



CCR and CAR Algebras are Connected Via a Path of Cuntz–Toeplitz Algebras

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2025-12-05 03:03 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Kuzmin, O. (2023). CCR and CAR Algebras are Connected Via a Path of Cuntz–Toeplitz Algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 399(3): 1623-1645.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-022-04580-x>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.



CCR and CAR Algebras are Connected Via a Path of Cuntz–Toeplitz Algebras

Alexey Kuzmin

Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg Mathematical Sciences, Gothenburg, Sweden. E-mail: vagnard.k@gmail.com

Received: 31 May 2022 / Accepted: 16 November 2022
 Published online: 8 December 2022 – © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract: For $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $|q| < 1$ we consider the universal enveloping C^* -algebra of a $*$ -algebra of q -canonical commutation relations (q -CCR), which is generated by a_1, \dots, a_n subject to the relations

$$a_i^* a_j = \delta_{ij} 1 + q a_j a_i^*.$$

It has a distinguished representation π_F called the Fock representation, which is believed to be faithful. In this article we denote the image of the universal enveloping C^* -algebra of q -CCR in the Fock representation by $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$. The question whether C^* -isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{n,0}$ holds has been considered in the literature and proved for $|q| < 0.44$. In this article we show that $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{n,0}$ for $|q| < 1$.

Contents

1. Introduction	1624
2. Setup	1626
3. Description of the Approach	1629
3.1 Step 1, Approximation of flip: $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$	1629
3.2 Step 2, Crossed product by an endomorphism: $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes \mathbb{N}$	1629
3.3 Step 3, Kirchberg–Philips classification: $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$	1630
3.4 Step 4, Gabe–Ruiz classification of unital extensions: $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{n,0}$	1630
4. Approximation of Flip	1631
5. Asymptotic Imbedding in \mathfrak{U}_n^{∞}	1635
6. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$	1636
7. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$	1640
8. $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{A}_{n,0}$	1642
References	1643

1. Introduction

A broad class of operator algebras studied in the literature are universal enveloping C^* -algebras of $*$ -algebras defined by polynomial relations:

$$\mathbb{C}(V(p)) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*] / (p_\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) = 0)_{\alpha \in I},$$

where the variables do not commute. Such $*$ -algebras are objects of study of noncommutative algebraic geometry. If $\mathbb{C}(V(p))$ has bounded $*$ -representations on a Hilbert space, one can consider the universal enveloping C^* -algebra of “continuous functions on the noncommutative algebraic variety $V(p)$ ”:

$$C(V(p)) = C^*(\mathbb{C}(V(p))).$$

This C^* -algebra encodes “topology” of the corresponding noncommutative variety. Unfortunately, up to a restricted knowledge of the author, there has been not so many examples of interplay between noncommutative algebraic geometry and operator algebras. Thus the author wishes to highlight the possibility of such point of view on C^* -algebras generated by generators and relations. In this paper we will consider a special class of “quadratic noncommutative curves” called Wick algebras. We will be interested in the “topological uniformization” of such noncommutative quadrics, i.e. in classification of $C(V(p))$ up to C^* -isomorphism for a certain class of quadratic noncommutative polynomials p .

Wick algebras have originated not from algebraic geometry, but from the study of non-classical models of mathematical physics, quantum group theory and noncommutative probability (see e.g., [2, 8, 10, 13, 16, 19, 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 35, 40]), which gave rise to a number of papers on operator algebras generated by various deformed commutation relations [3, 25, 29], which are C^* -algebras of noncommutative algebraic varieties. They include deformations of canonical commutation relations of quantum mechanics, some quantum groups and quantum homogeneous spaces, see e.g., [15, 26, 37, 38].

Also Wick algebras can be considered as deformations of Cuntz–Toeplitz algebras, see [7, 11, 17, 18, 22, 23]. This point of view will be considered in this article. Below we give more details.

Let us formally define Wick algebras. They were defined in [22]. For $\{T_{ij}^{kl}, i, j, k, l = \overline{1, n}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, $T_{ij}^{kl} = \overline{T_{ji}^{lk}}$, the Wick algebra $W(T)$ is the $*$ -algebra generated by elements $a_j, a_j^*, j = \overline{1, n}$ subject to the relations

$$a_i^* a_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} + \sum_{k, l=1}^n T_{ij}^{kl} a_l a_k^*.$$

It depends [22] on the so called operator of coefficients T , given as follows. Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$ and e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard orthonormal basis, then

$$T: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2}, \quad T(e_k \otimes e_l) = \sum_{i, j=1}^d T_{ik}^{lj} e_i \otimes e_j.$$

It is a non-trivial and central problem in the theory of Wick algebras to determine whether a Fock representation π_F of a Wick algebra exists, see [3, 20, 22]. Fock representation is determined uniquely up to a unitary equivalence by the following property: there exists

a cyclic vector Ω such that $\pi_F(a_i^*)(\Omega) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The problem of existence and uniqueness of π_F was studied in [2, 13, 40] and in [22] for a more general class of Wick algebras. For some sufficient conditions it exists, for example if T is braided, i.e., $(\mathbf{1} \otimes T)(T \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes T) = (T \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes T)(\mathbf{1} \otimes T)$, and if $\|T\| \leq 1$; moreover, if $\|T\| < 1$ then π_F is a bounded faithful representation of $W(T)$.

Another important question concerns the stability of isomorphism classes of the universal C^* -envelope $\mathcal{W}(T) = C^*(W(T))$. It was conjectured in [21]:

Conjecture 1.1. *If T is self-adjoint, braided and $\|T\| < 1$, then $\mathcal{W}(T) \simeq \mathcal{W}(0)$.*

In particular, the authors of [21] have shown that the conjecture holds for the case $\|T\| < \sqrt{2} - 1$, for more results on the subject see [11, 24].

In the case $T = 0$ and $n = \dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, the Wick algebra $W(0)$ is generated by a single isometry s , its universal C^* -algebra exists and is isomorphic to the C^* -algebra generated by the unilateral shift, and the Fock representation is faithful. The ideal \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{E} , generated by $\mathbf{1} - ss^*$ is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators and $\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{I} \simeq C(S^1)$, see [6]. When $n \geq 2$, the enveloping universal C^* -algebra exists and it is called the Cuntz–Toeplitz algebra $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$. It is isomorphic to $C^*(\pi_F(W(0)))$, so the Fock representation of $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ is faithful, see [7]. Furthermore, the ideal generated by $1 - \sum_{j=1}^n s_j s_j^*$ is the unique largest ideal in $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$. It is isomorphic to the algebra of compact operators on \mathcal{F}_n . The quotient $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n/\mathbb{K}$ is called the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_n . It is nuclear (as well as $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$), simple and purely infinite, see [7] for more details.

Among Wick algebras, considerable attention has been paid to the study of so-called q -CCR introduced by M. Bożejko and R. Speicher, see [2] which as a Wick algebra corresponds to the operator $T(x \otimes y) = qy \otimes x$. Assume that $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $|q| < 1$. Define q -CCR to be a $*$ -algebra generated by elements $a_i, a_i^*, i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying the following relations:

$$a_i^* a_j = \delta_{ij} + q a_j a_i^*.$$

It is a deformation of $*$ -algebras of the classical commutation relations in the sense that in the Fock realisation, the limiting cases $q = 1$ and $q = -1$ correspond to $*$ -algebras of the canonical commutation relations (CCR) and the canonical anti-commutation relations (CAR) respectively.

It can easily be verified that in any $*$ -representation π of the $*$ -algebra q -CCR by bounded operators one has

$$\|\pi(a_i)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-|q|}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, there exists a universal enveloping C^* -algebra associated to q -CCR. We denote it's image in the Fock representation by $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}$. See more about the Fock representation in [1].

Let us formulate the main result of this article:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $|q| < 1$, then*

$$\mathfrak{J}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{J}_{n,0} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n.$$

Returning to the point of view of noncommutative algebraic geometry, this result can metaphorically be considered as a topological uniformization of noncommutative quadrics. For commutative quadrics there are three families: parabolas, hyperbolas and ellipses. For the subclass of noncommutative quadrics given by q -CCR, we

therefore have either “elliptic” Cuntz–Toeplitz algebra, “paraboli” fermionic algebra and “hyperbolic” bosonic algebra.

Many authors were interested in the study of the C^* -algebra generated by operators of the Fock representation of q -CCR. Namely, Dykema and Nica [11] proved that $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ for $|q| < 0.44$ which is slightly larger than $\sqrt{2} - 1$ - result of [22]. Also an embedding of $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ into $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$ was constructed for $|q| < 1$.

Later M. Kennedy in [24] showed existence of an embedding of $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$ into $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ and proved that $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$ is an exact C^* -algebra.

Let us stress out that results concerning $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$ cannot be automatically lifted to the universal C^* -algebra since at the moment we do not know whether or not π_F is a faithful $*$ -representation of $C^*(q\text{-CCR})$ for any $|q| < 1$. However π_F is a faithful representation of $q\text{-CCR}$, i.e. it is faithful on the $*$ -algebraic level.

2. Setup

Let $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $|q| < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this section we will define C^* -algebras to be considered in the article, the Fock representation, auxiliary operators, state and prove basic structural facts about the algebras.

Definition 2.1 (q -deformed Fock space). Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes k}$ be a linear space. Endow it with the following inner product, see [2, 11]

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_k, \eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_k \rangle_q = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} \langle \xi_{\sigma_1}, \eta_1 \rangle \dots \langle \xi_{\sigma_k}, \eta_k \rangle.$$

The pair $(\mathcal{F}^q, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called the q -deformed Fock space. We denote \mathcal{F}_k^q to be the k -th component of \mathcal{F}^q . Put Ω to be the unit vector in \mathcal{F}_0^q and call it vacuum vector.

Definition 2.2 (Creation operators). Let e_1, \dots, e_n be an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{C}^n . Define

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^q : \mathcal{F}^q &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q, \quad L_i^q(\xi) := e_i \otimes \xi, \\ R_i^q : \mathcal{F}^q &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q, \quad R_i^q(\xi) := \xi \otimes e_i. \end{aligned}$$

These operators are called correspondingly left and right creation operators

Definition 2.3 (Annihilation operators). With respect to the q -inner product on the q -deformed Fock space the adjoints to left and right creation operators have the following form

$$\begin{aligned} (L_i^q)^* : \mathcal{F}^q &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q, \quad (L_i^q)^*(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = \sum_{m=1}^k q^{m-1} \delta_{im} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{e_{i_m}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \\ (R_i^q)^* : \mathcal{F}^q &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q, \quad (R_i^q)^*(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = \sum_{m=1}^k q^{k-m} \delta_{im} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \widehat{e_{i_m}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where by $\widehat{}$ we mean that the tensor is missed. We call $(L_i^q)^*$, $(R_i^q)^*$ left and right annihilation operators.

Definition 2.4 (Fock representation). Define π_F^L and π_F^R to be the left and right Fock representations of the $*$ -algebra of q -CCR and define them to be

$$\pi_F^L(a_i) = L_i^q, \quad \pi_F^R(a_i) = R_i^q, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Definition 2.5 (C^* -algebra of the q -CCR in the Fock representation). We call $\mathfrak{A}_q^L, \mathfrak{A}_q^R$ to be the image of the universal enveloping C^* -algebra of q -CCR in π_F^L, π_F^R respectively. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{A}_q^L &= C^*(L_1^q, \dots, L_n^q), \\ \mathfrak{A}_q^R &= C^*(R_1^q, \dots, R_n^q).\end{aligned}$$

We will also use a compound version

$$\mathfrak{A}_q^{L,R} = C^*(L_1^q, \dots, L_n^q, R_1^q, \dots, R_n^q).$$

Definition 2.6 (Tensor-reverse operator). The following operator on \mathcal{F}^q we call tensor-reverse operator

$$J^q : \mathcal{F}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q, \quad J^q(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_k) := \xi_k \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_1.$$

It can be seen that J^q is unitary, see [24].

It is easy to check that C^* -algebras \mathfrak{A}_q^L and \mathfrak{A}_q^R are C^* -isomorphic with isomorphism given by $\text{Ad}(J^q)$. Indeed, $J^q L_i^q J^q = R_i^q$. Thus when there is no need to distinguish \mathfrak{A}_q^L and \mathfrak{A}_q^R we will simply write $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$. Another consequence of the fact that $J^q L_i^q J^q = R_i^q$ is that $\text{Ad}(J^q)$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}^{L,R}$.

Definition 2.7 (Particle number operator). We define operators called particle number operator

$$\rho_L = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^q (L_i^q)^*, \quad \rho_R = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^q (R_i^q)^*.$$

Their action on tensors are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_L(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \widehat{e_{i_k}} \dots \otimes e_{i_m}. \\ \rho_R(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_m}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n q^{m-k} e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \widehat{e_{i_k}} \dots \otimes e_{i_m}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.8 (Gauge action on $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}^L, \mathfrak{A}_{n,q}^R$). Let $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Consider operators $(L_i^q)' = z L_i^q$. Then $(L_1^q)', \dots, (L_n^q)'$ satisfy q -commutation relations and $((L_i^q)')^*(\Omega) = 0$, so by the uniqueness of the Fock representation, there exists a unitary U_z which intertwines $(L_i^q)'$ and L_i^q . The same unitary intertwines R_i^q and $z R_i^q$. Thus we can define the following action γ of the torus \mathbb{T} on $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}^{L,R}$:

$$\gamma_z(x) = U_z x U_z^*,$$

which acts on generators by

$$\gamma_z(L_i^q) = z \cdot L_i^q, \quad \gamma_z(R_i^q) = z \cdot R_i^q.$$

The action γ induces actions of \mathbb{T} on \mathfrak{J}_L^q and \mathfrak{J}_R^q by

$$z \curvearrowright L_i^q = \gamma_z(L_i^q), \quad z \curvearrowright R_i^q = \gamma_z(R_i^q).$$

Definition 2.9 (Fixed point C^* -subalgebra). We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{J}_{n,q} : z \curvearrowright x = x, z \in \mathbb{T}\}, \\ (\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{L,R})^{\mathbb{T}} &= \{x \in \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{L,R} : z \curvearrowright x = x, z \in \mathbb{T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.10 (Orthogonal projections onto the vacuum vector). *The orthogonal projection P_Ω onto \mathcal{F}_0^q belong both to $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 of [11], $\ker \rho_L = \ker \rho_R = \mathcal{F}_0^q = \langle \Omega \rangle$ and there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of $m > 0$, such that

$$C_1 1_{\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L} < (\rho_L)|_{\mathcal{F}_m^q} < C_2 1_{\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1)$$

$$C_1 1_{\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R} < (\rho_R)|_{\mathcal{F}_m^q} < C_2 1_{\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (2)$$

In particular (1, 2) implies that 0 is an isolated point in the spectrum of ρ_L and ρ_R , hence the spectral projection $E_{\rho_L}(0) = E_{\rho_R}(0) = P_{\mathcal{F}_0^q}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$. \square

Proposition 2.11 (Invariance of the ideal of compact operators). *The ideal of compact operators $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$ is contained both in $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$. Moreover, it is invariant under the action of \mathbb{T} .*

Proof. The orthogonal projection P_Σ is a compact operator and belongs to both $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$. Both $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$ are irreducible C^* -subalgebras of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$, so by Corollary I.10.4 of [9], the whole ideal of compact operators is contained in $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L, \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$.

Since the action of \mathbb{T} is implemented by conjugation with a unitary, $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$ is invariant being an ideal in both $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$. \square

Definition 2.12 (Quotient of q -CCR). We denote $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L = \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L / \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$, $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R = \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R / \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$, $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{L,R} = \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{L,R} / \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$. When there is no need to distinguish $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$ we will simply write $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}$.

Definition 2.13 (Gauge action on $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L, \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$). Since the ideal of compact operators is \mathbb{T} -invariant, the action of \mathbb{T} descends to $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$.

Proposition 2.14 (Theorem 4.3 of [11]). *There is a \mathbb{T} -equivariant inclusion $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L$ and $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R$. Moreover, these inclusions are implemented by conjugation with the same unitary $U : \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^q$.*

Proposition 2.15 (Theorem of [24]). *There is an inclusion $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^L \subset \mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^R \subset \mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$. Moreover, these inclusions are implemented by conjugation with the same unitary $U^{opp} : \mathcal{F}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0$.*

These propositions are reformulations of the corresponding Theorems in [11] and [24]. \mathbb{T} -equivariance follows from the fact that U conjugates generators s_1, \dots, s_n of $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n$ into operators of the form Ra_1, \dots, Ra_n with R being \mathbb{T} -equivariant and a_1, \dots, a_n generators of $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q}$.

In both cases the ideal of compact operators is mapped into the ideal of compact operators because the inclusion is given by conjugation with a unitary. Moreover, since the quotient map $\mathfrak{A}_{n,q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ is \mathbb{T} -equivariant, we can conclude the following Propositions

Corollary 2.16. *There is a \mathbb{T} -equivariant inclusion $\mathcal{O}_n \subset \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$.*

Corollary 2.17. *There is an inclusion $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \subset \mathcal{O}_n$*

3. Description of the Approach

3.1. Step 1, Approximation of flip: $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra. Consider an automorphism σ of $A \otimes_{\min} A$ given by $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. A has approximately inner flip if there is a sequence u_1, u_2, \dots of unitaries in $A \otimes_{\min} A$ such that for each $x \in A \otimes_{\min} A$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k x u_k^* - \sigma(x)\| = 0.$$

Let \mathfrak{U}_n^∞ be the uniformly hyperfinite algebra of type n^∞ .

Theorem 3.2 ([12], Theorem 5.1). *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Then $A \simeq \mathfrak{U}_n^\infty$ iff*

- (1) *A has approximately inner flip.*
- (2) *A has an asymptotic imbedding in \mathfrak{U}_n^∞ .*
- (3) *$A \simeq A \otimes \mathfrak{U}_n^\infty$.*

In order to prove that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$ we show that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.2, thus $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_n^\infty$.

3.2. Step 2, Crossed product by an endomorphism: $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes \mathbb{N}$. There are different models for a crossed product by endomorphism: Doplicher, Stacey, Murphy, Exel, Paschke, Kwasniewski gave their different visions on it. Under certain conditions these models coincide.

Definition 3.3. Let A be a C^* -algebra and S be a nonunitary isometry in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $SAS^* \subset A$ and $S^*AS \subset A$. Then $C^*(A, S)$ is called crossed product of A by S in the sense of Paschke.

We will need to use results for the Stacey crossed product by endomorphism, so we state a result which ensures that the Paschke crossed product is isomorphic to the Stacey crossed product.

Proposition 3.4 ([32], Example 1.19). *Let A be a C^* -algebra with faithful trace and S be a nonunitary isometry such that $SAS^* \subset A$ and $S^*AS \subset A$. Suppose there are no nontrivial ideals I in A such that $SIS^* \subset I$ or $S^*IS \subset I$. Define endomorphism β of A to be $\beta(a) = SaS^*$. Then the Stacey crossed product $A \rtimes_\beta \mathbb{N}$ is isomorphic to the Paschke crossed product $C^*(A, S)$. Moreover, the crossed product is a simple C^* -algebra.*

Using Proposition 3.4 we prove that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ is isomorphic to the Stacey crossed product of $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ by an endomorphism.

3.3. *Step 3, Kirchberg–Philips classification:* $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$.

Theorem 3.5 ([32], Theorem 3.6). *Let A be a unital non-type I C^* -algebra of real rank zero that has strict comparison, let β be an injective endomorphism of A such that $\beta(1) \neq 1$ and $\beta(A)$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of A . If the Stacey crossed product $A \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{N}$ is simple and $\beta(1)$ is a full projection of A , then $A \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{N}$ is purely infinite simple C^* -algebra.*

We use Theorem 3.5 to show that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ is nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebra which satisfy Universal Coefficient Theorem.

Theorem 3.6 ([33], Theorem 4.2.4). *Let A and B be separable nuclear unital purely infinite simple C^* -algebras which satisfy Universal Coefficient Theorem, and suppose that there exists a graded isomorphism $\alpha : K_*(A) \rightarrow K_*(B)$ such that $\alpha([1_A]) = [1_B]$. Then $A \simeq B$.*

We compute K-theory of $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ and use Theorem 3.6 to show that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$.

3.4. *Step 4, Gabe–Ruiz classification of unital extensions:* $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$. Consider two six-term exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & H_0^i & \longrightarrow & L_0^i & \longrightarrow & G_0^i \\ x^i : & \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ & H_1^i & \longleftarrow & L_1^i & \longleftarrow & G_1^i \end{array}$$

with distinguished elements $x_i \in L_0^i$, $y_i \in G_0^i$ for $i = 1, 2$. A homomorphism $(\psi_*, \rho_*, \phi_*) : x^1 \rightarrow x^2$ of six-term exact sequences consists of

$$\psi_* : H_*^1 \rightarrow H_*^2, \quad \rho_* : L_*^1 \rightarrow L_*^2,$$

making the diagram commute and such that $\rho_0(x_1) = x_2$, $\phi_0(y_1) = y_2$.

Definition 3.7. For an extension

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow E \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

of unital C^* -algebras we let $K_{six}^u(\mathcal{E})$ denote the six-term exact sequence in K-theory with distinguished elements $[1_E] \in K_0(E)$ and $1_A \in K_0(A)$. By $K_{six}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E})$ we mean the six-term exact sequence in K-theory with order in all K_0 -groups.

Theorem 3.8 (Proposition 5.9, [14]). *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}_i : 0 \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow 0$$

be unital extensions of C^ -algebras for $i = 1, 2$ such that A_1, A_2 is a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra and B_1, B_2 is a stable AF algebra. Then $E_1 \simeq E_2$ iff $K_{six}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_1) \simeq K_{six}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_2)$.*

Since $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}$ fits into short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{n,q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0,$$

Theorem 3.8 will be used to show that $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$.

4. Approximation of Flip

In this section we will show that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ has flip approximation property. In order to comfortably make computations in $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$, we will use a spatial model for a tensor product - it will be $(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R})^{\mathbb{T}}$.

Lemma 4.1 (Lemma 3.1, [36]).

$$[(L_i^q)^*, R_j^q]|_{\mathcal{F}_n^q} = \delta_{ij} q^n \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}_n^q}.$$

In particular, $[\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}^L, \mathfrak{I}_{n,q}^R] \subset \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.

Corollary 4.2.

$$[\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L, \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R] = \{0\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. Inclusion of the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \odot \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$ defined on elementary tensors by $l \otimes r \mapsto lr$ is an injective $*$ -homomorphism.

Proof. The inclusion is a $*$ -homomorphism because $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$ commutes with $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$ by Lemma 4.2.

In order to prove that the inclusion is injective, consider embedding

$$\iota : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{L,R} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^0)/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^0).$$

Notice that $\mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{L,R} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^L \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^R$ and the inclusion ι has property $\iota(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L) \subset \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^L \otimes 1$ and $\iota(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R) \subset 1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^R$.

Every element of $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \odot \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R$ can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^d l_i \otimes r_i$ with l_1, \dots, l_d linearly independent. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^d l_i r_i = 0 \in \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$. Then

$$\iota\left(\sum_{i=1}^d l_i r_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^d \iota(l_i) \otimes \iota(r_i) = 0 \in \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^L \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^R.$$

Since $\iota(l_1), \dots, \iota(l_d)$ are also linearly independent, we conclude that $\iota(r_1) = \dots = \iota(r_d) = 0$. By injectivity of ι we conclude $r_1 = \dots = r_d = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d l_i \otimes r_i = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.4. For some C^* -completion α on $\mathfrak{T}_q^L \odot \mathfrak{T}_q^R$,

$$(\mathfrak{T}_q^{L,R}, \text{Ad}(J^q)) \simeq^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \otimes_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R, \sigma \circ (\text{Ad}(J^q) \otimes_{\alpha} \text{Ad}(J^q))) \simeq^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}, \sigma).$$

Proof. Make $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \odot \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R$ into a normed space by postulating that the injection ι from Lemma 4.3 is an isometry. This norm is a C^* -norm on a tensor product, thus completion of $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \odot \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R$ makes it into a C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \otimes_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R$. Since expressions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^d l_i r_i$ are dense in $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$, $\iota : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L \otimes_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^R \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{L,R}$ becomes surjective. Extensions of isometries to a completion preserve injectivity. Moreover,

$$\iota(\sigma(J^q l J^q \otimes J^q r J^q)) = \iota(J^q r J^q \otimes J^q l J^q) = J^q r l J^q = J^q l r J^q = \text{Ad}(J^q)(\iota(l \otimes r)).$$

\square

Definition 4.5. Let $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$. Denote $1 \otimes x, x \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes x)(\Omega) &= 0, \quad (x \otimes 1)(\Omega) = 0, \\ (1 \otimes x)(\xi) &= \xi \otimes x(\Omega), \quad (x \otimes 1)(\xi) = x(\Omega) \otimes \xi, \\ (1 \otimes x)(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{n+1}) &= \xi_1 \otimes x(\xi_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{n+1}), \\ (x \otimes 1)(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_{n+1}) &= x(\xi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \xi_n) \otimes \xi_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

In what follows we will use such notation:

- $\pi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.
- $J_k^q := 1^{\otimes k} \otimes J^q \otimes 1^{\otimes k} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.
- $U_k := J^q J_k^q = J_k^q J^q \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.
- $U_k = V_k |U_k| = |U_k|^{-1} V_k^*$ - polar decomposition.
- $j_k^q = \pi(J_k^q) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.
- $u_k := \pi(U_k) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.
- $v_k := \pi(V_k) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$. Then

- $(1 \otimes x)L_i^q = L_i^q x$,
- $(x \otimes 1)R_i^q = R_i^q x$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}(1 \otimes x)L_i^q(\xi) &= (1 \otimes x)(e_i \otimes \xi) = e_i \otimes x(\xi) = L_i^q(x(\xi)). \\ (x \otimes 1)R_i^q(\xi) &= (x \otimes 1)(\xi \otimes e_i) = x(\xi) \otimes e_i = R_i^q(x(\xi)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.7. If $x \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}$ then $1 \otimes x \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}$ and $x \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}$.

If $x \in \mathfrak{Z}_{n,q}^L$ then $1 \otimes x \in \mathfrak{Z}_{n,q}^L$.

If $x \in \mathfrak{Z}_{n,q}^R$ then $x \otimes 1 \in \mathfrak{Z}_{n,q}^R$.

Proof. Let ρ_L^+, ρ_R^+ be inverses of ρ_L, ρ_R outside of \mathcal{F}_0^q . In other words, $\rho_L \rho_L^+ = \rho_L^+ \rho_L = \rho_R^+ \rho_R = \rho_R \rho_R^+ = 1 - P_\Omega$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L_i^q x (L_i^q)^* = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes x) L_i^q (L_i^q)^* = (1 \otimes x) \rho_L,$$

$$(1 \otimes x) = (1 \otimes x)(1 - P_\Omega) = (1 \otimes x) \rho_L \rho_L^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^q x (L_i^q)^* \rho_L^+ \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_i^q x (R_i^q)^* = \sum_{i=1}^n (x \otimes 1) R_i^q (R_i^q)^* = (x \otimes 1) \rho_R,$$

$$(x \otimes 1) = (x \otimes 1)(1 - P_\Omega) = (x \otimes 1) \rho_R \rho_R^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^q x (R_i^q)^* \rho_R^+ \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. $u_k \in \mathfrak{T}_q^{L,R}$.

Proof. We prove that $J_k^q = J^q A_k$ for some $A_k \in \mathfrak{Z}_q^{L,R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} J_k^q &= \sum_{i=1}^n L_i^q (J_{k-1}^q \otimes 1) (L_i^q)^* \rho_L^+ \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_i^q R_j^q J_{k-1}^q (R_j^q)^* \rho_R^+ (L_i^q)^* \rho_L^+ \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_i^q R_j^q J^q A_{k-1} (R_j^q)^* \rho_R^+ (L_i^q)^* \rho_L^+ \\ &= J^q \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n R_i^q L_j^q A_{k-1} (R_j^q)^* \rho_R^+ (L_i^q)^* \rho_L^+. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$u_k = \pi(J^q J_k^q) = \pi(A_k) \in \mathfrak{T}_{L,R}^q.$$

□

Lemma 4.9. Let $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}^q)$. Then for every k one has

$$\|1^{\otimes k} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes k}\| < C_x.$$

Proof.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{\text{SOT}} (1^{\otimes k} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes k}) = 0,$$

thus by Banach–Steinhaus theorem $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|1^{\otimes k} \otimes x \otimes 1^{\otimes k}\| < C_x$.

□

Lemma 4.10. $C^*(1, L_i^q (L_j^q)^*, i, j = 1 \dots n) = (\mathfrak{Z}_q^L)^\mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Proof is by induction. Let $|\mu| = |\sigma| = k + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu^q (L_\sigma^q)^* &= L_{\mu_{1\dots k}}^q L_{\mu_{k+1}}^q (L_{\sigma_{1\dots k}}^q)^* (L_{\sigma_1}^q)^* \in \\ &\in (L_{\mu_{1\dots k}}^q (L_{\sigma_{1\dots k}}^q)^*) (L_{\mu_{k+1}}^q (L_{\sigma_1}^q)^*) + \text{span}\{L_\alpha^q (L_\beta^q)^*, |\alpha| = |\beta| = k\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.11. For every $x \in (\mathfrak{T}_q^{L,R})^\mathbb{T}$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x] = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x] = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, y] = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, xy] &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x[j_k^q, y] + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x]y = 0, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x + y] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x] = 0$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, j^q x j^q] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j^q [j_k^q, x] j^q = 0,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [j_k^q, x^*] = 0.$$

Since $(\nabla_{n,q}^{L,R})^\mathbb{T} = C^*((\nabla_{n,q}^L)^\mathbb{T}, (\nabla_{n,q}^R)^\mathbb{T})$ and $j^q(\nabla_{n,q}^L)^\mathbb{T} j^q = (\nabla_{n,q}^R)^\mathbb{T}$, it is enough to prove the Lemma for x being generators of $(\nabla_{n,q}^L)^\mathbb{T}$, which by Lemma 4.10 are $\pi(L_i^q)\pi(L_j^q)^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^q(L_j^q)^* J_k^q(\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_M}) &= \sum_{l=1}^k q^{l-1} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \xi_{i_{k+1}} \xi_{i_{M-k+1}} \dots \xi_{i_M} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-k} q^{M-l} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_{k+1}} \xi_{i_{M-k+1}} \dots \xi_{i_M} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=M-k+1}^M q^{l-1} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \xi_{i_{k+1}} \xi_{i_{M-k+1}} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_M}. \\ J_k^q L_i^q(L_j^q)^*(\xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_M}) &= \sum_{l=1}^k q^{l-1} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \xi_{i_{k+1}} \xi_{i_{M-k+1}} \dots \xi_{i_M} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-k} q^{l-1} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k-1}} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k+1}} \dots \xi_{i_M} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=M-k+1}^M q^{l-1} \delta_{j,i_l} e_i \xi_{i_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k-1}} \xi_{i_{M-k-1}} \dots \xi_{i_k} \xi_{i_{M-k}} \dots \widehat{\xi_{i_l}} \dots \xi_{i_M}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_i^q(L_j^q)^* J_k^q &= A_{i,j,k} + q^k L_i^q J_k^q (1^{\otimes k} \otimes (R_j^q)^* \otimes 1^{\otimes k}) + K_1, \quad K_1 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q), \\ J_k^q L_i^q(L_j^q)^* &= A_{i,j,k} + q^k J_k^q L_i^q (1^{\otimes k} \otimes (L_j^q)^* \otimes 1^{\otimes k}) + K_2, \quad K_2 \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q), \\ \|[j_k^q, \pi(L_i^q)\pi(L_j^q)^*]\| &\leq q^k C_{J^q} \|L_i^q\| \|C_{(R_j^q)^*} + C_{(L_j^q)^*}\| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.12. For every $x \in (\nabla_{n,q}^{L,R})^\mathbb{T}$ one has $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [|u_k|, x] = 0$.

Proof. We use the following inequality from [24]:

$$\|[A^{\frac{1}{2}}, B]\| \leq \frac{5}{4} \|B\| \| [A, B] \|.$$

Notice that $u_k^* u_k = (j_k^q)^* j_k^q$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[(u_k^* u_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}, x]\| &\leq \frac{5}{4} \|x\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[(j_k^q)^* j_k^q, x]\| \\ &= \frac{5}{4} \|x\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[(j_k^q)^* [j_k^q, x] + [(j_k^q)^*, x] j_k^q]\| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{2} \|x\| \|j_k^q\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[j_k^q, x]\| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{2} C_{J^q} \|x\| \|j^q\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|[j_k^q, x]\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *For every $x \in (\mathfrak{T}_q^{L,R})^{\mathbb{T}}$ one has*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k^* x v_k = j^q x j^q.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k^* x v_k &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} u_k^* x u_k |u_k|^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} (j_k^q)^* j^q x j^q j_k^q |u_k|^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} j^q x j^q (j_k^q)^* j_k^q |u_k|^{-1} \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} [(j_k^q)^*, j^q x j^q] j_k^q |u_k|^{-1} \\ &= j^q x j^q \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [|u_k|^{-1}, j^q x j^q] |u_k| \\ &\quad + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} [(j_k^q)^*, j^q x j^q] j_k^q |u_k|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for every k , $\| |u_k| \| = \| |u_k|^{-1} \| = \| j_k^q \| = \| u_k \| < C$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k|^{-1} [(j_k^q)^*, j^q x j^q] j_k^q |u_k|^{-1} &= 0, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [|u_k|^{-1}, j^q x j^q] |u_k| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.14. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ has flip approximation property.

Proof. The quotient mapping $id_{\otimes_{\alpha \rightarrow \min}} : (\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}, \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \otimes_{\min} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}, \sigma)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant contraction. Thus the sequence $id_{\otimes_{\alpha \rightarrow \min}}(v_k)$ implements flip approximation property for $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ by Lemmas 4.13 and 4.4. □

Corollary 4.15. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is simple and nuclear.

5. Asymptotic Imbedding in \mathfrak{U}_n^{∞}

Theorem 5.1 ([12], Lemma 4.1). *Suppose that A is a quasidiagonal unital C^* -algebra. Then A has an asymptotic imbedding in \mathfrak{U}_n^{∞} .*

Definition 5.2. A C^* -algebra A is called RFD if there exists a sequence $\pi_n : A \rightarrow F_n$, where F_n is a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra such that

$$\|a\| = \sup_n \|\pi_n(a)\|.$$

Theorem 5.3 ([4], Example 3.15). *Suppose that A is an RFD unital C^* -algebra. Then A is quasidiagonal.*

Theorem 5.4. $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is RFD.

Proof. Notice that elements of $T \in \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ are precisely those for which $T(\mathcal{F}_m^q) \subset \mathcal{F}_m^q$. Consider $A_k = \{T \in \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} : T|_{\mathcal{F}_m^q} = 0, m < k\}$. A_k is an ideal in $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}/A_k$ is a finite-dimensional algebra. Denote π_k to be the quotient map. Suppose there exists $x \in \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $x \in \ker \pi_k = A_k$ for every k . Then $x \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k = \{0\}$. \square

Theorem 5.5 ([4], Proposition 8.3). Assume A is unital, nuclear and quasidiagonal, and I is an ideal in A which has an approximate unit consisting of projections which are quascentral in A . Then A/I is also quasidiagonal.

Theorem 5.6. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is quasidiagonal.

Proof. Since the quotient mapping $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ is \mathbb{T} -equivariant,

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq (\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q))^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}/\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q).$$

Projections on the first k components of \mathcal{F}^q is an approximate unit of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$ which is central in $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $\mathfrak{J}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is quasidiagonal, $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ is quasidiagonal by Theorem 5.5. \square

6. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$

Proposition 6.1 ([39], Lemma L.1.4). Let $\{A_i, \alpha_{ij}\}$ be a directed family (of C^* -algebras) where all the objects are isomorphic to an object A with isomorphisms making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_j & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{ij}} & A_i \\ & \searrow \simeq & \nearrow \simeq \\ & A & \end{array}$$

Then

$$\varinjlim (A_i, \alpha_{ij}) \simeq A.$$

Proposition 6.2. Let $\{A_n, \alpha_{nm}\}$ and $\{B_n, \beta_{nm}\}$ be directed families (of C^* -algebras). Assume there exists a sequence of isomorphisms $\lambda_n : A_n \rightarrow B_n$ making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_3 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & \dots \\ \downarrow \lambda_1 & & \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \downarrow \lambda_3 & & \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & \dots \end{array}$$

Then

$$\varinjlim (A_n, \alpha_{nm}) \simeq \varinjlim (B_n, \beta_{nm}).$$

Lemma 6.3. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq M_n \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

Proof. Let s_1, \dots, s_n be generators of $\mathcal{O}_n \subset {}^{\mathbb{T}}\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$. Define $\lambda : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow M_n(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}})$

$$\lambda(a) = \begin{pmatrix} s_1^* a s_1 & \dots & s_1^* a s_n \\ & \dots & \\ s_n^* a s_1 & \dots & s_n^* a s_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and λ^{-1}

$$\lambda^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ & \dots & \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \sum s_i a_{ij} s_j^*.$$

Since the inclusion of \mathcal{O}_n is \mathbb{T} -equivariant, the maps are well-defined. \square

Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ given by $\varphi(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i a s_i^*$. Denote $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}$ to be the following direct limit:

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \dots \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Theorem 6.4. $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$.

Proof. Define $\lambda_0 : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ to be id and $\lambda_{n+1} : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow M_{n^k}(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}})$ to be $(\text{id} \otimes \lambda_n) \circ \lambda$. Notice that $\lambda_{n+1} \circ \varphi = (1 \otimes \text{id}) \circ \lambda_n$. The following diagram with vertical isomorphisms commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots \\ \downarrow \lambda_0 & & \downarrow \lambda_1 & & \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \\ \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{id}} & M_n(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{id}} & M_{n^2}(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{id}} & \dots \end{array}$$

Top limit is $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}$ and bottom limit is $\mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$. \square

Theorem 6.5 ([12], Lemma 4.4). *Suppose A is a direct limit of quasidiagonal C^* -algebras. Then A is quasidiagonal.*

Theorem 6.6 ([12], Corollary 2.4). *Suppose A and B have an approximately inner flip. Then $A \otimes B$ has an approximately inner flip.*

Theorem 6.7. $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty}$

Proof. Theorems 6.5 and 5.6 imply that $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}$ has an asymptotic embedding in \mathfrak{U}_{n^∞} . Since $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}^{\mathbb{T}}$ have approximately inner flip, Theorems 6.4 and 6.6 imply that $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}$ has an approximately inner flip. Also Theorem 6.4 implies that

$$\mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \otimes \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq (\mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty}) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Thus by Theorem 3.2, $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_q^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty}$. \square

Definition 6.8. Let $B, A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be C^* -algebras. We say that $A \subset_\gamma B$ if for every $a \in A$ such that $\|a\| \leq 1$ there is $b \in B$ such that $\|b - a\| < \gamma$.

Theorem 6.9 ([5], Theorem 6.10). Let $A \subset_\gamma B$, let $\eta = 2(n+1)(2\gamma + \gamma^2)(2+2\gamma + \gamma^2)$, and suppose that A is separable with nuclear dimension at most n . If $\eta < 1/210000$, then A embeds into B . Moreover, for each finite subset X of the unit ball of A , there exists an embedding $\theta : A \rightarrow B$ with $\|\theta(x) - x\| \leq 20\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $x \in X$.

Theorem 6.10. $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}$ is an AF-algebra.

Proof. We use the following characterization of AF-algebras: A is an AF-algebra if for every subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite-dimensional subalgebra B and a subset $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset B$ such that $\|x_i - b_i\| < \varepsilon$.

Let $i_k : \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T}$ be monomorphisms induced from the direct system. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T}$ is an AF-algebra, there exists a finite-dimensional subalgebra B and a subset $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset B$ such that $\|i_0(x_i) - b_i\| < \eta$ (we can choose B to be $C^*(b_1, \dots, b_n)$). Since $\overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T}$ is a direct limit, there exists $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$ and k such that $\|b_i - i_k(a_i)\| < \theta$. Let $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T}$ be an automorphism induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \overline{\varphi} \\ \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{T}}_q^\mathbb{T} \end{array}$$

We prove that if we choose θ small enough, $\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(B) \subset_\eta i_0(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T})$. Notice that it is equivalent to $B \subset_\eta i_k(\overline{\mathbb{T}}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T})$.

Let $N = \dim B$. Since B is finite-dimensional, there exists a set of multiindices $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N\}$, where $\mu_i = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_n^i)$ and $\alpha_j \in \{-n, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\{b_{\mu_1}, \dots, b_{\mu_N}\}$ is a basis of B . On B we have Frobenius inner product and Frobenius norm. By change of basis of B via some linear operator $T : B \rightarrow B$ we can choose basis $c_{\mu_i} = T(b_{\mu_i})$ which is orthonormal with respect to the Frobenius inner product. Denote $a_{\mu_i} = i_k(a_{\alpha_1^i}) \dots i_k(a_{\alpha_n^i})$. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials P_1, P_2, \dots with positive coefficients and $P_i(0) = 0$ such that $\|b_\mu - a_\mu\| \leq P_{|\mu|}(\theta)$ for every multiindex μ . One can prove this by induction: $\|b_i - i_k(a_i)\| < \theta$, so $P_1(x) = x$. Let $K = \max\{\|b_1\|, \dots, \|b_n\|\}$ and notice that $\|a_i\| \leq \|b_i\| + \theta$

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_\mu - a_\mu\| &= \|(b_{\alpha_1} - a_{\alpha_1})b_{\mu \setminus 1} + a_{\alpha_1}b_{\mu \setminus 1} - a_{\alpha_1}a_{\mu \setminus 1}\| \\ &\leq \theta K^{|\mu|-1} + (K + \theta)P_{|\mu|-1}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define $P_{n+1}(x) = xK^n + (K + x)P_n(x)$. By induction one can prove that $P_n(x) = (x + K)^n - K^n$.

Suppose we choose $b \in B$ with $\|b\| \leq 1$. Then $b = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i^{(b)} c_{\mu_i}$. Since all norms on B are equivalent, there exists a constant K such that

$$\|b\| \geq L\|b\|_F.$$

Frobenius norm has property $\|a + b\|_F = \|a\|_F + \|b\|_F + 2\langle a, b \rangle_F$. Since the basis was chosen orthonormal,

$$1 \geq \|b\| \geq L \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i^{(b)} c_{\mu_i} \right\|_F = L \sum_{i=1}^N |C_i^{(b)}|^2.$$

Thus $|C_i^{(b)}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}$. Let $\alpha = \max_{i=1}^N |\mu_i|$. Suppose that θ was chosen to be less than 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N C_i^{(b)} (c_{\mu_i} - T(a_{\mu_i})) \right\| &\leq \frac{\|T\|_1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^N \|b_{\mu_i} - a_{\mu_i}\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\|T\|_1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{i=1}^N P_{|\mu_i|}(\theta) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\|T\|_1 N}{\sqrt{L}} ((\theta + K)^\alpha - K^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from that $(\theta + K)^\alpha - K^\alpha$ increases on the interval $(0, 1)$ when α increases. Since $((\theta + K)^\alpha - K^\alpha)(0) = 0$, we can find θ sufficiently small for

$$\frac{\|T\|_1 N}{\sqrt{L}} ((\theta + K)^\alpha - K^\alpha) < \eta.$$

Since B has finite nuclear dimension, by Theorem 6.9 if we take η small enough, there exists an embedding $f_\eta : \overline{\varphi}^{-k}(B) \rightarrow i_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T})$ such that $\|f_\eta(\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i)) - \overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i)\| \leq 20\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $c_i^\eta \in \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}$ be such that $i_0(c_i^\eta) = f_\eta(\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_i - \varphi^k(c_i^\eta)\| &= \|i_0(x_i) - i_0(\varphi^k(c_i^\eta))\| \\ &= \|i_0(x_i) - \overline{\varphi}^k(i_0(c_i^\eta))\| \\ &\leq \|i_0(x_i) - b_i\| + \|b_i - \overline{\varphi}^k(i_0(c_i^\eta))\| \\ &\leq \eta + \|\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i) - i_0(c_i^\eta)\| \\ &= \eta + \|\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i) - f_\eta(\overline{\varphi}^{-k}(b_i))\| \leq \eta + 20\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Take η such that $\varepsilon = \eta + 20\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $C^*(\varphi^k(c_1^\eta), \dots, \varphi^k(c_n^\eta))$ is finite-dimensional, $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}$ satisfies the characterization of AF-algebras. \square

Theorem 6.11. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty}$.

Proof. (1) Kunneth theorem: $K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty}) \simeq K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K_0(\mathfrak{U}_{n^\infty})$. Thus

$$K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{n} \right] \simeq \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1}{n} \right].$$

- (2) Rank of an abelian group A coincides with $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. Thus rank of $K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) &= \dim(K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})) \\ &= \dim((K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \dim(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \dim(\mathbb{Q}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- (3) It is also clear that $K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}})$ is torsion-free, since it is a subgroup $(K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} 1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}])$ of a torsion-free group.
- (4) In an abelian group of rank 1 for every two nontrivial elements a, b there are numbers n, m such that $na + mb = 0$.
- (5) Suppose one has an endomorphism of a torsion-free abelian group of rank 1. Then it is determined by its value on an arbitrary element. Let $\varphi(a) = x$. Then for any b in group $0 = \varphi(na + mb) = nx + m\varphi(b)$. Since the group is torsion-free, $\varphi(b)$ is determined uniquely from this equation.
- (6) Recall $\varphi : \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$. Since $\varphi(1) = 1$, $K_*(\varphi) = id$. Denote K_*^{\leq} to be the functor of ordered group, which is an invariant of AF-algebras. It commutes with direct limits. Take K_*^{\leq} of the sequence

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \dots \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_q^{\mathbb{T}}.$$

Since $K_*(\varphi) = id$, it follows that $K_*^{\leq}(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \simeq K_*^{\leq}(\mathfrak{T}_q^{\mathbb{T}}) \simeq K_*^{\leq}(\mathfrak{U}_{n^{\infty}})$. Assume $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}])$. Then $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{n^b}$. Thus the class of $1 \in K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}})$ is equal to $\frac{1}{n^b}$. By the classification theorem for AF-algebras, $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \otimes M_{n^{-b}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^{\infty}}$ if $b < 0$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^{\infty}} \otimes M_{n^b}$ if $b > 0$. By Lemma 4.18, $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \simeq \mathfrak{U}_{n^{\infty}}$.

□

7. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}$

Theorem 7.1. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} = C^*((\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L)^{\mathbb{T}}, s_1)$.

Proof. Since $s_1 = (\rho_L^+)^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi(L_1^q)$, $a_1 = \pi(L_1^q) \in C^*((\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L)^{\mathbb{T}}, s_1)$. We prove that $a_k = \pi(L_k^q) \in C^*((\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^L)^{\mathbb{T}}, s_1)$:

$$(a_k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-q)^l (a_1 a_1^*)^l a_1^*) a_1 = a_k \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-q)^l (a_1 a_1^*)^l + q(-q)^l (a_1 a_1^*)^{l+1} = a_k.$$

□

Theorem 7.2.

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}} \rtimes_{\text{Ad}(s_1)} \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. One can easily see that $(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}, s_1)$ satisfy all conditions of Theorem 3.4. Combining with Theorem 7.1, we get the result. \square

Theorem 7.3. $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$ is simple, purely infinite, nuclear and satisfies UCT.

Proof. Simplicity is a corollary of Theorems 7.2, 6.11 and 3.4.

Pure infiniteness follows from Theorems 7.2, 6.11 and 3.5.

Nuclearity and UCT follows from $\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}$ being AF. \square

Theorem 7.4.

$$K_*(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) \simeq K_*(\mathfrak{T}_{n,0}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0.$$

Moreover, $[1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}}] = [1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,0}}] = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We use Pimsner-Voiculescu sequence for Stacey crossed products:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) & \xrightarrow{1-K_0(\text{Ad}(s_1))} & K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) & \xleftarrow{\iota} & K_1(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) & \xleftarrow{1-K_1(\text{Ad}(s_1))} & K_1(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \end{array}$$

Since $K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$, $K_0(\text{Ad}(s_1))$ is determined by the image of $[1]$:

$$K_0(\text{Ad}(s_1))([1]) = [s_1 s_1^*] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [s_i s_i^*] = \frac{1}{n} [\varphi(1)] = \frac{1}{n} [1].$$

Thus the sequence can be rewritten:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] & \xrightarrow{\frac{n-1}{n}} & \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] & \xrightarrow{\iota} & K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ K_1(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xleftarrow{\quad} & 0 \end{array}$$

Hence

$$K_0(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] / \ker \iota \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] / (n-1)\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$K_1(\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}) \simeq \ker \frac{n-1}{n} = 0.$$

Since $K_0(\iota)(\frac{a}{n^b}) = a \pmod{\mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}}$ and $[1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}}] = n^b \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{n}]$,

$$[1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}}] = K_0(\iota)([1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}^{\mathbb{T}}}]) = K_0(\iota)(n^b) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

\square

Corollary 7.5.

$$\mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_{n,0}.$$

8. $\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{I}_{n,0}$

Consider the following extension

$$\mathcal{E}_q : 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q) \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}_{n,q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_{n,q} \simeq \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 8.1. *There are two possibilities:*

$$K_{six}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(1-n)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1_{\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}} = 1) & \xrightarrow{\text{mod } (n-1)} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}} = 1) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

or

$$K_{six}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(n-1)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1_{\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}} = -1) & \xrightarrow{-1 \text{ mod } (n-1)} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}} = 1) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Notice that here order in $K_0(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q))$ is induced by the class of P_Ω .

Proof. We know that for every q there is an injection $\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{I}_{n,q}$ which restricts to an isomorphism of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^0)$ and $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)$. Since this map sends $1_{\mathbb{K}\mathcal{O}_n} - \sum S_i S_i^*$ to $1_{\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}} - \sum S_i S_i^*$, we can conclude that it induces identity map on the level of K_0 groups. Thus $[P_\Omega] = 1 \in K_0(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}^q)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Apply six-term exact sequence to the extension \mathcal{E}_q :

$$K_{six}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \mathcal{E}_q = \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & K_1(\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}) & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

There are two types of group extensions of \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} by \mathbb{Z} : they are either \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$. If the second is the case then \mathcal{E}_q is a trivial extension. Since it is also essential, by Voiculescu theorem that would mean that $\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} \simeq \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{n,q}$, which is not the case, because $P_\Omega = 1_{\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}} - \sum S_i S_i^*$. Thus

$$K_0(\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_1(\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}) \simeq 0.$$

In Theorem 7.4 we have already shown that $[1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}}] = 1$. Since all surjective maps $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ has form $\Lambda_b : a \mapsto ba \pmod{n-1}$ for some b coprime with $n-1$ and $1_{\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}}$ maps to $[1_{\mathfrak{T}_{n,q}}]$, we have that

$$K_{six}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cdot \pm(n-1)} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_b} & \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and $b[1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}] = 1 + k(n-1)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since P_Ω is minimal projection in $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathfrak{I}_{n,q}$ and $K_0(\mathbb{K}) \hookrightarrow K_0(\mathfrak{I}_{n,q})$ is injective, $[P_\Omega]\mathbb{Z} = (n-1)\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, But then

$$\pm(n-1) = [P_\Omega] = [1 - \sum S_i S_i^*] = [1 - \sum S_i^* S_i] = (1-n)[1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}],$$

so $[1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}] = \pm 1$. If $[1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}] = 1$ then $b = 1 + k(n-1)$, $\Lambda_b = \Lambda_1$ and the sequence looks like

$$K_{s ix}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(1-n)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_1} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

If $[1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q}] = -1$ then $b = -1 + k(n-1)$, $\Lambda_b = \Lambda_{-1}$ and the sequence looks like

$$K_{s ix}^{+,u}(\mathcal{E}_q) = \begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(n-1)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = -1) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{-1}} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

□

Since considerations of the theorem above did not depend of q , by Theorem 3.8

Corollary 8.2.

$$\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} \simeq \mathfrak{I}_{n,0}.$$

Proof. Choose the following isomorphism of the two possible exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(n-1)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = -1) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{-1}} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \cdot 1 & & \downarrow \cdot -1 & & \downarrow \cdot 1 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}, P_\Omega = 1) & \xrightarrow{\cdot(1-n)} & (\mathbb{Z}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_1} & (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}, 1\mathfrak{I}_{n,q} = 1) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

□

Acknowledgments. Thank you Quantum Fox for sharing this journey with me.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Gothenburg.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Bożejko, M., Lytvynov, E., Wysoczański, J.: Fock representations of Q -deformed commutation relations. *J. Math. Phys.* **58**(7), 073501 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4991671>
- Bożejko, M., Speicher, R.: An example of a generalized Brownian motion. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137**(3), 519–531 (1991)
- Bożejko, M., Speicher, R.: Completely positive maps on Coxeter groups, deformed commutation relations, and operator spaces. *Math. Ann.* **300**(1), 97–120 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01450478>
- Brown N.P.: On quasidiagonal C^* -algebras. In: Proceedings of 1999 US-Japan Conference on Operator Algebras (to appear)
- Christensen, E., Sinclair, A.M., Smith, R.R., White, S.A., Winter, W.: Perturbations of nuclear C^* -algebras. *Acta Math.* **208**(1), 93–150 (2012)
- Coburn, L.A.: The C^* -algebra generated by an isometry. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **73**, 722–726 (1967). <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1967-11845-7>
- Cuntz, J.: Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57**(2), 173–185 (1977)
- Daletskii, A., Kalyuzhny, A., Lytvynov, E., Proskurin, D.: Fock representations of multicomponent (particularly non-Abelian anyon) commutation relations. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **32**(05), 2030004 (2020)
- Davidson, K.R.: C^* -algebras by example, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1090/fim/006>
- de Jeu, M., Pinto, P.R.: The structure of doubly non-commuting isometries. *Adv. Math.* **368**, 107149 (2020). [arXiv:1801.09716](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09716)
- Dykema, K., Nica, A.: On the Fock representation of the q -commutation relations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **440**, 201–212 (1993)
- Effros, E.G., Rosenberg, J.: C^* -algebras with approximately inner flip. *Pacific J. Math.* **77**, 417–443 (1978)
- Fivel, D.I.: Interpolation between Fermi and Bose statistics using generalized commutators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**(27), 3361–3364 (1990). (91m:81108). Erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**(13), 2020 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2020>
- Gabe, J., Ruiz, E.: The unital Ext-groups and classification of C^* -algebras. *Glasg. Math. J.* **62**(1), 202–231 (2020)
- Giselsson, O.: The Universal C^* -Algebra of the Quantum Matrix Ball and its Irreducible $*$ -Representations (2018). [arXiv:1801.10608v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.10608v2)
- Greenberg, O.W.: Particles with small violations of Fermi or Bose statistics. *Phys. Rev. D* (3) **43**(12), 4111–4120 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.43.4111>
- Jørgensen, P., Proskurin, D., Samoilenko, Y.: A family of $*$ -algebras allowing Wick ordering: Fock representations and universal enveloping C^* -algebras. *Noncommut. Struct. Math. Phys.* **22**, 321–329 (2001)
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Proskurin, D.P., Samoilenko, Y.: Generalized canonical commutation relations: representations and stability of universal enveloping C^* -algebra. *Symmetry Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **2**, 456–460 (2002)
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Proskurin, D.P., Samoilenko, Y.S.: On C^* -algebras generated by pairs of q -commuting isometries. *J. Phys. A* **38**(12), 2669–2680 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/12/009>
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Proskurin, D.P., Samoilenko, Y.S.: The kernel of Fock representations of Wick algebras with braided operator of coefficients. *Pacific J. Math.* **198**(1), 109–122 (2001). <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2001.198.109>
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Schmitt, L.M., Werner, R.F.: q -canonical commutation relations and stability of the Cuntz algebra. *Pac. J. Math.* **165**(1), 131–151 (1994)
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Schmitt, L.M., Werner, R.F.: Positive representations of general commutation relations allowing Wick ordering. *J. Funct. Anal.* **134**(1), 33–99 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1139>
- Jørgensen, P.E.T., Werner, R.F.: Coherent states of the q -canonical commutation relations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **164**(3), 455–471 (1994)
- Kennedy, M., Nica, A.: Exactness of the Fock space representation of the q -commutation relations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **308**(1), 115–132 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-011-1323-9>
- Klimek, S., Lesniewski, A.: A two-parameter quantum deformation of the unit disc. *J. Funct. Anal.* **115**(1), 1–23 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1993.1078>
- Klimyk, A., Schmüdgen, K.: Texts and Monographs in Physics. In: Quantum groups and their representations. Springer, Berlin (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-60896-4>
- Liguori, A., Mintchev, M.: Fock representations of quantum fields with generalized statistics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **169**(3), 635–652 (1995)
- Macfarlane, A.J.: On q -analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group $SU(2)_q$. *J. Phys. A* **22**(21), 4581–4588 (1989)

29. Marcinek, W.: On commutation relations for quons. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41**(2), 155–172 (1998). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877\(98\)80173-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(98)80173-0)
30. Meljanac, S., Perica, A.: Generalized quon statistics. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **9**(35), 3293–3299 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217732394003117>
31. Ostrovska, O., Yakymiv, R.: On isometries satisfying deformed commutation relations. *Methods Funct. Anal. Topol.* **25**(2), 152–160 (2019)
32. Ortega, E., Pardo, E.: The structure of Stacey cross products by endomorphisms. *Oper. Algebra Dyn.* **58**, 239–252 (2013)
33. Phillips, N.C.: A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras. *Doc. Math.* **5**, 49–114 (2000)
34. Popescu, G.: Doubly Λ -commuting row isometries, universal models, and classification. *J. Funct. Anal.* **279**(12), 108798 (2020)
35. Proskurin, D.: Stability of a special class of q_{ij} -CCR and extensions of higher-dimensional noncommutative tori. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **52**(2), 165–175 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007668304707>
36. Shlyakhtenko, D.: Some estimates for non-microstates free dimension, with applications to q -semicircular families. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **51**, 2757–2772 (2004)
37. Pusz, W., Woronowicz, S.L.: Twisted second quantization. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **27**(2), 231–257 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4877\(89\)90006-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4877(89)90006-2)
38. Vaksman, L.L.: *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. In: *Quantum bounded symmetric domains*, vol. 238. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2010). **(Translated from the Russian manuscript and with a foreword by Olga Bershtein and Sergey D. Sinelshchikov)**
39. Wegge-Olsen, N.E.: *K-theory and C^* -algebras*. Oxford University Press (1993)
40. Zagier, D.: Realizability of a model in infinite statistics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147**(1), 199–210 (1992)

Communicated by Y. Kawahigashi