



Emission free propulsion for ships

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2024-06-21 07:42 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Andersson, K. (2021). Emission free propulsion for ships. *Baltic Rim Economies BRE review*, 2021(5): 26-26

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

KARIN ANDERSSON

Emission free propulsion for ships

Expert article • 3108

The global shipping sector contributes to a large part of the transport work, but it also contributes to the total CO₂ emissions by almost 3 %. With the existing plans for decarbonisation only around 20 % of the shipping will be fossil free in 2050 and increased future transport may even lead to an increase in emissions. There are also several other ship emissions to air that influences both human health and the environment and the Baltic Sea is also designated as a Particularly Sensitive Sea Area, PSSA, by the IMO as well as being one of the "special areas" in the MARPOL convention, emission control areas, ECA.

Some emissions are subject to regulations, like nitrogen and sulphur oxides. For CO₂, however, only data collection on the fuel oil consumption is compulsory globally today, but the goal of the International Maritime Organisation, IMO, is "to peak GHG emissions from international shipping as soon as possible and to reduce the total annual GHG emissions by at least 50% by 2050 compared to 2008".

Thus, "zero emission" can refer to different emissions, although greenhouse gases (GHG), including CO₂ and methane, are most in focus. The decision maker faces a multicriteria situation with both short- and long-term aspects and the decision on a new fuel and/or energy conversion technology is not only an environmental one, but health impact, technology, economy, logistics, availability, public acceptance, ethics, and political issues also are of importance.

Some things to note when making a fuel choice:

- Emission regulations and reporting today relate only to the ship, but sustainable solutions need to include the production and distribution chain.
- Alternative fuels are in general more expensive than the traditional, and the incentives for increased energy efficiency become stronger.
- Liquefied natural gas, LNG, fulfils sulphur and nitrogen oxide regulations. However, being fossil, it gives only a marginal decrease in GHG in combustion and possible emissions upstream.
- Since the lifetime, including the design, construction and use phase of a ship is very long (30 -50 years), also retrofitting of older ships provides improvement potential

Available and future energy conversion technologies discussed today include:

- Combustion engines (Diesel or Otto)
- Dual fuel engines (Diesel/gas)
- Gas turbines
- Fuel cells
- Electric motors

These can all be used with fossil as well as renewable energy carriers.

In addition, sail aided propulsion is also under development and can be attractive for some applications.

The combustion engine with low emitting, non-fossil fuel has a potential to be used also in coming years. Biofuels (HVO, LBG, lignin based fuel etc) provide a potential, although the availability of biomass is limited compared to the fuel use in global shipping.

Synthetic liquid or gaseous fuel, "e-fuels", like methanol or ammonia can be used in combustion engines but also in fuel cells. These can be produced from renewable electricity via electrolysis to

hydrogen and adding CO₂ or N₂. The technology for production is more complicated and the energy efficiency "from sun to fuel" is lower than when using electricity or hydrogen. This will also influence the cost of production.

Hydrogen, made from renewable electricity, can be directly used in fuel cells or combustion engines. It yields only water in fuel cells, nitrogen oxides may be formed in combustion engines. This is a very efficient energy carrier and many initiatives for production and use are on-going. The present lack of infrastructure and the low level of technology readiness makes the time scale for large scale introduction longer than for biofuel or e-fuel but it has the potential to become an attractive alternative with time.

From energy efficiency as well as total emissions point of view, the direct use of renewable electricity is a preferred choice. There are many applications where it is possible to use electricity stored in batteries, or even to connect ferries to the grid and there is lot of development. However, battery weight well as capacity issues need to be handled and battery production sets demands on scarce elements and new mines.

Summing up:

The main primary sources of non-fossil energy for shipping are biomass or renewable electricity.

Electricity is very energy efficient, but it needs to be distributed and stored. Battery storage can be used for some applications. Conversion of electricity to gaseous or liquid fuels makes present logistics and fuel handling possible, but it is less energy efficient and has higher production cost, as does conversion of biomass to fuel. The most energy efficient of liquid and gaseous electricity derived fuels is hydrogen.

Thus, there is no "one solution for all applications", but there will be many alternative fuels and technologies used in the coming years depending on application and local conditions. ■



KARIN ANDERSSON

Professor
Maritime Environmental Sciences,
Department of Mechanics and Maritime
Sciences, Chalmers University of
Technology
Gothenburg, Sweden

Karin.Andersson@chalmers.se