# Efficient low-loaded ternary Pd-In2O3-Al2O3 catalysts for methanol production

(article starts on next page)

# Efficient low-loaded ternary Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts for methanol production

Nicola Schiaroli [a], Leila Negahdar [b,c], Mads Lützen [d], Phuoc Hoang Ho [e], Lisa J. Allen [b,c], Alejandro Natoli [a,f], Francesca Ospitali [a], Francesco Maluta [a,f], Enrique Rodríguez-Castellón [g], Christian D. Damsgaard [d,h], Giuseppe Fornasari [a,f], Andrew M. Beale [b,c,*], Patricia Benito [a,f,*]

[a] Dipartimento di Chimica Industriale "Toso Montanari", Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, 40136, Bologna, Italy
[b] Chemistry Department, University College of London, Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK
[c] UK Catalysis Hub, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, OX110FA, UK
[d] National Centre for Nano Fabrication and Characterization, Technical University of Denmark, Fysikvej Building 307, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
[e] Chemical Engineering, Competence Centre for Catalysis, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Gothenburg, Sweden
[f] Center for Chemical Catalysis – C3, Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 4, 40136, Bologna, Italy
[g] Universidad de Málaga, Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias. 29071 Málaga, Spain
[h] Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, Fysikvej Building 311, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

## ARTICLE INFO

## ABSTRACT

Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts are among the most promising alternatives to Cu-ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$ for synthesis of CH$_3$OH from CO$_2$. However, the intrinsic activity and stability of In$_2$O$_3$ per unit mass should be increased to reduce the content of this scarcely available element and to enhance the catalyst lifetime. Herein, we propose and demonstrate a strategy for obtaining highly dispersed Pd and In$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles onto an Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix by a one-step coprecipitation followed by calcination and activation. The activity of this catalyst is comparable with that of a Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalyst (0.52 vs 0.55 g$_{MeOH}$ h$^{-1}$ g$_{cat}^{-1}$ at 300 °C, 30 bar, 40,800 mL h$^{-1}$ g$_{cat}^{-1}$) but the In$_2$O$_3$ loading decreases from 98 to 12 wt% while improving the long-term stability by three-fold at 30 bar. In the new Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ system, the intrinsic activity of In$_2$O$_3$ is highly increased both in terms of STY normalized to In specific surface area and In$_2$O$_3$ mass (4.32 vs 0.56 g g$_{MeOH}^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ g$_{In2O3}^{-1}$ of a Pd- In$_2$O$_3$ catalyst operating at 300 °C, 30 bar, 40,800 mL h$^{-1}$ g$_{cat}^{-1}$).The combination of *ex situ* and *in situ* catalyst characterizations during reduction provides insights into the interaction between Pd and In and with the support. The enhanced activity is likely related to the close proximity of Pd and In$_2$O$_3$, wherein the H$_2$ splitting activity of Pd promotes, in combination with CO$_2$ activation over highly dispersed In$_2$O$_3$ particles, facile formation of CH$_3$OH.

## 1. Introduction

The production of green methanol via the CO$_2$ thermocatalytic hydrogenation is a challenging process due to thermodynamic and kinetic issues [1]. The catalyst plays an important role and controls the activity and selectivity of the process. Several attempts have been made to replace the conventional Cu-ZnO-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst since the high-water content produced in the reaction leads to sintering of copper and thereby catalyst deactivation [2,3]. Among the different alternatives, In$_2$O$_3$-based catalysts are the most promising due to their superior methanol selectivity even at high temperature (300 °C) [4]. The ability of In$_2$O$_3$ to activate both CO$_2$ and H$_2$ in oxygen vacancies was initially predicted by DFT [5] and later it

was experimentally confirmed [6–9]. Since then, the performance of In-based catalysts has been improved, following the two most common approaches in catalysis: promotion with a metal and dispersion on a support [4].

The presence of Pd provides metallic sites for the H$_2$ dissociative adsorption and interfacial sites for CO$_2$ adsorption and hydrogenation [10]. Pd promotion is certainly effective, i.e. PdIn$_2$O$_3$ has been shown to be a more active catalyst than In$_2$O$_3$/ZrO$_2$ [11], although Pd activates the reverse water gas shift (RWGS). Moreover, PdIn intermetallics could be developed by treating in H$_2$ Pd/In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts [12,13] or during reaction [14]. The PdIn intermetallics are reported to have two opposite effects on the catalytic performance. Ye et al. stated that the PdIn intermetallic reduces the activity toward H$_2$ dissociative adsorption, weakens CO binding on the surface and increases the barrier of CO hydrogenation, suppressing

---

\* Corresponding authors.

further hydrogenation beyond CO [15]. To overcome this issue, the Pd-support interaction is reduced by depositing preformed Pd$^0$ particles [16] or by anchoring low nuclearity Pd clusters to the In$_2$O$_3$ matrix by controlled co-precipitation [17]. On the other hand, in the liquid phase CO$_2$ hydrogenation, unsupported PdIn nanoparticles show high activity, outperforming the conventional Cu/ZnO/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst [18] Similarly, Snider et al. report an increase in activity for PdIn/SiO$_2$ [19]; the synergy between In$_2$O$_3$ and PdIn particles, which are surface In enriched, maximizes the methanol synthesis.

The contrasting observations could be related to the composition of PdIn particles [20,21], demonstrated to alter the activity in the methanol steam reforming, [12,22]. Moreover, the selectivity may be modified with the Pd particle size and pressure [23]. An increase in the particle size of Pd deposited on In$_2$O$_3$ favors the RWGS [17]. Another aspect likely involved in the activity of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts is the reduction of In$_2$O$_3$ to In$^0$ [24]. This depends on the reaction temperature and the selectivity of the process, and, more significantly, under experimental conditions, the reduction of In$_2$O$_3$ may not be homogeneous through the catalyst [25]. Hence, the catalyst is a mixture of In$_2$O$_3$ and In$^0$, and its bulk characterization may not provide accurate information about the actual active sites. Moreover, the morphology of In$_2$O$_3$ can modify both the Pd electronic properties and the strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) between Pd and In$_2$O$_3$ [26].

In$_2$O$_3$ sintering, induced by H$_2$ [8], is delayed by deposition on ZrO$_2$ [8,11,27,28]. However, the role of the support is more complex than the simple In$_2$O$_3$ dispersion and formation of smaller crystallites [8] and notably, it depends on the ZrO$_2$ crystalline phase [29]. ZrO$_2$ contributes to the CO$_2$ activation, [27] modifies the In$_2$O$_3$ reducibility, and in turn the number of vacancies (active sites), as well as the In$_2$O$_3$ electronic properties [25,27,28]. Electron transfer from monoclinic ZrO$_2$ to In$_2$O$_3$ improves the electron density of In$_2$O$_3$, which promotes H$_2$ dissociation and hydrogenation of the formate intermediate to methanol [29]. Less attention has been paid to the use of Al$_2$O$_3$ as a support, despite it being reported that In$_2$O$_3$ can be easily dispersed on γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ [30], increasing the amount of highly dispersed In$_2$O$_3$ in comparison to ZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$ (In$_2$O$_3$/Al$_2$O$_3$ > In$_2$O$_3$/ZrO$_2$ ≫ In$_2$O$_3$/SiO$_2$) [31]. This can be related to the poor activity of In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ systems in the CO$_2$ conversion to CH$_3$OH [8,11,27]. Conversely, the incorporation of Rh in the mixed oxide improves the activity [32]. A RhIn/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst (Rh 5 wt% and In/Al = 1/1) effectively catalyzes methanol synthesis and inhibits the RWGS under H$_2$-deficient gas flow; the activity being related to the strong synergy between Rh and In, specifically to bimetallic RhIn particles. However, both Rh and In loadings are high, indeed the authors suggest that some efforts need to be devoted to decrease both Rh and In loading.

Herein, an easy and simple approach is adopted to further develop CH$_3$OH synthesis catalysts, where Al$_2$O$_3$ is chosen to disperse low amounts of Pd and In$_2$O$_3$, considering the availability and price of In and Pd. The aim of this work is to establish the basis to develop Pd-In enhanced catalysts for methanol production, not only in terms of activity and stability, but also in economic feasibility, as well as operational flexibility during transient processes. Here we show that the combination of inactive bulk Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts, with optimized-yet-low Pd and In$_2$O$_3$ loadings, produces a catalyst that is capable of reaching a space time yield (STY) close to that of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, but with considerable improvement in stability with time on stream. To understand the Pd and In species interaction in the performance, the catalysts have been studied using *ex situ* and *in situ* characterization techniques including X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAFS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), N$_2$ physisorption, CO chemisorption, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), H$_2$-TPR and CO$_2$-TPD.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. Preparation and characterization of the catalysts

The catalysts were prepared by co-precipitation followed by calcination. PdCl$_2$ (Sigma Aldrich, 99 %) was first dissolved in 10 mL of deionized water with the addition of some drops of HCl (Merck, 37 %) under stirring. This solution was added to an Al (NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O and/or In(NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O (Sigma Aldrich) aqueous solution under stirring at room temperature to give a 0.2 M solution with the appropriate cation molar ratios to obtain a final Pd loading of 1 or 0.5 wt% and an In$_2$O$_3$ content of 6, 12 or 23 wt% in the catalyst. A Na$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution (10 wt%) was added dropwise to the solution of the metals under stirring at room temperature until a pH of 9.2 was reached. After aging the slurry for 1 h, the precipitate was recovered by filtration, washed with deionized water and dried at 50 °C overnight. From the ICP analyses of the mother liquor the total precipitation of Pd, In and Al was confirmed. The obtained Pd/In/Al hydroxide precursors were calcined at 500 °C for 6 h (heating rate, 10 °C/min) and the catalyst composition was confirmed by EDS analyses. The catalysts were named Pd-6In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, Pd-23In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, and 0.5Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, where 6, 12, and 23 referred to the In$_2$O$_3$ wt.%. Two weight loadings of Pd were used: 0.5 and 1 %. Only the samples containing 0.5 Pd wt.% are specifically indicated whereas samples without a number before Pd contain 1 wt.%. For comparison purposes, Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$, Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ with 1 Pd wt.%, and In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts were prepared following the same procedure as for the mixed oxides, the only difference is that for Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$ the precursors are calcined at 300 °C to prevent excessive loss of active surface.

XRD powder analyses were carried out using a PANalytical X'Pert diffractometer equipped with a copper anode (Cu Kα, λ = 0.15148 nm) and a fast X'Celerator detector. A 2θ range from 5 to 80° was investigated, using a step size of 0.05° and scan step time of 15.25 s. The average In$_2$O$_3$ crystallite sizes in Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts were determined from the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the In$_2$O$_3$ (2 2 2) XRD peak using the Scherrer formula.

The reduction profiles of the catalysts were obtained by H$_2$ temperature-programmed reduction (H$_2$-TPR) using a Micromeritics AutoChem II Chemisorption Analyzer equipped with a Thermal Conductivity Detector (TCD). After the pretreatment of the catalyst (ca. 150 mg) at 150 °C under 30 mL min$^{-1}$ of He for 1 h, the sample was cooled at −10 °C (using a Cryocooler system). The reduction was carried out by switching the carrier gas to 5 % H$_2$/Ar (v/v) at 30 mL min$^{-1}$. After the stabilization of the baseline, the temperature was increased to 900 °C (10 °C/min) and kept for 30 min.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) measurements were conducted at the B18 beamline of the Diamond Light Source (DLS), UK. XAS measurements were performed at the Pd and In K-edge in transmission mode using the quick EXAFS (QEXAFS) setup with a fast-scanning Si (3 1 1) double crystal monochromator [33]. The data acquisition setup consisted of three detectors measuring the incident beam intensity, I$_0$, the transmitted beam through the sample, I$_t$, and the one through the reference, I$_{ref}$. A standard Pd or In foil reference was placed between I$_t$ and I$_{ref}$ and each spectrum was acquired with an exposure time of 180 s. The X-ray beam size was optimised to around 200 μm × 100 μm for the measurement through the narrow X-ray access slits of the capillary reactor, which was placed on an adjustable stage in order to allow the alignment of the beam inside the X-ray slits.

For *in situ* measurements the catalyst particles (25 mg of 53–63 μm sieve fraction) were loaded into the capillary reactor. The catalyst was heated up at 10 °C min$^{-1}$ under 10 % O$_2$/He flow and calcined at 400 °C for 60 min. The temperature was kept at

400 °C and the gas flow was switched from 10 % $O_2$/He to $H_2$ with a flow rate of 40 NmLmin$^{-1}$. For *ex situ* measurements, catalyst samples of reduced and post-reaction were solid pellets, prepared by mixing the material with cellulose filler.

The raw XAS spectra were processed using Athena/Artemis software [34]. The X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra were pre-edge subtracted and normalised to the post edge background. The linear combination fitting (LCF) of the normalised XANES spectra was then performed around the Pd K-edge, 24150–24986 eV, using Athena software. The XANES spectra of the reference Pd metal foil were used as standard for the palladium metal (Pd$^0$) in the LCF, while for the PdO component the spectra at the inlet during calcination were taken as reference. Their weightings were constrained to be between 0 and 1 and their sum to be equal to 1. The fitting quality was assessed using R-factors, which were consistently low for all the fittings. The Fourier transform (FT) of the EXAFS data was performed on the $k^3$-weighted functions between 2.7 and 10 Å$^{-1}$. The following information was used for fitting of the Pd data: $S0^2$ = 0.83, K-range = 1.9 – 8.5 Å$^{-1}$ and an R range = 1 – 3.5 Å.

The other techniques used to characterize the catalysts at the different steps of their lifetime are detailed in Supporting Information (SI).

## 2.2. Catalytic tests

The calcined catalysts were pressed at 10 Ton for 20 min to produce a tablet, crushed and sieved to obtain particles with a dimension in the range of 30–40 mesh (0.595–0.400 mm). 0.5 g of the solids were then mixed with SiC (30–40 mesh) to reach a total volume of 1.2 cm$^3$. The sample was placed between two layers of inert material (quartz) in a tubular reactor (INCOLOY 800HT) with an internal diameter of 10 mm and vertically placed into an electric tubular furnace. The gas flow rates were controlled by thermal mass flow controllers (Brooks Instruments), the operating pressure was set by a back-pressure regulator (Swagelok), and all the lines after the reactor outlet were heated at 200 °C to prevent condensation of methanol and water. Before tests, the reduction of the catalysts was performed *in situ*, flowing a mixture of $H_2$/$N_2$ (1/3 v/v, 120 mL min$^{-1}$), increasing the oven temperature from 50 to 300 °C (1 °C min$^{-1}$) and holding this temperature for 2 h. $CO_2$ hydrogenation to methanol was conducted by feeding a mixture of $H_2$, $CO_2$ and $CH_4$ (used as internal standard [17]) a $H_2$/$CO_2$/$CH_4$ ratio of 3/1/0.67, 4/1/0.67, or 5/1/0.67 (v/v). Catalytic tests were carried out at 20 or 30 bar and GHSV values in the range of 14,400 – 48,000 mL/g$_{cat}$h. In a typical test, the reactor was purged with $N_2$ until 175 °C and then pressurized to the working pressure using the reaction mixture. The activity of the catalysts was monitored at an increasing temperature from 175 to 300 °C. The stability tests on the activated catalysts at high time-on-stream (TOS) were performed after these series of tests (8 h of TOS), reaching up to 100 h of TOS at 280 °C and 300 °C with several shut down cycles carried out by depressurizing the reactor and maintaining the catalyst under $N_2$ at the working temperature overnight. The reaction stream was analysed by an online gas chromatograph (Agilent Technology 7890A) equipped with two thermal conductivity detectors (TCD) and a CarboPLOT P7 column using $H_2$ for the $CH_4$, CO, $CO_2$, $CH_3OH$ analyses and an HP-Molesieve column using $N_2$ for $H_2$ detection. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations were performed, the description can be found in SI.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Catalytic tests

The catalytic response in the 175–300 °C temperature range (30 bar, $H_2$/$CO_2$ = 3, 16,800 mL/g$_{cat}$h) displayed in Fig. 1 evidence that in Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ systems, the methanol synthesis performance of the individual components, Pd-$Al_2O_3$ and $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$, is boosted. $In_2O_3$ loading plays an important role in performance improvement. The Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalyst reaches the highest STY values, although these are lower than for Pd-$In_2O_3$. Significantly, the activity in the RWGS of Pd in Pd-$Al_2O_3$ [35,36] is largely suppressed when supported on $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$. However, to keep a high methanol selectivity above 200 °C, 12 and 23 wt% $In_2O_3$ loadings are required. The low $In_2O_3$ loaded Pd-6$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalyst, despite reaching $CO_2$ conversions like Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ (and higher than Pd-23$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$) is less prone to selectively produce $CH_3OH$. It is noteworthy that DME is detected in traces (<0.1 % v/v) only beyond 275 °C for the Pd-6$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ sample due to acidic sites on the $Al_2O_3$ support [37]. The two ternary catalysts, Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ and Pd-23$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$, are more selective than Pd-$In_2O_3$; however, the comparison is tricky due to the trade-off between conversion and selectivity. A commercial-like Cu-ZnO-$Al_2O_3$ catalyst with a high active phase loading (ca. 50 Cu wt.%) achieves higher $CO_2$ conversion than $In_2O_3$-based catalysts regardless of the temperature (Figure S1), but it is more selective to the RWGS, e.g., $CH_3OH$ selectivity is only 10 % at 300 °C. Hence, Cu-ZnO-$Al_2O_3$ reaches higher STY values at 225 and 250 °C, $In_2O_3$-based catalysts at 300 °C, while at 275 °C similar values are obtained for both types of catalysts.

Notably, the same trend is observed in the performance of the catalysts operating at 40,800 mL/g$_{cat}$h and $H_2$/$CO_2$ = 4 (Fig. 2a). The STY reaches values of 0.551 and 0.518 g$_{CH_3OH}$/g$_{cat}$h for Pd-



**Fig. 1.** STY (a), $CH_3OH$ selectivity (b) and $CO_2$ conversion (c) over Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ (with different $In_2O_3$ loading), Pd-$In_2O_3$, Pd-$Al_2O_3$, $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ and $In_2O_3$ catalysts. P = 30 bar, $H_2$/$CO_2$ = 3 and 16,800 mL/g$_{cat}$h. Zero $CO_2$ conversion points are not reported in the graphs. The cumulative TOS for each catalyst is 8 h. ($CH_3OH$ yields for the different catalysts are reported in Figure S1).

**Fig. 2.** A) Performance of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (with different In$_2$O$_3$ loading), Pd-In$_2$O$_3$, In$_2$O$_3$ and 12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts; b) effect of Pd content on the performance of 12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts. P = 30 bar, T = 300 °C, H$_2$/CO$_2$ = 4 and 40,800 mL/g$_{cat}$ h. The tests are performed after 8 h of TOS at increasing temperature (175–300 °C).

In$_2$O$_3$ and Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ respectively. The increase in the CH$_3$-OH selectivity is likely related to the suppression of the RWGS [38] or the CH$_3$OH decomposition [39]. Decreasing the Pd content to 0.5 wt% in 0.5Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ the STY is nearly halved (Fig. 2b), mainly related to a lower conversion since the CH$_3$OH selectivity is hardly altered. By expressing the STY values as a function of the different In$_2$O$_3$ contents in the Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ systems (Table S1), it is possible to note that the In$_2$O$_3$ intrinsic activity increases as its concentration is lowered (1.98 vs 4.32 g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{In2O3}$h when In$_2$O$_3$ passes from 23 to 12 wt%). This behavior is less marked when In$_2$O$_3$ is below 12 wt%, suggesting how a proper Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ interaction degree (and dispersion) is key for CH$_3$OH formation.

The effect of the In$_2$O$_3$ content on the selectivity is also confirmed for Pd-free In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ samples (Figure S2), though the catalysts activate CO$_2$ only at 300 °C. It is generally considered that In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is rather inactive in the CH$_3$OH synthesis from CO$_2$, producing mainly CO via RWGS [27,32]. To evaluate whether the low In$_2$O$_3$ content or the inclusion of In$_2$O$_3$ in the mixed oxide is responsible for activity suppression, a test is performed by loading 0.06 g of pristine In$_2$O$_3$ (the same In$_2$O$_3$ amount present in 12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$), diluted in SiC (Figure S2). Pristine In$_2$O$_3$ is already active at 250 °C and, more significantly, it achieves high CH$_3$OH selectivity. To discard the role of the preparation method on the poor activity of In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, e.g. embedment of In$_2$O$_3$ in the bulk of the catalyst, In is impregnated on Al$_2$O$_3$. Similar performances are obtained at 300 °C for both coprecipitated (0.009 g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{cat}$h) and impregnated (0.011 g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{cat}$h) materials (Table S2). Hence, the dispersion of In$_2$O$_3$ on Al$_2$O$_3$ or its interaction likely deteriorates the In$_2$O$_3$ catalytic properties.

The catalytic data indicate that Pd is responsible for the increase in CO$_2$ conversion, while In$_2$O$_3$ loading determines the selectivity in CH$_3$OH. The STY of Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is only 6 % lower than that of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$, though in the former the In$_2$O$_3$ loading is decreased from 98 to 12 wt% and the catalyst is calcined at a higher temperature (500 °C vs 300 °C) and pre-reduced in H$_2$/N$_2$. Indeed, the CO$_2$ conversion over Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$ drops by ca 40 and 25 %, respectively, when these catalysts are pretreated under the same conditions as the Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts (Table S3). This behavior is related to a sintering process (Figure S3a) that decreases the surface area from 124 and 120 m$^2$/g to 78 and 62 m$^2$/g for Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$ respectively and increases the reduction temperature of Pd$^{2+}$ (Figure S3b). All the CO$_2$ conversions are well below the thermodynamic values (Figure S4), confirming a kinetic regime for both CH$_3$OH synthesis and RWGS.

The results obtained demonstrate the possibility to attain good performance with a low In$_2$O$_3$ loading (i.e. 12 wt%), not previously achieved in the literature. For instance, when a much higher amount of active metal (Rh 5 or 2.5 wt%) is incorporated on an In$_2$O$_3$/Al$_2$O$_3$ support [32], the presence of 10 wt% of In$_2$O$_3$ leads to a poor CH$_3$OH yield. Actually, the CH$_3$OH yield becomes substantial only when the In/Al ratio hits 1/1. Notably, the Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ selectivity to CH$_3$OH at 30 bar is similar to that of a co-precipitated RhIn/Al$_2$O$_3$ system operating at 45 bar (270 °C), meaning that the incorporation of 1 wt% of Pd in the In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is enough to efficiently promote the hydrogenating power of the catalytic system (51.8 g$_{MeOH}$ h$^{-1}$ g$_{Pd}^{-1}$). When the results obtained in this work are instead compared with those reported in the literature for Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalysts that operate at a similar pressure (30 bar), our catalytic results (although with different reaction parameters) are in line with those obtained over Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ prepared by impregnation [16] and Pd/In$_2$O$_3$ with a hollow In$_2$O$_3$ structure [26] (Table S4), despite decreasing the In$_2$O$_3$ loading from 98 to 12 wt%. The activity in those works is related to different types of active sites. In the former [16], PdIn is formed in the region between Pd NPs and In$_2$O$_3$ support, while in the latter a strong metal-support interaction (SMSI) between Pd and In$_2$O$_3$ keeps a high Pd$^{2+}$ species molar fraction [26]. It is noteworthy that by supporting In$_2$O$_3$, its intrinsic activity remarkably increases as demonstrated by the STY values calculated on the mass of In$_2$O$_3$ for the different catalysts (g$_{MeOH}$ h$^{-1}$ g$_{In2O3}^{-1}$). Moreover, the effect of the particle size is reported by Frei et al. to explain the differences in the activity of impregnated and coprecipitated catalysts [17]. In impregnated samples with a higher Pd particle size, the activity is a combination of RWGS on large Pd particles and CH$_3$OH synthesis on In$_2$O$_3$ [17]. To correlate the catalytic activity of the Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts here developed, a deep characterization of their properties during the catalyst lifetime is performed.

### 3.2. Characterization of fresh and spent catalysts

The structure and specific surface areas of the Pd-containing catalysts resembles those of the respective type of support (In$_2$O$_3$ or In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$) (Fig. 3a, Table 1, Figure S3c, Table S5). Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ contains the cubic In$_2$O$_3$ phase. The surface area around 124 m$^2$/g in the fresh Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalyst drops to 18 m$^2$/g (Table 1) after catalytic performance testing due to sintering, as confirmed by the increase of the mean crystallite size (Figure S5, Table S6). Diffraction patterns of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ samples, regardless of the In$_2$O$_3$ loading, are characteristic of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$. The poor crystallinity of the alumina, with very broad reflections, makes it tricky to obtain any reliable evidence about a possible incorporation of In$^{3+}$ into the γ-Al$_2$O$_3$. The broad reflection centered at ca. 30° (2θ) is related to nanosized or amorphous In$_2$O$_3$ [40–42]; it partially disappears

**Fig. 3.** Characterization of Pd-containing catalysts after calcination: a) XRD patterns; b) $H_2$-TPR profiles; dashed lines represent the reduction profiles of oxides in absence of Pd, $12In-Al_2O_3$ (blue), $23In-Al_2O_3$ (green) and $In_2O_3$ (purple). Note that the $H_2$-TPR measurements started at −10 °C. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Table 1**
Specific surface area ($S_{BET}$) values of the catalysts before and after the catalytic assessment and CO chemisorption data of reduced catalysts.

| Catalyst | $S_{BET}$ / $m^2$/g | | CO chemisorption | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Calcined | Spent | Pd dispersion / % | CO uptake µmol/$g_{cat}$ |
| $Pd-Al_2O_3$ | 216 | 150 | 84.5 | 39.72 ± 1.13 |
| $Pd-12In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ | 260 | 131 | 12.9 | 6.06 ± 0.76 |
| $Pd-23In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ | 210 | 104 | 14.4 | 6.77 ± 0.57 |
| $Pd-In_2O_3$ | 124 | 18 | nd* | nd* |

* not detected.

after catalytic testing, which suggests possible re-dispersion and contact with/decoration of Pd nanoparticle species (Figure S5). $S_{BET}$ values of $Al_2O_3$ supported materials are around 260–210 $m^2$/g, i.e. twice the values of $Pd-In_2O_3$. The hydrothermal conditions generated during catalytic tests (high pressure and water production) make it impossible to avoid the sintering of the catalysts; nevertheless, the $In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ supported materials retain $S_{BET}$ values above 100 $m^2$/g after the activity testing (ca. 9 h TOS).

The $H_2$-TPR profile of $In_2O_3$ in the mixed oxide follows a more complex reduction path than the pristine oxide, Fig. 3b [40,41]. The typical $In_2O_3$ reduction profile, with $H_2$ consumption peaks related to surface (around 200 °C) and bulk $In_2O_3$ (onset at 500 °C) [16], transforms into several overlapped peaks spanning 300 to 900 °C. Note that the profile of pristine $In_2O_3$ calcined at 500 °C, like $In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ samples, only shows a reduction peak above 500 °C (Fig. S3b). Hence it could be stated that the incorporation of $Al_2O_3$ does facilitate the reduction of $In_2O_3$, likely forming vacancies or reducing the surface, which could be related to a high dispersion and surface area of $In_2O_3$ [31]; however, the absence of the low temperature peak suggests that defects in the amorphous $In_2O_3$ are modified [43]. The high interaction between Pd and the oxide matrix is clearly observed in the profile of $Pd-Al_2O_3$. Pd species are reduced in two steps at ca. 220 and ca. 415 °C, being related to $Pd^{2+}$ highly dispersed and interacting with the support, respectively. In contrast, $Pd^{2+}$ species reduce at a rather low temperature in $Pd-In_2O_3$, shifting the surface $In_2O_3$ reduction peak towards a lower temperature (ca. 110 °C) [17]. In $Pd-In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ catalysts, the first $Pd^{2+}$ reduction is clearly identified, however, establishing a relationship between the incorporation of Pd in the $In_2O_3$ and the reducibility is not straightforward. While in $Pd-12In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ Pd promotes the reduction of $In_2O_3$ by shifting the end of the $H_2$ uptake at lower temperatures, this behavior is not observed for $Pd-23In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$. Note that the formation of PdIn intermetallics is reported to happen during TPR [13], the Pd/In

ratio depends on the reduction temperature and for the samples of $Pd-In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ it could also depend on the composition.

XPS reveals some changes in the surface of the fresh catalysts depending on the composition (Table 2, Fig. 4). The In $3d_{5/2}$ binding energy value in $12In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$, with and without Pd, is 444.8 eV. This value has been related to the presence of $In_2O_3$ in similar materials [40,41]; however, it is higher than for $Pd-In_2O_3$ (444.0 eV) [16]. Remarkably, the In $3d$ peaks are asymmetric, likely due to the presence of other In species such as hydroxides or vacancies/defects in the oxide lattice. The Al $2p$ signals of all studied Al-containing catalysts appear at about 74.1 eV, typical of $Al^{3+}$ (Table S7). The Pd $3d$ core level spectrum in $Pd-12In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ shows a Pd $3d_{5/2}$ signal with two contributions at 335.8 and 337.8 eV, which are also recorded in the Pd $3d_{5/2}$ signal of $Pd-Al_2O_3$. The coprecipitation method followed by calcination at 500 °C, provides a high dispersion and interaction of Pd with the $Al_2O_3$ matrix likely provoking the formation of Pd aluminate responsible for the Pd $3d_{5/2}$ signal at high binding energy (338.1 eV) [44]. This strong interaction between $Pd^{2+}$ and the support is not altered in the $In_2O_3-Al_2O_3$ mixed oxide. Contrarily, $Pd-In_2O_3$ only contains one type of Pd species with a BE value for the Pd $3d_{5/2}$ signal at 336.5 eV, as previously reported for atomically dispersed $Pd^{2+}$ in $In_2O_3$ and $Pd^{2+}$ highly interacting with the structure of $In_2O_3$ nanotubes [26]. The *ex situ* XPS characterization of spent catalysts (Figure S6 and Table 2) only clearly confirms the formation of $Pd^0$ (335.0 eV) in $Pd-In_2O_3$ and $Pd-Al_2O_3$. Furthermore, the modification of Pd/In and In/Al ratio values in spent catalysts suggests a decrease in the In surface concentration after the catalytic tests. The decrease in the In surface concentration after reduction and catalytic tests could be related to the growth of In particles or to the formation of some bimetallic PdIn particles that modify the surface composition. Note that a similar Pd/In ratio increase is reported by Araujo et al. [11] The coexistence of $Pd^{2+}$ and the unaltered BE for In $3d_{5/2}$ is likely related to the exposure

**Table 2**
Binding energy (eV) of the core levels (Pd $3d_{5/2}$ and In $3d_{5/2}$) and surface atomic ratios of different catalysts determined from XPS measurements. The values in between brackets are the wt.%.

| Catalyst | Treatment | Pd $3d_{5/2}$ | | | In$3d_{5/2}$ | Pd/In | Pd/Al | In/Al |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$** | c500 | – | 335.9 (63) | 338.1 (37) | – | – | 0.004 | – |
| | reduced | 335.1 (71) | – | 337.2 (29) | – | – | 0.003 | – |
| | spent | 335.1 (83) | – | 338.0 (17) | – | – | 0.003 | – |
| **Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$** | c500 | – | 335.8 (70) | 337.8 (30) | 444.8 | 0.060 | 0.005 | 0.082 |
| | reduced | – | 335.9 (100) | | 444.7 | 0.078 | 0.005 | 0.067 |
| | spent | – | 335.6 (74) | 337.5 (26) | 444.6 | 0.080 | 0.006 | 0.071 |
| **12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$** | c500 | | | | 444.8 | – | – | 0.08 |
| | reduced | na* | – | na* | na* | – | – | – |
| | spent | | | | 444.5 | – | – | 0.07 |
| **Pd-In$_2$O$_3$** | c300 | – | 336.5 (100) | – | 444.0 | 0.010 | – | – |
| | reduced | 335.0 (74) | 336.9 (26) | – | 443.6 | 0.020 | – | – |
| | spent | 335.2 | 336.8 | – | 444.1 | 0.019 | – | – |

* not analyzed.



**Fig. 4.** Pd 3*d* (a, c) and In 3*d* (b, d) core level of calcined (a, b) and reduced (c, d) catalysts.

of the samples to the air [45,46], O vacancies could be restored in air [45].

The rising absorption edge in the *ex situ* Pd K-edge XANES spectrum of the spent Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ sample matches closely with that of the PdO reference (Figure S7) confirming the Pd particles to be small and liable to (re)oxidation on exposure to air consistent with those data reported for XPS. However, the lower rising absorption edge intensity in combination with a shift towards lower energies seen for the sample post reaction in comparison with a reduced catalyst exposed to the air, suggests some retention

of the metallic state despite the same degree of exposure to atmosphere, which could indicate a possible interaction of Pd and In. A Linear Combination Fitting (LCF) suggests the composition of PdO/Pd metal in the post-reaction sample is split ∼ 70:30 % (Table S8). Note however, that the metallic content is too low to extract further EXAFS information on the properties and nature of the metallic component. *Ex situ* EXAFS measurements at In K-edge post reaction (and after reduction) indicate the presence of In$_2$O$_3$ lacking long-range order (Figures S7 and S8), which is consistent with the powder diffraction data shown in Fig. 3a and Figure S5 and

could explain the broadness of the In 3d signal in XPS [47]. Note at low k-space values (2 – 5 Å$^{-1}$) of the In K-edge data there is a slight shift due to a decrease in the mean short-range (In-O) distance suggesting a decrease in the extent of In oxidation/differences in In species as also seen in the XPS.

The lack of long-range structure and likely high dispersion of $In_2O_3$ could be a cause of the drop in the $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ activity. High $In_2O_3$ dispersion has been reported to increase CO selectivity in $In_2O_3$-$ZrO_2$ catalysts due to interaction between In oxide and $ZrO_2$ support [48]. Moreover, the $In_2O_3$ crystalline phases modify the activity in the RWGS [49]. The disordered oxide structure is described as $InO_x$ polyhedra joined at the corners or edges to form a network structure in which the number of edge-sharing polyhedra is greatly diminished [47], and likely therefore the propensity for vacancy formation. However, the presence of Pd greatly promotes the activity of $In_2O_3$ dispersed on the $Al_2O_3$, which lacks long-range order as evidenced by EXAFS, suggesting a modification on the $In_2O_3$ by Pd addition. Note that for the active RhIn/$Al_2O_3$ catalyst a crystalline hexagonal $In_2O_3$ phase is predominant either before and after catalytic tests [32]. The $CO_2$-TPD results of reduced catalysts indicate that neither the amount of $CO_2$ adsorbed, nor the type of basic sites in $Al_2O_3$ are largely modified after $In_2O_3$ incorporation (Figure S9). Weak basic sites are mainly present in those catalysts. Conversely, $In_2O_3$ and Pd-$In_2O_3$ catalysts contain both weak basic sites and oxygen vacancies [16], which adsorb larger amounts of $CO_2$ in comparison to the mixed oxide catalysts.

HRTEM and STEM/HAADF of spent catalysts are shown in Fig. 5 and Figures S10-S12. Mesoporous and ill-defined $Al_2O_3$ support containing Pd- or In-based nanoparticles are observed in $Al_2O_3$ or $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalysts. Meanwhile round-shaped $In_2O_3$ nanoparticles with dark spots, which might be related to some sort of porous or defect structure due to the Kirkendall effect (Figure S11b) [50], are clearly identified in the Pd-$In_2O_3$ catalyst. A mean particle size of 1.3 nm ± 0.3 nm is found on the most active spent Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalyst by analyzing HAADF-STEM images of different grains (Fig. 5 a-c). This value corresponds to both Pd and $In_2O_3$ particles. It is noteworthy that this value is very close to those obtained for Pd-$Al_2O_3$ (d ≈ 1.8 ± 0.8 nm) and Pd-23$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ (d ≈ 1.5 ± 0.8 nm), Figure S11. STEM-EELS was chosen to better analyze the composition of the catalysts to achieve a higher degree of localized spectroscopy information than with STEM-EDX. STEM-EELS maps show that Pd is more evenly spread over the Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ sample where In is more agglomerated. It is not possible to judge if the Pd and In are alloyed from this data; however this supports that the two components are found in close proximity of each other. Additionally, the O intensity is relatively lower where there is a relatively higher intensity of In, indicating a potential reduction of the In (Fig. 5 d-f). The EELS mapping partly damage the sample during acquisition, although this was necessary in order to achieve an adequate signal-to-noise for the maps to be useful (Figure S12).

An estimation of the STY per specific surface area of the indium and palladium species can be obtained by the combination of catalytic activity, XPS and $S_{BET}$ results (Table S9). The performance in terms of $CH_3OH$ productivity over Pd surface remained essentially unchanged whether the support was $In_2O_3$ or $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$, meanwhile the intrinsic activity of the indium ca. 10 times higher in the Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ system than in the Pd-$In_2O_3$ catalyst (0.10 vs 0.01 $g_{CH3OH}$ / $m_{In}^2$ h).

In summary, a balance between well-dispersed and lack of long-range order $In_2O_3$ and Pd nanoparticles is required to achieve an enhanced methanol production, likely due to the modification of the vicinity of $In_2O_3$ and Pd or even the formation of intermetallic compounds. $H_2$-TPR suggests that the reducibility or interaction between Pd and In could occur; however, the ease of catalyst reoxidation together with the low Pd loading makes it difficult to



**Fig. 5.** Spent Pd-12$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ imaged with HAADF-STEM (a and b). Based on this the mean average particle size was found to be 1.3 nm ± 0.3 nm (c). (d-f) STEM-EELS maps of the Pd M, In M and O K edge respectively overlayed on top of the HAADF-STEM acquired at the same time.

obtain any reliable information about these aspects. To further obtain insight into the speciation of the catalysts at the beginning of the reaction, selected catalytic materials were reduced and characterized by CO-chemisorption, XPS and *in situ* XAFS, all measurements performed whilst avoiding contact with air.

### 3.3. Reduced catalysts

CO chemisorption data, summarized in Table 1, confirm a high Pd dispersion, 84 %, in Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$. In contrast, the CO uptake, and in turn the dispersion, largely decreases to ca. 13–14 % for Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Pd-23In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts, while it is not possible to measure any CO uptake for Pd-In$_2$O$_3$. The drop in CO chemisorption is not related to large Pd particle sizes as previously evidenced by TEM data. Qualitative CO-DRIFTS data spectra provide further insights into the CO-catalyst surface interaction (Fig. 6). In Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts, the stretching vibration bands of CO adsorbed in linear (2080 cm$^{-1}$) and bridge (1930 cm$^{-1}$) modes are identified [51]. However, in comparison to Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$, the former is redshifted, while the latter is smaller and blue shifted. The changes in the CO chemisorption have been previously related to the formation of PdIn intermetallics [52,53] or single Pd sites [17] as well as to the coverage of the surface of Pd (or PdIn) particles by either In$^0$ or In$_2$O$_3$ [19,54]. Snider et al. based on DFT of PdIn intermetallics established that CO only adsorbs on Pd sites, and the interaction between CO and the surface decreases as the In content increases. Hence the decrease in CO chemisorption in these samples is likely explained to be due to Pd is surrounded by non-CO-adsorbing elements, either In$^0$ or In$_2$O$_3$.

XPS data of selected reduced catalysts are summarized in Fig. 4 and Table 2. In Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ most of the Pd on the surface (74 %) is reduced to Pd$^0$ (Pd 3$d_{5/2}$ contribution at 335.0 eV), while the BE of In 3$d_{5/2}$ decreases from 444.0 to 443.6 eV, previously assigned to In$^0$ [55] or In$_x$Pd$_y$ intermetallic compounds [39,56]. Remarkably, for reduced Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, the In 3$d_{5/2}$ BE (444.7 eV) does not shift towards lower energies, and the Pd$^0$ signal at 335.0 eV, clearly identified in reduced Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$, is not observed. The only effect of the reduction pretreatment in Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is the disappearance of the peak at 337.8 eV. These results suggest that In$_2$O$_3$ is not reduced to In$^0$; however, Panafidin et al. found that In$_2$O$_3$ and In$^0$ clusters located in lattice defects of the support are characterized by similar binding energy values in XPS and thus the respective components strongly overlap [57]. The single Pd 3$d_{5/2}$



**Fig. 6.** CO DRIFTS spectra without any processing of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts with 12 and 23 wt% of In$_2$O$_3$, and of the Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ counterparts. Pretreatment: reduction at 300 °C (heating rate 2 °C/min) in 2 % H$_2$/Ar for 2 h and cooled to 35 °C.

BE at 335.9 eV in the Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ reduced catalyst is similar to the one in the calcined sample (and therefore higher than in reduced Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ and Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$); however, the complete reduction of Pd$^{2+}$ is rather unlikely. The formation of a surface PdIn intermetallic could be inferred taking into account the work by Garcia-Trenco et al. that reported similar Pd 3$d_{5/2}$ (335.9 eV) and In 3$d_{5/2}$ (444.8 eV) BEs for an In depleted PdIn intermetallic coated by In$_2$O$_3$ [18].

The Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst was investigated by XANES and EXAFs during *in situ* reduction up to 400 °C. Note that this temperature is 100 °C higher than the reduction temperature to boost the possible PdIn intermetallic formation and In$_2$O$_3$ to In$^0$ reduction. Initial inspection of the Pd K-edge XANES spectra (Fig. 7a) suggests a shift in oxidation state from Pd(2 + ) to Pd(0); this is concluded from the observed reduction in rising absorption edge intensity and shift in oscillations to match the metallic Pd standard spectrum. In order to deconvolute these spectra further, LCF was applied to each XANES spectra (Table 3). The Pd species under these conditions do not fully reduce to Pd(0), and there is still a small contribution (~18 %) from oxidic Pd(2 + ), even after 30 min of reducing gas flow. These results agree with H$_2$-TPR data and confirm the presence of small Pd species interacting strongly with the support.

The Fourier-transformed EXAFS spectra of the Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst at 400 °C and 400 °C after a 30-min dwell time in Fig. 7c reveal the presence of components at 1.72 and 2.45 Å (phase uncorrected distance), consistent with the presence of both oxidic and metallic Pd. Note the shorter Pd-Pd (2.66 Å) distance seen in the sample when compared to the Pd foil (~2.74 Å) may be due to thermal anisotropy. Fittings of these EXAFS spectra using Artemis (Table 4) reveal that no definitive PdIn alloying could be detected at the beginning or conclusion of the reduction process, where only metallic Pd-Pd(1) and oxidic Pd-O(1) scattering paths could be reasonably fitted. Note that from the Pd-Pd metal coordination number obtained and when accounting for the ~ 20 % PdO contribution, it is possible to determine the mean Pd nanoparticle size to be ~ 1.6 nm hence in excellent agreement with the HAADF-STEM data presented above [58]. After the dwell time, a slight contraction of the metallic Pd-Pd(1) bond distance and increase in FT intensity/coordination number suggests the further formation of Pd(0) only species, as opposed to any evidence for Pd-In interaction/alloy formation, where an increase in the mean bond distance would be expected due to the larger atomic radius of In.

The corresponding XANES data for the In K-edge data are given in Fig. 7b with the loss of rising absorption edge intensity consistent with a thermal damping of the In XAFS signal during the reduction treatment. However, there is no obvious change in the phase of the EXAFS oscillations with temperature/time and hence no clear evidence for In$_2$O$_3$ reduction or else In-Pd alloying.

The *in situ* reduction of the catalysts allow us to confirm that coprecipitated Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts are characterized by a high Pd/oxide matrix interaction that avoids the full Pd$^{2+}$ reduction to Pd$^0$ and that is responsible of the nano Pd$^0$ particles, regardless of the In$_2$O$_3$ loading. Moreover, this strong interaction and the dispersion of lack of long-range nano In$_2$O$_3$ may explain the absence of PdIn intermetallics and In$^0$ despite the vicinity of the individual elements observed by HAADF/STEM maps, as revealed by the characterization of the bulk of the catalyst by XANES and EXAFS. Though the surface characterization by XPS suggested some kind of Pd-In interaction, likely related to the higher reducibility of surface In$_2$O$_3$ indicated also by TPR. Whatever the type of bulk or surface speciation, the Pd and In distributions decrease the CO chemisorption at 35 °C, which could explain the lower CO selectivity of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ in comparison to the Pd-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Meanwhile the enhanced activity of the Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts is likely related to the close proximity of Pd and In$_2$O$_3$, where the

**Fig. 7.** Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$: (a) *in situ* XANES spectra at the Pd edge, (b) *in situ* XANES spectra at the In edge, (c) *in situ* R-space plot Pd K-edge. Conditions: i) under He at room temperature; ii) reduction under H$_2$ at 400 °C; iii) after 15 and/or 30 min under H$_2$ at 400 °C.

**Table 3**
Fitting data of the Pd K-edged XANES spectra of Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst at different treatment conditions. Exemplar fitting.

| Treatment | R-factor | Chi squared | Met. Pd | Error | Ox. PdO | Error |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Room temperature, He | 0.00485 | 0.183 | 5.4 % | 1.6 % | 94.3 % | 1.6 % |
| Reduced 400 °C | 0.00719 | 0.247 | 80.9 % | 1.9 % | 19.1 % | 1.9 % |
| Reduced 400 °C, 30 min | 0.00719 | 0.248 | 82.1 % | 1.9 % | 17.9 % | 1.9 % |

**Table 4**
Fit parameters of the $k^2$-Weighted EXAFS spectra at the Pd K-Edge.

| Treatment | path | R / Å | CN | $\sigma^2$ / Å$^2$ | E$_0$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Reduced 400 °C | metallic Pd-Pd(1) | 2.66 ± 0.017 | 7.08 ± 0.48 | 0.02 (set) | −3.18 |
| | oxidic Pd-O(1) | 2.02 ± 0.039 | 1.14 ± 0.43 | 0.03 (set) | −3.18 |
| Reduced 400 °C, | metallic Pd-Pd(1) | 2.63 ± 0.02 | 7.14 ± 0.63 | 0.02 (set) | −4.5 |
| 30 min | oxidic Pd-O(1) | 2.05 ± 0.037 | 1.83 ± 0.73 | 0.03 (set) | −4.5 |

combination of the H$_2$ splitting ability of Pd with CO$_2$ activation on In$_2$O$_3$ results in enhanced CH$_3$OH production.

### 3.4. Stability tests and effect of GHSV and H$_2$/CO ratio

To further demonstrate the feasibility of dispersing both Pd and In on Al$_2$O$_3$ to develop a promising CH$_3$OH catalyst, the stability of Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ was investigated and compared with that of Pd-In$_2$O$_3$. Stability tests were performed after the series of tests conducted in the first 8 h of reaction at increasing temperature (175–300 °C) as reported in Fig. 1. The catalyst performances were then monitored at 280 and 300 °C, feeding a H$_2$/CO$_2$ = 4/1 v/v mixture at 40,800 mL g$_{cat}^{-1}$h$^{-1}$. Moreover, some control tests were carried out under less favored conditions, i.e. H$_2$/CO$_2$ = 3/1, at 16,800 mL g$_{cat}^{-1}$h$^{-1}$ and 225 °C and 300 °C. Note that the reactor operated under transient conditions, it was shut-down (decreased the temperature and depressurized) in a N$_2$ flow after every 10 h. Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst is stable for ca. 100 h TOS (Fig. 8a), after that the STY at both 280 and 300 °C decreases by ca. 10 %. These poorer STY values are related to a loss in both conversion and CH$_3$-OH selectivity at 300 °C, but only to a decrease in conversion at 280 °C (Figure S13). Remarkably the performance at 225 °C is not

**Fig. 8.** Evolution of the STY during the stability test over Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ (a), Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ (b); STEM/HAADF (c) and PSD (d) of the spent catalyst. In figure (a) and (b) the results of the first 8 h of reaction corresponds to the data shown in Fig. 1.

modified with TOS. The Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ catalyst is less stable, as evidenced by the poor performance showed after 8 h and the steady decrease in the STY after 40 h TOS (Fig. 8b).

The characterization of the spent catalysts by XRD does not evidence any remarkable change for Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$, while the Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ cubic phase sintered (Figure S14, Table S6). HRTEM images of the Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ long term tested catalyst confirm that it is stable with time-on-stream, neither the morphology nor the size of the support and Pd and In$_2$O$_3$ particles are largely modified (Fig. 8c, 8d), confirming the role of Al$_2$O$_3$ in both dispersing and stabilizing the active centers of the catalyst in the reaction conditions. Only a slight increase in the particle size (from 1.30 ± 0.33 to 1.98 ± 0.83 nm) occurs. A similar particle growth is observed for the long term spent Pd-In$_2$O$_3$ which perhaps is consistent with the formation of PdIn particles (Figure S11e). Remarkably, the sintering (crystallite growth) is not accompanied by a particle growth and the pores or defects are still observed as dark spots in HAADF/STEM images (Figure S11e).

Lastly, the effect of the GHSV and the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio on the performance of Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ is investigated at 300 and 280 °C

(Table 5). Regardless of the reaction temperature, and in line with the equilibrium calculations (Figure S4b), the increase of the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio leads to a better performance, especially in terms of CO$_2$ conversion, that reaches a value of 10.1 % when the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio is 5 v/v at 300 °C (STY = 0.6010 g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{cat}$ h). Similarly, CH$_3$OH selectivity values slightly improve with the increase in the H$_2$ concentration in the inlet stream (H$_2$/CO$_2$ = 5 v/v), hitting 79 % at 280 °C. By increasing the space velocity at 280 °C to 68,000 mL g$_{cat}^{-1}$h$^{-1}$ (H$_2$/CO$_2$ = 4 v/v), it is possible to boost the CH$_3$OH productivity to 0.5283 g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{cat}$ h, however under these conditions a decrease in CO$_2$ conversion (–22 %) and product selectivity (-3 %) is observed.

## 4. Conclusions

Coprecipitated Pd-In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts contain highly dispersed Pd$^{2+}$ and In$_2$O$_3$ in the Al$_2$O$_3$ matrix. The dispersion of In$_2$O$_3$ avoids the formation of the crystalline cubic phase and enhances its thermal stability. Moreover, the vacancy formation is likely altered by the lack of long-range order in the dispersed

**Table 5**
Effect of the temperature, H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio and GHSV on the CO$_2$ Conversion, Selectivity in CH$_3$OH and STY of Pd-12In$_2$O$_3$-Al$_2$O$_3$.

| Temperature / °C | H$_2$/CO$_2$ | GHSV / mL/g$_{cat}$ h | Conv. CO$_2$ / % | Sel. CH$_3$OH / % | STY / g$_{CH3OH}$/g$_{cat}$ h |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 300 | 3 | 40,800 | 7.6 | 59 | 0.4224 |
| 300 | 4 | 40,800 | 8.6 | 62 | 0.4969 |
| 300 | 5 | 40,800 | 10.1 | 63 | 0.6010 |
| 280 | 4 | 40,800 | 5.8 | 77 | 0.4212 |
| 280 | 5 | 40,800 | 6.4 | 79 | 0.4814 |
| 280 | 4 | 68,000 | 4.5 | 75 | 0.5283 |

$In_2O_3$ and in turn the activity drops in comparison to pristine $In_2O_3$. The incorporation of Pd in the $In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ matrix boosts the activity in methanol synthesis; the role of Pd is likely to favor the $H_2$ splitting ability of the catalyst, synergistically promoting the $CO_2$ hydrogenation to $CH_3OH$ over the highly dispersed $In_2O_3$ particles. The improved activity of the Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalysts could be related to the close proximity of Pd and $In_2O_3$, hence the Pd-$In_2O_3$ interface could have an important role to the activity. although it was shown that this is primarily dependent on the $In_2O_3$ loading rather on the Pd particle size. It is possible to achieve similar STY values with a Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ catalyst containing only a 12 wt% $In_2O_3$ than over a Pd-$In_2O_3$ at 30 bar and $H_2/CO_2$ = 4/1 v/v due to an enhancement in the intrinsic activity of $In_2O_3$ of around seven times (4.32 vs 0.56 g $g_{MeOH}$ $h^{-1}$ $g_{In2O3}^{-1}$ for Pd-$In_2O_3$-$Al_2O_3$ and Pd-$In_2O_3$, respectively). More importantly the performance is stable for 100 h time on stream. The active catalyst can be described as stable $Pd^0$ and $In_2O_3$ nanoparticles (both with a dimension of 1.3–1.8 nm) in the $Al_2O_3$ support, together with some unreduced $Pd^{2+}$. Even if the formation of a low amount of PdIn surface alloy cannot be excluded, the enhanced interaction between the highly dispersed Pd and $In_2O_3$ active centers appears to be the predominant factor that affects the catalyst activity.

Although further investigations are needed to better understand the catalytic reaction mechanism and shed light on the complex nanostructure of the catalyst, this work shows that a simple $Al_2O_3$ support could be used to disperse Pd and $In_2O_3$ when prepared by coprecipitation, boosting their intrinsic activity and stability in the methanol synthesis, and therefore decreasing its loading. These results could open the way for the development of more economically feasible and stable methanol catalysts based on other types of supports.

## Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgment

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2023.05.012.

## References

[1] J. Sehested, J. Catal. 371 (2019) 368.
[2] A. Álvarez, A. Bansode, A. Urakawa, A.V. Bavykina, T.A. Wezendonk, M. Makkee, J. Gascon, F. Kapteijn, Chem. Rev. 117 (2017) 9804.
[3] P. Sharma, J. Sebastian, S. Ghosh, D. Creaser, L. Olsson, Catal Sci. Technol. 11 (2021) 1665.
[4] J. Wang, G. Zhang, J. Zhu, X. Zhang, F. Ding, A. Zhang, C. Guo, C. Song, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 1406.
[5] J. Ye, C. Liu, D. Mei, Q. Ge, ACS Catal. 3 (2013) 1296.
[6] W. Wang, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang, J.-M. Yan, Q. Ge, C.-J. Liu, Catal. Today 259 (2) (2016) 402.
[7] K. Sun, Z.J. Fan, J. Ye, J. Yan, Q. Ge, Y. Li, W. He, W. Yang, C.J. Liu, J. CO₂ Util. 12 (2015) 1.
[8] O. Martin, A.J. Martín, C. Mondelli, S. Mitchell, T.F. Segawa, R. Hauert, C. Drouilly, D. Curulla-Ferré, J. Pérez-Ramírez, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55 (2016) 6261.
[9] M.S. Frei, M. Capdevila-Cortada, R. García-Muelas, C. Mondelli, N. López, J.A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré, J. Pérez-Ramírez, J. Catal. 361 (2018) 313.
[10] J. Ye, C.-J. Liu, D. Mei, Q. Ge, J. Catal. 317 (2014) 44.
[11] T.P. Araújo, A. Shah, C. Mondelli, J.A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré, J. Pérez-Ramírez, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 285 (2021).
[12] H. Lorenz, S. Turner, O.I. Lebedev, G. Van Tendeloo, B. Klötzer, C. Rameshan, K. Pfaller, S. Penner, Appl. Catal. A 374 (2010) 180.
[13] M. Neumann, D. Teschner, A. Knop-Gericke, W. Reschetilowski, M. Armbrüster, J. Catal. 340 (2016) 49.
[14] J.H. Kwak, L. Kovarik, J. Szanyi, ACS Catal. 3 (2013) 2094.
[15] J. Ye, Q. Ge, C.-J. Liu, Chem. Eng. Sci. 135 (2015) 193.
[16] N. Rui, Z. Wang, K. Sun, J. Ye, Q. Ge, C.-J. Liu, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 218 (2017) 488.
[17] M.S. Frei, C. Mondelli, R. Garcia-Muelas, K.S. Kley, B. Puertolas, N. Lopez, O.V. Safonova, J.A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferre, J. Pérez-Ramírez, Nat. Commun. 10 (2019) 3377.
[18] A. García-Trenco, A. Regoutz, E.R. White, D.J. Payne, M.S.P. Shaffer, C.K. Williams, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 220 (2018) 9.
[19] J.L. Snider, V. Streibel, M.A. Hubert, T.S. Choksi, E. Valle, D.C. Upham, J. Schumann, M.S. Duyar, A. Gallo, F. Abild-Pedersen, T.F. Jaramillo, ACS Catal. 9 (2019) 3399.
[20] H. Okamoto, J. Phase Equilib. 24 (2003) 481.
[21] Landolt-Börnstein, Phase Equilibria, Crystallographic and Thermodynamic Data of Binary Alloys, New Series IV/5G, Springer, Heidelberg, 1997, p. 142.
[22] Y. Men, G. Kolb, R. Zapf, M. O'Connell, A. Ziogas, Appl. Catal. A: Gen 380 (1–2) (2010) 15.
[23] A. Erdöhelyi, M. Pásztor, F. Solymosi, J. Catal. 98 (1986) 166.
[24] A. Tsoukalou, P.M. Abdala, D. Stoian, X. Huang, M.-G. Willinger, A. Fedorov, C.R. Müller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141 (2019) 13497.
[25] A. Cao, Z. Wang, H. Li, J.K. Nørskov, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 1780.
[26] Z. Cai, J. Dai, W. Li, K.B. Tan, Z. Huang, G. Zhan, J. Huang, Q. Li, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 13275.
[27] M.S. Frei, C. Mondelli, A. Cesarini, F. Krumeich, R. Hauert, J.A. Stewart, D. Curulla Ferré, J. Pérez-Ramírez, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 1133.
[28] A. Tsoukalou, P.M. Abdala, A. Armutlulu, E. Willinger, A. Fedorov, C.R. Müller, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 10060.
[29] C. Yang, C. Pei, R. Luo, S. Liu, Y. Wang, Z. Wang, Z.-J. Zhao, J. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142 (2020) 19523.
[30] J.A. Perdigon-Melon, A. Gervasini, A. Auroux, J. Catal. 234 (2005) 421.
[31] M. Chen, J.-L. Wu, Y.-M. Liu, Y. Cao, L. Guo, H.-Y. He, K.-N. Fan, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 407 (2011) 20.
[32] M. Meng-Jung Li, H. Zou, J. Zheng, T.-S. Wu, T.-S. Chan, Y.-L. Soo, X.-P. Wu, X.-Q. Gong, T. Chen, K. Roy, G. Held, S.C.E. Tsang, Angew Chem. Int. Ed. 59 (2020) 16039.
[33] A.J. Dent, G. Cibin, S. Ramos, S.A. Parry, D. Gianolio, A.D. Smith, S.M. Scott, L. Varandas, S. Patel, M.R. Pearson, L. Hudson, N.A. Krumpa, A.S. Marsch, P.E. Robbins, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 430 (2013).
[34] B. Ravel, M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 12 (2005) 537.
[35] J. Song, S. Liu, C. Yang, G. Wang, H. Tian, Z.-J. Zhao, R. Mu, J. Gong, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 263 (2020).
[36] X. Wang, H. Shi, J.H. Kwak, J. Szanyi, ACS Catal. 5 (2015) 6337.
[37] E. Lam, J.J. Corral-Pérez, K. Larmier, G. Noh, P. Wolf, A. Comas-Vives, A. Urakawa, C. Copéret, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 13989.
[38] X. Cui, S. Chen, H. Yang, Y. Liu, H. Wang, H. Zhang, Y. Xue, G. Wang, Y. Niu, T. Deng, W. Fan, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 298 (2021).
[39] S. Tada, S. Kayamori, T. Honma, H. Kamei, A. Nariyuki, K. Kon, T. Toyao, K.-I. Shimizu, S. Satokawa, ACS Catal. 8 (2018) 7809.
[40] A. Gervasini, J.A. Perdigon-Melon, C. Guimon, A. Auroux, J. Phys. Chem. B 110 (2006) 240.
[41] M. Chen, J. Xu, Y. Cao, H.-Y. He, K.-N. Fan, J.-H. Zhuang, J. Catal. 272 (2010) 101.
[42] M. Haneda, Y. Kintaichi, N. Bion, H. Hamada, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 42 (2003) 57.
[43] N. Köwitsch, L. Thoni, B. Klemmed, A. Benad, P. Paciok, M. Heggen, I. Köwitsch, M. Mehring, A. Eychmüller, M. Armbrüster, ACS Catal. 11 (2021) 304.

[44] A.S. Ivanova, E.M. Slavinskaya, R.V. Gulyaev, V.I. Zaikovskii, O.A. Stonkus, I.G. Danilova, L.M. Plyasova, I.A. Polukhina, A.I. Boronin, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 97 (2010) 57.

[45] F. Bossola, T. Roongcharoen, M. Coduri, C. Evangelisti, F. Somodi, L. Sementa, A. Fortunelli, V. Dal Santo, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 297 (2021).

[46] J. Li, J. Li, X. Liu, J. Chen, P. Tian, S. Dai, M. Zhu, Y.-F. Han, Appl. Catal. B: Env. 298 (2021).

[47] B. Buchholz, Q. Ma, D. Alducin, A. Ponce, M.J. Yacaman, R. Khanal, J.E. Medvedeva, R.P.H. Chang, Chem. Mater. 26 (2014) 5401.

[48] T.-J. Chen, C. Cao, T.-B. Chen, X. Ding, H. Huang, L. Shen, X. Cao, M. Zhu, J. Xu, J. Gao, Y.-F. Han, ACS Catal. 9 (2019) 8785.

[49] J. Wang, C.-Y. Liu, T.P. Senftle, J. Zhu, G. Zhang, X. Guo, C. Song, ACS Catal. 10 (2020) 3264.

[50] J. Yin, H. Cao, Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 6529.

[51] I.V. Yudanov, R. Sahnoun, K.M. Neyman, N. Rösch, J. Hoffmann, S. Schauermann, V. Johánek, H. Unterhalt, G. Rupprechter, J. Libuda, H.-J. Freund, J. Phys. Chem. B 107 (2003) 255.

[52] J. Jeon, K.-I. Kon, T. Toyao, K.-I. Shimizu, S. Furukawa, Chem. Sci. 10 (2019) 4148.

[53] P.V. Markov, A.V. Bukhtiyarov, I.S. Mashkovsky, N.S. Smirnova, I.P. Prosvirin, Z. S. Vinokurov, M.A. Panafidin, G.N. Baeva, Y.V. Zubavichus, V.I. Bukhtiyarov, A.Y. Stakheev, Kinet. Catal. 60 (2019) 842.

[54] Z. Wu, E.C. Wegener, H.-T. Tseng, J.R. Gallagher, J.W. Harris, R.E. Diaz, Y. Ren, F. H. Ribeiro, J.T. Miller, Catal Sci. Technol. 6 (2016) 6965.

[55] F.A. Marchesini, S. Irusta, C. Querini, E. Miró, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 348 (2008) 60.

[56] V.S. Marakatti, S.C. Sarma, S. Sarkar, M. Krajčí, E.M. Gaigneaux, S.C. Peter, A.C.S. Appl, Mater. Interf. 11 (2019) 37602.

[57] M.A. Panafidin, A.V. Bukhtiyarov, I.P. Prosvirin, I.A. Chetyrin, A.Y. Klyushin, A. Knop-Gericke, N.S. Smirnova, P.V. Markov, I.S. Mashkovsky, Y.V. Zubavichus, A. Y. Stakheev, V.I. Bukhtiyarov, Appl. Surf. Sci. 571 (2022).

[58] A.M. Beale, B.M. Weckhuysen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 (2010) 5562.