

# Localization of eigenfunctions in a thin cylinder with a locally periodic oscillating boundary

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-12-08 23:23 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Pettersson, K. (2022). Localization of eigenfunctions in a thin cylinder with a locally periodic oscillating boundary. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 511(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2022.126074

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications



www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

# Localization of eigenfunctions in a thin cylinder with a locally periodic oscillating boundary



#### K. Pettersson

Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 August 2021 Available online 10 February 2022 Submitted by A. Lunardi

Keywords:
Localization of eigenfunctions
Locally periodic structure
Dimension reduction
Thin domains
Homogenization

#### ABSTRACT

We study a Dirichlet spectral problem for a second-order elliptic operator with locally periodic coefficients in a thin cylinder. The lateral boundary of the cylinder is assumed to be locally periodic. When the thickness of the cylinder  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, the eigenvalues are of order  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  and described in terms of the first eigenvalue  $\mu(x_1)$  of an auxiliary spectral cell problem parametrized by  $x_1$ , while the eigenfunctions localize with rate  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ .

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

This paper deals with the leading terms in the asymptotics of the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions to the following Dirichlet spectral problem in a thin cylinder with a periodically oscillating boundary:

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) = \lambda^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \tag{1}$$

with the Dirichlet condition on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ . The asymptotics is established in the case of locally periodically oscillating coefficients, under structural assumptions given in terms of an eigenvalue problem on the associated periodicity cell.

In [8], Friedlander and Solomyak studied the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a narrow strip

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : -a < x < a, \ 0 < y < \varepsilon h(x)\},\$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive parameter. The following structural assumptions were made: x = 0 is the unique global maximum of positive function h(x), such that

E-mail address: klaspe@chalmers.se.

$$h(x) > 0$$
 continuous on  $I = [-a, a], a > 0$ 

$$h(x)$$
 is  $C^1$  on  $I \setminus \{0\}$ , and

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} M - c_{+}x^{m} + O(x^{m+1}), & x > 0, \\ M - c_{-}|x|^{m} + O(x^{m+1}), & x < 0, \end{cases} \qquad M, c_{-}, c_{+} > 0, \quad m \ge 1.$$

In particular, the authors have proved the following asymptotics result.

**Theorem 1.1** (Friedlander, Solomyak, 2009). Let  $\lambda_j^{\varepsilon}$  be the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  on  $\Omega^{\varepsilon}$  with the Dirichlet condition on  $\partial\Omega^{\varepsilon}$ . Then

$$\mu_j = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{4(m+2)^{-1}} \left( \lambda_j^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\pi^2}{M^2 \varepsilon^2} \right),$$

where  $\mu_i$  are the eigenvalues of the operator on  $L^2(\mathbf{R})$  given by

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x), \quad q(x) = \begin{cases} 2\pi M^{-3} c_+ x^m, & x > 0, \\ 2\pi M^{-3} c_- x^m, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

One notes that Theorem 1.1 tells that the decay of the profile (or diameter)  $h(x_1)$  in the vicinity of its maximum dictates the growth of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j^{\varepsilon}$ , and that it indicates the rate of localization of the eigenfunctions, as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

The present paper aims at describing the effect of oscillating coefficients and oscillating boundary on the spectral asymptotics. In Theorem 1.1, the authors imposed structural assumptions on the strip profile  $h(x_1)$ , requiring for  $h(x_1)$  a unique maximum point. In singularly perturbed problems, when the leading term of the asymptotics contains an oscillating function, which is the first eigenfunction of an auxiliary spectral cell problem, a standard assumption is often made on the corresponding first eigenvalue (see for example [2]). In our case, we use also the factorization technique, impose an assumption on the first eigenvalue  $\mu_1(x_1)$  in the periodicity cell eigenvalue problem (see (8)), and require that it has a unique minimum point. Even if such assumptions are standard, it is sometimes not apparent how they are related to the geometry of the domain or the coefficients of the equation. In the present paper we show that the assumption in Theorem 1.1 is a specific case of (8) (see Example 5.1). We show that the global minimizer of  $\mu_1$  determines the point where the eigenfunctions localize. In addition, the growth of  $\mu$  in the vicinity of its minimum dictates the rate of localization as well as the behavior of the eigenvalues.

The method we use to obtain the leading terms of the asymptotics of the eigenvalue and the eigenfunctions to (1) is homogenization via two-scale (see [16,1]). In particular, we use the singular measure approach, and the two-scale compactness theorem essentially contained in [24,25] (see also [7]), which is expressed in the current setting in [19].

The fundamentals of spectral asymptotics for elliptic operators has been described in [23], which has been successfully applied in homogenization problems (see for example [17]). There are many works in which localization of eigenfunctions has been described in the context of elliptic operators with oscillating coefficients. The works most closely related to our problem seem to be the following. In [20,2], spectral asymptotics and localization of eigenvalues in bulk domains with large potentials were considered. In [18], spectral asymptotics and localization of eigenvalues in thin domains with large potentials were considered. Homogenization in domains with low amplitude oscillating boundaries were considered in [13,3,19]. Spectral asymptotics of the Laplace operator in thin domains with slowly varying thickness were considered in [8,6,14], where under the Dirichlet boundary conditions the localization of eigenfunctions occur.

In order to capture the oscillations of the eigenfunctions, in [20,2] the factorization by an eigenfunction of an auxiliary spectral cell problem was used. In the present paper, we also use this kind of factorization. Due

to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions in our problem, the first eigenfunction  $\psi_1(x_1, y)$  of the auxiliary spectral problem (6) vanishes on the boundary of the cell, and the new unknown function satisfies a problem with degenerate coefficients posed in a weighted Sobolev space. Significant contributions to the development of the factorization principle were made by Vanninathan in [22], and by Kozlov in [11]. The case of the Dirichlet condition treated in [22] is closely related to the spectral asymptotics in the problem considered in this paper.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the domain with oscillating boundary and state the problem with the hypotheses. In Section 3, we establish a priori estimates for the eigenvalues and state the main result, and in Section 4 we prove the spectral asymptotics result of this paper. In Section 5, we describe a scheme to compute the leading terms in the expansions of the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions to (1).

#### 2. Problem statement

The problem we consider is to describe the leading terms in the asymptotics of the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  and eigenfunction  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  to the problem (2), as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. The domain under consideration is a thin cylinder with a locally periodic oscillating boundary, and the coefficients are assumed to be smooth, periodically oscillating, and to satisfy the strong ellipticity condition. The Dirichlet condition is imposed on the boundary. Here we specify the assumptions on the domain, and the coefficients and boundary conditions separately.

# 2.1. A thin cylinder with a locally periodic oscillating boundary

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a small parameter; the points in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  are denoted by  $x = (x_1, x')$ , and I = (-1/2, 1/2). We are going to work in a thin cylinder

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x') : x_1 \in I, x' \in \varepsilon Q(x_1, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}) \right\}.$$

Here  $Q(x_1, x_1/\varepsilon)$  describes the locally periodic varying cross section of the cylinder introduced in the following way.

We denote

$$Q(x_1, y_1) = \{ y' \in \mathbf{R}^{d-1} : F(x_1, y_1, y') > 0 \},\$$

where  $F(x_1, y_1, y')$  is such that

- (H1)  $F(x_1, y_1, y') \in C^2(\overline{I} \times \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1})$ , where  $\mathbf{T}^1$  is the one-dimensional torus.
- (H2)  $Q(x_1, y_1)$  is non-empty, bounded, and simply connected.

The conditions (H1), (H2) are fulfilled, for instance, if F has the following properties:

- (F1) For each  $x_1$  and  $y_1$ ,  $F(x_1, y_1, 0) > 0$  and  $F(x_1, y_1, y') < 0$  for  $|y'| \ge R$ , for some R > 0.
- (F2)  $F(x_1, y_1, \cdot)$  does not have a nonpositive local maximum/minimum.

The condition (F1) guarantees that  $Q(x_1, y_1)$  is not empty and bounded, and the condition (F2) guarantees that  $Q(x_1, y_1)$  is simply connected. When  $F = F(y_1, y')$  we have a periodic oscillating boundary, when  $F = F(x_1, y')$  we are in the case of slowly varying thickness, and finally, when F = F(y') the cylinder has uniform cross-section, constant along the cylinder.

The boundary of  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  consists of the lateral boundary of the cylinder

$$\Sigma_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ x = (x_1, x') : x_1 \in I, F\left(x_1, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x'}{\varepsilon}\right) = 0 \right\},$$

and the bases

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm} = \left\{ \pm \frac{1}{2} \right\} \times \varepsilon Q \left( \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

The periodicity cell depends on  $x_1$  and is defined as follows

$$\Box(x_1) = \{ y = (y_1, y') \in \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1} : y_1 \in \mathbf{T}^1, y' \in Q(x_1, y_1) \}$$
$$= \{ y \in \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1} : F(x_1, y) > 0 \},$$

where  $\mathbf{T}^1$  is the one-dimensional torus, realized with unit measure. Since  $F(x_1, y_1, y')$  is periodic in  $y_1$ , and F is regular, the lateral boundary  $\partial \Box(x_1) = \{y : F(x_1, y) = 0\}$  of the periodicity cell  $\Box(x_1)$  is Lipschitz.

# 2.2. Dirichlet spectral problem in a thin oscillating domain

In the thin domains with oscillating boundary  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  of Section 2.1, we consider the following Dirichlet spectral problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) = \lambda^{\varepsilon} u^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon},$$

$$u^{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}.$$

$$(2)$$

The matrix  $A(x_1, y)$  in (2) is assumed to be symmetric with entries  $a_{ij}$  in  $C^2(\overline{I} \times \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1})$ , and satisfy the ellipticity condition

$$A(x_1, y)\xi \cdot \xi \ge \alpha |\xi|^2, \quad x_1 \in I, \quad y \in \square(x_1), \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d.$$

By the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the spectrum of (2) is discrete and may be arranged as follows:

$$0 < \lambda_1^{\varepsilon} < \lambda_2^{\varepsilon} \le \lambda_3^{\varepsilon} \le \cdots, \qquad \lim_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j^{\varepsilon} = \infty,$$

where the eigenvalues are counted as many times as their finite multiplicity. Orthonormalized by

$$\int_{\Omega} u_i^{\varepsilon} u_j^{\varepsilon} dx = \varepsilon^{1/2} \varepsilon^{d-1} \delta_{ij}, \tag{3}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta, the corresponding eigenfunctions form a Hilbert basis in  $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , up to scaling. The scaling in (3) is natural for the statement of the result of this paper.

We study the asymptotic behavior of the eigenpairs  $(\lambda^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon})$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. The homogenization result for the eigenpairs  $(\lambda^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon})$ , as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , is given in Theorem 3.3. In order to present the limit problem, we need an auxiliary spectral cell problem, which is introduced in Section 3.1 (see (6)).

# 3. Spectral asymptotics

In this section, we identify the first two terms in the asymptotic expansion of the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  to (2):

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mu_0(0)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\lambda_1^0}{\varepsilon} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),\tag{4}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. For comparison with the result of Theorem 1.1, for smooth h with quadratic growth at its maximum (m=2), one has for the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  of the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\pi^2/h(0)^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\mu_1}{\varepsilon} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),\tag{5}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, where  $\mu_1$  is the first eigenvalue of a harmonic oscillator. When comparing the asymptotics in (4) and (5) one notes that the oscillations in the coefficients and the domain do not change the structure of the asymptotics, while the factors in both the leading terms  $1/\varepsilon^2$  and  $1/\varepsilon$  will change. In particular, the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  is still shifted a bit to the right as the factor  $\lambda_1^0$  is positive. One notes also that the factors  $\mu_1(0)$  and  $\lambda_1^0$  in (4) agree with  $\pi^2/h(0)^2$  and  $\mu_1$  in (5), for the Dirichlet Laplacian in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  as explained in Section 5.

To obtain the asymptotics (4) we proceed as follows. First we derive a priori estimates for the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  to (2). Then we make a change of variables with the aid of hypothesis (8) such that the eigenvalues of the rescaled problem are bounded. Finally we show the convergence of the corresponding eigenvalues and their eigenfunction in  $L^2$  using the method of two-scale convergence in domains with measure. The result, which includes (4), is stated as Theorem 3.3.

# 3.1. A priori estimates for the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$

The radius of the cylinder  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is of order  $\varepsilon$ , so the Dirichlet condition on the lateral boundary suggests that in view of the variational principle, the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  is expected to be of order  $1/\varepsilon^2$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Consider a smooth function of the form

$$v^{\varepsilon}(x) = v(x_1)w\left(\frac{x'}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

 $v(x_1) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  with support in I,  $w(x') \in C_0^{\infty}(B_0(\rho))$ , where  $B_0(\rho)$  is the ball of a small fixed radius  $\rho$  centered at the origin in  $\mathbf{R}^{d-1}$  such that  $I \times \varepsilon B_0(\rho) \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}$  (cf. (F1)). Using  $v^{\varepsilon}(x)$  as a test function in the variational principle for  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} A(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v \cdot \nabla v \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^2 \, dx} \le C \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla v^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (v^{\varepsilon})^2 \, dx} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2},$$

for all small enough  $\varepsilon$  such that  $v^{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , and for some constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$ . To identify the factor in  $1/\varepsilon^2$ , and to obtain the corresponding estimate from below, one needs to choose the test functions more carefully. In particular, for  $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$  to be sharp an optimal oscillating  $\varepsilon$ -periodic profile  $\psi$  along  $x_1$  has to be selected, because  $|\nabla \psi(x_1/\varepsilon)|^2 = O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ .

Let  $(\mu_1, \psi_1(x_1, y))$ , for each  $x_1 \in \overline{I}$ , be the first eigenpair to the following cell eigenvalue problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{y}\psi) = \mu(x_{1})\psi \qquad \text{in } \square(x_{1}),$$

$$\psi = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\square(x_{1}),$$
(6)

normalized by

$$\int_{\Box(x_1)} \psi_1(x_1, y)^2 \, dy = 1. \tag{7}$$

By the Krein-Rutman theorem (cf. [5]), the first eigenvalue  $\mu_1(x_1) > 0$  is simple, and  $\psi_1(x_1, y)$  does not change sign in  $\square(x_1)$ , and may for example be chosen positive. By the regularity of the coefficients A and the domain  $\square(x_1)$  in  $x_1$ , and the simplicity of the first eigenvalue  $\mu_1(x_1)$ , one has  $\mu_1(x_1) \in C^2(\overline{I})$  (see [9,10]).

We use  $\psi_1$  to construct a test function in the variational formulation for  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$ . Namely, we are going to take a test function as a product of  $\psi_1$ , taking care of the transverse oscillations, and a function of  $x_1$  only. In the proof of Lemma 3.1 we see that to minimize the ratio in the variational principle, the latter function should localize in the vicinity of the minimum point of  $\mu_1$ . This motivates the following structural assumption:

$$\mu_1(x_1)$$
 has a unique global minimum at  $x_1 = 0$ , and  $\mu_1''(0) > 0$ . (8)

We make further remarks on the assumption (8) in Section 5, and there illustrate how it is related to the assumptions on the geometry of the cylinder in Theorem 1.1 in [8].

The following a priori estimate holds for the first eigenvalue to (2).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  be the first eigenvalue to (2), and suppose that the first eigenvalue  $\mu_1(x_1)$  to (6) satisfies (8). Then

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),\,$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

**Proof.** In the Rayleigh quotient,

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \min_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} A(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v \cdot \nabla v \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^2 \, dx},$$

we use test functions of the form

$$v^{\varepsilon}(x) = \psi_1\left(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)v\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),$$

where  $\psi_1 \geq 0$  is the first eigenfunction to (6), and nonzero  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  with support contained in I. Then  $v^{\varepsilon} \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ . The Taylor theorem and hypothesis (8) give

$$\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}x_1) = \mu_1(0) + \varepsilon \mu_1''(0)x_1^2 + o(\varepsilon x_1^2),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Using the boundedness of  $a_{ij}$ , the regularity properties of  $\psi_1$ , re-scaling and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\lambda_{1}^{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} A(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \nabla v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v^{\varepsilon} dx}{\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (v^{\varepsilon})^{2} dx}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2} A) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} x_{1}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \nabla v \cdot \nabla v dx}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} x_{1}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^{2} dx} + \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1} b + \varepsilon^{-1} \psi_{1} c + \varepsilon^{-2} \mu_{1} \psi_{1}^{2}) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} x_{1}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^{2} dx}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} x_{1}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^{2} dx}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon^{2}} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon},$$

for some absolute constant C which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , where

$$b(x_1, y) = -\text{div}_x(A(x_1, y)\nabla_x\psi_1(x_1, y)),$$
(9)

$$c(x_1, y) = -\text{div}_y(A(x_1, y)\nabla_x\psi_1(x_1, y)) - \text{div}_x(A(x_1, y)\nabla_y\psi_1(x_1, y)).$$
(10)

We proceed with the estimate from below. Let  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  be the first eigenfunction to (2), normalized by  $\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (u_1^{\varepsilon})^2 dx = 1$ , and let  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  be such that

$$u_1^{\varepsilon}(x) = \psi_1\left(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) v_1^{\varepsilon}(x). \tag{11}$$

Note that  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  may blow up at the boundary due to the Dirichlet condition on  $\psi_1$ , and this will be addressed with weighted Sobolev spaces in the further analysis. By the hypothesis (8) that  $\mu_1(0)$  is the minimal value of  $\mu_1(x_1)$ , we get under the chosen normalization,

$$\lambda_{1}^{\varepsilon} = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_{1}^{2} A) \left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{1}^{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_{1} b + \varepsilon^{-1} \psi_{1} c) \left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\mu_{1}(x_{1})}{\varepsilon^{2}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{\mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon^{2}} + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_{1}^{2} A) \left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v_{1}^{\varepsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_{1} b + \varepsilon^{-1} \psi_{1} c) \left(x_{1}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} dx. \tag{12}$$

We note that  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  defined in (11) belongs to the weighted space

$$H^1\big(\Omega_\varepsilon,\psi_1^2\big(x_1,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\big)\big)=\big\{v:\psi_1\big(x_1,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\big)v\in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon),\,\psi_1\big(x_1,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\big)\nabla v\in L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon)\big\},$$

equipped with the natural norm. The space  $H^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}, (\psi_1)^2(x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}))$  is continuously embedded into  $L^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ : More precisely, there exists a constant C independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^2 dx \le C \left( \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v^2 \psi_1^2 \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) dx + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla_{x'} v|^2 \psi_1^2 \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) dx \right), \quad v \in H^1 \left( \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \psi_1^2 \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \right). \tag{13}$$

Recall that x' are the transverse coordinates, and the radius of the cylinder  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is of order  $\varepsilon$ . To verify (13) one may use the following one-dimensional inequality:

$$\int\limits_0^\infty \Big(\int\limits_x^\infty |v(\xi)|\,d\xi\Big)^2\,dx \leq 4\int\limits_0^\infty v^2x^2\,dx, \quad \int\limits_0^\infty v^2x^2\,dx < \infty,$$

arguing in local charts as in the standard proof of the Sobolev embedding theorems (cf. [15]), together with the estimates (30) on the growth of  $\psi_1$  in the vicinity of the lateral boundary of  $\Box(x_1)$  (see Lemma 4.1 below). It then follows from the estimate (13), the uniform boundedness of  $\psi_1$ ,  $b(x_1, y)$ , and  $c(x_1, y)$ , and the ellipticity condition for A, that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_1 b + \varepsilon^{-1} \psi_1 c) \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla_{x'} v_1^{\varepsilon}|^2 \psi_1^2 \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) dx \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} + C_1 \varepsilon \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} (\psi_1^2 A) \left( x_1, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \nabla v_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla v_1^{\varepsilon} dx,$$

which together with (12) give

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} \ge \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{C}{\varepsilon},$$

for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and the desired estimate from below for the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$ 

It follows from the estimate in Lemma 3.1 that the first eigenfunction  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  concentrates (or localizes) in the vicinity of the minimum point of  $\mu_1(x_1)$  (here at  $x_1 = 0$ ). Namely, for any  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon} \setminus B_{\gamma}(0)} (u_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, dx < \gamma,$$

for all small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $B_{\gamma}(0)$  is the ball of radius  $\gamma$  centered at the origin (cf. Lemma 3.3 in [21]).

# 3.2. Spectral asymptotics

We introduce the homogenized problem for eigenpairs  $(\nu, v)$  on **R**:

$$-\frac{d}{dz_1} \left( a^{\text{eff}} \frac{dv}{dz_1} \right) + \left( c^{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_1''(0) z_1^2 \right) v = \nu v, \quad z_1 \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{14}$$

where the constant coefficients  $a^{\text{eff}}$ ,  $c^{\text{eff}}$  are defined as follows. Let  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  be the first eigenpair to (6) normalized by (7). Let

$$c^{\text{eff}} = -\int_{\Box(0)} \psi_1(0, y) \Big( \text{div}_y (A(x_1, y) \nabla_x \psi_1(x_1, y)) + \text{div}_x (A(x_1, y) \nabla_y \psi_1(x_1, y)) \Big) \Big|_{x_1 = 0} dy.$$

The effective coefficient  $a^{\text{eff}}$  is such that  $a^{\text{eff}} > 0$ , and it is given by

$$a^{\text{eff}} = \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \psi_1^2(0, y) a_{1j}(0, y) (\delta_{1j} + \partial_{y_j} N(y)) dy,$$
(15)

with  $N \in H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$  the unique solution such that

$$\int_{\Box(0)} N(y)\psi_1^2(0,y) \, dy = 0,$$

to the following auxiliary cell problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}((\psi_{1}^{2}A)(0,y)\nabla_{y}N) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_{y_{j}}(\psi_{1}^{2}a_{j1})(0,y), \quad y \in \square(0).$$
(16)

In the cell problem (16), the classical non-homogeneous Neumann condition is not present and this because the weight  $\psi_1^2(0, y)$  effectively removes the lateral boundary  $\partial \Box(0)$ . This is seen in the variational form of the problem, presented as (18)–(19) below.

In the definition of the effective coefficient  $a^{\text{eff}}$ , the weighted Sobolev space  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$  is used. Denote

$$L^{2}(\square(0), \psi_{1}^{2}(0, y)) = \left\{ v : \int_{\square(0)} v^{2}(y) \psi_{1}^{2}(0, y) \, dy < \infty \right\},\,$$

the weighted Lebesgue space. Then  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0,y))$  is defined as

$$H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y)) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y)) : \nabla v \in L^2(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y)) \right\},\,$$

with inner product

$$(u,v)_{H^1(\square(0),\psi_1^2(0,y))} = \int_{\square(0)} uv \, \psi_1^2(0,y) \, dy + \int_{\square(0)} (\nabla_y u \cdot \nabla_y v) \psi_1^2(0,y) \, dy.$$

One notes that  $\psi_1^2(0,y) > 0$  for  $y \in \square(0)$ , and  $\psi_1^2(0,y) = 0$  for y on  $\partial \square(0)$ . Moreover,

$$\psi_1^2(0,y) \in L^1_{loc}(\square(0)), \qquad \frac{1}{\psi_1^2(0,y)} \in L^1_{loc}(\square(0)).$$
 (17)

The first property in (17) ensures that  $C^{\infty}(\square(0))$  belongs to  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$ , and the second property in (17) ensures that  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$  is a separable Hilbert space (cf. [15,12]).

The cell problem (16) is well-posed in  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$ , and its variational form is

$$N \in H^{1}(\square(0), \psi_{1}^{2}(0, y)), \quad \int_{\square(0)} N(y)\psi_{1}^{2}(0, y) \, dy = 0, \tag{18}$$

$$\int_{\square(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y) \nabla_y N \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy = -\int_{\square(0)} \sum_{j=1}^d (\psi_1^2 a_{1j})(0, y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \, dy, \quad \varphi \in H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y)). \tag{19}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** The spectrum of the harmonic oscillator problem (14) is bounded from below and discrete:

$$\nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_j < \dots, \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} v_j = \infty.$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions  $v_i \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  may be normalized by

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} v_i v_j \, dz_1 = \delta_{ij}. \tag{20}$$

The following theorem is the result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that (8) holds. Let  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $u_i^{\varepsilon}$  be the ith eigenpair to (2),  $u_i^{\varepsilon}$  normalized by (3), and let  $\mu_1$ ,  $\psi_1$  be the first eigenpair to (6),  $\psi_1$  normalized by (58). Then

$$(i) \quad \lambda_i^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\nu_i}{\varepsilon} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d-1}\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left| u_i^{\varepsilon}(x) - \psi_1\left(0, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) v_i^0\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \right|^2 dx = o(1),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, where  $(\nu_i, v_i)$  is the ith eigenpair to (14),  $v_i$  normalized by (20).

The Dirichlet condition for  $u_1^{\varepsilon}$  on the lateral boundary  $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$  of the cylinder  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is captured in the limit by the radial profile  $\psi_1(0, y)$  solving the cell eigenvalue problem (6), while the Dirichlet condition on the ends/bases  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}$  of the cylinder  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is translated into exponential decay of the longitudinal profile v solving the homogenized eigenvalue problem (14) as  $|z_1|$  tends to infinity.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.3

This section is devoted to the convergence of the spectrum, Theorem 3.3.

# 4.1. Rescaled and shifted problem

The estimate for the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  to (2) in Lemma 3.1 suggests studying the asymptotics of

$$\varepsilon \lambda_i^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon},\tag{21}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, as for i = 1 this is O(1). Moreover, the first eigenfunction tends to localize in the vicinity of  $x_1 = 0$ , which is the minimum point of  $\mu_1(x_1)$ . Let us subtract  $\mu_1(0)/\varepsilon^2$  from both sides of the equation (2), shifting the spectrum to the left, and make the following change of variables:

$$z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}, \qquad u^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z) = \psi_1\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)v^{\varepsilon}(z) \equiv \psi_1^{\varepsilon}(z)v^{\varepsilon}(z). \tag{22}$$

The corresponding problem in the up-scaled domain and bases

$$\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm},$$

takes the form

$$-\operatorname{div}_{z}\left(\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}\nabla_{z}v^{\varepsilon}\right) + \left(C^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu_{1}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}) - \mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon}(\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}\right)v^{\varepsilon} = \nu^{\varepsilon}(\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}v^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, \tag{23}$$

$$v^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
 on  $\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$ . (24)

Here, the coefficients and the potential are given by

$$\widetilde{A}^{\varepsilon}(z) \equiv \widetilde{A}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) = \psi_{1}^{2}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) A\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right), \tag{25}$$

and

$$C^{\varepsilon}(z) \equiv C\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) = \left[-\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y)\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{x}\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y))\right.$$

$$\left. -\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y)\operatorname{div}_{x}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{y}\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y))\right.$$

$$\left. -\varepsilon\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y)\operatorname{div}_{x}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{x}\psi_{1}(x_{1}, y))\right]\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right),$$

$$\left. \left(26\right)$$

and by choice (21),

$$\nu^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \lambda^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon}.$$
 (27)

The functions  $v_i^{\varepsilon}$  and the number  $\nu_i^{\varepsilon}$  are well-defined by (22) and (27), in terms of  $\lambda_i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $u_i^{\varepsilon}$  and  $\psi_1$ , and so  $\nu_i^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_i^{\varepsilon}$  is an eigenpair to the problem (23)–(24). The problem for  $v^{\varepsilon}$  (23)–(24) is well-posed in the weighted Sobolev space  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  defined as follows. Denote

$$L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}) = \left\{ v : \int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} v^{2}(z) (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} dz < \infty \right\},\,$$

the weighted Lebesgue space. Then  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  is defined as

$$H^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}) = \{ v \in L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}) : \nabla v \in L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}), v = 0 \text{ on } \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}} \},$$

$$(28)$$

with inner product

$$(u,v)_{H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}},(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2,\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})} = \int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} u \, v \, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, dz + \int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} \nabla_z u \cdot \nabla_z v \, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, dz,$$

where the meaning of the trace on  $\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$  in (28) is described below. One notes that  $(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 > 0$  for  $z \in \widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}$ , and  $(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 = 0$  on the lateral boundary  $\widetilde{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}} = \partial \widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$ , and  $(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 > 0$  almost everywhere on the ends  $\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$ . Furthermore,

$$(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \in L^1_{loc}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}), \qquad \frac{1}{(\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2} \in L^1_{loc}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}).$$
 (29)

The first property in (29) ensures that  $C^{\infty}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}})$  belongs to  $H^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ , and the second property in (29) ensures that  $H^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  is a separable Hilbert space (cf. [15,12]).

The usual trace can be used in the definition (28) of  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_\varepsilon^\pm})$ , say by arguing separately on disjoint parts of the boundary. Let  $C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega^\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon})$  denote the space of functions in  $C^\infty(\overline{\widetilde{\Omega^\varepsilon}})$  with support not intersecting  $\widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon}$ . As  $(\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\widetilde{\Omega^\varepsilon})$ , all functions in  $C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega^\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon})$  belong to  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2) = \{v \in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2) : \nabla v \in L^2(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2) \}$ , equipped with the considered norm. It is therefore meaningful to let  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_\varepsilon^\pm})$  be the closure of  $C^\infty(\widetilde{\Omega^\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon})$  with respect to the considered norm. The space of traces of functions  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2)$  on  $\widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon}$  is the factor space  $H^{1/2}(\widetilde{\Gamma_\pm^\varepsilon}) = H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2)/H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_\varepsilon}, (\psi_1^\varepsilon)^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_\varepsilon^\pm})$  equipped with the factor norm (cf. [12]).

Note that  $C^{\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded by the regularity properties of  $\psi_1$ , and consequently adding a potential  $Cv^{\varepsilon}$  to (23) shifts the spectrum by C and makes the potential positive.

Although the spectrum of (2) is characterized, it is useful to remark that it is at most shifted after the change of variables. To this end we make use of the following estimates on the growth of the first eigenfunction to (6) in the vicinity of the Dirichlet boundary (see [4] for a general analysis).

**Lemma 4.1.** There exist absolute constants  $c_1, c_2, \delta$  such that in local charts  $(y'_r, y_{rd}), a(y'_r)$  of  $\square(x_1)$ ,

$$c_1\psi_1(x_1, y) \le y'_{rd} - a(y'_r) \le c_2\psi_1(x_1, y),$$
(30)

for  $y \in V_{\delta}$ , where  $V_{\delta} = \{ y \in \overline{\square(x_1)} : y'_{rd} - a(y'_r) < \delta \}$ .

**Proof.** By the regularity of the coefficient matrix A and the boundary  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ ,  $\Psi_1$  is  $C^2(\overline{\Box(x_1)})$  for all  $x_1 \in \overline{I}$ . Because the boundary  $\partial \Box(x_1)$  is  $C^2$ , the distance function  $d(y, \partial \Box(x_1))$  is in  $C^2(V_\delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , where  $V_\delta$  is a neighborhood of  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ :

$$V_{\delta} = \{ y \in \overline{\square(x_1)} : d(y, \partial \square(x_1)) < \delta \}.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a smooth cutoff such that  $\varphi = 1$  in  $V_{\delta/2}$ , and  $\varphi = 0$  outside  $V_{\delta}$ . Then for some constant  $C(x_1)$ ,

$$|\operatorname{div}_y(A(x_1, y)\nabla_y(\varphi d(y, \partial \square(x_1))))| \le C(x_1), \quad y \in \overline{\square(x_1)}.$$

It follows that for  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$-\mathrm{div}_y(A(x_1,y)\nabla_y(\Psi_1 - \alpha\varphi d(y,\partial\Box(x_1)))) \ge \mu_1(x_1)\Psi_1(x_1,y) - \alpha C(x_1),$$

for  $y \in \square(x_1)$ . By the Taylor theorem, for  $y_0 \in \partial \square(x_1)$ ,

$$\Psi_1(x_1, y) = \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \nu}(x_1, y_0) d(y, \partial \square(x_1)) + O(d(y, \partial \square(x_1))^2), \tag{31}$$

and by the strong maximum principle, the inward normal derivative is positive, if  $\Psi_1$  is chosen positive in  $\square(x_1)$ :

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial \nu}(x_1, y) > 0, \quad x_1 \in \overline{I}, y \in \overline{\square(x_1)}.$$

One notes that in the vicinity of the boundary, the quadratic term in (31) is small, while in the interior a positive  $\Psi_1$  is globally bounded away from zero by a positive constant. Thus by choosing  $\Psi_1$  to be positive in  $\square(x_1)$ , one has for all small enough  $\alpha, \delta$ , that  $\Psi_1 - \alpha \varphi d(y, \partial \square(x_1))$  is a subsolution that satisfies the Dirichlet condition on the boundary:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{y}(\Psi_{1} - \alpha\varphi d(y, \partial \square(x_{1})))) \geq 0, \quad y \in \square(x_{1}),$$

$$\Psi_{1} - \alpha\varphi d(y, \partial \square(x_{1})) = 0, \quad y \in \partial \square(x_{1}).$$

It follows from the maximum principle that

$$\psi_1(x_1, y) > C(x_1)d(y, \partial \square(x_1)), \quad y \in V_{\delta/2},$$

for some constant  $C(x_1)$ . The second inequality in (30) follows, by the compactness of  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ . The first inequality in (30) follows from the Taylor theorem,

$$\Psi_1(x_1, y) = \nabla_y \Psi_1(x_1, y_0) \cdot (y - y_0) + O(|y - y_0|^2),$$

for  $y_0 \in \partial \square(x_1)$ , and the quadratic term is dominated by the linear term in a neighborhood of the boundary.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** The spectrum of problem (23) is discrete, bounded from below, and consists of a countably infinite number of points:

$$C \le \nu_1^{\varepsilon} < \nu_2^{\varepsilon} \le \nu_3^{\varepsilon} \le \cdots$$
  $\lim_{i \to \infty} v_i^{\varepsilon} = \infty,$ 

counted as many times as their finite multiplicity, and there exists a sequence of corresponding eigenfunctions  $v_i^{\varepsilon}$  that may be normalized by

$$\varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{\varepsilon}} |\Box(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z)|^{-1} v_i^{\varepsilon} v_j^{\varepsilon} (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 dz = \delta_{ij}.$$
(32)

**Proof.** Let  $G^{\varepsilon}: L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}) \to H^{1}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  be the Green operator that maps  $f \in L^{2}(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2})$  to the solution to the equation

$$-\operatorname{div}_{z}(\widetilde{A^{\varepsilon}}\nabla_{z}v) + (D^{\varepsilon} + C_{1}(\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2})v = (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2}f \quad \text{in } \widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, \tag{33}$$

$$v = 0$$
 on  $\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$ , (34)

where

$$D^{\varepsilon} = C^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1) - \mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2,$$

where  $C^{\varepsilon}$  is given by (26), and  $C_1$  is a constant. Because  $D^{\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded, the solution  $v \in H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  is uniquely defined for all  $\varepsilon$ , by the Riesz representation theorem, for large enough  $C_1$ . By Lemma 4.1, there exist absolute constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  such that in local charts  $(x'_r, x_{rd}), a(x'_r)$ ,

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon}c_1\psi_1^{\varepsilon}(x) \le x'_{rd} - a(x'_r) + \kappa(x'_r) \le \sqrt{\varepsilon}c_2\psi_1^{\varepsilon}(x), \tag{35}$$

for some  $\kappa$  such that  $0 \leq \kappa(x_r') \leq c_3$ , where  $\kappa$  is necessary to compensate for  $\Psi_1^{\varepsilon}$  being nonzero on the ends  $\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}}$ . Because the boundary of  $\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}$  is continuous, the condition (35) guaranties that  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  is compactly embedded into  $L^2(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2)$  (see e.g. [15]). Let  $f_n$  be a bounded sequence in  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ . By weak compactness, there along a subsequence,  $f_n$  converges weakly to f in  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ , and strongly in  $L^2(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2)$ . It follows that  $G^{\varepsilon}f_n$  converges to  $G^{\varepsilon}f$  in  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ , along some subsequence. This shows that  $G^{\varepsilon}$  is compact from  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$  to  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ . In particular,  $G^{\varepsilon}$  is self-adjoint on  $H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ , and positive for large enough  $C_1$ . The statement of the lemma then follows from the spectral theorem for such operators.  $\square$ 

We may obtain information about the asymptotics of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions to (2) by studying the spectral asymptotics of the problem (23)–(24), or view it as a change of variables in studying (2). In any point of view the following a priori estimate for the eigenfunction  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  to the rescaled problem is a starting point of the analysis.

# 4.2. A priori estimates for the rescaled problem

For the dimension reduction in the problem, we introduce the natural measure on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  that charges the thin up-scaled cylinder  $\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}$ . Namely, let

$$d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2} |\Box(0)|^{-1} \chi_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}}(z) dz.$$
(36)

In this way, we divide by the measure of the cross-section such that the rescaled measure converges to the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure charging the real line.

**Lemma 4.3.** The sequence of measures  $\mu_{\varepsilon}$  defined by (36) converges weakly to  $\mu$  defined by

$$d\mu_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup d\mu = dz_1 \times \delta(z'), \quad z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbf{R}^d,$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero (weak\* in the space of Radon measures on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ).

**Proof.** The proof relies on the mean value property and one only has to check that the rescalings are appropriate (cf. Lemma 2.1 in [19]).

Let  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \varphi(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \int_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}I} \frac{\varepsilon^{-\frac{(d-1)}{2}}}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon}Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z_1}{\varepsilon})} \varphi(z) dz' dz_1.$$

Rescaling  $y' = z'/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  gives

$$\frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \varphi(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\varepsilon^{-1/2}I} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})} \varphi(z_1, \sqrt{\varepsilon}y') dy' dz_1.$$

Let us divide the interval  $\varepsilon^{-1/2}I$  into subintervals (translated scaled periods)  $I_j^{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}[0,1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}j$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ . On each interval we use the mean-value theorem choosing a point  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_j$  and get

$$\frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}^{\varepsilon}} \int_{Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z_{1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})} \varphi(z_{1}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}y') dy' dz_{1} = \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}^{\varepsilon}} \int_{Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_{j}z_{1}, \frac{z_{1}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})} \varphi(\xi_{j}, \sqrt{\varepsilon}y') dy' dz_{1}.$$

Since  $Q(x_1, y_1)$  is periodic with respect to  $y_1$ , rescaling  $z_1 = y_1 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  yields

$$\frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \sum_{j} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{T}^1} \int_{Q(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_j, y_1)} \varphi(\xi_j, \varepsilon y') dy' dy_1 = \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \sum_{j} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{\Box(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_j)} \varphi(\xi_j, \varepsilon y') dy.$$

The last sum is a Riemann sum converging to the following integral

$$\frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \sum_{j} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_{\Box(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_{j})} \varphi(\xi_{j}, \varepsilon y') dy \to \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} \varphi(z_{1}, 0) \, dy dz_{1} = \int_{I} \varphi(z_{1}, 0) \, dz_{1} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \varphi(z) \, d\mu,$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose that  $\mu_1(x_1)$  has a unique global minimum point at  $x_1 = 0$ , i.e. (8) holds. Let  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  be the first eigenfunction to (23), normalized by (32). Then the following estimate holds:

$$\|\psi_1^{\varepsilon}\nabla v_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})} + \|\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})} + \|\psi_1^{\varepsilon}z_1v_1^{\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})} \le C.$$

**Proof.** The weak form of the equation for  $\nu^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon}$  is

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} \widetilde{A^{\varepsilon}} \nabla v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dz + \int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} \left( C^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\mu_{1}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}) - \mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon} (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} \right) v^{\varepsilon} \, \varphi \, dz = \nu^{\varepsilon} \int_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}} (\psi_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} \, v^{\varepsilon} \, \varphi \, dz, \tag{37}$$

for all  $\varphi \in H^1(\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}, (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2, \widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}})$ , where  $C^{\varepsilon}$  is given by (26).

We turn to the a priori estimates for the first eigenfunction  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$ , under the following normalization:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^{\varepsilon})^2 (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 d\mu_{\varepsilon} = 1.$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$\nu_1^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \lambda_1^{\varepsilon} - \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} = O(1),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Taking the first eigenfunction as a test function in (37), we get

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \big) |\nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon}|^2 \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \\ &\leq C \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^2 A) \big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \big) \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \\ &= C \Big( \nu_1^{\varepsilon} \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \Big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Big) (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) - \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1 b + \varepsilon \psi_1 c) \Big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Big) (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \\ &- \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \Big( \frac{\mu_1 (\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1) - \mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} \psi_1^2 \Big) \Big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \Big) (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \Big) \\ &\leq C, \end{split}$$

for some constant C which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , where one has used the boundedness of  $\psi_1$ , b, and c. By hypothesis (8), there exists an absolute constant C that is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and such that

$$\frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1) - \mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} \ge Cz_1^2, \quad z_1 \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\overline{I}.$$

Indeed, suppose that there exists a sequence  $\zeta_i$  such that

$$\frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_j) - \mu_1(0)}{|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_i|^2} \to 0, \quad j \to \infty.$$

Since  $z_1 \in (\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{-1}\overline{I}$ , then for each fixed  $\varepsilon$ ,  $|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_j|^2$  is bounded, which yields

$$\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_j) \to \mu_1(0), \quad j \to \infty.$$

Then  $\zeta_j \to 0$ , by uniqueness of the minimum point 0. On the other hand, since  $\mu_1''(0)$  is strictly positive by assumption,

$$\frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_j) - \mu_1(0)}{|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\zeta_j|^2} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_1''(0) + o(1) > \alpha\mu_1''(0) > 0, \quad \zeta_j \to 0$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ , and we arrive at a contradiction.

Therefore, again by the integral identity for the eigenpair  $(\nu_1^{\varepsilon}, v_1^{\varepsilon})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) z_{1}^{2} (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) 
\leq C_{1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \frac{\mu_{1}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}) - \mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon} (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) 
= C_{2} \left(\nu_{1}^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) (v_{1}^{\varepsilon})^{2} d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2}A) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \nabla_{z} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{z} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \right)$$

$$-\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1 b + \varepsilon \psi_1 c) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) (v_1^{\varepsilon})^2 d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \right)$$

$$\leq C,$$

for some constant C which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , by the boundedness of A,  $\psi_1$ , b, and c, and the above estimate for  $\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon}$ . This proves Lemma 4.4.  $\square$ 

## 4.3. Two-scale convergence

The definition of two-scale convergence for our particular setting is the following. For the specific weakly convergent measures in (36),

$$d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{\varepsilon^{-(d-1)/2}}{|\Box(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1)|} \chi_{\widetilde{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}}(z) dz \rightharpoonup d\mu(z) = dz_1 \times d\delta(z'),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. A bounded sequence  $v_{\varepsilon}$  in  $L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , that is

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v_{\varepsilon}^2(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) < \infty, \tag{38}$$

is said to be weakly two-scale convergent at rate  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  to a function  $v = v(z, y) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d \times \square(0), d\mu \times dy)$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} v$ , if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v_{\varepsilon}(z) \varphi(z) \phi\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\Box(0)} v(z, y) \varphi(z) \phi(y) dy d\mu(z),$$

for any  $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1})$  and any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

A bounded sequence  $v_{\varepsilon}$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  is said to be strongly two-scale converging to a function  $v \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d \times \Box(0), d\mu \times dy)$  if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} v_{\varepsilon}(z) w_{\varepsilon}(z) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\Box(0)} v(z, y) w(z, y) dy d\mu(z),$$

for any weakly two-scale converging sequence  $w_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} w$  in  $L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ .

The following two-scale compactness principle holds.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $v_{\varepsilon}$  be a bounded sequence in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , that is it satisfies (38). Then, along a subsequence,  $v_{\varepsilon}$  converges weakly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to some  $v = v(z, y) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d \times \square(0), d\mu \times dy)$ .

A proof Lemma 4.5 goes as the proof of the weak compactness principle in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$  (cf. Lemma 2.2 in [25]) utilizing the property of the mean value.

## 4.4. Passage to the limit

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $(\nu_1^{\varepsilon}, \nu_1^{\varepsilon})$  be the first eigenpair to (23), normalized by (32). Then, along some subsequence,  $(\nu_1^{\varepsilon}, \psi_1^{\varepsilon} \nu_1^{\varepsilon})$  converge in  $\mathbf{R}$  and weakly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , respectively, to a pair  $(\nu, \psi_1(0, y)v)$ , where  $(\nu, v)$  is an eigenpair to the effective problem (14).

Note that at this point we do not claim that  $(\nu, v)$  is the first eigenpair to the limit problem.

**Proof of Lemma 4.6.** By the two-scale compactness principle, using the a priori estimates in Lemma 4.4 for  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon}$ , there exist  $v, w \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d \times \Box(0), dz_1 \times d\delta(z') \times dy)$  such that along some subsequence, still denoted by  $\varepsilon$ , the following weak two-scale convergences hold in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ :

$$\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})v_1^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} w(z_1, y),$$
 (39)

$$\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} p(z_1, y),$$
 (40)

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, and in particular  $w, p \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \times \square(0))$ . Let  $\nu$  be such that  $\nu^{\varepsilon}$  converges to  $\nu$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , restricting to a further subsequence if necessary.

By the boundedness of the gradient of  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$ , Lemma 4.4 or (39)–(40), one notes that necessarily  $w = \psi_1(0,y)v(z_1)$  for some function  $v = v(z_1) \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ . To see this one uses the two-scale convergence in (39) and oscillating test functions of the form

$$\Phi^{\varepsilon}(z) = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\varphi(z)\phi(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}),$$

where  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1})$ . On the one hand,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2})^{\varepsilon} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{z_{i}} \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2})^{\varepsilon} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} (\partial_{z_{i}} \varphi) \phi(y) + \varphi \partial_{y_{i}} \phi \right) (\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) d\mu_{\varepsilon} 
\rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\Box(0)} \psi_{1}(0, y) w(z_{1}, y) \varphi \partial_{y_{i}} \phi dy dz_{1},$$
(41)

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, where one has used that  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon} \partial_{z_i} \Phi^{\varepsilon}$  is strongly two-scale convergent to  $\psi_1(0, y) \varphi(z) \partial_{y_i} \phi(y)$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ . On the other hand,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^2)^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon} \partial_{z_i} \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \partial_{z_i} ((\psi_1^2)^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon}) \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon}. \tag{42}$$

Because

$$\partial_{z_i}(\psi_1^2(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1,\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})v_1^{\varepsilon}) = (\psi_1^2)^{\varepsilon}\partial_{z_i}v_1^{\varepsilon} + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}(\partial_{z_i}\psi_1)^{\varepsilon}\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}(\partial_{y_i}\psi_1)^{\varepsilon}\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon},$$

one has, by the boundedness of  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon} \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \partial_{z_i} ((\psi_1^2)^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon}) \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} \to \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\Box(0)} 2w \varphi(z) \phi(y) \partial_{y_i} \psi_1(0, y) dy dz_1, \tag{43}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. It follows from (41)–(43) that

$$\psi_1(0,y)\nabla_y w = 2w\nabla\psi_1(0,y),$$

almost everywhere in  $\mathbf{R} \times \square(0)$ . One concludes that,

$$w(z_1, y) = \psi_1(0, y)v(z_1),$$

for some  $v = v(z_1) \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$ .

We proceed to the two-scale limit p of  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon} \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi(z_1, y)$  be such that

$$\operatorname{div}_{y}(\psi_{1}^{2}(0, y)\Phi(z_{1}, y)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{R}^{d-1}.$$
(44)

Then one the one hand by (40),

$$\int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^2)^{\varepsilon} \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \cdot \Phi^{\varepsilon} \, d\mu_{\varepsilon} \to \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int\limits_{\Box(0)} \psi_1(0,y) p(z_1,y) \cdot \Phi(0,y) \, dy \, dz_1,$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. On the other hand by the choice of test functions, compactly supported  $\Phi$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2})^{\varepsilon} \nabla_{z} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2})(0, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) \nabla_{z} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} \cdot \Phi^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} + o(1)$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} v_{1}^{\varepsilon} (\psi_{1}^{2})^{\varepsilon} (\operatorname{div}_{z} \Phi)^{\varepsilon} d\mu_{\varepsilon} + o(1)$$

$$\rightarrow -\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\Box(0)} \psi_{1}^{2}(0, y) v(z_{1}) (\operatorname{div}_{z} \Phi)(0, y) dy dz_{1}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\Box(0)|} \int_{\Box(0)} \nabla_{z} v(z_{1}) \cdot \psi_{1}^{2}(0, y) \Phi(0, y) dy dz_{1},$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, for any  $dz_1 \times d\delta(z')$  gradient  $\nabla_z v$  of v. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Gamma(0)} \left( \frac{p}{\psi_1(0,y)} + \nabla_z v \right) \cdot \psi_1^2(0,y) \Phi(0,y) \, dy \, dz_1 = 0,$$

for any solution  $\Phi$  to (44). By the solenoidal nature of  $\psi_1^2(0,y)\Phi(z_1,y)$ 

$$\frac{p}{\psi_1(0, y)} + \nabla_z v = \nabla_y q,$$

for some  $q \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, H^1(\square(0)))$ . It follows that

$$p = \psi_1(0, y)(\nabla_z v + \nabla_u q),$$

almost everywhere in  $\mathbf{R} \times \square(0)$ , for some  $q \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, H^1(\square(0)))$ .

To sum up, by the two-scale compactness principle for sequences with bounded gradient, there exists  $v \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $q \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, H^1(\square(0)))$  such that two-scale weakly in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ ,

$$\psi_1^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} \psi_1(0, y) v(z_1),$$
  
$$\psi_1^{\varepsilon} \nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} \psi_1(0, y) (\nabla^{dz_1 \times d\delta(z')} v(z_1) + \nabla_y q(z_1, y)),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. One notes that

$$\nabla^{dz_1 \times d\delta(z')} v = (\partial_{z_1} v, r),$$

where  $r \in L^2(\mathbf{R})$  is some transverse gradient of v with respect to the measure  $dz_1 \times d\delta(z')$ . Moreover, by the a priori estimate  $||z_1\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon}||_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})} \leq C$ , the convergence of  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon}$  is strong in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ .

We are now in a position to pass to the limit in the equation for  $\nu^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon}$ . The variational form of the equation for  $\nu^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon}$ , in terms of the measure  $d\mu_{\varepsilon}$ , is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2} A) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla_{z} v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{z} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon} + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1} (b + \varepsilon c) + \frac{\mu_{1}(x_{1}) - \mu_{1}(0)}{\varepsilon} \psi_{1}^{2}) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}$$

$$= \nu^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}, \tag{45}$$

for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

We pass to the limit as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero in (45) using the two-scale converge. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^2 A) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla_z v^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_z \varphi \, d\mu_\varepsilon &= \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla_z v^\varepsilon \cdot (\psi_1 A) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla_z \varphi \, d\mu_\varepsilon \\ &\to \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}} \int\limits_{\Box(0)} p(z_1, y) \cdot (\psi_1 A)(0, y) \nabla_z \varphi(z_1, 0) \, dy \, dz_1 \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}} \int\limits_{\Box(0)} (\psi_1 A)(0, y) p(z_1, y) \, dy \cdot \nabla_z \varphi(z_1, 0) \, dz_1, \end{split}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, because  $(\psi_1 A)(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\nabla_z \varphi$  converges strongly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to  $(\psi_1 A)(0, y)\nabla_z \varphi(z_1, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \times \square(0))$ . Because  $((b + \varepsilon c)\psi_1)(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\varphi$  converges strongly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to  $b(0, y)\psi_1(0, y)\varphi(z_1, 0) \in L^2(\mathbf{R} \times \square(0))$ ,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1(b+\varepsilon c)) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^\varepsilon \varphi \, d\mu_\varepsilon \to \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}} \int\limits_{\square(0)} b(0,y) w(z_1,y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_1,0) \, dz_1,$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. Because  $\nu^{\varepsilon}\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\varphi$  converges strongly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to  $\nu\psi_1(0, y)\varphi(z_1, 0)$   $\in L^2(\mathbf{R} \times \square(0))$ ,

$$\nu^{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon} \to \nu \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\square(0)} \psi_{1}(0, y) w(z_{1}, y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_{1}, 0) \, dz_{1},$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. By the Taylor theorem, and hypothesis (8),

$$\frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1) - \mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_1''(0)z_1^2 + o(z_1^2),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, so by the compact support of  $\varphi$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{\mu_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1) - \mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon} \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon} &= \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \mu_1''(0) z_1^2 \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v^{\varepsilon} \varphi \, d\mu_{\varepsilon} + o(1) \\ &\to \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \mu_1''(0) z_1^2 \int\limits_{\square(0)} \psi_1(0, y) w(z_1, y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_1, 0) \, dz_1, \end{split}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, because  $\frac{1}{2}\mu_1''(0)z_1^2\psi_1\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1,\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)\varphi$  converges strongly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d,d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to  $\frac{1}{2}\mu_1''(0)z_1^2\psi_1(0,y)\varphi\in L^2(\mathbf{R}\times\square(0))$ . In conclusion,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} (\psi_{1}A)(0,y)p(z_{1},y) \, dy \cdot \nabla_{z}\varphi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} b(0,y)w(z_{1},y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} 
+ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2}\mu_{1}''(0)z_{1}^{2} \int_{\Box(0)} \psi_{1}(0,y)w(z_{1},y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} 
= \nu \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} \psi_{1}(0,y)w(z_{1},y) \, dy \, \varphi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1},$$
(46)

for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

Using

$$w(z_1, y) = \psi_1(0, y)v(z_1),$$
  

$$p(z_1, y) = \psi_1(0, y)((\partial_{z_1}v(z_1), r) + \nabla_y q(z_1, y)),$$

and the normalization of  $\psi_1$ , transforms (46) into

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y) ((\partial_{z_1} v(z_1), r) + \nabla_y q(z_1, y)) dy \cdot \nabla_z \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1 
+ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} (\psi_1 b)(0, y) dy v(z_1) \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1 + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{2} \mu_1''(0) z_1^2 v(z_1) \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1 
= \nu \int_{\mathbf{R}} v(z_1) \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1,$$
(47)

for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

To compute q one uses oscillating test functions of the form

$$\Phi^{\varepsilon}(z) = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\phi(z)\varphi(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}),$$

with  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\square(0)})$ . One has

$$\nabla_z \Phi^\varepsilon(z) = \phi(z) \nabla_y \varphi \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \varphi \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \nabla_z \phi(z).$$

By passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero in (45) with test functions  $\Phi^{\varepsilon}$ , using their fixed compact support, one obtains from the weak two-scale convergence of  $\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})v_1^{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\Box(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y)((\partial_{z_1} v, r) + \nabla_y q) \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy \, \phi(z_1, 0) \, dz_1 = 0, \tag{48}$$

for any  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and any  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\square(0)})$ . If

$$q(z_1, y) = N(y) \cdot (\partial_{z_1} v(z_1), r), \tag{49}$$

equation (48) requires for N(y) to satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{r,j=1}^{d} (\psi_{1}^{2} a_{rj})(0,y) \partial_{y_{j}} N_{1} \partial_{y_{r}} \varphi \, dy \, \partial_{z_{1}} v \phi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} 
+ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{k=2}^{d} \sum_{r,j=1}^{d} (\psi_{1}^{2} a_{rj})(0,y) \partial_{y_{j}} N_{k} \partial_{y_{r}} \varphi \, dy \, r_{k} \phi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} 
= - \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{r=1}^{d} (\psi_{1}^{2} a_{r1})(0,y) \partial_{y_{r}} \varphi \, dy \, \partial_{z_{1}} v \, \phi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1} 
- \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{r=1}^{d} \sum_{j=2}^{d} (\psi_{1}^{2} a_{rj})(0,y) \partial_{y_{r}} \varphi \, dy \, r_{j} \, \phi(z_{1},0) \, dz_{1},$$

for any  $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and any  $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\square(0)})$ . Let  $N_k \in H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$  be such that

$$\int_{\Box(0)} N_k(y) \psi_1^2(0, y) \, dy = 0,$$

and

$$-\text{div}_y((\psi_1^2 A)(0, y)\nabla_y N_k) = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{y_j}(\psi_1^2 a_{kj})(0, y), \quad y \in \Box(0).$$

That is,

$$\int_{\square(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y) \nabla_y N_k \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy = -\int_{\square(0)} \sum_{j=1}^d (\psi_1^2 a_{kj})(0, y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \, dy, \tag{50}$$

for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))$ . Then  $N_k$  are well defined because the bilinear form on the left hand side in (50) is coercive on  $H^1(\square(0), \psi_1^2(0, y))/\mathbf{R}$ , by the ellipticity condition on A and positivity of  $\psi_1^2(0, y)$ , and the compatibility condition is satisfied:

$$\int_{\square(0)} \operatorname{div}((\psi_1^2 a_k)(0, y)) \, dy = \int_{\square(0)} (\psi_1^2 a_k)(0, y) \cdot \nu \, dy = 0,$$

where  $a_k$  is the kth row of A, using that  $\psi_1(0, y)$  is zero on  $\partial \Box(0)$ . Therefore, the vector N is such that (49) holds, and in particular,

$$\psi_1\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)\nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon} \stackrel{2}{\rightharpoonup} \psi_1(0, y)((\partial_{z_1}v, r) + \nabla_y N \cdot (\partial_{z_1}v, r)), \tag{51}$$

weakly in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

Let the effective  $d \times d$  matrix  $A^{\mathrm{eff}}$  with entries  $a_{ij}^{\mathrm{eff}}$  be defined by

$$a_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = \int_{\square(0)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\psi_1^2 a_{ik})(0, y) (\delta_{kj} + \partial_{y_k} N_j(y)) \, dy.$$
 (52)

We compute the effective flux  $A^{\text{eff}}(\partial_{z_1}v, r)$ . Let  $\varphi(z) = z \cdot \phi(z_1)$ , where  $\phi(z_1)$  is a vector with components  $\phi_j \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\phi_1(z_1) = 0$ . Then

$$\varphi \to 0, \qquad \nabla_z \varphi \to \phi(z_1),$$

strongly in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. By passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero in the variational form (45) of the equation for  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  (or equivalently setting  $\varphi = z \cdot \phi$  in (47)), and using the definition of  $A^{\text{eff}}$  and the characterization of the limit of  $\nabla_z v_1^{\varepsilon}$ , (49), (51), one obtains

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} A^{\text{eff}}(\partial_{z_1} v, r) \cdot \phi(z_1) \, dz_1 = 0,$$

for any  $\phi(z_1) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ . It follows that the transverse component of the effective flux is zero:

$$A^{\text{eff}}(\partial_{z_1}v, r) = \left(\sum_j a_{1j}^{\text{eff}} \partial_{z_1}v, 0\right) = A^{\text{eff}}(\partial_{z_1}v, 0), \tag{53}$$

recalling that  $\phi_1 = 0$ . More precisely, setting  $\varphi = y_i$ , i = 2, ..., d, respectively, as test functions in the variational form (50) of the equations for  $N_j$  gives

$$\int_{\square(0)} \sum_{k=1}^{d} (\psi_1^2 a_{ik})(0, y) (\delta_{kj} + \partial_{y_k} N_j(y)) \, dy = 0,$$

for j = 1, ..., d. In view of the definition of  $A^{\text{eff}}$  (52), this means that

$$a_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = 0, \tag{54}$$

for all  $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ . That is, all transverse components of the effective matrix are zero. It follows that the effective flux in (53) reduces to

$$A^{\text{eff}}(\partial_{z_1}v, r) = (a_{11}^{\text{eff}}\partial_{z_1}v, 0).$$

One may verify that  $a_{11}^{\text{eff}} > 0$  as follows. Use  $N_i$  as a test function in the variational form (50) of the equation for  $N_j$  to obtain,

$$a_{ij}^{\text{eff}} = \int_{\square(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y) \nabla_y (y_i + N_i(y)) \cdot \nabla_y (y_j + N_j(y)) \, dy.$$
 (55)

It follows from (54) and (55) that  $A^{\text{eff}}$  is symmetric and positive semidefinite by the same properties of A. In particular, by (55),

$$a_{11}^{\text{eff}} = \int_{\square(0)} (\psi_1^2 A)(0, y) \nabla_y(y_1 + N_1(y)) \cdot \nabla_y(y_1 + N_1(y)) \, dy \ge \int_{\square(0)} \psi_1^2(0, y) |\nabla_y(y_1 + N_1(y))|^2 \, dy.$$

Suppose that  $a_{11}^{\text{eff}} = 0$ . Then by the last inequality,  $\psi_1^2(0, y)\nabla_y(y_1 + N_1(y)) = 0$  a.e. in  $\square(0)$ , which by the connectedness of  $\square(0)$  and the positivity of  $\psi_1^2$  implies that  $y_1 + N_1(y)$  is constant, which contradicts the periodicity of  $N_1$  in  $y_1$ . Therefore,

$$a_{11}^{\text{eff}} > 0.$$

We show that  $\nu, v$  is an eigenpair to the effective equation (14). By passing to the limit in the variational form (45) of the equation for  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  (or equivalently reading off from (47)), using a test function  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ , one obtains

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} a^{\text{eff}} \partial_{z_1} v(z_1) \partial_{z_1} \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1 + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( c^{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_1''(0) z_1^2 \right) v(z_1) \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1 = \nu \int_{\mathbf{R}} v(z_1) \varphi(z_1, 0) dz_1,$$

for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ .

By the strong convergence of  $\psi_1^{\varepsilon}v_1^{\varepsilon}$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , with the normalization (32), the limit v is nonzero. This shows that  $\nu, v$  is an eigenpair to the problem (14).  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.7.** The whole sequence  $(\nu_1^{\varepsilon}, \psi_1^{\varepsilon} v_1^{\varepsilon})$  converges to  $(\nu_1, \psi_1(0, y)v_1)$ , in **R** and weakly two-scale in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ , respectively, where  $\nu_1, v_1$  is the first eigenpair of the limit problem (14).

**Proof.** Let  $\phi^{\varepsilon}$  be a smooth cutoff for the interval I such that

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\varepsilon} &\in C^{\infty}(\overline{I}), \qquad \phi^{\varepsilon} = 0 \text{ on } \partial I, \qquad 0 \leq \phi^{\varepsilon} \leq 1 \text{ in } I, \\ \phi^{\varepsilon}(x_{1}) &= 1 \text{ for } \operatorname{dist}(x_{1}, \partial I) > \varepsilon, \qquad \varepsilon |\partial_{x_{1}} \phi^{\varepsilon}| \leq 1. \end{split}$$

Say,

$$\phi^{\varepsilon}(x_1) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{dist}(\frac{x_1}{\varepsilon}, \partial I), & 0 \leq \operatorname{dist}(x_1, \partial I) \leq \varepsilon, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the function  $\phi^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1)$  is smooth and cuts off the growing interval  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}I$  in a  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$  neighborhood of its boundary, with gradient satisfying the estimate

$$\left|\partial_{z_1}\left(\phi^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1)\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Let  $\nu_1, \nu_1$  be the first eigenpair to the limit problem (14). Now we consider the following test function in the variational principle for the eigenvalue  $\nu^{\varepsilon}$ :

$$w_{\varepsilon}(z) = \phi^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1)\Big(v_1(z_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}N_1\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big)\partial_{z_1}v_1(z_1)\Big).$$

By the definitions of  $\phi^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $N_1$ , one has for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $w^{\varepsilon} \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ , with vanishing trace on the bases

$$\widetilde{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\pm}} = \left\{ z = (z_1, z') \in \mathbf{R}^d : z_1 \in \partial \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} I \right\}.$$

Then for  $\nu_1^{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon \lambda_1^{\varepsilon} - \mu_1(0)/\varepsilon$  one has from the variational principle, using the test function  $w^{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\nu_{1}^{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\psi_{1}^{2} A) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \nabla_{z} w_{\varepsilon}(z) \cdot \nabla_{z} w_{\varepsilon}(z) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w_{\varepsilon}^{2}(z) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)} + \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (\varepsilon \psi_{1} b + \psi_{1} c + \varepsilon^{-1} (\mu_{1} - \mu_{1}(0)) \psi_{1}^{2}) \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w_{\varepsilon}^{2}(z) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} \psi_{1}^{2} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_{1}, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) w_{\varepsilon}^{2}(z) d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)}.$$

One has with  $z = (z_1, z')$ ,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{z_1} w_{\varepsilon}(z) &= (\partial_{z_1} (\phi^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon z_1))) (v_1(z_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} N_1 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \partial_{z_1} v_1(z_1)) \\ &+ \phi^{\varepsilon} (\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1) \left( \left(1 + \partial_{y_1} N \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)\right) \partial_{z_1} v_1(z_1) \right), \\ \nabla_{z'} w_{\varepsilon}(z) &= \partial_{z_1} v_1(z_1) \nabla_{y'} N_1 \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right). \end{split}$$

The following estimates follow:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) w_{\varepsilon}^2(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} v_1^2(z_1) \, dz_1 + o(1), \\ \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\psi_1^2 A) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla_z w_{\varepsilon}(z) \cdot \nabla_z w_{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) w_{\varepsilon}^2(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)} &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} a^{\text{eff}} (\partial_{z_1} v_1(z_1))^2 \, dz_1 + o(1), \\ \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (\varepsilon \psi_1 b + \psi_1 c + \varepsilon^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_1(0)) \psi_1^2) \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) w_{\varepsilon}^2(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) w_{\varepsilon}^2(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z)} &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( c^{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{2} z_1^2 \mu_1''(0) \right) v_1^2(z_1) \, dz_1 + o(1), \end{split}$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. By the variational principle (or directly from the variational form of the equation with  $v_1$  as test function) for the first eigenvalue  $\nu_1$  for the limit problem (14),

$$\nu_1 = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}} a^{\text{eff}} (\partial_{z_1} v_1(z_1))^2 dz_1 + \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( c^{\text{eff}} + \frac{1}{2} z_1^2 \mu_1''(0) \right) v_1^2(z_1) dz_1}{\int_{\mathbf{R}} v_1^2(z_1) dz_1}.$$

One gets the estimate, along a subsequence,

$$\nu_1^{\varepsilon} < \nu_1 + o(1)$$
.

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. One concludes that for the whole  $\varepsilon$  sequence,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \nu_1^{\varepsilon} = \nu = \nu_1.$$

In terms of  $\lambda_1^{\varepsilon}$  this estimate reads,

$$\lambda_1^{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mu_1(0)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\nu_1}{\varepsilon} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

By the simplicity of the first eigenvalue  $\nu_1$  to the limit problem (14), the whole sequence  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  converges to  $v(z_1) = v_1(z_1)$ , the first eigenfunction to the limit problem (14).  $\square$ 

Using the fact that the limit of  $\nu_1^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\nu_1^{\varepsilon}$  is the first eigenpair to the effective equation (14), we derive the following a priori estimate for the second eigenvalue  $\nu_2^{\varepsilon}$  to the problem (23).

**Lemma 4.8.** Let  $\nu_2^{\varepsilon}$  be the second eigenvalue to the problem (23). Then

$$\nu_2^{\varepsilon} \leq \nu_2 + o(1)$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

**Proof.** One notes that  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  is almost orthogonal to  $v_2(z_1)$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero because  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  converges to  $v_1(z_1)$  which is orthogonal to  $v_2(z_1)$ . Let

$$w_2^{\varepsilon} = \phi^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1)\Big(v_2(z_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}N_1\Big(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\Big)\partial_{z_1}v_2(z_1)\Big).$$

Then  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  is almost orthogonal to  $w_2^{\varepsilon}$ . One may therefore use

$$w_2^{\varepsilon}(z) - \frac{(w_2^{\varepsilon}(z), v_1^{\varepsilon})}{(v_1^{\varepsilon}, v_1^{\varepsilon})} v_1^{\varepsilon}$$

as a test function in the variational principle for  $\nu_2^{\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.9.** Suppose that for all  $i \leq k$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \psi_1 \big( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \big) v_i^\varepsilon = v_i \quad \text{ strongly in } L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_\varepsilon).$$

Let m > k. Then  $v_m^{\varepsilon}$  is asymptotically orthogonal to  $v_i$  for all  $i \leq k$ :

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{P}_d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) v_i(z_1) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = 0,$$

and  $v_m$  is asymptotically orthogonal to  $v_i^{\varepsilon}$  for all  $i \leq k$ :

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v_m(z_1) v_i^{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = 0.$$

**Proof.** Let m > k. Then by the orthonormalization (32) of the eigenfunctions  $v_i^{\varepsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) v_i(z_1) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) v_i^{\varepsilon}(z) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^k \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) (v_i(z_1) - v_i^{\varepsilon}(z)) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int\limits_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) (v_i(z_1) - v_i^{\varepsilon}(z)) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z). \end{split}$$

By the normalization of  $v_m^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})v_m^{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$ . The first asymptotic orthogonality follows from the convergence of  $\psi_1(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})v_i^{\varepsilon}$  to  $v_i$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  because

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \psi_1^2 \left( \sqrt{\varepsilon} z_1, \frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right) v_m^{\varepsilon}(z) (v_i(z_1) - v_i^{\varepsilon}(z)) \, d\mu_{\varepsilon}(z) = o(1),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero, for any  $i \leq k$ .

The second asserted asymptotic orthogonality follows from the strong convergence and the orthogonality of  $v_m$  to  $v_i$  for  $i \neq m$ .  $\square$ 

We approach the convergence of spectrum by considering the second eigenvalue for illustration.

**Lemma 4.10.** The second eigenvalue converges to the second eigenvalue:  $\nu_2^{\varepsilon} \to \nu_2$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

**Proof.** The second eigenfunction  $v_2^{\varepsilon}$  is almost orthogonal to  $v_1^{\varepsilon}$  when  $\varepsilon$  small. Then  $v_2^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $v_2^{\varepsilon}$  converges along a subsequence (using the estimate in previous lemma for a priori estimates for two-scale convergence) to an eigenpair  $\nu, v$  such that v is almost orthogonal to  $v_1$ . By a previous lemma we must have  $\nu = \nu_2$ , and thus  $v = v_2$ , using that all eigenvalues of the limit problem are simple.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.11.** The eigenpairs  $(\nu_i^{\varepsilon}, v_i^{\varepsilon})$  converge to  $(\nu_i, v_i)$ , as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero.

**Proof.** We know that  $(\nu_1^{\varepsilon}, v_1^{\varepsilon}) \to (\nu_1, v_1)$ . Suppose that  $(\nu_i^{\varepsilon}, v_i^{\varepsilon}) \to (\nu_i, v_i)$  for all  $i \leq k$ . Let

$$w_{k+1}^{\varepsilon} = \phi^{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}z_1) \Big( v_{k+1}(z_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}N_1(\frac{z}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}) \partial_{z_1} v_{k+1}(z_1) \Big).$$

One verifies that  $w_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}$  is asymptotically orthogonal to  $v_i$  for all  $i \leq k$ , using the above lemma. Then one verifies that

$$v_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(w_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}(z), v_{i}^{\varepsilon}(z))}{(v_{i}^{\varepsilon}(z), v_{i}^{\varepsilon}(z))} v_{i}^{\varepsilon}(z)$$

are nonzero test functions for all  $\varepsilon$ . Using these test functions one obtains the estimate

$$\nu_{k+1}^{\varepsilon} \le \nu_{k+1} + o(1),$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero. It follows that  $\nu_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\nu_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}$  converges to some eigenpair. Using the simplicity and the upper estimate for  $\nu_{k+1}^{\varepsilon}$  one concludes that for the full  $\varepsilon$  sequence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \nu_{k+1}^{\varepsilon} = \nu_{k+1}.$$

This shows by induction that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \nu_i^{\varepsilon} = \nu_i,$$

for any i, and that the corresponding eigenfunctions converge.  $\Box$ 

Putting the above sequence of lemmas together, one concludes Theorem 3.3.

Remark that in general for  $d\mu_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup d\mu$ , and a sequence  $v^{\varepsilon}$  weakly converging in  $L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}, d\mu_{\varepsilon})$  to  $v \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{d}, d\mu)$ , it is not always the case that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (v^{\varepsilon} - v)^2 d\mu_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$
 (56)

To the positive, (56) holds for instance if v is bounded and the measure of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is finite in the limit. In our case the measure of  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is not finite, while the limit function is bounded and exponentially decaying, which compensates.

#### 5. Computing the leading terms

In this section we connect hypothesis (8) with the hypothesis in Theorem 1.1. We also describe a scheme to compute the effective coefficients and the leading terms in the expansions of the eigenpairs in Theorem 3.3. The procedure goes as follows:

- 1. Locating the global minimum of the principle eigenvalue  $\mu_1$ .
- 2. Computing the effective coefficients  $a^{\text{eff}}$ ,  $c^{\text{eff}}$ .
- 3. Computing the eigenpair  $\lambda_1^0$ ,  $v_1^0$ .

Because we do not have an effective characterization of the minimum of principal eigenvalue  $\mu_1$ , some iterative procedure could be useful, say the Newton method. For this purpose we compute the derivative  $\mu'_1$  with respect to  $x_1$ . The Hessian  $\mu''_1$  can be obtained by the similar procedure to that of Lemma 5.1 below, or by a finite difference after computing  $\mu_1(x_1)$  at points close to the minimum.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  be the principle eigenpair to the problem

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{y}\psi) = \mu(x_{1})\psi \qquad \text{in } \Box(x_{1}),$$

$$\psi = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Box(x_{1}),$$

$$(57)$$

normalized by

$$\int_{\Box(x_1)} \psi_1^2 \, dy = 1. \tag{58}$$

Let  $V_n$  be the outward normal velocity of the boundary  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ , and let V be a globally defined velocity field for  $\Box(x_1)$ , with respect to  $x_1$ , namely  $V_n = V \cdot \nu = -\frac{\partial_{x_1} F}{|\nabla_y F|}$  on  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ . Then

$$\mu_1' = \int_{\square(x_1)} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1} \nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla \psi_1 \, dy - \int_{\partial \square(x_1)} (A \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \psi_1) (V \cdot \nu) \, d\sigma$$
$$+ 2 \int_{\square(x_1)} (A \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V) - \mu_1 \psi_1 (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V)) \, dy.$$

To prove Lemma 5.1 we consider separately the contributions to the linearizations from the dependence on the coefficients  $A(x_1, y)$  and the dependence on the change in shape of  $\Box(x_1)$ . Lemma 5.1 follows directly from Lemma 5.3 and 5.4 below.

The following example relates hypothesis (8) to the hypothesis used in [23] for a smooth profile h. We make use of the following boundary point property for the first eigenfunction  $\psi_1$  to the problem (6).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  be the first eigenpair to the problem (6), with sign chosen such that  $\psi_1(x_1, y) > 0$  everywhere in  $\square(x_1)$ . Then

$$\nabla_y \psi_1(x_1, y) \cdot \nu < 0$$
 a.e.  $y \in \partial \square(x_1)$ ,

for any  $x_1 \in \overline{I}$ .

**Proof.** One notes that for any  $x \in \overline{I}$ ,  $\psi_1(x_1, y)$  is continuous up to the boundary in y, and satisfies  $\psi_1(x_1, y_0) = 0$  for any  $y_0 \in \partial \square(x_1)$ , and in particular  $\psi_1(x_1, y_0) > \psi_1(x_1, y_0) = 0$  for every  $y \in \square(x_1)$ . Moreover,  $\psi_1$  is a subsolution to the equation

$$-\text{div}_y(A(x_1, y)\nabla_y\psi_1) - \mu_1\psi_1 = 0, \quad y \in \Box(0).$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ , at almost every  $y_0 \in \partial \Box(x_1)$ , the outward unit normal  $\nu$  exists, the outward normal derivative exists  $\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nu$ , and there is a ball  $B_R(y) \subset \Box(x_1)$  with  $y_0 \in \partial B_R(y)$ . The

assertion then follows from the classical argument using the weak maximum principle (cf. Lemma 3.4 in [9]).  $\Box$ 

**Example 5.1.** Consider the case of the Dirichlet Laplacian  $-\Delta$  in a finite thin strip in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with profile given by a smooth positive  $h(x_1), x_1 \in [-1, 1]$ :

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x : -1 < x_1 < 1, \ 0 < x_2 < \varepsilon h(x_1)\}.$$

A function  $F: [-1,1] \times \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x : x_1 \in (-1, 1), F(x_1, x/\varepsilon) > 0\}$$

is

$$F(x_1, y) = \frac{y_2}{h(x_1)} \left( 1 - \frac{y_2}{h(x_1)} \right).$$

The corresponding up-scaled cell is

$$\Box(x_1) = \{y : F(x_1, y) > 0\} = \{y : y_1 \in \mathbf{T}^1 : 0 < y_2 < h(x_1)\}.$$

The normal velocity of  $\partial \Box(x_1)$  is

$$V \cdot \nu = -\frac{\partial_{x_1} F}{|\nabla_{\nu} F|} = -\frac{h' y_2}{h},\tag{59}$$

and a globally defined smooth domain velocity field on  $\overline{\square(x_1)}$  is

$$V = \left(0, -\frac{h'y_2}{h}\right).$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$\mu_1' = -\int_{\partial\square(x_1)} |\nabla_y \psi_1|^2 (V \cdot \nu) \, d\sigma + 2 \int_{\square(x_1)} ((\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nu) \nabla_y (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V) - \mu_1 \psi_1 (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V)) \, dy$$

$$= -\int_{\partial\square(x_1)} |\nabla_y \psi_1|^2 (V \cdot \nu) \, d\sigma + 2 \int_{\partial\square(x_1)} (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nu) (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V) \, d\sigma$$

$$= \int_{\partial\square(x_1)} |\nabla_y \psi_1|^2 (V \cdot \nu) \, d\sigma,$$

where one in the second step has used the divergence theorem and the equation (57), and in the third step has used that here  $(\xi \cdot \nu)(\xi \cdot V) = |\xi|^2(V \cdot \nu)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbf{T}^1 \times \mathbf{R}$ . One has  $\int_{\partial \Box(x_1)} |\nabla_y \psi_1|^2 d\sigma > 0$ , by the boundary point property, Lemma 5.2, irregardless of the sign chosen for  $\psi_1$ . (In other words, because otherwise the critical set  $\{y \in \overline{\Box(x_1)} : \psi_1 = 0, \nabla_y \psi_1 = 0\}$  would be of positive (d-1)-dimensional measure.) It follows that  $\mu'_1(x_1) = 0$  if and only if  $h'(x_1) = 0$ . Therefore by (59), the hypothesis of unique minimum of  $\mu_1(x_1)$  is equivalent to the existence of a unique maximum of  $h(x_1)$  in this example. One might remark that in this example, one also has access to both the domain monotonicity of the eigenvalues, as well as the exact eigenpair, none of which is available if  $A(x_1, y)$  is not constant in the fast variable y.

We conclude this section by computing the linearization given in Lemma 5.1. We first compute  $\mu'_1$  for a constant cell  $\square$ , that is  $\square(x_1)$  independent of  $x_1$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** Suppose that  $\Box(x_1) = \Box$  is independent of  $x_1$ . Let  $A(x_1, y)$  and  $\partial \Box$  be sufficiently smooth. Let  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  be the principle eigenpair to

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(x_{1}, y)\nabla_{y}\psi) = \mu(x_{1})\psi \quad \text{in } \square,$$

with the homogeneous Dirichlet condition on  $\partial \square$ , and normalized by

$$\int\limits_{\square} \psi_1^2 \, dy = 1.$$

Then by the Fréchet differentiability of the eigenpair and the bilinear forms associated to the problem, remarking that  $\mu_1$  is simple,

$$\mu_1' = \int_{\square} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1} \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \psi_1 \, dy.$$

**Proof.** For any test function  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\square)$ ,

$$\int_{\square} A \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy = \mu_1 \int_{\square} \psi_1 \varphi \, dy. \tag{60}$$

Differentiate both sides with respect to  $x_1$ , to obtain

$$\int_{\Box} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1} \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy + \int_{\Box} A \nabla_y \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy = \mu_1' \int_{\Box} \psi_1 \varphi \, dy + \mu_1 \int_{\Box} \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x_1} \varphi \, dy. \tag{61}$$

Noting that  $\partial_{x_1}\psi_1 \in H_0^1(\square)$ , and using that  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  is an eigenpair with test function  $\partial_{x_1}\psi_1$  in (60), and the normalizing condition  $\int_{\square} \psi_1^2 dy = 1$ , yields after using  $\psi_1$  as test function in (61),

$$\mu_1' = \int_{\square} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1} \nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla \psi_1 \, dy. \quad \square$$

Now we suppose that  $A = A(x_1, y)$  is constant in  $x_1$ , and let  $\square(x_1)$  vary. To compute  $\mu'_1(x_1)$  we need to compute how points on the boundary of  $\square(x_1)$  move in the normal direction when  $x_1$  is varied, i.e. the normal velocity of the boundary, which we compute it terms of F. By definition,

$$\Box(x_1) = \{y : F(x_1, y) > 0\}.$$

The boundary of  $\square(x_1)$  is given by

$$\partial \Box(x_1) = \{y : F(x_1, y) = 0\}.$$

Let  $V_n$  denote the outward normal velocity, that is

$$V_n = -\frac{\partial_{x_1} F}{|\nabla_u F|}.$$

Let  $\phi \in H^1(\square(x_1))$  be such that  $\int_{\square(x_1)} \phi \, dy = 0$  and

$$-\Delta_y \phi = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Box(x_1)$$

$$\nabla_y \phi \cdot \nu = -\frac{\partial_{x_1} F}{|\nabla_y F|} \quad \text{on } \partial \Box(x_1)$$

If the compatibility condition is not satisfied, we set V=0 in some interior ball, or curve of positive measure. Then  $V=\nabla_y\phi$  is a globally defined velocity field such that  $V_n=V\cdot\nu=-\frac{\partial_{x_1}F}{|\nabla_yF|}$  on  $\partial\Box(x_1)$ , and where  $\nu=-\frac{\nabla_yF}{|\nabla_yF|}$  is the outward unit normal to  $\Box(x_1)$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** Let  $A(x_1, y)$  and  $\partial \Box(x_1)$  be sufficiently smooth. Suppose that  $A(x_1, y)$  is constant in  $x_1$ . Let  $\mu_1, \psi_1$  be the principle eigenpair to

$$-\operatorname{div}_{y}(A(y))\nabla_{y}\psi) = \mu(x_{1})\psi \quad \text{in } \Box(x_{1}),$$

with homogeneous Dirichlet condition on  $\partial \square(x_1)$ , normalized by

$$\int_{\square(x_1)} \psi_1^2 \, dy = 1.$$

Then

$$\mu_1' = 2 \int_{\Box(x_1)} \left( A(y) \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V) - \mu_1 \psi_1 (\nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot V) \right) dy - \int_{\partial \Box(x_1)} \left( A(y) \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \psi_1 \right) V_n d\sigma. \quad (62)$$

**Proof.** Let  $\dot{v} = \partial_{x_1} v - \nabla_y v \cdot V$  denote the material derivative. For any test function  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\square)$ ,

$$\int_{\square(x_1)} A(y) \nabla_y \psi_1 \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, dy = \mu_1 \int_{\square(x_1)} \psi_1 \varphi \, dy.$$
 (63)

Differentiate both sides with respect to  $x_1$ , to obtain

$$\int_{\Box(x_{1})} \left( A(y) \nabla_{y} \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \cdot \nabla_{y} \varphi + A(y) \nabla_{y} \psi_{1} \cdot \nabla_{y} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{1}} \right) dy$$

$$- \int_{\partial\Box(x_{1})} (A(y) \nabla_{y} \psi_{1} \cdot \nabla_{y} \varphi) V_{n} d\sigma + \int_{\Box(x_{1})} A(y) \nabla_{y} \dot{\psi}_{1} \cdot \nabla_{y} \varphi dy$$

$$= \mu'_{1} \int_{\Box(x_{1})} \psi_{1} \varphi dy + \mu_{1} \int_{\Box(x_{1})} \left( \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \varphi + \psi_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{1}} \right) dy - \mu_{1} \int_{\partial\Box(x_{1})} \psi_{1} \varphi V_{n} d\sigma + \mu_{1} \int_{\Box(x_{1})} \dot{\psi}_{1} \varphi dy. \tag{64}$$

Use  $\psi_1$  as a test function in (64), substitute  $\partial_{x_1}\psi_1 = \dot{\psi}_1 - \nabla_y\psi_1 \cdot V$ , and note that  $\dot{\psi}_1$  can be used as a test function in (63). Using that  $\psi_1 = 0$  on  $\partial \Box(x_1)$ , and the normalization  $\int_{\Box(x_1)} \psi_1^2 dy = 1$ , yield (62).  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper.

#### References

- [1] G. Allaire, Homogenization and two-scale convergence, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 23 (6) (1992) 1482–1518.
- [2] G. Allaire, A. Piatnitski, Uniform spectral asymptotics for singularly perturbed locally periodic operators, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 27 (3–4) (2002) 705–725.
- [3] J.M. Arrieta, M.C. Pereira, Homogenization in a thin domain with an oscillatory boundary, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 96 (1) (2011) 29–57.
- [4] H. Berestycki, L. Nirenberg, S.R. Varadhan, The principal eigenvalue and maximum principle for second-order elliptic operators in general domains, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 47 (1) (1994) 47–92.
- [5] I. Birindelli, Hopf's lemma and anti-maximum principle in general domains, J. Differ. Equ. 119 (1995) 450–472.
- [6] D. Borisov, P. Freitas, Asymptotics of Dirichlet eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on thin domains in Rd, J. Funct. Anal. 258 (3) (2010) 893–912.
- [7] G. Bouchitté, I. Fragalà, Homogenization of thin structures by two-scale method with respect to measures, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 32 (6) (2001) 1198–1226 (electronic).
- [8] L. Friedlander, M. Solomyak, On the spectrum of the Dirichlet Laplacian in a narrow strip, Isr. J. Math. 170 (2009) 337–354.
- [9] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer, 2015.
- [10] V. Komkov, K.K. Choi, E.J. Haug, Design Sensitivity Analysis of Structural Systems, vol. 177, Academic Press, 1986.
- [11] S.M. Kozlov, Reducibility of quasiperiodic differential operators and averaging, Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc. 2 (1984) 101–126.
- [12] A. Kufner, A.-M. Sändig, Some Applications of Weighted Sobolev Spaces, vol. 100, Springer, 1987.
- [13] T.A. Mel'nik, A.V. Popov, Asymptotic analysis of boundary value problems in thin perforated domains with rapidly changing thickness, Nelīnīinī Koliv. 13 (1) (2010) 50–74.
- [14] S.A. Nazarov, E. Pérez, J. Taskinen, Localization effect for Dirichlet eigenfunctions in thin non-smooth domains, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 368 (7) (2016) 4787–4829.
- [15] J. Nečas, Direct Methods in the Theory of Elliptic Equations, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, Translated from the 1967 French original by Gerard Tronel and Alois Kufner, Editorial coordination and preface by Šárka Nečasová and a contribution by Christian G. Simader.
- [16] G. Nguetseng, A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 20 (3) (1989) 608–623.
- [17] O.A. Oleïnik, A.S. Shamaev, G.A. Yosifian, Mathematical Problems in Elasticity and Homogenization, Elsevier, 2009.
- [18] I. Pankratova, K. Pettersson, Spectral asymptotics for an elliptic operator in a locally periodic perforated domain, Appl. Anal. 94 (6) (2015) 1207–1234.
- [19] I. Pettersson, Two-scale convergence in thin domains with locally periodic rapidly oscillating boundary, Differ. Equ. Appl. 9 (3) (2017) 393–412.
- [20] A.L. Piatnitski, Asymptotic behaviour of the ground state of singularly perturbed elliptic equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 197 (3) (1998) 527–551.
- [21] I. Pankratova, V. Chiadò Piat, A. Piatnitski, Localization effect for a spectral problem in a perforated domain with Fourier boundary conditions, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (3) (2013) 1302–1327.
- [22] M. Vanninathan, Homogenization of eigenvalue problems in perforated domains, in: Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences-Mathematical Sciences, vol. 90, Springer, 1981, pp. 239–271.
- [23] M.I. Vishik, L.A. Lyusternik, Regular degeneration and boundary layer for linear differential equations with small parameter, Usp. Mat. Nauk 12 (5) (1957) 3–122.
- [24] V.V. Zhikov, On an extension and an application of the two-scale convergence method, Mat. Sb. 191 (7) (2000) 31–72.
- [25] V.V. Zhikov, On two-scale convergence, Tr. Semin. Im. I.G. Petrovskogo 23 (2003) 149–187, 410.