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Abstract: This study shows a rapid and systematic approach towards identifying full density and peak hardness for an Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloy commonly known as Scalmalloy®. The alloy is tailored for the laser powder bed fusion process and has been shown to be printable with >99.8% relative density. The microstructure suggests Al grain refinement in melt pool boundaries, associated with formation of primary $\text{Al}_3(\text{Sc}, \text{Zr})$ particles during solidification. Peak hardening response was identified by heat treatment tests at 573, 598 and 623 K between 0 and 10 h. A peak hardness of 172 HV0.3 at 598 K for 4 h was identified. The mechanical properties were also tested with yield and ultimate strengths of 287 MPa and 364 MPa in as-printed and 468 MPa and 517 MPa in peak hardened conditions, respectively, which is consistent with the literature. Such an approach is considered apt when qualifying new materials in industrial laser powder bed fusion systems. The second part of the study discusses the thermal stability of such alloys post-peak-hardening. One set of samples was peak hardened at the conditions identified before and underwent secondary ageing at three different temperatures of 423, 473 and 523 K between 0 and 120 h to understand thermal stability and benchmark against conventional Al alloys. The secondary heat treatments performed at lower temperatures revealed lower deterioration of hardness over ageing times as compared to the datasheets for conventional Al alloys and Scalmalloy®, thus suggesting that longer ageing times are needed.
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1. Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM) summarises a range of highly dynamic manufacturing methods in which discretised material is selectively merged using some kind of fusing medium to build a 3D structure in a layer-by-layer fashion [1]. In laser-based powder bed fusion (LB-PBF) processing for metals, which is a type of AM method, fine metal powder is selectively melted and thus joined using a high-energy laser on a build-plate allowing very fine and complex shapes to be built. Once the relevant cross sections have been scanned by the laser, a new layer of powder is applied using a recoater in order to successively build the final component. The very localised melting of the material yields extremely high cooling rates, reaching levels of $10^4$–$10^6$ K/s, which allows for an extended alloying space as well as significantly different properties compared to conventionally manufactured materials [2].

Aluminium has several alloying systems suitable for AM production and the LB-PBF method in particular [2–4]. One such system of interest is the Al-Sc alloy system, from which Scalmalloy® was derived. Initially developed by Airbus in the 1970s, the resulting microstructure of Scalmalloy® after LB-PBF processing has gained the system a lot of traction for AM applications, mostly due to the precipitation scheme of $\text{Al}_3\text{Sc}$ precipitates playing various roles during processing and solidification [5–8]. The significance of these precipitates on the overall material properties is evident in both the conventionally manufactured Scalmalloy® and in the AM material and has been studied at length [9–11].
the LB-PBF processing, primary $\text{Al}_3\text{Sc}$ particles are formed at the melt pool boundaries and act as heterogeneous nucleation sites for Al matrix grains. This creates a refined grain structure of equiaxed grains at the melt pool boundaries in contrast to the elongated columnar grains found inside of the melt pool [12–14]. Further, the supersaturation of elements in the matrix due to the high local thermal gradients during processing provides a benign structure for the growth of single-digit nano-sized $\text{Al}_3\text{Sc}$ precipitates during subsequent ageing, giving a significant contribution to material strength as peak hardness is reached. The overall concept of precipitation hardening Al alloys and the subsequent effect of the alloying elements have been studied at length for manufacturing by LB-PBF and serve as a springboard for further development of alloys and process parameters [15–17]. Even alloys of similar characters in terms of precipitation schemes without the addition of Sc have been extensively researched, yielding similar benefits to the Al-Sc equivalent but with lower mechanical properties [18,19].

As more and more attention turns towards AM because of its immense potential in high-performance engineering, it is easy to overlook that the technology is still in its infancy in many regards and challenges still exist within the AM production chain [20–22]. Considering the often grand final designs of components tailored to AM, other aspects of the manufacturing process such as choice and tailoring of materials, feedstock manufacture, component design, printability and post-processing might be neglected. However, in the integrated chain of the AM process, all these steps play crucial roles in obtaining a desirable and suitable final product [23]. For AM and LB-PBF in particular, factors such as feedstock properties like powder quality, density variations in the final component and the overall extreme conditions during printing need to be considered to achieve a defect-free final component [2]. To fully reap the benefits of AM, the entire production from raw feedstock to potential post-processing of the resulting structure needs to be studied and assessed for each material and specific alloy manufacturer [12,14]. Different LB-PBF machines, for example, use different setups for powder feeding and distribution or use different laser configurations to process the same materials [2]. For example, machines may be using different spot sizes such as 40 µm or 100 µm but using similar laser wattage (200 W). That would mean that the machine with a smaller spot size of 40 µm will have maximum power density of $1.59 \times 10^7 \text{ W/cm}^2$ whereas another machine with a larger spot size of 100 µm will have a power density of $2.55 \times 10^6 \text{ W/cm}^2$, which is approximately an order of magnitude lower. This means that with a smaller spot size, the laser contains much higher energy concentration per unit area and thus, processing conditions for printing any material need to be adjusted while identifying regions with high density. It is thus important to develop an approach taking into account certain materials and machines, for example, to develop consistent properties.

In this study, a use case with Scalmalloy® printed using an EOS M100 machine has been shown and thus compared with other researchers’ results and results from the alloy manufacturer. The procedure followed simple steps such as performing a processability test with 10 mm side cubes printed in a simple design of the experimental approach to identify regions with high density (>99.5%), followed by heat treatments at different temperatures of interest to identify full hardness. The peak hardened condition was tested using tensile tests to corroborate the mechanical properties meeting standard data sheets. This procedural approach is beneficial when trying to qualify materials for different LB-PBF systems as they may have different resulting properties if a consistent approach is not used. Such an approach is considered good as it removes uncertainties arising from some of the process-related issues. It can thus be useful for researchers to qualify new materials for LB-PBF machines. This approach has also been used by the authors on four novel Al alloys, which are tailored for the LB-PBF process with successful results, and which are part of another study. Thus, the results from this study act as a benchmark to verify the validity of such a method as Scalmalloy® is a famous material with known properties. Finally, the thermal stability of the peak hardened material at medium temperatures (423–523 K) was tested using microhardness testing as an indicator. These properties could be crucial
when developing materials, for example aerospace structures [19]. The results were not conclusive as the deterioration in hardness was lower than suggested from the material data sheet; thus, it was suggested that longer ageing times would be needed to develop a full picture of thermal stability.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The atomised Scalmalloy® powder used was provided by Höganäs AB. The powder size distributions in as-received condition are mentioned in Figure 1 and Table 1. Table 2 shows the chemical composition of the powder in as-received condition and of the as-printed material. The powder size distribution was measured by laser diffraction using a Mastersizer 3000 from Malvern, UK, at RISE, Mölndal. The results were averaged over five measurements. The chemical composition was calculated using the inductively coupled plasma (ICP-AES) technique conducted at Höganäs AB.

Table 1. Powder size distribution for Scalmalloy® powder used (averaged over five measurements).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>D10 (in µm)</th>
<th>D50 (in µm)</th>
<th>D90 (in µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As atomised</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Powder size distribution of Al-Mg-Sc-Zr powder.](image)

Table 2. Chemical composition for Al-Mg-Sc-Zr (Scalmalloy®) alloy in as-received powder form and as-printed condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition (in wt%)</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Sc</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As atomised</td>
<td>Rem.</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As printed</td>
<td>Rem.</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. LB-PBF Processing and Establishing Full Density

The atomised powder was printed using an EOS M100 LB-PBF machine from EOS GmbH, Germany with a Yb-fibre laser having a spot size of 40 µm and peak power of 200 W (170 W nominal). The samples were printed keeping 170 W power and 0.03 mm layer thickness as fixed processing input with standard scan rotation of 67°. The processability of the alloy was then explored by varying the hatch distance and laser speed to identify settings leading to high relative density (>99.5%). The samples were printed as 10 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm cubes and their relative density was measured by observing cross sections of the samples along the build direction and transverse to build direction (XZ and XY).
as shown in Figure 2. The relative density of the samples was determined from stitched optical microscopy images of cross sections in as-polished condition along the XZ and XY planes and analysed using ImageJ software.

Figure 2. Cube design showing the build direction and gas flow direction. The red dotted lines indicate the cutting planes used for sample preparation.

2.3. Microstructure Evaluation, Thermal Treatment and Mechanical Testing

2.3.1. Microstructure Evaluation

For microstructure evaluation and microscopy, the samples were cut along XZ and XY planes, as described in Figure 2. After cutting of samples, they were mounted on Polyfast resin (from Streurs, Denmark). Then, the samples were ground on SiC foils of 240, 500, 1200, 2000 and 4000, followed by polishing with a 1 µm diamond-based polish and a final step with OP-S suspension from Streurs. All the grinding and polishing steps were conducted on a Streurs TegraPol31 machine. Some of the samples were etched using standard Keller’s reagent for optical microscopy. Light optical microscopy was conducted on a ZEISS Axioscope 7 instrument with an automated scale, which could stitch images with larger cross sections (order of 100 mm²). The microstructure evaluation was performed on polished samples on a Zeiss Gemini SEM 450 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with a field emission gun source. The microscope was fitted with a Bruker Quantax FlatQuad energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector, which enabled elemental mapping of microstructure at sub-micron resolutions.

2.3.2. Thermal Treatment

The high-temperature heat treatment (>573 K) of the samples was conducted in a pre-heated resistance furnace with a secondary thermocouple located close to the sample to verify temperature control within ±2 °C. The low-temperature heat treatments (423–523 K) were conducted in a HERATHERM convective oven by Thermo Scientific. The temperature close to the samples was used for calibrating the machine within ±2 °C.

2.3.3. Mechanical Testing

Vickers hardness testing was performed as per ASTM standard E92, E384 on the as-printed and heat-treated samples using a Qness Q10A+ automatic indentation machine with a load of 0.3 kg, hold time of 10 s and spacing of at least five times the indentation dimensions. For each sample, 16 points (4 × 4 grid) were tested along both XZ and XY planes to obtain a range of hardness value. The tensile testing specimens were printed horizontally along the build plate surface in the EOS M100 printer due to constraints with the printable height. Samples of 92 mm × 18 mm × 10 mm were then printed with a building direction along the 18 mm side. They were later machined as per the ISO 6892-1:2019 standard to make circular specimens with specifications shown in Figure 3. The
test section was 5 mm diameter and 25 mm gauge length. The samples were tested on a Zwick/Roell 2100 machine at Höganäs AB with a crosshead speed of 0.6 mm/min until failure, thus following the ISO 6892-1:2019 standard. Three samples each for as-printed and peak-aged conditions (598 K, 4 h) were tested.

Figure 3. Sketch for final tensile specimen designed as per ISO 6892-1:2019 (all dimensions in mm).

3. Results

3.1. Printability of Alloy

Although Al-Mg-Sc-Zr (Scalmalloy®) has been shown to be printable by several authors [5,7], the printability for different LB-PBF machines differs due to different processing routes employed by these machines. The machines could have differences in laser spot sizes and differences in powder handling systems or recoating mechanisms, which make it complicated to directly use the same processing parameters as established in the literature and apply them to any suitable machine. For the case in question, these alloys have been shown to be printable in an EOS M100 machine. Although the machine is equipped with a laser of 200 W, the spot size of 40 µm makes it have a focused beam with higher energy density. Thus, experiments were conducted by printing cubes of 10 mm × 10 mm × 10 mm with varying laser speed and hatch distance, to establish a new processing window with relatively high density (>99.5% density). The processing parameters are mentioned in Table 3.

Table 3. Processing parameters used for printability study of Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser Power</th>
<th>Layer Thickness</th>
<th>Hatch Distance</th>
<th>Laser Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>170 W</td>
<td>0.03 mm</td>
<td>0.1–0.15 mm</td>
<td>500–1500 mm/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After printing the specimens, they were cut as shown by the red lines in Figure 2 along the XZ and XY directions. The porosity levels were then measured using ImageJ software after acquiring section images at 5X optical zoom for the whole cross section. The cross sections studied were at least 40–50 mm² to ensure that a decent amount of porosity was captured. Figure 4 shows the summary of density results as a surface plot, thus showing a possible processing parameter window for such alloys. Thus, areas with >99.5% relative density were acquired.

3.2. Optical Microscopy

Optical microscopy images taken at 5X optical zoom and stitched over long areas are shown in Figure 5, which depicts the fully dense microstructure obtained for the alloy. There were no issues related to solidification cracking or other processing-related defects seen in this sample. Optical microscopy was also conducted specifically for the worst samples from the design of experiments to study the types of defects generated during the LB-PBF processing. The defects that were studied are illustrated in Figure 6. Two extreme samples were chosen based on understanding of the laser melting process and etched with Keller’s reagent to reveal melt pool boundaries. One of the samples was printed with high energy density coming from constant high power combined with low hatch distance and low laser speed. Here, the concentrated energy leads to evaporation of elements and high gas pressure causing gas porosity as shown clearly in the inset image of Figure 6a. The other sample was printed with low energy density coming from constant high power combined with high hatch distance and high laser speed. This leads to inadequate energy available to
melt the metal particles together, thus forming lack of fusion pores that demarcate the lack of bonding between melt pools as shown clearly in the inset image of Figure 6b.

![Figure 4. Surface plot showing density as a function of varying laser speed (mm/s) and hatch distance (mm).](image)

![Figure 5. Image showing (a) XZ and (b) XY cross sections for full density samples. The average relative density for these samples was recorded to be 99.8%.](image)

![Figure 6. Optical microscopy images showing (a) etched sample printed with high energy (low laser speed) with gas pores causing defects, (b) etched sample printed with low energy (high laser speed) with lack of fusion causing defects. Note that the inset images focus on such defects.](image)
3.3. Microhardness Testing for Identifying Peak Hardness

After ensuring satisfactory relative densities, peak hardness was sought by ageing the samples at 573 K, 598 K and 623 K for up to 10 h. Figure 7 shows the obtained hardness values as a function of ageing time for the 3 different temperatures. A peak ageing treatment was found after holding the material at 598 K for 4 h, which generated a hardness value of 172 HV0.3, averaged over cross sections XY and XZ.

![Hardness vs. Ageing Time](image)

Figure 7. Hardness as a function of ageing time for 3 temperatures: 573 K (blue), 598 K (red) and 623 K (yellow) averaged along XY and XZ directions. Error bars show one unit of standard deviation.

3.4. Microstructure in As-Printed and Peak-Aged Condition

Freshly polished samples were introduced in as-printed and peak-aged conditions (598 K for 4 h) in the scanning electron microscope and the microstructural features were studied under back-scattered mode to detect grain boundary contrast and precipitate contrast. Figure 8 shows the electron microscopy images with two cross sections in as-printed conditions. The microstructure exhibits refined grains, which are sub-micron size at melt pool boundaries and columnar grains at the centre of the melt pool, as seen in the inset image of Figure 8a.

![Electron Microscopy Images](image)

Figure 8. Electron microscopy images showing (a) XZ section and (b) XY section of as-printed sample in back-scattered mode. Notice the inset images in (a), which show clear grain refinement at melt pool boundaries.

After peak hardening the alloy, some microstructural changes were observed. The grain size and refined grain structure seemed to be intact after this treatment, as can be seen in Figure 9. This meant that recrystallisation of Al-grains did not take place or was relatively insignificant. Secondly, there was some precipitation observed at grain boundaries, which were seen to be rich mostly in Mn, as seen from the two high-resolution EDS maps seen in Figure 9. In some cases, certain enrichment of Mg was also seen in a few
precipitates, which could be due to formation of \( \text{Mg}_2\text{Si} \) precipitates during heat treatment. Additionally, Figure 10 refers to another type of precipitate. It was seen that one such category of precipitate, seen to be several \( \mu \text{m} \) in size, was enriched in Mn and Zr. This could be \( \text{Al}_3\text{Zr} \) particles formed during laser processing in the as-printed conditions. It is well known that Zr forms primary precipitates from Al-melt at very high temperatures (around 1000–1100 K depending on composition) and there are thermally stable precipitates after ageing at temperatures as high as 600 K [18].

Figure 9. (a) Electron microscopy images for peak-aged condition (598 K for 4 h). (b) Inset image shows a magnified section where EDX maps were taken and grain boundary precipitation can be observed. The EDX maps show Al, Mn, Mg and Sc as identified elements. It is seen that Mn-enriched precipitates are found at grain boundaries, with some of them possibly rich in Mg. The scale bar for EDS images represents 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) length.

Figure 10. (a) Electron microscopy images for peak-aged condition (598 K for 4 h). Very few such precipitates are seen in the sample (b) Inset image shows a magnified section where such precipitate is shown. The EDX maps at the region show Al, Mg, Mn, and Zr as identified elements. It is seen that these precipitates contain Mn and Zr. These could have formed from LB-PBF processing as primary precipitates from melt and may also be present in as-printed samples. The scale bar for EDX images represents 5 \( \mu \text{m} \) length.

3.5. Tensile Testing

The tensile testing was conducted for as-printed and peak-aged conditions along the X-direction after printing the samples horizontally. All six samples were tested at 0.6 mm/min until failure. The results are shown in the stress-elongation curve in Figure 11 and the summary of mechanical properties in Table 4. The standard deviation for both yield strength and tensile strength were <2 MPa and <0.5%; thus, despite the limited amount of samples (3 each condition), this rendered the results to be good with little variation.
Figure 11. Tensile testing curve for as-printed (blue) and peak-aged (red) conditions for Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloy. The curve is represented as an elongation (%) vs. true stress (in MPa) curve.

Table 4. Summary of tensile properties for Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloy in as-printed and peak-aged conditions. Values are averaged over three test samples. $\sigma_{0.2}$ and $\sigma_{UTS}$ represent the yield strength and ultimate tensile strength, respectively. Standard deviation for $\sigma_{0.2}=1.2$ MPa, $\sigma_{UTS}=1.0$ MPa and area reduction = 0.23%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$\sigma_{0.2}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{UTS}$ (MPa)</th>
<th>Reduction in Area (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As printed</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak aged</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A secondary heat treatment series was conducted to gauge how the hardness is affected by prolonged exposure at elevated temperatures, to emulate “in-service” conditions for such alloys. Hardness values as a function of ageing time for three different holding temperatures are provided in Figure 12. Based on the previously documented degradation of mechanical properties that Scalmalloy® suffers from through exposure to elevated temperatures, surprisingly no significant decline was highlighted by this experiment. A decline of hardness was measured; however, it is noted that the decreases in hardness for the longer exposure times are within the respective standard deviations of previous measurements leading up to 120 h.

Figure 12. Hardness as a function of prolonged ageing times for three temperatures: 423 K (blue), 473 K (red) and 523 K (yellow). Error bars show one unit of standard deviation.
4. Discussion

Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloy (Scalmalloy®) is a great example of an Al alloy tailored for LB-PBF processing. Although Al-Mg alloys are susceptible to solidification cracking due to segregation of Mg during solidification [24,25], this has been shown to be treated efficiently with $\text{Al}_3(\text{Sc}, \text{Zr})$ cubic particles formed during solidification, which act as nucleants and thus help in refining Al grains and avoiding solidification cracking [7,26]. This method not only creates a printable alloy, it also provides for isotropic properties along both X- and Z-loading directions of printing. In this study, it has been shown that a rapid and systematic design of the experimental approach for achieving full density could be beneficial while identifying good density (>99.5%) and thus consistent mechanical properties. Upon checking the defects with an optical microscope, a generic lack of fusion or gas pores was observed, which has been shown in the literature for the LB-PBF process [2,27]. The microhardness testing was conducted to establish a peak hardness condition in the first heat treatment and it was summarised that 598 K for 4 h was chosen as the peak hardness value based on results and supported by the literature [5,26]. The electron microscopy results were consistent with as-printed microstructure showing bi-modal grain structure, having equiaxed refined grains at melt pool boundaries and longer grains in the centre of melt pools, as shown in Figure 8. In the peak-aged condition, grain boundary precipitates mostly containing Mn were observed. Another interesting observation was the presence of Zr- and Mn-containing precipitates in the peak-aged condition. It is suggested that these might be Zr containing $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$ primary particles forming from the molten Al during the printing process. Figure 13 shows that primary $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$ particles (called Al3X or $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_D023$) start to form around approximately 1080 K during equilibrium solidification. Although LB-PBF processing is a non-equilibrium process, such solidification simulations are good indicators to explain the formation of certain equilibrium particles. These $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$ particles are usually quite resistant to coarsening in their stable form and thus, they can stay in the alloy even after direct ageing, which could explain the reason for finding them in SEM imaging. Similar precipitation was not observed in the as-printed sample.

![Figure 13](image)

Figure 13. (a) One-axis equilibrium for Al-Mg-Sc-Zr alloys indicating the formation of different phases during solidification. (b) Zoomed-in image at low amount of phases to show that $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}$ (named Al3X or $\text{Al}_3\text{Zr}_D023$) particles are formed as primary particles before all the liquid Al has solidified. Simulation was performed using ThermoCalc2021a with TCAL7 and MOBAL5 databases.

The tensile testing results were also consistent with the literature values. The as-printed specimen showed dynamic strain ageing, which is suggested to come from the Portevin–Le Chatelier effect [28,29]. It is explained when solute atoms diffuse around dislocations and slow down dislocation motion, leading to negative strain-rate sensitivity (nSRS) as seen in Figure 11. This effect can lead to material instabilities. The effect was observed in all the as-printed specimens whereas it was not observed in the heat-treated specimens. The heat-treated specimens showed high strength along with good ductility. A summary shown in Table 5 discusses the variation in properties of the same alloy, resulting from the use of
different processing parameters. This highlights the need for such a consistent strategy while qualifying materials for different LB-PBF systems. It is seen that different systems could mean that laser energy density between 38 J/mm$^3$ to 267 J/mm$^3$ could be needed to achieve fully dense samples. However, the peak hardened samples perform similarly. Secondly, when comparing the peak hardening response from this study to [26], it is seen that some difference is observed in heat-treated properties. It is seen that whereas the peak hardening response in [26] was recommended at 598 K or 623 K for 4 h, this study recommends 573 K 8 h, 598 K 4 h. Other authors have recommended different ageing times for peak hardness in Al-Mg-Sc based alloys; [8] recommends between 573 K and 623 K for 4 to 12 h, while [30] recommends a two-step ageing treatment of 573 K 3 h + 633 K 4 h for peak hardening. As seen in Figure 7, at 623 K the onset of hardening was quick and peak hardness was achieved within 30 min, and then the properties started to deteriorate after further ageing.

Table 5. Summary of tensile properties of Scalmalloy$^\text{®}$ comparing yield strength ($R_{p0.2}$), ultimate tensile strength ($R_m$) and reduction in area in as-printed and peak-aged conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$, $R_m$ (MPa), Reduction of Area (%) As-Printed</th>
<th>$R_{p0.2}$, $R_m$ (MPa), Reduction of Area (%) Peak-Aged</th>
<th>Processing Conditions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>280, 415, 15.8</td>
<td>453, 530, 10.3</td>
<td>Concept Laser M2 machine, optimal energy density 125–150 J/mm$^3$, optimum heat treatment at 598 K 4 h or 623 K 4 h</td>
<td>[7,26,31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>520, 530, 20</td>
<td>EOS M270 machine, optimum heat treatment 598 K 4 h</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286.9, -, 18.4</td>
<td>455.8, -, 10.3</td>
<td>Renishaw AM400 machine, optimal energy density 266.7 J/mm$^3$, optimum heat treatment 598 K 4 h</td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>480, 520, 13</td>
<td></td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287, 364, 18.8</td>
<td>468, 517, 8.5</td>
<td>EOS M100 machine, optimal energy density 37.8 J/mm$^3$, optimum heat treatment 598 K 4 h</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The secondary heat treatments conducted on these samples were performed on the presumption that the $\text{Al}_3(\text{Sc}, \text{Zr})$ precipitates mostly responsible for hardening in the alloys are not resistant to coarsening at temperatures as high as 523 K. As reported by [19], all the mechanical properties for Scalmalloy$^\text{®}$ fall sharply after 373 K. Hence, secondary ageing tests were conducted at temperatures between 423 and 523 K for 0 to 120 h in order to record this drop. Due to the small cubes used for this treatment, microhardness testing was seen as a viable method to record the drop in mechanical properties instead of tensile results. This was then compared with a benchmark of conventional Al alloys and some LB-PBF Al alloys, as shown in Figure 14. It was seen that Scalmalloy$^\text{®}$ is expected to drop to 78 MPa at 523 K from 490 MPa at 297 K. This means that there is approximately an 86% drop in ultimate tensile strength. However, during the ageing performed up to 120 h, the hardness values went from 172 HV to 167 HV, which is a significantly lower drop than expected. It is thought that this could be happening due to the short ageing times used. It is possible that the tests mentioned are representative for several thousand hours before reporting these mechanical properties and thus, similar tests may need to be conducted to validate such values. Thus, it is suggested that at least 2000–3000 h of ageing would reveal actual high temperature properties, because that will lead to higher deterioration. Secondly, if the tensile tests are conducted at high temperature as well, then the tensile deformation
would produce different results than hardness testing performed at room temperature on samples that were heat treated for the same duration before. This is due to the simple fact that high temperature activates diffusion of elements by several orders of magnitude [34], thus changing the mechanical properties significantly. Thus, it is suggested to use a longer curing cycle to see hardness deterioration combined with actual high temperature tensile testing to show high-temperature properties of these alloys.

Figure 14. Deterioration of ultimate tensile strength of different conventional Al alloys as compared to AlSi10Mg, Scalmalloy® [19,35,36].

5. Conclusions

In this study, it has been shown that Al-Mg-Sc-Zr (Scalmalloy®) is printable in an EOS M100 machine with high relative density (99.5% density). After conducting heat treatments to establish peak hardness, it was seen that the hardening behaviour was in agreement with the literature. The peak-hardened condition of 598 K for 4 h was chosen where average hardness of 172 HV0.3 was observed. Tensile samples and electron microscopy revealed similar results as reported in the literature, thus verifying the performance of the alloy using this particular AM machine. Yield and tensile strength of 468 MPa and 517 MPa, respectively, were reported in peak-hardened condition. This approach of following a design of experiments for obtaining full density, combined with a systematic approach to develop heat treated properties, is deemed good when qualifying new alloys for LB-PBF systems where the processing parameters or regions of high density may be unknown. Additionally, thermal stability results of the alloy after peak hardening were explored where the results were seen to be inconclusive. The reason for the same was attributed to the lower amount of ageing time that was used (120 h) and different mechanical responses with in-situ or ex-situ testing of mechanical properties. The authors suggest performing at least 2000–3000 h of ageing at low temperatures (423–523 K) along with high-temperature tensile testing in order to establish these properties close to ASM standards.
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