CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Amendment to: populations in environments with a soft carrying capacity are eventually extinct

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-12-04 04:47 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):
Jagers, P., Zuev, S. (2021). Amendment to: populations in environments with a soft carrying capacity are eventually extinct. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 83(1).
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00285-021-01624-z

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

(article starts on next page)

Journal of Mathematical Biology (2021) 83:3
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-021-01624-z

Mathematical Biology

Amendment to: populations in environments with a soft carrying capacity are eventually extinct

Peter Jagers[1] · **Sergei Zuyev**[1]

Received: 10 October 2020 / Revised: 27 April 2021 / Accepted: 26 May 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract
This sharpens the result in the paper Jagers and Zuyev (J Math Biol 81:845–851, 2020): consider a population changing at discrete (but arbitrary and possibly random) time points, the conditional expected change, given the complete past population history being negative, whenever population size exceeds a carrying capacity. Further assume that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the conditional probability of a population decrease at the next step, given the past, always exceeds ϵ if the population is not extinct but smaller than the carrying capacity. Then the population must die out.

Keywords Population dynamics · Extinction · Martingales · Stochastic stability

Mathematics Subject Classification 92D25 · 60G42 · 60K40

1 Three assumptions and one result

Denote population sizes, starting at time $\tau_0 = 0$, by Z_0, changing into $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots \in \mathbb{N}$ at subsequent time points $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 \ldots$. Here $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of non-negative integers, and we make no assumptions about the times between changes. Let $\mathscr{F}_n$ be the sigma-algebra of all events up to and including the n-th change - i.e. really *all* events, not only population size changes - and introduce a *carrying capacity* $K > 0$, the population size where reproduction turns conditionally subcritical. More precisely:

✉ Peter Jagers
jagers@chalmers.se

Sergei Zuyev
sergei.zuyev@chalmers.se
http://www.math.chalmers.se/~sergei

1 Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden

Published online: 21 June 2021

Assumption 1

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{n+1}|\mathscr{F}_n] \leq Z_n, \quad \text{if} \;\; Z_n \geq K. \tag{1}$$

Further,

Assumption 2 There is no resurrection or immigration but, otherwise, a change is a change in population size:

$$Z_n = 0 \Rightarrow Z_{n+1} = 0, \tag{2}$$
$$Z_n > 0 \Rightarrow Z_{n+1} \neq Z_n. \tag{3}$$

Assumption 3 Non-extinct populations, smaller than the carrying capacity, run a definite risk of decreasing:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < Z_n < K \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(0 \leq Z_{n+1} < Z_n|\mathscr{F}_n] \geq \epsilon. \tag{4}$$

Then:

Theorem 1 *Under the three assumptions given, the population must die out: with probability 1,* $Z_n = 0$ *eventually.*

The original paper (Jagers and Zuyev 2020) had a stronger third assumption, *viz.* that, whatever the population history, there must be a definite, strictly positive risk that the population size decreases by exactly one unit at the next change. This is not unnatural and can be interpreted as a possibility that a change involves no reproduction but merely the death of one individual. But it turns out to be unnecessary.

2 The proof

Like the original proof, this starts from stopping times $\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$, the former denoting the times of successive visits to the integer interval $[0, K)$, the latter the subsequent first hittings of levels $\geq K$. More precisely,

$$\nu_1 := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}; Z_n < K\},$$

and for $k = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\mu_k := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}; n > \nu_k \text{ and } Z_n \geq K\}, \nu_{k+1} := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}; n > \mu_k \text{ and } Z_n < K\}.$$

As was noted, $\nu_1 < \infty$, whereas the μ_k constitute an increasing sequence, possibly hitting infinity. Clearly, $\nu_k < \infty$, $\mu_k = \infty$ means that the population dies out at or after ν_k, without ever reaching K again. Also for any k, $\mu_k < \infty \Rightarrow \nu_{k+1} < \infty$. Proceeding like in the original paper, note that

$$Z_n \to 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}; Z_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \exists k; \mu_k = \infty,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(\exists k;\, \mu_k = \infty) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_k = \infty) = 1 - \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mu_k < \infty).$$

But

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu_k < \infty) = \mathbb{P}(\mu_k < \infty, \nu_k < \infty) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(\mu_k < \infty | \mathscr{F}_{\nu_k});\, \nu_k < \infty.]$$

For short, write

$$D_n := \{Z_n \leq (Z_{n-1} - 1)^+\}$$

for the event that the n-th change is a decrease, provided $Z_{n-1} > 0$ (and of course the population remains extinct if $Z_{n-1} = 0$). By Assumption 3, $Z_n < K$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\cap_{j=1}^K D_{n+j} | \mathscr{F}_n) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(D_{n+K} | \mathscr{F}_{n+K-1};\, \cap_{j=1}^{K-1} D_{n+j} | \mathscr{F}_n] \\ &\geq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(\cap_{j=1}^{K-1} D_{n+j} | \mathscr{F}_n) \geq \ldots \geq \epsilon^K.\end{aligned}$$

Since $Z_n < K$ implies that $Z_{n+K} = 0$ on the set

$$\cap_{j=1}^K D_{n+j},$$

and the population size never crosses the carrying capacity, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\mu_k = \infty) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\mu_k < \infty) \\ &\geq 1 - (1 - \epsilon^K)\mathbb{P}(\mu_{k-1} < \infty) \geq \ldots \geq 1 - (1 - \epsilon^K)^k \to 1.\end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows.

Reference

Jagers P, Zuyev S (2020) Populations in environments with a soft carrying capacity are entually extinct. J Math Biol 81(3):845–851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00285-020-01527-5

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.