

The Mechanical Paul Trap: Introducing the Concept of Ion Trapping

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-07-02 14:01 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Kilde Westberg, S., Fragoso, R., Weidow, J. et al (2023). The Mechanical Paul Trap: Introducing the Concept of Ion Trapping. Physics Teacher, 61(9): 762-765. http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/5.0106359

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

PAPERS | DECEMBER 01 2023

The Mechanical Paul Trap: Introducing the Concept of Ion Trapping

Sebastian Kilde Löfgren 💿 ; Ricardo Méndez Fragoso 💿 ; Jonathan Weidow 💿 ; Jonas Enger 💿

() Check for updates

Phys. Teach. 61, 762–765 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1119/5.0106359





Special Topic: Teaching about the environment, sustainability, and climate change

Read Now

SICS EDUCATION

The Mechanical Paul Trap: Introducing the Concept of Ion Trapping

Sebastian Kilde Löfgren, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden **Ricardo Méndez Fragoso,** Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico **Jonathan Weidow,** Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden **Jonas Enger,** University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Nobel laureate Wolfgang Paul showed, back in the 1950s, that charged particles can be trapped using alternating electric fields.¹ This technique is commonly referred to as a Paul trap or a radiofrequency trap (RF-trap) and is used in various areas of modern physics. This paper presents a 3D-printed mechanical Paul trap, a naive simulation of the system in Python, and student investigations. The files for the 3D-printed trap are available for download and print,² and the code for the simulation is available to run and tinker with.³

The mechanical Paul trap [Fig. 1(a)] can be used as a demonstration tool or an experimental setup to simulate how a real Paul trap works or to investigate interesting physical phenomena.^{4–7} Previous work has mainly focused on higher education, and here we present investigations that can be incorporated into earlier parts of the educational system. Proposed investigations can be done either in isolation or together to have the student(s) experience the physical phenomena in the mechanical Paul trap and visualize how a real Paul trap works. A (real) linear electrical Paul trap confines particles by having four metal rods connected to an AC source, where opposite rods have the same polarity and continuously change their polarity with some frequency Ω , as seen in Fig. 2.

The mechanical Paul trap

Moving from a two-dimensional representation to a three-dimensional one makes visualizing the field strength less abstract. Figure 1(b) shows a saddle-looking shape with a particle in the middle. In this picture, the field strength is represented as the height of the z-axis. However, the field is not visible and thus becomes a rather abstract concept to communicate to students. This raises critical pedagogical questions about visualizing and making the idea more tangible for students. Also, why do we even need a varying electric field to trap a particle? One way is to take a pure mathematical route and let that be the representational tool to understand the physical situation. Another method can be finding some analog that students can see and relate to. This is where the mechanical Paul trap takes the stage, as it did during Wolfgang Paul's Nobel lecture.¹ Since then, others have constructed and studied the mechanical Paul trap for demonstration purposes or laboratory exercises.^{6–8}

However, the mechanical Paul trap is not a trivial analog because it has some crucial differences from a real Paul trap. Two such significant differences are the effect of rolling and friction, which can lead to trapping balls on various rotating surfaces.^{9–12} The effects in a mechanical Paul trap have been discussed as simulating adding a magnetic field to the Paul



Fig. 1. (a) A 3D-printed mechanical Paul trap. (b) A negatively charged particle in the quadrupole field. The potential of the field is illustrated as both the height of the saddle and the color scale that goes from dark red (large attractive force) to dark blue (large repulsive force).



Fig. 2. (a) Two configurations of the potential field in a linear Paul trap. The normalized potential goes from -1 (dark blue) to 1 (dark red). The field flips from left to right configuration during its rotation driven by the angular frequency Ω . The potential is zero along the diagonals, creating a saddle point in the middle. (b) Linear Paul traps using four charged rods.

trap, giving rise to a Lorentz force.^{5,8,13} There have been several proposals for alternative models that can be used for demonstration purposes, such as a spring-loaded inverted pendulum,⁴ the rotating hoop trap,¹⁴ or a large-scale electrical quadrupole trap.¹⁵ The first two examples solve some apparent problems with the mechanical Paul trap model but also remove some pedagogical strengths, such as the potential shape's physical appearance. The last example lets students see particles trapped in a varying electric field. However, since it uses high voltage, the equipment is dangerous, and once again, the visual component of the particle–field interaction is obscured. The focus on the visual aspect of particle– field interaction is why the mechanical Paul trap is further investigated as a pedagogical tool in this paper, despite its mentioned shortcomings.

A mechanical Paul trap can be constructed as described by Rueckner et al.⁷ or 3D printed. There are several advantages of using an automated process like 3D printing, such as low cost, high precision, and easy modification. The proposed trap design only requires a few components that cannot be 3D printed: a motor, an Arduino and some basic components, and a power source. See Fig. 3 for schematics.¹⁶



Fig. 3. Schematics for controlling the mechanical Paul trap with an Arduino. Using a NEMA 23 stepper motor (a) to drive the saddle surface requires a motor driver (b). The Arduino (c) is connected to a computer for displaying the frequency. The frequency is controlled by a potentiometer (d). A capacitor (e) protects the motor from current spikes.

Theory

For a real Paul trap, we can express the varying electric potential as

$$V(t) = \frac{V_0}{2R^2} (x^2 - y^2) \cos(\Omega t),$$
(1)

where V_0 is the voltage of an AC source, *R* is half the distance between two opposing rods (Fig. 1), Ω is the angular frequency of the AC source, *t* is the time, and *x* and *y* are Cartesian coordinates.

For the mechanical Paul trap, in the frictionless case, we can model the gravitational potential (see Ref. 6) as

$$U_{\rm g}(t) = \frac{mgh}{R^2} [(x^2 - y^2)\cos(2\Omega t) + 2xy\sin(2\Omega t)], \quad (2)$$

where *m* is the mass of the trapped particle, *g* is the gravitational acceleration, Ω is the angular rotational frequency of the saddle surface, *R* is the radius of the saddle, *h* is the maximum height of the surface at a distance *r* from the center, *t* is the time, and *x* and *y* are Cartesian coordinates.

Equations (1) and (2) look alike, apart from a sinusoidal cross-dependent term for the mechanical trap. This term shows that the potential in the mechanical Paul trap is bounded,⁶ which must be true for a solid surface. The gravitational potential and the initial conditions dictate the motion of a "particle" in the trap. In Eq. (2), the shape of the trap, given by h/R^2 , and the angular frequency Ω are controllable terms that affect the "particle's" path. In the case of the real Paul trap, the shape of the trap and the frequency at which the electric potential is changed are terms affecting particle paths as well. Thus, to use the mechanical Paul trap to teach how real Paul traps work, the shape and frequency can be relevant factors to investigate.

Pedagogical framework

The theoretical framework that served as a guiding principle when developing the exercises is variation theory.^{17,18} A fundamental question to ask is what students need to have learned to succeed in a particular task.¹⁷ That is, what are the critical aspects when learning something? According to variation theory, learning something can be thought of as *learning to see*. Learning is about being able to discern features of the world, and the proposed process of going from *not seeing* to *seeing* can be separated into three stages that Marton¹⁷ refers to as

- **1. Contrast,** where different aspects of a concept of phenomena take turns being varied or invariant. This can be done via induction, where the studied aspect is invariant, or via contrast, where the studied aspect is varied.
- **2. Generalization**, in which the discerned aspect in the previous stage is invariant and the student is allowed to vary other aspects.
- **3.** *Fusion,* which requires that the student experiences variations of multiple focused aspects and puts different aspects together to understand the object of learning more deeply.

Student investigation 1: Physical properties of a saddle point

In Swedish upper secondary mathematics, students experience the concept of saddle points in the abstract world of sketching function graphs using derivatives. A saddle point exhibits the phenomena of having a derivative of zero, but when moving just a small distance away from that point, the derivatives point toward the saddle point in some directions and away in others. For example, the function

$$z = \frac{1}{a}(x^2 - y^2)$$
(3)

constructs the surface of the mechanical Paul trap (Fig. 1). Using the mechanical Paul trap, one can intuitively understand the concept of saddle points by trying to place a ball on the trap carefully and have it not fall off when the trap is stationary. It may require some trial and error, but it is doable. To guide students, the teacher can ask follow-up questions: What does it take for the ball not to roll off? Why does the ball tend to roll off the surface?

This simple experiment highlights one crucial property of saddle points, namely, that it is possible to have something placed on such a point, but it is not a stable point.

Student investigation 2: Varying frequency

The mechanical Paul trap has a frequency region where, if a ball is placed close to the middle with some care, it will be trapped for some time. The purpose of varying the rotation frequency of the trap is to guide students to discern rotation frequency as a critical aspect through contrast.

We propose the following procedure to discover the importance of rotation frequency in the mechanical Paul trap:

a) Place a ball, for example, a glass bead with a diameter of 22 mm, on the saddle surface using the following rotational frequencies: 2 Hz and 3.5 Hz. Repeat the experiment at least 10 times for each frequency and note the trapping time for each trial. Is it possible to catch the ball at any of the frequencies?

The trapping time can be defined as the

time from letting go of the ball until the ball leaves the trap. The ball can be counted as trapped if the trapping time exceeds, for example, 10 s.

b) Using a computer simulation, investigate if a "particle" can be trapped using the following rotational frequencies: 2 Hz and 3.5 Hz, and the starting position x = 0 mm and y = 8 mm.

The frequencies are chosen so students will experience one region where a ball cannot be trapped and one where trapping is possible. For example, when trying to trap the glass bead at 3.5 Hz, it is possible to trap it for at least 10 s. However, due to the chaotic nature of the mechanical Paul trap, the trapping time can fluctuate between 1 and 13 s (Fig. 4).

Student investigation 3: Threshold frequencies

There is a range of possible frequencies with which to trap a ball, and finding the lower bound could help highlight this feature. The lower bound is the threshold frequency, which we define as where the trapping time increases significantly.

For this investigation, let the students choose their approach and have them vary the size of the ball (for example, between 10 and 45 mm in diameter). Some groups may rely heavily on computer simulation, and others do not use it. Moreover, when using the mechanical Paul trap, one can find the threshold frequency in a couple of different ways: (1) start-



Fig. 4. Finding the threshold frequency for a glass bead with diameter of 22 mm. From 2.8 to 2.9 Hz, the trapping time increases significantly, usually by at least one order of magnitude. The inclusion of bad initial conditions here highlights the sensitivity of initial conditions. With the simulation, this shift is observable as the particle being either trapped indefinitely or not by observing a few rotations.

ing with a frequency where it is easy to trap and with the ball stable in the trap, successively lowering the frequency until dropping the ball; or (2) starting with a low frequency and systematically increasing the frequency in small increments. The threshold frequency should become somewhat apparent if plotting the trapping time at various frequencies, as in Fig. 4.

The act of changing balls affects the system in various ways. If the mass or surface of the ball is changed, then friction is changed. However, small changes in friction do appear to only affect trapping time and not the threshold frequency. Generally, it is suggested to have low friction in the system to increase trapping time. When changing the size of the ball, the threshold frequency changes since the effective potential changes. The effective potential can be considered the allowed path of a ball's center of mass in the trap. The simulation can help highlight this by having the ball as a frictionless point particle.

Conclusions

Our proposed investigations allow students to explore the concept of trapping particles using varying fields. Furthermore, by having a mechanical analog to the Paul trap and a simulation, the abstract notion of field strength can be explained using multiple representations, thus opening more possibilities for students to grasp the concept through variation.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Prof. Dag Hanstorp for introducing the mechanical Paul trap to the group and the anonymous reviewers for their help in improving the paper. R.M.F. acknowledges financial support from the Wenner-Gren Foundation and grants DGAPA-PASPA, PAPIIT IN-112721, and PAPIME PE-103021 and PE-109423.

References

- 1. W. Paul, "Electromagnetic traps for charged and neutral particles" (Nobel lecture), *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **29**, 739–748 (1990).
- 2. Link to stl files ready to be 3D printed: https://www.thingiverse.com/thing:5249350.
- Google Colaboratory notebook with the simulation: https://colab.research.google.com/drive/ 1j8PF0aVwbNHfwCPKdJB4rMXY4U9XapK1?usp=sharing.
- 4. O. N. Kirillov and M. Levi, "Rotating saddle trap as Foucault's pendulum," *Am. J. Phys.* 84, 26–31 (2016).
- 5. O. Kirillov and M. Levi, "A Coriolis force in an inertial frame," *Nonlinearity* **30**, 1109–1119 (2017).
- 6. R. I. Thompson, T. J. Harmon, and M. G. Ball, "The rotating-saddle trap: A mechanical analogy to RF-electric-quadrupole ion trapping?" *Can. J. Phys.* **80**, 1433–1448 (2002).
- W. Rueckner, J. Georgi, D. Goodale, D. Rosenberg, and D. Tavilla, "Rotating saddle Paul trap," *Am. J. Phys.* 63, 186–187 (1995).
- W. Fan, L. Du, S. Wang, and H. Zhou, "Confining rigid balls by mimicking quadrupole ion trapping," *Am. J. Phys.* 85, 821–829 (2016).
- 9. W. Weckesser, "A ball rolling on a freely spinning turntable," *Am. J. Phys.* **65**, 736–738 (1997).
- 10. K. Zengel, "The electromagnetic analogy of a ball on a rotating conical turntable," *Am. J. Phys.* **85**, 901–907 (2017).
- 11. R. Ehrlich and J. Tuszynski, "Ball on a rotating turntable: Comparison of theory and experiment," *Am. J. Phys.* **63**, 351–359 (1995).

- 12. R. H. Romer, "Motion of a sphere on a tilted turntable," *Am. J. Phys.* **49**, 985–986 (1981).
- 13. G. Cox and M. Levi, "The ponderomotive Lorentz force," *Nonlinearity* **33**, 4030–4045 (2020).
- A. K. Johnson and J. A. Rabchuk, "A bead on a hoop rotating about a horizontal axis: A one-dimensional ponderomotive trap," *Am. J. Phys.* 77, 1039–1048 (2009).
- L. McGinness et al., "3D-printable model of a particle trap: Development and use in the physics classroom," *J. Open Hardware* 3, 1–9 (2019).
- 16. Link to the Arduino code: https://github.com/SebKilL91/Mechanical-Paul-trap.
- 17. F. Marton, *Necessary Conditions of Learning* (Routledge, London, 2015).
- 18. F. Marton and S. Booth, *Learning and Awareness* (Routledge, Abingdon, 1997).

Sebastian Kilde Löfgren is a PhD student in physics education research at the Department of Physics, University of Gothenburg. His research interests include student learning processes in laboratory settings and remote laboratory development. He has a background as a high school physics and mathematics teacher. sebastian.lofgren@physics.gu.se

Ricardo Méndez Fragoso *is a senior lecturer at the Department of Physics, National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM). The research field is physics education based on recent scientific advances, quantum confined systems, and computational physics.*

Jonathan Weidow *is an associate professor at Chalmers University of Technology. He is involved in scientific issues related to physics learning and is program director for engineering physics at Chalmers. He is also chairman of The Swedish Physical Society.*

Jonas Enger is a senior lecturer at the Department of Physics, University of Gothenburg. The research field is in physics education research as well as optical spectroscopy and manipulation.

