

Simplified Kinetic Model for NH3-SCR Over Cu-CHA Based on First-Principles Calculations

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-07-03 07:50 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Feng, Y., Creaser, D., Grönbeck, H. (2023). Simplified Kinetic Model for NH3-SCR Over Cu-CHA Based on First-Principles Calculations. Topics in Catalysis, 66(13-14): 743-749. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11244-022-01711-5

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

ORIGINAL PAPER



Simplified Kinetic Model for NH₃-SCR Over Cu-CHA Based on First-Principles Calculations

Yingxin Feng¹ · Derek Creaser² · Henrik Grönbeck¹

Accepted: 30 September 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Selective catalytic reduction with ammonia as reducing agent (NH₃-SCR) is an efficient technology to control NO_x emission during oxygen excess. Catalysts based on Cu-chabazite (Cu-CHA) have shown good performance for NH₃-SCR with high activity and selectivity at low temperature and good hydrothermal stability. Here, we explore a first-principles based kinetic model to analyze in detail which reaction steps that control the selectivity for N₂ and the light-off temperature. Moreover, a simplified kinetic model is developed by fitting lumped kinetic parameters to the full model. The simplified model describes the reaction with high accuracy using only five reaction steps. The present work provides insight into the governing reaction mechanism and stimulates design of knowledge-based Cu-CHA catalysts for NH₃-SCR.

Keywords First-principles microkinetic modeling \cdot NH₃-SCR \cdot Cu-CHA \cdot Parameter evaluation

1 Introduction

Copper-exchanged small-pore zeolites with chabazite structure (Cu-CHA), are state-of-the-art catalysts for ammonia assisted selective catalytic reduction (NH₃-SCR) in emission control of diesel exhaust [1]. Thanks to low-temperature activity, high selectivity for N₂, and hydrothermal stability [2–4], Cu-CHA is a preferred catalyst for NH₃-SCR and has matured to commercial application. NO is the main NO_x component in diesel exhaust and selective reduction of NO to N₂ with NH₃ is known as the standard-SCR reaction:

$$4\mathrm{NH}_3 + 4\mathrm{NO} + \mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\mathrm{N}_2 + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{R1}$$

Yingxin Feng yingxin@chalmers.se

 Henrik Grönbeck ghj@chalmers.se
Derek Creaser derek.creaser@chalmers.se

- ¹ Department of Physics and Competence Centre for Catalysis, Chalmers University of Technology, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden
- ² Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, 41296 Göteborg, Sweden

Each NO couples with one NH_3 and O_2 is needed to complete the stoichiometry.

Although high selectivity for N_2 has been achieved over Cu-CHA, a small amount of N_2O is formed under NH_3 -SCR conditions [5, 6]. N_2O is an undesired by-product and has received wide attention due to its high greenhouse protential. An overall reaction where N_2O is formed is:

$$2 \text{ NH}_3 + 2 \text{ NO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{N}_2 \text{O} + 3 \text{H}_2 \text{O}$$
 (R2)

To further enhance the selectivity for N_2 over Cu-CHA, understanding of the reaction mechanism for N_2O formation during NH₃-SCR is desired. Advancing the atomic understanding of the reactions could in this respect guide the development of next generations of catalysts. For this purpose we have developed first-principles microkinetic models for low-temperature conditions [7] based on multisite reaction mechanisms [8].

The proposed reaction cycle for NH_3 -SCR is shown in Fig. 1. Under the reaction condition of standard NH_3 -SCR, Cu ions are at low temperatures solvated by NH_3 and mainly present as $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ species [9-11]. O₂ adsorption is a key step in the reaction and a pair of $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ complexes is needed for O₂ adsorption, forming a Cu-peroxo complex, $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ [9, 12, 13]. The oxidation state of Cu changes from +1 to +2 [14] during O₂ adsorption, which allows the adsorption of NO and NH_3 at relevant temperatures. Upon NO adsorption (reaction 2), NO reduction **Fig. 1** Proposed reaction cycle for low-temperature NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA and the side view of the chabazite cages showing the Al positions in the six-membered ring which also includes the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4(O_2)]^{2+}$ complex. Atom color codes: Cu (bronze), Si (yellow), Al (pink), O (red), N (blue), and H (white). Adapted from Ref. [7]



proceeds via formation of H₂NNO and HONO intermediates. The intermediates is in this model assumed to diffuse from the Cu-sites to neighboring Brønsted acid sites where they decompose into N₂ and H₂O by reactions with NH₃ [7, 8]. Unwanted N₂O formation (reaction 16) may occur via H₂NNO decomposition over the Cu-sites [7, 15]. The selectivity for N₂ depends on the competition between the diffusion of H₂NNO from the [Cu₂(NH₃)₄OOH]²⁺ complex to the Brønsted acid sites (reaction 5) and the direct decomposition of H₂NNO on the complex (reaction 16). If H₂NNO desorbs from the Cu complex, the reaction will follow the outer cycle and give an overall reaction as R1. However, for the case that H₂NNO decomposes over the Cu complex, the reaction will follow the dashed route which forms N₂O as R2. NO conversion to either N_2 or N_2O may be inhibited by NH₃ adsorption on the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ complex (reaction 15). The NH₃ adsorption is in the reaction cycle yielding $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5O_2]^{2+}$, however, it may also lead to decomposition of the peroxo complex [7, 13]. Note that NH₃ has four different roles in the catalytic cycle showed in 1. NH₃ is simultaneously i) a ligand that enable facile Cu-mobility, ii) a reactant, iii) adsorbed at the Brønsted acid site forming NH₄⁺, which is the active site for H₂NNO and HONO conversion, and iv) an inhibitor that prevents the adsorption of NO on the Cu-peroxo complex [7].

In this contribution, we further investigate factors that control the selectivity for N_2 and the NH_3 inhibition. For the selectivity for N_2 , the competition between reaction 5 and 16 is discussed in detail. It is revealed that a reduction of the H_2NNO desorption energy on the Cu-peroxo enhances the selectivity for N_2 , which is one possibility to further improve the catalyst performance. The NH_3 inhibition is discussed in relation to the onset of the low-temperature activity and different mechanisms to exit the blocked state are presented. In addition to the mechanistic aspects, we show that the detailed microkinetic model with 18 steps can be replaced with a simplified model with only 5 steps, which accurately reproduce the results of the full model.

2 Computational Methods

2.1 Density Functional Theory Calculations

Spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations are carried out with the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) [16–19], using the gradient-corrected Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [20] augmented with a Hubbard-U term of 6 eV for the Cu 3d states as proposed in previous studies [21, 22]. To account for the van der Waals interactions of the molecules in the zeolites, Grimme-D3 corrections have been applied [23, 24]. The valence electrons are described with a plane wave basis set with a cutoff energy of 480 eV, whereas the interaction between the valence and the cores are described using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method [25, 26]. The k-point sampling is restricted to the gamma point. The Climbing Image Nudged Elastic Band (CI-NEB) method [27, 28] is employed to calculate the reaction barriers and the transition states are confirmed by vibrational analyses. Ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations are performed in the canonical (NVT) ensemble [29, 30] at 300 K to probe the potential energy surface and low energy configurations are obtained by structural relaxation from the trajectories. The experimental lattice constants ($\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 94.2^{\circ}$, a =b = c = 9.42 Å) are used for the CHA unit cell.

2.2 Microkinetic Modeling

For the microkinetic modeling, MATLAB R2018b is used with the ode23s solver to numerically integrate the system of differential equations until steady-state is reached. The full model includes 16 reaction steps as shown in Fig. 1 and two steps over the Brønsted acid sites [7]. The kinetic parameters for the full model are published elsewhere [7].

Here, a simplified model with only five reaction steps is developed. The simplified model uses lumped reaction steps with kinetic parameters estimated by fitting to the detailed model. The simulannealbnd optimizer is applied to perform the non-linear regression. An objective function (τ) for the parameter estimation is chosen as the normalized difference between the results from the detailed model (denoted ref) and the simplified model (denoted sim):

$$\tau = \sum_{T} \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{TOF}_{\text{sim}}}{\text{TOF}_{\text{ref}}} - 1\right)^2} + w \sqrt{\left(\frac{\text{S}_{\text{sim}}}{\text{S}_{\text{ref}}} - 1\right)^2} \right)$$
(1)

where the turnover frequency of NO and selectivity for N_2 are denoted TOF and S, respectively. A weighting factor (w = 10) is added to the part of the normalized difference in selectivity to enhance the importance of the selectivity.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Steps Controlling the Selectivity and Light-Off Temperature

Here we further investigate the steps that previously [7] were found to determine the selectivity for N_2 and the light-off temperature for NH_3 -SCR over Cu-CHA. The aim is to gain deeper insights into the mechanisms that control these steps and reveal how they influence the kinetic behavior.

3.1.1 Selectivity for N₂

In the kinetic model [7], the selectivity for N₂ depends on the ratio between reaction rate of reaction 5 and reaction 16. Reaction 5 contains desorption of H₂NNO from $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4OOH]^{2+}$ and diffusion through an eight membered ring in the zeolite to a Brønsted acid site. Previous calculations show that H₂NNO desorption is associated with a barrier of 0.3 eV and that the barrier for diffusion is about 0.1 eV. The effective barrier for reaction 5 is, thus, set to 0.3 eV. For reaction 16, the decomposition of H₂NNO over $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4OOH]^{2+}$ forming N₂O, H₂O and $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4OH]^{2+}$ may involve changes in the spin configuration [15], which makes it challenging to determine accurately the reaction barrier. Here we have considered the case where the spin state of the Cu-cations are preserved along the reaction path.

To investigate how the selectivity depends on the ratio between the barrier of reaction 5 and 16, we performed simulations where the ratio was changed, Fig. 2. In the simulations, the forward reaction barrier of Reaction 5 (E_{5f}) was fixed to 0.3 eV, whereas the forward reaction barrier of reaction 16 (E_{16f}) was changed from 0.3 to 0.42 eV.

The selectivity for N₂ depends strongly on the E_{16f}/E_{5f} ratio at low ratios. The selectivity at 373 K increase from 80% to 95% when the ratio increase from 1 to 1.2. The dependence is less pronounced at higher E_{16f}/E_{5f} ratios and the effect of increasing the ratio on the selectivity becomes small for cases when the ratio is larger than 1.3. E_{16f} is close to the calculated DFT barrier when the ratio is 1.3. The



Fig. 2 The selectivity for N_2 for different ratios between the barrier of reaction 5 and reaction 16 at 373, 423, and 473 K, respectively

strong dependence of the selectivity on the E_{16f}/E_{5f} ratio shows that lowering the H₂NNO desorption energy could lead to a higher selectivity for N₂. The desorption energy could potentially be effected by the Al-distribution [31].

3.1.2 Site Blocking and Light-Off Temperature

Adsorption of NH_3 instead of NO on the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4(O_2)]^{2+}$ complex blocks the site for the SCR-reaction [7]. The inhibiting effect of NH₃ is severe as the adsorption energy of NH₃ is higher than that of NO; -0.98 eV as compared to -0.70 eV. It was in Ref. [7] shown that the light-off temperature of the SCR reaction is determined by the NH₃ inhibition as the temperature should be high enough to facilitate NH₃ desorption. Adsorption of NH₃ on $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4(O_2)]^{2+}$ has also been observed experimentally using X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) [13] where it was suggested that the [Cu₂(NH₃)₅O₂]²⁺ complex dissociates into two Cu complexes $[Cu(NH_3)_3O_2]^+$ and $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$. The energy difference between $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5(O_2)]^{2+}$ and $[Cu(NH_3)_3(O_2)]^+$ with $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ was in Ref. [7] calculated to be only 0.04 eV. In the kinetic model [7], the NH₃ inhibition step was considered as adsorption onto $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5O_2]^{2+}$ (reaction 15 in Fig. 1).

It could be noted that molecular crystals have been synthesized with the Cu₂O₂ unit coordinated to six nitrogenbased ligands [32], which indicates that adsorption of additional NH₃ could possibly form $[Cu_2(NH_3)_6O_2]^{2+}$ during NH₃ -SCR in CHA. To investigate the effect of NH₃ inhibition in detail, we have here performed DFT calculations for sequential NH₃ adsorption onto the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ complex, Fig. 3. NH₃ can adsorb on the Cu site of $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ with an adsorption energy of -0.98 eV as shown in Fig. 3(B).



Fig. 3 The potential energy landscape of NH_3 adsorption over $[Cu_2 (NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ complex in CHA. Atomic color codes are the same as those in Fig. 1

After forming structure B, an additional NH₃ can adsorb with an adsorption energy of -0.85 eV on the other Cu-cation forming $[Cu_2(NH_3)_6O_2]^{2+}$ (structure C in Fig. 3). Without additional NH₃, structure B could dissociate into structure E via the transition state D. The barrier for dissociation is calculated to be 0.33 eV. Configuration E is consistent with the experimental interpretation of the XAS spectra [13]. As the complex has dissociated, O₂ and NH₃ bonded with the Cu-cation may desorb sequentially with desorption energies of 0.60 eV and 0.49 eV, respectively. The Cu species return in this way to the $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ state on which O₂ may adsorb forming structure A. The adsorption energy of O2 is here calculated to be only 0.05 eV, which is lower than experiments and previous calculations [33]. The difference can be attributed to differences in the reference state of the initial state for the $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ pairs as well as the poor description of molecular oxygen in the used exchange-correlation functional [34].

The potential energy landscape shows the possible ways of NH_3 hindering the SCR-reaction. The rate of NH_3 adsorption to C is at experimentally relevant conditions lower than the rate for dissociation of B to E, thus, the system will likely transform into E and eventually G. Kinetic analysis (not shown) reveals that this is indeed the case.¹ The kinetic analysis explains why structure C is not observed experimentally despite the strong NH_3 adsorption energy; B will dissociate before NH_3 adsorbs to form C. The system will at temperatures above 423 K mainly be in state G. The probability of being in state A, which is required for the NH_3 -SCR reaction has a maximum at about 473 K.

¹ The adsorption energy of O_2 was in the kinetic analysis put to the experimental value of -0.82 eV. [33]

Fig. 4 Simulated turnover frequency for NO conversion (a) and selectivity for N_2 (b) over Cu-CHA as a function of temperature. The simulations are performed with 600 ppm NH₃, 500 ppm NO, 10% O₂, and balance N₂. The red dashed line in each figure is the results from the detailed model whereas the blue line is from the simplified model



Table 1 Original and estimated parameters for the simplified kinetic model

Reaction	A_{f}	A_b	ΔE_{f}	ΔE_b	ΔS_f	ΔS_b
$O_2 + 2 * \stackrel{\text{R3}}{\leftrightarrow} * - OO - *$	_	_	0.13	0.33	- 134.9	17.6
$O_2 + 2 \stackrel{\text{R3}}{\leftrightarrow} * - OO - *(\text{Exp. }\Delta E)$	8.8×10^4	8.2×10^{13}	0.13	0.95	- 134.9	17.6
$NO + -OO - * \stackrel{R4}{\leftrightarrow} NO - OO - *$	9.7×10^{4}	9.8×10^{12}	0.00	0.70	- 109.2	0
$NH_2 + * - OO - * \stackrel{R5}{\leftrightarrow} NH_2 - OO - *$	2.2×10^4	9.8×10^{12}	0.00	0.98	- 103.8	0
$4NH_3 + 3NO + NO - OO - * \stackrel{R_6}{\leftrightarrow} 4N_2 + 6H_2O + 2 *$	9.3×10^{8}	_	0.34	14.1	- 14.8	-
$2NH_3 + NO + NO - OO - * \stackrel{R7}{\leftrightarrow} N_2 + N_2O + 3H_2O + 2 *$	2.4×10^{9}	-	0.46	10.6	- 7.0	_

Energies are given in eV and entropies in J/mol · K. The pre-exponential factors (A_x) are given in s⁻¹ and evaluated at 473 K with 600 ppm NH₃, 500 ppm NO, 10% O₂, and balance N₂

The detailed reaction path for NH_3 inhibition shows that structure E is the dominating structure at low temperatures. For the SCR reaction to proceed, E should decompose allowing for regeneration of structure A. Effectively, however, the inhibition could in a kinetic model be accounted for by considering only structure B as the NH_3 poisoned state.

3.2 Reduced Kinetic Model

In our previous work, the reaction cycle in Fig. 1 was implemented in a kinetic model [7]. The model included 18 elementary steps and it is interesting to investigate whether it could be simplified by lumping reaction steps which do not control the reaction rate. We have shown [7] that the total rate is dominated by the adsorption steps, *i.e.* O₂, NO and NH₃ adsorption onto a pair of $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ complexes. Here we develop a model based on the three adsorption steps and lumped reactions for N₂ and N₂O formation:

$$O_2 + 2 * \stackrel{R_3}{\leftrightarrow} * - OO - *$$
(R3)

NO+ * - OO - *
$$\stackrel{\text{R4}}{\leftrightarrow}$$
 NO - OO - * (R4)

$$NH_3 + * - OO - * \stackrel{R5}{\leftrightarrow} NH_3 - OO - *$$
(R5)

$$4NH_3 + 3NO + NO - OO - * \stackrel{R6}{\leftrightarrow} 4N_2 + 6H_2O + 2 * (R6)$$

$$2NH_3 + NO + NO - OO - * \stackrel{R7}{\leftrightarrow} N_2 + N_2O + 3H_2O + 2 *$$
(R7)

The kinetic parameters for the elementary adsorption steps are taken from our previous study [7], whereas the parameters for the lumped reactions (R6 and R7) are determined by fitting to the detailed model. The initial values in the parameter estimation are given by the values corresponding to the highest energy barrier in the lumped reaction. The activation energies and entropy contributions from parameter estimation are listed in Table 1.

The TOF and selectivity from the simplified model is compared to the detailed model in Fig. 4. Both the TOF and selectivity for N_2 are in very good agreement. The onset of the reaction is at about 425 K and is associated with the desorption of NH₃ that blocks the Cu-site. The TOF approaches a maximum at about 525 K as the coverage of O_2 starts to decrease.

Phenomenological models with lumped reaction steps have previously been developed from experimental data for NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA [35]. In Ref. [35], the pre-exponential factor for the NH₃-SCR reaction was reported to be 4.83×10^8 s⁻¹ and the corresponding activation energy to be 0.71 eV. Our model yields a comparable result for the prefactor, which is 9.3×10^8 s⁻¹ for the lumped SCR-reaction (R6). However, our activation energy for R6 is 0.34 eV. The difference with respect to the phenomenological model is due to the fact that NH₃-inhibition effectively was included in the SCR-reaction in Ref. [35], whereas it is treated explicitly in our model. In fact, the apparent activation energy of our simplified model is 0.76 eV, which is close to the value used in the phenomenological model. The comparison shows the advantage of a first-principles based model where the kinetic parameters can be associated with elementary reaction steps.

4 Conclusions

We have discussed a first-principles micro-kinetic model for low-temperature NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA. The model involves formation of H₂NNO and HONO intermediates over $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ and diffusion of the intermediates to Brønsted acid sites (NH_4^+) where they decompose to N₂ and H₂O. Unwanted N₂O formation is accounted for by direct H₂NNO decomposition over $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4OOH]^{2+}$. Here, we have analyzed in detail two crucial aspects of the model, namely how the selectivity depends on the ratio between the barriers for H₂NNO diffusion and direct decomposition, and how the SCR-reaction is inhibited by NH₃ adsorption onto $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ complex.

The selectivity for N₂ is found to be sensitive to the ratio between the barriers. The barrier for H₂NNO diffusion originates mainly from the desorption from the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4$ OOH]²⁺ complex and one strategy to enhance the selectivity would be to reduce the desorption energy. The desorption energy could potentially be modified via the Al-distribution.

The micro-kinetic model shows that adsorption of extra NH_3 on $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ controls the light-off temperature of the SCR reaction. We show that adsorption of two additional NH_3 forming $[Cu_2(NH_3)_6O_2]^{2+}$ is thermodynamically preferred analogous to crystal structures with the Cu_2O_2 core coordinated to six N-ligands. However, our analysis reveals that decomposition of $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5O_2]^{2+}$ into $[Cu(NH_3)_3O_2]^+$ and $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ is kinetically preferred at relevant experimental conditions. The formation of $[Cu(NH_3)_3O_2]^+$ and $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ is in agreement with experimental observations [13]. $[Cu(NH_3)_3O_2]^+$ should decompose allowing for the regeneration of the $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$ complex for the SCR reaction to proceed.

The analysis of the detailed kinetic model allows for the formulation of a simplified model for NH_3 -SCR with N_2O formation over Cu-CHA with only five reaction steps. The simplified model was constructed with the elementary reactions for adsorption and desorption of NH_3 , NO and O_2 together with lumped reactions for N_2 and N_2O formation. The kinetic parameters for the lumped reactions were fitted via non-linear regression. The simplified model reproduces the results for the detailed model and provides a link to a previous kinetic model developed from experimental data.

Acknowledgements We thank Ton V.W. Janssens, Jonas Jansson and Magnus Skoglundh for discussions and acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Energy Agency (47110-1). The Competence Centre for Catalysis (KCK) is hosted by Chalmers University of Technology and financially supported by the Swedish Energy Agency and the member companies ECAPS, Johnson Matthey, Perstorp, Powercell, Preem, Scania CV, Umicore and Volvo Group. The calculations have been performed at PDC (Stockholm) through a SNIC grant.

Funding Open access funding provided by Chalmers University of Technology.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Johnson TV (2014) In: Nova I, Tronconi E (eds) Review of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and related technologies for mobile applications, pp. 3–31. Springer, New York (2014). https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4899-8071-7_1
- Chen H-Y (2014) In: Nova I, Tronconi E (eds) Cu/zeolite SCR catalysts for automotive diesel NOx emission control, pp. 123–147. Springer, New York (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-1-4899-8071-7_5
- Schmieg SJ, Oh SH, Kim CH, Brown DB, Lee JH, Peden CHF, Kim DH (2012) Thermal durability of Cu-CHA NH₃-SCR catalysts for diesel NO_x reduction. Catal Today 184(1):252–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2011.10.034
- Xin Y, Li Q, Zhang Z (2018) Zeolitic materials for DeNO_x selective catalytic reduction. ChemCatChem 10:29–41. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/cctc.201700854
- Gao F, Walter ED, Kollar M, Wang Y, Szanyi J, Peden CHF (2014) Understanding ammonia selective catalytic reduction kinetics over Cu/SSZ-13 from motion of the Cu ions. J Catal 319:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2014.08.010
- Yao D, Liu B, Wu F, Li Y, Hu X, Jin W, Wang X (2021) N₂O formation mechanism during low-temperature NH₃-SCR over

Cu-SSZ-13 catalysts with different Cu loadings. Ind Eng Chem Res 60(28):10083–10093. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c015 14

- Feng Y, Wang X, Janssens TVW, Vennestrøm PNR, Jansson J, Skoglundh M, Grönbeck H (2021) First-principles microkinetic model for low-temperature NH₃-assisted selective catalytic reduction of NO over Cu-CHA. ACS Catal 14395–14407 https://doi. org/10.1021/acscatal.1c03973
- Chen L, Janssens TVW, Vennestrøm PNR, Jansson J, Skoglundh M, Grönbeck H (2020) A complete multisite reaction mechanism for low-temperature NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA. ACS Catal 10(10):5646–5656. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c00440
- Paolucci C, Khurana I, Parekh AA, Li S, Shih AJ, Li H, Di Iorio JR, Albarracin-Caballero JD, Yezerets A, Miller JT et al (2017) Dynamic multinuclear sites formed by mobilized copper ions in NO₃ selective catalytic reduction. Science 357(6354):898–903. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan5630
- Paolucci C, Parekh AA, Khurana I, Di Iorio JR, Li H, Albarracin Caballero JD, Shih AJ, Anggara T, Delgass WN, Miller JT et al (2016) Catalysis in a cage: condition-dependent speciation and dynamics of exchanged Cu cations in SSZ-13 zeolites. J Am Chem Soc 138(18):6028–6048. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b026 51
- Paolucci C, Di Iorio JR, Schneider WF, Gounder R (2020) Solvation and mobilization of copper active sites in zeolites by ammonia: consequences for the catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides. Acc Chem Res 53(9):1881–1892. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. accounts.0c00328
- Chen L, Falsig H, Janssens TVW, Grönbeck H (2018) Activation of oxygen on (NH₃-Cu-NH₃)⁺ in NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA. J Catal 358:179–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2017.12.009
- Negri C, Selleri T, Borfecchia E, Martini A, Lomachenko KA, Janssens TVW, Cutini M, Bordiga S, Berlier G (2020) Structure and reactivity of oxygen-bridged diamino dicopper(II) complexes in Cu-ion-exchanged Chabazite catalyst for NH₃-mediated selective catalytic reduction. J Am Chem Soc 142(37):15884–15896. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c06270
- Solomon EI, Heppner DE, Johnston EM, Ginsbach JW, Cirera J, Qayyum M, Kieber-Emmons MT, Kjaergaard CH, Hadt RG, Tian L (2014) Copper active sites in biology. Chem Rev 114(7):3659– 3853. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr400327t
- Feng Y, Janssens TVW, Vennestrøm PNR, Jansson J, Skoglundh M, Grönbeck H (2021) The role of H⁺- and Cu⁺-sites for N₂O formation during NH₃-SCR over Cu-CHA. J Phys Chem C 125(8):4595–4601. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.0c11008
- Kresse G, Hafner J (1993) Ab initio molecular dynamics for openshell transition metals. Phys Rev B 48(17):13115–13118. https:// doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.13115
- Kresse G, Hafner J (1994) Ab initio molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquid-metalamorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium. Phys Rev B 49(20):14251–14269. https://doi.org/10. 1103/PhysRevB.49.14251
- Kresse G, Furthmüller J (1996) Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. Phys Rev B 54(16):11169–11186. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysR evB.54.11169
- Kresse G, Furthmüller J (1996) Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. Comput Mater Sci 6(1):15–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0927-0256(96)00008-0
- 20. Perdew JP, Burke K, Ernzerhof M (1996) Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys Rev Lett 77(18):3865–3868. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865

- Isseroff LY, Carter EA (2012) Importance of reference hamiltonians containing exact exchange for accurate one-shot GW calculations of Cu₂O. Phys Rev B 85(23), 1–7 (2012). https://doi.org/10. 1103/PhysRevB.85.235142
- Chen L, Janssens TVW, Grönbeck H (2019) A comparative test of different density functionals for calculations of NH₃-SCR over Cu-Chabazite. Phys Chem Chem Phys 21(21):10923–10930. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cp01576k
- Grimme S, Antony J, Ehrlich S, Krieg H (2010) A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J Chem Phys 132(15):154104. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344
- Grimme S, Ehrlich S, Goerigk L (2012) Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. J Comput Chem 32:1456–1465. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc
- Blöchl PE (1994) Projector augmented-wave method. Phys Rev B 50(24):17953–17979. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50. 17953
- Kresse G, Joubert D (1999) From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. Phys Rev B 59(3):1758–1775. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758
- Mills G, Jónsson H, Schenter GK (1995) Reversible work transition state theory: application to dissociative adsorption of hydrogen. Surf Sci 324(2–3):305–337. https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-6028(94)00731-4
- Henkelman G, Jónsson H (2000) Improved tangent estimate in the nudged elastic band method for finding minimum energy paths and saddle points. J Chem Phys 113(22):9978–9985. https://doi. org/10.1063/1.1323224
- Nosé S (1984) A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. J Chem Phys 81(1):511–519. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.447334
- Hoover WG (1985) Canonical dynamics: equilibrium phase-space distributions. Phys Rev A 31(3):1695–1697. https://doi.org/10. 1103/PhysRevA.31.1695
- Chen L, Falsig H, Janssens TVW, Jansson J, Skoglundh M, Grönbeck H (2018) Effect of Al-distribution on oxygen activation over Cu-CHA. Catal Sci Technol 8(8):2131–2136. https://doi.org/10. 1039/c8cy00083b
- Lam BMT, Halfen JA, Young VG, Hagadorn JR, Holland PL, Lledós A, Cucurull-Sánchez L, Novoa JJ, Alvarez S, Tolman WB (2000) Ligand macrocycle structural effects on copper-dioxygen reactivity. Inorg Chem 39(18):4059–4072. https://doi.org/10. 1021/ic000248p
- 33. Wang X, Chen L, Vennestrøm PNR, Janssens TVW, Jansson J, Grönbeck H, Skoglundh M (2021) Direct measurement of enthalpy and entropy changes in NH₃ promoted O₂ activation over Cu-CHA at low temperature. ChemCatChem 13(11):2577–2582. https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.202100253
- Kurth S, Perdew JP, Blaha P (1999) Molecular and solid-state tests of density functional approximations: LSD, GGAs, and meta-GGAs. Int J Quantum Chem 75(4–5):889–909
- Olsson L, Wijayanti K, Leistner K, Kumar A, Joshi SY, Kamasamudram K, Currier NW, Yezerets A (2015) A multi-site kinetic model for NH₃-SCR over Cu/SSZ-13. Appl Catal B 174:212–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.02.037

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.