

Sharp estimates of the spherical heat kernel



Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Nowak, A., Sjögren, P., Szarek, T. (2019). Sharp estimates of the spherical heat kernel. Journal des Mathematiques Pures et Appliquees, 129: 23-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2018.10.002

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées



www.elsevier.com/locate/matpur

Sharp estimates of the spherical heat kernel



Adam Nowak^a, Peter Sjögren^b, Tomasz Z. Szarek^{a,c,*}

- ^a Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland
 ^b Mathematical Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden
- ^c Department of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 April 2018 Available online 19 October 2018

MSC: primary 35K08 secondary 60J65, 58J35

Keywords:
Sphere
Heat kernel
Spherical Brownian motion
Sharp estimate

ABSTRACT

We prove sharp two-sided global estimates for the heat kernel associated with a Euclidean sphere of arbitrary dimension.

 $\ensuremath{{}^{\odot}}$ 2018 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Pour le noyau de la chaleur de la sphère euclidéenne en toute dimension, on démontre des estimations globales précises, supérieures et inférieures.

© 2018 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Statement of the result

Let $S^d \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be the Euclidean unit sphere of dimension $d \geq 1$ equipped with the standard non-normalized area measure σ_d . The heat kernel $\mathcal{K}^d_t(\xi,\eta)$ on (S^d,σ_d) is a function of the geodesic spherical distance $\mathrm{dist}(\xi,\eta) = \arccos\langle \xi,\eta \rangle$, and we write it as $K^d_t(\cdot)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}_t^d(\xi, \eta) = K_t^d(\operatorname{dist}(\xi, \eta)), \qquad \xi, \eta \in S^d.$$

In this paper we prove the following.

E-mail addresses: anowak@impan.pl (A. Nowak), peters@chalmers.se (P. Sjögren), szarek@impan.pl (T.Z. Szarek).

 $^{^{\}circ}$ The first and the third authors were supported by the National Science Centre of Poland within the research project OPUS 2017/27/B/ST1/01623. The third author was supported also by the Foundation for Polish Science via the START Scholarship.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Theorem 1. Let $d \ge 1$ and T > 0 be fixed. For all $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < t \le T$

$$\frac{c}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(d-1)/2}\,t^{d/2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\varphi^2}{4t}\right) \leq K_t^d(\varphi) \leq \frac{C}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(d-1)/2}\,t^{d/2}}\exp\left(-\frac{\varphi^2}{4t}\right)$$

holds with some constants c, C > 0 depending only on d and T.

Analogous sharp bounds of $K_t^d(\varphi)$ for large t are well known; one has

$$c \le K_t^d(\varphi) \le C, \qquad \varphi \in [0, \pi], \quad t \ge T,$$

for any fixed T > 0. This is also a consequence of our estimates for small t together with the semigroup property.

Theorem 1 leads to sharp bounds for the derivative $\partial_{\varphi} K_t^d(\varphi)$. We have the following result which, in particular, confirms the intuitively obvious fact that K_t^d is strictly decreasing in $[0, \pi]$.

Corollary 2. Let $d \ge 1$ and T > 0 be fixed. There exist constants c, C > 0 depending only on d and T such that for $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$ and $0 < t \le T$

$$\frac{c\,\varphi(\pi-\varphi)}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(d+1)/2}\,t^{d/2+1}}\exp\left(-\frac{\varphi^2}{4t}\right) \leq -\partial_\varphi K_t^d(\varphi) \leq \frac{C\,\varphi(\pi-\varphi)}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(d+1)/2}\,t^{d/2+1}}\exp\left(-\frac{\varphi^2}{4t}\right),$$

while for $t \geq T$

$$c e^{-td} \varphi(\pi - \varphi) \le -\partial_{\varphi} K_t^d(\varphi) \le C e^{-td} \varphi(\pi - \varphi).$$

The spherical heat kernel is an important object in analysis, probability and physics, among other fields. It is the integral kernel of the spherical heat semigroup and thus provides solutions to the heat equation based on the Laplace–Beltrami operator on S^d . It is also a transition probability density of the spherical Brownian motion. Clearly, these two facts lead to physical significance and applications.

Surprisingly enough, up to our best knowledge an exact global description of the decisive exponential behavior of $K_t^d(\varphi)$ for small t has not been established before, except for the simple case d=1 in which the kernel is just a periodization of the Gauss–Weierstrass kernel. The main reason and obstacle seems to be the geometry of the sphere that has to be taken into account, but technically is not easy to handle. Indeed, intuitively it is clear that the behavior of $K_t^d(\varphi)$ is very different for small φ , where the sphere resembles \mathbb{R}^d , and close to the antipodal point $\varphi=\pi$, to which, roughly speaking, the heat can flow along many geodesic lines.

The most precise global bounds for $K^d_t(\varphi)$ known so far are only qualitatively sharp. By this we mean that the number 4 in the exponential factors in Theorem 1 is replaced by some smaller and larger numbers in the lower and upper bounds, respectively; see e.g. Theorems 5.5.6 and 5.6.1 in [6]. A sharp estimate for the antipodal point $K^d_t(\pi)$ was found by Molchanov, see [10, Example 3.1]. For dimensions d=2,3 some partial results in the spirit of Theorem 1, in particular the upper bound, were obtained by Andersson [1]. In this context it is perhaps interesting to note that Nagase [11, Theorem 1.1] found a very precise description of the asymptotic behavior of $K^d_t(\varphi)$ as $t\to 0$, for small values of φ .

In contrast to qualitatively sharp estimates, genuinely sharp heat kernel bounds are usually much harder to prove and appear rarely in the literature; heat kernel estimates on the hyperbolic space [7] is one of these sparse instances. The example of S^d shows that this is a difficult problem even for basic and regular Riemannian manifolds. In this connection, it is perhaps worth mentioning the recent papers [3,4,8,9] where such results were obtained for Dirichlet heat kernels related to Bessel operators in half-lines, the Dirichlet

heat kernel in Euclidean balls of arbitrary dimension, and the Fourier–Bessel heat kernel on the interval (0,1). This was achieved by a clever combination of probabilistic and analytic methods.

An interesting aspect of Theorem 1 is its relation with sharp estimates for the ultraspherical, or more generally, the Jacobi heat kernel $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$; see e.g. [12]. Qualitatively sharp estimates for the Jacobi heat kernel were obtained independently in [5] and [12]. Combining our Theorem 1 with the reduction formula derived in [12], one can prove genuinely sharp bounds for $G_t^{\alpha,\beta}(x,y)$, assuming that $\alpha,\beta \geq -1/2$ and $\alpha+\beta$ is a dyadic number. This leads to the natural conjecture that [12, Theorem A] holds with $c_1 = c_2 = 1/4$, that is exactly the same constants in the exponential factors as in Theorem 1, and this for all $\alpha,\beta > -1$.

2. Outline of the proof

The spherical heat kernel $\mathcal{K}_t^d(\xi, \eta)$ and the associated kernel $\mathcal{K}_t^d(\varphi)$ can be expressed explicitly as series involving spherical harmonics or ultraspherical polynomials, respectively. But these series oscillate heavily and in general cannot be computed, so they are of no use for our purposes. Thus our approach is less direct.

In the first step, we prove Theorem 1 for odd dimensions $d = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$ This is done by exploiting in an elementary, though technically involved, way the recurrence relation

$$K_t^{d+2}(\varphi) = -\frac{e^{td}}{2\pi} (\sin \varphi)^{-1} \partial_{\varphi} K_t^d(\varphi), \qquad d \ge 1, \tag{1}$$

together with the well-known fact that $K_t^1(\varphi)$ is given by a simple positive series

$$K_t^1(\varphi) = \vartheta_t(\varphi) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_t(\varphi + 2\pi n).$$
 (2)

Here W_t is the one-dimensional Gauss–Weierstrass kernel

$$W_t(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-x^2/(4t)}.$$

It is worth mentioning that $\vartheta_t(\varphi)$ can be expressed in terms of θ_3 , one of the celebrated Jacobi theta functions. Notice that (1) readily implies Corollary 2 once Theorem 1 is proved.

The formula (1) is a special case of a more general relation satisfied by the ultraspherical heat kernel

$$\partial_x G_t^{\alpha,\alpha}(x,1) = 2(\alpha+1)e^{-t(2\alpha+2)}G_t^{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}(x,1), \qquad \alpha > -1,$$
(3)

since

$$K_t^d(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{d-1}(S^{d-1})} G_t^{d/2 - 1, d/2 - 1}(\cos \varphi, 1), \qquad d \ge 1, \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma_0(S^0) = 2$ in case d = 1. The identity (3) follows by a straightforward differentiation of the series expressing $G_t^{\alpha,\alpha}(x,1)$ in terms of ultraspherical polynomials. Both (3) and (1) can be found e.g. in [2, (2.7.13)] and [2, (2.7.15)], respectively, but they were no doubt known earlier, at least as folklore.

In the second step we use the result for odd dimensions to cover all even dimensions $d = 2, 4, 6, \ldots$ This is performed by employing a reduction formula for the Jacobi heat kernel [12, Theorem 3.1] that in our situation implies via (4)

$$K_t^d(\varphi) = c_d \int_{-1}^1 K_{t/4}^{2d-1} \left(\arccos\left(v\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right) \right) (1 - v^2)^{(d-3)/2} dv, \qquad d \ge 2,$$
 (5)

with $c_d = 2^{-d+1}\pi^{(d-1)/2}/\Gamma((d-1)/2)$. It is worth noting that this step of the proof can be generalized to deliver an analogue of Theorem 1 in the Jacobi setting, as mentioned in Section 1.

Summing up, we split the proof of Theorem 1 into the following two results.

Theorem 2.1. Given N > 0, the estimate of Theorem 1 holds for d = 2N + 1.

Theorem 2.2. Let $N \ge 2$. If the estimate of Theorem 1 holds in dimension d = 2N - 1, then it also holds in dimension d = N.

The proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are given in Sections 4 and 5. In both cases it is enough to show the result for some T, possibly very small, and one can restrict φ to $(0,\pi)$, since $K_t^d(\varphi)$ is continuous on the closed interval $[0,\pi]$. Some preparatory results needed to prove Theorem 2.1 are contained in Section 3.

Notation. In what follows we denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ the set of natural numbers. We write $x \wedge y$ for the minimum of x and y. Further, we will frequently use the notation $X \lesssim Y$ to indicate that $X \leq CY$ with a positive constant C independent of significant quantities. We shall write $X \simeq Y$ when simultaneously $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$.

3. Technical preparation

Define differential operators

$$D = \frac{1}{\sin z} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}, \qquad L = \frac{1}{z} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}.$$

Observe that L preserves the space of even, entire functions. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. When writing D, we will often need to specify the variable, and for instance the notation $D_z^N(F(vz))$ will mean that the operator D^N is applied to the function $z \mapsto F(vz)$. On the other hand, we write $L^NF(vx)$ for L^NF evaluated at vx. Denote $E = \pi \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let F be an even, entire function. Then for $N \ge 1$ and v > 0

$$D_z^N(F(vz)) = \sum_{j=1}^N v^{2j} L^j F(vz) \Phi_{N,j}(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus E.$$
 (6)

Here the functions $\Phi_{N,j}$, $j=1,\ldots,N$, are even and meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with poles only at the points of E, and these poles are of order at most 2N-j. Moreover, $\Phi_{N,N}(z)=(z/\sin z)^N$.

Proof. When N=1, (6) is obvious, since

$$\frac{1}{\sin z} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} (F(vz)) = v^2 \frac{F'(vz)}{vz} \frac{z}{\sin z}.$$

For the induction step from N to N+1, we apply D_z to term number j in (6) and use Leibniz' rule and the case N=1 to evaluate $D_z(L^jF(vz))$. The result is

$$D_z(v^{2j}L^jF(vz)\Phi_{N,j}(z)) = v^{2j+2}L^{j+1}F(vz)\frac{z}{\sin z}\Phi_{N,j}(z) + v^{2j}L^jF(vz)\frac{1}{\sin z}\Phi'_{N,j}(z).$$
(7)

Since $\Phi_{N,j}$ is even and analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$, so is the function $z \mapsto (\sin z)^{-1} \Phi'_{N,j}(z)$ appearing here, and its poles are of order at most 2+2N-j=2(N+1)-j. This means that the second term on the right-hand side

of (7) fits in the sum in (6) with N replaced by N+1. The same is true for the first term on the right-hand side of (7), since $z \mapsto z(\sin z)^{-1}\Phi_{N,j}(z)$ has poles of order at most 1+2N-j=2(N+1)-(j+1) in E. This completes the induction step, because it is easy to verify, also by induction, that $\Phi_{N,N}(z)=(z/\sin z)^N$. Lemma 3.1 is proved. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let $j \geq 1$ and $M_0 > 0$ be fixed. Then

- (a) $L^{j}(\cosh)(z) \simeq 1$ uniformly in $z \in (0, M_{0}]$ and
- (b) $|L^j(\cosh)(z)| \lesssim e^z$ for z > 0.

Proof. Since $\cosh z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k}$ with $a_k > 0$, we see that $L^j(\cosh)(z)$ will be of the same form, with coefficients $a_{k,j} > 0$. Thus $L^j(\cosh)(z) \ge a_{0,j} > 0$, z > 0, and this implies item (a).

To show (b), we may assume that $z \ge 1$ because of (a). By induction $L^j(\cosh)(z)$ can be seen to be a finite linear combination of terms $z^{-m} \sinh z$ and $z^{-m} \cosh z$ with $m \ge 1$. So $|L^j(\cosh)(z)| \le e^z$ for $z \ge 1$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then, for any $M_0 > 0$ there exists $v_0 > 0$ such that

$$D_z^k(\cosh(vz)) \simeq v^{2k},$$

uniformly in $z \in (0, \pi/2]$ and $v \geq v_0$ satisfying $vz \leq M_0$.

Proof. The case k=0 is trivial, so we consider $k \ge 1$. Using Lemma 3.1 with $F=\cosh$, we see that for any fixed $k \ge 1$

$$D_z^k(\cosh(vz)) = \sum_{j=1}^k v^{2j} L^j(\cosh)(vz) \Phi_{k,j}(z), \tag{8}$$

and

$$\Phi_{k,k}(z) \simeq (\pi - z)^{-k}, \qquad |\Phi_{k,j}(z)| \lesssim (\pi - z)^{-2k+j}, \qquad z \in (0,\pi)$$

for $1 \le j < k$. Combining this with Lemma 3.2 (a), we see that the kth term of the sum in (8) dominates if v is large enough. This implies the lemma. \square

We will also need the following modification of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then

$$\left|D_z^k\big(\cosh(vz)\big)\right|\lesssim e^{vz}v^{2k}(\pi-z)^{-2k}, \qquad v\geq 1, \quad z\in (0,\pi).$$

Proof. The case k=0 is trivial, so let $k\geq 1$. Using (8), (9) and Lemma 3.2 (b) we infer that

$$|D_z^k(\cosh(vz))| \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^k v^{2j} e^{vz} (\pi - z)^{-2k+j} \lesssim e^{vz} v^{2k} (\pi - z)^{-2k},$$

uniformly in $v \geq 1$ and $z \in (0, \pi)$, as desired. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, it is enough to show the following, see (1) and (2).

Theorem 4.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(-D)^N \vartheta_t(\varphi) \simeq W_t(\varphi)(t+\pi-\varphi)^{-N} t^{-N}, \qquad \varphi \in (0,\pi), \quad 0 < t \le t_0.$$

This result is a straightforward consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. There exist $M_0, t_0 > 0$ and constants c, C > 0 such that

$$cW_t(\varphi)(\pi-\varphi)^{-N}t^{-N} \le (-D)^N\vartheta_t(\varphi) \le CW_t(\varphi)(\pi-\varphi)^{-N}t^{-N}$$

holds for $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_0$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. For any $M_0 > 0$ there exist $t_0 > 0$ and constants c, C > 0 such that

$$cW_t(\varphi)t^{-2N} \le (-D)^N \vartheta_t(\varphi) \le CW_t(\varphi)t^{-2N}$$

holds for $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \le M_0$.

The proofs of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 will be given in Sections 4.3 and 4.4. First, however, we need two crucial intermediate results, Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 below.

Lemma 4.4. The assertion of Lemma 4.2 is true if ϑ_t is replaced by W_t , and this with $t_0 = \infty$.

Observe that if Lemma 4.2 (hence also Lemma 4.4) holds with some $M_0 > 0$, then it also holds with any larger M_0 and the same t_0, c, C . This will be frequently used in the sequel without further mention. Furthermore, we have the following straightforward consequence of Lemma 4.4, which will be needed in the proof of Lemma 4.6.

Corollary 4.5. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. There exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(-D)^N W_t(\psi) \simeq W_t(\psi) t^{-N}, \qquad \psi \in (0, \pi/2], \quad 0 < t \le t_0.$$

Lemma 4.6. The assertion of Lemma 4.3 is true if ϑ_t is replaced by $W_t + W_t(\cdot - 2\pi)$.

Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 are proved in Sections 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.

4.1. Proof of Lemma 4.4

For N=0 there is nothing to prove, so let $N \ge 1$. Applying Lemma 3.1 with $F=W_1$ and $v=t^{-1/2}$ and using the identity $L^jW_1=(-1)^j2^{-j}W_1$, we get

$$(-D)^{N}W_{t}(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{N+j}}{2^{j}} t^{-j} W_{t}(\varphi) \Phi_{N,j}(\varphi). \tag{10}$$

Since $\Phi_{N,N}(\varphi) \simeq (\pi - \varphi)^{-N}$, $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$, term number N in the above sum is comparable to $W_t(\varphi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N}t^{-N}$ for $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and t > 0. Using the bounds $|\Phi_{N,j}(\varphi)| \lesssim (\pi - \varphi)^{-2N+j}$, $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ for $1 \le j < N$, we see that the remaining terms are controlled by

$$W_t(\varphi) \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t^{-j} (\pi - \varphi)^{-2N+j} = W_t(\varphi) (\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{t}{\pi - \varphi} \right)^{N-j},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and t > 0. Choosing M_0 large enough, we can make term number N dominate, and Lemma 4.4 follows. \square

4.2. Proof of Lemma 4.6

For N=0 the conclusion of Lemma 4.6 is straightforward, so assume $N\geq 1$. Letting $\psi=\pi-\varphi$, we have $D_{\psi}=-D_{\varphi}$ and

$$W_t(\varphi) + W_t(\varphi - 2\pi) = W_t(\pi - \psi) + W_t(\pi + \psi) = 2e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi)\cosh\frac{\pi\psi}{2t}.$$

Thus

$$(-D)^{N} \left[W_{t}(\varphi) + W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi) \right] = 2e^{-\pi^{2}/(4t)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} {N \choose k} D^{N-k} W_{t}(\psi) D_{\psi}^{k} \left(\cosh \frac{\pi \psi}{2t} \right). \tag{11}$$

Here $\psi/t \le M_0$, and by choosing t_0 small we can assume that $0 < \psi \le \pi/2$. Then Corollary 4.5 implies that for some $t_0 > 0$ and all $0 \le k < N$

$$|D^{N-k}W_t(\psi)| \simeq W_t(\psi)t^{-(N-k)}, \qquad \psi \in (0, \pi/2], \quad 0 < t \le t_0.$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 (taken with $\pi M_0/2$ instead of M_0 and $v = \pi/(2t)$) and making t_0 smaller if necessary, we get

$$D_{\psi}^{k}\left(\cosh\frac{\pi\psi}{2t}\right)\simeq t^{-2k}, \qquad 0\leq k\leq N,$$

uniformly in $\psi \in (0, \pi/2]$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $\psi/t \le M_0$.

The term with k = N in the sum in (11) is

$$2e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi)D_{\psi}^N\left(\cosh\frac{\pi\psi}{2t}\right) \simeq e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi)t^{-2N}.$$

The terms with k < N in this sum can be made much smaller as t approaches to 0, since they are controlled by $e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi)t^{-N-k}$. It follows that for sufficiently small $t_0 > 0$

$$(-D)^N [W_t(\varphi) + W_t(\varphi - 2\pi)] \simeq e^{-\pi^2/(4t)} W_t(\psi) t^{-2N},$$

uniformly in $\psi \in (0, \pi/2]$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $\psi/t \le M_0$. Finally, using the relation $e^{-\pi^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi) \simeq W_t(\varphi)$ for $\psi/t \le M_0$, we conclude the proof. \square

4.3. Proof of Lemma 4.2

The case N=0 is straightforward, so assume that $N\geq 1$. We will show that there exists an $M_1\geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| D^{N} \left[W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi n) + W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi n) \right] \right| \lesssim W_{t}(\varphi) (\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N} \left(e^{-\pi^{2}/(4t)} + e^{-\pi(\pi - \varphi)/t} \right), \tag{12}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_1$.

First observe that

$$W_t(\varphi - 2\pi n) + W_t(\varphi + 2\pi n) = 2e^{-\pi^2 n^2/t} W_t(\varphi) \cosh \frac{\pi n \varphi}{t}, \qquad \varphi \in (0, \pi), \quad t > 0, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Consequently, using Leibniz' rule for D we obtain

$$D^{N}\left[W_{t}(\varphi-2\pi n)+W_{t}(\varphi+2\pi n)\right]=2e^{-\pi^{2}n^{2}/t}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\binom{N}{k}D^{N-k}W_{t}(\varphi)D_{\varphi}^{k}\left(\cosh\frac{\pi n\varphi}{t}\right).$$

Now using Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 3.4 (with $v = \pi n/t$) we infer that there exists $M_1 \ge 1$ such that

$$\left| D^{N} \left[W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi n) + W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi n) \right] \right|$$

$$\lesssim e^{-\pi^{2} n^{2}/t} \sum_{k=0}^{N} W_{t}(\varphi) (\pi - \varphi)^{-(N-k)} t^{-(N-k)} e^{\pi n \varphi/t} \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{2k} (\pi - \varphi)^{-2k}$$

$$\lesssim e^{-\pi^{2} n^{2}/t + \pi n \varphi/t} W_{t}(\varphi) \left(\frac{t}{\pi - \varphi} \right)^{2N} \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{4N} \lesssim e^{-\pi^{2} n^{2}/t + \pi n \varphi/t} W_{t}(\varphi) \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{4N}$$
(13)

holds uniformly in $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$, $0 < t \le 1$ and $n \ge 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_1$. Summing over $n \ge 2$, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left| D^N \left[W_t(\varphi - 2\pi n) + W_t(\varphi + 2\pi n) \right] \right|$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n(n-1)/t} W_t(\varphi) \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{4N} \lesssim W_t(\varphi) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n/(2t)} \lesssim W_t(\varphi) (\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N} e^{-\pi^2/t},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_1$.

We now focus on the term with n=1 in (12). Using (13) we see that

$$|D^{N}[W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi) + W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi)]| \lesssim e^{-\pi^{2}/(2t)}W_{t}(\varphi)t^{-4N} \lesssim e^{-\pi^{2}/(4t)}W_{t}(\varphi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N}t^{-N},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0, \pi/2]$ and $0 < t \le 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_1$. Therefore, in order to prove (12) it is enough to show that

$$|D^{N}[W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi) + W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi)]| \le W_{t}(\varphi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N} e^{-\pi(\pi - \varphi)/t}, \tag{14}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge 1$.

Using (10) and the estimates

$$|\Phi_{N,j}(\varphi \pm 2\pi)| \lesssim (\pi - \varphi)^{-2N+j}, \qquad \varphi \in (\pi/2, \pi), \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$

which follow from Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$|D^N W_t(\varphi \pm 2\pi)| \lesssim W_t(\varphi \pm 2\pi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ and t > 0 satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge 1$. Combining this with the relations

$$W_t(\varphi + 2\pi) \le W_t(\varphi - 2\pi) = W_t(\varphi)e^{-\pi(\pi - \varphi)/t}, \qquad \varphi \in (0, \pi), \quad t > 0,$$

we get (14) and hence also (12).

Next, an application of Lemma 4.4 shows that there exists $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$(-D)^{N}W_{t}(\varphi) \simeq W_{t}(\varphi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N}t^{-N},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$ and t > 0 satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_2$. Finally, combining this with (12) and the fact that $e^{-\pi^2/(4t)} + e^{-\pi(\pi-\varphi)/t} \to 0$ as $t \to 0^+$ and $(\pi - \varphi)/t \to \infty$, we see that we can find $0 < t_0 \le 1$ and $M_0 \ge M_1 + M_2$ such that

$$(-D)^N \vartheta_t(\varphi) \simeq W_t(\varphi)(\pi - \varphi)^{-N} t^{-N}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \ge M_0$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.2. \square

4.4. Proof of Lemma 4.3

Notice that for N=0 Lemma 4.3 follows in a straightforward way. Therefore we assume $N \ge 1$. We first prove that for any $M_0 > 0$ there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| (-D)^{N} \left[W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi n) + W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi (n+1)) \right] \right| \lesssim W_{t}(\varphi) t^{-2N} e^{-\pi^{2}/(4t)}, \tag{15}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in [\pi/2, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \le M_0$.

Almost as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, we let $\psi = \pi - \varphi \in (0, \pi/2]$ and get

$$W_t(\varphi + 2\pi n) + W_t(\varphi - 2\pi(n+1)) = W_t((2n+1)\pi - \psi) + W_t((2n+1)\pi + \psi)$$
$$= 2e^{-\pi^2(2n+1)^2/(4t)}W_t(\psi)\cosh\frac{\pi(2n+1)\psi}{2t}$$

for t > 0 and $n \ge 1$. Using Leibniz' rule for D, we get

$$(-D)^{N} \left[W_{t}(\varphi + 2\pi n) + W_{t}(\varphi - 2\pi (n+1)) \right]$$

$$= 2e^{-\pi^{2}(2n+1)^{2}/(4t)} \sum_{k=0}^{N} {N \choose k} D^{N-k} W_{t}(\psi) D_{\psi}^{k} \left(\cosh \frac{\pi (2n+1)\psi}{2t} \right).$$

In view of Corollary 4.5, there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$|D^{N-k}W_t(\psi)| \lesssim W_t(\psi)t^{-(N-k)}, \quad \psi \in (0, \pi/2], \quad 0 < t \le t_1, \quad 0 \le k \le N.$$

Further, using the relation

$$W_t(\psi) \simeq W_t(\varphi) e^{\pi^2/(4t)}, \qquad \psi \in (0, \pi/2], \quad t > 0, \quad \psi/t \le M_0,$$

and Lemma 3.4 (with $v = \pi(2n+1)/(2t)$), we arrive at

$$\begin{split} & \left| (-D)^N \left[W_t(\varphi + 2\pi n) + W_t \left(\varphi - 2\pi (n+1) \right) \right] \right| \\ & \lesssim e^{-\pi^2 (2n+1)^2/(4t)} \sum_{k=0}^N W_t(\varphi) e^{\pi^2/(4t)} t^{-(N-k)} e^{\pi (2n+1)\psi/(2t)} \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{2k} \\ & \lesssim e^{-\pi^2 (2n+1)^2/(4t) + \pi^2/(4t) + \pi^2 (2n+1)/(2t)} W_t(\varphi) \left(\frac{n}{t} \right)^{2N}, \end{split}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in [\pi/2, \pi)$, $0 < t \le t_1$ and $n \ge 1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \le M_0$. Since $(2n+1)^2 - 1 - 2(2n+1) = 4n^2 - 2 \ge 2n$, $n \ge 1$, we see that the left-hand side of (15) is controlled by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n/(2t)} W_t(\varphi) \Big(\frac{n}{t}\Big)^{2N} \lesssim W_t(\varphi) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^2 n/(4t)} \lesssim W_t(\varphi) t^{-2N} e^{-\pi^2/(4t)},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in [\pi/2, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_1$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \le M_0$. This shows (15).

Finally, combining (15) with Lemma 4.6 and using the fact that $e^{-\pi^2/(4t)} \to 0$ as $t \to 0^+$ we may choose $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(-D)^N \vartheta_t(\varphi) \simeq W_t(\varphi) t^{-2N}$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in [\pi/2, \pi)$ and $0 < t \le t_0$ satisfying $(\pi - \varphi)/t \le M_0$. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 2.2

The proof reduces to a thorough analysis of a one-dimensional integral. Changing the variable of integration in (5) and using a basic trigonometric identity leads to the formula

$$K_t^d(\varphi) = \frac{\tilde{c}_d}{(\cos\frac{\varphi}{2})^{d-2}} \int_{|\varphi|/2}^{\pi-\varphi/2} K_{t/4}^{2d-1}(\psi) (\cos\varphi - \cos 2\psi)^{(d-3)/2} \sin\psi \, d\psi, \qquad d \ge 2,$$

with $\tilde{c}_d = 2^{-(d-3)/2} c_d$; here c_d is as in (5). Therefore, taking into account Theorem 2.1, in order to prove Theorem 2.2 it is enough to show that for any $N \ge 2$

$$\frac{1}{(\cos\frac{\varphi}{2})^{N-2}} \int_{\varphi/2}^{\pi-\varphi/2} \frac{1}{(t+\pi-\psi)^{N-1} t^{N-1/2}} e^{-\psi^2/t} (\cos\varphi - \cos 2\psi)^{(N-3)/2} \sin\psi \, d\psi$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(N-1)/2} t^{N/2}} e^{-\varphi^2/(4t)},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le T$ for some fixed T > 0, say T = 1. Moreover, here we can replace the upper limit of integration by $\pi/2$, since the essential contribution in the last integral comes from integrating over the first half of the interval, that is $(\varphi/2, \pi/2)$. This is seen by reflecting $\psi \mapsto \pi - \psi$ and then using the simple bound

$$\frac{1}{(t+\psi)^{N-1}}e^{-\pi(\pi-2\psi)/t} \lesssim 1, \qquad \psi \in (0,\pi/2), \quad 0 < t \le 1.$$

Changing now the variable of integration $\psi = \gamma + \varphi/2$ and then replacing the difference of cosines by a product of sines, we see that it is enough to prove that

$$\int_{0}^{(\pi-\varphi)/2} \frac{1}{(t+\pi-\gamma-\frac{\varphi}{2})^{N-1} t^{N-1/2}} e^{-(\gamma+\varphi/2)^{2}/t} \left[\sin(\gamma+\varphi)\sin\gamma\right]^{(N-3)/2} \sin\left(\gamma+\frac{\varphi}{2}\right) d\gamma$$

$$\simeq \left(\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)^{N-2} \frac{1}{(t+\pi-\varphi)^{(N-1)/2} t^{N/2}} e^{-\varphi^{2}/(4t)},$$

uniformly in $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$ and $0 < t \le 1$. Since for such φ , t and $\gamma \in (0,(\pi-\varphi)/2)$ one has $\cos(\varphi/2) \simeq \pi - \varphi$, $t + \pi - \gamma - \varphi/2 \simeq 1$, $\sin(\gamma + \varphi) \simeq (\pi - \varphi)(\gamma + \varphi)$, $\sin \gamma \simeq \gamma$, $\sin(\gamma + \varphi/2) \simeq \gamma + \varphi$, this task reduces to proving that

$$\int_{0}^{(\pi-\varphi)/2} \left[\gamma(\gamma+\varphi) \right]^{(N-3)/2} e^{-\gamma(\gamma+\varphi)/t} (\gamma+\varphi) \, d\gamma \simeq \left[t \wedge (\pi-\varphi) \right]^{(N-1)/2},$$

uniformly in the φ and t in question.

Denoting the last integral by I and changing the variable $\gamma(\gamma + \varphi)/t = s$ we get

$$I \simeq t^{(N-1)/2} \int_{0}^{\frac{(\pi-\varphi)(\pi+\varphi)}{4t}} s^{(N-3)/2} e^{-s} ds$$

$$\simeq t^{(N-1)/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\pi-\varphi)(\pi+\varphi)}{4t} \right)^{(N-1)/2} \simeq \left[t \wedge (\pi-\varphi) \right]^{(N-1)/2},$$

as desired. Theorem 2.2 follows.

References

- [1] D. Andersson, Estimates of the Spherical and Ultraspherical Heat Kernel, Master of Science thesis, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, 2013, pp. 1–36.
- [2] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, M. Ledoux, Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 348, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [3] K. Bogus, J. Małecki, Sharp estimates of transition probability density for Bessel process in half-line, Potential Anal. 43 (2015) 1–22.
- [4] K. Bogus, J. Małecki, Heat kernel estimates for the Bessel differential operator in half-line, Math. Nachr. 289 (2016) 2097 - 2107.
- [5] T. Coulhon, G. Kerkyacharian, P. Petrushev, Heat kernel generated frames in the setting of Dirichlet spaces, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012) 995-1066.
- [6] E.B. Davies, Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory, Camb. Tracts Math., vol. 92, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
- [7] E.B. Davies, N. Mandouvalos, Heat kernel bounds on hyperbolic space and Kleinian groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 57 (1988) 182-208.
- [8] J. Małecki, G. Serafin, Dirichlet heat kernel for the Laplacian in a ball, preprint, arXiv:1612.01833, 2017.
- [9] J. Małecki, G. Serafin, T. Zorawik, Fourier-Bessel heat kernel estimates, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 439 (2016) 91–102.
- [10] S.A. Molchanov, Diffusion processes and Riemannian geometry, Russ. Math. Surv. 30 (1975) 1–63 (Engl. transl.).
- M. Nagase, Expressions of the heat kernels on spheres by elementary functions and their recurrence relations, Saitama Math. J. 27 (2010) 25-34.
- [12] A. Nowak, P. Sjögren, Sharp estimates of the Jacobi heat kernel, Stud. Math. 218 (2013) 219-244.