

Risk of Injury Events in Patients With Visual Impairments: A Swedish Survey Study Among Hospital Social Workers

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-06-30 22:29 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record): Lundälv, J., Thodelius, C. (2021). Risk of Injury Events in Patients With Visual Impairments: A Swedish Survey Study Among Hospital Social Workers. Journal of Visual Impairment and Blindness, 115(5): 426-435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0145482X211046666

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Article



Risk of Injury Events in Patients With Visual Impairments: A Swedish Survey Study Among **Hospital Social Workers**

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0145482X211046666 journals.sagepub.com/home/jvb

2021, Vol. 115(5) 426-435 © American Foundation



Journal of Visual Impairment & Blindness

for the Blind 2021

60 ۲

Jörgen Lundälv¹ and Charlotta Thodelius²

Abstract

Introduction: People with visual impairments (those who are blind or have low vision) are exposed to risks in everyday life, especially injury risks. This study aimed to examine experiences and practical knowledge among hospital social workers in Sweden concerning meetings with patients with visual impairments who have been affected by injury events in residential environments. Methods: Swedish hospital social workers specializing in patients with visual impairments (n=30) answered a questionnaire comprising 14 questions. Both fixed and open-ended questions were included, allowing an analysis of both comparable numeric data and subjectively perceived experiences and opinions. Results: A majority of the respondents had met patients who had been injured in the residential setting; the most common injury mechanism reported was tripping or falling on the stairs, or accidentally stumbling into furniture. The hospital social workers acknowledged that they could work more preventively in their professional role by encouraging patients to use orientation and mobility devices such as long canes, increasing collaboration with other professions, and conducting home visits to enable trustful dialogues with both patients and relatives. Discussion: According to these specialized hospital social workers, the provision of education and information to patients with visual impairments could potentially prevent future injury. However, in order to achieve this, there is a need to first enable a trustful dialogue between social workers and patients. Implications for practitioners: This study provides a better understanding of the importance of trustful dialogue between hospital social workers and patients with visual impairments, from the point of view injury prevention. It also offers a summary of the knowledge of hospital social workers about risks and injury events in residential settings for patients with visual impairments.

Keywords

dialogue between hospital social workers, visual impairment, risk, injury event, survey study, Sweden

¹Department of Social Work, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

²Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

Corresponding author: Jörgen Lundälv, Department of Social Work, University of Gothenburg, Sprängkullsgatan 23 P.O. Box 720, Gothenburg SE 405 30, Sweden.

Email: Jorgen.Lundalv@socwork.gu.se

Previous research has shown that injuries are a global burden, and people with different disabilities, impairments, and chronic diseases are especially vulnerable to injury events (e.g., Clarke, Ailshire, Nieuwenhuijsen, & de Kleijn – de Vrankrijker, 2011; Percival, Hanson, & Osipovic, 2006; Worth, 2013; Xiang, Kidwell, & Wheeler, 2008). However, there is a lack of research related to this subject and, therefore, a need to further develop knowledge in order to ensure safe surroundings for everyone (Kulmala et al., 2008; Legood, Scuffham, & Cryer, 2002). In view of this need, the present study contributes by examining the experiences and knowledge of hospital social workers in Sweden concerning injury risks, injury events, and injury prevention for individuals with visual impairments (i.e., those who are blind or have low vision).

Aim and research questions

This study was designed to survey a total sample of hospital social workers specializing in visual impairment. The aim of the study was to examine hospital social workers' experiences of injury events and to identify prevention strategies based on their professional practice. There were two research questions which are as follows:

- 1. To what extent do hospital social workers meet with patients who have undergone an injury event in the residential environment?
- 2. In what ways do hospital social workers think they can work more preventively against injury events?

Framework

The study framework was based on two parts: the professional role of a hospital social worker, and a brief overview of previous research on injury prevention.

Hospital social workers as a profession in Sweden. Hospital social workers in health and medical care have an important task and role not only in supporting people in vulnerable life situations by providing social support, guidance in different societal support systems, and support and advice in how to use assistive devices, but also by supporting the individual and their rights (United Nations General Assembly, 2006, 2015; United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2014). In short, the hospital social worker's main role is to enable good social living conditions (cf. World Health Organization, 2011). In Sweden, the importance of hospital social workers has been acknowledged in various studies (Olsson, 1999; Sernbo, 2019; Sjöström, 2013).

Moreover, the Swedish welfare system has institutionalized specific supports for individuals with visual impairments. It is estimated that there are approximately 200,000 individuals who are visually impaired in Sweden (Specsaver and the Swedish Association of the Visually Impaired, 2018). Low vision work has been conducted in Sweden since the 1950s, and today, each region or county council has a center for people with visual impairments (Bäckman et al., 2015; Ståhl, 2018). In line with the development of low vision work, different devices or aids have been developed and used by persons with visual impairment in Sweden such as talking books, computer-based assistive technologies, optical and electronicoptical devices, long canes for mobility, dog guides, and transportation services (Bäckman, 1994).

In addition to vision centers, Sweden also has 34 low vision clinics, located at general and university hospitals. These clinics are responsible for both habilitation and rehabilitation for people with visual impairments of all ages. In order to receive support and rehabilitation at a low vision clinic, a referral from an ophthalmologist is required. The clinics are regulated by the Health and Medical Services Act (1982:763).

The rehabilitation and habilitation at low vision clinics are conducted by multidisciplinary specialist teams. These teams include hospital social workers, psychologists, physiotherapists, orthoptists, optometrists, occupational therapists, and low vision therapists. The role of the hospital social worker at a low vision clinic is, for example, to help the patients or parents and family members with different contacts with authorities, applications for funding and personal counseling, and to give social support to the patients, parents, and other family members.

Even if these support systems are institutionalized in the welfare context, however, previous research has found that individuals with different disabilities and impairments perceived social workers as untrustworthy, mainly because they felt that the social workers had not paid attention to them and their story (Lundälv, 2018). A study found that social workers are stressed and seldom have time to listen to patients' stories about accidents, but also that people with visual impairments sometimes did not want to talk about accidents, since they wanted to be able to move on from the incidents (Lundälv, 2018, p. 93). Consequently, it is not only the institutionalization of the professional role that is of importance but also the concept of trustful dialogues (Buber, 1970; Erikson, 1950; Hanssen, 2007). Trustful dialogues are here defined as a specific form of relation between the care giver and the patient that will lead to a positive outcome for both parts. The trustful dialogue is focused on acknowledgment, respect, and trust; one that is meaningful for both the care giver and the receiver of care (Larsson & Lundälv, 2019:77). In combination with basic trust and intersubjectivity, trustful dialogues are important in establishing relations and interactions in which the patient feels safe, understood, and listened to.

A brief summary of injury prevention. Many studies have examined and discussed injury prevention for individuals with visual impairments. In this section, we will briefly summarize some of these findings; we do not aim to provide a comprehensive overview of all literature in the field.

It is important to acknowledge that the pattern of injury occurrence differs between individuals with and without disabilities, which makes it harder to define general recommendations (cf. Thodelius, Ekman, Lundälv & Ekbrand, 2017). Prevention can be aimed at the individual or environmental factors in the individual's vicinity. For individuals with vision loss, the prevention literature primarily focuses on environmental modifications. For example, modifying housing designs and interior layouts, which are beneficial prevention measures for people with different degrees of visual impairment (Bowes, Dawson, Greasley-Adams, & McCabe, 2016; Brunström, Sörensen, Alsterstad, & Sjöstrand, 2004; Den Brinker et al., 2005; Ehrlich, Hassan, & Stagg, 2019; Imbrie, 2004; La Grow, Robertson, Campbell, Clarke, & Kerse, 2006; Nguyen, Vu, Tran, & Nguyen, 2016; Paterson, 2011; Yonge et al., 2017).

To enable effective environmental strategies, however, it is important to work with the knowledge and engagement of different stakeholders and health professionals (Rooney et al., 2016). The article presented here examines the experiences and knowledge of hospital social workers in Sweden regarding meetings with visual impaired patients who have experienced injury events in residential environments, to enable a firmer discussion of prevention in line with the arguments of Rooney et al. (2016).

Method

The method was chosen in relation to the study's dual aim: to examine hospital social workers' experiences of patients' injury events and to identify prevention strategies based on their professional practices. To achieve this aim, a questionnaire was designed and distributed to hospital social workers who specialized in working with patients with visual impairments (cf. Mathers, Fox, & Hunn, 2007, p. 5).

Data collection and analysis

The questionnaire was distributed in October 2017, when hospital social workers from all over Sweden gathered for two days of supplementary training (i.e., quota sampling; see Mathers et al., 2007, p. 13). One of the authors

participated in this training and informed the hospital social workers about the study. This direct notification about the study seemed to have a positive effect on both the response rate (67%; 30 of 45 participants answered the survey) and the construct validity (Heale & Twycross, 2015), since it made it possible for the respondents to ask questions and make clarifications if necessary.

The questionnaire covered both comparable numeric data and subjectively perceived experiences and opinions (Bryman, 2016). Of the 14 questions included, 9 were constructed as fixed-response questions and five as free-text answers (see Supplementary Appendix A).

The data were analyzed in line with what Creswell defines as a convergent parallel mixed method (2014, p. 267). The numeric data were descriptively summarized and interpreted via frequency tables, while the free-text answers were qualitatively coded and analyzed with a content analysis (Krippendorff, 1980; Nolan & Heinzen, 2014, p. 3).

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board in Gothenburg (ref: 366–16, 2016), and the data collection, analysis, and presentation of the results adhered to the ethical principles discussed in the literature (Beauchamp & Childress, 2013; Swedish Research Council, 2017). During data collection, the respondents were informed that their participation was optional, that they would be anonymous, and that the researchers were interested in their professional experiences and not their personal opinions (Barron, 1999; Cöster, 2014).

Results

Before we present the main results of the study, we will give a brief summary of the respondents' professional background. A majority had long professional experience in the visual field; approximately 80% (24 respondents) had worked in this field for more than five years. The respondents also had experience in working with patients of various ages; 67% had worked with both younger people and those older than 65 years. They had received supplementary training regularly; 50% during the last 36 months. The respondents therefore seemed to have the experience and knowledge that are crucial for development of efficacious preventive work.

Risks and injury events in residential settings

The hospital social workers had extensive experience in meeting with individuals who had been injured in residential environments (Table 1). Interestingly, the experience seemed to be somewhat unevenly distributed; 93% had met at least one patient who had experienced an injury occasion over the last 18 months, but 30% had met such a patient on more than 11 occasions. This pattern indicates that injuries are quite common and that there is a risk of repeated exposure, for example, with one fall incident following another (Moncada & Mire, 2017).

In line with the literature showing that injuries are common in individuals with visual impairments, the hospital social workers highlighted that this group often expressed concern about injury events in residential settings, regardless if they had experienced injuries or not. The hospital social workers themselves also had concerns about the risks of different activities. Moving around and cooking seemed to be particularly risky from both the professional and patient perspectives. The professionals also considered other activities such as waking up, getting dressed, and other activities of daily living to carry some risk, but these concerns were not reflected in the perspectives of the patients (Table 2).

Injury risks and injury events are not related only to activity but also to specific injury mechanisms and etiological factors (Haddon, 1980). For example, a fracture can be the result of stumbling over a threshold (e.g., fall as an injury mechanism), and the fall in turn was caused by dizziness (e.g., underlying medical condition as an etiological factor). These mechanisms, or types of injuries, are of importance in preventive work, and the most common types that were reported by the hospital social workers are summarized in Table 3. In general, the injury mechanisms were related to falls (stumbling, falls, or slipping; 48% in total), followed by collisions with objects (colliding with objects, walking into objects, and so on; 30%).

Likewise, the etiological factors, or underlying causes or risk factors for injury events, are important for prevention. The respondents named both intrinsic (or personal) and extrinsic (or environmental) factors to cause injuries. As seen in Table 4, the respondents considered high stress or tension in a situation to be the main reason that injury occurred (24.1%), followed by poor lighting (18.5%), and inappropriate interior design (9.2%). Unexpectedly, relatives were also said to play a role in injury

Table 1. Hospital social workers' experiences of meeting patients who had experienced injury events in residential environments over the past 18 months (2016–2017). Absolute numbers and percentages (n = 30).

Number of injury occasions	Absolute numbers	Percent	
I–5	16	53.3	
6–10	3	10.0	
More than 11	9	30.0	
None	2	6.7	
Total	30	100	

events; 7.4% of the respondents said that the injury was due to relatives having moved things or closed doors in the residence. This finding highlights the fact that injuries can be prevented if relatives are given more information and take an active part in injuryprevention work.

These results make it clear that injury events in residential settings are due to the interrelation between intrinsic (or personal) factors such as age, stress, or disease and extrinsic (or environmental) factors relating to design, lightning, or flooring. Injury occurrence is therefore closely related to everyday activity rather than to extraordinary conditions or activities. It is important to acknowledge this in preventive work.

On prevention: The role of hospital social workers' experience. Most of the respondents (70%) thought that their patients were actively preventing injury events in the residential setting in different ways, but they still saw a need for more tailored information and education about risks targeting this patient group. As one respondent said: "We need to encourage solutions, information, and motivation." Preventive work also included identifying risks in the residential environment by offering home visits and making suggestions for modification or improvement. However, this approach could also be counterproductive, as one respondent stated: "Talking to the patient about feelings and risks in the residential environment, to

Table 2. Hospital social workers' concerns about risk activities for injuries in the residential environment and the patients' own expressed concerns about specific risk activities according to hospital social workers. Absolute numbers and percentages (n = 30).

Activity	Hospital social worker's concern about injury events	Patients' expressed concern about injury events
Daily hygiene care	13 (15.4)	9 (13.8)
When dressing	4 (4.7)	I (1.5)
During mobility	27 (32.1)	27 (41.6)
When cooking	25 (29.8)	25 (38.5)
When sleeping and awakening	11 (13.1)	0 (0)
Other activity	4 (4.7)	3 (4.6)
Total	84 (100.0)	65 (100.0)

Table 3.	Typical	injury	events,	according	to the
hospital so	ocial wo	rkers.	Absolut	e numbers	and
percentage	es (n =	30).			

	Absolute numbers	Percent
Typical injury		
Stumbled	10	20.0
Fell on the stairs	8	16.0
Collided with an object	7	14.0
Burn injury	6	12.0
Walked into an open locker	6	12.0
Cutting injury in the kitchen	4	8.0
Slipped in the bathroom	2	4.0
Fell from a chair	I	2.0
Collided with an open door	I.	2.0
Stumbled on an open dishwasher door	Ι	2.0
Fell from a wheelchair	1	2.0
Fell out of bed	I	2.0
Took the wrong medicine	I.	2.0
Crush injury	I.	2.0
Total	50	100.0

reduce anxiety, can lead to the onset of injuries and stress." Preventive work included a broad spectrum of strategies targeting actors and stakeholders on the individual level, group level, and community level, mainly by having contact with other professions, working in teams, and developing their own networks. One respondent highlighted the importance of "networking with other actors such as occupational therapists, relatives, assistance officers and others," mentioning "[o]ur teamwork/other professions and opticians (employer), housing adaptation, home visits, certificates, group activities, municipality." However, strategies were also related to challenges in everyday work, mainly on an organizational level. As one respondent stated, the care organization as whole needed to "[c]ollaborate more in the teams around the user, make sure that the influence of the hospital social worker, and our observations, are acknowledged in the organization."

Table 4. Risk factors of injury events according to hospital social workers. Absolute numbers and percentages (n = 30).

	Absolute numbers	Percent
Risk factors		
High stress	13	24.I
Poor lighting	10	18.5
Inappropriate interior décor	5	9.2
Relatives having moved things and/or closed doors in the residence	4	7.4
Inadequate marking and contrast	3	5.5
Disease	3	5.5
Refusal on application for housing adaptation	3	5.5
Personnel from the home care services having moved things in the residence	2	3.7
Poor design solutions	2	3.7
Dizziness	2	3.7
Aid (such as low vision aid, walker, cane etcetera)	I	1.9
Poor balance	I	1.9
Thresholds	I	1.9
Missing social network	I	1.9
Poor home care services	I	1.9
Stress in relatives	I	1.9
Bathroom flooring	I	1.9
Total	54	100.0

We return to our two research questions: To what extent do hospital social workers meet with patients who have experienced an injury event in the residential environment? And in what ways do hospital social workers think they can work more preventively against injury events? This study clearly shows that hospital social workers have extensive experience and knowledge of both injury risks and injury events. These results are in line with previous studies and also confirm that injury events among individuals with visual impairments are quite common (Clarke et al., 2011; Percival et al., 2006; Worth, 2013; Xiang et al., 2008).

The hospital social workers in this study had experience and knowledge of both risky activities and intrinsic and extrinsic factors, which can be formulated as distinctive ideas for preventive work. As seen in the results, injuries tend to occur because of an interrelation between individuals' personal traits, environmental factors, and sometimes other actors such as relatives or other professionals, a finding that emphasizes the point that injury prevention needs to target both actors and environmental factors. The knowledge from hospital social workers needs to be communicated not only to the patient but also to other caregivers, relatives, and family members. As seen in the results, the question of networking and working with other professionals was one part in the preventive work, a finding that is in line with Bolin Björklund's study (2014), which showed the need for more cooperation in multidisciplinary teams, especially at low vision clinics.

Preventive work needs to acknowledge the fact that individuals with visual impairments have a different perception of the spatial environment (Berndtsson & Kroksmark, 2008, p. 38), which can be hard to grasp from an outside perspective. It is important to be able to change perspective and work intersubjectively, and hospital social workers need to set aside time for patients to talk about risks and injury events in the residential environment. Along these lines, it is equally important to discuss experiences of "near-risk situations" and coping strategies (Lundälv, 2018). Letting patients with visual impairments talk about their experiences during visits with hospital social workers can help both the patient and others in the same situation, by extending patients' and professionals' knowledge of situations and useful strategies. Trustful dialogues such as these also offer a way to handle discrepancies in risk estimation between patients and professionals. If these discrepancies are not correctly handled, there may be consequences. It is possible that the preventive measure will fail since the individual does not adhere to it. The measure may be counterproductive if it leads to overprotecting (Shakespeare, 2013, p. 220); a healthy amount of risk-taking can both emancipate individuals with disability and impairment and let them live independently.

Conclusion

The present results highlight three important findings. First, injuries occur in everyday activities, they are not rare events conditioned by extraordinary circumstances. Second, injury occurs when internal and external factors emerge, which can be prevented by both working with environmental external factors and providing information to patients and their relatives. Third, it is important to perform preventive work collaboratively. Interdisciplinary collaboration among professionals can be challenging, but it is also a route to success, since different actors have different knowledge that can strengthen preventive work. It is not only collaboration between different health care actors that is necessary but also collaboration between health care and patients' advocacy organizations. Since hospital social workers work alone to a large extent, it is important for them to keep in touch with each other through telephone and various networking meetings. By keeping in touch and exchanging information and experiences, a sharing of their tacit knowledge can take place.

Limitations and suggestion for further research

This study has two limitations. We did not ask any questions regarding similarities and differences between people with congenital and acquired visual impairments. We also did not investigate regional differences; thus, we were not able to examine within-region variation on a national level. Future research should analyze any similarities and differences between people with congenital and acquired visual impairments, which are of importance for preventive work. In addition, regional differences in praxis and relations should be investigated in order to understand and improve the communication between hospital social workers, patients, relatives, and caretakers. Especially the role of relatives needs to be explored further in the future, since the results of this study suggest that they have a significant role in both injury occurrence and in preventive work.

Acknowledgements

This study is a part in a research project concerning risks and injury events in residential environments (ArchSafe) during 2014–2018 at Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. The authors would like to thank all the study participants who contributed their knowledge and experience. The authors would also express our gratitude to the Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency (MSB), who funded the study.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Bäckman, Ö. (1994). Reading skills, reading training and technology for the visually handicappedprospects for the 1990 es. In A.C. Kooijman, P.L. Looijestijn, J.A. Welling, & G.J. van der Wildt (Eds.), Low Vision. Research and new developments in rehabilitation (pp. 251-254). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Bäckman, Ö., Fellenius, K., & Inde, K. (2015). Återblickar. Mer än 40 år av svensk synhistoria. Karlstad: Indenova.
- Barron, K. (1999). Ethics in qualitative social research on marginalized groups. Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research, 1(1), 38-49. https://doi.org/10.1080/15017419909510736
- Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). Principles of biomedical ethics (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Berndtsson, I., & Kroksmark, U. (2008). Kunskapsutveckling inom synområdet. Teorier och metoder inom rehabilitering/habilitering för personer med synnedsättning eller blindhet. Vällingby: Hjälpmedelsinstitutet.
- Bolin Björklund, B. (2014). Procedures and quality of visual assessment of disabled children at Swedish low vision clinics. Master thesis in Medicine. Örebro: School of Health and Medical Sciences, Örebro University.
- Bowes, A., Dawson, A., Greasley-Adams, C., & McCabe, L. (2016). Design of residential environments for people with dementia and sight loss: A structured literature review. *British Journal of Visual Impairment*, 34(3), 225-237. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0264619616653991
- Brunström, G., Sörensen, S., Alsterstad, K., & Sjöstrand, J. (2004). Quality of light and quality of life – the effects of lighting adaptation among people with low vision. *Ophthalmic & Physiological Optics*, 24(4), 274-280. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1475-1313.2004.00192.x
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Buber, M. (1970). *I and thou: A new translation with a prologue "I and you" and notes by Walter Kaufmann.* New York, USA: Charles Scribner's Sons.

- Clarke, P. J., Ailshire, J. A., Nieuwenhuijsen, E. R., & de Kleijn – de Vrankrijker, M. W. (2011). Participation among adults with disability: The role of the urban environment. *Social Science & Medicine*, 72(10), 1674-1684. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.03.025
- Cöster, H. (2014). Forskningsetik och ömsesidighet vård, social omsorg och skola. Stockholm: Liber.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed approaches (4rd ed.). Los Angeles, Calif.: Sage.
- den Brinker, B. P. L. M., Burgman, L. J., Hogervorst, S. M. J., Reehorst, S. E., Kromhout, S., & van der Windt, J. (2005). The effect of high-contrast marking of treads on the descent of stairways by low-vision people. *International Congress Series*, 1282(9), 502-506. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ics.2005.05.165
- Ehrlich, J. R., Hassan, S. E., & Stagg, B. C. (2019). Prevalence of falls and fall-related outcomes in older adults with self-reported vision impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 67(2), 239-245. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jgs.15628
- Erikson, E. H. (1950). *Childhood and society*. New York, USA: Norton.
- Haddon, W, Jr. (1980). Advances in the epidemiology of injuries as a basis for public policy. *Public health reports (Washington, D.C. :* 1974), 95(5), 411-421.
- Hanssen, P.-H. (2007). Det intersubjektiva mötet. In O. H. Røkenes, & P.-H. Hanssen (Eds.), Bära eller brista. Kommunikation och relationer i arbetet med människor (1st ed., pp. 50-71). Malmö: Gleerups Utbildning AB.
- Heale, R., & Twycross, A. (2015). Validity and reliability in quantitative studies. *Evidence*based Nursing, 18(3), 66-67. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/eb-2015-102129
- Imbrie, R. (2004). Disability, embodiment and the meaning of the home. *Housing Studies*, 19(5), 745-763. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673030420 00249189
- Krippendorff, K. (1980). Content analysis An introduction to its methodology. In *The SAGE commtext series* (Vol. 5). California, USA: Sage.
- Kulmala, J., Era, P., Pärssinen, O., Sakari, R., Sipilä, S., Rantanen, T., & Heikkinen, E. (2008).

Lowered vision as a risk factor for injurious accidents in older people. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 20(1), 25-30. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03324744

- La Grow, S. J., Robertson, M. C., Campbell, A. J., Clarke, G. A., & Kerse, N. M. (2006). Reducing hazard related falls in people 75 years and older with significant visual impairment: How did a successful program work?. *Injury Prevention*, *12*(5), 296-301. https://doi.org/10. 1136/ip.2006.012252
- Larsson, P-O., & Lundälv, J. (2019). Manualernas magt I socialt arbejde – om Jeg/Du og Jeg/Det i socialt arbejde. Social Kritik – Tidsskrift for social analyse & debat, 158, 77-81.
- Legood, R., Scuffham, P., & Cryer, C. (2002). Are we blind to injuries in the visually impaired? A review of the literature. *Injury Prevention*, 8(2), 155-160. https://doi.org/10.1136/ip.8.2.155
- Lundälv, J. (2018). Coping strategies of disabled people in residential environments after injury events. *The Australian Journal of Rehabilitation Counselling*, 24(2), 88-102. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/jrc.2018.9
- Mathers, N., Fox, N., & Hunn, A. (2007). *Surveys* and questionnaires. The NIHR RDS for the East Midlands/Yorkshire & the Humber.
- Moncada, LVV, & Mire, LG (2017). Preventing falls in older persons. *American Family Physician*, 96(4), 240-247.
- Nguyen, Q.-H., Vu, H., Tran, T.-H., & Nguyen, Q.-H. (2016). Developing a way-finding system on mobile robot assisting visually impaired people in an indoor environment. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 76(2), 2465-2669. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-015-3204-2
- Nolan, S. A., & Heinzen, T. E. (2014). Statistics for the behavioral sciences (3rd ed.). Worth Publishing.
- Olsson, S. (1999). Kuratorn förr och nu: Sjukhuskuratorns arbete i ett historiskt perspektiv. (Doctoral dissertation). Gothenburg, Sweden: University of Gothenburg.
- Paterson, M. (2011). More-than visual approaches to architecture. Vision, touch, technique. Social & Cultural Geography, 12(3), 263-281. https:// doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2011.564733
- Percival, J., Hanson, J., & Osipovic, D. (2006). A positive outlook? The housing needs and

aspirations of working age people with visual impairments. *Disability & Society*, *21*(7), 661-675. https://doi.org/10.1080/09687590600995691

- Rooney, C., Hadjri, K., Rooney, M., Faith, V., McAllister, K., & Craig, C. (2016). Meeting the needs of visually impaired people living in Lifetime Homes. *Journal of Housing For the Elderly*, 30(2), 123-140. https://doi.org/10. 1080/02763893.2016.1162251
- Sernbo, E. (2019). Med avstegen som arbetsplats. En etnografisk studie av hälso- och sjukvårdskuratorns arbete. [Doctoral dissertation]. Gothenburg, Sweden: University of Gothenburg.
- Shakespeare, T. (2013). *Disability rights and wrongs revisited* (2nd ed.). USA: Taylor & Francis.
- Sjöström, M. (2013). To blend in or stand out? Hospital social workers' jurisdictional work in Sweden and Germany. [Doctoral dissertation]. Gothenburg, Sweden: University of Gothenburg.
- Specsavers and the Swedish Association of the Visually Impaired (2018). Ögonhälsan i Sverige. In *Tillsammans för en bättre ögonhälsa. Rapport.* Specsavers and the Swedish Association of the Visually Impaired.
- Ståhl, M. (2018). Levnadsnivåundersökning bland synskadade. Rapport från Synskadades Riksförbunds medlemsundersökning.
- Swedish Research Council (2017). God forskningssed. Sweden: Swedish Research Council.
- Thodelius, C., Ekman, R., Lundälv, J., & Ekbrand, H. (2017). Injury events sustained in residential environments: Age and physical disability as

explanatory factors for differences in injury patterns in Sweden. *Housing and Society*, 44(1–2), 127-140. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 08882746.2017.1393284

- United Nations General Assembly (2006). Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. *Adopted by General Assembly resolution*, *61*, 106. of 13 December 2006.
- United Nations General Assembly (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015.
- United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (2014). *The core international human rights treaties*. New York, USA: United Nations.
- World Health Organization & The World Bank (2011). *World report on disability*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Worth, N. (2013). Visual impairment in the city: Young people's social strategies for independent mobility. Urban Studies, 50(3), 574-586. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098012468898
- Xiang, H., Kidwell, K., & Wheeler, K. (2008). Role of disability in the association between obesity and unintentional injuries. *Disability and Health Journal*, 1(2), 99-107. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.dhjo.2008.02.001
- Yonge, A. V., Swenor, B. K., Miller, R., et al. (2017). Quantifying fall-related hazards in the homes of persons with glaucoma. *Ophthalmology*, 124(4), 562-571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2016. 11.032