

## Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Low-Temperature NH<inf>3</inf>-SCR over Cu-Exchanged Chabazite

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-10-25 23:47 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record): Feng, Y., Grönbeck, H. (2024). Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Low-Temperature NH<inf>3</inf>-SCR over Cu-Exchanged Chabazite. ChemPhysChem, 25(18). http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cphc.202400558

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library





# Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Low-Temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-🔀 SCR over Cu-Exchanged Chabazite

Yingxin Feng<sup>\*[a]</sup> and Henrik Grönbeck<sup>\*[a]</sup>

Cu-exchanged chabazite (Cu-CHA) is widely used for ammonia assisted selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR). The Cu<sup>+</sup> ions are at low temperatures solvated by NH<sub>3</sub> forming mobile  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes. The dynamic behaviour of the complexes is critical as O<sub>2</sub> adsorption requires a pair of complexes to form a [Cu<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> peroxo-species over which NO couples with NH<sub>3</sub>. Here we introduce a first principles-based kinetic Monte Carlo approach to explore the effect of the Aldistribution on the reaction kinetics of NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over Cu-CHA. The method allows us to scrutinize the interplay between the

### Introduction

Selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides with ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR) is used to control nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from exhaust streams with oxygen excess.<sup>[1]</sup> Copper-exchanged chabazite materials (Cu-CHA) is commercialized for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR thanks to high activity and selectivity over a wide temperature range together with superior hydrothermal stability.<sup>[1-3]</sup> In exhaust streams with only NO, the overall reaction follows the so-called "standard-SCR reaction":

$$4NH_3 + 4NO + O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O \tag{R1}$$

The stoichiometry includes an equal amount of NO and NH<sub>3</sub>. O<sub>2</sub> is required to accommodate the H atoms. O<sub>2</sub> is not needed in exhaust streams with NO<sub>2</sub> where the reaction can proceed according to the "fast-SCR" or "NO2-SCR" reaction schemes:

 $2NH_3 + NO + NO_2 \rightarrow 2N_2 + 3H_2O$ (R2)

$$8NH_3 + 6NO_2 \rightarrow 7N_2 + 12H_2O \tag{R3}$$

[a] Y. Feng, H. Grönbeck Department of Physics and Competence Centre for Catalysis, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden E-mail: yingxin@chalmers.se ghj@chalmers.se

- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.202400558
- is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

pairing of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes and the reaction landscape for the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction over the peroxo-complex. The Aldistribution affects the stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs as well as the kinetic parameters of the SCR-reaction. The turn-over frequency is determined by the stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs and the relative strength of NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption once a pair is present. The results establish the hierarchy of effects that influences the performance of Cu-CHA over NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR and provide a computational basis for further development of the Cu-CHA material.

Depending on the reaction conditions and Cu-loading, the presence of NO<sub>2</sub> has been measured to enhance or reduce the activity of Cu-CHA.[4,5]

The NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction requires a material with redox capacity and the Cu-ions in Cu-CHA alternate between Cu<sup>+</sup> and  $Cu^{2+}$ . Activation of O<sub>2</sub> proceeds over  $Cu^+$  forming  $Cu^{2+}$ , whereas the coupling between NO and NH<sub>3</sub> reduces Cu<sup>2+</sup> to Cu<sup>+</sup>. The NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR activity over Cu-CHA shows a non-monotonic temperature dependence with a minimum at intermediate temperatures. The minimum in activity signals that different reaction mechanisms apply at low- and high-temperatures.<sup>[6]</sup> At low-temperatures, X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and density functional theory (DFT) calculations have shown that  $Cu^+$  is solvated by  $NH_3$ , forming mobile  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ complexes.<sup>[7-12]</sup> The measured low-temperature activity has a quadratic dependence on the Cu-loading, which indicates that two  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes are required for O<sub>2</sub> activation.<sup>[9,13]</sup> Thus, the dynamic behaviour and pairing of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$ complexes are crucial for the performance. The Cu-complexes diffuse in the zeolite framework and O<sub>2</sub> has a probability to adsorb when two [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes occupy the same CHA cage, forming a  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  peroxo-complex.<sup>[10,14]</sup> Density functional theory calculations have been used to elucidate the NH<sub>3</sub>-NO coupling over the peroxo-complex.<sup>[15,16]</sup> The reaction is strictly sequential where NH<sub>3</sub> reacts with NO adsorbed on the  $Cu^{2+}$  site forming H<sub>2</sub>NNO. Repeating the reaction yields  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4(OH)_2]^{2+}$ , where two NO react with the OH-groups forming two HONO intermediates. H<sub>2</sub>NNO and HONO diffuse in the zeolite structure and decompose into  $N_2$  and  $H_2O$  over Brønsted acid sites.<sup>[15,16]</sup> N<sub>2</sub>O formation is an unwanted sidereaction, which could occur if H<sub>2</sub>NNO decomposes over  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4OOH]^{2+}$  instead of a Brønsted acid site.<sup>[16,17]</sup>

The proposed reaction scheme for low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over Cu-CHA has been favorably evaluated using firstprinciples-based mean-field microkinetic modeling.<sup>[6,16,18]</sup> The kinetic modeling revealed a competitive NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption on the peroxo-complex. The inhibiting effect of NH<sub>3</sub> is in agreement with experiments showing a negative reaction order in NH<sub>3</sub>. Having a detailed mean-field model, it has been shown that the reaction kinetics can be described accurately by a simplified model with only five reaction steps: adsorption of O<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and NO together with lumped reactions for N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O formation.<sup>[18]</sup> The simplified model can describe the reaction as O<sub>2</sub> adsorption is the first step in the sequential reaction and NO adsorption has rate control due to the inhibiting effect of NH<sub>3</sub>.<sup>[16]</sup>

The previous first principles-based microkinetic models<sup>[6,16,18]</sup> are based on the mean-field approach, which assumes a homogeneous lattice of active sites and a random distribution of adsorbates. Moreover, the models have not targeted the pairing of [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes but instead assumed the existence of a complex pair allowing for O<sub>2</sub> adsorption. To account for the probability of having a  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pair, the turnover frequency was scaled in Refs. [16, 18] with a Boltzmann factor accounting for the energy difference between separated and paired complexes. For a certain Al-distribution,<sup>[9]</sup> this factor has been estimated to be about  $6 \times 10^{-4}$ , thus, significantly affecting the absolute turnover frequency. Although the meanfield approach reproduces measured reaction orders in O<sub>2</sub>, NO and NH<sub>3</sub>, as well as the apparent activation energy, it does not capture the effects of sample heterogeneity. Importantly, density functional theory calculations predict that the Aldistribution influence the stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs,<sup>[19]</sup> which affects the probability of  $O_2$  adsorption and the subsequent NO-NH $_3$  coupling. To understand how the Aldistribution influences the reaction kinetics, it is needed to go beyond mean-field approaches.

Here, we introduce a DFT-based kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) method to study NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over Cu-CHA. The approach allows us to explicitly treat the diffusion of [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes within the CHA framework and reveal how the Al-distribution influences the reaction kinetics via the interplay between [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> pairing and NO-NH<sub>3</sub> coupling. Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations<sup>[20,21]</sup> have been used in the past to explore structure-dependent kinetics, arising from heterogeneous surfaces and nanoparticles.<sup>[22-24]</sup> For the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction over Cu-CHA, we find that the stability of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs largely determines the absolute turn-over frequency. However, the relative strength of NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption onto  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  affects significantly the activity once a Cucomplex pair is formed. The kinetic parameters for CHA-cages with different properties are based on DFT calculations for the stability of Cu-complex pairs as well as NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption. The kMC approach to the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction allows us to link specific Al-distributions to a high probability of complex pairing and efficient NO-NH<sub>3</sub> coupling, which can be used to develop catalysts with enhanced performance.

## **Computational Methods**

### **First-Principles Calculations**

The Vienna *ab-initio* Simulation Package (VASP)<sup>[25-28]</sup> is applied to perform spin-polarized density functional theory calculations. The valence electrons are described using a plane wave basis set with a cutoff energy of 480 eV, and the interaction between the valence and the core electrons is described by the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.<sup>[29,30]</sup> The number of valence electrons treated in the calculations are Cu(11), Si(4), Al(3), O(6), N(5), and H(1). The k-point sampling is restricted to the gamma point.

The gradient-corrected Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)<sup>[31]</sup> functional is used to describe exchange-correlation effects. A Hubbard-U term of 6 eV is added to the Cu 3*d* states to properly describe the Cu–O bond in the  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  peroxo complex.<sup>[32,33]</sup> In addition, a Grimme-D3 correction is applied to account for the van der Waals interactions of the floating molecules and complexes in the zeolite.<sup>[34,35]</sup> The convergence criterion in the self-consistent field loop is set to be  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  eV, and the structures are considered to be relaxed when the force acting on each atom is less than 0.02 eV/Å.

The periodic chabazite structure is described in the hexagonal unit cell, which contains 36 tetrahedral Si-sites. The experimentally determined lattice parameters ( $\alpha = \beta = 90.0^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 120.0^{\circ}$ ; a = b = 13.803, c = 15.075 Å are used and fixed during the structural optimizations. The Cu-CHA material is modeled by replacing three Si atoms with Al, yielding a silicon-to-aluminum (Si/ Al) ratio of 11. The Si/Al ratio is similar to common experimental values.<sup>(8,16,36,37)</sup> Eight different Al-distributions were selected to study the stability of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> formed by NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption on the Brønsted acid sites, separated and paired [Cu<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> complexes, as well as the NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption onto the peroxo [Cu<sub>2</sub>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> complex.

### Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations

Kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) is a stochastic method where random numbers are used to solve the chemical master equation. The chemical master equation expresses the time evolution of the probability  $P_{\alpha}$  for a system to be in a certain state  $\alpha$ :

$$\frac{dP_{\alpha}}{dt} = \sum_{\beta} [k_{\alpha\beta} P_{\beta} - k_{\beta\alpha} P_{\alpha}]$$
(1)

The sum includes all states  $\beta$  that are connected to  $\alpha$  via a reaction.  $k_{\alpha\beta}$  is the rate constant associated with an event that changes the state of the system. The kMC simulations are performed with the Python program MonteCoffee,<sup>[23]</sup> which implements the first reaction method.<sup>[20]</sup> The First-reaction method is based on having a list with possible events. The events are executed in chronological order and the list of times of occurrence for the events is updated after each Monte Carlo step. For each event, the time of occurrence is determined using the corresponding rate constant ( $k_{\alpha\beta}$ ) and a random number:

$$t_{\alpha\beta} = t - \frac{1}{k_{\alpha\beta}} \ln u \tag{2}$$

where t is the current simulation time, which is set to 0 in the beginning of the simulation, and u is a uniform random number on the unit interval. Once an event is executed, t is updated and the list of events is updated by adding events that have been enabled



and removing events that have been disabled. The simulations continue until *t* has reached a predefined end-time.

The rate constant  $(k_{\alpha\beta})$  connecting two states is given by:<sup>[38]</sup>

$$k_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} e^{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}/k_{\rm B}T} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} e^{\Delta S^{\ddagger}/k_{\rm B}} e^{-\Delta H^{\ddagger}/k_{\rm B}T} \approx \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} e^{\Delta S^{\ddagger}/k_{\rm B}} e^{-\Delta E^{\ddagger}/k_{\rm B}T}$$

Where  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, and h is Planck's constant. The  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the difference in Gibbs free energy between the initial and transition states.  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  are the corresponding differences in entropy and enthalpy, respectively. Because the volume and pressure do not change in the reaction, the change in enthalpy  $(\Delta H^{\ddagger})$  can be replaced with the change in energy ( $\Delta E^{\ddagger}$ ). The entropy and energy differences are taken from our previous studies in Refs. [16, 18] except for the entropy barrier associated with [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> diffusion between CHA-cages via an 8-membered ring. The entropy of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complex is restricted in the diffusion as the molecular axis should not deviate substantially from the surface normal of the plane defining the 8membered ring. Allowing for a 10-degree deviation from the surface normal, the entropy of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  in the transition state is approximated as a one-dimensional translator scaling the rotational entropy accordingly.

## **Results and Discussions**

Our previous mean-field kinetic analysis shows that  $O_2$  adsorption on a pair of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes, NO adsorption on  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  and NH<sub>3</sub> desorption from  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5O_2]^{2+}$  control the reaction.<sup>[16]</sup> Knowing the rate controlling steps, it has been shown that a simplified model with the rate controlling steps and lumped reactions for N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O formation accurately reproduce the reaction kinetics.<sup>[18]</sup> Here, the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction is simulated with kMC, which enables an explicit treatment of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  diffusion and pairing. The

reaction steps are based on our simplified model and the considered reaction path is shown in Figure 1. The first step is the pairing of two complexes. Having a pair, the reaction proceeds by  $O_2$  adsorption forming the  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  peroxo-complex. The next step is NO adsorption, which competes with NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption.<sup>[16]</sup> Adsorbed NO reacts with NH<sub>3</sub> from the gas-phase to form N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. The barriers associated with N<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O formation from adsorbed NO do not have rate control<sup>[16]</sup> and are treated as one lumped reaction step.

#### Effect of Al-Distribution on Kinetic Parameters

To establish the effect of the Al-distribution on the crucial kinetic parameters, we investigate by DFT calculations the stability of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs together with NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption for eight different Al-distributions. Here, three Si atoms are replaced by Al and the charge is balanced by adding Cu complexes or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>4</sup>. The results are shown in Figure 2 and the different configurations are ordered according to the area of the triangle formed by the three Al atoms. The numbering of the Al atoms is shown in Figure 1. The energies in (a)–(c) are reported with respect to the lowest energy configuration. (d) is the energy difference between paired and separated [Cu-(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> complexes. (e) is the difference in the adsorption energy of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO.

The stability of the systems with three  $NH_4^+(a)$  and two  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  with one  $NH_4^+$  (b,c) is reduced when the Al-ions are close. This is rationalized by the repulsive Coulomb interaction between these cationic species, which is not completely balanced by the interactions with the anionic zeolite sites. The highest stability is obtained for an intermediate area, which provides a balance between the attractive and repulsive



Figure 1. (a) Structural model of the CHA zeolite. The Si-ions are numbered to indicate the placement of Al-ions. Atomic color codes: Si (yellow), and O (red). The green triangular plane illustrates the area of the triangle formed when the three Si are replaced by Al. (b) Considered reaction cycle for low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR.



**Figure 2.** Energy differences for crucial species in CHA with different Al-distribution. (a) Three  $NH_4^+$  ions. (b) Paired  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes. (c) Unpaired  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes. (d) Difference in stability between paired and unpaired complexes. (e) Difference in adsorption energy of NO and  $NH_3$  on  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4Q_2]^{2+}$ . The configurations are labeled according to the numbering in Figure 1. The dashed lines are the energy difference between paired and unpaired  $[Cu(NH_3)_4Q_2]^{2+}$  complexes and the energy difference between NO and  $NH_3$  adsorption on the  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4Q_2]^{2+}$ , respectively used in our previous kinetic model.<sup>[16]</sup> Atomic color code: Cu (bronze), Si (yellow), Al (pink), O (red), N (blue), and H (white).

Coulomb interactions. The pronounced dependence on the Aldistribution agrees with a previous report.<sup>[19]</sup>

The difference between having separated and paired complexes (d) shows that unfavorable configurations to some extent can compensated, making the difference within 0.1 eV for five of the studied configurations. However, it is important to note that some pair-configurations are clearly less stable than other configurations. In previous DFT calculation,<sup>[9]</sup> the difference has been reported to be 0.23 eV, which is shown by a dashed line in Figure 2(d). Our calculations stress that the stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs varies with the Al-distribution and, thus, affect the stability of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs. Unstable pairs will have a high probability for separation, see Figure 2. Moreover, unstable pairs will reduce the SCR-activity as pairs are needed to adsorb  $O_2$  forming the  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  complex, which is a critical intermediate in the reaction. In the following Monte Carlo simulations, the energy difference between paired and unpaired complexes is denoted  $\Delta E_1$ .

Having a pair of complexes, the SCR reaction is limited by the competitive adsorption of NO and NH<sub>3</sub>. The difference in adsorption energy of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO (denoted  $\Delta E_2$ ) for the different configurations is reported in Figure 2(e). In our previous work, the NH<sub>3</sub> and NO adsorption energies were calculated to be 0.98 and 0.70 eV, respectively.<sup>[16]</sup> The difference in the adsorption energies (0.28 eV) is shown by a dashed line in Figure 2(e). The difference in the adsorption energies for the different Al-distribution shows a spread and the preference for NH<sub>3</sub> is in most cases larger than in our previous calculation. However, one of the configurations (0, 10, 32) has similar adsorption energies for the two adsorbates.

#### **Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations**

The considered geometry and reaction events are sketched in Figure 3. Each large CHA cage is in the periodic zeolite materials





Figure 3. Top: Atomistic model and the cubic lattice representation of the CHA zeolite. The blue marks in the CHA cages constitute the simple cubic lattice. Bottom: The possible events over the lattice model. The different colors in the lattice model represent zeolite cages with different properties.

connected to six neighboring large cages. This connectivity is in the simulations represented by a simple cubic lattice where each node represents a zeolite cage. The material is modeled with a  $6 \times 6 \times 6$  lattice applying periodic boundary conditions. The considered events are sketched in a plane of the lattice where the different colors of the lattice points indicate different properties of the CHA-cages modeling the effects of an inhomogeneous Al-distribution.

The reaction follows the simplified reaction cycle in Figure 1 (see also Supporting Information). The events treated explicitly are shown in Table 1. The diffusion of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complex from one cage to another is described by reaction r1. The

barrier for diffusion has been calculated to be about 0.3 eV,<sup>[9,39]</sup> which means that the rate is fast at typical reaction conditions. In kMC simulations, fast events are generally scaled to obtain a sufficient number of reaction events.<sup>[40]</sup> Here we have used a diffusion barrier of 0.85 eV for diffusion between empty cages. We have verified that the diffusion barrier can be as high as 1.3 eV without affecting the reaction kinetics, see Supporting Information (SI). The possibility to scale the diffusion of the complex without disturbing the kinetics, demonstrates that diffusion of copper complexes is not rate controlling.

Reaction  $r_{f}^{2}$  is the paring event where a  $[Cu(NH_{3})_{2}]^{+}$  complex diffuses to a cage already containing one  $[Cu(NH_{3})_{2}]^{+}$ 

**Table 1.** Energy ( $\Delta E^+$ ) and entropy ( $\Delta S^+$ ) contributions to the reaction barriers of the considered steps. Energy is given in eV and entropy in J/mol-K. The  $*_{or}$   $*_{b}$  represent the connected CHA cages. Cu represents Cu species in the form of  $[Cu(NH_{3/2}]^+$ .  $E_{r_{2,b}}$  is the barrier for unparing, which is treated as a parameter in the simulations.

no.	considered step	$\Delta E_{f}^{+}$	$\Delta E_{b}^{+}$	$\Delta S_{f}^{+}$	$\Delta S_d^+$
r1	$\operatorname{Cu}_{a}^{*} + {}_{b}^{*} \xleftarrow{r_{1}}{a} + \operatorname{Cu}_{b}^{*}$	0.85	0.85	26.9	26.9
r2	$\operatorname{Cu}_{a}^{*} + \operatorname{Cu}_{b}^{*} \xleftarrow{r^{2}} 2\operatorname{Cu}_{a}^{*} + \overset{*}{b}$	0.85	E <sub>r2,b</sub>	26.9	26.9
r3	$2Cu^{*}_{a}+O_{2}+4NO+4NH_{3} \stackrel{r^{3}}{\longleftrightarrow} 4N_{2}+6H_{2}O+2Cu^{*}_{a}$	0.34	14.1	-	-

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{\circ}}$  2024 The Author(s). ChemPhysChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

complex. The separation of a complex pair (unpairing) is described by reaction  $r_{2_b}$ . The barrier for the unpairing depends on the stability of the pair and, thus, the Al-distribution. Each cage can at most contain two  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes. Reaction r3 is the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction, which is possible over paired complexes. The SCR reaction is treated in a lumped manner and the equilibrium constants are used to describe the probability of having O<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, and NO adsorbed on the pair of complexes. Reaction r3 is strongly exothermic, which means that the probability for the backward reaction can be neglected. The O<sub>2</sub>, NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption/desorption events are frequent and, therefore, not treated explicitly. Instead, the probability of having O<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on a pair of complexes is given by:

$$P_{O_2} = \frac{K_{O_2}}{1 + K_{O_2}} \tag{4}$$

where  $K_{O_2}$  is the equilibrium constant. Using the fact that the reaction is strictly sequential,<sup>[16]</sup> the probability of having NO adsorbed on the peroxo complex is given by:

$$P_{\rm NO} = \frac{K_{\rm NO}}{1 + K_{\rm NO} + K_{\rm NH_3}} \cdot P_{\rm O_2}$$
(5)

Once NO is adsorbed on the peroxo complex, the rate constant for the SCR reaction is given by:

$$k_{\rm SCR} = P_{\rm NO} \cdot \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} e^{\Delta S/k_{\rm B}} e^{-\Delta E/k_{\rm B}T}$$
(6)

The effect of an inhomogeneous Al-distribution is considered in the SCR reaction by different stability of the paired Cucomplexes and different equilibrium constants for  $K_{\rm NO}$  and  $K_{\rm NH3}$ 

Considering a situation with a Cu loading of 1.6 wt %, the simulations are initialized by placing 119  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes randomly in the lattice. The reaction is simulated in a temperature range from 120 to 230 °C and results are presented as averages of 10 independent simulations, which also are used to compute standard deviations. The turnover frequency (TOF) is defined as:

$$\mathsf{TOF} = \frac{N_{\mathsf{NO}}}{\Delta t \times N_{\mathsf{Cu}}} \tag{7}$$

where  $N_{\rm NO}$  is the number of NO consumed in reaction r3 within the time interval ( $\Delta t$ ) over the number of Cu complexes,  $N_{\rm Cu}$ . The trajectories are evolved for 10 s in real time and the simulations are performed under standard NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR conditions at a total pressure of 1 atm, with 600 ppm NH<sub>3</sub>, 500 ppm NO, 10% of O<sub>2</sub>, and balance N<sub>2</sub>.

We perform simulations with CHA cages having different properties, denoted Type A, B and C. The stability of paired complexes has a pronounced effect on the TOF as demonstrated by comparing the results in the top and middle panels in Figure 4. The pair-stability of Type A (top panel) and Type B (middle panel) is modified by varying  $\Delta E_1$ , which affects the barriers for the unpairing ( $E_{r2,b}$ ). Type A has  $\Delta E_1 = 0.23$  eV and





**Figure 4.** Simulated turnover frequency as a function of temperatures for NO conversion with standard deviations for cases with different stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs ( $\Delta E_1$ ) and the energy difference between NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption ( $\Delta E_2$ ). Partial pressures: 600 ppm NH<sub>3</sub>, 500 ppm NO and 10% of O<sub>2</sub>.

Type B has  $\Delta E_1 = 0.10$  eV. For Type A, the reaction rate becomes appreciable at about 150 °C and reaches a value of about 0.5 s<sup>-1</sup> at 230 °C. The light-off is determined by the desorption of NH<sub>3</sub> from  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_5O_2]^{2+}$ . For Type B, the TOF reaches a value of 7.5 s<sup>-1</sup> at 230 °C. The difference in TOFs between Type A and Type B can to a large extent be understood by the difference in probability of having paired complexes. Using a simple Boltzmann distribution, the probability of having paired complexes increases from 0.0035 to 0.08 between Type A and Type

#### © 2024 The Author(s). ChemPhysChem published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

B, thus, a factor of about 20. The apparent activation energy is calculated to be 0.66 eV and 0.54 eV, for Type A and Type B, respectively. Thus, the apparent activation energy is reduced when the stability of the paired complex is enhanced.

Several of the Al-distributions investigated in Figure 2(e) result in an energy difference between NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption  $(\Delta E_2)$  that is higher than 0.28 eV, which is used for Type A and B. With Type C, we investigate the effect of reducing the stability of NO adsorption by using  $\Delta E_2 = 0.40$  eV. The stability of NO adsorption has a marked effect on the TOF, which is reduced by a factor of 10 as compared to Type B. The apparent activation energy is in this case calculated to be 0.67 eV.

In experiments, the apparent activation energy has been measured to be in the range of 0.60 to 0.66 eV for Cu-CHA samples with a Cu-loading of about 1.6 wt % Cu.<sup>[16,41,42]</sup> Our results show that the differences in the measured apparent activation energies to some extent can be related to different

Al-distributions originating from differences in synthesis procedures.

The experimental situation is most probably a CHA-material with CHA-cages having a mixture of properties. Figure 5 (top) shows the TOF for a system with both Type A and B cages, thus having two different barriers for the unpairing event. The simulations are performed for different fractions of Type A and B. The highest TOF is obtained with only Type B, and the lowest TOF is obtained with only Type A. The TOF increase with increasing amount of Type B, however, the relation is not completely linear. Taking the simulation at 200° C as an example, in the case with 50% Type A and 50% Type B, the TOF is 3.7 s<sup>-1</sup>, which is slightly higher than the linear result, which corresponds to  $3.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . An analysis of the contribution to the TOF from the two cage types (inset) shows that Type B dominates the TOF when it is present. That Type B dominates the TOF is evident also from the apparent activation energy,



**Figure 5.** Top: Simulated turnover frequencies as a function of temperatures for NO conversion with standard deviations for cases with different properties of the zeolite cages. The inset shows the contribution to the TOF from the different zeolite cages at 200 °. Bottom: Simulated turnover frequencies at 200° for different properties of the zeolite cages.  $\Delta E_1$  and  $\Delta E_2$  are given in parenthesis ( $\Delta E_1, \Delta E_2$ ).  $\Delta E_1$  is the stability of the [Cu(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> pairs and  $\Delta E_2$  is the energy difference between NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption. Partial pressures: 600 ppm NH<sub>3</sub>, 500 ppm NO and 10% of O<sub>2</sub>.



ChemPhysChem 2024, 25, e202400558 (7 of 9)

4397641

which is calculated to be 0.54 eV in all cases when Type B is present.

To analyze the effect of having differences in both complexpair stability and NO/NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption energy, we perform simulations with Type A, B, and C cages present, Figure 5 (bottom). As already discussed, changing the stability of complex pairs keeping the adsorption energy of NO constant has a clear effect on the TOF (100% Type A compared to 100% Type B). Keeping a high stability of the complex pair, while lowering the adsorption energy of NO has also a significant effect on the TOF (100% Type B compared to 100% Type C). Combining the different types of cages shows an interesting non-linear dependencies on the TOF although Type B dominates the TOF when present. For example, in the case with 33% Type A, 33% Type B, and 33% Type C, the total TOF is 2.51  $s^{-1}$ and the contribution from each type is 0.13, 2.28, and 0.10  $s^{-1}$ , respectively. A completely linear relation between the TOF and the fraction of the site types would yield a total TOF of 2.41  $s^{-1}$ and the respective contributions would be 0.12, 2.17, and 0.12 s<sup>-1</sup>.

## Conclusions

A density functional theory-based kinetic Monte Carlo approach has been introduced to explore the effect of the Al-distribution on the reaction kinetics of NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over Cu-CHA. The method is an advancement with respect to previous mean-field models as it accounts explicitly for the heterogeneity of the material given by the Al-distribution as well as the diffusion and pairing of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  complexes, which is crucial for the low-temperature activity.

The activity of the catalyst is largely determined by the stability of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs and the adsorption energy of NO with respect to NH<sub>3</sub>. Evaluating different Al-distributions with DFT calculations, shows that the stability of  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs can differ by as much as 0.6 eV. The difference in the NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption energy onto the  $[Cu_2(NH_3)_4O_2]^{2+}$  peroxo complex is calculated to differ also by about 0.6 eV for the different Al-distributions. The large dependence on the pair-stability and NO/NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption energy on the Al-distribution, yields situations where some CHA-cages are much more active for the NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR reaction than other cages.

We find that the turn-over frequency in the kMC simulations is largely determined by the stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs and that the relative stability of the NO and NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption affects the turn-over frequency given a sufficient stability of the  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  pairs. Our results reveal a hierarchy of effects influencing the performance of Cu-CHA for NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR and link the catalytic performance with atomistic understanding.

## **Supporting Information**

Detailed reaction cycle and elementary steps of low-temperature NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR over Cu-CHA, and turn-over frequency as a function of the barrier for  $[Cu(NH_3)_2]^+$  diffusion.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Energy Agency (47110-1). The Competence Centre for Catalysis (KCK) is hosted by Chalmers University of Technology and financially supported by the Swedish Energy Agency (52689-1) and the member companies Johnson Matthey, Perstorp, Powercell, Preem, Scania CV, Umicore and Volvo Group. The calculations were performed at PDC (Stockholm) through a SNIC grant.

## **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Keywords:** Density functional theory  $\cdot$  Cu-CHA  $\cdot$  NH<sub>3</sub>-SCR  $\cdot$  kinetic Monte Carlo  $\cdot$  Al distribution

- I. Nova, E. Tronconi, Urea-SCR Technology for DeNO<sub>x</sub> After Treatment of Diesel Exhausts; Springer Science + Business Media New York, 2014.
- [2] S. J. Schmieg, S. H. Oh, C. H. Kim, D. B. Brown, J. H. Lee, C. H. Peden, D. H. Kim, *Catal. Today* **2012**, *184*, 252–261.
- [3] Y. Xin, Q. Li, Z. Zhang, ChemCatChem 2018, 10, 29–41.
- [4] M. Colombo, I. Nova, E. Tronconi, Catal. Today 2010, 151, 223–230.
- [5] Y. Shan, X. Shi, G. He, K. Liu, Z. Yan, Y. Yu, H. He, J. Phys, Chem. C 2018, 122, 25948–25953.
- [6] Y. Feng, T. V. W. Janssens, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. Grönbeck, J. Phys, *Chem. C* 2024, *128*, 6689–6701.
- [7] S. Shwan, M. Skoglundh, L. F. Lundegaard, R. R. Tiruvalam, T. V. W. Janssens, A. Carlsson, P. N. Vennestrøm, ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 16–19.
- [8] C. Paolucci, A. A. Parekh, I. Khurana, J. R. Di Iorio, H. Li, J. D. Albarracin Caballero, A. J. Shih, T. Anggara, W. N. Delgass, J. T. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6028–6048.
- [9] C. Paolucci, I. Khurana, A. A. Parekh, S. Li, A. J. Shih, H. Li, J. R. Di Iorio, J. D. Albarracin-Caballero, W. F. Schneider, R. Gounder, *Science* 2017, 357, 898–903.
- [10] L. Chen, H. Falsig, T. V. W. Janssens, H. Grönbeck, J. Catal. 2018, 358, 179–186.
- [11] C. Negri, E. Borfecchia, M. Cutini, K. A. Lomachenko, T. V. W. Janssens, G. Berlier, S. Bordiga, *ChemCatChem* 2019, *11*, 3828–3838.
- [12] C. Paolucci, J. R. Di Iorio, W. F. Schneider, R. Gounder, Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 1881–1892.
- [13] F. Gao, D. Mei, Y. Wang, J. Szanyi, C. H. Peden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 4935–4942.
- [14] X. Wang, L. Chen, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, T. V. W. Janssens, J. Jansson, H. Grönbeck, M. Skoglundh, ChemCatChem 2021, 13, 2577–2582.
- [15] L. Chen, T. V. W. Janssens, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. A. Gr"onbeck, ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 5646–5656.
- [16] Y. Feng, X. Wang, T. V. W. Janssens, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. Grönbeck, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 14395–14407.
- [17] Y. Feng, T. V. W. Janssens, P. N. R. Vennestrøm, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. Grönbeck, J. Phys. Chem. C 2021, 125, 4595–4601.
- [18] Y. Feng, D. Creaser, H. Grönbeck, Top. Catal. 2022, 2.
- [19] L. Chen, H. Falsig, T. V. W. Janssens, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. Grönbeck, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2018, 8, 2131–2136.
- [20] D. T. Gillespie, J. Comput. Phys. 1976, 22, 403-434.
- [21] K. A. Fichthorn, W. H. Weinberg, J. Chem. Phys. 1991, 95, 1090–1096.
- [22] M. Salciccioli, M. Stamatakis, S. Caratzoulas, D. G. Vlachos, Chem. Eng. Sci. 2011, 66, 4319–4355.



4397641

- [23] M. Jørgensen, L. Chen, H. Grönbeck, J. Phys, Chem. C 2018, 122, 20351– 20357.
- [24] A. Bruix, J. T. Margraf, M. Andersen, K. Reuter, *Nature Catalysis* 2019, 2, 659–670.
- [25] G. Kresse, J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1993, 48, 13115–13118.
- [26] G. Kresse, J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1994, 49, 14251–14269.
- [27] G. Kresse, J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1996, 54, 11169–11186.
- [28] G. Kresse, J. Furthüller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 1996, 6, 15-50.
- [29] P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1994, 50, 17953– 17979.
- [30] G. Kresse, D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1999, 59, 1758–1775.
- [31] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. **1996**, 77, 3865–3868.
   [32] L. Y. Isseroff, E. A. Carter, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. **2012**,
- 85, 1–7. [33] L. Chen, T. V. W. Janssens, H. Gr"onbeck, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2019,
- [33] L. Chen, T. V. W. Janssens, H. Grondeck, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2019, 21, 10923–10930.
- [34] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.
- [35] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 32, 1456–1465.

- [36] F. Gao, E. D. Walter, M. Kollar, Y. Wang, J. Szanyi, C. H. Peden, J. Catal. 2014, 319, 1–14.
- [37] F. Gao, N. Washton, Y. Wang, M. Koll'ar, J. Szanyi, C. Peden, J. Catal. 2015, 331, 25–38.
- [38] I. Chorkendorff, J. W. Niemantsverdriet, Concepts of Modern Catalysis and Kinetics, John Wiley & Sons, 2017, p 109.
- [39] L. Chen, J. Jansson, M. Skoglundh, H. Grönbeck, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 29182–29189.
- [40] A. P. J. Jansen, An Introduction to Kinetic Monte Carlo Simulations of Surface Reactions., electronic ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012; pp 22, 53, and 162.
- [41] S. A. Bates, A. A. Verma, C. Paolucci, A. A. Parekh, T. Anggara, A. Yezerets, W. F. Schneider, J. T. Miller, W. N. Delgass, F. H. Ribeiro, J. Catal. 2014, 312, 87–97.
- [42] A. J. Shih, Synthesis and Characterization of Copper-Exchanged Zeolite Catalysts and Kinetic Studies on No<sub>x</sub> Selective Catalytic Reduction With Ammonia. Ph.D. thesis, 2018.

Manuscript received: May 15, 2024 Revised manuscript received: June 27, 2024 Accepted manuscript online: June 28, 2024 Version of record online: August 26, 2024