## THESIS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Diet and dietary biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to offspring allergy development

MIA STRÅVIK

Food and Nutrition Science Department of Life Sciences CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY Gothenburg, Sweden 2023 Diet and dietary biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to offspring allergy development

MIA STRÅVIK ISBN 978-91-7905-819-7

© MIA STRÅVIK, 2023.

Doktorsavhandlingar vid Chalmers tekniska högskola Ny serie nr 5285 ISSN 0346-718X

Department of Life Sciences Chalmers University of Technology SE-412 96 Gothenburg Sweden Telephone + 46 (0)31-772 10 00

Cover: A woman holding a glass filled with cow's milk while breastfeeding her baby. A watercolor drawing. Created by Mia Stråvik & DALL·E (Human & AI).

Printed by Chalmers Digitaltryck Gothenburg, Sweden 2023

# Diet and dietary biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to offspring allergy development

### MIA STRÅVIK

Department of Life Sciences Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg, Sweden

## ABSTRACT

Allergy causes a large burden on society and reduces the quality of life for the individual. The increased allergy incidence over the last decades cannot be explained by genetics. Research has indicated that diet, which is a modifiable factor, might influence the risk of developing allergy. Traditional dietary assessment methods are, however, prone to large measurement errors. Objective biomarkers may be complementary but have typically not been applied in cohorts with pregnant women and in the early life context.

The objective of this thesis was to investigate if the diet during pregnancy and lactation is related to offspring allergy development (i.e., atopic eczema, food allergy, and asthma) diagnosed by an allergologist at twelve months of age. The thesis is based on data from the birth cohort Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment (NICE). Dietary data were collected using a repeated web-based semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire sent out in gestational week 34, one month postpartum, and four months postpartum. Dietary intakes were quantified based on pictures of portion sizes and reported intake frequency. Maternal and infant blood, urine, and breast milk samples were collected, and nutrients, trace elements, and metabolomics-based food intake biomarkers were related to the self-reported food intake and to allergy diagnosis.

The results show that maternal intake of cow's milk products and saturated fat during lactation was associated with a lower incidence of offspring allergy. Higher proportions of n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids in umbilical cord plasma phospholipids correlated to a higher incidence of atopic eczema during the first year of life. In addition, food intake during pregnancy was associated with maternal characteristics, primarily age and educational level. Food intake biomarkers known from a general (i.e., non-pregnant or lactating) population seemed useful also during lactation, whilst dietary biomarkers during pregnancy warrant further investigation.

In summary, the findings indicate that the most crucial period of time in terms of allergy prevention may be the first months postpartum, rather than during pregnancy. Hence, changing maternal diet during lactation may be a useful strategy for allergy prevention in the offspring.

**Keywords**: Pregnancy, Breastfeeding, Infancy, Diet, Cohort, Dietary biomarkers, Metabolomics, Allergy, Food allergy, Atopic eczema.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

This doctoral thesis is based on the work contained in the following papers:

- I. **Stråvik M**, Jonsson K, Hartvigsson O, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Barman M. Food and Nutrient Intake during Pregnancy in Relation to Maternal Characteristics: Results from the NICE Birth Cohort in Northern Sweden. Nutrients. 2019, 11(7).
- II. Stråvik M, Barman M, Hesselmar B, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S. Maternal intake of cow's milk during lactation is associated with lower prevalence of food allergy in the offspring. Nutrients. 2020, 12(12).
- III. Barman M, **Stråvik M**, Broberg K, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S. Proportions of polyunsaturated fatty acids in umbilical cord blood at birth are related to atopic eczema development in the first year of life. Nutrients. 2021, 13(11).
- IV. Stråvik M, Gustin K, Barman M, Levi M, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Kippler M, Vahter M. Biomarkers of seafood intake during pregnancy pollutants versus micronutrients and fatty acids. Environmental Research. 2023, 225(2023).
- V. **Stråvik M**, Hartvigsson O, Sandin A, Wold AE, Barman M, Sandberg A-S. LC-MS based metabolomics for dietary biomarker discovery in a cohort of pregnant and lactating women and their infants. *Manuscript in preparation*.

Published papers not included in the thesis:

- Stråvik M, Gustin K, Barman M, Skröder H, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Kippler M, Vahter M. Infant Iodine and Selenium Status in Relation to Maternal Status and Diet During Pregnancy and Lactation. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2021; 8:733602.
- Gustin K, Barman M, Stråvik M, Levi M, Englund-Ögge L, Murray F, Jacobsson B, Sandberg A-S, Sandin A, Wold AE, Vahter M, Kippler M. Low-level maternal exposure to cadmium, lead, and mercury and birth outcomes in a Swedish prospective birth-cohort. Environmental Pollution. 2020:114986.
- Kampouri M, Gustin K, Stråvik M, Barman M, Levi M, Daraki V, Jacobsson B, Sandin A, Sandberg A-S, Wold AE, Vahter M, Kippler M. Association of maternal urinary fluoride concentrations during pregnancy with size at birth and the potential mediation effect by maternal thyroid hormones: The Swedish NICE birth cohort. Environmental Research. 2022:114129.

## **CONTRIBUTION REPORT**

**Paper I**: Mia Stråvik (MS) collected the dietary data, curated the raw data, calculated estimated portion sizes for quantification of dietary intake, wrote the original draft of the manuscript, performed data analysis, and revised the manuscript together with the coauthors.

**Paper II**: MS collected the dietary data, collected additional information on timepoint of early allergy symptoms, curated the raw data, quantified the dietary intake, wrote the original draft of the manuscript, performed data analysis and visualization, and revised the manuscript together with the coauthors.

**Paper III**: MS collected, curated, and quantified the dietary data, performed data analysis and visualization, and revised the manuscript together with the coauthors.

**Paper IV**: MS collected, curated, and quantified the dietary intake, wrote the original draft of the manuscript, performed data analysis and visualization, and revised the manuscript together with the coauthors.

**Paper V**: MS collected, curated, and quantified the dietary data, worked with identification of the metabolites, wrote the original draft of the manuscript, performed data analysis and visualization, and revised the manuscript together with the coauthors.

# ABBREVIATIONS

CI	Confidence interval
CMPF	3-carboxy-4-methyl-5-propyl-2-furanpropanoic acid
FFQ	Food frequency questionnaire
FoodBAll	Food Biomarker Alliance
LCPUFA	Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
Meal-Q	A web based semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire
MS	Mass spectrometry
n-3	Omega-3
n-6	Omega-6
NICE	Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment
OR	Odds ratio
SPT	Skin prick test

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. OBJECTIVES	2
3. BACKGROUND	3
3.1. Allergic diseases	3
3.1.1. Hygiene hypothesis	4
3.1.2. Influence of maternal diet on offspring allergy development	4
3.1.3. Fatty acids and the immune system	6
3.2. Dietary assessment	6
3.2.1. Food frequency questionnaire	7
3.2.2. Food intake biomarkers	7
3.3. Food intake during pregnancy and lactation	9
3.3.1. Nutrient transport to the fetus	9
3.3.2. Provision of nutrients to the infant	9
4. MATERIALS AND METHODS	. 11
4.1. Study population	. 11
4.2. Study design	. 11
4.3. Data collection	. 12
4.3.1. Dietary assessment	. 12
4.3.2. Maternal characteristics	. 14
4.3.3. Biological samples	. 14
4.3.4. Allergy diagnosis	. 14
4.4. Laboratory analyses	. 14
4.5. Statistical analyses	. 15
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 17
5.1. Maternal characteristics associated with food intake during pregnancy	. 17
5.2. Influence of maternal diet on infant allergy development	. 17
5.2.1. Cow's milk intake and food allergy	. 18
5.2.2. Fruit and berries intake and eczema	. 19
5.2.3. Fatty acid intake and allergies	. 19
5.2.4. Ethical aspect	. 19
5.3. Investigated food intake biomarkers in the NICE cohort	. 20
5.3.1. Metabolomics-based food intake biomarkers	. 20
5.3.2. Seafood intake and trace elements	. 21
5.4. Biomarkers to evaluate associations between food intake and allergy	. 22
5.4.1. Ruminant fatty acids, cow's milk intake and food allergy	. 22
5.4.2. Proline betaine, fruit and berries intake and eczema	. 23
5.4.3. Seafood intake, seafood biomarkers, and eczema	. 24
6. STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS	. 26

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of epidemiologic research is to assess disease incidence and to identify factors contributing to that incidence. Allergy affects millions of people worldwide, and it is well-documented what negative impact it can have on the quality of life and societal economy [1]. Genes, diet, and microbial exposure have an impact on whether allergy develops or not, but exactly how this works is unknown. Importantly, diet is a factor that can be modulated. The role of diet is difficult to measure and is often questioned due to the challenges of measuring food intake accurately. Traditional dietary assessment methods (i.e., based on interviews and questionnaires) are prone to measurement errors and are subjective since they e.g., rely on human memory. One way to minimize these drawbacks and get closer to assessing the actual food intake is to measure the intake in combination with more objective methods where biomarkers are quantified in biological samples.

Although allergies affect a significant number of families, established strategies for preventing these diseases are still unavailable. The understanding of who will develop allergy, and who will not, is lacking although allergic heredity can hint about which child will be at higher risk. Three decades ago, in the year of 1989, the hygiene hypothesis was formulated [2]. The theory suggested, based on observations, that childhood infections might protect against allergies. Genetics, diet, and exposure to microorganisms shape the immune system during the perinatal period, which can be seen as a "window of opportunity" for immune maturation [3]. In this window, while the immune system is still developing, environmental factors (including diet) might be crucial [4, 5]. A few years after the hygiene hypothesis was launched, in the year of 1993, a theory regarding a change in dietary fatty acids was formulated when increased observations of asthma were seen together with a shift in dietary habits from omega-3 (n-3) to omega-6 (n-6) dominated food [6, 7]. Regarding food allergy in particular, a hypothesis referred to as the dual-allergen exposure hypothesis suggests that tolerance towards a potential food allergen can be achieved if the first exposure occurs via the mouth and not via a damaged skin barrier [8]. Hence, a combination of home environment and diet during both pregnancy and lactation could explain part of the allergy risk. In this thesis, the dietary part will be in focus.

Since allergies manifest differently over time, it is important to do epidemiologic research over several phases of life. Further, food intake must be closely followed and thoroughly assessed to draw any conclusions regarding its role in disease development. Studies investigating these associations rarely assess diet repeatedly from pregnancy and onwards. In addition, studies on food intake biomarkers have not focused on pregnant and breastfeeding women which have left a gap in knowledge regarding more objective measurements of diet at this period in life.

# **2. OBJECTIVES**

The primary aim was to investigate if dietary intake during pregnancy and lactation is associated with offspring allergy development (i.e., atopic eczema, food allergy, and asthma) during the first year of life in the Swedish birth cohort Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment (NICE), using a combination of subjective and objective dietary assessment methods (**Figure 1**). More specifically:

- a) Investigate maternal characteristics and lifestyles associated with dietary intake during pregnancy (**Paper I**)
- b) Investigate if dietary intake during pregnancy and lactation is associated with offspring allergy (**Paper II** and **Paper III**)
- c) Explore candidate food intake biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to diet and offspring allergy, applying targeted biomarker analyses (**Paper II, Paper III, Paper IV**) and metabolomics (**Paper V**)



Figure 1. Overview of the papers included in the thesis.

Family characteristics (e.g., sociodemographic factors, home environment, allergies) were collected around gestational week 18. Maternal diet was assessed using repeated semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaires reflecting intake in gestational weeks 30-34, first and fourth months postpartum. Biomarkers of food intake were measured in erythrocytes, plasma, urine, and breast milk. Allergy was diagnosed at 12 months of age by the study pediatrician specialized in allergy.

# **3. BACKGROUND**

Dietary habits have been associated with allergy development in several studies [9-11]. However, such associations are often questioned due to the difficulties in measuring food intake accurately. The fact that it is difficult to assess diet is one of the main challenges when it comes to estimating the role of diet in disease prevention [12]. When it comes to allergy as an outcome in these types of studies, the lack of allergologist diagnosis could affect these associations even further.

### 3.1. Allergic diseases

Allergy is a broad concept including several diseases such as food allergy, atopic eczema, asthma, and hay fever [13]. Although the term includes several different conditions, they are closely related. The atopic march explains how different allergic conditions often develop over time. The atopic march states that allergy manifests in different ways throughout life, starting with atopic eczema and certain types of food allergies (e.g., cow's milk and egg) during infancy, to progress into allergic rhinitis and asthma later in life [14].

The etiology of allergic diseases is not yet fully understood. It appears to be a combination of genetic and environmental factors. Allergy can be described as a hypersensitivity reaction to an exogenous substance, an allergen, that normally would not cause the immune system to react [13]. An allergen is an antigen that causes an allergic reaction in the body. Allergens are often proteins and can be airborne (e.g., pollen, animal dander, dust mite), or, for instance, be found in foods (e.g., cow's milk, egg, and peanut) [15]. However, some allergic reactions are triggered by a carbohydrate (galactose- $\alpha$ -1,3-galactose in red meat) [16].

At first exposure to an antigen (e.g., an allergen), it is presented to T cells and B cells in the lymph nodes. These immune cells then mature into different subsets as a response to the antigen exposure. Depending on how the T cell matures, different cytokines are produced and released, which further stimulate (cytokines produced by T helper (Th) 2 cells) or inhibit (cytokines produced by the Th1 cells) the B cells to differentiate into antibody-producing plasma cells. In the allergic sensitization phase, allergen-specific immunoglobulin (Ig) E antibodies are created by plasma cells. These IgE antibodies bind to receptors on the surface of mast cells. This first part is referred to as sensitization (**Figure 2**). Sensitization *per se* is not necessarily a problem since one can be sensitized without experiencing any allergic symptoms. In the NICE cohort, for instance, 64% (N=23) of the sensitized infants developed any type of allergy (i.e., food allergy, eczema, asthma, rhinitis, and conjunctivitis).

At second exposure to the allergen, the immune system is ready to react. The allergen now binds directly to the IgE antibodies attached to the mast cells. This causes the release of inflammatory substances (primarily histamine) from the mast cells (**Figure 2**). The release of histamine is what causes the typical allergic symptoms (i.e., runny nose, itchy eyes, swollen lips). The allergic symptoms depend on where the histamine is released and to what extent.



#### Figure 2. Overview of allergic sensitization and allergy development.

An exogenous substance (antigen) causes the immune cells (T and B cells) to mature into subsets. Depending on how the T helper cell matures, different cytokines are produced which stimulate or inhibit the plasma B cell to produce different antibodies. Immunoglobulin E (IgE) attaches to the surface of mast cells in a process called allergic sensitization. At second exposure, the antigen binds to the antigen-specific IgE which causes a release of inflammatory substances from the mast cells, resulting in allergic symptoms. *Abbreviations*: B, B cell; Th, T helper cell; Treg, regulatory T cell; IL, interleukin; Ig, immunoglobulin; Mast, mast cell.

#### 3.1.1. Hygiene hypothesis

The prevalence of allergy has been on the rise over the last decades, and the relatively rapid change cannot be assigned to genetics [17]. Exposure to a microbe-rich milieu promotes tolerance according to the hygiene hypothesis [2], and low microbiota diversity has been suggested to precede eczema development [18]. Exactly how the microbes shape the immune system is still unknown.

#### 3.1.2. Influence of maternal diet on offspring allergy development

The maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation can affect the fetal immune system development and, consequently, influence allergy development. The dietary components often affect the balance between the different CD4<sup>+</sup> cells (i.e., T helper cells and regulatory T cells) [19]. The research regarding maternal food intake and offspring allergy risk is rapidly evolving, although there are still large gaps of knowledge [20]. In recent years, numerous reviews have been published that touch upon the role of maternal diet in offspring allergy development [11, 21-23]. Although the systematic reviews have focused on different dietary components (e.g., whole diet, Mediterranean diet, or sugar intake), they all end up concluding that there is insufficient or limited evidence of both protective and harmful effects of maternal diet on offspring allergy development.

In order to complement the knowledge summarized in previous reviews, a systematic literature search regarding publications from the last five years was conducted during the work with this thesis. The search methodology and reasons for exclusion are presented in the Appendix. In total, 35 of the publications met the inclusion criteria and are summarized in Appendix Table A1. The results indicate that the current focus in the research field is to investigate maternal diet during pregnancy and not lactation. Further, maternal diet is often assessed with some kind of index before related to offspring allergy. Several studies seem to focus on the intake of PUFAs and antioxidants. The most common dietary assessment method seems to be a single food frequency questionnaire filled in during pregnancy. For allergy assessment, the most common approach was to ask the parents if the child ever had received a physician diagnosis (i.e., not a standardized diagnosis by the same allergologist). The distribution of studies investigating food allergy, eczema, and/or asthma was similar. The most common age for allergy assessment was during the first year of life for both food allergy and eczema, whilst no clear age pattern could be seen for asthma. Since the methodology, setting, and age differ between studies (e.g., some report point prevalence while some report cumulative incidence), the number of children with the different diagnoses varies greatly and cannot be summarized in a proper way (e.g., eczema varies between 4-34% during the first year of life in the different studies).

#### 3.1.2.1. Dairy products intake and allergy

A systematic review published in 2020 concluded that insufficient evidence exists to conclude on the effect of maternal cow's milk intake on offspring allergy risk [22]. One original research paper, which was not included in the review, investigated maternal intake of dairy products during both pregnancy and lactation [24]. In that study, the lowest intake (i.e., <546 grams/day) during pregnancy was associated with consistently higher odds of cow's milk protein allergy compared to an intake between 546-1097 grams/day. In the most adjusted model, the highest intake (i.e., >1097 grams/day) presented lower odds of cow's milk protein allergy in comparison with an intake between 546-1097 grams/day. These associations were, however, not found during lactation.

Concerning studies published during the last five years (**Appendix Table A1**), one study reported that the consumption of dairy products 3-4 times/week during pregnancy, in comparison with  $\leq 2$  times/week, was associated with increased odds of offspring parent-reported eczema during the first year of life. However, the intake of dairy products  $\geq 5$  times/week was not significantly associated with offspring eczema. The study found no association between the intake of cow's milk during pregnancy and offspring food allergy [25]. Another study investigated yoghurt intake specifically and found a lower risk of reported eczema diagnosis between 3-6 months of age following a higher yoghurt intake during pregnancy. The association was found when comparing a lack of consumption with any consumption, consumption >3 times/week, as well as a consumption of 50 grams/week [26].

#### 3.1.2.2. Fruit and vegetable intake and allergy

A meta-analysis that pooled the results from two studies found lower odds of eczema following a higher intake of vegetables during pregnancy [27]. One of these two studies found lower odds of eczema between 16-24 months of age following a higher maternal intake of green and yellow vegetables, and citrus fruit, but not total intake of vegetables or fruits during pregnancy [28]. The other study did not find any association between maternal intake of vegetables during pregnancy and offspring eczema or asthma at two years of age [29]. The authors of the latter article speculated that the lack of association could have been due to the relatively high consumption of vegetables in the cohort (90% consumed it  $\geq$ 2-5 times/week).

Another study, not included in the meta-analysis, with data from both pregnancy and lactation found that an intake of >599 grams/day of fruit and berries was associated with increased odds of offspring cow's milk protein allergy, compared to intakes between 218-599 grams/day [24]. This association was noted during lactation, but not pregnancy. Regarding more recent literature (**Appendix Table A1**), a study conducted in Denmark indicated lower odds for offspring eczema at three years of age following a higher intake of fruit (assessed with plasma levels of stachydrine and FFQ) during pregnancy [30]. Another study, solely including children with eczema, found a lower asthma prevalence (unclear age for diagnosis, likely during the first three years of life) among children to mothers who consumed more fruit and vegetables during pregnancy [31]. On the contrary, a study conducted in China found no association between fruit intake during pregnancy and offspring eczema during the first six months of life [32].

#### 3.1.2.3. Seafood intake and allergy

Seafood could be expected to influence allergy development due to its content of the immunoregulatory long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFAs) [6, 33, 34]. A systematic review and meta-analysis including research published before February 2020, found no association between maternal fish intake during pregnancy and offspring eczema [35]. Regarding food allergy, the authors presented an inverse association between maternal fish intake and offspring food allergy [35]. This was based on five different studies, out of which two presented a significant inverse association between maternal fish consumption (type not specified in any of the studies) and offspring food allergy. Two other reviews focusing specifically on seafood intake and offspring allergy risk concluded that maternal intake during pregnancy does not seem to be associated with offspring allergy [36, 37], whilst one (including both pregnancy and lactation) suggested that fish intake might be beneficial but needs further evaluation [10].

Concerning fish intake during lactation, our research group have in a previous cohort (FARMFLORA) shown maternal intake of fatty fish during lactation to be positively associated with EPA, DHA, and n-3 LCPUFA levels in both breast milk and infant's serum phospholipids at four months. In turn, higher serum levels of EPA in infants were associated with lower odds of allergy at three years of age (OR (95% CI)=0.47 (0.27-0.83) following a 0.1% increase in the proportions). This association was found also in confounder adjusted models (e.g., allergic heredity, delivery mode) despite the low number of allergic children (N=9) [38]. Another research group investigating maternal intake of fish (type not specified) during lactation found no associations with offspring cow's milk protein allergy [24].

The more recently published studies (**Appendix Table A1**) could not clarify these conflicting results. One study reported higher odds of food allergy during the first year of life following an intake of fish 1-2 times/week, but not  $\geq$ 3 times/week, in comparison with an intake less than once per week during pregnancy [25]. Another study found that mothers to children without cow's milk protein allergy more often consumed fish 2-3 times/week than mothers to children with cow's milk protein allergy (48% versus 18%, respectively) [39]. A third study could not identify any associations between maternal intake of seafood during pregnancy and offspring food allergy [31]. The different types of fish were not investigated separately in any of these studies. Original research papers from the last five years investigating fish intake during lactation were not found with the present search strategy.

#### **3.1.3.** Fatty acids and the immune system

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are among the most widely studied dietary components in relation to the immune system. The theory that dietary intake of these fatty acids is involved in allergy development was raised already three decades ago [7]. At that time, an article published a theory stating that the observed rise in asthma prevalence occurred in parallel with changed food habits, and that the change in food habits therefore could explain the increased number of asthma cases [7]. The theory was further developed to include allergic sensitization in general and not only asthma [6]. According to the theory, the consumption of n-3 PUFAs (e.g., fatty fish) has been replaced with n-6 PUFAs (e.g., vegetable oils) following societal trends. As can be noted, the theory is based on observations, and the causality is still debated [40].

Both n-3 and n-6 LCPUFAs are incorporated into the membranes of the immune cells, which affects e.g., cell signaling. *In vitro* studies have showed that lipids, particularly LCPUFAs, are immunomodulatory, suppressing T cell activation and IFN-y production through an effect on the dendritic cell/T cell interaction [33]. Studies in our laboratory, using mice, have shown that n-3 LCPUFAs downregulate the innate and adaptive immune system [34].

A meta-analysis including cohort data published between 2002 and 2014, found no associations between maternal intake of fat (total, saturated, monounsaturated, n-6 PUFAs, and n-3 PUFAs) during pregnancy and offspring eczema or asthma, referring to six different studies, out of which none looked at the association to food allergy [27]. In 2016 (i.e., two years after the scope of that review) our research group published results from the FARMFLORA study [41]. Higher odds of an allergy diagnosis at three years of age were found following a higher maternal intake of margarine and oils both during pregnancy (OR (95% CI)=1.91 (1.02-3.56) for a 5 g increase) and lactation (OR (95% CI)=1.50 (1.02-2.21) for a 5 g increase). These associations remained significant also after adjusting for delivery mode, but not allergic heredity, despite few allergic children (N=10 and N=6, respectively) [41].

## 3.2. Dietary assessment

In order to understand the role of diet in disease development, assessing both the exposure and the properly is crucial. There are different ways to measure dietary intake: subjective (e.g., food frequency questionnaires) and objective (e.g., food intake biomarkers in blood and urine). The subjective methods include different types of questionnaires and interviews and are commonly prone to measurement errors [12, 42]. The general difficulties with the subjective dietary assessment methods include, for instance, recall bias, social desirability bias, and interviewer bias [42]. Further, nutritional calculations are often

used to quantify the intakes of macro- and micronutrients based on the data collected with the questionnaire and/or interview [12, 42]. The quality of such calculations is highly dependent on the availability of updated and detailed food composition databases, and often the available information is not enough to fully cover variations due to e.g., season (e.g., fatty acid content in fish) or geographical origin (e.g., selenium content in soil) [12].

Regarding the objective measurements, they also have sources of errors. For instance, specificity could be a problem since the compound (e.g., blood levels of a micronutrient) often can be affected by exogenous factors other than one specific dietary source, and by endogenous synthesis or metabolism [12]. Hence, a large challenge is knowing what the biological compound actually reflects (e.g., if the body regulates the levels closely with compensatory mechanisms or if the concentrations are solely affected by food intake). In addition, laboratory practices (e.g., instrument, storage, or thawing) might affect the biological sample and, in turn, the measured concentrations [12].

Depending on the research purpose, the choice of method can vary [42]. Although there are drawbacks to consider regardless of approach, a combination of subjective and objective measurements could be useful since the sources of errors are expected to be of different natures [12].

#### 3.2.1. Food frequency questionnaire

The use of food frequency questionnaires in epidemiological research is often the most convenient choice due to the relatively low cost and participation burden. This dietary assessment method focuses on assessing the whole diet by asking about the intake frequency of a wide range of food items. An enhanced version is often referred to as semi-quantitative and include questions about the consumed amount either by specifying regular household measures and/or by depicting different portion sizes visually. Regardless of semi-quantitative or not, the method measures the average intake over a longer period of time rather than single days (e.g., 24h recall), which might be an advantage since the within-person variation in food intake might be large between days [12]. Also, recalling the usual intakes might often be easier than recalling single intakes of a specific day [12].

#### 3.2.2. Food intake biomarkers

Within epidemiological research, a biomarker has been proposed to be defined as "an objective measurement to assess the exposure, effect, or susceptibility of the human organism" [43]. Within this overarching definition, different subcategories can be used to describe the biomarker more in detail: exposure biomarkers, effect biomarkers, and susceptibility biomarkers [43]. Food or food component intake biomarkers can in turn be grouped under exposure biomarkers and are defined based on their ability to "measure the intake of specific food groups, foods, or food components (such as ingredients) and can be used to estimate recent or average intakes of these entities" [43]. There are several publications referring to measurable components in biological samples affected by food intake as reviewed elsewhere [44]. Importantly, the magnitude of the exposure (e.g., amounts consumed) but also the susceptibility of the specific individual can influence the concentrations measured in the biological sample [43].

In this thesis, food intake biomarkers (i.e., exposure biomarkers) were used with the aim of complementing self-reported dietary data. Further, biomarker exposures through seafood consumption were investigated (e.g., mercury and arsenic). Depending on the interpretation of the classification scheme [43], the latter biomarkers could be classified under food component intake biomarkers (i.e., if one considers the environmental pollutants to be an unavoidable seafood component), or simply as exposure biomarkers to the specific pollutants. For perspective, in the latest Swedish market basket study conducted by the Swedish Food Agency, arsenic and mercury were identified in all of the tested fish products (i.e., fish commonly bought on the Swedish market) [45].

Although food intake biomarkers could be seen as a more objective measurement of food intake, the number of validated biomarkers is limited. Criteria for validation of a food intake biomarker have recently been suggested and include eight aspects: plausibility, dose-response, time-response, robustness,

reliability, stability, analytical performance, and reproducibility [46]. In theory, a fully objective biomarker should solely be affected by the intake of one specific food item (or food group, if that is the purpose) and not be affected by the intake of other food groups. Further, the biomarker should not be endogenously produced. However, in reality, the diet consists of several different foods, and one never consumes only one isolated food item. So, even though the desired food intake biomarker is affected by several different food sources, it can still reflect the intended food item if the other sources affect the concentrations to a lower extent (or are more rarely consumed). In addition, since diet comprises food items that are highly intercorrelated, also purely isolated metabolites known to be affected solely by a specific food item could be associated with the reported intake of other foods [12].

### 3.2.2.1. Biomarkers of dairy product intake

Dairy products are a heterogeneous food group and comprises a wide range of food items such as fermented (e.g., cheese) and raw cow's milk (e.g., pasteurized cow's milk, low-fat cow's milk). Literature often suggests the use of the saturated fatty acids pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) and heptadecanoic acid (C17:0) as dairy product intake biomarkers [47, 48], and commonly relate these concentrations to e.g., heart disease [49] and type 2 diabetes [50].

These specific fatty acids are produced by microbial fermentation in the cow's rumen and are, therefore, often considered as biomarkers of dairy intake [48]. However, a randomized controlled trial indicated that also humans can produce these fatty acids endogenously from propionate, a short-chain fatty acid produced from gut microbiota fermentation of dietary fibers [51]. Importantly, they therefore highlight that health outcomes (e.g., type 2 diabetes) associated with these fatty acids might partly be confounded by the intake of dietary fiber. However, regardless of the outcome, several studies have reported C15:0 and C17:0 levels in different types of biological samples to be associated with the reported intake of dairy products [47, 52].

Until better dairy intake biomarkers are available, it is important to remember that these fatty acids are not fulfilling the demands (e.g., specificity) for being validated as food intake biomarkers [47]. As reviewed elsewhere, C15:0 commonly correlates more strongly to self-reported dairy intake than C17:0, regardless of lipid fraction and type of sample [47, 48]. It must be considered that blood levels might be affected by endogenous production and by the intake of other food sources, such as fish, although the concentrations are much lower [53].

#### 3.2.2.2. Biomarkers of seafood intake

Seafood is a heterogenous group both in terms of nutrient composition (e.g., fatty fish versus lean fish) and also in terms of environmental pollutant content (e.g., depending on catchment area). As reviewed elsewhere, the most frequently used seafood intake biomarkers are the n-3 LCPUFAs docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) [54]. The measurement of these fatty acids can be effective in reflecting a habitual intake of fish, primarily fatty fish, since the steady state of these is reached first after two to six weeks (plasma) and four weeks to six months (erythrocytes) [54].

Further, the furan fatty acid 3-carboxy-4-methyl-5-propyl-2-furanepropanoic acid (CMPF) is mentioned in several publications, including a randomized controlled trial which suggested CMPF to be highly specific for fatty fish intake [55]. However, studies investigating shellfish (i.e., not fatty fish) biomarkers have also included CMPF as a food intake biomarker [54]. Concerning lean fish, most studies have measured trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) in urine [54], although the metabolite can be produced endogenously from the intake of other animal food products [56]. There are also studies on lean fish that have measured arsenobetaine and other arsenic compounds, as well as DHA and EPA [54].

In summary, the literature presents several different candidate seafood intake biomarkers, with EPA and DHA fulfilling the validation criteria as thoroughly reviewed in the FoodBAll project [54]. Important to note is that studies rarely include pregnant or lactating women. The plausibility of using food intake biomarkers for pregnant women, validated in a non-pregnant population, is uncertain [57]. Importantly,

the n-3 LCPUFA DHA is readily transported over the placenta for accumulation in the fetal brain and tissues [58], and levels in maternal samples might, therefore, not only reflect her intake. Furthermore, endogenous production of LCPUFAs is enhanced in women of fertile age [59, 60].

### 3.3. Food intake during pregnancy and lactation

Pregnancy is a period in life when food intake is no longer solely needed for the maternal nutritional status but also for the growing fetus. Pregnancy can be divided into three trimesters ( $\leq$ 13 weeks, 14-26 weeks, and >26 weeks of gestation). Most fetal growth occurs during the second half of the pregnancy [61], and the additional need for energy in the last trimester deriving from the diet is around 450 kcal/day [62]. Some micronutrients are crucial for fetal, infant, and maternal health, and the recommended daily intakes are, therefore, higher compared to non-pregnant or lactating women. For instance, adequate intake of folate is known to drastically lower the risk of neural tube defects which has led to higher recommended intakes for all fertile women [63]. Commonly, the increased micronutrient need is even higher during lactation due to the production of breast milk and the excretion of the micronutrient via breast milk (e.g., recommended daily intake of folate increases with 100 µg/day from pregnancy to lactation) [63]. In addition to folate, micronutrients mentioned as of special concern during these life phases include, for instance, iron (e.g., due to increased red blood cell count and expected blood losses at delivery) [64], as well as iodine and selenium (e.g., combined deficiency have been inversely associated with neurodevelopment) [65]. Also, the recommended contribution of PUFAs, with an emphasis on DHA, to the total energy intake is increased [63].

Although there is an increased need for energy and micronutrients during both pregnancy and lactation, several mechanisms in the human body can to some extent compensate for this (e.g., reduction in maternal storage) [66]. Hence, although the estimated need for a micronutrient is increased, this does not automatically imply a need for increased dietary intake considering the compensatory mechanisms (e.g., increased absorption, bone resorption, and renal conservation) [63]. The Nordic Nutrition Recommendations, which form the basis for dietary guidelines in Scandinavian countries, are currently being updated. Therefore, it is possible that some of the recommendations mentioned in this section will change after the publication of this thesis.

#### **3.3.1.** Nutrient transport to the fetus

For the fetus to grow, oxygen and nutrients must be transported from the maternal circulation to the fetus via the placenta. The placenta is a highly advanced organ that regulates the transportation of nutrients from the maternal circulation to the fetal circulation, and vice versa, as well as filtering some components by storing them in the tissue. The efficiency of nutrient transport is affected by several factors, including the size of the placenta and the location and number of specific transport proteins [67]. The primary transportation of nutrients involves glucose, fatty acids, and amino acids, which all provide energy and building blocks for the growing fetus [68].

Glucose is transported from maternal circulation by facilitated diffusion, involving glucose transport proteins [68]. Fatty acids are transported across the placenta as free fatty acids via specific transport proteins [68]. Amino acids are transported from maternal circulation to the placenta via several different transport systems and then further transported into fetal circulation with facilitated diffusion involving transport proteins [68].

#### **3.3.2.** Provision of nutrients to the infant

After birth, infants are fully dependent on parental feeding either with breast milk or formula. The breast milk is sufficient to support the infant with both micro- and macronutrients, except for vitamin D and vitamin K, which are routinely given in the form of fat drops to all children under two years of age, and as an injection to the newborn, respectively. To investigate the influence of maternal diet on the infant's immune system during lactation, transportation of nutrients and immunoregulatory factors via breast milk is in focus.

Constituents of breast milk vary over time, with colostrum being the first milk produced in the mammary glands, followed by transitional and then mature breast milk. What is common for all different phases is that the proportion of carbohydrates (i.e., lactose) is highest, followed by fats and then proteins [69]. Immunoregulatory factors in breast milk include a wide range of immune cells, cytokines, growth factors, immunoglobulins, and human milk oligosaccharides. However, the breast milk's content differs largely between individuals depending on the time of day, diet, and for instance, if the child is born prematurely [69].

# 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 4.1. Study population

The thesis is based on data from the Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment (NICE) cohort, located in the north of Sweden (65.3–66.4°N), within the catchment area of Sunderby Hospital in Norrbotten Region. Families with a planned delivery at the hospital were approached at their first visit to maternity clinics (gestational week 10-12), with oral and written information about the study. Later on, at a routine ultrasound in gestational week 18-20, the families received more detailed, written information about the study. They were further instructed to send in written consent by post if they were interested in participating. Recruitment took place between February 2015 and March 2018.

The recruitment demanded that the families who wanted to participate in the cohort send in their consent by post without any reminder. This could explain why solely 10% of the intended population participated in the study [70]. Further, this might have led to the fact that, among the invited families, those interested in allergy and research were more eager to send in their consent to participate. The investigated children could therefore represent a high-risk (in terms of allergy) population rather than a general population. As published elsewhere, the included women were older (31 years versus 29 years), more highly educated (69% versus 43% with >12 years of education), and more often identified themselves as Swedish (94% versus 78%), in comparison with women who did not participate in the study but who gave birth at the same hospital during the same period of time [70]. They also reported consumption of supplements more frequently and were less likely to smoke. Hence, the generalizability of our findings to a general population in Sweden is not possible.

## 4.2. Study design

The prospective birth cohort NICE (ClinicalTrial.gov: NCT05809479) was started with the aim of clarifying the effect of environmental exposures (e.g., diet) during pregnancy and early life on the infant's immune system and allergy development. The cohort is a result of a collaboration including research groups from Gothenburg (Chalmers University of Technology, and Gothenburg University), Stockholm (Karolinska Institute), Umeå (Umeå University), as well as clinicians at the Sunderby Hospital. The cohort has been approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Umeå, Sweden (2013/18-31M).

This thesis focuses specifically on assessing the diet of pregnant and lactating women, using subjective and objective measurements, and their association with offspring allergy diagnosis at 12 months of age. In all papers, twins, and double-participants (i.e., families participating with a second child) were excluded. Handling these dependencies was considered unnecessarily troublesome when the number of participants it concerned was low (N=3 twin-pairs and N=16 double-participants) in relation to the whole cohort. Further, to handle unrealistic outliers, the limit of energy intake was set to 500-4000 kcal per day, and those reporting an intake outside this range were excluded.

In **Paper II** and **Paper V**, the associations concerning samples from the child only included breastfed infants with the reasoning that maternal diet could solely influence the infant via breast milk. An overview of the included subjects, investigated outcome, objective, and hypothesis of each paper is presented in **Table 2**.

	Paper I	Paper II	Paper III	Paper IV	Paper V
Subjects	• Pregnant women	<ul><li>Pregnant women</li><li>Lactating women</li><li>Breastfed infants</li></ul>	<ul><li>Women during delivery</li><li>Fetus (umbilical cord)</li></ul>	• Pregnant women	<ul> <li>Pregnant women</li> <li>Postpartum women</li> <li>Breastfed infants</li> </ul>
Exposures	Maternal characteristics	Maternal diet	Fatty acid in plasma phospholipids, maternal diet	Seafood intake	Maternal diet
Outcome	FFQ reported food intake (g/day)	Offspring allergy diagnosis at 1 year	Offspring allergy diagnosis at 1 year	Seafood biomarkers	Plasma metabolites
Sample size	567	5081	206 <sup>2</sup>	554	193-579 <sup>3</sup>
Thesis objective <sup>4</sup>	a	b, c	b	c	c
Hypothesis	Lifestyle and characteristics affect food choices	Food intake during pregnancy and lactation affect offspring allergy risk	Fatty acid profile <i>in</i> <i>utero</i> affects allergy risk during the first year of life	Reported seafood intake can be reflected with more objective measurements in both blood and urine	Reported food intake can be reflected with objective biomarker measurements in blood plasma

Table 2. Overview of the p	papers included in	1 this thesis.
----------------------------	--------------------	----------------

<sup>1</sup>Number of children with allergy diagnosis included in correlation analyses concerning pregnancy, 1 month, and 4 months: eczema, N=32, N=31, N=27; food allergy, N=38, N=34, N=30; and asthma, N=31, N=26, N=24.

<sup>2</sup>Number of children with eczema diagnosis, N=14.

<sup>3</sup>Number differs depending on sampling occasion and were as follows: pregnancy: N=579; delivery: N=523 (mothers) and N=348 (children); 4 months postpartum: N=477 (mothers) and N=193 (children).

<sup>4</sup> Thesis objectives: **a**) investigate maternal characteristics and lifestyles associated with dietary intake during pregnancy, **b**) investigate if dietary intake during pregnancy and lactation is associated with offspring allergy, and **c**) explore candidate food intake biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to diet and offspring allergy, applying targeted biomarker analyses and metabolomics.

### 4.3. Data collection

The data was purely observational. All data were collected using questionnaires, except for allergy diagnosis and biological sampling. An overview of the data (including biological samples) collected for the different papers is presented in **Figure 3**. Information regarding reported food intake during pregnancy was used in all papers of this thesis. Biological samples were used in all papers except **Paper I**.

		Pregnancy		Birth		Postpartum	
	Gestational week 18	Gestational week 29	Gestational week 34		1 month	4 months	12 months
Paper I	Questionnaire <sup>1</sup>		FFQ	Hospital record			
Paper II	Questionnaire <sup>1</sup>	Erythrocytes	FFQ	Hospital record	FFQ Breast milk	FFQ Breast milk Erythrocytes <sup>3</sup>	Allergy <sup>4</sup>
Paper III	Questionnaire <sup>1</sup>	Erythrocytes Plasma Urine	FFQ	Hospital record Plasma <sup>2</sup>			Allergy <sup>4</sup>
Paper IV	Questionnaire <sup>1</sup>	Plasma	FFQ	Hospital record			
Paper V			FFQ	Plasma <sup>2</sup>	FFQ	FFQ Plasma <sup>2</sup>	

**Figure 3**. Overview of data and sample collection in the NICE cohort used in this thesis. **Abbreviations:** FFQ, food frequency questionnaire.

<sup>1</sup> Including questions regarding e.g., sociodemographic factors, home environment, and allergies. <sup>2</sup> Mother and child (umbilical cord). <sup>3</sup> Mother. <sup>4</sup> Physician's diagnosis of the child.

#### 4.3.1. Dietary assessment

Dietary data were collected using a repeated web-based semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) sent out by email in gestational week 34, one month postpartum, and four months postpartum. The women were asked to report their intake during the last month. The specific FFQ, Meal-Q, was developed by an independent research group at Karolinska Institutet, Sweden [71, 72]. The Meal-Q includes 102-174 questions, where individuals reporting certain intakes were asked follow-up questions while those who

did not report any consumption were not given any further questions regarding that specific food item (e.g., soft drinks, sugar or sweeteners). The questionnaire is semi-quantitative and intakes in grams per day were calculated based on reported intake frequency in combination with normal portions as presented in the Swedish Food Composition Database provided by the Swedish Food Agency (**Paper I**) [73], and further personalized with pictures of portion sizes in the Meal-Q (**Paper II-Paper V**).

The original Meal-Q was slightly modified before the use in our cohort. The modifications included additional details regarding fat content in dairy products, as well as distinguishment between sugar sweetened and artificially sweetened beverages. Also, a distinguishment between crushed and intact flaxseeds was included by adding follow-up questions for participants reporting any consumption of flaxseeds. These extra details were included in the nutritional calculations of the whole diet. Further, not affecting the nutritional calculations, more detailed questions were added regarding game meat and lamb, consumption of fish before versus during pregnancy, use of organic food products, use of probiotic products, and avoidance of gluten and lactose [74].

#### 4.3.1.1. Methodological considerations for dietary assessment

Since the cohort involved over 650 families, a FFQ was chosen over more invasive and personnel-requiring methods such as a 7-day weighted food record or repeated 24h recalls. Regarding the use of Meal-Q in particular, two articles concerning the validity of the questionnaire have been published by the team which developed the method [71, 72]. The validation study, called VALidation of Methods Assessing diet and physical activity (VALMA), included N=180 healthy adults in Stockholm, Sweden. The participants in the validation study were, on average, 33 years and highly educated (80% with >12 years in school). Further, 29% of the participants studied or worked with nutrition. It is important to note that pregnant and breastfeeding women (more specifically, women who gave birth within ten months before the study) were excluded from the validation study. All participants were divided into three groups distributed by age and gender. All groups responded to the web-based Meal-Q (once by the first group and twice by the second and third groups, with three weeks in-between), and did also fill in a web-based 7-day weighted food record which acted as a reference method (participants were provided with a household scale). Misreporters of energy intake, based on the weighted food record in comparison with the energy expenditure (all groups reported number of steps and physical activities, and the third group also received doubly labeled water), were excluded applying the Goldberg cut-off (i.e., comparing the ratio between reported energy intake and estimated basal metabolic rate with physical activity level) [75].

The first publication from the validation study focused on energy intake and macronutrients. It showed that the reported intake of energy and macronutrients were significantly lower with the Meal-Q compared to the 7-day weighted food record, except for PUFAs, which did not differ [72]. The intake reported with Meal-Q covered 83% of the energy, 93% of the protein, 87% of the carbohydrates, and 76% of the total fat reported with the reference method. The same trend (i.e., lower levels in Meal-Q reported intake compared with weighted 7-day food record reported intake) was seen in the second study regarding micronutrients and fiber intake [71]. However, the authors concluded that the Meal-Q is useful for ranking intakes of dietary fiber and all micronutrients, except sodium [71]. Further, the reproducibility was found to be good concerning energy, macronutrients, and micronutrients. They concluded that the Meal-Q was user-friendly, had a short answering time (mean 17 minutes), and provided sufficient information for meaningful ranking of the food intake [72].

Taking the semi-quantitative nature, the adjustments made to our cohort, and the results from the validation study into account, the method was considered time-efficient, cost-effective, as well as reasonable accurate for this cohort. However, as mentioned above, the Meal-Q has not been validated for pregnant or breastfeeding women. Furthermore, the validation study shows a systematic tendency for underreporting which must be kept in mind when interpreting the absolute intakes in the NICE cohort.

### 4.3.2. Maternal characteristics

Information regarding maternal characteristics was collected with a questionnaire sent out in gestational week 18 (e.g., education, residential area), extracted from the medical records (e.g., parity and BMI), and retrieved from interviews conducted at the study visits (e.g., family history of atopic disease).

### 4.3.3. Biological samples

An extensive collection of biological samples was done in the NICE cohort, including feces, meconium, placenta, umbilical cord, urine, blood, saliva, and breast milk. Samples were collected from the mothers, fathers, and their children. More detailed information can be found in the study protocol [76]. For this thesis, samples from mothers and children were used and included umbilical cord blood, urine, venous blood, and breast milk.

Blood from the mothers was drawn from the cubital vein and collected in 10 mL EDTA tubes (Becton Dickinson, New Jersey, USA) for later fatty acid analysis, and in 6 mL trace element free Na-heparin tubes (Greiner bio-one, Kremsmünster, Austria) for trace element analyses. Sampling during pregnancy was done at the different local maternity clinics. Sampling during the delivery was done at the hospital by the midwives at the delivery ward, and postpartum at follow-up visits at the study center by the study nurses. Mid-stream, spot urine samples were collected in polypropylene cups (Sarstedt Inc, North Carolina, USA), and later transferred to trace element free 24 mL polyethylene bottles by the study personnel. Breast milk was collected in 15 mL polypropylene tubes (Sarstedt Inc, North Carolina, USA). The women were instructed to collect the breast milk in relation to a breastfeeding occasion occurring before noon.

### 4.3.4. Allergy diagnosis

Allergy during the first year of life was diagnosed at 12 months of age by the study pediatrician specialized in allergology. In total, 539 children attended the clinical follow up at one year. Out of these, 36 children were diagnosed with eczema, 43 with food allergy, and 35 with asthma. Hence, the incidence of physician diagnosed eczema, food allergy, and asthma were 6.7%, 8.0%, and 6.5%.

Food allergy was diagnosed based on reported allergic symptoms after provocation at home of a specific food item, which had previously been causing symptoms from the same organ and led to improvement after avoidance. If a previous exposure to a specific food allergen was reported to cause an acute severe reaction, provocation was not deemed necessary for confirmation and hence not encouraged.

Williams criteria were used to diagnose atopic eczema [77-79]. As stated in the criteria, mandatory for diagnosis is parent-reported itchiness (manifesting as scratching or rubbing by the child) in combination with  $\geq$ 3 of the following criteria: 1) involvement of skin creases (e.g., elbows, knees, ankles, neck, or cheeks), 2) history of asthma or hay fever, or history of atopic disease in a first-degree relative, 3) history of general dry skin, 4) visible eczema in flexural areas, cheeks, forehead, or outer limbs.

Early-life asthma was diagnosed if the child had wheezing between infections or persistent for at least four weeks, three episodes of wheezing during infection or, if concurrent allergic disease, any wheezing during infection.

## 4.4. Laboratory analyses

Data from laboratory analyses were used in all papers of this thesis except for **Paper I**. Analyses were primarily conducted using chromatography for the separation of the desired compounds and mass spectrometry (MS) for the quantification and identification of the compounds. Fatty acid proportions were analyzed in plasma phospholipids (**Paper III**), erythrocytes (**Paper II** and **Paper IV**), and breast milk (**Paper II**). Concentrations of trace elements (**Paper IV**) were analyzed in 1) urine: total arsenic, inorganic arsenic metabolites, arsenobetaine, arsenocholine, trimethylarsine oxide, and iodine, 2) plasma: selenium, and 3) erythrocytes: mercury, total arsenic, and selenium. Metabolomics were used to analyze metabolites in blood plasma (**Paper V**). Identification of the metabolites was conducted by 1) comparing the MSMS

spectra with library spectra, and 2) using the SIRIUS Software [80] to find probable structures based on mass-to-charge ratio and retention time. More detailed information regarding the laboratory methods can be found in the different papers.

## 4.5. Statistical analyses

The dietary data were non-normally distributed, which narrowed the suitable methods to the nonparametric ones. With the objective to investigate associations between diet and allergy, keeping an exploratory approach, correlation analysis was selected and used in four out of five papers (**Table 3**). The correlation analyses enable presentation of results using heatmaps, which can be favorable when having several exposures and outcomes. Further, to get an effect size, logistic regression was used in **Paper III** (i.e., the paper without correlation analysis).

Univariate statistics were used in all papers and included Pearson's Chi-square, Fisher's exact test, Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis test, and Spearman correlation. For the papers involving allergy as an outcome, multivariate statistics were used in the form of partial Spearman correlation, principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares projections to latent structures (PLS) regression, and orthogonal PLS (OPLS-DA) (**Table 3**).

<b>Table 3.</b> Statistic	cal an	alyses used in this thes	is.				
		Paper I	Paper II	Paper III		Paper IV	Paper V
Purpose and tests	• •	Visualize food intake versus characteristics - Spearman correlation - Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analyses - PCA Food intake vs characteristics - Mann-Whitney U - Kruskal-Wallis	<ul> <li>Characteristics differences (allergic vs non-allergic)</li> <li>- \chi_2</li> <li>Fisher's exact test</li> <li>Visualize food intake</li> <li>Visualize food intake</li> <li>Visualize food intake</li> <li>Visualize food intake</li> <li>Pisher's exact test</li> <li>Pisher's exact test</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Characteristics differences (allergic vs non-allergic) &amp; proportions fatty acids differences (mother vs child)</li> <li>Y<sup>2</sup> Tisher's exact test</li> <li>Mann-Whitney U</li> <li>Proportions fatty acids (allergic vs non-allergic)</li> <li>Logistic regression</li> <li>OPLS-DA</li> <li>Food impact on blood levels of fatty acids</li> <li>PLS</li> </ul>	• • •	Normality check of food intake and biomarkers - Shapiro-Wilk test Characteristics and biomarker concentration differences (high vs low seafood intake) - Mann-Whitney U - Fisher's exact test Food intake and biomarker concentration - Spearman correlation - Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analyses - Scatter plot with Lowess smoothing lines	<ul> <li>Feature versus food intake</li> <li>Spearman correlation</li> <li>Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analyses</li> </ul>
Software	• • •	IBM SPSS ver. 25 <sup>1</sup> R ver. 3.5.1 <sup>2</sup> SIMCA Umetrics ver. 15.0.2 <sup>3</sup>	<ul> <li>IBM SPSS ver. 26</li> <li>R ver. 3.6.2</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IBM SPSS ver. 27</li> <li>R ver. 3.6.2</li> <li>SIMCA Umetrics ver. 16.0.1</li> </ul>	••	IBM SPSS ver. 28 R ver. 3.6.2	• R ver. 3.6.2
Covariates	1		Allergic heredity, siblings, season of birth, total energy intake	Allergic heredity and FLG loss-of-function mutation	1		
Multiple testing adjustment	• •	α set to 0.001 Univariate solely for rho>0.1 and rho<-0.1		<ul> <li>VIP&gt;0.8</li> <li>Univariate solely for strongest associations</li> </ul>	1		
Abbreviations: PC projections to later <sup>1</sup> IBM SPSS (IBM, N <sup>2</sup> R Studio (R Founds <sup>3</sup> SIMCA (Sartorius S	CA, pr ent str New Y lation J	cincipal component analy: uctures (regression); FLC ork, NY, USA) for Statistical Computing, Vi n Data Analytics AB, Umeå,	sis; χ², Pearson's Chi-square; Ol i, filaggrin; VIP, variable impor enna, Austria) Sweden)	PLS-DA, orthogonal partial least tance (influence on projection).	t squa1	es (discriminant analysis); PLS,	partial least squares

# **5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This thesis investigated diet and dietary biomarkers during pregnancy and lactation in relation to offspring allergy development. The results showed that the self-reported food intake during pregnancy correlated with a wide range of maternal characteristics, such as age and education level. The intake of different food groups was kept fairly constant from pregnancy until four months postpartum, although the intake of dairy products and fruit and berries gradually decreased. Regarding the relationship between diet and allergy, the results show an inverse association between offspring food allergy and maternal intake of cow's milk (fresh, pasteurized) and dairy products (e.g., yoghurt and cheese) during lactation and an inverse association between all investigated allergies and maternal intake of saturated fat during lactation. A positive association was identified between n-6 PUFAs in umbilical cord plasma phospholipids and eczema incidence.

### 5.1. Maternal characteristics associated with food intake during pregnancy

The results in this thesis show several characteristics to be associated with the food intake in this cohort of pregnant women in Northern Sweden. For instance, age and education level were associated with food choice, where older and more highly educated women reported higher consumption of fruits and vegetables and less fast food than younger and less educated women. Further, a higher BMI and tobacco were associated with consuming less fruit. Also, the period of life (e.g., pregnancy versus postpartum) appears to be related to food choices. In summary, food intake during pregnancy is interlinked with several lifestyle factors, which makes it difficult to determine the specific significance of food intake *per se* in relation to allergy outcomes.

As can be seen in **Paper I**, the most pronounced differences in food intake were found to be age, education, BMI, and smoking tobacco before pregnancy. Living in a more rural area was related to a higher intake of meat products, game meat in particular, but also cow's milk. The literature on the impact of residential areas on food intake in Sweden is sparse. One study involving adolescents in West Sweden found that individuals living most urban consumed fruit, vegetables, and fish more frequently than those living in a more rural area [81]. That study cannot confirm our findings regarding meat and cow's milk intake since this was not investigated. However, in our study, vegetable and fruit intake clustered on the opposite side of meat and cow's milk, and thereby also of residential area (**Paper I**).

Further, not all food items were related to maternal characteristics. For instance, neither the intake of whole grain products, fiber-rich carbohydrate sources, nor lean fish differed between women of different ages or with different educational backgrounds. However, when investigating seafood intake with median split (i.e., comparing relatively high seafood consumers with relatively low seafood consumers), the educational background did differ between the consumer groups (**Paper IV**).

The food intake did not only differ depending on maternal characteristics such as education and age, we also show that reported intake differed between pregnancy, one month postpartum, and four months postpartum (**Paper II**). There was a clear gradual decrease in the reported intake over time of dairy products (310 grams/day, 270 grams/day, and 230 grams/day) and fruit and berries (270 grams/day, 200 grams/day, and 170 grams/day). In addition, the pattern of seafood consumption seemed to differ from before pregnancy and during pregnancy, where fish known to be dense in e.g., heavy metals (e.g., fish from the Baltic Sea) were consumed at a markedly lower rate during pregnancy than before (**Paper IV**).

## 5.2. Influence of maternal diet on infant allergy development

This thesis presents results based on associations between self-reported food intake and physician diagnosis of food allergy, atopic eczema, and asthma at 12 months of age (**Paper II**). Several statistically significant associations were found between self-reported intake of food items, as well as nutrients from nutritional calculations of the whole diet, and offspring allergy diagnosis (**Figure 4**). As can be seen in the

figure, most associations were found for maternal food intake between three and four months postpartum, rather than during pregnancy or the first month postpartum.



**Figure 4.** FFQ reported food intake associated with allergy diagnosis in the NICE cohort. The number of arrows displays the level of significance received in the partial Spearman correlation analysis adjusted for any allergy within the family, siblings, season of birth, and total energy intake. Three arrows indicate a p-value <0.001, two arrows p-value <0.01, and one arrow p-value <0.05. The results are summarized from six heatmaps presented in **Paper II**.

#### 5.2.1. Cow's milk intake and food allergy

The most consistent finding (i.e., remaining over time) in **Paper II** was a lower incidence of food allergy following a higher intake of cow's milk (in a glass or on a plate) by the mother. A previous study identified lower levels of cow's milk protein-specific ( $\beta$ -lactoglobulin and casein) IgA in the breast milk of women excluding cow's milk from their diet, and lower levels of these IgA have, in turn, been found to associate with an increased risk of offspring cow's milk protein allergy [82]. Hence, a possible explanation for the allergy protective effect could be that the child receives tolerogenic antibodies toward cow's milk via breast milk.

It is important to note that although women to children with food allergies consumed less cow's milk than women to children without any allergy (median: 0 grams/day versus 43 grams/day, p<0.001), there were still over 50% of the women with a non-allergic child reporting an intake below 50 grams/day. In the whole cohort, the reported intake of dairy products decreased gradually from pregnancy until four months postpartum (310 grams, 270 grams, and 230 grams/day), whilst the median intake of cow's milk (i.e., served in a glass or plate) was kept constant between pregnancy and one month postpartum (100 grams/day) but decreased at four months postpartum (43 grams/day). This could be a sign of reverse causation since the lower intake of cow's milk by the mother might result from early allergic symptoms of the breastfed infant (i.e., symptoms developing before exposure rather than exposure leading to symptoms). As described in **Paper II**, to take reverse causation into account, secondary analyses were performed without women who changed their intake of dairy products from any to null following early allergic symptoms of the child. The results indicated a lower incidence of food allergy following a higher intake of cow's milk even after excluding these women.

Further, the results from **Paper I** indicated that women living in a more rural area consumed more cow's milk. This could indicate that intake of cow's milk is a proxy for living area, and that the hygiene hypothesis (exposure to microorganisms) can be implemented and explain the association with lower allergy incidence, and not the intake *per se*. However, only four families lived on a farm with animals (none of these children had any allergy), and conclusions are therefore difficult to draw. Milk intake was

further negatively associated with education and positively associated with parity meaning that women with higher education and mothers being first-time pregnant drank less milk.

It is possible that exposure to cow's milk protein might protect against cow's milk protein allergy specifically, due to induced tolerance after exposure to the potential allergen (e.g.,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin and  $\alpha$ -S1-casein) [83]. In this cohort, children without maternal food allergy were less frequently diagnosed with cow's milk protein allergy if the mother consumed cow's milk already during pregnancy compared to women without this consumption (3% versus 9%, p=0.04). However, this must be interpreted with caution due to the low number of children with cow's milk allergy in each consumption group (N=8 and N=6, respectively).

### 5.2.2. Fruit and berries intake and eczema

Maternal intake of fruit and berries at four months postpartum was found to be positively associated with offspring eczema. Previous studies have rather found opposite results, with a lower risk of atopic eczema following a higher intake of fruit and vegetables during pregnancy and lactation, as reviewed elsewhere [10]. However, one previous study presented higher odds of offspring eczema at 12 months of age following a higher maternal intake of resistant starch during pregnancy [84]. To note, that study investigated different dietary fiber intakes during pregnancy and not lactation and could not see any difference in total fiber intake deriving specifically from fruit between mothers to children with and without eczema. Hence, we have not found any obvious explanation to how maternal intake of fruits and berries may increase the risk of offspring eczema, indicating that the association may be driven by confounding factors. To investigate the association found in **Paper II** further, we searched for fruit intake biomarkers using metabolomics in **Paper V**. Results from these analyses are presented in section 5.4.2.

### 5.2.3. Fatty acid intake and allergies

A higher maternal intake of saturated fat while breastfeeding four months postpartum was associated with a lower incidence of food allergy, eczema, and asthma (**Figure 4**). In secondary analyses, the intake of saturated fat was divided into tertiles ( $\leq 23$ , >23 to  $\leq 33$ , >33 grams/day), where the first tertile was used as a reference in logistic regression analyses. Also, in these analyses, a higher intake while breastfeeding was associated with lower odds of food allergy in the second and the third tertile (OR (95% CI)=0.18 (0.06-0.54), and 0.29 (0.12-0.71), respectively). This was also true for eczema and asthma when comparing the highest with the lowest tertile (OR (95% CI)=0.33 (0.12-0.91), and 0.33 (0.11-0.96), respectively). The intake of saturated fat (nutritional calculations of whole diet) during lactation was most strongly associated with the reported intake of cheese (rho=0.61), followed by sweets (rho=0.54), and dairy products (rho=0.47). Previous literature regarding saturated fatty acid intake while breastfeeding is sparse, but the intake of saturated fat during pregnancy (i.e., not lactation) has been found to associate with a lower risk of asthma at five years of age [85].

To note, the maternal intake of fatty acids during pregnancy was not associated with any of the investigated allergies. This is similar to another study with a larger sample size, where no associations between maternal fatty acid intake and offspring risk of cow's milk protein allergy at three years were identified [86]. However, when stratifying for maternal heredity in that study, higher maternal intake of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid was associated with lower odds of offspring cow's milk allergy among children without allergic mothers [86].

## 5.2.4. Ethical aspect

The potential a diet regimen can have in allergy development must, of course, be interpreted in relation to the overall risk of allergic disease following allergic heredity and other environmental exposures (e.g., tobacco). If diet indeed influences the risk of allergy, changing the diet is more easily achieved than changing the genes or exposing the infants to more microbes and infections. Regardless of the results, encouraging allergic mothers to consume food they cannot tolerate should, of course, not be done.

## 5.3. Investigated food intake biomarkers in the NICE cohort

In **Paper IV**, biomarkers of seafood were investigated based on laboratory quantifications of trace elements and fatty acids. The work in **Paper V** did not focus on a specific food group, instead, different candidate biomarkers were measured with untargeted metabolomics and then related to the intake of corresponding food items.

### 5.3.1. Metabolomics-based food intake biomarkers

In **Paper V** we searched for a panel of metabolites in plasma, reflecting different food groups, based on mass-to-charge ratio and retention time in a dataset obtained after running untargeted metabolomics. The choice of metabolites to search for was based on a list of candidate food intake biomarkers created by the Chalmers Mass Spectrometry Infrastructure (CMSI). As described in **Paper V**, the list was created based on a literature survey and communication done as part of the Food phytochemicals matter for cardiometabolic health (FOODPHYT) project under the joint programming initiative a Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life [87].

The most statistically significant associations (p<0.001) from **Paper V**, between food intake and plasma metabolites, are summarized in **Figure 6**. The metabolites which correlated most strongly with self-reported food intake were proline betaine, pipecolic acid, CMPF, indole-3-lactic acid, and acetylcarnitine. The results regarding juice intake and proline betaine levels in maternal plasma (pregnancy: rho=0.38; delivery: rho=0.23; four months: rho=0.41) are similar to the magnitude reported in another Swedish cohort study using FFQ data (rho=0.34 for citrus and not juice) [88]. On the other hand, the correlation coefficient between fatty fish intake and CMPF in maternal plasma at four months was weaker in our study (rho=0.30) compared to the study mentioned above (rho=0.45). As seen in **Figure 6**, relative intensities of CMPF correlated most strongly with fatty fish, as can be expected, followed by other seafood, fruits, and vegetables.

The food intake biomarkers were found to be associated not only with expected food intake variables but also with a wider range of unexpected food sources. One possible explanation is that the consumption of various food items is often closely related. Food items are usually consumed in various combinations rather than separately, as indicated by the clustering feature in the figure, as well as the results presented in **Paper I**. Therefore, it is important to interpret any associations found with caution if they are not expected. If the literature does not suggest a particular food source as a means of exposure, it may be a proxy for lifestyle or, simply, a spurious finding.

As can be seen in **Figure 5**, most associations were found four months postpartum, followed by pregnancy, and lastly, delivery. This may indicate that food intake biomarkers can be measured more accurately during lactation than during pregnancy and delivery. However, it may also relate to the sample handling in the NICE cohort, which differed between the different investigated time points. At four months, samples were drawn at the research laboratory. During delivery, samples were collected at the delivery ward, and during pregnancy at various maternity clinics. Since the samples were aliquoted and frozen at the research laboratory, the time until handling was shorter and more controlled at four months postpartum (since no transportation was needed), which could have affected the results.



**Figure 5**. Heatmaps of associations between relative intensities of food intake biomarkers in plasma from **a**) pregnant women, **b**) women and their child at delivery, **c**) women and their breastfed children, in relation to maternal reported food intake (grams/day) at gestational week 30-34, first month postpartum, and between three and four months postpartum, respectively. All variables with any association with p-values <0.001 in **Paper V** are presented in here.

#### 5.3.2. Seafood intake and trace elements

Seafood is a food group often discussed in the field of allergy prevention. Potential candidate biomarkers for seafood intake were investigated in urine and blood samples collected during pregnancy (**Paper IV**). In addition to the more commonly investigated fish fatty acids (DHA, EPA, and DPA), the micronutrients iodine and selenium, and the food chain contaminants arsenic and mercury were investigated since these are broadly found in seafood.

Concentrations of mercury in erythrocytes correlated relatively strongly with FFQ-reported intake of seafood and was by far the most strongly associated objective measurement regardless of seafood type. The candidates with the strongest potential as seafood biomarkers in this cohort are summarized in **Figure 6**, where associations from **Paper IV** with rho>0.25 and p<0.001 are presented. As can be seen, the fatty

acids EPA and DHA, commonly regarded as good biomarkers of fish intake, are not among the biomarkers with the strongest associations to intake of total seafood (rho=0.20 and rho=0.22, respectively), or fatty fish (rho=0.24 and rho=0.25, respectively) during pregnancy. It is possible that the associations would be different during another period of life or in another geographical area. However, a Norwegian study of pregnant women showed similar results with stronger associations between seafood intake and mercury and arsenic levels in blood than with the more commonly used n-3 LCPUFAs [89]. Also, a randomized controlled trial has previously indicated that DHA and EPA (serum phospholipid concentrations) might be good seafood biomarkers during the first trimester, but not from second trimester and onwards [57].



**Figure 6.** Blood and urine concentrations of candidate biomarkers of seafood intake during pregnancy. Associations with a correlation coefficient above 0.25 and a p-value below 0.001 are summarized. Full results are presented in **Paper IV**.

#### 5.4. Biomarkers to evaluate associations between food intake and allergy

Several associations were identified between self-reported food intake and diagnosis of allergy during the first year of life (**Paper II**). In the thesis, identified metabolites from **Paper V** were used to further investigate the findings from **Paper II**. When it comes to using food intake biomarkers during pregnancy it is important to consider the remarkable physiological changes during pregnancy and the transport of nutrients to the growing fetus. These factors might affect the plasma levels of some candidate biomarkers, making them less reliable as food intake biomarkers during pregnancy.

#### 5.4.1. Ruminant fatty acids, cow's milk intake and food allergy

Several previous studies have used the saturated fatty acids (SFA) pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) and heptadecanoic acid (C17:0) as biomarkers of cow's milk intake, as thoroughly reviewed elsewhere [47] and discussed in the background section. The use of these fatty acids as biomarkers of cow's milk intake is often motivated by the fact that they are produced by microorganisms in the rumen of the cows [90]. As presented in **Paper II**, proportions of pentadecanoic acid (15:0) in breast milk correlated with both maternal cow's milk intake at four months postpartum (rho=0.25, p<0.001) and directly with offspring food allergy (rho=-0.151, p=0.02), while no such association could be seen for heptadecanoic acid (17:0) and food allergy. To investigate these findings further, a logistic regression with the fatty acid proportion divided by the interquartile range was done, and the results are presented in **Figure 7**. As can be seen, the correlation between higher proportions of C15:0 and lower incidence of food allergy is supported by the findings from the logistic regression (crude and adjusted for heredity: OR=0.43, p=0.01). However, as seen in the figure, these fatty acid proportions (C15:0 and C17:0) at one month postpartum were not associated with lower odds of food allergy. Previous literature regarding maternal cow's milk intake, specifically during lactation, and the risk of offspring food allergy are lacking, as concluded elsewhere [22]. Hence,

future studies are needed to elucidate if there might be a causal relationship between the intake of cow's milk, specifically during lactation, and offspring allergy risk, and if so, at what doses.



Figure 7. Cow's milk fatty acids in relation to food allergy.

This is a summary of the results found in **Paper II** with additional logistic regression analyses. The odds ratio (OR) is presented per interquartile range (IQR): pentadecanoic acid, 1 month=0.16 and 4 months=0.18; heptadecanoic acid, 1 month=0.09 and 4 months=0.10. The adjusted model included any allergic disease within the family as a covariate. For the statistically significant analysis (C15:0 at four months in relation to food allergy) the crude and adjusted OR (95% CI) were 0.43 (0.23-0.82) and 0.43 (0.23-0.81), respectively.

#### 5.4.2. Proline betaine, fruit and berries intake and eczema

Interestingly, intake of fruit and berries while breastfeeding was associated with a higher incidence of offspring atopic eczema in **Paper II**. As shown in **Paper V**, the relative intensity of proline betaine (a citrus fruit biomarker) in plasma was strongly associated with fruit intake. If the finding in **Paper II** would be of causal origin and explained by the intake of citrus fruit in particular, one could expect the food intake biomarker proline betaine also to be associated with atopic eczema. However, neither maternal nor infant plasma levels at four months were associated with atopic eczema (**Figure 8**). Hence, proline betaine in plasma could not confirm the findings between fruit intake and offspring eczema.

Proline betaine is validated as a food intake biomarker for citrus fruit [91]. In this cohort of pregnant women, proline betaine was most strongly associated with the reported intake of fruit juice. Fruit and berries are, however, a broad group and include food items which probably is not well reflected by proline betaine. A previous study showed the opposite results, where higher maternal fruit intake during pregnancy resulted in lower odds of eczema at three years of age [30]. In that study, stachydrine was used as a biomarker of fruit intake, which seems to be a synonym for proline betaine.

As discussed in **Paper II**, when studying the different types of fruit included in the overall group in relation to atopic eczema, intake of banana and fruit soup/kissel (e.g., bilberry or rose hip) were the ones positively associated with the diagnosis, and hence, the ones who could explain the association between fruit and berries and eczema. The intake of banana and fruit soup/kissel was not related to plasma levels of proline betaine (rho=0.07, p=0.13, and rho=0.08, p=0.08), which could explain why proline betaine was not confirming the association found between fruit and berries and eczema in our cohort. Hence, it is important not to encourage a reduced intake of fruit and berries for allergy prevention since the results

are conflicting. It is possible that the reported intake of fruit and berries represent a type of lifestyle that is associated with eczema and not a causal relationship between fruit intake and eczema.



Figure 8. Proline betaine in relation to atopic eczema.

This is a summary of the results found in **Paper V** with additional logistic regression analyses. The odds ratio is presented per interquartile range (IQR). The adjusted model included any allergic disease within the family as a covariate.

#### 5.4.3. Seafood intake, seafood biomarkers, and eczema

In **Paper III**, higher phospholipid proportions of n-6 PUFAs in the infants' umbilical cord at birth were associated with a higher incidence of atopic eczema, both in unadjusted models and after taking allergic heredity into account. These proportions were also negatively related to fish intake during pregnancy (fatty fish, rho=-0.17, p=0.02; total fish, rho=-0.16, p=0.03). These results may indicate that a low fish intake during pregnancy may result in a higher incidence of atopic eczema through higher proportions of n-6 PUFAs. However, no associations were found between the self-reported seafood intake and offspring allergy.

As discussed previously, subjective measures of fish intake may not be very accurate, and objective measures may instead be used. In **Paper IV**, mercury concentrations in erythrocytes and arsenobetaine in urine were found to correlate with the reported intake of most seafood types during pregnancy. Hence, if these objective measurements reflect seafood intake during pregnancy better than the dietary assessment, and if seafood intake could prevent allergy, one could expect a negative association between these concentrations and atopic eczema. In logistic regression models conducted for this thesis, no such association could be found (**Figure 9**).

Taken together the results of this thesis and the existing literature, maternal seafood intake during pregnancy does not seem to affect offspring allergy development during the first year of life. Although some studies have reported a lower incidence of offspring allergy [92, 93], the overall evidence seems to, in accordance with the findings in this thesis, indicate that seafood intake during pregnancy might not be relevant in terms of allergy prevention [36, 37].



Figure 9. Summary of associations between seafood biomarkers and atopic eczema.

This is a summary of the strongest results found in **Paper IV** (mercury and arsenobetaine). The odds ratio is presented per interquartile range (IQR): mercury=1.35 and arsenobetaine=32.3. The adjusted model included any allergic disease within the family.

# 6. STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

The work within this thesis has several strengths. For instance, the assessment of maternal diet was extensive, with three repeated semi-quantitative FFQs covering the food intake during pregnancy until four months postpartum. Having information regarding maternal food intake while breastfeeding is quite unique since previous literature focuses primarily on the diet during pregnancy or the postpartum weaning practice. Further, allergy was diagnosed by a pediatrician who specialized in allergy and was, hence, not parent-reported or based solely on sensitization. The same allergologist set the diagnosis throughout the study, meaning that bias potentially introduced by inter-individual judgments has been removed.

Another strength is that the sample collection was extensive. A wide range of biological samples were collected from both the mother and the child at multiple times. The work within this thesis includes data from blood sampling of the mother, the fetus (i.e., umbilical cord), and the infant. Further, it includes repeated breast milk collections and urine samples. Altogether, this enabled the use of metabolomics and measurements of fatty acids and trace elements in different biological samples, in order to investigate the maternal diet more thoroughly and objectively.

However, there are also limitations to acknowledge. For instance, the data curation was not fully finished at the time **Paper I** was published. More specifically, the estimated food intake was therefore based on the reported intake frequency and normal portions as suggested by the Swedish Food Agency (i.e., not portion sizes reported by the study participants). However, the quantification was improved in the following papers by taking reported portion sizes into account. Importantly, no major differences were identified in secondary analyses where the results from **Paper I** were reproduced with the new quantification, and the conclusions remained unchanged.

This thesis focused on studying allergies during children's first year of life, and it is possible that maternal food intake plays a different role in allergies developing further on. Hence, it is important to also investigate the role of maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation on allergies diagnosed later in childhood. In the NICE cohort, all children are currently followed up and assessed for allergy at four and six years of age.

## 6.1. Causality

The results produced within this thesis are based on observational cohort data, which makes it difficult to draw conclusions regarding the causal effects of maternal diet on offspring allergy risk. Although attempts were made to more objectively rank individuals based on their diet (i.e., food intake biomarkers), the lack of specificity of these biomarkers is a limitation. Further, associations between the food intake biomarkers and self-reported food intake were relatively weak. In causal inference, the strength of association can indeed be seen as a guideline for judging the causality of the findings [94]. However, the lack of strong associations is rather expected in this type of study, and the expected correlation between concentration biomarkers were correlated to self-reported intakes measured with a FFQ which underestimates the actual intakes, the correlation coefficients can, of course, be expected to be even lower. Hence, the lack of strong associations in this thesis does not automatically imply a lack of causality, although it warrants further investigation.

# 7. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this thesis presents in-depth information regarding food intake during pregnancy and lactation in the NICE cohort. The development of offspring allergy during the first year of life was associated with maternal intake, primarily during lactation, of cow's milk, saturated fat, and fruit and berries, although causality cannot be proven. Furthermore, infant's fatty acid profile at birth was associated with eczema development during the first year of life. The conclusions of this thesis are:

- Maternal characteristics influence food intake during pregnancy. For instance, higher education and age were associated with a more nutrient-dense diet (including fruit, vegetables, fish, and nuts). Higher BMI in early pregnancy and smoking before pregnancy were related to a lower intake of these food groups.
- Since food intake is closely related to maternal characteristics, it is difficult to determine the specific significance of food intake on different outcomes (e.g., allergy development). The complex interaction between food choice and lifestyle must be considered here as in observational studies in general.
- Maternal intake of cow's milk while breastfeeding, confirmed with the cow's milk intake biomarker C15:0, was associated with a lower incidence of offspring food allergy during the first year of life, even after adjusting for reverse causation. This could indicate that cow's milk might lower the risk of developing food allergy *or* simply that reported intake of cow's milk reflect unmeasured factors which affect allergy risk.
- Maternal intake of saturated fat while breastfeeding was inversely associated with offspring food allergy, eczema, and asthma during the first year of life. This warrants further investigation to elucidate if a higher intake of saturated fat competes with the intake of immunomodulatory PUFAs *or* if saturated fat intake is associated with a certain lifestyle *or* if the saturated fat *per se* might influence allergy development.
- Higher proportions of n-6 PUFAs, foremost arachidonic acid, in umbilical cord plasma phospholipids were associated with a higher incidence of atopic eczema during the first year of life. Lowering the n-6 PUFA proportions *in utero* may be achieved by increasing the proportions of n-3 PUFA. The n-6 and n-3 PUFA proportions in the umbilical cord blood depend on the endogenous production by the mother and child, the transport over the placenta, and the maternal food intake.
- Using food intake biomarkers known from a general (i.e., non-pregnant or lactating) population to reflect the food intake seems applicable also in breastfeeding women. For instance, proline betaine measured in plasma correlated relatively strongly with self-reported intakes of fruit juice, orange, and also a general intake of fruit and berries. The strongest correlation between food intake and exposure biomarkers during pregnancy was noted for mercury in erythrocytes and reported intake of seafood. Further investigations are needed to find metabolomics-based food intake biomarkers during pregnancy.

# 8. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

- The causality of the findings in this thesis needs to be investigated. For instance, if it is a higher maternal intake of cow's milk *per se* that protects against food allergies in the offspring or if there are other confounding factors affecting this association. Considering causal inference tools such as the Bradford-Hill criteria [91], there is a need for:
  - Mechanistic studies investigating immunomodulation by separate components of cow's milk, fruit and berries, and fatty acids intake.
  - Randomized controlled intervention studies investigating dose-response for cow's milk, fruit and berries, and fatty acids intake and allergy development.
  - More studies investigating associations between maternal intake of cow's milk, fruit and berries, and fatty acids intake during lactation in relation to offspring allergy development.
- Investigate how objective measurements can be combined with self-reported food intake in order to compensate for the shortcomings of both methods.
- Investigate associations between maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation and offspring allergy development at four and six years of age in the NICE cohort.
- Investigate associations between infant feeding (e.g., timing of food introduction, and diet diversity) and allergy incidence, both alone and combined with maternal dietary exposures.

## 9. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Several years have now passed during which numerous incredible people have crossed my path. Naming all would convert this section into a thesis in itself. First of all, a huge thanks to the participating families and personnel involved in the NICE study. Without you this research would not have been possible. Thanks also to all funding sources for making this work possible: Swedish Research Councils (VR, FORTE and Formas), Västra Götaland Region (RUN), Research and Innovation Unit at Region Norrbotten, Karolinska Institutet, Jane och Dan Olssons stiftelse, and Dr Per Håkanssons stiftelse.

Special thanks to my two supervisors, **Assi** and **Malin**. Without doubt the best supervisors there are. The gratitude I feel towards you cannot be emphasized enough and is difficult to put into words. **Assi**, thank you for your unwavering patience and for always believing in me. Since day one I have felt that you always had my back. Thank you for creating an environment where voicing my thoughts has been encouraged. You have shown me how to dive into challenges (or front seats) without hesitation, literary. **Malin**, a true role model not only for me, but for all aspiring scientists. There have been several times during the past years where you have truly been my lifeline. I am so grateful for everything you do and have done for me. Thank you for leading with example and inspiring me to push through tough times.

Thanks to all coauthors in the NICE study. **Marie V** and **Maria K**, although you have not officially been my supervisors, you have followed me throughout this whole journey. There have been so many fruitful discussions which have led my research forward and shaped me as a researcher. **Klara**, my NICE ally. Your unconditional support and belief in my abilities have been priceless. Thank you for all the nice times. **Agnes W**, the feedback you have provided on all my papers throughout the years has really improved their quality and helped me develop as a researcher.

Former and current colleagues at Food and Nutrition Science, thank you for creating an environment to thrive in. A huge thanks to **Bo**, **Clemens**, and **Rikard L** for providing constructive feedback on this thesis. **Rikard L**, thank you for believing in my abilities, already before the start of my doctoral studies. **Olle**, when things have been rough you have always been there. Thank you for all these years. **Stef**, you are such a kind and warm person, and generosity personified. **Anton**, thank you for your undoubtable patience when data were to be made sense of and metabolites were to be identified. **Calle**, thank you for the talks concerning life and work, these moments have been truly cherished. **Izabela**, the memories we share are invaluable and something to cherish forever.

To my **family and friends**, thank you for always being there for me, unconditionally. **Nellie**, thank you for always reminding me that regardless of what deadline comes next, there are even more urgent things in the present (such as taking you out to the ocean for a picnic, or simply keeping a constant candy flow to you). Not a day goes by without missing you. **Isak**, the love of my life. Thank you for your patience and unconditional love. You are my past, my present and my future.

## **10. REFERENCES**

1. Dierick BJH, van der Molen T, Flokstra-de Blok BMJ, Muraro A, Postma MJ, Kocks JWH, van Boven JFM. Burden and socioeconomics of asthma, allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis and food allergy. Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics & Outcomes Research. 2020;20(5):437-53.

2. Strachan DP. Hay fever, hygiene, and household size. Bmj. 1989;299(6710):1259-60.

3. Riedler J, Braun-Fahrländer C, Eder W, Schreuer M, Waser M, Maisch S, Carr D, Schierl R, Nowak D, Von Mutius E. Exposure to farming in early life and development of asthma and allergy: a cross-sectional survey. The Lancet. 2001;358(9288):1129-33.

4. Yokanovich LT, Newberry RD, Knoop KA. Regulation of oral antigen delivery early in life: Implications for oral tolerance and food allergy. Clin Exp Allergy. 2021;51(4):518-26.

5. Abrahamsson TR, Wu RY, Jenmalm MC. Gut microbiota and allergy: the importance of the pregnancy period. Pediatr Res. 2015;77(1-2):214-9.

6. Black PN, Sharpe S. Dietary fat and asthma: is there a connection? Eur Respir J. 1997;10(1):6-12.

7. Chang CC, Phinney SD, Halpern GM, Gershwin ME. Asthma mortality: another opinion--is it a matter of life and ... bread? J Asthma. 1993;30(2):93-103.

8. Lack G. Epidemiologic risks for food allergy. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2008;121(6):1331-6.

9. Garcia-Larsen V, Ierodiakonou D, Jarrold K, Cunha S, Chivinge J, Robinson Z, Geoghegan N, Ruparelia A, Devani P, Trivella M, et al. Diet during pregnancy and infancy and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2018;15(2):e1002507.

10. Netting MJ, Middleton PF, Makrides M. Does maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation affect outcomes in offspring? A systematic review of food-based approaches. Nutrition. 2014;30(11):1225-41.

11. Venter C, Agostoni C, Arshad SH, Ben-Abdallah M, Du Toit G, Fleischer DM, Greenhawt M, Glueck DH, Groetch M, Lunjani N, et al. Dietary factors during pregnancy and atopic outcomes in childhood: A systematic review from the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2020;31(8):889-912.

12. Willett W. Nutritional Epidemiology: Oxford University Press; 2012. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199754038.001.0001.

13. World Allergy Organization (WAO). WAO White Book on Allergy. Update 2013 ed. Ruby Pawankar STH, Giorgio W. Canonica, Richard F. Lockey, Michael S. Blaiss, editor. United States of America2013.

14. Hill DA, Spergel JM. The atopic march: Critical evidence and clinical relevance. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2018;120(2):131-7.

15. Turnbull JL, Adams HN, Gorard DA. Review article: the diagnosis and management of food allergy and food intolerances. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2015;41(1):3-25.

16. Steinke JW, Platts-Mills TA, Commins SP. The alpha-gal story: lessons learned from connecting the dots. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015;135(3):589-96; quiz 97.

17. Platts-Mills TA. The allergy epidemics: 1870-2010. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015;136(1):3-13.

18. Wang M, Karlsson C, Olsson C, Adlerberth I, Wold AE, Strachan DP, Martricardi PM, Åberg N, Perkin MR, Tripodi S, et al. Reduced diversity in the early fecal microbiota of infants with atopic eczema. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2008;121(1):129-34.

19. Sabounchi S, Bollyky J, Nadeau K. Review of Environmental Impact on the Epigenetic Regulation of Atopic Diseases. Current Allergy and Asthma Reports. 2015;15(6):33.

20. Venter C, O'Mahony L. Immunonutrition: The importance of a new European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology working group addressing a significant burden and unmet need. Allergy. 2021;76(7):2303-5.

21. Biagi C, Nunzio MD, Bordoni A, Gori D, Lanari M. Effect of Adherence to Mediterranean Diet during Pregnancy on Children's Health: A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2019;11(5).

22. Donovan S, Dewey K, Novotny R, Stang J, Taveras E, Kleinman R, Raghavan R, Nevins J, Scinto-Madonich S, Butera G, et al. USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Reviews. Maternal Diet during Pregnancy and Lactation and Risk of Child Food Allergies and Atopic Allergic Diseases: A Systematic Review. Alexandria (VA): USDA Nutrition Evidence Systematic Review; 2020.

23. Gupta A, Singh A, Fernando RL, Dharmage SC, Lodge CJ, Waidyatillake NT. The association between sugar intake during pregnancy and allergies in offspring: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Nutr Rev. 2022;80(4):904-18.

24. Tuokkola J, Luukkainen P, Tapanainen H, Kaila M, Vaarala O, Kenward MG, Virta LJ, Veijola R, Simell O, Ilonen J, et al. Maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation and cow's milk allergy in offspring. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2016;70(5):554-9.

25. Gao X, Yan Y, Zeng G, Sha T, Liu S, He Q, Chen C, Li L, Xiang S, Li H, et al. Influence of prenatal and earlylife exposures on food allergy and eczema in infancy: a birth cohort study. BMC Pediatr. 2019;19(1):239.

26. Tan T, Xiao D, Li Q, Zhong C, Hu W, Guo J, Chen X, Zhang H, Lin L, Yang S, et al. Maternal yogurt consumption during pregnancy and infantile eczema: a prospective cohort study. Food Funct. 2023;14(4):1929-36.

27. Beckhaus AA, Garcia-Marcos L, Forno E, Pacheco-Gonzalez RM, Celedón JC, Castro-Rodriguez JA. Maternal nutrition during pregnancy and risk of asthma, wheeze, and atopic diseases during childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Allergy. 2015;70(12):1588-604.

28. Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Tanaka K, Hirota Y. Consumption of vegetables, fruit, and antioxidants during pregnancy and wheeze and eczema in infants. Allergy. 2010;65(6):758-65.

29. Øien T, Storrø O, Johnsen R. Do early intake of fish and fish oil protect against eczema and doctor-diagnosed asthma at 2 years of age? A cohort study. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health (1979-). 2010;64(2):124-9.

30. Brustad N, Olarini A, Kim M, Chen L, Ali M, Wang T, Cohen AS, Ernst M, Hougaard D, Schoos AM, et al. Dietassociated vertically transferred metabolites and risk of asthma, allergy, eczema, and infections in early childhood. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2023;34(2):e13917.

31. Milewska-Wróbel D, Lis-Święty A. Does antioxidant-rich diet during pregnancy protect against atopic multimorbidity in children? Explore (NY). 2022;18(1):96-9.

32. Zeng J, Wu W, Tang N, Chen Y, Jing J, Cai L. Maternal Dietary Protein Patterns During Pregnancy and the Risk of Infant Eczema: A Cohort Study. Front Nutr. 2021;8:608972.

33. Carlsson JA, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Östman SM. The Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Arachidonic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid Induce Mouse Dendritic Cells Maturation but Reduce T-Cell Responses In Vitro. PLOS ONE. 2015;10(11):e0143741.

34. Soni NK, Ross AB, Scheers N, Savolainen OI, Nookaew I, Gabrielsson BG, Sandberg AS. Splenic Immune Response Is Down-Regulated in C57BL/6J Mice Fed Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid Enriched High Fat Diet. Nutrients. 2017;9(1).

35. Malmir H, Larijani B, Esmaillzadeh A. Fish consumption during pregnancy and risk of allergic diseases in the offspring: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2022;62(27):7449-59.

36. Stratakis N, Roumeliotaki T, Oken E, Ballester F, Barros H, Basterrechea M, Cordier S, de Groot R, den Dekker HT, Duijts L, et al. Fish and seafood consumption during pregnancy and the risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis in childhood: a pooled analysis of 18 European and US birth cohorts. Int J Epidemiol. 2017;46(5):1465-77.

37. Zhang G-Q, Liu B, Li J, Luo C-Q, Zhang Q, Chen J-L, Sinha A, Li Z-Y. Fish intake during pregnancy or infancy and allergic outcomes in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatric Allergy and Immunology. 2017;28(2):152-61.

38. Jonsson K, Barman M, Moberg S, Sjöberg A, Brekke HK, Hesselmar B, Sandberg A-S, Wold AE. Serum fatty acids in infants, reflecting family fish consumption, were inversely associated with allergy development but not related to farm residence. Acta Paediatrica. 2016;105(12):1462-71.

39. Kuśmierek M, Sardecka I, Łoś-Rycharska E, Krogulska A. The impact of immunomodulatory factors from maternal diet during pregnancy on cow's milk allergy in offspring - A pilot study in the paediatric population of the Kuyavian-Pomeranian Voivodship. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2019;47(6):570-8.

40. Woods RK, Thien F. Polyunsaturated fats and asthma. Thorax. 2002;57(1):94.

41. Jonsson K, Barman M, Moberg S, Sjöberg A, Brekke HK, Hesselmar B, Johansen S, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S. Fat intake and breast milk fatty acid composition in farming and nonfarming women and allergy development in the offspring. Pediatric Research. 2016;79(1):114-23.

42. Gibson RS. Principles of nutritional assessment. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005.

43. Gao Q, Praticò G, Scalbert A, Vergères G, Kolehmainen M, Manach C, Brennan L, Afman LA, Wishart DS, Andres-Lacueva C, et al. A scheme for a flexible classification of dietary and health biomarkers. Genes Nutr. 2017;12:34.

44. Rafiq T, Azab SM, Teo KK, Thabane L, Anand SS, Morrison KM, de Souza RJ, Britz-McKibbin P. Nutritional Metabolomics and the Classification of Dietary Biomarker Candidates: A Critical Review. Adv Nutr. 2021;12(6):2333-57.

45. Swedish Food Agency. Swedish Market Basket Survey 2015 - per capita-based analysis of nutrients and toxic compounds in market baskets and assessment of benefit or risk. 2017.

46. Dragsted LO, Gao Q, Scalbert A, Vergères G, Kolehmainen M, Manach C, Brennan L, Afman LA, Wishart DS, Andres Lacueva C, et al. Validation of biomarkers of food intake-critical assessment of candidate biomarkers. Genes Nutr. 2018;13:14.

47. Münger LH, Garcia-Aloy M, Vázquez-Fresno R, Gille D, Rosana ARR, Passerini A, Soria-Florido M-T, Pimentel G, Sajed T, Wishart DS, et al. Biomarker of food intake for assessing the consumption of dairy and egg products. Genes & Nutrition. 2018;13(1):26.

48. Pranger IG, Joustra ML, Corpeleijn E, Muskiet FAJ, Kema IP, Oude Elferink SJWH, Singh-Povel C, Bakker SJL. Fatty acids as biomarkers of total dairy and dairy fat intakes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrition Reviews. 2019;77(1):46-63.

49. Trieu K, Bhat S, Dai Z, Leander K, Gigante B, Qian F, Korat AVA, Sun Q, Pan X-F, Laguzzi F, et al. Biomarkers of dairy fat intake, incident cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality: A cohort study, systematic review, and meta-analysis. PLOS Medicine. 2021;18(9):e1003763.

50. Imamura F, Fretts A, Marklund M, Ardisson Korat AV, Yang WS, Lankinen M, Qureshi W, Helmer C, Chen TA, Wong K, et al. Fatty acid biomarkers of dairy fat consumption and incidence of type 2 diabetes: A pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies. PLoS Med. 2018;15(10):e1002670.

51. Weitkunat K, Schumann S, Nickel D, Hornemann S, Petzke KJ, Schulze MB, Pfeiffer AFH, Klaus S. Odd-chain fatty acids as a biomarker for dietary fiber intake: a novel pathway for endogenous production from propionate. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2017;105(6):1544-51.

52. Sun Q, Ma J, Campos H, Hu FB. Plasma and erythrocyte biomarkers of dairy fat intake and risk of ischemic heart disease2. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2007;86(4):929-37.

53. Özogul Y, Özogul Fh, Çi çek E, Polat A, Kuley E. Fat content and fatty acid compositions of 34 marine water fish species from the Mediterranean Sea. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition. 2009;60(6):464-75.

54. Cuparencu C, Praticó G, Hemeryck LY, Sri Harsha PSC, Noerman S, Rombouts C, Xi M, Vanhaecke L, Hanhineva K, Brennan L, et al. Biomarkers of meat and seafood intake: an extensive literature review. Genes & Nutrition. 2019;14(1):35.

55. Hanhineva K, Lankinen MA, Pedret A, Schwab U, Kolehmainen M, Paananen J, de Mello V, Sola R, Lehtonen M, Poutanen K, et al. Nontargeted Metabolite Profiling Discriminates Diet-Specific Biomarkers for Consumption of Whole Grains, Fatty Fish, and Bilberries in a Randomized Controlled Trial1, 2, 3. The Journal of Nutrition. 2015;145(1):7-17.

56. Cho CE, Taesuwan S, Malysheva OV, Bender E, Tulchinsky NF, Yan J, Sutter JL, Caudill MA. Trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) response to animal source foods varies among healthy young men and is influenced by their gut microbiota composition: A randomized controlled trial. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2017;61(1).

57. Bosaeus M, Hussain A, Karlsson T, Andersson L, Hulthén L, Svelander C, Sandberg A-S, Larsson I, Ellegård L, Holmäng A. A randomized longitudinal dietary intervention study during pregnancy: effects on fish intake, phospholipids, and body composition. Nutrition Journal. 2015;14(1):1.

58. Haggarty P, Ashton J, Joynson M, Abramovich DR, Page K. Effect of maternal polyunsaturated fatty acid concentration on transport by the human placenta. Biol Neonate. 1999;75(6):350-9.

59. Burdge GC, Calder PC. Conversion of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid to longer-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in human adults. Reprod Nutr Dev. 2005;45(5):581-97.

60. Burdge GC, Wootton SA. Conversion of  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid to eicosapentaenoic, docosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids in young women. British Journal of Nutrition. 2002;88(4):411-20.

61. Picciano MF. Pregnancy and Lactation: Physiological Adjustments, Nutritional Requirements and the Role of Dietary Supplements. The Journal of Nutrition. 2003;133(6):1997S-2002S.

62. Medicine Io, Council NR. Weight Gain During Pregnancy: Reexamining the Guidelines. Rasmussen KM, Yaktine AL, editors. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2009. 868 p.

63. Nordic Council of Ministers. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations 2012. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers; 2014.

64. Bothwell TH. Iron requirements in pregnancy and strategies to meet them. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2000;72(1):2578-648.

65. Vanderpas JB, Contempré B, Duale NL, Goossens W, Bebe N, Thorpe R, Ntambue K, Dumont J, Thilly CH, Diplock AT. Iodine and selenium deficiency associated with cretinism in northern Zaire. Am J Clin Nutr. 1990;52(6):1087-93.

66. King JC. Physiology of pregnancy and nutrient metabolism. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2000;71(5):1218S-25S.

67. Fowden AL, Ward JW, Wooding F, Forhead AJ, Constancia M. Programming placental nutrient transport capacity. The Journal of physiology. 2006;572(1):5-15.

68. Brett KE, Ferraro ZM, Yockell-Lelievre J, Gruslin A, Adamo KB. Maternal–Fetal Nutrient Transport in Pregnancy Pathologies: The Role of the Placenta. International Journal of Molecular Sciences [Internet]. 2014; 15(9):[16153-85 pp.].

69. Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2013;60(1):49-74.

70. Ögge LE, Murray F, Modzelewska D, Lundqvist R, Nilsson S, Carré H, Kippler M, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Sandin A, et al. Maternal characteristics and pregnancy outcomes in the NICE birth cohort: an assessment of self-selection bias. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2022;35(25):9014-22.

71. Christensen SE, Möller E, Bonn SE, Ploner A, Bälter O, Lissner L, Bälter K. Relative Validity of Micronutrient and Fiber Intake Assessed With Two New Interactive Meal- and Web-Based Food Frequency Questionnaires. J Med Internet Res. 2014;16(2):e59.

72. Christensen SE, Möller E, Bonn SE, Ploner A, Wright A, Sjölander A, Bälter O, Lissner L, Bälter K. Two New Meal- and Web-Based Interactive Food Frequency Questionnaires: Validation of Energy and Macronutrient Intake. J Med Internet Res. 2013;15(6):e109.

73. The Swedish Food Composition Database [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://soknaringsinnehall.livsmedelsverket.se/.

74. Stråvik M, Jonsson K, Hartvigsson O, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg A-S, Barman M. Food and nutrient intake during pregnancy in relation to maternal characteristics: Results from the NICE Birth Cohort in Northern Sweden. Nutrients. 2019;11(7):1680.

75. Black AE. Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. International Journal of Obesity. 2000;24(9):1119-30.

76. Barman M, Murray F, Bernardi AI, Broberg K, Bölte S, Hesselmar B, Jacobsson B, Jonsson K, Kippler M, Rabe H, et al. Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment (NICE): a prospective birth cohort in northern Sweden. BMJ Open. 2018;8(10):e022013.

77. Williams H, Jburney P, Hay R, Archer C, Shipley M, Ahunter J, Bingham E, Finlay A, Pembroke A, Cgraham-Brown R. The UK Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis. I. Derivation of a minimum set of discriminators for atopic dermatitis. British journal of dermatology. 1994;131(3):383-96.

78. Williams H, Jburney P, Pembroke A, Hay R, Party ADDCW. The UK Working Party's diagnostic criteria for atopic dermatitis. III. Independent hospital validation. British journal of dermatology. 1994;131(3):406-16.

79. Williams H, Jburney P, Strachan D, Hay R, Party ADDCW. The UK Working Party's Diagnostic Criteria for Atopic Dermatitis II. Observer variation of clinical diagnosis and signs of atopic dermatitis. British journal of dermatology. 1994;131(3):397-405.

80. Dührkop K, Fleischauer M, Ludwig M, Aksenov AA, Melnik AV, Meusel M, Dorrestein PC, Rousu J, Böcker S. SIRIUS 4: a rapid tool for turning tandem mass spectra into metabolite structure information. Nature Methods. 2019;16(4):299-302.

81. Winkvist A, Hultén B, Kim J-L, Johansson I, Torén K, Brisman J, Bertéus Forslund H. Dietary intake, leisure time activities and obesity among adolescents in Western Sweden: a cross-sectional study. Nutrition Journal. 2016;15(1):41.

82. Järvinen KM, Westfall JE, Seppo MS, James AK, Tsuang AJ, Feustel PJ, Sampson HA, Berin C. Role of maternal elimination diets and human milk IgA in the development of cow's milk allergy in the infants. Clinical & Experimental Allergy. 2014;44(1):69-78.

83. Carucci L, Coppola S, Luzzetti A, Voto L, Giglio V, Paparo L, Nocerino R, Berni Canani R. Immunonutrition for Pediatric Patients With Cow's Milk Allergy: How Early Interventions Could Impact Long-Term Outcomes. Frontiers in Allergy. 2021;2.

84. Pretorius RA, Bodinier M, Prescott SL, Palmer DJ. Maternal Fiber Dietary Intakes during Pregnancy and Infant Allergic Disease. Nutrients. 2019;11(8).

85. Lumia M, Luukkainen P, Tapanainen H, Kaila M, Erkkola M, Uusitalo L, Niinistö S, Kenward MG, Ilonen J, Simell O, et al. Dietary fatty acid composition during pregnancy and the risk of asthma in the offspring. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2011;22(8):827-35.

86. Lamminsalo A, Metsälä J, Takkinen HM, Tapanainen H, Åkerlund M, Niinistö S, Toppari J, Ilonen J, Veijola R, Knip M, et al. Maternal energy-adjusted fatty acid intake during pregnancy and the development of cows' milk allergy in the offspring. Br J Nutr. 2022;128(8):1607-14.

87. JPI HDHL. Food phytochemicals matter for cardiometabolic health (FOODPHYT) 2020 [Available from: https://www.healthydietforhealthylife.eu/index.php/joint-activities-2/report/205.

88. Hellstrand S, Ottosson F, Smith E, Brunkwall L, Ramne S, Sonestedt E, Nilsson PM, Melander O, Orho-Melander M, Ericson U. Dietary Data in the Malmö Offspring Study–Reproducibility, Method Comparison and Validation against Objective Biomarkers. Nutrients [Internet]. 2021; 13(5).

89. Brantsaeter AL, Haugen M, Thomassen Y, Ellingsen DG, Ydersbond TA, Hagve TA, Alexander J, Meltzer HM. Exploration of biomarkers for total fish intake in pregnant Norwegian women. Public Health Nutr. 2010;13(1):54-62.

90. Vlaeminck B, Fievez V, Cabrita ARJ, Fonseca AJM, Dewhurst RJ. Factors affecting odd- and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: A review. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2006;131(3):389-417.

91. Lang R, Lang T, Bader M, Beusch A, Schlagbauer V, Hofmann T. High-Throughput Quantitation of Proline Betaine in Foods and Suitability as a Valid Biomarker for Citrus Consumption. J Agric Food Chem. 2017;65(8):1613-9.

92. Group LS. Maternal diet during pregnancy in relation to eczema and allergic sensitization in the offspring at 2 y of age. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2007;85(2):530-7.

93. Willers SM, Devereux G, Craig LCA, McNeill G, Wijga AH, El-Magd WA, Turner SW, Helms PJ, Seaton A. Maternal food consumption during pregnancy and asthma, respiratory and atopic symptoms in 5-year-old children. Thorax. 2007;62(9):773.

94. Fedak KM, Bernal A, Capshaw ZA, Gross S. Applying the Bradford Hill criteria in the 21st century: how data integration has changed causal inference in molecular epidemiology. Emerging Themes in Epidemiology. 2015;12(1):14.

## **11. APPENDIX**

In order to complement the knowledge summarized in previous reviews, a literature search regarding publications from the last five years was conducted in PubMed using the following search terms:

("Pregnancy" [Title/Abstract] OR "Lactation" [Title/Abstract]) AND ("Diet" [Title/Abstract] OR "Food" [Title/Abstract]) AND ("Allergy" [Title/Abstract] OR "Eczema" [Title/Abstract] OR "Asthma" [Title/Abstract]) AND ("Offspring" [Title/Abstract] OR "Infant" [Title/Abstract] OR "Child" [Title/Abstract]) AND (y\_5[Filter]).

The search was conducted in April 2023 and resulted in N=195 hits which were all investigated in terms of title and abstract. From the first round of screening, N=56 made it to the second round. Reasons for exclusion included subject (not concerning maternal diet during pregnancy or lactation in relation to offspring allergy, N=86), language (not available in English, N=3), animal studies (mice, N=4), and reference type (not original research, N=46: guideline, N=2; review, N=33; study protocol, N=6; meta-analysis, N=2; book chapter, N=2; and correction, N=1).

In the second round, the articles were read more thoroughly, and N=21 were thereafter excluded since they did not concern maternal diet during pregnancy or lactation (e.g., investigated supplementation) in relation to offspring food allergy, eczema, or asthma (e.g., investigated wheeze or immunological markers). The remaining publications (N=35) are summarized in **Table A1** with a focus on results concerning food allergy, eczema (atopic dermatitis and atopic eczema were handled interchangeably), and asthma during childhood (i.e., the publications might include results concerning adolescents or other allergy diagnoses which are not summarized in the table).

<u> </u> <b>Iable</b> <i>A</i>	A1. Overview of orig Diet & time	jinal research papers published the Dietary assessment	last five years re Allergy & age	egarding maternal food ir Outcome assessment	ttake and offspring food allergy, ec Findings	czema, or asthma. N Nallergy
ן] ז כָּנָ שִן.	Vitamin D Pregnancy	FFQ* Reflecting: Late pregnancy (after GW 31)	FA Ecz Asth	Parent-reported Questionnaire Filled in at 5 vears. reflectino	FA: No effect $\uparrow Ecz = \uparrow Vitamin D (diet)^*$ OR (05% CT) 1 14 (1 01-1 20)	606 FA: 111 (18%) Ecz: 133 (22%) Asth: 76 (13%) Cumulative incidence
(2022) [] (2022) [] 1051112201	Пара	Filled in: Late pregnancy (after GW 31) *Vitamin D Questionnaire (VDQ)	≤5 yrs	allergy at any time during these years	Per increase of µg/day Asth: No effect	189 (31%) had allergic heredity
пA		assessing make of faity fish, milk, yoghurt and/or sour milk, and margarine.			*Only for children without allergic heredity	
	Peanuts	FFQ	FA*	SPT, symptom history,	FA: No effect (alone)*	2759 46 (1.9%)
[7] (17	Pregnancy (Lactation)	Reflecting: Pregnancy (lactation*) Filled in: Mid-pregnancy	3 yrs	consumption* *Less than once per month	*Only if weekly consumption in combination with breastfeeding & early peanut introduction (<1 vr)	
Canada Canada Canada	כיוודים	*Used the reported intakes during pregnancy and assumed the intake was similar	*Probable IgE- mediated peanut allergy	-	Triple exposure hypothesis	
bezA		Excluded those with regular peanut $\&$ nuts intake but without peanut butter intake.				
ן וי	General diet	FFQ	Ecz Asth	Parent-reported diagnosis	Ecz: No effect Acth: No effect	1140 Asth: $10\%$ Ec7.43%
Baïz et a (2019) [3	Anna Sana Sana Sana Sana Sana Sana Sana	Reflecting: Late pregnancy (third trimester) Filled in: After delivery (first days)	≤3 yrs	La & 3 yrs		Cumulative incidence
   [·	General diet	FFQ	Ecz	Doctor's diagnosis	$\uparrow Ecz = \uparrow n-6 PUFAs^*$	206 14 (7%)
2 u (2021) [4	Fregnancy	Reflecting: GW 30-34 Filled in: GW 34	≤1 yr		*Proportions in cord blood OR (95% CI): 1.67 (1.11-2.51) Increase of one IQR	>50% (i.e., eight infants) also had other
Barman et al. ( Swede	ADIN	Fatty acid proportions measured in plasma phospholipids at birth			↓ n-6 PUFAs = ↑ Fatty fish ↑ Total seafood	alterges

	time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	N N allergy
Diet-rel	lated	FFQ	Ecz A eth*	Doctor's diagnosis	$\frac{3 \text{ years}}{1 \text{ E}_{ord} - 1 \text{ Control minos}}$	653 Unclear
[5] Pregnar	ncy	Reflecting: GW 20-24 Filled in: GW 24	siner	Respiratory symptoms were mosmectively recorded (daily	¢ ECZ = 1 Statutyume OR (95% CI): 0.74 (0.58-0.95)	
_ <sup></sup> يلا (2023)		Metabolites measured in dried blood	-0 110 *Wheere at 3 urs	diary)	$\uparrow$ Stachydrine = $\uparrow$ Fruit	
emnə( PASA( Mana)		spot (plasma) collected from infant heel 2-3 days after delivery			<u>6 years</u> Fcz: No effect	
CC D Stad o		, ,			$\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ Paraxanthine <sup>1*</sup>	
плЯ					<sup>1</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.69 (0.50-0.95) <sup>2</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.67 (0.48-0.94)	
					*Coffee-related metabolites	
General	al diet	FFQ	FA	Doctor's diagnosis	<u>1 year</u>	557 <u>1 year</u>
Pregnav	ncy		Ecz		FA: No effect	FA: 59 (17%)
		Reflecting: Average consumption	$Asth^*$	Questionnaire (ISAAC),	Ecz: No effect	Ecz: 76 (22%)
[9		Filled in: GW 20-24		medical records, SPT &		
)] (			1, 2 & 7-9 yrs	spirometry	<u>2 years</u>	<u>2 years</u>
77		Intake evaluated by subtracting Western			FA: No effect	FA: 37 (17%)
7 (50		Dietary Pattern (WDP) score from	*Wheeze at 1 & 2		$\uparrow Ecz = \bigvee Vitamin E (diet)$	Ecz: 39 (18%)
ם קין: ו		Prudent Dietary Pattern (PDP) score	VrS		OR (95% CI): 2.74 (1.08-7.73)	~
s te OS					Meeting EAR as reference	7-9 vears
442 Pd 9 d 9 d		Micronutrients were compared to EAR			0	FA: 66 (20%)
7 <i>X</i> Isw		4			7-9 years	Ecz: 67(20%)
.070					FA: No effect	Asth: 52 (15%)
oz.					$\downarrow \text{Ecz} = \uparrow \text{Prudent diet}^*$	
в					*Calculated as PDP-WDP	
					OR (95% CI): 0.66 (0.47-0.93)	
					Asth: No effect	

X	D
3	2

et al. (2020) [7] Ireland Cross-Generation	Diet & time Inflammatory diet <i>Pregnancy</i>	Dietary assessment FFQ Reflecting: Habitual intake Filled in: First trimester Filled in: First trimester Dietary Inflammatory Index (E-DII) and Healthy Eating Index (HEI)	Allergy & age Asth 3, 5, & 9 yrs	Outcome assessment Parent-reported and doctor's diagnosis* *Reported by general practitioners at 3 and 9 yrs, and by parents at 5 and 9 yrs	Findings $\frac{3 \text{ years}}{7 \text{ Asth}} = \uparrow \text{E-DIT}^{1}$ $\downarrow \text{ Asth} = \uparrow \text{HET}^{2}$ $\downarrow \text{ Asth} = \uparrow \text{HET}^{2}$ $\downarrow \text{ OR (95\% CI): 1.44 (1.06, 1.95)}$ $^{2}\text{OR (95\% CI): 0.70 (0.53, 0.93)}$ $\frac{5 \text{ years}}{7 \text{ Asth}} = \uparrow \text{E-DII}$ OR (95% CI): 1.42 (1.04, 1.94) $\frac{9 \text{ years}}{7 \text{ asth}} = \uparrow \text{H-DII}$	N N allergy 862 3 yrs: 10% 5 yrs: 13% 9 yrs: 23% Cumulative incidence
nən vowsti.l					OR (95% CI): 1.36 (1.03, 1.79) First 10 years $\uparrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ E-DII <sup>1</sup> $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ HEI <sup>2</sup> $\downarrow$ OR (95% CI): 1.35 (1.10, 1.65) <sup>2</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.77 (0.64, 0.93) <i>Per increment of I SD</i>	
Сһеп еt al. (2022) [8] Сһіпа ТМСНС	Low-carbohydrate diet <i>Pregnancy</i>	FFQ Reflecting: Last month Filled in: GW 35.6 $\pm$ 2.3 Low-carbohydrate diet (LCD) score based on E% from each macronutrient. $\uparrow$ LCD score = $\downarrow$ E% from carbohydrates <i>Women with a higher LCD score had higher education, age, BMI, and more</i> <i>often had gestational diabetes</i>	FA Ecz* Asth*] "IgE" ≤2 yrs ≤2 yrs *Merged with allergic rhinitis as IgE-mediated allergies	Parent-reported diagnosis Interviews over phone & questionnaire	The sector of t	1636 FA: 488 (30%) "IgE": 230 (14%) f

Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	<b>Outcome assessment</b>	Findings	N N allergy
Inflammatory diet Pregnancy	FFQ x2	Asth	Parent-reported ISAAC	Asth: No effect	1424 3 yrs: 11% 8 vrs: 21%
L21] bviV 129[01¶	Reflecting: First two trimesters* Filled in: GW 10 & GW 30 *Intake since last menstrual period (filled in GW10) & last three months (filled in GW30)	≤9 yrs* *Median: 3.3 & 7.7			Ever diagnosed
	Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII)				
General diet Pregnancy	FFQ Reflecting: Habitual intake (last year) Filled in: GW 26	FA 1 yr	Doctor's diagnosis	$\uparrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Confectionary diet *OR (95% CI): 1.52 (1.02-2.15) <i>Reference low pattern score (first and second vs third and fourth quartile)</i>	1628 147 (9%)
0000	5 dietary patterns: 1) Confectionary (e.g., bread, potato, sweets), 2) Traditional (e.g., vegetables, seaweed, fruits, kimchi), 3) Meat (e.g., fish, pork, chicken), 4) Processed (e.g., fast food, fat, chese), and 5) Coffee and milk			$\uparrow$ Confectionary diet = $\uparrow$ Trans fat	
General diet Pregnancy	Questionnaire	FA (CMA)	Doctor's diagnosis Oral food challenge	↓ FA = fish intake 2-3 times/week *OR (95%)=0.16 (0.05-0.61)	76 51
əmpu oN	Reflecting: Third trimester Filled in: Retrospectively* *Not specified at what time.	Unclear age* *Inclusion between 3-24 weeks of age and a criteria was CMA		Reference intake unclear 48% (control) vs 18% (CMA) with fish intake 2-3 times/week	Case-control (i.e., prevalence not applicable)
Fatty acids	FFQ	FA (CMA)	Registry for reimbursement	FA: No effect*	4921 448 (9%)
ddI(I	Reflecting: Gestational month 8 Filled in: After delivery* *Mailed after delivery and returned three months postpartum	3 yıs	(intant formula) & questionnaire <i>Diagnosis often with oral</i> <i>food challenge</i>	*Interaction of maternal heredity on intake of ALA and offspring CMA: <u>OR (95% CI):</u> No: 0.72 (0.56, 0.93) Yes: 1.05 (0.88, 1.26)	

	Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	Z	N allergy
Melero et al. (2020) <sup>1</sup> [16] Spain 2	Mediterranean diet* <i>Pregnancy</i> <i>*Intervention group</i> <i>encouraged to eat extru- virgin olive oil and</i> <i>pistachios</i> <i>Control group asked</i> <i>to restrict intake of fat</i> <i>(e.g., olive oil and</i> <i>pistachios</i> )	FFQ (for adherence) Mediterranean diet score (MEDAS) Reflecting: Intake from GW 12 Filled in: GW 12, 24 & 36 All were instructed to follow a general Mediterranean diet Intervention group met with dietitian weekly and were given extra virgin olive oil and pistachios to consume daily (40 mL and 25-30 g)	FA Ecz Asth 2 yrs	Medical records, prescriptions, and interview Small for gestational age more common in control group (6.3% vs 1.5%, p=0.002)	No effect	703	<u>All</u> FA: 50 (7%) Asth: 18 (3%) Ecz: 207 (29%) Ecz: 207 (29%) Asth: 7 (2%) Ecz: 101 (28%) Intervention FA: 21 (6%) Asth: 11 (3%)
Milewska-Wróbel et al. (2022)	Antioxidants & n-3 PUFA Pregnancy	Questionnaire Reflecting: Whole pregnancy Filled in: After delivery (unclear time) Intake of antioxidative and n-3 FA rich food Six categories: 1) fruits and vegetables, 2) chocolate confectionery, 3) whole grain breakfast cereals, 4) nuts and seeds, 5) coffee, tea, fruit juices, 6) fish and fish products	FA Ecz Asth ≤3 yrs	Doctor's diagnosis Medical records All were diagnosed with Ecz before enrollment	FA: No effect Ecz: No effect (debut, severity) $\uparrow$ Asth = $\downarrow$ Fruit and vegetables <sup>1</sup> $\downarrow$ Chocolate <sup>2</sup> $\downarrow$ Chocolate <sup>2</sup> $^{1}$ OR (95% CI): 0.05 (0.00, 0.92) $^{2}$ OR (95% CI): 0.10 (0.01, 0.95) <i>Reference unclear</i> <i>Large variation in intakes in the group</i> <i>without Asth</i>	100	For $100 (22.0)$ FA: $33 (33\%)$ Ecz: $100 (100\%)$ Asth: $9 (9\%)$ Ecz debut most common between $3-6$ months
Nakano et al. (2023) [18] Japan	Mediterranean diet Pregnancy	FFQ Reflecting: Mid-late pregnancy Filled in: Second-third trimester Three scoring systems for adherence to Mediterranean diet: MDS, rMED, and PMDS (dairy are scored positively and system adjusted for pregnancy)	FA Ecz Asth 4 yrs	Parent-reported Questionnaire Excluded children born with cesarean section	FA: No effect Ecz: No effect $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ PMDS* $\downarrow$ Asthe and 9MDS* <i>*Prevalence lower with low vs high score</i> (8% and 9%). No multivariate statistics (i.e., not adjusted for covariates)	532	Any: 11 293 (24%) FA: 2616 (6%) Ecz: 3354 (8%) Asth: 3815 (8%) 50% of the mothers had allergy

N allergy	Any: 25 028 (31%) FA: 13 801 (17%) Ecz: 18 406 (23%) Asth: 2027 (2.5%)	2546 (4%) FA: 91 (14%) Ecz: 215 (34%)
Findings	$f FA = \uparrow Total vegetables1 80 ↑ Green & yellow2 243, 58 & 102 vs 16 g/day 195, 161 & 264 vs 56 g/day 243, 58 & 102 vs 16 g/day ↑ Ecz = ↑ Total vegetables1 ↑ Folate-rich2 ↑ Green & yellow3 1161 & 264 vs 56 g/day 269 vs 9 g/day 358 & 102 vs 16 g/day 269 vs 9 g/day 20 vs 9 g/day 20 vs 9 g/day 358 & 102 vs 10 g/day ↓ Asth = ↑ Cruciferous1 121 & 75 vs 10 g/day All OR were close to one, indicating no (or very small) impact of maternal vegetable intake on any of the allergies$	↑ Asth = ↑ Vitamin A (2031 RAE*) 61 RR=1.21 (1.05, 1.40) 676 Reference was 779 RAE/day *>2.5 of R1 $+$ Vitamin D (13.5 µg) ↓ Asth = ↑ Vitamin D (13.5 µg) RR=0.81 (0.67, 0.97) RR=0.81 (0.67, 0.97) RR=0.81 (0.67, 0.97) RR=0.81 (0.67, 0.13.1 µg) Reference was ≤3.5 µg/day ↑ Ecz = ↑ Resistant starch OR (95% CT): 1.19 (1.01-1.41) Reference unclear but variable measured in g/day* *Median (IQR): 2.8 (2.1-3.5) g/day
Outcome assessment	Parent-reported diagnosis Questiornaire (ISAAC)	Prescription database Doctor's diagnosis, SPT, and parent-reported All had allergic heredity
Allergy & age	FA Ecz 1 yr	Asth 7 yrs FA Ecz ≤1 yr
Dietary assessment	FFQ Reflecting: Pregnancy (unclear time) Filled in: GW 22-28 Categorized vegetables into 3 groups 1) Folate-rich (100 µg/100 g): e.g., spinach, broccoli, spring onion, 2) Green and yellow (600 µg carotene/100g): e.g., carrot, pumpkin, tomato, 3) Cruciferous: "mustard family" (e.g., cabbage, radish) <i>Some vegetables included in all three</i> <i>groups (e.g., broccoli, Japanese mustard</i> <i>spinach)</i>	FFQ Reflecting: Pregnancy (from start) Filled in: GW 20 Sandwich meats (e.g., liver spread), margarine (fortified), and dairy products contributed the most to vitamin A Higher intakes with older age, higher education, primiparity, lower BMI, less smoking, and supplement use FFQ Reflecting: GW 32-36 Filled in: GW 36-40
Diet & time	Degrama et al. (2021) [19] Degramator Degramator Degramator Degramator Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor Degramator Samanor	Pretorius et al. Patr et al. (2018) [20] (2019) [21] Иогwау No name Pregnancy & Degnancy Pregnancy Pregnancy

Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	N N allergy
Shimizu et al. (2022) [22] Japan Preganncy Preganncy D	FFQ Reflecting: Mid-late pregnancy Filled in: Mid-late pregnancy Vitamin D intake (quintiles): 1.0, 2.6, 3.9, 5.5, and 10.6 μg/day	FA Ecz Asth 1 yr	Parent-reported diagnosis Questionnaire	f FA = f Vitamin D (3.91 & 5.5 µg2)OR (95% CI): 1.13 (1.02-1.25)OR (95% CI): 1.12 (1.01-1.24)Reference 1.0 µg/dayEcz: No effect↑ Asth = f Vitamin D (2.6 µg)OR (95% CI): 1.22 (1.04-1.43)Reference 1.0 µg/dayNo associations found for highest intake(10.6 µg/day)	82 FA: 5458 (7%) 592 Ecz: 3551 (4%) Asth: 2048 (2%)
Stråvik et al. (2020) [23] Sweden <i>NICE</i> Lactation Jiet	FFQ x3 Reflecting: Last month Filled in: GW 34, 1 month, 4 months	FA Ecz ≤1 yr	Doctor's diagnosis	Pregnancy $\uparrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Poultry $\downarrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Cheese Ecz: No effect $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ Game meatLactation, 1 month $\downarrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Cow's milk Ecz: No effect $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ Red meat $\uparrow$ Vegetarian dishesLactation. 4 months $\downarrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Cow's milk $\uparrow$ Dairy products $\uparrow$ Pizza $\uparrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ Game meat $\uparrow$ Procesed meat	508 FA: 39 (8%) Ecz: 33 (6%) Asth: 33 (6%)
Stråvik et al. (2021) [24] Sweden NICE Lactation NICE	FFQ x2 Reflecting: One month back Filled in: GW 34 and 4 months	FA Ecz Asth ≤1 yr	Doctor's diagnosis	Pregnancy No effect Lactation FA: No effect Ecz: No effect $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ Iodine (diet) OR (95% CI): 0.90 (0.82-0.97) For an increase of 10 µg/day	588 FA: 41 (7%) Ecz: 35 (6%) Asth: 34 (6%)

Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	N N allergy
Yoghurt Durrent	FFQ	Ecz	Parent-reported diagnosis	0-3 months Eren No. Affront	3 m: 3 m: 182 (8%)
C Leguauc)	Reflecting: Last month Filled in: Late pregnancy*	3 & 6 m		ECZ: NO ELLECI 0-3 and 3-6 months*	23/1 0.111. 04 (4 %) 6 m: Point prevalence 2114
ИСНО Сріва Г. (202	*After GW 28 (average GW 35)			$\downarrow$ Ecz = $\uparrow$ Y oghurt (>50 g) RR /95% CI): 0.36 (0.15-0.85)	≤6 m: 221 (10%) 3 and 6 m: 29 (1%)
n et a D I	Consumption group ("any" yoghurt) had vaginal birth more often (60% vs 54%)	_		Reference was no intake	
ъТ				*Recurrent eczema diagnosed at both time points	
Caffeine	Diet history questionnaire	FA	Parent-reported diagnosis or acute reaction	$\uparrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Caffeine (232 mg)* HP (05%, CT)- 1.46 (1.10-1.96)	1522 ≤3 yrs: 19%
[97] (1	Reflecting: Previous month Filled in: GW 5-39	≤3 yrs	Questionnaires	Reference 105 mg/day	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ yr: } 107^1 \left( 7.0\% \right) \\ 2 \text{ yrs: } 131^1 \left( 8.6\% \right) \end{array}$
WCH2 эрэп аГ. (2021	Assessed intake of tea, coffee, soft drink, hot chocolate, and confectionaries			*Highest intake (410 mg/day) was not associated with FA	3 yrs: 105 <sup>1</sup> (6.9%) <sup>1</sup> Only % presented (i.e., estimated from
<i>КО</i> 1 1995 с1	Contribution to caffeine: 79% tea, 13% coffee, 4% confectionaries, and 4% soft				total sample size)
T	UTIIKS				
Antioxidants	FFQ	FA (CMA)	Medical records	$\uparrow FA = \uparrow \beta\text{-carotene} (\text{diet})$	4403 409 (9.3%)
1 reguarcy	Reflecting: Gestational month 8 Eiled in: A fior delivery	≤3 yrs	All infants had genetic risk	OK (23 % CJ): 1.10 (1.01, 1.13) Per increment of I SD	
<i>d d</i> pue ۱۲ (۲۵۵۲) [۲۷]			infants in Finland)	Sub analysis* $\downarrow$ FA = $\uparrow$ Selenium (diet) OR (95% CI): 0.85 (0.74, 0.98) <i>Per increment of I SD</i>	
okkola et e Finl J.D.				$\uparrow FA = \uparrow Zinc (total)^{1}$ $\uparrow \beta - carotene (total)^{2}$ $\downarrow OR (95\% CI): 1.13 (1.00-1.27)$	
nL				<sup>2</sup> OR (95% CI): 1.12 (1.00-1.26) <i>Per increment of 1 SD</i>	
				* Included parental heredity and pets as additional covariates (N=2327)	

N N allergy	962 ≤1 yr FA: 9 (1%) Ecz: 118 (12%) Asth: 48 (5%)	1228 Unclear* *Same cohort has presented below	1253 Any: 33% FA: 40 (3%) Ecz: 327 (26%) Asth: 182 (15%) Cumulative incidence
Findings	No effect	Asth: No effect	FA: No effect $\downarrow$ Ecz = $\uparrow$ FPO score OR (95% CI): 0.77 (0.69-0.86) <i>Per I unit (score)</i> $\downarrow$ Asth = $\uparrow$ FPQ score OR (95% CI): 0.84 (0.74-0.96) <i>Per I unit (score)</i> Vegetables and yoghurt lowered the odds, while the other five groups increased the odds
<b>Outcome assessment</b>	Medical records	Medical records	Medical records
Allergy & age	FA Ecz Asth ≤8 yrs	Asth* ≤4 yrs *and/or wheeze	FA Ecz Asth ≤4 yrs
Dietary assessment	24h recalls Reflecting: Last 24h Filled in: Monthly <sup>*</sup> *From second trimester	24h recalls Reflecting: Last 24h Filled in: Monthly* * <i>From second trimester</i> DII scores intakes of inflammatory food (e.g., trans fat) but also anti- inflammatory food which get negative scores (e.g., n-3 PUFAs) Did not include β-carotene as anti- inflammatory	FPQ x2* Reflecting: Last three months Filled in: GW 17 & GW 27 *Developed a new index (0-100) to measure maternal diet in relation to offspring allergy, with higher scores indicating better allergy prevention Scored intake of vegetables, yoghurt, fried potato, rice and grains, red meat, fruit juice (pure), and cereals (cold) since these were associated with any allergy Score ≥ Median = Preventive
Diet & time	Venter et al. (2021) Healthy Start study Hegnancy	Venter et al. (2021) [29] Healthy Start study Healthy Start study	Venter et al. (2022) [30] Healthy Start study Pregnancy

	Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	N N allergy	
Venter et al. (2022) [31] US Manihy Start study	Allergy preventive diet <i>Pregnancy</i>	FPQ* Reflecting: Last three months Filled in: Mid-pregnancy & delivery *See detailed information above.	Ecz Asth ≤8 yrs	Medical records <u>Three groups:</u> 1) children with FLG* mutation 2) children without FLG mutation + no maternal allergy preventive diet 3) children without FLG mutation + maternal allergy preventive diet *Filaggrin loss-of-function mutations	↑ Ecz = Group 2 HR (95% CI): 1.85 (1.23-2.78) Reference group 3 ↑ Asth = Group 2 HR (95% CI): 1.80 (1.07-3.02) Reference group 3 Reference group 3 FLG mutation did not modify the effect of maternal diet on allergy. The risk of allergy was similar between FLG mutation (group 1) and not eating a preventive diet (group 2).	624 Unclear* *Figures with rate: FLG mutation (i.e not allergy prevalence): 49 (8	
Venter et al. (2023) [32] US	General diet Pregnancy	FPQ Reflecting: Last three months Filled in: Mid-pregnancy & delivery Maternal diet index (MDI), Healthy Eating Index (HEI), and diet diversity (total, healthy, and unhealthy)	FA Ecz Asth ≤4 yrs	Medical records	FA: No effect $\downarrow$ Any = $\uparrow$ MDI <sup>1</sup> $\downarrow$ HEI <sup>2</sup> $\uparrow$ Healthy diet diversity <sup>3</sup> $\uparrow$ Healthy diet diversity <sup>3</sup> $\downarrow$ OR (95% CI): 0.98 (0.97-0.99) $^{3}$ OR (95% CI): 0.91 (0.85-0.98) Similar results for Ecz and Asth	1218 Any: 397 (33%) FA: 40 (3%) Ecz: 314 (26%) Asth: 174 (14%)	
Zeng et al. (2021) [33] China Mon M	Protein Pregnancy	FFQ Reflecting: GW 16-24 Filled in: GW 20-28 Assessed scafood, red meat, poultry, egg, vegetables, fruit, dairy, nuts, cereals, soybean <u>Four patterns</u> : 1) poultry, 2) plant, 3) dairy and egg, and 4) red meat and fish	Ecz ≤6 m	Ouestionnaire Interview over phone	↑ Ecz = ↑ Poultry ↓ Ecz = Plant pattern <sup>1</sup> ↓ Dairy and egg pattern <sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.57 (0.33-0.99) <sup>2</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.48 (0.27-0.84) <i>Reference Poultry pattern</i>	713 365 (51%)	

Diet & time	Dietary assessment	Allergy & age	Outcome assessment	Findings	N N allergy	
General diet Tegnancy	FFQ	Ecz	Parent-reported diagnosis	$\downarrow$ Ecz = $\uparrow$ Plant-based pattern <sup>1</sup> $\uparrow$ Western pattern <sup>2</sup>	2160 613 (28%)	
е] (0202) . вы ээтий]!А т	Reflecting: Pregnancy* Filled in: GW 24-28 *Time not specified (several cohorts)	1 yr		<sup>1</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.65 (0.55, 0.76) <sup>2</sup> OR (95% CI): 0.73 (0.60-0.89) <i>Per unit increase in PCA score</i>		
ls 19 AsinyluZ ensƏ 9 <i>ƏirtuvV əAT</i>	<u>Three patterns</u> : 1) Plant-based: fermented dairy, legumes, vegetables, whole grains (avoided meat), 2) Western meat, sweets, fat, pasta, and 3) Balanced. Meat (seafood, poultry, meat dishes), vegetables, fruit					
Fish Dranguov	FFQ x3	Ecz A eth	Parent-reported	No effect	4264 Unclear	
Øien et al. (2015 [35] PACT Lactation 2012 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Intervention encouraged increased intake of oily fish (2 times/week) and coc liver oil (5 mL/day), reduce smoking and indoor dampness	l 6 yrs	(ACCCI) annunousan			
All presented estimates a	re for confounder-adjusted results.	Differences in	presentation are due to	differences in method descri-	otions in the original	articles.

<sup>1</sup> Based on data from intervention trial (if not indicated with number, data was purely observational).

Abbreviations: Asth, asthma; CMA, cow's milk protein allergy; EAR, estimated average requirement; Ecz, eczema; FA, food allergy; FFQ, food frequency questionnaire; FPQ, Food propensity questionnaire; GW, gestational week; HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; RAE, retinol activity equivalents; RR, relative risk/risk ratio; yrs, years.

Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study; NICE, Nutritional impact on Immunological maturation during Childhood in relation to the Environment study; PACT, Prevention of Allergy among Children Studies: CHILD, Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development; COCOA, COhort for Childhood Origin of Asthma and allergic diseases; DIPP, Finnish Type 1 Diabetes Prediction and Prevention study; ISAAC, International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood; JECS, Japan Environment and Children's Study; KOMCHS, Kyushu Okinawa Maternal and Child Health Study; MoBa, in Trondheim; PRISM, PRogramming of Intergenerational Stress Mechanisms; REPRO\_PL, Polish Mother and Child Cohort; TMCHC, Tongji Maternal and Child Health Cohort;

#### **References to Appendix Table A1**

1. Amberntsson A, Carlson Kjellberg E, van Odijk J, Mikkelsen A, Bärebring L, Augustin H. Atopic heredity modifies the association between maternal vitamin D status in pregnancy and the risk of atopic disease in childhood: an observational study. Nutr J. 2022;21(1):32.

2. Azad MB, Dharma C, Simons E, Tran M, Reyna ME, Dai R, Becker AB, Marshall J, Mandhane PJ, Turvey SE, et al. Reduced peanut sensitization with maternal peanut consumption and early peanut introduction while breastfeeding. J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2021;12(5):811-8.

3. Baïz N, Just J, Chastang J, Forhan A, de Lauzon-Guillain B, Magnier AM, Annesi-Maesano I. Maternal diet before and during pregnancy and risk of asthma and allergic rhinitis in children. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol. 2019;15:40.

4. Barman M, Stråvik M, Broberg K, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg AS. Proportions of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Umbilical Cord Blood at Birth Are Related to Atopic Eczema Development in the First Year of Life. Nutrients. 2021;13(11).

5. Brustad N, Olarini A, Kim M, Chen L, Ali M, Wang T, Cohen AS, Ernst M, Hougaard D, Schoos AM, et al. Dietassociated vertically transferred metabolites and risk of asthma, allergy, eczema, and infections in early childhood. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2023;34(2):e13917.

6. Brzozowska A, Podlecka D, Jankowska A, Król A, Kaleta D, Trafalska E, Nowakowska-Świrta E, Kałużny P, Hanke W, Bal-Gierańczyk K, et al. Maternal diet during pregnancy and risk of allergic diseases in children up to 7-9 years old from Polish Mother and Child Cohort study. Environ Res. 2022;208:112682.

7. Chen LW, Lyons B, Navarro P, Shivappa N, Mehegan J, Murrin CM, Hébert JR, Kelleher CC, Phillips CM. Maternal dietary inflammatory potential and quality are associated with offspring asthma risk over 10-year follow-up: the Lifeways Cross-Generation Cohort Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2020;111(2):440-7.

8. Chen X, Lin L, Huang L, Wu M, Tan T, Li Q, Zhong C, Wang H, Wang W, Sun G, et al. Association of maternal low-carbohydrate-diet score during pregnancy with allergic diseases at 2 years of age. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2022;33(9):e13842.

9. Delvert R, Ghozal M, Adel-Patient K, Kadawathagedara M, Heude B, Charles MA, Annesi-Maesano I, Tafflet M, Leynaert B, Varraso R, et al. Maternal Diet Quality during Pregnancy and Allergic and Respiratory Multimorbidity Clusters in Children from the EDEN Mother-Child Cohort. Nutrients. 2022;15(1).

10. Flom JD, Chiu YM, Cowell W, Kannan S, Ganguri HB, Coull BA, Wright RJ, Carroll K. Maternal active asthma in pregnancy influences associations between polyunsaturated fatty acid intake and child asthma. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;127(5):553-61.e3.

11. Gao X, Yan Y, Zeng G, Sha T, Liu S, He Q, Chen C, Li L, Xiang S, Li H, et al. Influence of prenatal and early-life exposures on food allergy and eczema in infancy: a birth cohort study. BMC Pediatr. 2019;19(1):239.

12. Hanson C, Rifas-Shiman SL, Shivappa N, Wirth MD, Hebert JR, Gold D, Camargo CA, Jr., Sen S, Sordillo JE, Oken E, et al. Associations of Prenatal Dietary Inflammatory Potential with Childhood Respiratory Outcomes in Project Viva. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(3):945-52.e4.

13. Kim YH, Kim KW, Lee SY, Koo KO, Kwon SO, Seo JH, Suh DI, Shin YH, Ahn K, Oh SY, et al. Maternal Perinatal Dietary Patterns Affect Food Allergy Development in Susceptible Infants. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(7):2337-47.e7.

14. Kuśmierek M, Sardecka I, Łoś-Rycharska E, Krogulska A. The impact of immunomodulatory factors from maternal diet during pregnancy on cow's milk allergy in offspring - A pilot study in the paediatric population of the Kuyavian-Pomeranian Voivodship. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2019;47(6):570-8.

15. Lamminsalo A, Metsälä J, Takkinen HM, Tapanainen H, Åkerlund M, Niinistö S, Toppari J, Ilonen J, Veijola R, Knip M, et al. Maternal energy-adjusted fatty acid intake during pregnancy and the development of cows' milk allergy in the offspring. Br J Nutr. 2022;128(8):1607-14.

16. Melero V, Assaf-Balut C, Torre NG, Jiménez I, Bordiú E, Valle LD, Valerio J, Familiar C, Durán A, Runkle I, et al. Benefits of Adhering to a Mediterranean Diet Supplemented with Extra Virgin Olive Oil and Pistachios in Pregnancy on the Health of Offspring at 2 Years of Age. Results of the San Carlos Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Prevention Study. J Clin Med. 2020;9(5).

17. Milewska-Wróbel D, Lis-Święty A. Does antioxidant-rich diet during pregnancy protect against atopic multimorbidity in children? Explore (NY). 2022;18(1):96-9.

18. Nakano K, Kuraoka S, Oda M, Ohba T, Mitsubuchi H, Nakamura K, Katoh T, The Japan E, Children's Study Jecs G. Relationship between the Mediterranean Diet Score in Pregnancy and the Incidence of Asthma at 4 Years of Age: The Japan Environment and Children's Study. Nutrients. 2023;15(7).

19. Ogawa K, Pak K, Yamamoto-Hanada K, Ishitsuka K, Sasaki H, Mezawa H, Saito-Abe M, Sato M, Yang L, Nishizato M, et al. Association between maternal vegetable intake during pregnancy and allergy in offspring: Japan Environment and Children's Study. PLoS One. 2021;16(1):e0245782.

20. Parr CL, Magnus MC, Karlstad Ø, Holvik K, Lund-Blix NA, Haugen M, Page CM, Nafstad P, Ueland PM, London SJ, et al. Vitamin A and D intake in pregnancy, infant supplementation, and asthma development: the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018;107(5):789-98.

21. Pretorius RA, Bodinier M, Prescott SL, Palmer DJ. Maternal Fiber Dietary Intakes during Pregnancy and Infant Allergic Disease. Nutrients. 2019;11(8).

22. Shimizu M, Kato T, Adachi Y, Wada T, Murakami S, Ito Y, Itazawa T, Adachi YS, Tsuchida A, Matsumura K, et al. Association between Maternal Vitamin D Intake and Infant Allergies: The Japan Environment and Children's Study. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo). 2022;68(5):375-82.

23. Stråvik M, Barman M, Hesselmar B, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg AS. Maternal Intake of Cow's Milk during Lactation Is Associated with Lower Prevalence of Food Allergy in Offspring. Nutrients. 2020;12(12).

24. Stråvik M, Gustin K, Barman M, Skröder H, Sandin A, Wold AE, Sandberg AS, Kippler M, Vahter M. Infant Iodine and Selenium Status in Relation to Maternal Status and Diet During Pregnancy and Lactation. Front Nutr. 2021;8:733602.

25. Tan T, Xiao D, Li Q, Zhong C, Hu W, Guo J, Chen X, Zhang H, Lin L, Yang S, et al. Maternal yogurt consumption during pregnancy and infantile eczema: a prospective cohort study. Food Funct. 2023;14(4):1929-36.

26. Tanaka K, Okubo H, Sasaki S, Arakawa M, Miyake Y. Maternal caffeine intake during pregnancy and risk of food allergy in young Japanese children. J Paediatr Child Health. 2021;57(6):903-7.

27. Tuokkola J, Lamminsalo A, Metsälä J, Takkinen HM, Tapanainen H, Åkerlund M, Niinistö S, Toppari J, Ilonen J, Veijola R, et al. Maternal antioxidant intake during pregnancy and the development of cows' milk allergy in the offspring. Br J Nutr. 2021;125(12):1386-93.

28. Venter C, Pickett K, Starling A, Maslin K, Smith PK, Palumbo MP, O'Mahony L, Ben Abdallah M, Dabelea D. Advanced glycation end product intake during pregnancy and offspring allergy outcomes: A Prospective cohort study. Clin Exp Allergy. 2021;51(11):1459-70.

29. Venter C, Palumbo MP, Sauder KA, Glueck DH, Starling AP, Ringham BM, O'Mahony L, Moore BF, Yang IV, Dabelea D. Examining Associations Between Dietary Inflammatory Index in Pregnancy, Pro-inflammatory Cytokine and Chemokine Levels at Birth, and Offspring Asthma and/or Wheeze by Age 4 Years. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2021;121(10):2003-12.e3.

30. Venter C, Palumbo MP, Glueck DH, Sauder KA, O'Mahony L, Fleischer DM, Ben-Abdallah M, Ringham BM, Dabelea D. The maternal diet index in pregnancy is associated with offspring allergic diseases: the Healthy Start study. Allergy. 2022;77(1):162-72.

31. Venter C, Palumbo MP, Sauder KA, Glueck DH, O'Mahony L, Yang I, Davidson EJ, Brough HA, Holloway JW, Fleischer DM, et al. Associations between child filaggrin mutations and maternal diet with the development of allergic diseases in children. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2022;33(3):e13753.

32. Venter C, Palumbo MP, Glueck DH, Sauder KA, Perng W, O'Mahony L, Pickett K, Greenhawt M, Fleischer DM, Dabelea D. Comparing the Diagnostic Accuracy of Measures of Maternal Diet During Pregnancy for Offspring Allergy Outcomes: The Healthy Start Study. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2023;11(1):255-63.e1.

33. Zeng J, Wu W, Tang N, Chen Y, Jing J, Cai L. Maternal Dietary Protein Patterns During Pregnancy and the Risk of Infant Eczema: A Cohort Study. Front Nutr. 2021;8:608972.

34. Zulyniak MA, de Souza RJ, Shaikh M, Ramasundarahettige C, Tam K, Williams N, Desai D, Lefebvre DL, Gupta M, Subbarao P, et al. Ethnic differences in maternal diet in pregnancy and infant eczema. PLoS One. 2020;15(5):e0232170.

35. Øien T, Schjelvaag A, Storrø O, Johnsen R, Simpson MR. Fish Consumption at One Year of Age Reduces the Risk of Eczema, Asthma and Wheeze at Six Years of Age. Nutrients. 2019;11(9).