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Solvent Effects for Methanol Electrooxidation on Gold

ABSTRACT: A detailed understanding of the methanol electrooxidation reaction mechanism is important for the further development of methanol fuel cells. By modeling the reaction on Au(111) using density functional calculations, we investigate the impact of solvent models, focusing on the potential-determining step and the theoretical limiting potential. Both implicit solvent effects, in the form of VASPsol, and explicit solvation by water molecules are investigated. The use of explicit water molecules changes the energetics of the reaction intermediates, and it requires the addition of six water molecules to reach converged results. An important observation is that the configuration space of the explicit water molecules needs to be treated carefully. Upon comparison of the most simple vacuum model with a more advanced combined solvent model, it is clear that there are some pronounced differences; for instance, both implicit solvent effects and explicit solvation stabilize HCOOH and destabilize CO2. There are, however, qualitative agreements between the models; for instance, the first deprotonation step of methanol is found to be the potential-determining step, although the more accurate model put forth aldehyde and formate formation as possible competitive steps. The results are experimentally validated by using cyclic voltammetry.

INTRODUCTION

Over the years, direct methanol fuel cells (DMFCs) have gained increased interest, both scientifically and industrially, as an alternative to hydrogen-driven fuel cells. Being a liquid under ambient conditions, methanol has a higher energy content per volume, is more practical to store, and can utilize existing infrastructure for its distribution as compared to hydrogen.

DMFCs are a key technology in an envisioned methanol economy. In the heart of DMFCs is the methanol oxidation reaction (MOR). MOR is a six-electron-transfer process involving water, which occurs at a potential of 0.02 V vs RHE at the anode side of a DMFC, and can be described as

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \] (1)

Owing to the many reaction intermediates involved, there are some restrictions as to the actual choice of catalyst. For instance, the anode should not bind the reaction intermediates too strongly but, at the same time, allow for the activation of water. Density functional calculations have been used to study methanol oxidation on different transition metals, including gold. Of the pure elements, Pt stands out as the best candidate, while bifunctional catalysts, based on Pt–Cu and Pt–Ru alloys, are suggested to be even better for MOR. The nobility of Au not only makes it an active electrocatalyst because of its resistance to surface oxidation but also implies that adsorption is weak. However, as a model catalyst, Au still plays an important role.

Although previous studies have been fruitful in revealing details of the MOR and suggest new catalyst candidates, there are some concerns on the modeling details of solvent effects, which, at least as a first approximation, are typically neglected. Recently, solvent effects have been included, either implicitly or explicitly, to better describe the electrolyte environment. For instance, solvent effects in methanol electrooxidation on Pt, modeled implicitly, were important because of the different hydrophilic behavior of the reaction intermediates. However, findings like this raise the question of whether even more advanced solvent models are needed. In the case of electrooxidation of formic acid, which is an intermediate in MOR, a series of studies show that the inclusion of solvent effect is critical and can result in, for example, a change of the dominant reaction pathway. In a recent study Heenen et al. showed that the adsorption energy of some prototypical C1 and C2 species are different if evaluated by using implicit solvent models, which do not
account for directional interactions, or by using ab initio molecular dynamics, which use explicit water molecules in the simulation. Explicit models such as microsolvation by single or few water molecules have been investigated, capturing the contribution of coadsorbed water for *OH and *OOH groups on platinum nanoparticles. In the case of methanol oxidation on Ru, Pd, and Pt, Garcia et al. argue that the use of two water molecules is sufficient to get converged results. It should be noted that the reaction intermediates in methanol oxidation only consist of C1 species, and for instance, Ludwig et al. found that for larger C2 species, for example, OCCO and OCCHO, there is a noticeable difference between using five or ten explicit water molecules. As the use of explicit water molecules drastically increases the complexity, there are efforts to include solvent effects via corrections based on linear regression that can account for implicit and explicit solvent effects. However, despite recent developments, there is still no clear guidance on how to treat the electrolyte environment, implicitly, explicitly, or a combination of the two, particularly on a reaction that involves both hydrophilic and hydrophobic intermediates.

In this work, we investigate the importance of solvent effects for the thermodynamics of the methanol electrooxidation mechanism on Au(111) with DFT. This is achieved by using an implicit model, VASPsol, and explicit water molecules. We consider the reaction path for methanol electrooxidation to CO2 on Au(111) reported by Ferrin and Mavrikakis:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CHO} \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2
\]

(2)

where the first deprotonation is potential-determining. In addition to this, we investigate whether the route could go through the other possible intermediate, CH3O, when solvent effects are taken into account.

**METHOD**

Density functional calculations were performed by using VASP, with the optB86b-vdW exchange-correlation functional. Inclusion of van der Waals is important for the description of methanol adsorption on gold, and this functional was chosen as it gives accurate methanol adsorption energy. The projector augmented wave method was used to model the interaction between the valence electrons and the core. Solvent effects were taken into account by using up to six explicit water molecules, and the structures were calculated with and without implicit solvent as implemented in VASPsol. The Kohn–Sham orbitals were represented by using a plane-wave basis set with 450 eV as cutoff energy, and a Gaussian smearing of 0.05 eV was applied to the Fermi level discontinuity.

The Au(111) surface was modeled as a four-layer p(3×3) orthogonal supercell. To sample the configuration space for adsorbates solvated by several water molecules, ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) was performed. The model for this was the Au(111) surface with three water layers and a fixed, outer layer of water molecules with zero dipoles keeping the volume of the unit cell fixed. The periodic slabs and water when applicable, were separated by a vacuum of 20 Å. The gas-phase species were computed in a 20×20×20 Å3 cell by using only the gamma point. The quasi-Newton method was used for structural relaxations with a total residual force of 0.05 eV/Å as the convergence criterion. The most stable minimum for each intermediate was used in the analysis. Single-point calculations without VASPsol, which we refer to as “vacuum” below, were performed on the relaxed structures.

Vibrational modes were calculated by diagonalization of the Hessian matrix, where the force derivatives were computed using the central difference approximation with a displacement of 0.015 Å. Calculations were performed for gas-phase molecules of water, methanol, hydrogen, and carbon dioxide as well as the most stable adsorbate configurations with no explicit water molecules (with and without implicit solvent). Other adsorbate configurations were assumed to have the same vibrational modes.

The Gibbs free energy was calculated at 298 K, at the corresponding vapor pressure for water (3.165 kPa), at 0.001 atm for carbon dioxide, and at 1 atm hydrogen and methanol, treated as ideal gases. Adsorbates were treated in the harmonic approximation with only vibrational degrees of freedom and a cutoff frequency of 50 cm⁻¹.

**Figure 1.** Example of the sampling process. From the AIMD simulation, images are selected 1 ps apart to be used in the adsorption calculation. The three water layers are removed except the 0–6 (in this figure) closest water molecules to the adsorbate.
Electrochemical reactions were modeled by using the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) by Rossmeisl and Nørskov, which assumes coupled electron−proton transfer. Here, zero potential is defined by using the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE); that is, the free energy of hydrogen molecules (at 1 atm pressure) equals the energy of protons and electrons at all values of pH. Any change in the potential \( U_{\text{RHE}} \) results in a shift of the free energy of the electrons with \( \Delta G = -eU_{\text{RHE}} \), where \( e \) is the elementary charge. For example, we calculate the reaction energy of

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \tag{3}
\]

by calculating

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 \tag{4}
\]

and adding \(-eU_{\text{RHE}}\).

It has traditionally been very challenging to calculate electrochemical barriers. One reason for this is that standard periodic DFT operates with a constant charge while an electrochemical process requires a variable charge. While there has been much development in how to calculate electrochemical barriers, we have decided to restrict ourselves to thermodynamics in this study.

Cyclic voltammetry was performed on a polycrystalline gold wire in a solution of 0.1 M HClO₄ (Sigma-Aldrich, 70%, ACS reagent grade, diluted with Milli-Q water) and 0.1 M KOH (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥ 85% purity, dissolved in Milli-Q water) and 0.5 M methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.5% purity). An Ag/AgCl reference electrode (B3420+) from SI Analytics was used, and a graphite rod (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.995% purity) was used as counter electrode. The scan rate was 100 mV/s. Nitrogen was bubbled to purge atmospheric oxygen 20 min before and during the experiments. The experiments were conducted with an SP-300 potentiostat from BioLogic Instruments.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The adsorption Gibbs free energy of methanol was calculated by using 0–6 explicit water molecules and with and without the use of an implicit solvent model (Figure 2a). The reference is optimized water structures at the surface, only taking total energies into account. It can be seen that with implicit solvent the adsorption energy is not heavily dependent on the number of water molecules. However, the vacuum-calculated adsorption energy requires several water molecules to converge. It can be pointed out that the data point for four H₂O is an outlier, such that the implicit-solvent relaxed structure is relatively unstable in a vacuum; a vacuum calculation on the second most stable implicit-solvent relaxed structure with four H₂O gives \( \Delta G_{\text{ads}} = 0.02 \text{ eV} \), which would suggest a convergence for 3–6 water molecules. The overall trend is that the results with and without implicit solvent approaches each other as more and more water molecules are added.

The first deprotonation step of adsorbed methanol occurs by removing hydrogen from the carbon or oxygen in methanol. On Au(111), it was reported that preferably carbon is deprotonated and that this step is the potential-determining step. Thus, we are interested in solvent effects on the selectivity as well as the size of the step. The limiting potential of formation for CH₂OH and CH₃O (equal to free energy relative to adsorbed methanol divided by one electron) is shown as a function of implicit and explicit effects in Figure 2b. With implicit solvent, it is clear that CH₃O is strongly affected by the inclusion of explicit water molecules, as the limiting potential changes from 1.20 V to 0.97 and 0.77 V for the first two water molecules, respectively, while the change is minor when adding more water molecules (0.62 V for six H₂O). In contrast, the change in limiting potential for the formation of CH₂OH is less dependent on explicit water molecules (0.55 ± 0.08 V with implicit solvent). The vacuum calculations follow the same trends qualitatively, but the convergence is much slower with respect to explicit water molecules; the oscillatory behavior remains after taking the outlying data point for CH₂OH with four H₂O mentioned above into account. Furthermore, a more apparent trend is that the difference in limiting potential more or less disappears. The reason behind the larger stabilization of CH₃O as compared to CH₂OH is that CH₃O typically forms hydrogen bonds from its oxygen to...
two water molecules, while the hydroxy group in CH₂OH only binds to one. It can be noted that CH₃O must bind atop for this to occur, while the most stable site in the absence of explicit water molecules is a hollow site. We could, at this point, note that kinetics would most likely favor CH₃O over CH₂OH, since the former deprotonation can be aided by hydrogen-bonded water. With this said, returning to thermodynamics, we find that the conclusion reported by Ferrin and Mavrikakis,⁹ that is, the formation of CH₂OH is the potential-limiting step, is within the margin of error when including solvent effects.

Knowing that CH₃O at least does not surpass CH₂OH in energy significantly for the investigated solvent models, we assume that the rest of the reaction mechanism continues from CH₂OH (the next step is CH₂O—formaldehyde—in either case) as in eq 2. Figure 3 shows the change in step limiting potential of the different reaction intermediates as the number of explicit water increases in the presence of an implicit solvent model (Figure 3a) and without (Figure 3b). For some of the intermediates with implicit solvent (CH₂OH, CH₂O, CHO, and CHOО), the dependence on explicit water molecules is small, while HCOOH converges somewhat with six H₂O and CO₂ seems to require more. The former group has in common that the general water coordination is similar before and after the deprotonation, while HCOOH binds to more water and CO₂ to fewer compared to the previous reaction step. When comparing the results without implicit solvent in Figure 3b, similar general behavior can be seen while the spread is greater and convergence with respect to water molecules is further away. On the basis of vacuum calculations, it is not clear whether the formation of CH₂OH or CHOО is the potential-determining step even after six water molecules. In contrast, CH₂OH formation is always potential-determining when including implicit solvent. The outlier for CH₂OH with four H₂O is caused by the outlier in the discussion of Figure 2a.

As the number of explicit water molecules increases, the use of the implicit solvent model for bulk water should represent a more accurate description of the full system. In Figure 4, we...
compare the reaction landscape of MOR with six explicit water molecules and the use of the implicit solvent model with the most simple model, that is, no explicit water and no implicit solvent, which is very often used in the literature. It is clear that the simple model qualitatively captures the reaction landscape. For instance, the potential-determining step (PDS), i.e., the step with the largest equilibrium potential, is the same in the two models, i.e., the first deprotonation step. In the simple model, the PDS has a value of 0.55 eV, while in the more realistic model, the PDS equals a value of 0.59 eV. What the simple model misses is that CH$_3$O is more likely to be formed.

Cyclic voltammetry was performed to investigate the onset potential and activity of methanol oxidation, shown in Figure 5. The corresponding pH for the KOH and HClO$_4$ solution is 13 and 1, respectively. We find that the activity of methanol electrooxidation depends heavily on pH, which is similar to our previous results with glycerol. The main reason for low electrooxidation depends heavily on pH, which is similar to our previous results with glycerol. The main reason for low activity in acid is competitive adsorption from the negative anions since the noncharged methanol adsorption on gold is weak. In alkaline media, however, alcohols are at least partially protolyzed in solution, which facilitate adsorption. As it can be seen in Figure 5, there is a large oxidation peak on the anodic scan in KOH at 1.0 V vs RHE, while the corresponding peak in HClO$_4$ is much smaller. The onset potential in both acidic and alkaline solution is around 0.6 V vs RHE. The onset potential should be higher due to kinetics. With several intermediates, implicit solvation gives limiting potentials with a small dependence on the number of explicit water molecules, CH$_3$O, HCOOH, and CO$_2$ are clearly affected. These formations require 3–4, 5–6, and >6 explicit water molecules, respectively, to reach convergence. The probable reason for the dependence is the increase (CH$_3$O and HCOOH) and decrease (CO$_2$) of hydrogen bonds to the surrounding water molecules compared to the previous intermediate. Thus, we find that VASPsol should be combined with some explicit water molecules for modeling this kind of system.

Within the limitations of our model, with vacuum single-point calculations on implicit-solvent relaxed structures, we find that even explicit solvation with six water molecules is insufficient to model solvent effects on methanol oxidation. This model cannot clearly identify the potential-determining step and only gives some qualitative indications of solvation effects on the reaction landscape. We find thus that there is no benefit of including only explicit solvation.

The use of more realistic solvent models has some effects on the methanol electrooxidation reaction mechanism. When comparing the most simple model, i.e., no explicit water and no implicit solvent, with the most advanced model, i.e., six water molecules and an implicit solvent, the main difference lies in the explicit-solvent dependent steps of CH$_3$O, HCOOH, and CO$_2$ formation. As a consequence, the advanced model indicates that CH$_3$O is competitive as a potential-determining step, while the simple model rejects it. On the other hand, both models identify the formation of CH$_2$OH as the potential-determining step with very similar theoretical limiting potentials (0.55 and 0.59 V vs RHE). Both of these agree with our experimental results of onset at 0.6 V, which should be higher due to kinetics.
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