting 14 different promoters, the benchmark experiment comparing the two systems was done by targeting two promoters involved in either fatty acid synthesis (OLE1) or the mevalonate pathway (HMG1) with only a single gRNA per promoter (Fig. III-1). These gRNAs were selected among a small selection of gRNAs targeting the respective promoters retrieved using two webtools [http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no, http://lp2.github.io/yeast-crispri] (Fig. III-2) (157, 158). These tools provided a list of gRNA target sites including important information such
as the distance to TSS or the nucleosome occupancy (Fig. I-5). To assess the efficiency of these gRNAs, we coupled their respective targeted promoter to GFP and monitored the fluorescence level upon gRNA expression. Among the different gRNAs tested, gRNA OLE1 TSS -381 and gRNA HMG1 TSS -152 gave the most significant transcriptional regulation when coupled with the inducible and constitutive systems, respectively, and were subsequently selected for benchmarking these dCas9 tools.

![Figure III-2](image.png)

**Figure III-2. Transcriptional regulation of gRNAs targeting specific promoters.** a. Design of a small gRNA library targeting OLE1 promoter. The gRNAs were designed to cover different position of the targeted promoter, and characteristics of the position, such as the distance to TSS and the nucleosome occupancy, were also obtained. To assess their transcriptional regulatory effect, the targeted promoters were coupled to GFP. b. Fluorescence levels monitored using Biolector. Shown are the maximal fluorescence levels obtained for triplicates grown in synthetic media over the course of 72h.

For the HGM1 promoter, both the constitutive and inducible system displayed around 50% upregulation and downregulation when coupled with their respective regulator, i.e. downregulation for Mxi and upregulation with VPR. For the OLE1 promoter, the inducible system inferred around 50% activation and repression using dCas9-VPR and dCas9-Mxi (Fig. III-3, Fig. III-4). Based on these only two promoters, we concluded that the inducible system performed slightly better than the constitutive system. To further test the fine-tuning applicability of the inducible system, we characterized more gRNAs targeting the OLE1 and DGA1 promoters, two genes shown to increase TAG biosynthesis when overexpressed (113, 121, 159, 160).
Figure III-3. Transcriptional regulation from gRNAs coupled with dCas9-VPR and their impact on triacylglycerol production. 

a. Effect of different gRNAs tested for OLE1 promoter coupled to GFP. 

b. Effect of different gRNAs tested for DGA1 promoter coupled to GFP. 

Bar plots for GFP/OD represent expression relative to the control strain without any gRNA expressed. Engineered strains were cultivated with aTc and fluorescence levels were measured using Biolector. The maximum values for GFP/OD yield over the entire cultivation time were then extracted. Displayed are averages ± SD of maximum values of triplicates; * p value < 0.05; (Student's t-test: one-tailed, two-sample equal variance).

c. Over time monitoring of GFP/OD for OLE1p-GFP with the gRNA OLE1 TSS -381 in green and control (no gRNA expressed) in black.

d. TAG quantification after 24 h. Strains were cultivated in 2% glucose synthetic minimal media. * p value < 0.05; (Student's t-test: one-tailed, two-sample equal variance).

Here, from a small library of five gRNAs per promoter designed to span over both promoters, gRNAs DGA1 TSS-139 (i.e. gRNA targeting DGA1 promoter at position TSS-139, which corresponds to the distance from the TSS to the middle of the gRNA) and TSS-58, and OLE1 TSS-381 resulted in the strongest upregulation, 2 and 2.5-fold activation, respectively (Fig. III-3). Notably, OLE1 TSS-29 slightly downregulated the GFP expression (Fig. III-3). Targeting at close vicinity to the TSS might have hindered correct docking of the RNA pol. II, as described in previous reports (161).
Finally, based on this screening, we sought to assess whether the transcriptional effect obtained from the gRNAs leading to highest expression levels could be translated into higher fluxes towards our desired compound. Single expression of *OLE1 TSS-381* and *DGA1 TSS-139* led to a 1.5-fold increase of TAG levels and 2-fold increase when expressed together, compared to control, i.e. no gRNA expressed (Fig. III-3).

### 3.2 Discovering novel gene regulatory setups using targeted gRNA libraries (Paper V)

In the previous sections, we rationally applied Cas9 and dCas9-based technologies to efficiently rewire metabolic pathways towards the production of relevant compounds. For dCas9, we showed that expression fine-tuning can be obtained by designing a small gRNA library guided to the promoter of interest. While this method has been proven efficient for a small set of promoters, it is challenging to apply similar screenings when targeting a large number of promoters at a genome-wide level. In this context, genetically encoded biosensors, when coupled to fluorescent output, can help enhancing the throughput by enabling real-time monitoring of cellular metabolism and screening of large diversified libraries using FACS (162) (Fig. III-4). Genome-wide approaches are particularly attractive in a metabolic engineering context as they allow to screen, from a population of cells, particular setups, e.g. gene regulation or mutations, favoring a specific phenotype. Conversely to our previous approaches where we focused on specific pathways, these approaches enable the elucidation non-obvious genes linked to the enhancement of the phenotype desired. As such, in **Paper V** we sought to exploit the high-throughput feature of a transcription factor-based biosensor together with the versatility and fine-tuning properties of CRISPR-based transcriptional regulation applied to discover novel gene expression fine-tuning set-ups enhancing fluxes towards precursors for oleochemical production.

![Figure III-4. Transcriptionally regulated biosensor.](image)

*Figure III-4. Transcriptionally regulated biosensor.* The transcription factor (repressor) binds to its target sequence (operator) in the absence of the metabolite effector (effector). The GFP expression is modulated based on the abundance of the effector, enabled in high levels and repressed otherwise. This system allows distinguishes high-producer of effectors based on the fluorescence levels and can ultimately be selected using FACS.
From the various characterized biosensors in yeast, we selected the malonyl-CoA responsive transcription factor FapR from *Bacillus subtilis*, previously characterized by David and colleagues (163). FapR binding sites (*fapO*) were integrated into a *TEF1* promoter (*TEF1p*) to control GFP expression as the output signal. FapR is a homodimeric repressor which binds to its operator (*fapO*) in absence of malonyl-CoA and detaches when bound to malonyl-CoA. Malonyl-CoA is an important precursor for various industrially relevant compounds, e.g. fatty-acid derived products as it is, together with acetyl-CoA, the main substrate of fatty-acid biosynthesis and various other products such as the bioplastic precursors, 3-hydroxyprionic acid (3-HP) (164).

Here, while it was theoretically straight-forward to couple a genome-wide gRNA library to a FapR biosensor-based screening, three important questions remained concerning the experimental design:

1. Given that there are thousands of genes whose regulation could potentially affect malonyl-CoA levels, and expression of each of those genes would need be fine-tuned, *which genes should be targeted*?
2. We previously observed in *Paper IV* that many of the gRNAs covering *OLE1* and *DGA1* promoters did not lead to any substantial change in expression level. *Thus, how many gRNAs per promoter need to be included*?
3. How can simultaneous down- or up-regulation setups using a single system be achieved?

We used an *in silico* approach based on a yeast GEM to assist on selecting which genes to target (question 1) (165). As briefly introduced in *Chapter I*, GEMs models are mathematical representations of the metabolic network of the cell (40, 166). They are constructed by integrating thousands of reactions and metabolites interacting inside the cell and provide a comprehensive in-depth understanding of the studied organism. One advantage of using GEM is the ability to perform biological predictions at the system level, e.g. predicting the growth rate of the studied organism or the rate of production of a metabolite (167). This is commonly done by Flux balance analysis (FBA) which analyze the flow of metabolites through a metabolic network, assuming a biological objective is applied in the form of maximizing (or minimizing) a certain flux at steady-state growth and mass balance (167). In this study (*Paper V*), the objective function was set to be maximized for cytosolic production of both acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA (Fig. III-5).

Once the maximum specific growth rate (*μ*\(_{max}\)) was established, we applied 11 suboptimal growth rates (0.2 to 0.8, increment: 0.05), and acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA production were maximized using FBA (Fig. III-7, *Paper V*: Fig. 1a-d, Table S1-2). Here, for each simulation, a *k* score that compared the flux of each reaction with the flux of the same reaction at maximum growth rate conditions was determined. These scores were defined as larger than 1 representing an increased flux compared to the *μ*\(_{max}\) condition, whereas *k* scores lower than 1 show a decrease in the flux. In terms of gene expression, it was
arbitrarily defined that $k$ score represented upregulation or downregulation of the gene expression levels and genes with a $k$ scores between 0.549 and 1.001 were ruled out.

These *in silico* analyses ultimately scored genes that are expected to affect fluxes towards the production of acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA at different growth rates, and ultimately reduced the number of genes to target to only 168 genes, with 70 genes targeted for upregulation, 80 for downregulation, and 18 found to be either upregulation or downregulation targets depending on the carbon source (Paper V: Table S2) (Fig. III-5).

To answer the second and third questions, we described in the previous section how the constitutive CRISPR-dCas9 system could potentially enable both activation and downregulation with the help of RNA-stem loops fused to the gRNA. However, we observed that this system was underperforming compared to the inducible system. Furthermore, gRNAs targeting the *OLE1* promoter in the close vicinity to the TSS led to a downregulating effect even when guiding dCas9-VPR (*OLE1* TSS -29) (Fig. III-3). Thus, to both counteract the uncertainties that influence gRNA regulatory efficiency and reach optimal transcriptional interference (up- or downregulation), we designed up to 21 gRNAs covering each targeted gene, including up to five adjacent to their respective TSS. This design yielded to a library of 3194 gRNAs (Paper V: Table S2).
Figure III-6. DBTL cycle applied to the study. **Design.** The gene scores are obtained by FBA, a gRNA library of 3194 gRNAs is designed to target these genes. **Build.** The gRNA library is cloned into a dCas9-VPR plasmid and transformed into a yeast strain expressing the FapR-based malonyl-CoA biosensor. **Test.** Multiple rounds of fluorescence-based sorting and NGS are applied to retrieve the promising gRNAs, i.e. gRNAs potentially enhancing the flux towards malonyl-CoA. Then, the enriched gRNAs are assessed on their efficiency to improve 3-HP production as well as transcriptional regulation by coupling their targeted promoter to GFP. **Learn.** Based on the 3-HP and transcriptional regulation data, we can elucidate the effect of the selected gRNAs on yeast metabolism.

The gRNA library was subsequently cloned into the inducible dCas9-VPR plasmid and inserted into yeast expressing both FapR constitutively and ACC1** under control of the HXT7 promoter (CEN.PK-11C + HXT7p-ACC1** + FapR-based malonyl-CoA biosensor). ACC1** was chosen in order to channel potential increases of the acetyl-CoA pool towards the malonyl-CoA pool, and thus activating the malonyl-CoA biosensor response. The yeast library was consecutively sorted using FACS over three days using two different fluorescence gates (Fig. III-7). Next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed at each stage and the gRNA distribution in the population was determined. From this analysis, we subsequently selected the gRNAs that were most significantly enriched throughout the different sorting steps, and ultimately, assess their performance on both their transcriptional regulation, i.e. fine-tuning properties on their respective targeted promoters coupled to GFP, and enhancing fluxes towards malonyl-CoA pools, through quantification of malonyl-CoA-derived product titers, in form of 3-HP (Fig. III-6).
Figure III-7. Three-day sorting strategy of the yeast library. a. After 7 h of culture, the yeast library was subsequently sorted using two different gates, one for medium fluorescence, P2, and one for high fluorescence, P3. The yeast strains without the library (empty plasmid) in the left show few cells on P2 and P3. b. Three-day sorting using the two gates, except for P2+-P2+P3 where P2+-P2 and P2+-P3 were accidentally mixed together. Each pie chart represents the gRNA distribution after the sorting. The colored lines represent the day of sorting: black for day 0; red for day 1; blue for day 2; and green for day 3. c. Log2 fold-change gRNAs over the initial library (pre-culture). Shown in red are the most enriched gRNAs that were subsequently tested for 3-HP.

From the different sorting schemes, we selected 49 gRNAs, targeting a total of 46 genes, that were enriched after three rounds. We subsequently validated their efficacy to enhance malonyl-CoA levels by evaluating their expression on the production of 3-HP. It can be obtained by expressing the bifunctional malonyl-CoA reductase Mcr from Chloroflexus aurantiacus which directly catalyzes the conversion from malonyl-CoA to 3-HP in a two-
step reaction (168). Expression of Mcr formed a direct metabolic pull likely converting any (even temporary) increase in malonyl-CoA into 3-HP accumulation over time. Here, among all gRNAs selected, only a few ultimately increased the overall 3-HP yield by more than 10% (Fig. III-8). We selected 14 of these genes and coupled their respective promoters to GFP expression (Fig. III-8, Paper V: Fig. S4, Table S5).

Analysis of the interesting gRNAs

We observed that most efficient gRNAs target genes whose corresponding enzymes use NAD$^+$ as co-factor, e.g. cytoplasmic aldehyde dehydrogenase Ald2, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase Tdh2, or, expectedly, genes located upstream of malonyl-CoA pathway, e.g. Acs2, Ald2, Pdx1 (Fig. III-8; Paper V: Fig 2c, Table S4). The highest increase in 3-HP yield (36% increase) was achieved by a gRNA targeting the gene encoding adenylate kinase 1, \textit{ADK1} #15 (TSS -761), which also showed a downregulating effect on the activity of the promoter coupled to GFP (Fig. III-8). Here, if regulating \textit{ADK1} causally impacts 3-HP, I speculate that this regulation might have led to \textit{(i)} a decreased availability of ADP, an important metabolite that positively regulates Acc1 regulator Snf1, and \textit{(ii)} an increased level of ATP, which is used in the biosynthesis of malonyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA (169–171).

Interestingly, the gRNA also binds downstream of \textit{HTA1}, which encodes one of the main histone proteins involved in the chromatin structure. This binding might have reduced \textit{HTA1} mRNA levels by blocking RNA polymerase II and thus potentially changed chromatin structures and consequently the expression levels of other genes. Targeting \textit{ALD2} gRNA #1 (TSS -241) increased 3-HP yield by 27% compared to the control, and also showed a significant increase in GFP (Fig. III-8). This gene is repressed in the glucose phase (172), and we speculate that in a context where NAD$^+$-dependent enzymes seem to be favored for increased fluxes towards malonyl-CoA, de-repressing it, eventually benefited 3-HP production. \textit{ARO4} #18 (TSS -641) expression led to a 22% increase in 3-HP yields but, surprisingly, did not influence \textit{ARO4} transcriptional levels (Fig. III-8). As with \textit{ADK1} #15, we noticed that \textit{ARO4} #18 (TSS -641) binds closer to the adjacent gene, \textit{SPO23} (\textit{YBR250W}). As such, the effect on the \textit{SPO23} promoter coupled to GFP led to a significant upregulation (Fig. III-8). \textit{SPO23} has not yet been characterized which makes it challenging to speculate on the underlying reasons behind the increase in 3-HP yields. However, Tevzadze and colleagues highlighted a close interaction between Spo23 and Spo1, a meiotic PI-specific phospholipase B, which could indicate a link with fatty acid turnover (173).
Figure III-8. Effect of the enriched gRNAs on enhancing fluxes towards malonyl-CoA. a. Shown are the yields increased by more than 15% the total 3-HP levels normalized to OD and control (no gRNA expressed). Values were obtained in triplicates grown in defined minimal medium with 20 g L\(^{-1}\) glucose and cultures were sampled after 72 h. Displayed are averages ± SD. ** p value < 0.01; * p value < 0.05; (Student's t-test: one-tailed, two-sample equal variance).

d. Influence of different gRNAs on the activity of the respective target promoter. Shown are TKL2 #7 (TSS -147); and ALD2 #1 (TSS -241), #7 (TSS -241), #9 (TSS -251), and #12 (TSS -192). b. Mean fluorescence intensities (GFP/OD) were obtained from three biological replicates ± S.D. monitored with a BioLector.

Finally, we also identified new candidate genes to increase 3-HP production such as genes involved in the processing of precursors upstream of malonyl-CoA, e.g. TKL2 encoding transketolase, and PDX1 encoding a subunit of the pyruvate decarboxylase complex as well as AHP1 encoding a peroxiredoxin that protects against oxidative stress (Fig. III-8). Notably, PDX1 #17 (TSS -504) shows a downregulating effect on PDX1 promoter and this effect might have enhanced the flux cytosolic conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA instead of the NAD\(^+\) consuming mitochondrial one (Fig. III-8) (174).
3.3 Conclusions of Chapter III

In Chapter III, we explored dCas9-based technologies for fine-tuning of biosynthetic pathways. As previously mentioned, achieving correct expression of the genes involved in the studied pathway as well as determining which genes to reprogram remain currently challenging to achieve with traditional engineering strategies. In Paper IV, based on multiple gRNAs tested, we established dCas9-VPR as a robust tool for rewiring metabolic fluxes in yeast, among the different CRISPR-dCas9 tools. This was concluded by, first, monitoring the fluorescence levels of the targeted promoter to GFP upon the gRNA expression, and by quantifying TAG levels (as these gRNAs were targeting genes involved in lipid biogenesis pathways).

Then, in Paper V, we sought to apply the same methodology at a larger scale. We established a framework based on GEM simulations for predicting key genes that potentially favor the production of the endogenous metabolites and exploited the versatility of dCas9-VPR for fine-tuning gene expression coupled with an intracellular biosensor for selecting high-producing cells. Here, our in silico constraint-based modeling approach predicted a specific set of 168 genes whose regulation potentially impact the production of malonyl-CoA. Then, combining a gRNA library targeting these genes and a malonyl-CoA responsive intracellular biosensor in yeast ultimately enabled to retrieve optimal gene expression of both known and novel genes contributing to enhancing the flux towards cytosolic malonyl-CoA. However, while we observed that 3-HP production was improved upon fine-tuning genes involved in providing malonyl-CoA precursor, co-factor supply, as well as chromatin remodeling, many of the selected gRNAs were not influencing 3-HP levels. This indicates that either these gRNAs might still increase malonyl-CoA levels but not sufficiently to be converted to 3-HP, or that the selection parameters I chose for the study were not optimal. Another possibility could come from the discrepancy between the fluorescence sorting performed approximately at the glucose phase and the 3-HP sampling, performed at 72 h. Thus, enriched gRNAs that marginally enhance malonyl-CoA during the glucose phase might not be enough to reach a significant increase in 3-HP yields.

While applied for malonyl-CoA, the same approach can be could in theory be applied for other metabolic engineering studies. Thus, I developed an R shiny app that allows users to easily choose a metabolite to optimize in yeast. Once the metabolite selected, the tool generates a list of suggested genes to regulate with a detailed list of gRNA targeting them (hosted at https://raphdl.shinyapps.io/crispri-gem/).
Chapter IV: Expanding the CRISPR repertoire -
Developing new tools to accelerate strain engineering

4.1  Increasing the targeting range through multiplexing (Paper VI)

When conceptualizing Paper VI in early 2016, the research landscape that applied multiplexing features for yeast strain design remained at its infancy (175, 176). Thus, we aspired to contribute to the yeast scientific community by developing a multiplexing tool for both genome engineering and gene regulation.

**CRISPR-associated endonuclease Csy4.**

As described in Chapter I, the type I CRISPR-system is defined by having the crRNA guiding a cascade complex made of several proteins to target invading viral DNA (Box 1). The interference is then performed by the Cas3 subunit. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the cascade complex is composed of Csy enzymes, with Csy4 binding and processing the pre-crRNA in crRNAs (Fig. IV-1). This endoribonuclease has been shown to have a high degree of substrate binding towards a 28 nucleotide RNA stem-loop (5’-GUUCACTGCCGTATAGCAGCUAAGAAA-3’) and cleaves after the guanine at position 20 downstream (underlined in bold) (Haurwitz et al. 2010, Haurwitz et al. 2012). Additionally, previous structural studies have revealed the ability of Csy4 to discriminate its crRNA from other cellular RNAs. Altogether, Csy4 is an ideal candidate for attempting to carry out multiplexing experiments (Fig. IV-1).
**Figure IV-1. Utilization of elements from Type I CRISPR-systems for genome editing and gene regulation.**

*a.* Overview of Type I CRISPR-system. The csy complex is usually composed of several *csy* genes, including *csy4* (in yellow). Csy4 processes the CRISPR array to generate several crRNA which will then be used for the interference step against phages. 

*b.* Harnessing Type I Csy4 from *P. aeruginosa* for gRNA multiplexing.

---

**Genome editing and gene interference applications in *S. cerevisiae.***

We first sought to characterize the ability to perform multiple gene knockouts by simultaneously generating gRNAs from a single transcript using Csy4 in yeast. Thus, starting from a strain constitutively expressing Cas9 (IMX581: *MATa MAL2-8c SUC2 ura3-52 can1::PTEF1-cas9*), we constructed and genomically integrated the gene encoding Csy4 (with a nuclear localization signal) expressed under control of *TEF1p*.

Next, we designed an array of four gRNAs in a row, targeting genes involved in the fatty acid metabolism: *FAA1, FAA4, POX1*, and *TES1*, interspaced by the Csy4 28nt recognition sequence (Fig. IV-2). These gRNAs were previously evaluated in other projects (e.g. **Paper II**) thus their high-efficiency was known. The gRNAs transcript was expressed under the constitutive RNA Pol. III promoter, *SNR52p*, from a multi-copy 2µ plasmid. The plasmid was transformed along with linear repair DNA fragments matching the flanking regions of the targeted genes, causing a deletion of the genes. Expression of Csy4 yielded close to 100% efficiency of quadruple knockouts, whereas in absence of Csy4 only double knockouts were detected. These results confirmed the ability to use Csy4 for multiplexed knockouts (Fig. IV-2). Notably, the reasons behind achieving a double knockout even in absence of Csy4 could potentially be the instability of the scaffold due to the many repetitive elements (28nt + 79nt gRNA scaffold) coupled with the fact that the gRNAs being expressed in a multi-copy plasmid and under a constitutive promoter might have generated partial transcripts.
We next sought to assess the capacity of our platform for efficient transcriptional regulation applications. As with the knockout experiment, we designed an array of three gRNAs, which have been previously characterized (Paper IV), interspaced by the 28 nt sequence and expressed them from a single copy plasmid under control of a tetracycline-inducible RPR1 promoter (70, 157). The multiplexed and Csy4-processed gRNAs reached the same level of transcriptional regulation as to when the particular gRNA was expressed alone \( P_{OLE1} \) and \( P_{HMG1} \) (Fig. IV-2, Fig. IV-3). For \( ACS1 \), the processed gRNA-transcript reached almost the same level as the positive control in the presence of Csy4 (Fig. IV-3). Here, we notice that the in absence of Csy4, GFP levels were similar to the control (empty plasmid). This indicates the importance of controlling the expression of gRNAs to produce significant interference, i.e. using a single-copy plasmid might prevent the generation of partial transcripts interfering with the experiment, as we saw in with the genome engineering experiments.

In conclusion, we showed that Csy4 specific endoribonuclease activity can efficiently be utilized for multiplexing of CRISPR-mediated gene deletion and interference in \( S.\ cerevisiae \).
Figure IV-3 Detailed transcriptional regulation with and without Csy4. Shown here are the GFP in function of OD for one array processed (or not) by Csy4. In black: empty plasmid; grey: unique gRNA; light blue: array of gRNAs without csy4 expression; blue: gRNA array processed by Csy4.

4.2 Exploiting promiscuous on-target specificity (Paper VII)

In the previous section, we showed that processing an array of gRNAs in a row allowed to target multiple targets at once. Metabolic engineering of microbial cell factories usually requires targeting genes within the same pathway. One example is targeting multiple genes encoding enzymes carrying the same catalytic function, so-called paralogs, e.g. FAA1 and FAA4 for preventing FFA degradation, or TGL3, TGL4 and TGL5 for TAG degradation (Paper II, Paper III). These paralogs are usually derived from genome or gene duplication and thus show remarkable sequence similarities. Based on this feature, we sought to exploit that feature to accelerate strain design, i.e. exploiting promiscuous on-target idiosyncrasies of certain gRNAs for multiplexed engineering. These gRNAs, that we coined “promiscuous gRNAs”, eventually would allow minimizing the use of gRNAs in applications where editing multiple genes simultaneously is desirable (see previous sections).

Figure IV-4. Graphical representation of a promiscuous gRNA.
We designed a computational tool to retrieve and explore promiscuous gRNAs. The tool was written in R and is made available on GitHub (https://github.com/gattofrancesco/pgRNA). The algorithm was built to use a genome sequence or a list of genes as input and extract all gRNAs by locating the PAM sequence in the target region (Fig. IV-5). An option to filter poor quality gRNAs, such as gRNAs with repetitive nucleotides, is possible by imposing minimal %GC content. Each gRNA is then aligned back to all the original targets, and any gRNA with several matches is defined as promiscuous gRNA. The probability for a gRNA to find new matches can be increased by allowing mismatches in the sequence. Then, the hits are analyzed, and a list of the most promising promiscuous gRNAs is generated (Fig. IV-5).

![Figure IV-5. Workflow of the promiscuous gRNA tool.](image)

We chose to apply our tool to identify promiscuous gRNAs targeting multiple sites in the *S. cerevisiae* genome and experimentally validate some of them (Fig. IV-6, Fig. IV-7). For the whole genome, 801,648 individual gRNAs were retrieved for all sixteen nuclear chromosome sequences, with the most promiscuous gRNA (“GCAAGGATTTGATAATGTAAT”) binding a total of 148 times. The promising promiscuous gRNAs expectedly showed a significant number of hits in repetitive elements such as Ty retrotransposons and tRNA regions. While I have unsuccessfully tried to experimentally validate these highly promiscuous gRNAs with the aim of removing these repetitive elements, in **Paper VII** we exclusively targeted coding sequences.

![Figure IV-6. Promiscuous gRNAs for *S. cerevisiae*'s whole genome.](image)
We next set out to experimentally validate several predicted promiscuous gRNAs. From the initial extracted gRNAs, only 1% had two or more targets. From this list, we limited our search to promiscuous gRNAs targeting 2 genes in the lipid metabolism and tested their efficacy via gene deletion (Fig. IV-7). We selected in a first experiment, a promiscuous gRNA targeting \textit{FAA1} and \textit{FAA4}, and in a second experiment, a promiscuous gRNA \textit{PLB1} and \textit{PLB2} (Fig. IV-7). Finally, correct ratio of successful deletion reached 100% for both \textit{FAA1/4} and \textit{PLB1/2} experiments (Fig. IV-7). In conclusion, this study proposes a computational approach to exploit promiscuous on-targeting effects of gRNAs to consent multiplexed genome editing in a time and cost-effective fashion.

Figure IV-7. Application of promiscuous gRNAs for genome editing in \textit{S. cerevisiae}. a. Computational output of the algorithm when taking lipid metabolic genes as input. b. Two promiscuous gRNAs tested, one targeting both \textit{FAA1} and \textit{FAA4}, the other targeting both \textit{PLB1} and \textit{PLB2}. Along with the promiscuous gRNA, the strains were co-transformed with repair fragments matching the flanking regions of the genes targeted. c. Agarose gel showing colony PCRs. Correct deletions for \textit{FAA1} and \textit{FAA4} showed bands at 709 and 647 bp, respectively. For \textit{PLB1} and \textit{PLB2}, correct deletion showed a band 2705bp obtained by NHEJ, while the control (CTRL) shows a band at 5615bp.
4.3 Cas12 and Cas13

Besides Csy4, I, together with colleagues, have attempted to characterize other types of CRISPR-systems in yeast, namely Cas12 and Cas13 (Fig. IV-8).

**Figure IV-8. Cas12a (Cpf1) and Cas13 features.** a. b. Cas13 performs targeted cleavage of an ssRNA substrate. dCas13-ADAR RNA base editing converts A to I (read as G). dCas13-APOBEC RNA base editing converts C to U.

**Cas12a**

Cas12a, initially known as Cpf1, is an ortholog of Cas9 that offers several attractive features: (i) it possesses an RNA processing domain that enables CRISPR array processing into multiple crRNAs (74); (ii) its resulting DNA cleavage leaves a 5' overhang instead of a blunt-end cleavage for Cas9 (73); (iii) it has target specificity superior to Cas9 (177); (iv) it recognizes a T-rich PAM; and (v) it is slightly smaller than Cas9, thus more attractive for clinical applications (73) (Fig IV-8). Following the same approach as the one employed for Csy4, I aimed to apply this system for genome engineering and gene regulation in *S. cerevisiae*. At the time of conceptualizing the project, the Cas12a from Francisca novocida (FnCpf1) had been described to cut plasmid DNA integration in *E. coli* (73), and further characterization of its dual-nuclease (RNA and DNA) characteristic had been described from Charpentier’s lab (74). Based on these two studies, I aimed to characterize the ability to generate multiplexed gene knockouts by attempting to remove *FAA1* and *FAA4*, as well as gene activation by targeting *OLE1*. While I have been successful at removing *FAA1*, multiplexed removal of both *FAA1* and *FAA4* turned out to be more problematic (Fig. IV-9). Also, my attempts to characterize a dead-Cpf1-VPR did not yield any transcriptional regulation when targeting the *OLE1* promoter (data not shown).
Finally, over the course of my efforts, Ŝwiat and colleagues successfully characterized FnCpf1 for multiplexed gene editing in yeast (up to four gene deletions) (178), and more recently, Liao and colleagues applied dCas12 for gene activation (179).

Figure IV-9. Cas12a (Cpf1) proof-of-concept for gene knockout. Colony PCRs for the deletion of FAA1. Bands shown at 709bp represents correct deletion, while the band at 2900bp for colony 7 shows wild-type genotype.

Cas13b
Cas13 systems, initially known as C2c2, have recently been developed for precise transcriptome engineering (180, 181). The Cas13 RNA targeting nuclease from Prevotella sp. (Cas13b) is a single-effector nuclease specialized against RNA phages (Fig. IV-8) (182). A catalytically inactive mutant (dCas13) has, in the recent years, been developed for RNA single-base editing via fusing it to deaminase enzymes (183). In general, deaminases irreversibly catalyze the conversion of the nucleotide NH$_2$ group to a ketone leading to a base conversion. The only published example of adenine deaminase applied in RNA editing technologies is ADAR2 which converts adenine to inosine (A to I). Inosine is read as guanine during translation. For correct base-editing, a mismatch in the gRNA is required to selectively deaminate the single mismatched nucleotide. At the time of conceptualizing this project, RNA base editing was limited to adenine to inosine transitions, but has since July 2019 been extended to cytidine to uridine conversion (C to U) (184). Thus, we sought to characterize ADAR2 A to I RNA editing in yeast. Additionally, we attempted to develop a C to U RNA editing system by fusing dCas13b to the cytidine deaminase APOBEC1 (Fig. III-8). To validate both systems we generated two mutated forms of GFP with an early stop codon aimed to be reverted to the original codon by either dCas13-ADAR through an A to I edit, or dCas13-APOBEC through C to U (Fig. IV-10). Unfortunately, while we tested several gRNAs (seven) with various spacer lengths for each system, none of them were able to restore GFP (Fig. IV-10).
4.4 Conclusions of Chapter IV

In this chapter, I sought to highlight the diversity and versatility offered by CRISPR technologies. The constant invasions of phages and mobile genetic elements has led to the evolution of prokaryotes and archaea with the adaptive and heritable CRISPR system, ultimately yielding a plethora of diverse elements that can be exploited for genetic engineering (186). For example, in Paper VI, we harnessed the Type-I Csy4 from P. aeruginosa for multiplexed genome engineering and transcriptional regulation via processing an RNA transcript into multiple gRNAs. For genome editing, we performed a quadruple deletion with near-perfect efficiency out of 24 colonies tested, and for gene regulation, we showed that a Csy4Processed array of three gRNAs could reach similar transcriptional regulation levels as individually expressed gRNAs. Csy4 has not been, to date, extensively applied in yeast. Possible reasons might be due to the existence of self-cleaving elements, which removes the need of heterologous genes for multiplexing. However, Ferry and colleagues, for example, constructed a switchable gRNA by connecting the crRNA sequence with the 28 nt Csy4 target sequence, ultimately locking the gRNA and preventing it from binding to the genome without Csy4 processing (187).
Also, McCarthy and colleagues recently expanded our work (Paper VI) by achieving multiplexing of 12 gRNAs under an RNA pol. II promoter, drastically facilitating the cloning procedure (188).

Additionally, we tried to characterize Cas9 orthologs in yeast, namely Cas12a and Cas13b, for genome engineering and gene regulation, and transcriptome engineering, respectively. While Cas12a showed promising results in terms of gene deletion, I have failed to achieve both array processing of two gRNAs in a row (gRNA_FAA1 followed by gRNA_FAA4) and transcriptional regulation (Fig. IV-9). Notably, the direct repeats I selected for FAA1/4 were 36 nt long, which Świat and colleagues have reported lower efficiency of RNA processing by Cas12a in comparison to 19 nt repeats (19-37% vs. 100%) (178). This result, alongside with selecting an intrinsically poorly efficient gRNA, could have been the reasons of the unsuccessful multiplexing (178). For base-editing using dCas13 CRISPR-systems, based on the study on S. pombe from Jing and dCas13a-ADAR where they achieved up to six percent success rate of base-editing, future approaches could take into consideration focusing on dCas13a instead of dCas13b (185). Additionally, restoring GFP via base-editing might not be the appropriate system when the rate of base-editing is extremely low. Here, NGS would give a better readout, as the expected base replacement would be quantifiable by analyzing the reads.

Most of the currently available bioinformatics tools for designing gRNAs only suggest gRNAs uniquely targeting in the host genome, i.e. without any off-targets. In Paper VII, we designed a bioinformatic tool opposite to this paradigm, i.e. a tool exploiting the off-targeting of gRNAs for accelerating genome engineering. As a side note, this project was conceptualized after noticing the extensive number of deletions needed in metabolic engineering, and how often the engineering strategies required targeting paralogs from the same pathway, e.g. PLB1, PLB2, PLB3, or FAA1, FAA4, and I have since applied it for studies in human cell lines (189). There are other circumstances in which off-targeting might be desirable, e.g. finding synthetic lethality in cancer (190), or minimizing a genome (discussed in Chapter V) (191). Based on the current number of citations, our promiscuous gRNA tool has not been extensively utilized by other researchers. One reason might be the difficulty to use it and its low speed when targeting complex living organisms. Thus, I have decided to facilitate access to the information of existing promiscuous gRNA by developing an R Shiny application that contains a database of promiscuous gRNA from several organisms. This will remove the need to run our existing framework in R and hopefully allow more people to exploit promiscuous gRNA in their studies.
5.1 Future engineering strategies for the production of oleochemicals

Throughout the thesis, I have attempted to emphasize the technological diversity provided by CRISPR and apply it in the context of building efficient yeast cell factories for the production of oleochemicals, namely TAGs and FFAs in Chapter II, and 3-HP in Chapter III. In Paper II, we disrupted several metabolic fluxes, namely reactions involved in the fatty acid oxidation, the production of storage lipids, and the conversion of FFAs to fatty acyl-CoA, which, in the end, constrained the lipid metabolic network to its main components. The combined deletions minimized the complexity of the lipid metabolic network by disrupting many regulation mechanisms. The resulting strain, MLM1.0, efficiently redirected fatty acid fluxes toward phospholipids and yielded a strain accumulating high levels of both FFAs and phospholipids. While the FFA titers achieved in MLM1.0 remained below previous reports, it is worth to mention that the strain does not carry any additional overexpression of genes. It would confer an advantage when expressing heterologous pathways that further convert the FFAs to other valuable products, compared to the superior strains reported, as MLM1.0 could have more proteome mass available to allocate (119, 151, 152). For example, Leber and colleagues reported 2.2 g·L⁻¹ of FFAs from an engineered strain designed to force fluxes towards TAG synthesis and hydrolysis, namely overexpression of DGA1 and TGL3, in a FAA1, FAA4, FAT1 and FAA2, POX1, and PXA1 deletion strain (152). Our attempts to carry out similar strategy for MLM1.0, i.e. overexpressing endogenous phospholipases B, did not further increase the FFA levels. Notably, the expression of a thioesterase (‘TesA) from E. coli significantly improved FFA levels in MLM1.0 strain (Paper V: Fig. 5B). Thus, future strategies would need to elucidate ways to harness this accumulated pool of phospholipids, e.g. via the overexpression of efficient heterologous phospholipases, and fine-tuning of the flux from PA to PLs, as well as the acyl-CoA pool, e.g. via the overexpression of GPAT and LPAT genes (192). Notably, Yu and colleagues have recently achieved the highest FFA titers...
reported by microbial fermentation (33.4gL⁻¹ by fed-batch fermentation) through extensive metabolic rewiring and adaptive laboratory evolution strategies (151). Sequential alteration of the metabolism was achieved by (i) the integration of heterologous pathways to produce FFAs, (ii) the deletion of the pyruvate decarboxylase encoding genes (PDC1/5/6) to disrupt the ethanol production from pyruvate, (iii) proper balancing of NADPH, ATP, and acetyl-CoA, and (iv) ultimately a directed laboratory evolution to improve cell growth on glucose. Because their strategy to achieve high titers extensively focus on pathways upstream acetyl-CoA, there is compatibility with our strategy developed in Paper II, where targeted genes were located downstream acetyl-CoA (Fig. V-1).

**Figure V-1. Suggestions for future strategies for improving FFA production.** Strategies inspired from the work presented in Paper II, combined with Yu et al. 2018 (151), and Wei et al. 2018 (192) reports. In blue: endogenous enzymes; purple: heterologous enzymes. Green arrow represents upregulated genes; red arrows: downregulated genes; crossed & dashed arrow: deleted genes; Pgi1: glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; Zwf1: glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase; Pyk1*: mutated pyruvate kinase; Pdc: pyruvate decarboxylase; Mpcox: mitochondrial pyruvate carrier; Rtcit1: citrate synthase from *Rhodosporidium toruloides*; Cit1: citrate synthase; mPyc1: mitochondrial pyruvate carboxylase 1; Idh2: mitochondrial isocitrate dehydrogenase 2; Yhm2: citrate/oxoglutarate carrier protein; Idp2: cytoplasmic isocitrate dehydrogenase; AnAcl: ATP citrate lyase from *Aspergillus nidulans*; Acc1: acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1; RtfAs: fatty acid synthase from *R. toruloides*; Op1: transcriptional repressor Op1; ‘TesA: acyl-CoA thioesterase A from *E. coli*; Cds1: phosphatidate cytidylyltransferase; Pah1: phosphatidic acid phosphohydrolase 1; Pox1: acyl-coenzyme A oxidase; Pxa1: peroxisomal long-chain fatty acid import protein 2; TcGpat4: glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 4 from *Theobroma cacao*; LcPat4: lysophosphatidyl acyltransferase 4 from *Theobroma cacao*; ?: heterologous enzyme(s) harnessing PL pools, e.g. phospholipase B. TCA: tricarboxylic acid cycle; PLs: Phospholipids; PPP: pentose phosphate pathway; β: β-oxidation.
In **Paper II**, despite our efforts to engineer to *S. cerevisiae* to accumulate large amount of TAGs and ultimately achieving the highest titers reported so far, oleaginous yeasts, such as *Lipomyces starkeyi*, *R. toruloides*, *Y. lipolytica*, largely outperform *S. cerevisiae* (193). However, while we carried out many deletions, many of them turned out to have no effect on TAG levels (in a strain accumulating high levels of TAGs). Indeed, the main effects massively increasing TAGs levels were *(i)* the push-and-pull strategy, inspired from Runguphan and Keasling (121), where fatty-acid and TAG biosyntheses were upregulated by overexpressing *ACCI***, and *DGA1 + PAH1*, respectively; and *(ii)* disruption of the main TAG hydrolysis genes, namely *TGL3*, *TGL4*, and *TGL4*, whose deletions were previously reported by Ploier and colleagues (194). Notably, among the different deletions carried out in the study, processing the acyl-CoA pool by deleting *PXA1*, which encodes a fatty acyl-CoA peroxisomal transporter, significantly increased the TAG pools. This result, together with the introduction of TesA in **Paper II** whose expression significantly increased FFA pools, might indicate a surplus of acyl-CoAs available for further processing. Future strategies to reach levels approximate to oleaginous yeasts would require extensive additional engineering, in addition to those mentioned for FFAs as they are compatible with TAGs production (Fig. V-1) (151, 192). For example, Teixeira and colleagues offered an approach to improve TAG levels based on modifying the structural mechanisms of the LD. In their study, they obtained a 138% increase from strain RF07 strain by overexpressing the human and fungal perilipins (*PLIN3* and *MlPLP1*) which are involved in LD biogenesis, and deleting *ERD1* which encodes a predicted membrane protein required for lumenal ER protein retention (118).

### 5.2 The golden age of genetic engineering

Despite its only recent discovery, CRISPR/Cas9 technology has already been enhanced to the point of fulfilling most of the genome editing and gene regulation currently needed, ranging from the ability to perform multiple gene insertions, gene knockouts and the generation of combinatorial libraries to advanced fine-tuning of biosynthetic pathways. Thus, the industrial biotechnology field using metabolically engineered microbial cell factories has progressively been shifting from studies with few genetic modifications to highly complex engineered strains (188, 195–198). CRISPR has become an established tool for these microbial cell factories, and the field is observing more and more complex organisms being successfully engineered with this technology (199, 200). In **Chapter II**, CRISPR/Cas9 enabled us to rapidly construct strains, with our most engineered strain reaching eleven modifications (154). This technology, overall, sensibly increases the pace of the DBLT, as the building phase is empirically the longest phase (Fig. I-2). One can speculate that complete biosynthesis of complex compounds such as secondary metabolites will be more frequently reported using CRISPR technology to either integrate these complex pathways into a well-characterized organism or, directly genetically engineer the host organism. Decision on these two options, will, most likely, be based on the extent a model organism can be engineered to reach the desired function. The orthogonality of Cas
enzymes is relatively well established, and the main barrier for engineering these complex organisms remains the engineering efficiency. While the highly efficient HR machinery in *S. cerevisiae* has allowed CRISPR tools to be easily applied and developed, the advent of newly discovered *cas* genes with interesting properties could eventually circumvent the prominence of NHEJ in other organisms such as mammalian cells. For example, two independent labs recently reported a method for large DNA insertions using CRISPR-associated transposases, which would ultimately eliminate the dependency on the endogenous repair machinery to perform genetic engineering (201, 202). This is relevant in the context of producing oleochemicals in oleaginous yeast as many engineering challenges come from their predominant NHEJ repair machinery.

### 5.3 Mundus Novus

One aspect that remains underexploited in the metabolic engineering field is the utilization of CRISPR gRNA libraries for genome-wide high-throughput screening (203). In comparison to rational approaches, which predominate the field, appropriate screening platforms could enable unbiased and high-level perturbations of gene networks (204). In Chapter III, after characterizing dCas9-VPR as a robust tool for graded gene regulation (Paper IV), we harnessed the versatility of gRNA libraries with an encoded biosensor to identify optimal gene expression of (non-obvious) genes contributing to 3-HP production (Paper V). As with our study, model-guided strategies can provide a promising platform for inferring novel biological phenotypes, ultimately reducing the number of targeted genes. However, while our FBA simulations predicted candidate genes for screening and subsequently reduced the number of genes to target, most of the predicted genes were not represented among the enriched gRNAs. Here, potential issues arising from incorrect *in silico* predictions could come from the biomass composition set to be invariant at different growth rates, for which slight changes in the coefficients can have major effects on the obtained flux distribution. Even though the yeast GEM used in this study is extensively curated, many gaps might remain, e.g. dead-end metabolites and unconnected reactions (39, 205). Altogether, this highlights the need for a better scoring associated to these genes, and more importantly the relevance of the suggested genes.

Also, because of its unpredictable outcome, systematic screenings with combinatorial gRNA libraries remain challenging as gene interference is difficult to predict solely based on the gRNA position. In Paper V, to mitigate these disadvantages, we extensively covered each promoter targeted with up to 21 gRNAs. Notably, applying an extensive coverage could also help to elucidate functional regulatory sites in the promoter studied. Indeed, mapping the regulatory effect of each gRNA targeted to a transcription factor binding sites could eventually provide more insight into the importance of each transcription factors on the targeted promoter. Also, covering each promoter by up to 21 gRNAs allowed to, fortuitously, retrieve non-obvious transcriptional regulation that ultimately significantly increased 3-HP production, e.g. *SPO23* and *HTA1*. Likewise, Joung and colleagues targeted
promoters of more than 10,000 long non-coding RNAs using a CRISPR activation screening approach and identified several loci that drive anticancer drug resistance in melanoma cells (206). It would be of great interest to apply a similar strategy in yeast to systematically discover the functions of non-obvious elements that influence specific cellular processes. Conversely to the numerous large-scale studies performed in mammalian cells, the “yeast field” lacks a proper CRISPR database with sufficient knowledge needed to perform high-fidelity genetic engineering experiments, e.g. a list of all experimentally validated gRNAs used for gene knockout or gene regulation in yeast (207–210). The advantages brought by having such database would invaluably contribute to more complex combinatorial screenings, which would allow further elucidation of new emergent synergies (206).

Besides novel gene regulation, CRISPR tools can also be deployed for synthetic evolution of enzymes (211, 212). For example, combinatorial libraries generated by error-prone PCR can be efficiently integrated with CRISPR/Cas9, ultimately enabling the screening of hundreds of thousands of novel gene variants (213). By extension, base-editing systems such as those described in Chapter IV, would provide better control over which specific region to mutagenize compared to error-prone PCR (189, 214–216).

5.4 Radical redesign of the yeast genome

The Sc2.0 project, which ambitiously aim to synthesize and assemble the whole S. cerevisiae genome, has already been completed to more than one-third, with seven synthetic chromosomes completed (191). Sc2.0 chromosomes are designed to have all TAG stop codons replaced by TAA, as well as all repetitive elements removed with the exception of tRNAs, which are relocated to a new chromosome. Importantly, detailed physiologic assessments later revealed almost no phenotypic impact on the strains harboring these synthetic chromosomes, e.g. wild-type growth rates and same protein abundance (217). The complete synthesis has been estimated to be achieved before 2025 and will cost approximately US$1.25 million (191, 218). On the other side, using CRISPR/Cas9 technology, top-down approaches for minimizing the yeast genome have started to emerge (219, 220). Promiscuous gRNAs, presented in Paper VII, could be theoretically be employed for a same type of applications. Here, our algorithm predicted a single promiscuous gRNAs capable to target 148 of these elements at once, and with proper setup could be utilized for minimizing of redundant genetic material, as with the Sc2.0 project. Additionally, these promiscuous gRNAs could also enhance in vivo combinatorial chromosomal rearrangements to accelerate adaptive evolution of strains with improved genetic backgrounds (221).
5.5 Advancing CRISPR technologies through yeast engineering

In the first reports of Cas9 application in human cell lines, the authors cautioned on the specificity of achieving significant cleavage, as well as cautioned on the unwanted off-target effects often accompanied with genome engineering tool (47, 61). Scientists have since aspired to develop the next-generation of engineered Cas9 with higher fidelity, different PAM specificity, increased nuclease activity, as well as smaller protein length (57, 63, 65, 75, 222, 223). In this context, several studies have exploited yeast as a workhorse organism for in vivo directed evolution of protein engineering for CRISPR applications (184, 222). Casini and colleagues screened a mutagenized library of Cas9 for both on- and off-target activity using a yeast-based assay established with a promiscuous gRNA targeting TRP1 to assess the on-target specificity, and ADE2 for off-targeting (222). The resulting screening yielded four beneficial mutations whose combination results in an engineered Cas9 with drastically less off-target than the wild-type version while retaining sufficient on-target efficiency. Also, Abuddayeh and colleagues recently developed a unique C to U RNA editor, where the adenine deaminase domain of ADAR2 was mutagenized into a cytidine deaminase via multiple rounds of library screening in yeast (184). Indeed, in order to select increased C to U activity, they aimed to reverse mutations in the yeast HIS3 marker. Deciphering genes and pathways impacting the specificity of the cleavage would also be of utmost interest. In this context, future strategies could employ yeast deletion or overexpression libraries as they provide a unique advantage compared to other tools.

Additionally, the advent of machine-learning algorithms for protein engineering could also enable the discovery of nontrivial Cas functions (224, 225). Notably, machine learning algorithms have already been employed to reliably predict NHEJ patterns from the repair of double-strand breaks in mammalian cells (225, 226). Finally, besides directed evolution, several studies have employed yeast to shed light on idiosyncratic patterns of HR repair mechanisms in heterozygous diploid cells (227, 228). Gorter de Vries and colleagues recently reported loss of heterozygosity of entire chromosome arms from unexpected HR events in heterozygous diploid yeast cells (228). Since these events could have dramatic consequences in human gene therapy or gene drive setups, preliminary yeast-based studies could eventually elucidate key factors to optimize these experiments.
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