



Salivary biglycan-neo-epitope-BGN262: A novel surrogate biomarker for equine osteoarthritic sub-chondral bone sclerosis and to monitor the effect

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2024-07-18 05:31 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Adepu, S., Lord, M., Hugoh, Z. et al (2023). Salivary biglycan-neo-epitope-BGN262: A novel surrogate biomarker for equine osteoarthritic sub-chondral bone sclerosis and to monitor the effect of short-term training and surface arena. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage Open*, 5(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocarto.2023.100354>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.



Salivary biglycan-neo-epitope-BGN²⁶²: A novel surrogate biomarker for equine osteoarthritic sub-chondral bone sclerosis and to monitor the effect of short-term training and surface arena



S. Adepu^{a,*}, M. Lord^b, Z. Hugoh^b, S. Nyström^c, L. Mattsson-Hultén^c, K. Abrahamsson-Aurell^d, C. Lützelshwab^a, E. Skiöldebrand^a

^a Department of Pathology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences and Veterinary Public Health, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

^b Department of Physics, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

^c Department of Clinical Chemistry and Transfusion Medicine, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden

^d Hallands Djursjukhus Kungälv Hjälsklinik, Älvsjöers Byväg 20, 434 95 Kungälv, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Professor H Madry

Keywords:

Biglycan neo-epitope

Osteoarthritis

Saliva

Training

Riding surface

ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to delineate a novel soluble Biglycan Neo-epitope-BGN262 in saliva from young reference and osteoarthritic horses in conjunction with the influence of short-term training exercise, riding surface hardness, circadian rhythm, and feeding on its soluble levels.

Design: A custom-made inhibition ELISA was used for the quantification of BGN262 in saliva. Cohort 1: A cross-sectional study comprising reference (N = 19) and OA horses (N = 9) with radiographically classified subchondral bone sclerosis. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was performed to evaluate the robustness of BGN262. Cohorts 2 (N = 5) & 3 (N = 7): Longitudinal studies of sampling during a short-term training exercise (sand-fibre) and a cross-over design of short-training exercise on 2 different riding arenas (sand and sand-fibre), respectively. Capillary western immunoassay was used to determine the BGN262 molecular size in a selection of saliva samples collected from cohort 1.

Results: Cohort 1: Salivary BGN262 levels were significantly higher in the OA group. The Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis showed an area under the curve of 0.8304 [0.6386 to 1.022], indicating a good separation from the reference group. Cohorts 2 & 3: Salivary BGN262 levels significantly changed during the exercise on sand and sand-fibre arena, with a trend towards higher levels for sand-fibre. The size of the BGN262 fragment determined by Capillary western assay was 18 kDa.

Conclusions: The data presented show saliva BGN262 levels as a novel biomarker in evaluating the influence of exercise, and interaction with riding arenas alongside assessing osteoarthritis severity.

1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) pathogenesis is multifactorial however especially in weight-bearing joints, it is often mechanically driven in both humans and horses [1,2]. OA is a leading cause of early retirement in athletic horses, which is mainly induced by joint overload as a consequence of intense training at an early age [3,4].

The early OA progression is mostly asymptomatic. The associated low-inflammation activates extracellular matrix (ECM) degradation, and intensifies bone-cartilage unit crosstalk that plays a crucial role in disease manifestation [5,6]. Biglycan (BGN), a proteoglycan found to be expressed in both skeletal and non-skeletal tissues [7]. Although BGN is

found in both cartilage and bone, its role is more prominent in bone structure, formation, bone matrix mineralization, thereby maintaining homeostasis [8–11]. The soluble BGN was documented to increase in SF from patients with OA and RA and BGN neo-epitope i.e., serum BGM (cleavage site 344'YWEVQPATFR) in a collagen-induced RA mouse model [12,13]. In our recent work the BGN neo-epitope i.e., BGN²⁶² (cleavage site ²⁶²GLGHNQIRM) levels in SF were proportional to the long-term training period in racehorses, the severity of subchondral bone sclerosis (SCBS) and the presence of chip fractures [14]. Interestingly, BGN degradation, evident by the BGN²⁶² increase in young racehorses as early as during the first 6-month interval of training, mirrors the response to the joint load. Reducing the mechanical stress on the joint before the

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: saritha.adeptu@slu.se (S. Adepu).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocarto.2023.100354>

Received 25 October 2022; Received in revised form 22 December 2022; Accepted 6 March 2023

2665-9131/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI). This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

onset of clinical symptoms can minimise the risk of further disease progression to a greater extent.

Several reports are linking the injury incidences to the training surfaces [15,16]. The riding surface properties (hardness, compactness, grip, uniformity) can negatively impact horse welfare and performance [17].

For several years, biomarkers have been considered a diagnostic tool for the early detection of OA, with specific biomarkers indicating specific stages in disease manifestation [18–20]. Serum and SF sampling are invasive and to some extent complex, limiting their use as monitoring tool for disease progression. Changes in urinary glycosaminoglycan has been reported to be associated with age, training and OA in horses, but although, urine sampling could be non-invasive, it cannot be planned unless catheterization is involved [21]. Instead, saliva could be an ideal sample for screening as it is fairly simple to collect, contains many molecules that are otherwise present in SF and serum, and its composition reflects the physiological and disease state of the body [22–24].

The objective of this study was to detect and quantify the specific biomarker, soluble BGN²⁶², in the saliva of reference horses and OA horses with defined radiological changes, and to determine the impact of short-term training exercise, surface arena hardness, circadian rhythm and feeding on its concentrations.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Horse material

The saliva samples were collected from four different horse cohorts. The ethical permission number for the studies performed is 5.8.18–02896/2018. For Cohorts 3 all the owners have signed a written informed consent form for their horse to be included in the study. Table 1 in supplementary data details age, gender and breed for all the cohorts.

2.1.1. Cohort 1

Horses with OA (cohort 1.a) were recruited from Hallands Djursjukhus, Kungsbacka Horse clinic, Sweden ($N = 5$) and University Animal Hospital (UDS), Uppsala, Sweden ($N = 4$). The recruited horses showed clinical lameness by the reaction to the flexion test and were diagnosed with OA by radiological changes in the joint or ultrasound. None of the horses was treated with corticosteroids within the three months before

Table 1
BGN²⁶² concentration in saliva.

Cohort	BGN ²⁶² conc (ng/ml)
1.a ($N = 9$)	17.93 ± 7.49 [12.17–23.69]
1.b ($N = 19$)	11.09 ± 3.03 [9.63–12.55]
2	
Sand-fiber arena	
TP1 ($N = 5$)	13.67 [6.97–20.38]
TP2 ($N = 5$)	21.77 [16.64–26.90]
TP3 ($N = 5$)	24.41 [18.58–30.24]
TP4 ($N = 5$)	24.01 [13.72–34.30]
TP5 ($N = 4$)	11.51 [7.84–15.17]
3	
Sand arena	
TP1 ($N = 7$)	14.11 [11.39–16.84]
TP2 ($N = 7$)	20.64 [13.36–27.92]
TP3 ($N = 7$)	26.83 [16.91–36.75]
TP4 ($N = 7$)	23.87 [13.36–34.39]
TP5 ($N = 7$)	18.75 [12.22–25.28]
Sand-fiber arena	
TP1 ($N = 7$)	14.59 ± 3.9 [10.9–18.2]
TP2 ($N = 7$)	25.93 ± 10.9 [15.7–36.0]
TP3 ($N = 7$)	35.76 ± 17.5 [19.5–52.0]
TP4 ($N = 7$)	33.90 ± 15.0 [19.9–47.8]
TP5 ($N = 7$)	15.61 ± 5.2 [10.7–20.4]

Showing the BGN²⁶² concentrations as ng/ml for cohorts 1, 2 and 3

The data is presented as mean with 95% [CI].

N = number of horses, TP = time points.

saliva collection. The reference group comprises saliva collected from Standardbred trotters ($N = 19$) aged 1.5 years (cohort 1.b), trained by the same professional trainer. The horses were entered into a long-term training program just a month before saliva collection, followed by a training program with a slow trot distance of 2 km, a maximum of four days per week.

2.1.2. Cohort 2

A short-term training study was performed on riding horses ($N = 5$), (private owned) housed at the same stable in Gothenburg, Sweden. The saliva collection was carried out according to the following scheme (Time points: TP): TP1) In the stable at rest i.e., 1 h pre-training, TP2) 30 min post warmup (15 min free-walk and 15 min walk, trot and canter), TP3) 20 min post-training (intensive workout with increased collection in all gaits) TP4) 15 min post cool down (5 min trot and 10 min free-walk) and TP5) 1 h post-training respectively. The total intense interval was 20 min. The total warm-up and cool-down times were 45 min.

2.1.3. Cohort 3

A short-term training study was performed on riding horses ($N = 7$), (private owned) recruited from Ida farm, Wellington, US. The horses were trained on two different surfaces in a crossover design: a) sand (CapillaryFlow-Wellington, FL,USA) and b) sand-fibre. The Orono biomechanical surface tester (OBST) has been used to measure the surface of the tracks in vertical and horizontal directions, for impact firmness, cushioning, responsiveness, grip and uniformity and were graded accordingly [25]. The mean number of measured drop sites for all variables per arena was 15. The saliva collection was carried out according to the following scheme: TP1) In the stable at rest i.e., 1 h pre-training, TP2) 10 min post warmup (5 min free-walk and 5 min walk, trot and canter), TP3) 20 min post-training (intensive workout with increased collection in all gaits) TP4) 5 min post cool-down (free walk) and TP5) 1 h post-training respectively. The total intense interval was 20 min. The total warm-up and cool-down times were 15 min.

2.1.4. Cohort 4

Saliva was collected from horses ($N = 5$) at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. The samples were collected at time points: TP1) 1 h before and TP2) 15 min, TP3) 30 min into the feeding and TP4) 1 h after being fed concentrated feed and hay. An additional sample TP5) was collected 1 h after the horse had finished their meal.

2.1.5. Cohort 5

Saliva was collected from horses ($N = 5$) at the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. The samples were collected every second hour for 24 h to determine the circadian effect on BGN²⁶² saliva levels. The first saliva sample was collected at 09:00 in the morning and the last sample was collected at 09:00 in the morning the day after.

2.2. Saliva collection and preparation

The saliva collection and preparation has been described in details in supplementary data.

2.3. BGN²⁶² immunoassay

The inhibitory ELISA used has been previously developed, described and used for the detection of BGN²⁶² in horse SF [14]. The ELISA method has been validated in horse saliva for intra- and inter-assay variability and linearity. The linearity was checked on three individual saliva samples. Commercial equine serum (Håtuna lab AB, Håtunaholm, Sweden) was used as an internal control to check for intra and inter-assay variation. All measurements were performed in duplicates.

2.4. Protein determination of saliva samples

The total protein concentration of collected saliva samples from cohorts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 ($N = 208$) was determined with Pierce™BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific™) according to the manufacturer's instructions using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Absorbance was measured at 560 nm by the absorbance microplate reader Infinite® F50 with Magellan™tracker software from Tecan.

2.5. Capillary Western blot of BGN²⁶² fragment in saliva

A selected representation ($N = 4$) of saliva samples from cohort 1.a and cohort 1.b were analysed for detection of the BGN²⁶² fragment with Wes. Capillary Western blot analysis was performed using the simple western system Wes™ (ProteinSimple), using a 2–40 kDa separation module with an anti-rabbit detection module (Protein simple). The analysis and preparations were performed according to the kit's protocol. The protocol is described in detail in supplementary methods.

2.6. Sampling of equine oral mucosal keratinocytes and immunohistochemistry

Oral mucosa cells were sampled from selected OA ($N = 6$) and reference ($N = 13$) horses from cohort 1. Twenty keratinocytes per sample were assessed for BGN²⁶² cytoplasmic staining.

Equine OMKs were collected using Cytobrush Plus GT (Medscan, CooperSurgical) from the horse underlip and smeared on TOMO Adhesion Microscope Slides (10000–038, Avantor) and dried RT for 1–3 h. The glass slides were fixated and stained for IHC (Suppl. material).

2.7. Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses are presented with mean and confidence intervals for the means [CI]. Shapiro-Wilks normality tests, made independently on each cohort, do not support a deviation from the lognormal distribution for BGN²⁶² for cohort 1, 2, 4, 5. Hence, logarithmic values for BGN²⁶² were used in significance tests using both parametric and non-parametric methods. For cohort 3 the Shapiro-Wilks normality tests imply the data as normally distributed hence the BGN²⁶² values are not log transformed.

For cohorts 1 and 1a, where horses with OA were compared to the reference group, comparisons were made using *t*-tests and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. For cohorts 2, 4 and 5, *i.e.* exercise, diurnal and feeding cohorts, we treated time as an ordinal variable and performed a one-way ANOVA test on the logarithmic BGN²⁶² data. Since cohort 3 data is normally distributed a one-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc test using Bonferroni correction were performed to test for the significant differences between the time points. The test indicated whether mean values are equal for all time points under the assumption of a common standard deviation. Additionally for cohort 3 cross-over design a paired *t*-test has been performed to test for the mean differences in BGN²⁶² concentrations on two different surface arenas.

The correlation between protein concentration and BGN²⁶² in saliva was tested using cohorts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, where both variables are measured. Tests were conducted using both Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients.

Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. One asterisk (*) if $p < 0.05$; two (**) if $p < 0.01$; three (***) if $p < 0.001$ and four (****) if $p < 0.0001$. The statistical analysis software R (<https://www.r-project.org/>) version 4.1.2 was used for the analysis.

3. Results

3.1. BGN²⁶² ELISA

The control serum was run in duplicates in each plate with the average BGN²⁶² concentration of 920 ± 605 ng/ml with an inter-assay CV

of 11.37%. On the linearity test, the saliva samples showed a good recovery between 80 and 120% (Table 2, suppl. material).

3.1.1. Cohort 1

The saliva BGN²⁶² concentration (Table 1) was significantly higher in OA with defined radiological changes (cohort 1.a; 17.93 ± 5.76 [12.17–23.69]) compared to reference horses (cohort 1.b; 11.09 ± 1.45 [9.63–12.55]) with $p < 0.01$ with *t*-test and $p < 0.01$ with Wilcoxon (Fig. 1a). The ROC analysis showed an AUC of 0.8304 [C.I 0.6386 to 1.022], ($p < 0.005$) indicating a good separation between reference and OA horses (Fig. 1b).

3.1.2. Cohort 2

At baseline *i.e.*, TP1 the saliva BGN²⁶² concentration was 13.7 ± 5.4 [6.70–20.37]. Already after 30 min of warm-up (TP2) the levels increased and continued to stay high after intense exercise and cool-down (TP3 and TP4, respectively), with the maximum concentrations in saliva found at TP3 (24.4 ± 4.7 [18.57–30.23]). All values returned to baseline at TP5 (11.5 ± 2.9 [7.84–15.17]). The change in the saliva BGN²⁶² concentration during the short-term training exercise study was




Fig. 1. (a) (Cohort 1) the data are shown as $\log BGN^{262}$ (SEM). OA horses with radiographic changes showed a significantly increased concentration of the BGN²⁶² compared to reference horses (*t*-test $p = 0.01962$ and Wilcoxon $p = 0.0196$) (b) (Cohort 1) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed. The area under the curve was used to determine the specificity and sensitivity of the ELISA and how well the assay can distinguish between samples from Cohort 1.a (osteoarthritis with radiological changes) and Cohort 1.b (reference horses). The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.8304 [0.6386 to 1.022] ($p = 0.0054$), indicating a good separation of saliva BGN²⁶² concentrations between the two groups.

statistically significant. (ANOVA $p < 0.001$) with significant differences between multiple time points: TP1 vs TP3; T1 vs T4; T2 vs T5; T3 vs T5; T4 vs T5 (Fig. 2. Table 1).

3.1.3. Cohort 3

The OBST evaluation of the two riding surfaces showed major differences in impact firmness and cushioning. For the sand-fibre arena, the impact firmness and cushioning were graded harder and more compact than the sand arena surface. The other parameters (responsiveness, grip and uniformity) were similar for both arenas (suppl.material Fig. 5a and b). For the cross-over design there was a trend towards higher BGN²⁶² values on sand-fibre arena compared to sand arena however the increase did not reach the statistical significance ($p = 0.09$).

Within each group (sand and sand-fibre arena) BGN²⁶² concentration changed significantly during the exercise (ANOVA: $p = 0.013$ & 0.003). Bonferroni post-hoc test resulted in significant differences between time points; TP1 vs TP3 on sand whereas on sand-fibre there are significant differences between multiple time points: TP1 vs TP3; TP1 vs TP4; TP2 vs TP5; TP3 vs TP5; TP4 vs TP5 respectively (Fig. 3a & b; Table 1) Box-plots shows the mean difference in values at each time point between sand arena and sand-fibre arena (Fig. 3c).

3.1.4. Cohort 4

The saliva BGN²⁶² concentration was 8.7 ± 2.6 [5.45–11.95] before feeding and did not change during and after feeding. The data are presented in suppl. material Fig.1 & Table 3.

3.1.5. Cohort 5

The saliva BGN²⁶² concentration did not change diurnally. The values are presented suppl. material Fig.2 & Table 4.

3.2. Protein determination and correlation to BGN²⁶² fragment in saliva

There was no significant correlation between the total protein concentration and the saliva BGN²⁶² concentration. When protein and the BGN²⁶² concentrations were analysed separately within the groups (for all the cohorts), no correlations were found (Suppl.data Tables 5 and 6).

3.3. Determination of molecular weight of BGN²⁶² fragment in saliva

The Wes detected a specific peak of 18–19 kDa corresponding to the BGN²⁶² fragment in the saliva from both OA and reference horses (Table 2 & Fig. 4a and b). An additional peaks at approximate MW of




Fig. 2. Sand-fiber arena (Cohort 2) Data were shown as logBGN²⁶² (SEM) concentration. BGN²⁶² concentration increase during the exercise was significant (ANOVA: $p = 0.001$) and significantly differs between time points: TP1 vs TP3; TP1 vs TP4; TP2 vs TP5; TP3 vs TP5; TP4 vs TP5. TP1 = 1 h before exercise, TP2 = 30 min of warmup, TP3 = 20 min of extensive training, TP4 = 15 min of cool down, TP5 = 1 h after exercise.

32–33 and 47–48 kDa (Suppl. material Fig. 3a.) were detected as a result of cross-reactivity of anti-BGN²⁶² Mab with horse IgG light and heavy chain. This was confirmed by horse IgG-specific antibody also giving the same result with peak at 32 kDa and a small peak at 48 kDa (Suppl. material Fig. 3a). The anti-albumin antibody did not detect any peaks within the desired range (Fig. 3b.). Intra-system interaction with antibody specificity for anti-BGN²⁶² Mab was performed according to kit instructions, where no unspecific binding could be found with appropriate controls i.e., no primary antibody, no secondary nor using sample buffer as protein load instead of saliva. The antibody specificity was assessed where none of the controls showed non-specific binding (Suppl. material Fig. 3c.).

In saliva a specific peak was found at 18–19 kDa with signal-to-noise ratio (SN) $27.44 * 14.39$, as a peak as well as a peak at 32–33 kDa with SN $65.70 * 43.50$, which overlapped with the molecular weight of the IgG light chain. Both peaks were detected in horses from cohort 1a ($N = 4$) and cohort 1b ($N = 4$). Unfortunately, saliva samples from all horses in cohort 1 could not be included due to the limited protein amount in samples.

3.4. Oral mucosal keratinocytes and immunohistochemistry

Oral keratinocytes from cohort 1 both OA (4 out of 6) and reference group (10 out of 13) showed faint intracytoplasmic BGN²⁶² staining (Fig. 5). None of the cells showed intra-nuclear staining (nuclear localization of BGN²⁶² has been observed in OA bone cells [14].

4. Discussion

In the racing industry, it is essential to start race training at a young age to properly adapt their musculoskeletal system accordingly [26,27]. The age at the start and the joint health status are crucial factors, alongside other debatable factors such as the exercise type, foot-surface interaction, intensity, duration etc. All of this can have a profound effect on the adaptation process and predisposition to OA development and progression [4,28,29].

From the aspect of animal welfare and economic burden, there is a pressing demand for biomarkers that can identify early biochemical degradation of joint structures triggered by demanding training in young horses, which untended can eventually lead to OA and joint pain. Finding easy-to-use biomarker(s) that help in the preventive evaluation of horses undergoing various training regimens and in the diagnosis of incipient OA would be highly beneficial.

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to present a novel soluble BGN neo-epitope in saliva for OA, equine training exercise, together with indications on the influence of riding ground surface arena. Our study shows that the presence of chronic OA (cohort 1.a) associated with elevated saliva BGN²⁶² levels. This was in accordance to high SF BGN²⁶² concentrations in OA horses [14]. Also, from this previous study, it was clear that the BGN²⁶² levels are not age dependent but are highly sensitive to early race training [14]. Therefore, the reference group (cohort 1.b) in the current study included young horses that had been trained only for a month.

There are several reports of human and equine saliva biomarkers in the diagnosis of systemic diseases such as; diabetes mellitus, breast cancer and cardiovascular disease and infections [30,31]. Pain biomarkers such as nerve growth factor (NGF), calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and glutamate were also successfully quantified in human saliva [23,24,32–34].

Short-term training also led to elevated BGN²⁶² levels (cohort 2), another leverage is the sensitivity of saliva BGN²⁶² levels (cohort 3) towards riding surface composition in terms of impact firmness and cushioning. The horses in cohorts 2 & 3 were privately owned and, based on the anamnestic interview with the owner, some of them were diagnosed with OA. This explains the wide range in baseline saliva BGN²⁶² levels when compared to the reference group and the OA group (Table 1).




Fig. 3. (a). Sand arena (b). Sand-fiber arena (Cohort 3) Data were shown as BGN²⁶² (SEM) concentration. 3a. Sand arena - BGN²⁶² concentration increase during the exercise was significant (ANOVA: $p = 0.017$) and significantly differs between time points; TP1 vs TP3 3b. Sand-fiber arena - BGN²⁶² concentration increase during the exercise was significant (ANOVA: $p = 0.001$) and significantly differs between time points: TP1 vs TP3; TP1 vs TP4; TP2 vs TP5; TP3 vs TP5; TP4 vs TP5. TP1 = 1 h before exercise, TP2 = 10 min of warmup, TP3 = 20 min of extensive training, TP4 = 5 min of cool down, TP5 = 1 h after exercise. (c). Box plots – Sand arena vs Sand-fiber arena (c) (Cohort 3) Data were shown as mean of BGN²⁶² concentration with min and max for each timepoint on sand arena and sand-fiber arena. TP1 = 1 h before exercise, TP2 = 10 min of warmup, TP3 = 20 min of extensive training, TP4 = 5 min of cool down, TP5 = 1 h after exercise.

Table 2

The molecular weight and SN-values for the peaks of interest detected with Capillary western Immuno assay (Wes).

	n	Molecular Weight (kDa)		Signal-to-noise	
		Mean ± SD	Min-max	Mean ± SD	Min-max
Cohort 1.a (OA horses)	4	18.5 ± 0.58	18–19	32.33 ± 18.14	19.8–58.7
Cohort 1.b (reference horses)	4	19.0 ± 0	19	22.55 ± 9.49	13.1–32.5

The saliva from OA & reference horses showing the mean BGN²⁶² peaks at a molecular weight of 18.5–19 kD. A peak with the signal-to-noise ratio (SN) above 10 is considered as a valid peak.

Several OA biomarkers in serum and SF, such as cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP), collagen type I and II and aggrecan have been shown to respond to exercise in humans and horses [27,35]. Stress biomarkers such as cortisol and metabolic biomarkers have been assessed in humans and equine saliva for evaluation of the impact of training and exercise programs [36–38]. However, biomarkers indicating the effect of exercise on joint tissue integrity both in health and disease are lacking. In our study, horses exposed to short-term training exercise on a sand-fibre

arena (cohort 2) showed an increase in BGN²⁶² with a peak following the most intense exercise interval.

In cohort 3 also the short-term exercise also led to a significant increase in BGN²⁶² levels on both sand arena and sand-fibre. In the cross-over design there was a trend of higher increase of BGN²⁶² levels on sand-fibre arena, which is more hard and compact in nature than sand-arena (Fig. 3c). The results are in agreement with other studies showing the negative impact of harder surfaces on the musculoskeletal system [15,




Fig. 4. (a) Electropherogram with a selection of OA horses i.e., Cohort 1.a ($N = 4$) analysed with the anti-BGN²⁶² monoclonal antibody diluted 1:50 and 1 mg/ml of saliva. The specific peak of 18–19 kDa corresponds to the BGN²⁶². The peaks at approximate 32–33 and 48 kDa, corresponds to IgG light & heavy chain (Suppl. material Fig 3a.). The detected chemiluminescence is shown as a function of apparent molecular weight (MW). Chemiluminescence is expressed as an arbitrary unit (a.u.). (b) Electropherogram with a selection of reference horses i.e., Cohort 1.b ($N = 4$) analysed with the anti-BGN²⁶² monoclonal antibody diluted 1:50 and 1 mg/ml of saliva. The specific peak of 18–19 kDa corresponds to the BGN²⁶². The peaks at approximate 32–33 and 48 kDa, corresponds to IgG light & heavy chain (Suppl. material Fig 3a.). The detected chemiluminescence is shown as a function of apparent molecular weight (MW). Chemiluminescence is expressed as an arbitrary unit (a.u.).




Fig. 5. (a) reference horse keratinocytes & (b) OA horse keratinocytes- 200 \times images showing few cells with intracytoplasmic staining for BGN²⁶² in oral keratinocytes.

29]. A larger sample size of 25 horses is needed (with a power of 0.8) to show a statistical significant difference for the two riding surface arenas and will be used when next study is planned.

Taken together, the health status of the joint, training intensity, and riding surface arena characteristics could all be contributing factors for the salivary BGN²⁶² levels, which in turn reflects the burden and impact load on the joints. More studies are warranted in designing a safe, tailored, training regimen at an individual level.

The diagnostic potential of saliva relies on the porous capillaries surrounding the salivary glands. By passive diffusion, filtration or active transportation molecules of different sizes and charges can reach the saliva from the bloodstream. Approximately 20–30 different proteins that are detectable in the human blood can also be traced in saliva [39].

In horses, the saliva secretion occurs mainly during chewing, with increased production during eating [40]. Chewing the bit during riding is a mechanical trigger for saliva production [41]. In humans, circadian rhythm is known to influence the saliva flow volume, thereby modifying the concentrations of salivary electrolytes and proteins [42]. Similarly, in horses diurnal and seasonal variations have been shown to affect saliva cortisol, salivary alpha-amylase, total esterase, butyrylcholinesterase, adenosine deaminase, and creatinine kinase concentrations [43]. Total protein concentration did not correlate to the change in BGN²⁶² levels. In our study, neither feeding (chewing) nor circadian variations affected the BGN²⁶² concentrations in saliva making it a good candidate for random sample collection.

BGN is a component of oral mucosa keratinocyte (OMKs) ECM [44]. BGN has been shown to localize in differentiating keratinocytes, scarring and oral cancer [45,46], and the BGN in OMK-ECM can undergo degradation during inflammatory processes. Therefore, we investigated whether BGN²⁶² could be secreted or released from these epithelial cells in the mucosa thus contributing to the saliva BGN²⁶² concentration. In previous studies, the BGN²⁶² staining of chondrocytes, osteocytes, and bone lining cells including osteoblasts within osteochondral lesions showed both nuclear and cytoplasmic localization. Interestingly, the nuclear localization was more pronounced in OA with increasing severity [14]. In our current study, BGN²⁶² was only cytoplasmic in a few oral keratinocytes in both reference and OA horses, indicating normal turnover of the protein. IHC did not reveal any nuclear staining which we presume to be a result of pathological manifestation, together supporting the idea that the increase observed in saliva BGN²⁶² levels does not originate endogenously from oral keratinocytes, instead, the increase could be a result of systemic diffusion, more specifically from SF (reflecting the joint response) and the bloodstream.

The molecular weight (MW) of BGN²⁶² was identified as 18–19 kDa both by Wes (Fig. 4a & b) and by traditional Western blot (result not shown). The fragment was detected in the saliva of both OA with radiological changes (cohort 1.a) and reference horses (cohort 1.b). The theoretical molecular weight of BGN²⁶² is 12 kDa. The discrepancy

between theoretical and observed MW suggests that the neo-epitope from healthy and OA horses could be a result of (i) partial degradation of carbohydrate side chains attached to the core protein and/or (ii) post-translational modification (PTM). A neo-epitope arises during tissue remodelling and further undergoes different PTMs including glycosylation, citrullination, isomerization and nitrosylation as a consequence of the tissue environment. Inflamed tissues can create different PMTs than healthy tissues, however, this was not observed for BGN²⁶² [47,48]. The BGN²⁶² cleavage site is conserved across the species (cat, dog, pig, bovine, horse and human) and perhaps its physiological and pathological manifestation might be the same, thus making it a promising candidate to investigate in other species as well. The BGN neo-epitope with the same cleavage site (GLGHNQIR) was identified by forward and reverse degradomics resulting from the proteolytic action of HtrA1 (high temperature requirement serine protease A1) in human from both healthy and osteoarthritic knee cartilage [49].

In our study, we did not find any correlation between the saliva BGN²⁶² concentrations with the total protein concentration. This is in accordance with a study in humans where saliva flow rate and total protein concentration, after mechanical stimulation did not affect the levels of CRP and myoglobin [50].

Our results are the first to show that short-term training exercise, the surface ground properties and chronic OA are all associated with a rise in soluble BGN²⁶² levels. Thus, BGN²⁶² levels not only serve as surrogate OA biomarker but is also highly sensitive to joint overload. The cues from soluble BGN²⁶² levels can aid in identifying risk factors as well as managing horses that are at risk of progressing into OA.

5. Conclusion

The possibility of non-invasive, stress-free, and easy multiple sampling of saliva enables clinicians, trainers and horse owners, to sample racehorses for preventive longitudinal monitoring of BGN²⁶².

The ROC curve analysis strength of BGN²⁶² and its non-alignment with feeding and circadian rhythm makes it a good biomarker candidate.

Altering the training regimens of the horse while taking into account the surface arena characteristics can help prevent OA development and progression. It is our long-term goal to quantify BGN²⁶² using a validated diagnostic method such as a point-of-care tool (POC), in an accessible body fluid such as saliva, which can be used in the daily training of athletic horses.

Author contributions

ES, ML, ZH and SA were in charge of the overall direction and planning of the study. CL and SA set up, validated the inhibition ELISA, and CL ran all the samples. SA performed the WB experiments. ML, LMH and SN performed the Wes experiments and protein determination. IHC

stainings were performed by ZH. The cohorts were sampled by ZH, ML, SN, K A-A and ES.

All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Role of the funding source

The study was funded by Swedish Research Council (FORMAS 2019–02069) and Svensk Djurskyddsörening.

Declaration of competing interest

ES and LMH are among the stakeholders of SGPTH Life Science holding the patent covering the BGN²⁶² neo-epitope. The other co-authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgement

We thank statistician Magnus Pettersson at Statistikkonsulterna Jostat & Mr Sample AB, Gothenburg Sweden for their valuable help with the statistical evaluation of the data. We also thank Prof. Emiretus.Stina Ekman for evaluating and scoring the IHC stainings.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocarto.2023.100354>.

References

- [1] T.L. Vincent, Of mice and men: converging on a common molecular understanding of osteoarthritis, *Lancet Rheumatol* 2 (2020) e633–e645.
- [2] R.J. Lories, F.P. Luyten, The bone-cartilage unit in osteoarthritis, *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 7 (2011) 43–49.
- [3] P.L. Hitchens, A.V. Morrice-West, M.A. Stevenson, R.C. Whitton, Meta-analysis of risk factors for racehorse catastrophic musculoskeletal injury in flat racing, *Vet. J.* 245 (2019) 29–40.
- [4] N.C.R. Te Moller, P.R. van Weeren, How exercise influences equine joint homeostasis, *Vet. J.* 222 (2017) 60–67.
- [5] W. Hu, Y. Chen, C. Dou, S. Dong, Microenvironment in subchondral bone: predominant regulator for the treatment of osteoarthritis, *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 80 (2021) 413–422.
- [6] S.R. Goldring, M.B. Goldring, Changes in the osteochondral unit during osteoarthritis: structure, function and cartilage-bone crosstalk, *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 12 (2016) 632–644.
- [7] P. Bianco, L.W. Fisher, M.F. Young, J.D. Termine, P.G. Robey, Expression and localization of the two small proteoglycans biglycan and decorin in developing human skeletal and non-skeletal tissues, *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 38 (1990) 1549–1563.
- [8] P.A. Miguez, Evidence of biglycan structure-function in bone homeostasis and aging, *Connect. Tissue Res.* 61 (2020) 19–33.
- [9] V. Kram, R. Shainer, P. Jani, J.A.N. Meester, B. Loeys, M.F. Young, Biglycan in the skeleton, *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* 68 (2020) 747–762.
- [10] R. Hua, Q. Ni, T.D. Eliason, Y. Han, S. Gu, D.P. Nicoletta, et al., Biglycan and chondroitin sulfate play pivotal roles in bone toughness via retaining bound water in bone mineral matrix, *Matrix Biol.* 94 (2020) 95–109.
- [11] B. Han, Q. Li, C. Wang, P. Chandrasekaran, Y. Zhou, L. Qin, et al., Differentiated activities of decorin and biglycan in the progression of post-traumatic osteoarthritis, *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 29 (2021) 1181–1192.
- [12] F. Genovese, N. Barascuk, L. Larsen, M.R. Larsen, A. Nawrocki, Y. Li, et al., Biglycan fragmentation in pathologies associated with extracellular matrix remodeling by matrix metalloproteinases, *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair* 6 (2013) 1–10.
- [13] G. Barreto, A. Soininen, P. Ylinen, J. Sandelin, Y.T. Kontinen, D.C. Nordstrom, et al., Soluble biglycan: a potential mediator of cartilage degradation in osteoarthritis, *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 17 (2015) 379.
- [14] S. Adepu, S. Ekman, J. Leth, U. Johansson, A. Lindahl, E. Skioldebrand, Biglycan Neo-Epitope (BGN²⁶²), a Novel Biomarker for Screening Early Changes in Equine Osteoarthritic Subchondral Bone, *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* (2022).
- [15] N. Crevier-Denoix, F. Audigié, A.L. Emond, A.G. Dupays, P. Pourcelot, L. Desquilbet, et al., Effect of track surface firmness on the development of musculoskeletal injuries in French Trotters during four months of harness race training, *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 78 (2017) 1293–1304.
- [16] A. Egenvall, L. Roepstorff, M. Peterson, M. Lundholm, E. Hernlund, The descriptions and attitudes of riders and arena owners to 656 equestrian sport surfaces in Sweden, *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8 (2021), 798910.
- [17] E. Hernlund, A. Egenvall, S.J. Hobbs, M.L. Peterson, A.J. Northrop, A. Bergh, et al., Comparing subjective and objective evaluation of show jumping competition and warm-up arena surfaces, *Vet. J.* 227 (2017) 49–57.
- [18] E. Svala, M. Löfgren, C. Sihlbom, U. Rüetschi, A. Lindahl, S. Ekman, et al., An inflammatory equine model demonstrates dynamic changes of immune response and cartilage matrix molecule degradation in vitro, *Connect. Tissue Res.* 56 (2015) 315–325.
- [19] Y. He, T. Manon-Jensen, L. Arendt-Nielsen, K.K. Petersen, T. Christiansen, J. Samuels, et al., Potential diagnostic value of a type X collagen neo-epitope biomarker for knee osteoarthritis, *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 27 (2019) 611–620.
- [20] C.W. McIlwraith, C.E. Kawcak, D.D. Frisbie, C.B. Little, P.D. Clegg, M.J. Peffers, et al., Biomarkers for equine joint injury and osteoarthritis, *J. Orthop. Res.* 36 (2018) 823–831.
- [21] F.A.C. Vieira, R.Y.A. Baccarin, J.A.K. Aguiar, Y.M. Michelacci, Urinary excretion of glycosaminoglycans in horses: changes with age, training, and osteoarthritis, *J. Equine Vet. Sci.* 25 (2005) 387–400.
- [22] R.K. Drobitch, C.K. Svensson, Therapeutic drug monitoring in saliva. An update, *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* 23 (1992) 365–379.
- [23] H.P. Fischer, W. Eich, I.J. Russell, A possible role for saliva as a diagnostic fluid in patients with chronic pain, *Semin. Arthritis Rheum.* 27 (1998) 348–359.
- [24] H. Jasim, A. Carlsson, B. Hedenberg-Magnusson, B. Ghafouri, M. Ernberg, Saliva as a medium to detect and measure biomarkers related to pain, *Sci. Rep.* 8 (2018) 3220.
- [25] M.L. Peterson, C. Wayne McIlwraith, R.F. Reiser, Development of a system for the in-situ characterisation of thoroughbred horse racing track surfaces, *Biosyst. Eng.* 101 (2008) 260–269.
- [26] C.M. Latham, R.N. Owen, E.C. Dickson, C.P. Guy, S.H. White-Springer, Skeletal muscle adaptations to exercise training in young and aged horses, *Front. Aging* 2 (2021), 708918.
- [27] C.E. Kawcak, C.W. McIlwraith, E.C. Firth, Effects of early exercise on metacarpophalangeal joints in horses, *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 71 (2010) 405–411.
- [28] R.C. Murray, M.V. Branch, S.J. Dyson, T.D. Parkin, A.E. Goodship, How does exercise intensity and type affect equine distal tarsal subchondral bone thickness? *J. Appl. Physiol.* 2007 (102) (1985) 2194–2200.
- [29] R.S. Parkes, T.H. Witte, The foot-surface interaction and its impact on musculoskeletal adaptation and injury risk in the horse, *Equine Vet. J.* 47 (2015) 519–525.
- [30] C.Z. Zhang, X.Q. Cheng, J.Y. Li, P. Zhang, P. Yi, X. Xu, et al., Saliva in the diagnosis of diseases, *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 8 (2016) 133–137.
- [31] S. Jacobsen, D.M. Top Adler, L. Bundgaard, M.A. Sørensen, P.H. Andersen, E. Bendixen, The use of liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry to detect proteins in saliva from horses with and without systemic inflammation, *Vet. J.* 202 (2014) 483–488.
- [32] E.P. Naesse, O. Schreurs, E. Messelt, K. Hayashi, K. Schenck, Distribution of nerve growth factor, pro-nerve growth factor, and their receptors in human salivary glands, *Eur. J. Oral Sci.* 121 (2013) 13–20.
- [33] K.L. Lightbody, J.B. Matthews, J.G. Kemp-Symonds, P.A. Lambert, C.J. Austin, Use of a saliva-based diagnostic test to identify tapeworm infection in horses in the UK, *Equine Vet. J.* 50 (2018) 213–219.
- [34] M.D. Contreras-Aguilar, S. Henry, C. Coste, F. Tecles, D. Escribano, J.J. Ceron, et al., Changes in saliva analytes correlate with horses' behavioural reactions to an acute stressor: a pilot study, *Animals (Basel)* 9 (2019).
- [35] M. Mazor, T.M. Best, A. Cesaro, E. Lespessailles, H. Toumi, Osteoarthritis biomarker responses and cartilage adaptation to exercise: a review of animal and human models, *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 29 (2019) 1072–1082.
- [36] O.D. Kang, W.S. Lee, Changes in salivary cortisol concentration in horses during different types of exercise, *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 29 (2016) 747–752.
- [37] M. Bazzano, L. Laghi, C. Zhu, E. Lotito, S. Sgariglia, B. Tesei, et al., Exercise induced changes in salivary and serum metabolome in trained standardbred, assessed by (1) H-NMR, *Metabolites* 10 (2020).
- [38] E. Tékus, M. Kaj, E. Szabó, N.L. Szénási, I. Kerepesi, M. Figler, et al., Comparison of blood and saliva lactate level after maximum intensity exercise, *Acta Biol. Hung.* 63 (1) (2012) 89–98.
- [39] S. Bandhakavi, M.D. Stone, G. Onsongo, S.K. Van Riper, T.J. Griffin, A dynamic range compression and three-dimensional peptide fractionation analysis platform expands proteome coverage and the diagnostic potential of whole saliva, *J. Proteome Res.* 8 (2009) 5590–5600.
- [40] T. Lundstrom, P. Lingstrom, O. Wattle, A. Carlen, D. Birkhed, Equine saliva components during mastication, and in vivo pH changes in the oral biofilm of sound and carious tooth surfaces after sucrose exposure, *Acta Vet. Scand.* 62 (2020) 21.
- [41] S.P. Humphrey, R.T. Williamson, A review of saliva: normal composition, flow, and function, *J. Prosthet. Dent* 85 (2001) 162–169.
- [42] J.D. Rudney, Z. Ji, C.J. Larson, The prediction of saliva swallowing frequency in humans from estimates of salivary flow rate and the volume of saliva swallowed, *Arch. Oral Biol.* 40 (1995) 507–512.
- [43] M.D. Contreras-Aguilar, M.L. Hevia, D. Escribano, E. Lamy, F. Tecles, J.J. Ceron, Effect of food contamination and collection material in the measurement of biomarkers in saliva of horses, *Res. Vet. Sci.* 129 (2020) 90–95.
- [44] J.E. Glim, V. Everts, F.B. Niessen, M.M. Ulrich, R.H. Beelen, Extracellular matrix components of oral mucosa differ from skin and resemble that of foetal skin, *Arch. Oral Biol.* 59 (2014) 1048–1055.
- [45] D. Honardoust, A. Eslami, H. Larjava, L. Hakkinen, Localization of small leucine-rich proteoglycans and transforming growth factor-beta in human oral mucosal wound healing, *Wound Repair Regen.* 16 (2008) 814–823.
- [46] B. Loncar-Brzak, M. Klobucar, I. Veliki-Dalic, I. Sabol, S. Kraljevic Pavelic, B. Kruslin, et al., Expression of small leucine-rich extracellular matrix proteoglycans biglycan and lumican reveals oral lichen planus malignant potential, *Clin. Oral Invest.* 22 (2018) 1071–1082.

- [47] M.A. Karsdal, K. Henriksen, D.J. Leeming, T. Woodworth, E. Vassiliadis, A.C. Bay-Jensen, Novel combinations of Post-Translational Modification (PTM) neo-epitopes provide tissue-specific biochemical markers—are they the cause or the consequence of the disease? *Clin. Biochem.* 43 (2010) 793–804.
- [48] F. Genovese, M.A. Karsdal, Protein degradation fragments as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of connective tissue diseases: understanding the extracellular matrix message and implication for current and future serological biomarkers, *Expert Rev. Proteomics* 13 (2016) 213–225.
- [49] S. Bhutada, L. Li, B. Willard, G. Muschler, N. Piuze, S.S. Apte, Forward and reverse degradomics defines the proteolytic landscape of human knee osteoarthritic cartilage and the role of the serine protease HtrA1, *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 30 (2022) 1091–1102.
- [50] R. Mohamed, J.L. Campbell, J. Cooper-White, G. Dimeski, C. Punyadeera, The impact of saliva collection and processing methods on CRP, IgE, and Myoglobin immunoassays, *Clin. Transl. Med.* 1 (2012) 19.