Adaptive Backstepping-based H8 Robust controller for Photovoltaic Grid-connected Inverter

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2020-06-15 08:18 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):
Yang, T., Cai, Z., Xun, Q. (2020)
Adaptive Backstepping-based H8 Robust controller for Photovoltaic Grid-connected Inverter
IEEE Access, 8: 17263-17272
http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2962280

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

©2020 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.
Adaptive Backstepping-Based $H_\infty$ Robust Controller for Photovoltaic Grid-Connected Inverter

TONGGUANG YANG$^{11}$, ZHENHUA CAI$^{11}$, AND QIAN XUN$^{22}$

$^1$College of Mechanic and Electrical Engineering, Hunan City University, Yiyang 413000, China
$^2$Department of Electrical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41279 Göteborg, Sweden

Corresponding authors: Tongguang Yang (yangtongguang1@163.com) and Qian Xun (qian.xun@chalmers.se)

This work was supported in part by the National Nature Science Foundation for China under Grant 61321003 and Grant 61290325, in part by the Zhejiang Natural Science Foundation under Grant LY19F030002, and in part by the Huzhou Public Welfare Application Research Project under Grant 2019GZ02.

ABSTRACT To improve the robustness and stability of the photovoltaic grid-connected inverter system, a nonlinear backstepping-based $H_\infty$ controller is proposed. A generic dynamical model of grid-connected inverters is built with the consideration of uncertain parameters and external disturbances that cannot be accurately measured. According to this, the backstepping $H_\infty$ controller is designed by combining techniques of adaptive backstepping control and $L_2$-gain robust control. The Lyapunov function is used to design the backstepping controller, and the dissipative inequality is recursively designed. The storage functions of the DC capacitor voltage and grid current are constructed, respectively, and the nonlinear $H_\infty$ controller and the parameter update law are obtained. Experimental results show that the proposed controller has the advantage of strong robustness to parameter variations and external disturbances. The proposed controller can also accurately track the references to meet the requirements of high-performance control of grid-connected inverters.

INDEX TERMS Robustness, grid-connected inverter, $H_\infty$ controller, adaptive backstepping control, $L_2$-gain robust control.

I. INTRODUCTION

The renewable energy sources, such as wind turbines, solar cells, and fuel cells, have been significantly increased attention over decades due to the technical and environmental benefits [1]. There are several different ways to reuse these renewable energy sources, and among them, solar photovoltaic (PV) system has been receiving a great deal of interest from the researchers due to the intrinsic advantages, such as no fuel cost, no pollution and widely distributed throughout the world. Grid-connected voltage source inverters (VSIs), as an interface to connect the PV system and the utility grid, play an essential role to transfer power to the grid [2]. During the power transmission process, the power quality would be affected by the high-frequency power electronics and nonlinear characteristics, and the current harmonics will be also deduced. Then, the harmonics and unbalanced negative sequence components will result in the active power fluctuation and the output current distortion. Therefore, the robustness and stability of the grid should also be considered to improve system performance [3].

To improve the dynamic response and the system robustness, several control strategies have been proposed based on the mathematical model. Among them, researchers are mainly focused on the classical PI control [3]–[5], PR control [2], predictive control [6]–[8], sliding mode control [9], [35], deadbeat control [10], [11], repetitive control [12] and feedback linearization control [13]–[15] etc. The approximated linearized model is used in these methods without consideration of the nonlinear features; however, the control performance will be reduced due to parameters perturbation and some other disturbances. To avoid the accurate mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter, fuzzy logic control [16] and neural network control [17] are introduced, but their high computational cost would lead to poor real-time performance. Also, some hybrid
methods are proposed in [18], [19]. However, the identification and compensation strategies are needed, making the control system complicated, and the computational cost is also increased. Furthermore, the uncertainty upper bound of the system is difficult to implement in the filed application.

Robust control, with high stability and robustness, has been successfully applied in a variety of high-performance applications, such as inverter control [20]-[27], rectifier control [28], [29] and motor control [30]-[32] etc. In [20]-[32], the model inaccuracy, the perturbation, and parameters tolerance are considered. By selecting the proper weight functions, the $H_{\infty}$ controller is designed to solve Riccati equation [23]-[25], [28], [30]. However, solving the Riccati equation is restricted by the system model, making it complicated to implement in real applications. Due to that the perturbation is distributed in the system, the LMI method [22], [26], [31] is usually conservative. The structured singular value ($\mu$) based controller is proposed to improve the system performance, and the robust level and perturbations are expressed by the uncertainties in $\mu$ framework [21], [27], [29]-[30]. However, conventional solutions to solve $\mu$ synthesis problem, such as D-K iteration method, usually lead to a high order system, and the complexity of the system is also increased [36]. Even though the better control performance can be obtained by these methods, but the weight functions are selected through the repeated trial and experience. Due to the nonlinear grid-connected inverter, the approximated linear mathematical model cannot preserve the real quality of the nonlinear system [33].

However, within the academic literature, nonlinear interference suppression strategies for a grid-connected inverter are rare and inconclusive, and the additional identified parameters are introduced in these control strategies. This paper aims to address this lacuna by proposing a nonlinear adaptive backstepping controller for a grid-connected inverter with the combination of robust control and the backstepping control. The parameters variation and the external disturbances are also considered. To reduce the $L_2$ gain of the closed-loop, the nonlinear $H_{\infty}$ controller is designed using the recursive dissipative inequality to construct the storage functions for each subsystem. Without designing the weight sensitivity function and solving the feedback transfer function, no additional part is required to identify the model uncertainties. To simplify the system control structure, the nonlinear backstepping robust controller is used, and the reliability and robustness to parameters perturbation and external disturbances are also improved.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section II, a nonlinear model for the grid-connected inverter is built with the consideration of parameters variation and external disturbances. In section III, the nonlinear backstepping robust $H_{\infty}$ controller is derived by applying backstepping control and $H_{\infty}$ control. The experimental results are analyzed in section IV, and finally, the conclusions are drawn in section V.

### II. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PHOTOVOLTAIC SYSTEM

The basic configuration of a three-phase grid-connected solar PV system is shown in Figure 1. PV represents the photovoltaic array, $C$ is the DC bus capacitor, and $I$ denotes the grid-connected inverter. $R$ and $L$ constitute the filter circuit, which is used to reduce the ripple components due to the switching actions in PWM schemes. $e_a$, $e_b$, and $e_c$ are three-phase grid voltage, respectively. The power switches work in the ideal state, and their rise time, fall time and dead time can be neglected.

In the synchronous rotating $dq$ coordinate system, the mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter can be expressed as [1], [2]

$$\frac{d i_d}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L} i_d + \omega i_q + \frac{u_d - e_d}{L}$$

(1)

$$\frac{d i_q}{dt} = -\frac{R}{L} i_q - \omega i_d + \frac{u_q - e_q}{L}$$

(2)

where, $i_d$, $i_q$ denote the $d$-component and $q$-component of the inverter current, $u_d$, $u_q$ express the $d$-component and $q$-component of the inverter voltage, respectively. $e_d$, $e_q$ are the $dq$-axis components of the grid voltage, respectively, and $\omega$ indicates the grid angular frequency. Then, the active power and reactive power of grid-connected inverter are represented as

$$P = 1.5 \left( u_d i_d + u_q i_q \right)$$

(3)

$$Q = 1.5 \left( u_d i_q - u_q i_d \right)$$

(4)

If the input power of the grid-connected inverter is $P_{in}$, the output power is $P_{out}$, and power conversion efficiency is $\eta$, according to the energy conservation law, one can get

$$P_{in} = U_{dc} \left( i_0 - C \frac{d U_{dc}}{dt} \right)$$

$$= \eta P_{out}$$

$$= 1.5 \eta \left( u_d i_d + u_q i_q \right)$$

(5)

Equation (5) can be written as

$$\frac{d U_{dc}}{dt} = \frac{1.5 \eta \left( u_d i_d + u_q i_q \right) + i_0}{C U_{dc}}$$

(6)

From (1), (2) and (6), there are four state variables, and they can be defined as: $x_1 = i_d$, $x_2 = i_q$, $x_3 = U_{dc}$.
The state-space equations of the grid-connected system can be obtained as follows:

\[ \dot{x}_1 = \frac{R}{L} x_1 + \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} \theta_1 + W_1 \]  
\[ \dot{x}_2 = \frac{R}{L} x_2 - \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} \theta_2 + W_2 \]  
\[ \dot{x}_3 = \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} + \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_2}{C x_3} + \frac{i_0}{C} + \theta_3 + W_3 \]

where \( \theta_1 = (\omega \Delta L x_2 + \Delta u_d - \Delta L x_1 - \Delta R x_1)/L \), \( \theta_2 = (-\omega \Delta L x_1 + \Delta u_d - \Delta L x_2 - \Delta R x_2)/L \), \( \theta_3 = (1.5 i_d \Delta u_d x_3 + 1.5 i_d \Delta u_d x_3 \Delta L x_1 - \Delta C x_3)/L \), indicate the nonlinear parts and the uncertainties due to the system parameters and grid voltage. \( W_1, W_2, W_3 \) are the external disturbances in the real application, which mainly includes voltage fluctuation and harmonics in power grid, they are the unknown functions in \( L_2 \) space.

### III. THE DESIGN OF NONLINEAR BACKSTEPPING H\(_\infty\) CONTROLLER

#### A. DESCRIPTION OF H\(_\infty\) CONTROL PROBLEM

The control target of the proposed controller is to accurately track the grid current and the DC capacitor voltage. The controller track errors can be defined as:

\[ e_3 = x_3^* - x_3 \]
\[ e_1 = x_1^* - x_1 \]
\[ e_2 = x_2^* - x_2 \]

where \( x_3^* \) is the reference of the DC capacitor voltage, \( x_1^* \), \( x_2^* \) are the reference of the dq-axis components of the inverter output current. Then the evaluation signal of the interference suppression can be defined as:

\[ Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \\ Z_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 e_1 \\ P_2 e_2 \\ P_3 e_3 \end{bmatrix} \]

where, quantities \( P_1, P_2 \) and \( P_3 \) are non-negative weight coefficients.

According to the above definition, the design problem of H\(_\infty\) controller can be described as follows: by obtaining feedback control law of the controlled object described in (7)-(9) and (13), the closed-loop system should satisfy:

1. When the disturbances are zero, the system is asymptotically stable for any initial state, that is \( \lim_{t \to \infty} e_1(t) = 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} e_2(t) = 0, \lim_{t \to \infty} e_3(t) = 0 \)

2. When the disturbances are not zero, the closed-loop system is immune to any disturbances. The subsequent dissipative inequality remains constant at any final time \( T > 0 \) by finding the state feedback variables \( U_1, U_2 \) and the positive storage function \( V(x) \). Then, the \( L_2 \) gain of the system is smaller than or equal to \( \gamma \), where \( \gamma \) is disturbance attenuation constant. This can be described as:

\[ V(x(t)) - V(x(0)) \leq \int_0^T \left( \gamma^2 \| W^2 \| - \| Z(t) \|^2 \right) dt \]

### B. DERIVATION OF CONTROL LAW

For the system (7)-(9) and (13), the supply rate \( \gamma \) can be defined as:

\[ S(W, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma^2 \| W^2 \| - \| Z \|^2 \right) \]

When the system is dissipative to the above supply rate, then there is a positive definite storage function \( V \) so that equation (16) is satisfied. Thereby, the dissipative is associated with the \( L_2 \) gain constraint, and if the system \( \gamma \) is dissipative, then the \( L_2 \) gain of the system is smaller than or equal to \( \gamma \).

\[ \dot{V} < S(W, Z) \]

The backstepping-based H\(_\infty\) controller is designed to force the system states to track desired reference command, and this is implemented by step-by-step procedures described as follows:

**Step 1:** For a subsystem, \( U_{dc} \) is assumed to be a virtual control function, and the storage function is structured as:

\[ V_1 = \frac{1}{2} e_3^2 \]

Equation (17) can be derived as:

\[ \dot{V}_1 = e_3 \dot{e}_3 = e_3 \left( \dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} - \frac{i_0}{C} - W_3 \right) \]

Define the function as:

\[ H_1 = \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_3 \|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \| W_3 \|^2 \right) \]

Thus, equation (19) can be denoted as:

\[ H_1 = \dot{V}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_3 \|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \| W_3 \|^2 \right) = e_3 \left( \dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} - \frac{i_0}{C} - \theta_3 - W_3 \right) \]

According to Cauchy inequality, one can get:

\[ H_1 = e_3 \left( \dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} - \frac{i_0}{C} - \theta_3 - W_3 \right) + \frac{p_3^2}{2} \| e_3 \|^2 - \frac{\gamma_3^2}{2} \| W_3 \|^2 \]

\[ \leq e_3 \left( \dot{x}_3^* - \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} - \frac{i_0}{C} - \theta_3 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \| \theta_3 \| \| W_3 \| \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \| \theta_3 \| \| W_3 \| - \frac{1}{2 \gamma_3} \| e_3 \|^2 \right)^2 - e_3 \left( -\dot{x}_3^* + \frac{1.5 \eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{i_0}{C} - \theta_3 - \frac{1}{2 \gamma_3} \| e_3 \|^2 - \frac{p_3^2}{2} \| e_3 \|^2 \]
Take \( x_1 \) is one virtual control variable, then
\[
x_1^* = \frac{C x_3}{1.5 \eta u d} \left[ x_3^* - \frac{L_0}{C} \hat{\theta}_3 + k_3 e_3 + \frac{e_3^2}{2} \right] \tag{22}
\]
where, \( \hat{\theta}_3 \) is the estimated value of \( \theta_3 \), by substituting (22) into (21), one can get
\[
H_1 \leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_3 \| W_3 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \| e_3 \| \right)^2 < 0 \tag{23}
\]

Step 2: It is noticed that \( x_2^* \) should be zero according to the vector control theory in the grid-connected inverter. Based on (7) and (8), the errors of \( dq \)-axis current can be expressed as
\[
\dot{e}_1 = \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L} x_1 - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - W_1 \tag{24}
\]
\[
\dot{e}_2 = \dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - W_2 \tag{25}
\]
where, \( \hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2 \) stand for the estimated value of \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \), \( \theta = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ \theta_3]^T \), \( \tilde{\theta} \) is the estimation error and \( \hat{\theta} = \theta - \tilde{\theta} \). To make the whole system satisfy the dissipative inequality, the second storage function can be constructed
\[
V_2 = V_1 + \frac{e_1^2}{2} + \frac{e_2^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2 \rho} \tilde{\theta}^2 \tag{26}
\]
where \( \rho \) is the adaptive gain factor, and it should satisfy the requirement of \( \rho > 0 \). Define the function \( H_2 \)
\[
H_2 = \dot{V}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z \|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \| W_2 \|^2 \right) \tag{27}
\]
By substituting (24)-(26) into (27), one can get
\[
H_2 = \dot{V}_1 + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z \|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \| W_2 \|^2 \right)
\]
\[
= e_3 \dot{e}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_1 \|^2 - \gamma_3^2 \| W_1 \|^2 \right) + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_2 \|^2 - \gamma_2^2 \| W_2 \|^2 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} - k_3 e_3^2 - e_3 \tilde{\theta}_3 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_3 \| W_3 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \| e_3 \| \right)^2
\]
\[
+ e_2 (\dot{x}_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} - \hat{\theta}_2 - W_2) - e_2 \tilde{\theta}_2
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_2 \|^2 - \gamma_2^2 \| W_2 \|^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ e_1 \left( \frac{1.5 \eta u d}{C x_3} e_{dc} + \dot{x}_1^* + \frac{R}{L} - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} - \hat{\theta}_1 - W_1 \right)
\]
\[
- e_1 \tilde{\theta}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \| Z_1 \|^2 - \gamma_1^2 \| W_1 \|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_3 \| W_3 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \| e_3 \| \right)^2
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_1 \| W_1 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \| e_1 \| \right)^2 - \tilde{\theta} \tilde{\theta} + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \tag{30}
\]
According to (28), if the appropriate control variables and identification parameters are selected to satisfy (32), the function \( H_2 < 0 \) is ensured to make the system stable. In (28), the first, second, and third parts are 0, feedback control law \( U_1, U_2 \), and the parameter update law can be designed as
\[
U_1 = -\frac{1.5 \eta u d}{C x_3} L_3 - \dot{L}_3 + \gamma \hat{\theta}_1 + \omega L x_2
\]
\[
- k_1 e_1 + L e_1^2 + L e_1^2 + L \hat{\theta}_1 \tag{29}
\]
\[
U_2 = -L \tilde{\theta} + \omega L x_1 - k_2 e_2
\]
\[
+ L e_2^2 + L e_2^2 + L \hat{\theta}_2 \tag{30}
\]
\[
\tilde{\theta} = \rho E \tag{31}
\]
By substituting (29)-(31) into (28), one can get
\[
H_2 \leq -k_3 e_3^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_3 \| W_3 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_3} \| e_3 \| \right)^2
\]
\[
- k_1 e_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_1 \| W_1 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_1} \| e_1 \| \right)^2
\]
\[
- k_1 e_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma_2 \| W_2 \| - \frac{1}{\gamma_2} \| e_2 \| \right)^2
\]
\[
< -k_3 e_3^2 - k_1 e_1^2 - k_1 e_2^2 < 0 \tag{32}
\]
where, \( k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0 \) and \( k_3 > 0 \). We can see that the nonlinear backstepping \( H_\infty \) robust controller of the grid-connected inverter system is stable.

C. PROOF OF STABILITY
Should further it be defined \( V(x) = 2V_2(x) \), then it follows readily
\[
\dot{V}(x) \leq \gamma^2 \| W \|^2 - \| z \|^2 \tag{33}
\]
Since \( V(x(0)) = 2V_2(x(0)) = 0 \), when \( x = 0 \). In turn, after integrating both sides of equation (33), they satisfy the dissipative inequality (14). Then, the system described in (7)~(9) has the same gain of \( L_2 \) from the disturbance to the output.
When the disturbance $W = 0$, the closed-loop error under the feedback control law is asymptotically stable [37].

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{e}_1 &= x_1^* + \frac{R}{L} x_1 - \omega x_2 + \frac{U_1}{L} \hat{\theta} - W_1 \\
\dot{e}_2 &= x_2^* + \frac{R}{L} x_2 + \omega x_1 + \frac{U_2}{L} \hat{\theta} - W_2 \\
\dot{e}_3 &= x_3^* - \frac{1.5\eta u_d x_1}{C x_3} - \frac{I_0}{C} - W_3
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

Deriving (26) and substituting (29)-(31) to (34), one can get

\[
\dot{V}_2 = e_3 \dot{e}_3 + e_1 \dot{e}_1 + e_2 \dot{e}_2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \dot{\hat{\theta}} = -k_3 e_3^2 - k_1 e_1^2 - k_2 e_2^2 < 0
\]

(35)

Since $V_2(e_1(0), e_2(0), e_3(0))$ is bounded, and Lyapunov function $V_2(e_1(t), e_2(t), e_3(t))$ is non-increasingly bounded, then

\[
\lim_{i \to 0} \int_{0}^{t} V_2(t) dt < \infty
\]

(36)

Since $\dot{V}_2(t)$ is bounded, $V_2(t)$ eventually tends to 0, according to Barbalat’s law, i.e. $\lim_{t \to 0} e_1 = 0$, $\lim_{t \to 0} e_2 = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to 0} e_3 = 0$.

Through the above analysis, for the PV grid-connected inverter system described in (7)∼(9), the nonlinear $H_\infty$ backstepping controller can be designed. The feedback control law (29), equation (30) and parameter update law (31) are expressed to guarantee the control system to realize the global and uniform stability. The structure diagram of the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller is illustrated in Figure 2. The MPPT controller is used to extract maximum power from the PV module, and the output of the MPPT controller is connected to backstepping-based $H_\infty$ controller. The equations (21) and (28) are the H functions of the $H_\infty$ controller, the proper control variables are selected to guarantee H functions negative, then the system is stable. According to the control law (29) and (30), new variables are obtained to control the voltage source converter (VSC) through SPWM method.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the performance of the proposed controller, a test-bench of a 150kW grid-connected PV inverter is constructed shown as Figure 3, and it includes the following equipment: programmable solar array simulation (SAS), dc power supply, PWM voltage source inverter, voltage regulation transformer, AC power source, 32 fixed-point DSP TMS320F2812, and a power analyzer HIOKI 3390.

The performance of the designed controller is evaluated under five cases, and they include standard, load variation, impedance variation, presence of harmonics, and grid voltage dip. The clock frequency of the DSP is 150MHz, and other experimental parameters are shown in Table 1.

A. CASE I: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER STANDARD ATMOSPHERIC CONDITION

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave with the constant reference current, inverter parameters and the grid impedance, and the inverter operates at the full load condition.

TABLE 1. Experimental parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated capacity P/kW</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance on AC side L/mH</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance on AC side R/Ω</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage on DC side U_d/V</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor on DC side C/µF</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid voltage frequency f/Hz</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency f_s/kHz</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling frequency f_kHz</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
T. Yang et al.: Adaptive Backstepping-Based $H_\infty$ Robust Controller for PV Grid-Connected Inverter

Fig. 4 represents the grid voltage, grid current and $dq$-component of the phase current under the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ control. The grid current of the grid-connected inverter can follow the grid voltage with no phase shift, and the grid current is also a standard sinusoidal wave. The grid-connected inverter can operate with high power factor.

Figure 5 shows the spectrum characteristic of the grid current. We can see that the proposed method has better performance, and it shows that the grid current is a sinusoidal wave, with very low harmonic content with the THD of 1.49%.

**B. CASE II: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER LOAD VARIATION**

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, with the constant inverter parameters and the grid impedance. The load of grid-connected inverter suddenly increases at 0.4s.

Figure 6 and Figure 7 compare the waveforms of grid voltage and grid current under nonlinear backstepping robust $H_\infty$ controller and PI controller, respectively. Under nonlinear backstepping robust $H_\infty$ control, the grid current ($d$-component $i_d$) is smooth with no overshoot and fast response when the load changes, whereas, $d$-component $i_d$ controlled by PI controller shown as Figure 6 has a large overshoot and slow dynamic response when the load changes. The nonlinear backstepping robust $H_\infty$ controller shows better dynamic performance than that of the PI controller.

**C. CASE III: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER GRID IMPEDANCE VARIATION**

In this case, the grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, with the constant inverter parameters and constant load. The equivalent grid impedance changes from 0.8mH to 1.2mH at 0.4s.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 compare the waveforms of the grid voltage and grid current under the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller and the PI controller, respectively. From the experimental results, it can be observed that the system based on the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ control shows excellent robustness to the impedance variation. When the grid impedance changes, the proposed controller still works very well, and the grid current and voltage almost keep the sinusoidal waveforms. However, the conventional PI controller cannot stabilize the system and the waveforms of phase current exhibit oscillations. We can conclude that the proposed controller has good robustness to the grid impedance variation, while the performance of PI controller is poor when the grid impedance changes.

**D. CASE IV: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE WHEN HARMONICS INTRODUCED**

The grid voltage is nonstandard voltage with 3rd and 5th harmonics involved, and the grid-connected inverter parameters and the grid impedance are constant.

The power grid simulation device is used to simulate 3rd and 5th harmonics of the power grid voltage. The grid voltage...
E. CASE V: CONTROLLER PERFORMANCE UNDER THE GRID VOLTAGE DIP

The grid voltage is the standard sinusoidal wave, the parameters of the inverter are fixed, and the grid voltage drops by 20% at 0.4s.

There are three control targets, including obtaining sinusoidal and symmetrical current, removing reactive power ripple and active power ripple, when one phase voltage drops in the three-phase grid inverter [35]. Here, the standard sinusoidal wave and symmetrical grid current are selected as the control target. Figure. 12 and Figure. 13 show the performance of the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller and PI controller, respectively.

In Figure. 12, the grid current and grid voltage are no longer standard sinusoidal waves due to that the negative sequence component in the grid current is deduced when A-phase voltage drops. The large 2nd harmonic exists in the active and reactive current, and the waveform quality of the grid

and grid current under the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller and PI controller are compared in Figure. 10 and Figure. 11. The grid current under the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ robust controller is very close to a standard sinusoidal wave when the harmonic exists in the grid voltage, and the grid current remains good quality. However, the current waveform quality under PI controller is deteriorated dramatically, and 3rd and 5th harmonics are also deduced in the grid current due to the voltage harmonics.
FIGURE 13. Experimental results under $H_\infty$ controller when grid voltage dip of 20%.

FIGURE 14. Experiment performance comparisons under steady-state.

FIGURE 15. Experiment performance comparisons when grid impedance variation.

FIGURE 16. Experiment performance comparisons when grid current harmonic occurs.

FIGURE 17. The waveforms of $U_{dc}$ under different controllers.

Voltage and current is poor. In contrast, the grid current and grid voltage wave under nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ control shown as Figure. 13 is significantly improved, and the 2nd current in d-component and q-component are dramatically suppressed.

**F. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON OF CONTROLLERS**

To highlight advantages of the proposed algorithm, the total harmonic distortion (THD) of the grid current is selected as the index to compare the performance of nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller, sliding mode control (SC) [9], deadbeat control [10] and the traditional PI control under the steady-state. Figure. 14 shows the THD content of the grid current under different controllers. The nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller shows the lowest THD content at the different grid current, and other controllers, such as traditional PI controller, sliding mode controller, and deadbeat controller, have higher THD content with poor robustness.

To verify the dynamic performance of the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller, we simulate parameters perturbation and power grid disturbance by modifying the grid-connected inverter filter inductance and injecting 3rd harmonic in grid current. We compare the proposed controller and other three controllers; the specific performance comparison is shown in Figure.15 and Figure.16. The results show that the THD in grid current is the lowest one under nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller in the presence of parameters perturbation and grid harmonics, and the control performance is more superior than other three controllers.

To further evaluate the performance of the proposed method, the DC-bus voltage response of the backstepping-based $H_\infty$ controller, PI controller, sliding mode controller, and deadbeat controller are compared in the presence of the harmonics, shown in Figure 17.
The DC-bus voltage controlled by backstepping-based $H_\infty$ controller has superior performance compared with other controllers. It is obvious that the DC-bus voltage changes a lot when harmonics are introduced in other controllers, and the voltage ripples of the capacitor in other controllers are bigger than backstepping-based $H_\infty$ controller.

V. CONCLUSION

A novel $H_\infty$ control strategy for the grid-connected inverter is proposed in this paper. The $H_\infty$ controller is derived from the nonlinear mathematical model of the grid-connected inverter with the consideration of parameters perturbation, external disturbances and other uncertainties, which is designed by combining the backstepping controller and the robust $H_\infty$ controller.

The experimental results show that the proposed control strategy is robust to the time-varying parameters and external disturbances, and the control accuracy and power quality are also guaranteed. Compared to the traditional PI controller, sliding mode controller and deadbeat controller, the nonlinear backstepping $H_\infty$ controller shows the best stability and robustness under various operation conditions.
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