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Seaweed biomass is a renewable resource with multiple applications. Sea-based cultivation of seaweeds can provide high biomass yields, low construction, operation, and maintenance costs and could offer an environmentally and economically sustainable alternative to land-based cultivations. The biochemical profile of sea-grown biomass depends on seasonal variation in environmental factors, and the optimization of harvest time is important for the quality of the produced biomass. To identify optimal harvest times of Swedish sea-based cultivated sea lettuce (Ulva fenestrata), this study monitored biomass yield, morphology, chemical composition, fertility, and biofouling at five different harvesting times in April – June 2020. The highest biomass yields (approximately 1.2 kg fw [m rope]⁻¹) were observed in late spring (May). The number and size of holes in the thalli and the amount of fertile and fouled tissue increased with prolonged growth season, which together led to a significant decline in both biomass yield and quality during summer (June). Early spring (April) conditions were optimal for obtaining high fatty acid, protein, biochar, phenolic, and pigment contents in the biomass, whereas carbohydrate and ash content, as well as essential and non-essential elements, increased later in the growth season. Our study results show that the optimal harvest time of sea-based cultivated U. fenestrata depends on the downstream application of the biomass and must be carefully selected to balance yield, quality, and desired biochemical contents to maximize the output of future sea-based algal cultivations in the European Northern Hemisphere.
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INTRODUCTION

A central, recurring, and utmost important issue of the 21st century is the urgent need for new, sustainable future resources to supply a constantly growing world population. The necessity of the provision of renewable and novel materials and nutritious food sources – especially vegetarian and vegan protein – was emphasized by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) (UN General Assembly, 2015). These goals were intensely reflected by the European Commission’s...
Green Deal to “transform the EU into a fair and prosperous society, with a modern, resource-efficient and competitive economy” (European Commission 2019/909, 2019). As a part of the Blue Economy, the emerging European seaweed aquaculture sector addresses the EU’s strategic priorities (Arraujo et al., 2021) and there is a growing interest in seaweed cultivation for food and non-food commodities (Holdt and Kraan, 2011). Currently, the vast portion of food production comes from terrestrial agriculture, however, trends of crop yields predict an insufficient production growth by 2050 to cover food demands (Ray and Foley, 2013). Predictions for future agricultural expansion emphasize that aquaculture, especially mariculture, remain the only viable alternative for extensive growth of global food production (e.g., Duarte et al., 2009). Van Krimpen et al. (2013) showed that seaweed farms can produce more protein per hectare compared to soy: 2.5–7.5 tons/ha vs. 0.6–1.2 tons/ha (Van Krimpen et al., 2013), making it a very interesting protein crop. Another important benefit of seaweed biomass is its multi-purpose application in different economic sectors (Hafting et al., 2015; FAO, 2018). For example, seaweeds contain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), as well as high-value carbohydrates and phytochemicals, which make them interesting for the cosmeceutical and pharmacological sectors and as functional foods. Furthermore, seaweed can be used to produce biochar with great potential in soil amelioration as it resists decomposition, effectively sequesters carbon and mitigates anthropogenic CO2 emissions (Lehmann and Joseph, 2009; Biederman and Harpole, 2013). Even bioenergy, generated from seaweeds, offers noticeable advantages and profitability can be achieved by selecting fast growing seaweed species (Gao et al., 2020). Furthermore, the cultivation of extractive aquatic species, like seaweeds, is accompanied by various ecosystem and bioremediation services such as the uptake of dissolved nutrients, coastal defense, and carbon sequestration (e.g., Araujo et al., 2021).

During the past years, sea lettuces, scientifically known as the genus Ulva, have received increasing interest from the aquaculture sector due to their compelling traits as crops (Colombo et al., 2006; Abreu et al., 2014; Santos et al., 2015; Califano et al., 2020; Toth et al., 2020; Steinhagen et al., 2021). A Northern Hemisphere Ulva species that gained increasing attention due to its in-door (Olsson et al., 2020a; Toth et al., 2020) and large-scale outdoor cultivability (Steinhagen et al., 2021) is Ulva fenestrata Postels and Ruprecht. This foliose, marine species is widely distributed in Europe (Hughey et al., 2019; Steinhagen et al., 2019; Fort et al., 2020), shows promising biochemical profiles (Olsson et al., 2020a; Toth et al., 2020; Steinhagen et al., 2021) for diverse economic applications such as the food industry (Harrysson et al., 2018; Toth et al., 2020; Trigo et al., 2021) and its polysaccharides have been successfully used for innovative future materials (Wahlström et al., 2020a,b).

Most cultivation of European Ulva spp. is until date land- or near-shore based and performed in bioreactors, tanks, ponds, or basins (Buchholz et al., 2012; Lubsch and Timmermans, 2018; Sebök et al., 2019; Califano et al., 2020; Roleda et al., 2021). Land-based seaweed cultivation offers several advantages such as full control of cultivation parameters, easy and permanent access of the biomass, including harvest, and constant production. Nevertheless, the commercial scale production of land-based cultivated seaweed biomass is challenged by its high costs of construction, operation, and maintenance (Lapointe et al., 1976; Lüning and Pang, 2003; Sebök et al., 2019). The demand for high power and resource inputs further challenges the sustainability of the on-shore produced biomass. To ensure that Ulva biomass can compete with terrestrial crop species there is a need for cost-efficient cultivation and large-scale biomass production beyond pilot-scale (Steinhagen et al., 2021). European temperate coastal- and off-shore regions are excellent farming grounds for native kelp seaweed species, as well as for U. fenestrata (e.g., Stévant et al., 2017; Visch et al., 2020; Steinhagen et al., 2021). Furthermore, sea-based cultivation of Ulva biomass requires only a minimum of land-based operational units during seedling hatcheries or post-harvest processes and could enable low production costs (Steinhagen et al., 2021).

Apart from low production costs, the yield and quality of the harvested biomass is important in order to optimize the economic output from seaweed cultivation. Crucial factors for the sea-based cultivation of seaweeds at high latitudes are biofouling pressure, seawater temperature, and irradiance, which are all strongly season-dependent (Forde et al., 2015; Rolin et al., 2017; Visch et al., 2020). Biofouling in seaweed aquaculture is accompanied by decreased productivity due to competition for surrounding nutrients (Hurd, 2000), reduced light availability (Cancino et al., 1987), increased incidence of breaking fronds (Dixon et al., 1981), and leads to a loss of commercial value of the farmed biomass (Park and Hwang, 2012). Although efforts have been made to predict best practices in avoiding high biofouling pressures on kelp species, such knowledge is lacking for sea-based farming of Ulva spp. (Bannister et al., 2019).

The biochemical profile and quality of farmed European Ulva spp. are also modulated by seasonal changes in environmental factors (e.g., light, salinity, and temperature) (Moreira et al., 2020; Olsson et al., 2020a,b; Toth et al., 2020). Especially light and temperature were identified to have strong effects on the growth as well as on protein, fatty acid, and carbohydrate composition of Northern Hemisphere Ulva spp. (Olsson et al., 2020a; Toth et al., 2020; Steinhagen et al., 2021). This implies that harvest time in sea-based cultivation systems is a crucial factor for the large-scale aquaculture potential of Ulva and the production of biomass with desired biochemical traits. Another central part for the success in Ulva cultivation is the avoidance of large, unpredictable fertility events and the consequent disintegration of the thalli which causes a significant biomass loss. Whereas strains from South Africa have not been observed to undergo such events, the unpredictable fertility intervals of northern European Ulva strains have previously prohibited their large-scale cultivability (Bolton et al., 2009). Whereas periodic biomass losses caused by reproduction have greatly limited the expansion of Ulva, obtaining sterile strains could increase the capacity to exploit Ulva for commercial applications (Gao et al., 2017a). However, the prediction of fertility and thus loss of biomass of non-sterile strains can be fostered by a full-life-cycle cultivation which is mostly afforded when applying seeding techniques in classical rope-cultivation systems (Carl et al., 2014).
The aim of the present study was to assess the yield and quality of large-scale, sea-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* biomass along a harvest period from early spring to early summer in the Northern Hemisphere. Growth, fertility, and biomass yield were quantified, and the quality of the cultivated biomass was assessed by measuring fouling coverage and through analyses of biochemical composition (protein, fatty acid, carbohydrate, phenolic, pigment, biochar, and ash content, as well as analysis of elements including inorganic arsenic).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Production and Deployment of Seedlings**

Gametes were obtained from a clonal gametophytic *U. fenestrata* strain kept for long-term indoor tank cultivation at the Tjärnö Marine Laboratory, University of Gothenburg, Sweden (TML, 58°52′ 36.4″N 11°6′ 42.84″E). The clonal strain was established by parthenogenetic propagation of a single individual gametophyte and has been maintained by parthenogenetic propagation since. Fertility was induced by mincing and washing maturated parental material and followed the detailed description of fertility induction in *U. fenestrata* explained by Steinhagen et al. (2021). Molecular identification of the parental biomass can be found in Toth et al. (2020). Five days after fertility induction, a change of solution in complete darkness at 15°C for 24 h and the density was calculated with a hemocytometer. A solution of 10,000 gametes mL⁻¹ seawater was equally applied onto one twine (ø = 2–3 mm) which was coiled around a sterile PVC spool. A total of three twines were obtained to produce seed lines.

The spools were incubated for 6 weeks in 2 L aquaria filled with sterile filtered (0.2 μm + UV, 9 L h⁻¹) nutrient-enriched seawater, following the recipe of Provasoli (1968). The seedling hatchery was performed in a light- and temperature-controlled cabinet at 15°C with an average irradiance of 80–100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ under a 12:12 h L:D light regime (LuxaLight LED). To prevent diatom growth 1 mg L⁻¹ GeO₂ was added. The culture medium was exchanged weekly. After 6 weeks the juvenile thalli reached a size of 0.5–1 cm and were acclimatized to the prevailing outside conditions. During acclimatization the seedlings grew in filtered (0.2 μm) seawater and the temperature was decreased to 8°C with steps of 1°C per day over 1 week.

**Cultivation Site**

The 2 ha seafarm (200 m × 200 m) used for *Ulva* cultivation was a classical rope construction (see Figures 1A,B) which was situated in the Koster archipelago on the Swedish west coast within the Skagerrak region of the North Sea (58°85.93 N, -11°06.82 E). The Koster archipelago is characterized by rocky shores, and it is one of the most species-rich marine areas in Sweden. The area is almost a tidal, but atmospheric pressure and wind-driven differences of high and low water levels of ±2 m appear along the coastline (Johannesson, 1989). The depth underneath the seafarm is ca. 10 m and the bottom substrate consists primarily of mud and sand.

The seeded cultivation twine was deployed in the seafarm 20th of October 2019 and the twine with attached seedlings was coiled around fabric long lines (each 200 m), which were attached to buoys which were anchored to the seafloor (see Figures 1A,B). The seedlings grew until their respective harvest time without further need of maintenance action. The growth substrate was suspended at 1.5 m depth by attaching buoys to the substrate every 10 m, with 4 m distances between each parallel running long line. For additional information on the farm site and surrounding environmental conditions see Visch et al. (2020). Furthermore, a schematic drawing of the farm site can be found in Steinhagen et al. (2021). To determine the effect of harvest time on *U. fenestrata* biomass yield and thallus habitus (frond length and width, number and size of thalli holes), biofouling (total cover and community structure), fertility (total amount of fertile frond tissue), and biochemical content (protein, fatty acids, carbohydrates, phenolic, pigments, biochar, and ash) were investigated at five defined harvest time points (01.04.2020 = DOY [day of year] 92; 05.05.2020 = DOY 126; 20.05.2020 = DOY 141; 02.06.2020 = DOY 154; 15.06.2020 = DOY 167). Furthermore, light intensity (Lux) and temperature (°C) were recorded during the whole cultivation period every 30 min using HOBO® pendant loggers installed at the seaweed cultivation depth. Loggers were cleaned on every sampling date to minimize the impact of potential foulers.

**Biomass Yield, Thallus Habitus, and Biofouling**

At each of the five harvesting time points, biomass yield measurements were carried out. Therefore, measurements at 1 m intervals were carried out at all three 200 m ropes including three replicates per rope (thus, per harvest time point three randomly selected spots – each 1 m in length – were harvested at each of the three 200 m ropes). The yield measured as fresh weight [fw] and dry weight [dw] per m rope was determined on a lab-balance (Sartorius TE1502S, Göttingen, Germany) after removing excess water by spinning and lyophilization, respectively. The lyophilized biomass was stored at −80°C until further analysis of biochemical composition. To assess mean thallus length, width, and area ten randomly selected individuals per replicate 1 m rope were photographed directly after each harvest time point (a total of 30 individuals per harvest time) and pictures were analyzed in ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). The same pictures were used to quantify the number and size of holes, percentage of biofouling and fertile tissue, which generally appears on the frond margins of *Ulva* and is detectable by a color change from a green to a brownish color (Wichard, 2015).

**Biochemical Composition**

**Crude Protein Content**

Total nitrogen content of *U. fenestrata* was determined by the combustion method (Dumas) using a LECO Trumac nitrogen analyzer (LECO, St. Joseph, MI, United States). Subsequently,
the protein content was calculated using a nitrogen to protein conversion factor of 5 (Angell et al., 2016).

**Fatty Acid Content and Composition**

Fatty acids were analysed by GC-MS (Agilent 7890 A GC coupled to Agilent 5975 C mass detector, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, United States) on a VF-WAX column (30 cm × 0.250 mm × 0.25 µm) according to the method described in detail by Harrysson et al. (2018). The fatty acids in ∼25 mg lyophilized and homogenized *U. fenestrata* were extracted and directly trans-esterified with toluene containing C17:0 as internal standard and 10% acetylchloride in methanol. Liquid partitioning with ether was used to extract the derivatized fatty acids and the ether extract was injected after evaporation and re-dissolution in isooctane on the GC-MS system. Fatty acids were quantified against the internal standard. Identification of fatty acids was done by using GLC Reference Standard 463 (Nu-Chek Prep, Inc., Elysian, MN, United States), except for C16:1, n9 C16:2 n9, C16:4 n3, C18:4 n3, and C20:4 n3, which were identified using the MS-library. Results are expressed as percent total fatty acids per seaweed dry weight, and as percent of each fatty acid out of the total amount of fatty acids.

**Carbohydrate Content and Composition Including Starch**

The total carbohydrate content including the monosaccharide composition was calculated as previously described by Bikker et al. (2016). A two-step sulfuric acid hydrolysis was performed on triplicate samples with 6 mg of lyophilized biomass. The monosaccharide and uronic acid compositions of the hydrolyzates were identified using high-performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, United States) equipped with a pulsed amperometric detector (PAD, Dionex ICS-3000) and a CarboPac PA1 column (4 × 250 mm) using a 260 nM NaOH and 170 mM acetate gradient and Milli Q water as the mobile phase.

The starch content was measured by the GOPOD-method using the Total Starch Assay Kit (Megazyme, Ireland). 20 µl of 80% EtOH was added to 1 mg lyophilized and homogenized *U. fenestrata* followed by the addition of 300 µl of thermostable α-amylase (3,000 U/mL, diluted 1:30 in 100 mM acetate buffer,
pH = 5). Samples were mixed by vortex for 10 s, incubated for 12 min in a boiling water bath, and cooled to room temperature after which 10 µL of amylglucosidase (3300 U/mL) was added. Samples were then mixed for another 10 s by vortex and incubated at 50°C for 30 min under stirring. The samples were cooled to room temperature, diluted with 670 µL of milliQ water to obtain a final volume of 1.0 mL and then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. To 40 µL of the supernatant 960 µL GOPOD-reagent was added. The samples were incubated at 40°C for 20 min. The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at 510 nm and the starch content was estimated from a calibration curve prepared with glucose standards (0.005–1.0 mg/mL). All measurements were done in duplicates.

Total Phenolic Content
Total phenolic content in *U. fenestrata* was extracted from lyophilized and homogenized tissue material, following the procedure described by Steinragen et al. (2021) which is based on the colorimetric estimation using the Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) while applying gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) as a standard. The total phenolic content was subsequently assessed spectrophotometrically at 765 nm (Lambda XL+4, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, United States) and calculated as% of dw.

Pigment (Chlorophyll a,b, Carotenoids) Content
Total contents of chlorophyll a,b and carotenoids of *U. fenestrata* were assessed using 90% aqueous acetone extraction followed by spectrophotometric assessment using the formula and wavelength given in Jeffrey and Humphrey (1975) for chlorophyll and Parsons et al. (1984) for total carotenoids.

Biochar and Ash Content
To assess the biochar and ash contents of *U. fenestrata*, TGA measurements were performed using a Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC (Columbus, OH, United States). Approximately 4 mg of each lyophilized sample was heated in ceramic cups from 25°C to 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ under an N₂ atmosphere. After being heated to 800°C, O₂ was introduced instead of N₂ and the temperature was kept at 800°C for 15 min. The gas flow rate was set to 50 mL min⁻¹. The biochar content was calculated as the weight that remained after the samples were heated to 800°C in N₂, and the ash content was calculated as the mass remaining after finalizing the heating program. The data were processed and analyzed using STARE software.

Element Content and Inorganic Arsenic
Total elements were analyzed at the Swedish Food Agency with inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Agilent 7700x) according to the standard procedures of NMKL no. 186 and EN 15763. This includes total microwave acid digestion of the samples using a mixture of concentrated nitric acid and hydrochloric acid (6 + 1 mL). The method is accredited for foodstuffs by Swedish Board for accreditation and conformity assessment (SWEDAC) in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025. The correctness of the method is controlled by participation in international proficiency tests (PT) for food. In addition, certified reference materials (CRM) and in-house reference materials (IRM) are routinely analysed within each batch to follow both short- and long-term quality of the results. For comparison, also levels in traditional foodstuffs for samples in a Swedish market basket survey are presented (Swedish Food Agency, 2017). The food categories Fish products, Vegetables, and Cereal products were selected to refer to marine food and/or food categories that seaweed products could be related to. The elements are grouped in non-essential elements (more or less toxic) and essential elements. The market basket samples are composite samples of several foodstuffs within each category and correspond to the approximate adult average consumption in Sweden 2015 (e.g., the market basket sample of Cereals contain bread, pasta, breakfast cereals, etc.). The determination of iAs was performed according to the European standard EN16802 at the Swedish Food Agency. The method is based on extraction with dilute nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide in a hot water bath followed by analysis with strong anion exchange HPLC-ICP-MS (high-performance liquid chromatography – inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Agilent 1260 Infinity Quaternary LC and Agilent 7700x ICP-MS). The analytical method is accredited in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025 by the SWEDAC for iAs for foodstuffs and seaweed within the range 1–25,000 µg/kg. The correctness of the method is continuously controlled by participation in international PT, and by routinely analyzing CRM and IRM within each batch, both for long-term quality control and when available for relevant sample matrices. The performance of the method is described in detail in Kollander et al. (2019).

Statistics and Data Analyses
Data on growth, biofouling, fertility, and biochemical composition of ocean-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* from the experiment in the present study were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The effect of harvest period was analyzed for each of the variables using an orthogonal one-way ANOVA with a Harvest time as a five-level, fixed factor. The data were tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test (Shapiro and Wilk, 1965) and was additionally tested for homogeneity of variances using Cochran’s test (Underwood, 1981). When non-normality or heterogeneous variances were found, a robust ANOVA based on 20% trimmed means was performed in the WRS2 package, implemented in R (Mair and Wilcox, 2018). Significant differences among means were compared using Tukey’s HSD test.

RESULTS
Biomass Yield, Thallus Habitus, and Biofouling
A strong difference of the thallus habitus and optical appearance of the sea-based cultivated biomass of *U. fenestrata* was observed during the harvest period (Figures 1A–C). The thalli had an intense green color at the start of the harvest period (DOY 92) (Figures 1A,C) and gradually became paler with cultivation time until a yellowish appearance was reached at the last harvesting date (DOY 167) (Figures 1B,C). Based on this first morphological
variation of the biomass, the total yield, general thallus habitus, and the amount of biofoulers was determined:

The biomass yields significantly increased during the early growth season and reached its maximum (fw = 1216.94 g [m rope]$^{-1}$ [not shown in figure], dw = 96.90g [m rope]$^{-1}$) at the third harvest time at DOY = 141. Afterward, the biomass yield continuously declined at the following harvest time points (Figure 2A and Tables 1A,B). Similar patterns have been observed for the thallus area which significantly increased from 682.07 cm$^2$ at the first harvest point to 1216.63 cm$^2$ at the third harvest time point at DOY = 141 (Figures 1C, 2B and Table 1C). After the third harvest time point, the thallus area decreased
The mean crude protein content of the *U. fenestrata* biomass was the highest (20.79%) at the first harvest date (DOY 92) (Figure 3A and Table 1E), significantly decreased at the following harvest points (DOY 126, 141, 154, and 167), and reached its minimum with 4.67% in June (DOY 167) (Figure 3A and Table 1E).

**Fatty Acid Content and Composition**

The highest amount of total fatty acids 3.45% dw was observed in *U. fenestrata* at the first harvest point (DOY 92) (Figure 3B and Table 2E), significantly and reached a minimum (370.71 cm²) at the fifth harvest point in June (Figures 1C, 2B and Table 1C).

The total number of holes – measured across the whole thallus frond – significantly increased from 8.96 at the first harvest date (DOY 92) to a maximum of 44.03 at the third harvest date (DOY 141) and then continuously decreased to 11.26 at the end of the experiment (DOY 167) (Figures 1C, 2C and Table 1D). Similarly, the size of holes observed across the thallus frond significantly increased from its minimum measured at the first harvest time point (DOY 92) with 0.58 cm in diameter to its maximum of 3.58 cm in diameter at the fourth harvest time point (DOY 154). Notably, the size of holes significantly decreased from its maximum observed at the fourth harvest date (DOY 154) to 1.98 cm at the fifth harvest date (DOY 167) (Figures 1C, 2D and Table 1E). The amount of fertile thallus tissue significantly varied between the minimum of 0.2% at DOY 92 and the maximum of 7.6% at DOY 167 (Figure 2E and Table 1F).

For biofouling analyses mobile organisms such as amphipods (mainly *Caprellidae*), isopods, gastropods, and fish species (mainly juvenile *Cyclopterus lumpus*) were registered but excluded from further analyses. In decreasing order of abundance, we recorded the following epibionts: bryozoan species *Electra pilosa* and *Membranipora membranacea*, *Aplysia* spp. (eggs), filamentous algae (*Ceramium* sp., *Polysiphonia* sp.), and hydrozoa (*Obelia* sp.). Percentage biofouling covering the thallus fronds increased with time (Figures 1C, 2F). There was no biofouling observed until DOY 126 and thus the first two sampling dates were excluded from statistical analyses. There was a 2 and 2.3% coverage of biofoulers at DOY 141 and 154 respectively, followed by a significant increase of fouled thallus tissue of up to 10.33% at DOY 167 (Figures 1C, 2F and Table 1G). Whereas the biofouling community was initially (DOY 141) dominated by filamentous algae – which were predominantly found at the tips and rhizoidal zone of the thalli, those were less abundant at the following harvest dates and were later (DOY 154, 167) replaced by the bryozoan epibionts *Membranipora membranacea* and *Electra pilosa* which were found across the whole blade (e.g., Figure 1C).

Notably, most of the morphological variables measured at the Northern Hemisphere strain of *U. fenestrata* (Figures 2A–F) have shown a decrease or a respective increase after the third harvest time point. These changes seem to correlate with the rapid increase in water temperature (Figure 2G) pointing out an important seasonal transition period from Scandinavian spring to summer conditions.

**Biochemical Composition**

**Crude Protein Content**

The mean crude protein content of the *U. fenestrata* biomass was the highest (20.79%) at the first harvest date (DOY 92) (Figure 3A and Table 1E), significantly decreased at the following harvest points (DOY 126, 141, 17.12–7.14% dw), and reached its minimum with 4.67% in June (DOY 167) (Figure 3A and Table 1E).

**Fatty Acid Content and Composition**

The highest amount of total fatty acids 3.45% dw was observed in *U. fenestrata* at the first harvest point (DOY 92) (Figure 3B and Table 2E)
Table 2A) followed by an abrupt and significant decrease at the following harvest points (DOY 126–167) where the total amount of fatty acids varied from 2.22 – 2.48% dw (Figures 3A,B and Table 2A). The total (Figure 4A and Tables 2B–D) and relative amount (Figure 4B) of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), and saturated fatty acids
(SFA), as well as the relative content of the 22 most prevalent FA, were analyzed (Figure 4C and Table 3).

Whereas the amount of PUFA is significantly higher (2.29% of total fatty acids) at the first harvest point (DOY 92) it successively decreased with prolonged cultivation periods where the amount varied from 1.17% to 1.32% (Figure 4 and Table 2B). Harvest time was not found to significantly affect the MUFA content (Figure 4 and Table 2C). Although significant differences in the amount of SFA were detected, only a trend of increasing SFA with prolonged cultivation time was detectable (Figure 4 and Table 2D).

The variation in fatty acid composition became even more obvious when the 22 most prevalent fatty acids were analysed (Figure 4C and Table 3). Our results pointed out that palmitic acid (C16:0), alpha-linolenic acid (C18:3n3), stearidonic acid (C18:4n3), hexadecatetraenoic acid (C16:4n3), vaccenic acid (C18:1n7), and linoleic acid (C18:2n6) were the dominant fatty acids in Ulva (Figure 4C). Palmitic acid (C16:0) and linoleic acid (C18:2n6) significantly increased throughout the cultivation period, whereas a significant decrease of alpha-linolenic acid (C18:3n3), stearidonic acid (C18:4n3), and hexadecatetraenoic acid (C16:4n3) was observed throughout the whole cultivation time (Figure 4C and Table 3). Vaccenic acid (C18:1n7) had a significantly lower amount during the first harvest (DOY 92) compared to the following sampling points (DOY 126–167) (Figure 4C and Table 3).

Specific health-beneficial long-chain n-3 PUFA’s (LC n-3 PUFA) were detected, among others docosapentaenoic acid (DPA, C22:5n3) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5n3) (Figure 4). Whereas DPA (C22:5n3) significantly (p < 0.01) decreased from 3.69% (DOY 92) to 1.53% (DOY 167) of total fatty acids throughout the cultivation period, highest values of EPA (C20:5n3) (2.09%) were observed at DOY 141 (Figure 4C and Table 3).

Carbohydrate Content and Composition Including Starch
The total carbohydrate content significantly increased with prolonged cultivation time (Figures 3C, 5 and Table 2H) from 32.21% dw on the first harvest date (DOY 92) to 40.21% dw at the last harvest date of the experiment (DOY 167) resulting in a 24.84% increase of total carbohydrates in the Ulva fenestrata biomass.

Glucose was the dominating monosaccharide in the carbohydrates, with contents in the range of 15.17–18.16% dw, whereas rhamnose was the second most abundant monosaccharide at 5.17–48.71% dw, followed by xylose at 3.77–6.69% dw. The high-value monosaccharide iduronic acid was detected at 4.35–6.3% dw, and glucuronic acid was detected in the range of 1.15–2.12% dw, whereas galactose was found at relatively low values of 0.23–0.68% dw (Figure 5 and Tables 2I–M). The harvest time point had a significant effect (p < 0.01) on the amount of rhamnose, xylose, and iduronic acid in the off-shore cultivated Ulva fenestrata biomass, and a general increase of the respective monosaccharides was observed with prolonged cultivation time (Figure 5 and Tables 2J-L). Glucose and glucuronic acid amounts were not affected by harvest time (Figure 5 and Tables 2I,M).

Starch content ranged between 8.93 and 11.07% dw (Figure 3C) and was significantly affected by the time of harvest. The starch content at the first harvest time point (DOY 92) was significantly higher (11.07% dw) compared to that of DOY 126, 141, and 154 (8.97–9.1% dw), but no significant difference among the first and last harvest time point (DOY 167) (9.53% dw) was found (Figure 3C and Table 2N).

Phenolic Content
The highest phenolic content (0.31% dw) of the cultivated Ulva fenestrata was measured at the first sampling point of our experiment (DOY 92) (Figure 3D and Table 2O). It significantly decreased with prolonged cultivations (DOY 126, 141) and reached its minimum of 0.11–0.12% dw at the last harvest dates (DOY 154, 167) (Figure 3D and Table 2O).

Pigment Content
The pigment content, including chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids showed a significant (p < 0.01) and continuous decrease with prolonged cultivation time (Figures 3E,F and Tables 2P–R). Whereas highest chlorophyll a (2.09 mg g⁻¹), chlorophyll b (1.79 mg g⁻¹), and carotenoid (0.91 mg g⁻¹) contents were observed at the first harvest time point in early spring (DOY 92), the lowest chlorophyll a (0.377 mg g⁻¹), chlorophyll b (0.25 mg g⁻¹), and carotenoid (0.19 mg g⁻¹) contents were observed at the end of the experiment (DOY 167) in June (Figures 3E,F and Tables 2P–R).

Biochar and Ash Content
The biochar content was significantly (p < 0.01) higher during the first sampling (DOY 92; 35.7% dw) than compared to all other sampling dates (27.93–29.47% dw) (Figure 3G and Table 2F). The ash content was significantly (p < 0.01) lower during the first sampling (DOY 92; 16.6% dw) than compared to all other sampling dates (20.83–22.56% dw) (Figure 3H and Table 2G). Additionally, samples collected at the first time point (DOY 92) had a higher temperature stability in the sense that major thermal degradation occurred at higher temperature compared to the other sampling dates.

Element Content and Inorganic Arsenic
The results from the analysis of total elements and iAs in Ulva fenestrata are presented in Table 4 in µg/kg fresh weight. Some non-essential elements that may pose a risk of increased intake when consuming Ulva fenestrata compared to other food sources are inorganic arsenic, lead, nickel, and vanadium. The results for vanadium show almost a factor of thousand higher levels than the levels of the compared foodstuffs. Most of the non-essential elements increase with prolonged cultivation time (Table 4). For most of the essential elements Ulva fenestrata seems to be a good source and show values in the range of the compared foodstuffs.
TABLE 2 | ANOVAs of (A) fatty acid content (% dw), (B) PUFA (% dw), (C) MUFA (% dw), (D) SFA (% dw), (E) crude protein content (% dw), (F) total char (% dw), (G) total ash (% dw), (H) carbohydrate content (% dw), (I) glucose content (% dw), (J) rhamnose content (% dw), (K) xylose content (% dw), (L) iduronic acid content (% dw), (M) glucuronic acid content (% dw), (N) starch content, (O) phenolic content (% dw), (P) chlorophyll a (µg mg⁻¹), (Q) chlorophyll b (µg mg⁻¹), and (R) carotenoids (µg mg⁻¹) of sea-based cultivated Ulva fenestrata biomass harvested at different dates (see also Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>(A) Total fatty acids*</th>
<th>(B) PUFA</th>
<th>(C) MUFA</th>
<th>(D) SFA</th>
<th>(E) Crude proteins*</th>
<th>(F) Total char*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest time</td>
<td>Df 4 n.a. 119.92 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 0.67 255.63 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 &lt;0.01 3.04 0.075</td>
<td>Df 4 0.017 12.67 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 n.a. 398.96 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 n.a. 20.45 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>4.83 n.a.</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>4.09 n.a.</td>
<td>4.67 n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>(G) Total ash*</th>
<th>(H) Carbohydrates</th>
<th>(I) Glucose</th>
<th>(J) Rhamnose</th>
<th>(K) Xylose</th>
<th>(L) Iduronic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest time</td>
<td>Df 4 n.a. 21.05 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 39.18 9.36 &lt;0.03</td>
<td>Df 4 2.66 3.59 0.051</td>
<td>Df 4 4.39 30.97 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 3.23 27.03 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 0.86 4.85 &lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>4.8 n.a.</td>
<td>4 1.48</td>
<td>10 0.74</td>
<td>10 0.14</td>
<td>10 0.12</td>
<td>10 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>(M) Glucuronic acid</th>
<th>(N) Starch</th>
<th>(O) Phenolic*</th>
<th>(P) Chlorophyll a</th>
<th>(Q) Chlorophyll b</th>
<th>(R) Carotenoids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest time</td>
<td>Df 4 0.04 0.77 0.057</td>
<td>Df 4 2.41 6.05 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 n.a. 21.05 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 1.35 366.54 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 1.04 188.14 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>Df 4 0.23 290.02 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>10 0.06</td>
<td>10 0.4</td>
<td>10 n.a.</td>
<td>10 0.005</td>
<td>10 0.005</td>
<td>10 &lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data on mean values and 95% confidence interval are presented in Figure 1. Significant p-values are indicated with italics and red color. Values marked with an asterisk (*) were analyzed by a robust ANOVA (see materials and methods) based on trimmed means.
DISCUSSION

Our results show the necessity of controlling harvest times in a sea-based cultivation of *U. fenestrata*, in terms of obtaining a desired biomass yield and/or quality. This conclusion is based on (1) an increase of total biomass of up to 349%, (2) a successive increase of thallus fertility and biofouling, and (3) a strongly varying biochemical composition of the produced biomass. Since the biomass yield and biochemical composition determine the respective economic value of the sea-based produced biomass of *U. fenestrata*, our results emphasize the importance of harvest time selection to enable for a commercial usage of the seaweed biomass. Although our study yields first important data on the economic value of sea-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* in the Northern Hemisphere, a long-term monitoring is needed to assess annual variation especially with the background of climate change and changing abiotic factors (IPCC, 2021).

Biomass Yield, Thallus Habitus, and Biofouling

We found considerable seasonal variations in the total biomass yield, which peaked at the third harvest date in late spring (1217 g fw m rope$^{-1}$ corresponding to 97 g dw m rope$^{-1}$). Due to a notoriously difficult taxonomy of the genus *Ulva* (e.g., Hayden et al., 2003; Steinhagen et al., 2019) and the absence of comparable studies focusing on sustainable sea-based cultivation of *Ulva* spp. in the Northern Hemisphere, it is difficult to put results in a more global context. Generally, the exact taxonomic identity of *Ulva* spp. used in mariculture literature is widely ambiguous due to the lack of molecular identification (Bolton et al., 2016; Roleda and Heesch, 2021). To disentangle the effect of genetic or environmental factors and to enable geographic and site-specific selections of suitable *Ulva* spp. and strains we underline the importance of molecular identification of source material. However, a study on off-shore cultivation of tropical, filamentous *Ulva* species (Carl et al., 2014) yielded 228.7 ± 115.4 g fw [m rope$^{-1}$] (corresponding to 23.0 ± 8.8 g dw [m rope$^{-1}$]). Although the species, nursery and cultivation periods of both studies are distinctively different, also Carl et al. (2014) emphasized that the timing of harvest is critical for an optimal biomass yield. The continuous loss of biomass after the third harvest time found in the present study can partly be explained by the weakened and instable thalli due to the increased number and size of holes, as well as by the increase of fertile thallus tissue during the last sampling date. As observed by Nilsen and Nordby (1975), fertility was mainly present at the thallus margins and at frond parts with high number of holes. Since fertility events lead to the complete disintegration of the affected distromatic thallus parts, the harvest should be adjusted to avoid massive biomass loss.

As typical for the Skagerrak region, the amount of biofoulers is relatively low in winter and early spring and increases with rising seawater temperatures at the beginning of summer (see also Visch et al., 2020). Biofoulers were absent from the sea-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* until late spring, and when biomass production peaked at the third harvest time point, the total amount of biofoulers was still relatively low (2%) and fouling organisms were mainly found at the very basal thallus parts. Fouling taxa overlapped with previous findings in ocean-based...
TABLE 3 | ANOVAs of C16:0% of total fatty acids, (B) C18:3n3% of total fatty acids, (C) C18:4n3% of total fatty acids, (D) C16:4n3% of total fatty acids, (E) C18:1n7% of total fatty acids, (F) C18:2n6% C18:3n6, and (G) C22:5n3, and (H) C20:5n3 of total fatty acids in sea-based cultivated U. fenestrata biomass harvested at different dates (see also Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1776.68</td>
<td>136.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variance</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harvest time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data on mean values and 95% confidence interval are presented in Figure 4. Significant p-values are indicated with italics and red color.
in respect to functional foods, which generally aim for high PUFA contents (Holdt and Kraan, 2011).

**Carbohydrate Content and Composition Including Starch**

Seaweeds are generally containing relatively high amounts of polysaccharides, which can be found as structural components of the cell wall, as storage polysaccharides, and as mucopolysaccharides (Holdt and Kraan, 2011). Our mean values of total carbohydrates (32.21 – 40.21% dw) of sea-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* are in the middle range of what has previously been reported for *Ulva* spp. (max. 65%, Holdt and Kraan, 2011; 25–38%, Olsson et al., 2020a,b). With prolonged cultivation time, total carbohydrates increased by 29% at the end of the experiment at summer conditions compared to spring conditions. Previous studies confirm that elevated temperature has a positive effect on the carbohydrate content in *Ulva* spp. (He et al., 2018; Olsson et al., 2020a). The seasonal variation in carbohydrate content can have implications for industrial-scale extraction of carbohydrates from *U. fenestrata* biomass. However, as discussed above it depends on the subsequent biorefining and extraction processes if higher biomass yields are more economic than increasing the carbohydrate content of the biomass.

In addition to the total content, carbohydrate composition differed between different harvest times. Here, the high-value monosaccharides rhamnose and iduronic acid are especially relevant. Rhamnose is widely used in the food industry for the production of flavoring aroma compounds and additionally functions as potential raw material to produce rhamnolipids (Holdt and Kraan, 2011; Muller et al., 2012). Iduronic acid is used in the synthesis of heparin fragment analogs with anti-thrombotic activities. The extraction of iduronic acid from *U. fenestrata* offers an attractive alternative to the commonly applied synthetic procedure which is time- and cost-intensive (Holdt and Kraan, 2011; Mohamed and Ferro, 2015). The rhamnose content of *U. fenestrata* increased by 54.73% at the last harvest in summer, but no clear seasonal trend was found for the iduronic acid content in *U. fenestrata* in this study. However, compared to previous studies (>1% dw, Olsson et al., 2020a; 1.77–3.51% dw, Olsson et al., 2020b; 1.4–1.8% dw, Steinhagen et al., 2021) the iduronic acid content in this study (4.39–5.77% dw) was distinctly higher, which adds to the beneficial effects of sea-based cultivation of *U. fenestrata*. This study shows that summer conditions are favorable for the optimization of the carbohydrate content in sea-cultivated *U. fenestrata*, but again, the total biomass yield has to be considered to optimize future commercial production schedules.

**Total Phenolic and Pigment Content**

Phenolics, chlorophyll, and carotenoids – so-called phytochemicals - are known for their health benefiting properties as they have antioxidant (Lanfer-Marquez et al., 2005) and anti-inflammatory effects (Abd El-Baky et al., 2009). The anti-tumoral activity of chlorophyll (Egner et al., 2003) and the prevention of free radical damage associated with the aging processes by carotenoids (Khairy and El-Sheikh, 2015) make seaweed biomass especially interesting for the cosmeceutical and pharmacological sector and as functional foods.

Early spring conditions clearly favored the phenolic and pigment (i.e., chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoids) content in the ocean-cultivated *U. fenestrata* whereas prolonged cultivation time had a negative effect on the phenolic and pigment content. Our findings agree with Khairy and El-Sheikh (2015), who stated that seasonality and consequently changing abiotic factors strongly influence the total phenol content. Similar seasonal dependent variations in chlorophyll and carotenoid
TABLE 4 | Levels of non-essential (more or less toxic) and essential elements in sea-based cultivated *U. fenestrata* (fresh weight) from three different harvest time points (01.04.2020 = DOY 96; 20.05.2020 = DOY 141; 15.06.2020 = DOY 167).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Seafarm Results in fresh weight, µg/kg</th>
<th>For comparison, range of levels in Market basket samples***, µg/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DOY 92</td>
<td>DOY 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum (Al)</td>
<td>27446</td>
<td>9069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimony (Sb)</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (As)</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic Arsenic (iAs)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium (Cd)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (Pb)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury (Hg)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (Ni)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (Ag)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellurium (Tl)</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin (Sn)</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium (U)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanadium (V)</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>2182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium (Cr)*</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (Co)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (Cu)</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (Fe)</td>
<td>25890</td>
<td>12879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (Mn)</td>
<td>1299</td>
<td>1044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum (Mo)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (Se)**</td>
<td>&lt;52</td>
<td>&lt;67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zink (Zn)</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>1062</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For comparison, ranges of levels in samples (in fresh weight) from a Market basket survey*** are presented in italics. Samples with levels below LOQ are expressed as < value of (LOQ). Note that another analytical method was used in the Market basket survey and therefore other limits of detection apply.

* Toxic as Cr VI, essential as Cr III.

** Becomes toxic in too high levels.

*** Results for Al, As, iAs, Cd, Pb, Hg, Ni, and Ag are published in Swedish Food Agency Report: 26/2017. ISSN 1104-7089. Results for Sb, Tl, Sn, U, and V are published in the courtesy of Ilia Rodhuskin, ALS Scandinavia, Luleå, Sweden.

Content have been observed in other seaweed species as well (Holdt and Kraan, 2011) and our study underlines that harvest time is crucial to take into consideration to optimize the phenolic and pigment content in Northern Hemisphere *U. fenestrata*. As stated above, the highest amount of bioactive phytochemicals aligns with the highest amount of protein, and a harvest of the biomass for food- and feed application in early spring is recommended.

### Biochar and Ash Content

Biochar is a carbon-rich co-product produced when pyrolyzing biomass and pyrolyzed seaweed biomass has a great potential to be used in soil amelioration (Lehmann and Joseph, 2009; Biederman and Harpole, 2013). Our results show that the biochar content was higher during early spring at the first harvest and notably, the values measured during the first harvest of this study (35.7% dw) were in the upper range of what has been reported in previous studies of the same species (30.3–33.82% dw, Toth et al., 2020) and other *Ulva* species (*Ulva ohnoi* 34–35% dw, Roberts et al., 2015). Whereas the biochar content was higher in early spring, the ash content was significantly lower at the first harvest time point. This implies a significant shift from a more organic biomass content with higher temperature stability in early spring to a higher ash content and higher temperature instability of the biomass with prolonged cultivation time. Therefore, our results agree with previous studies (Gao et al., 2017b, 2018a,b; Mhatre et al., 2019), which emphasized a positive effect of increased irradiance and temperature on the ash content of *Ulva* spp.

Element Content and Inorganic Arsenic

If "traditional" foodstuffs are to be fully or partly substituted by seaweeds it is of utmost importance to elucidate how this will affect the intake of toxic elements as well as nutritional elements. With the rising interest in seaweed as food- and feed source, different studies have acknowledged the need to identify the safety of seaweed (van den Burg et al., 2013; van der Spiegel et al., 2013; Banach et al., 2019). The European Union determines maximum levels (MLs) for several toxic elements in foodstuffs in Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006. However, it is important to stress that MLs in EU legislation are not fixed levels but are under continuous evaluation adapting to revised standards and newly registered foodstuffs. Our study
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shows that most of the non-essential elements increase with prolonged cultivation time. Seaweeds are known to rapidly accumulate elements from their surrounding environment and this process is for example used in bioremediation approaches (Neveux et al., 2018). Thus, an increase of elements, including iAs, with an increased growth period is to be expected, however, this emphasizes careful selection of suitable farm grounds, growth seasons, and harvest time points to reduce toxic elements in seaweed biomass aimed for the food- and feed sector. Moreover, concentrations of all essential elements except for Zn were highest at the last harvest date. With this study we provide the first knowledge on the quantity of non-essential elements and iAs which might function as safety hazards as well as essential elements in sea-cultivated *U. fenestrata*. From a food- and feed perspective we recommend an early harvest of the biomass in spring to keep amounts of non-essential elements and iAs at the lowest rate.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, our findings together with those of another recent study (Steinhagen et al., 2021) identifies *U. fenestrata* as a suitable crop for large-scale production in marine Scandinavian waters and gives a first knowledge basis for the selection of optimal harvest times, depending on desired yield and/or biochemical composition of the biomass to support subsequent refining processes. To obtain the best quality of cultivated, sea-based *U. fenestrata* for general food and feed applications (i.e., high LC n3 fatty acids, total protein, and phytochemical concentration) as well as to avoid fouling and toxic elements, a harvest in early spring is recommended.
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