

Unveiling the Influence of Linkers on Conformations of Oligomeric Acceptors for High-Performance Polymer Solar Cells

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-11-17 20:16 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record): Wu, J., Sun, F., Wang, X. et al (2024). Unveiling the Influence of Linkers on Conformations of Oligomeric Acceptors for High-Performance Polymer Solar Cells. Advanced Science, 11(40). http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/advs.202406772

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Unveiling the Influence of Linkers on Conformations of Oligomeric Acceptors for High-Performance Polymer Solar Cells

Jingnan Wu, Fengbo Sun, Xunchang Wang, Qiaonan Chen, Leandro R. Franco, Xufan Zheng, C. Moyses Araujo, Renqiang Yang,* Donghong Yu,* and Ergang Wang*

Conformational isomerism of organic photovoltaic materials has a profound impact on their molecular packing and therefore performance of polymer solar cells (PSCs). However, the conformations of oligomeric acceptors (OAs) are mostly predicted by simulations rather than experimental determinations. Herein, the stereochemical S-shaped structure of two dimeric-type acceptor molecules, V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F, is first confirmed with different end groups (IC for V-DYIC and IC-2F for V-DYIC-4F), incorporating vinylene linkage for connecting the distinct state-of-the-art small molecule acceptor Y-segments. Through the synthetic control of fluorination sites adjacent to the vinyl-linker, S-shaped the conformation by NMR experiments is validated. Compared to the O-shaped dimer, S-shaped conformation results in enhanced lamellar order and reduced nonradiative recombination losses. The optimal acceptor, V-DYIC-4F, achieved a champion efficiency of 18.10% with the lowest energy loss of 0.556 eV in its devices paired with PM6 due to their efficient carrier transport, and suppressed recombination compared to other devices, being attributed to the synergistic effect of conformation and end group fluorination. The insights gained in this work contribute valuable knowledge of both synthetic control and structural determination of OAs, providing strategic design guidelines for the future development of dimeric acceptors toward high-efficiency PSCs.

1. Introduction

Recently, oligomeric acceptors (OAs), composed of a certain number of Y6-type structural repeating units, have emerged as a novel class of acceptor molecules, garnering significant attention in the field of polymer solar cells (PSCs) due to their precisely defined structures and elimination of batch-to-batch variations compare to their polymer acceptors (PAs) counterparts.^[1,2] Moreover, they exhibit superior deviceperformance and -stability,[3-5] mitigating morphological degradation seen in smallmolecule acceptors (SMAs).^[6-8] Leveraging the advantages of SMAs and PAs, OAs exhibit exceptional optoelectronic properties, featuring an absorption in visible and near-infrared ranges with a higher absorption coefficient and enhanced electron mobility with moderate molecular packing. However, compared with extensive studies on either SMAs or PAs that have markedly improved their PCEs,^[9-18] the development of OAs significantly lags behind

J. Wu, Q. Chen, E. Wang Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Chalmers University of Technology Göteborg SE-412 96, Sweden E-mail: ergang@chalmers.se J. Wu, D. Yu Department of Chemistry and Bioscience Aalborg University Aalborg DK-9220, Denmark E-mail: yu@bio.aau.dk

D The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202406772

© 2024 The Author(s). Advanced Science published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1002/advs.202406772

F. Sun, X. Wang, X. Zheng, R. Yang Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Chemical Materials and Devices (Ministry of Education) School of Optoelectronic Materials & Technology Jianghan University Wuhan 430056, China E-mail: yangrq@jhun.edu.cn L. R. Franco, C. M. Araujo Department of Engineering and Physics

Karlstad University Karlstad 65 188, Sweden C. M. Araujo Materials Theory Division Department of Physics and Astronomy Uppsala University Uppsala 75 120, Sweden D. Yu Sino-Danish Center for Education and Research Aarhus DK-8000, Denmark



with few reports with PCEs of >15% in their PSCs achieved. Consequently, there is an urgent need for more concerted efforts in capitalizing OAs' advantages for enhanced photovoltaic performance of PSCs.

Oligomerization of Y6 derivatives through direct coupling of the SMA segments was pioneered by He et al. in 2022.^[4] Their study demonstrated that such OA-based devices exhibited enhanced PV performance and improved device stability retaining 80% of their initial PCE under illumination for 1020 h superior to those utilizing either SMA- or PA-based materials. However, the oligomers suffer distortion in the backbone driven by the conformational isomerism through the rotation of sp² hybridized C-C σ -bonds between the adjacent Y6-blocks, rendering them unsuitable for establishing ordered intermolecular packing and effective charge transport pathways.^[1,19] To overcome this challenge, researchers utilized a linker, particularly a rigid conjugated spacer, during oligomerization to obtain enlarged planar conjugated structures.^[1] For instance, the incorporation of a thiophene π -linker decreased the torsional angle from 35° (indirect linking) to less than 20° .^[5,20] Furthermore, modifying the π -linkage with double fluorinated thiophene resulted in an even smaller twisting angle of 12°, facilitated by S…F noncovalent interactions.^[20] Among the different linkers investigated, the fluorinated one exhibited a planar geometry, red-shifted absorption, and a more compact $\pi - \pi$ stacking arrangement.^[20,21]

To date, more attention has been drawn to the planarity of molecules indicated by density functional theory (DFT) simulations, rather than the conformational complexities inherent in OAs.^[4,5,21–24] The introduction of rotating σ -bonds invariably engenders the formation of conformers. However, the impact of these different conformations on intermolecular packing behavior, morphological characteristics, charge transport properties, and overall device performance has been rarely investigated. Li et al. explored the effects of regio-isomerization on photophysical properties, molecular conformation, and PV performance of two dimeric acceptors, EV-i in U-shape and EV-o in S-shape conformation, respectively.^[24] That U-shaped EV-i exhibited a twisted molecular conformation but enhanced conjugation, while Sshaped EV-o showed a better planar molecular structure but weakened conjugation. PSCs based on PM6:EV-i demonstrated a remarkably higher PCE of 18.27%, compared to PM6:EV-o (2.50%). It is important to note that these S- and U-shaped conformations were derived through theoretical calculations level of comparing potential energy, thus not providing concrete proof of their configurations. To address this limitation, our group tailor-designed two dimers, and performed comprehensive 1Dand 2D-NMR experiments to accurately validate their O-shaped conformation, deviating from the conventional S- or U-shaped structures.^[19] This highlights the significance of investigating the impact of linkers on real molecular conformation of OAs, an intriguing and relatively understudied aspect within the realm of PSCs.

Herein, diverging from the commonly assumed *S*-shaped conformations in literature,^[5,21–25] we present the first evidence of the existence of conformation "*S*" by synthesizing two dimerictype acceptor materials, denoted as V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F. These materials incorporate vinylene linkage units, serving to bridge distinct *Y*-segments (BPIC-F and BPIC-3F as shown in Scheme S1, Supporting Information (SI) respectively). Importantly, the strategic position-selective fluorination of IC close to the linker provides a selective isomeric structure for the dimers, facilitating the determination of their conformation through ¹⁹F NMR and DFT calculations. To elucidate the consequential influence of molecular conformation, the reported O-shaped DIBP3F-S (Figure 1a) with thiophene as a linkage was selected for comparison. The S-shaped conformation observed in the vinylene-linked acceptors demonstrates a more coplanar and rigid structure compared to the helical structure of the O-shaped counterpart, with negligible torsional angles less than 2° between the linker and the adjacent Y moiety. As anticipated, V-DYIC-4F, featuring IC-2F as the ending group (EG), exhibits a redshifted absorption, a downshift energy level, stronger π - π stacking, and a more ordered molecular arrangement than the IC EG-based one. This translates to increased short-circuit current (J_{sc}) and fill factor (FF), though with a decreased open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) . Notably, despite the S-shaped conformation of V-DYIC-4F, which features a relatively low level lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), it demonstrates a comparable V_{oc} of 0.883 V to that of the O-shaped DIBP3F-S (0.881 V). Further investigation reveals that an S-shaped conformation contributes to enhanced lamellar orderliness, while an EG (di)fluorination strategy improves the strength of $\pi - \pi$ stacking. V-DYIC-4F amalgamates both benefits from the two, exhibiting robust crystallinity in both in-plane (IP) and out-of-plane (OOP) directions, which is confirmed by means of grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS) study. This leads to efficient carrier transport and suppresses recombination in their corresponding PSC devices. Additionally, energy loss (E_{loss}) analysis indicates that dimers featuring the difluoride atoms of EG effectively suppress energy disorder, and the S-shaped conformation minimizes nonradiative recombination loss. Consequently, when blended with the popular polymer donor PM6, the V-DYIC-4F-based device demonstrates the best performance, achieving a champion PCE of 18.10% and the smallest E_{loss} of 0.556 eV among the three OAs-based devices (15.78% and 0.558 eV for V-DYIC and 16.06% and 0.573 eV for DIBP3F-S).

2. Results and Discussion

The synthetic routes and detailed procedures for synthesizing V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F are presented in Scheme S1 in SI, and the Materials and Methods section in SI. A starting material of Y-BO-CHO was chosen for reacting with native- and bromo-fluoro-IC (IC-FBr) into the key intermediate BTIC-FBr through Knoevenagel condensation reaction with IC and IC-FBr as asymmetric EGs. Subsequently, the target molecules of V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F were obtained through typical Stille coupling reactions based on the two mono-brominated BTIC-FBr and BTIC-3FBr, respectively, along with a formation of trans-vinylene linkers. All chemical structures of intermediates and target products were confirmed using ¹H-, ¹³C-, ¹⁹F-NMR, and MALDI-TOF techniques. To gain insights into the molecular geometries of the two dimeric acceptors, DFT calculations were conducted at the B3LYP-GD3BJ level. Due to the presence of a rotatable sp² hybridized C–C σ bond between the linker and the adjacent IC moiety in BTIC-3F (the Y block), three prominent isomeric conformations emerge, namely the S-, O-, and γ -shape, as visually depicted in Figure S1 (SI). Among these, the O- and γ -shapes adopt a helical structure

SCIENCE NEWS ______



Figure 1. a) Chemical structures of V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S. b) The top- and side-view of O-shaped V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S. c) ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (600 MHz) of S-shaped conformations of V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F in the $C_2D_2Cl_4$ solution.

in a side-view, particularly the γ -shape, which exhibits substantial dihedral angles exceeding 30° between the linker and Y block. In contrast, the S-shape displays a predominantly co-planar and rigid conformation, characterized by negligibly small dihedral angles of <2°, facilitating the formation of strong intermolecular stacking interactions.

Referencing the energetic analysis, as seen in Table S1 (SI), it was revealed that any conformation could potentially be accessed. Nevertheless, both OAs of V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F exhibited a tendency to eschew the γ -shape conformation due to its significantly higher electronic energy. It is crucial to note that two dimers are synthesized through *trans*-substituted ethylene, a factor more conducive to the formation of *trans*-isomer,^[26] thereby excluding the possibility of cis- γ -shaped conformations. Next, the theoretical chemical shifts (δs)^[27] of the aromatic protons (labeled in Figure S2, SI) were compared with the experimental ones obtained in chloroform solution, as illustrated in Tables S2, S3 (SI). The δs of the two dimers in the *S*/*O*-shape conformation align well with the experimental trend, suggesting the coexistence of *O* and *S* conformations, respectively. Then, we turned to ¹H-¹H Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy spectra (Figures S3, S4, SI) to further prove the conformations of such products. Unfortunately, all conformations featuring the exchange crosspeaks (labeled as A, B, and C) within the inter-proton of H_a-H_b,

SCIENCI

 $H_a^{-}H_c$, and $H_b^{-}H_c$ (with the inter-proton distances of less than 5 Å^[28] obtained), were not distinguishable using 2D NMR. In the end, the strategic introduction of the fluorine next to the linker allows us to distinguish the *S*- and *O*-shaped conformers from the ¹⁹F NMR spectra. The *O*-shaped conformer would expose the two F atoms next to the linker in different chemical environments and therefore two peaks can be expected. However, as shown in Figure 1c, only one peak can be identified, which indicates only an *S*-shaped conformer exists in both V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F. Consequently, based on these meticulous investigations from both experiments and DFT simulations, we can assert that both V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F adopt the stable molecular conformation of the *S*-shape.

Nonetheless, in our previous report on the conformation of the dimer of DIBP3F-S (distinguishing the linker unit of thiophene instead of vinylene), we did conclude an emergence of the O-shaped conformation as a result of robust intramolecular interactions between the two terminal IC moieties.^[19] While the current dimers of V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F adopt the S- rather than the O-shaped conformation as an intriguing phenomenon, which could be attributed to the absence of a "conformational lock" arising from the close proximity of the EGs in the case of the O-shaped conformation. For OAs in O-shaped conformation, our current investigation reveals that replacing the thiophene linkage with a simpler (lesser conjugation region, i.e., lower electron density) vinylene unit could increase the distance between the EGs for V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F as compared to DIBP3F-S. This is reasonably explained by the larger angle between the two connected IC moieties: 114°-119° for vinylene-linked OAs and 93° for DIBP3F-S (refer to the θ in Figure 1b). Therefore, the large distance of the two intramolecular EGs ($d_{\rm EC}$) in an O-shaped conformer for V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F as shown in Figure 1b, results in the absence of conformational locking force. As for the formation of the S-shape, it can be also explained by the synthesis process during the Stille coupling reactions between bis-stannyl ethylene and mono-brominated Y moieties, where the S-shape is promoted by the formation of strong intramolecular F...H interactions between the F atom next to bromine from Y segment and the H atom from ethylene. To understand the differences in S- and O-shaped dimers, we also included O-shaped DIBP3F-S as a comparative model for further studies. Such differences in the oligomer conformations may impact their optoelectronic properties, charge transport, recombination, morphology, and the performance of the PSCs, which will be discussed in more detail below.

The optical absorption spectra of the OAs, both as thin films and in chloroform solution, are presented in **Figure 2a** and Figure **S5** (Supporting Information), respectively. All acceptors present large, red-shifted spectra from solutions to the solid states, which is attributed to their excellent molecular planarity and the intermolecular interactions induced by aggregation. Additionally, they display notable absorption extinction coefficient values of $\approx 2 \times 10^5$ cm⁻¹ (Table S4 and Figure S6, Supporting Information) at ≈ 810 nm in film. Notably, the film absorption maximum and onset of V-DYIC-4F (at 817 and 904 nm, respectively) are redshifted in contrast to those of DIBP3F-S films (804/899 nm). This red shift is more pronounced than the previously reported 1 nm shift observed in dimer molecules (DYT and DYV),^[22] where the linker is changed from thiophene to vinylene without the presence of adjacent F atoms. The enhanced red shift observed in V-DYIC-4F can be attributed to the improved planarity of the Sshaped conformation, indicating a stronger tendency for molecular stacking. This is supported by the closer π - π stacking observed from the GIWAXS results (Table S10, Supporting Information). Subsequently, we proceeded to evaluate the energy levels of the three OAs through cyclic voltammetry measurements (Figure 2b; Figure S7, Supporting Information). The estimated highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)/LUMO levels for V-DYIC-4F are determined to be -4.28/-6.06 eV, which are lower than those of V-DYIC with nonfluorinated EG. This observation aligns with the findings from DFT calculations (Figure S8, Supporting Information). Moreover, S-shaped V-DYIC-4F also exhibits slightly shifted downward energy levels when compared to the O-shaped DIBP3F-S with thiophene linker. The lower-lying LUMO may potentially have a detrimental impact on the V_{oc} in PSC devices.

photovoltaic performance of three de-Then, the vices within conventional architecture а featuring ITO/PEDOT:PSS/PM6:OA/PFN-Br/Ag was investigated. Detailed device processing information refers to Figure S9 and Tables **S5**, **S6** (Supporting Information). The representative current-voltage (I-V) curves and the collected performance data are presented in Figure 2c and Table 1, respectively. Notably, the device based on the optimized PM6:V-DYIC-4F blend exhibits the best PCE of 18.10%, with a $V_{\rm oc}$ of 0.883 V, a high $J_{\rm sc}$ of 26.35 mA cm $^{-2}$, and a commendable FF of 77.8%. In contrast, the PM6: V-DYIC-based device, while showing an enhanced $V_{\rm oc}$ of 0.905 V, suffers from a limited light absorption range attributed to V-DYIC's spectral response (Figure 2d), resulting in a lower I_{sc} of 24.46 mA cm⁻² and a consequently reduced PCE of 15.78%. Interestingly, despite the slightly downshifted LUMO level of V-DYIC-4F, this does not translate into a lower $V_{\rm oc}$ (0.883 V) in the device compared to PM6:DIBP3F-S. As displayed in Figure 2d, the device based on V-DYIC-4F presents a wider and more red-shifted external quantum efficiency (EQE) response in the range of 300-950 nm, with a particularly higher response within the 600-950 nm wavelength range, corresponding to the highest integrated J_{sc} of 25.41 mA cm⁻², as compared to V-DYIC (23.97 mA cm⁻²) and DIBP3F-S (24.57 mA cm⁻²). It is worth noting that the integrated I_{sc} values obtained from the EQE curves are consistent with those extracted from the *I*-V curves. Furthermore, the stability of the three PSCs was also evaluated. As depicted in Figure S10 (Supporting Information), following aging at 65 °C for 18 days, all three devices retained over 80% of their initial PCE. Extending the aging period to 28 days revealed that the systems based on V-DYIC-4F and DIBP3F-S maintained efficiency levels above 75%, while the devices with V-DYIC exhibited a notable decline to 62%, referring to their initial values. This interestingly indicates that the effect of molecular conformation on morphology stability is less pronounced compared to the impact of EG difluorination during the aging process, which is worthy of being further investigated in future work.

Considering the disparity in $V_{\rm oc}$ observed among the three devices, $E_{\rm loss}$ analysis was conducted, and the corresponding values are summarized in Table S7 (Supporting Information). Following previous studies,^[29–31] the $E_{\rm loss}$ can be partitioned into three components (ΔE_1 , ΔE_2 , and ΔE_3 in **Figure 3a**). Generally, ΔE_1

www.advancedsciencenews.com

SCIENCE NEWS



Figure 2. a) Normalized UV-vis absorption spectra in pristine films and b) energy levels of PM6, V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S. c) J-V characteristics and d) EQE curves and integrated J_{sc} values for optimized devices based on PM6:V-DYIC, PM6:V-DYIC-4F, and PM6:DIBP3F-S.

 $(E_{\rm g} - qV_{\rm oc} \,^{\rm SQ}$, where $V_{\rm oc} \,^{\rm SQ}$ is the maximum voltage calculated by the Shockley–Queisser limit) is an unavoidable loss and typically falls within the range of 0.25–0.30 eV. It was noted that the voltage variations primarily stem from fluctuations in ΔE_2 and ΔE_3 as illustrated in Figure 3b. The ΔE_2 values, associated with radiative recombination originating from absorption below the band gap, are determined to be 0.074, 0.067, and 0.067 eV for PM6 blending with V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S, respectively. It is noteworthy that the lower ΔE_2 for the fluorinated EG-based devices related to the more ordered molecular packing is comparable to that of PSC based on the nonfluorinated counterpart. To explain the different ΔE_2 loss, the Urbach energy $(E_{\rm U})$,^[32] serving as an indicator of energy disorder, was first measured using highly sensitive external quantum efficiency (s-EQE) measurements (Figure 3c).^[33] It is evident that the devices based on V-DYIC-4F and DIBP3F-S exhibit the lowest $E_{\rm U}$ value at 23.38 and 23.83 meV, respectively, while PM6:V-DYIC displays a larger one at 25.58 meV. The smaller $E_{\rm U}$ values imply a reduction in energetic disorder by incorporating F atoms on their EGs, which can be attributed to the higher crystallinity and more ordered packing of materials within the blend films.

To gain deeper insights into the disorder within the blend film, the electron energetic disorder (σ) was also determined through temperature-dependent electron transport measurements (Figure 3d) using the Gaussian disorder model (GDM),^[34] as expressed in the following formula: $\mu_0 = \mu \infty \exp [-(2\sigma/3kT)^2]$, where *k* represents the Boltzmann constant, *T* denotes the temperature in Kelvin, $\mu \infty$ signifies the mobility at infinite

Table 1. Photovoltaic performance of the PSCs based on PM6: acceptor (1:1.2, w/w) under the illumination of AM 1.5 G, 100 mW cm⁻².

D:A	V _{oc} [V]	$J_{\rm sc}$ [mA cm ⁻²]	Cal. J_{sc}^{a} [mA cm ⁻²]	FF [%]	PCE _{max} / PCE _{avg} ^{b)} [%]
PM6:V-DYIC	0.905	24.46	23.97	71.2	15.78 (15.67 ± 0.11)
PM6:V-DYIC-4F	0.883	26.35	25.41	77.8	18.10 (17.95 \pm 0.13)
PM6:DIBP3F-S	0.881	25.31	24.57	72.1	16.06 (15.93 ± 0.10)

^{a)} The integral J_{sc} in brackets were calculated from the EQE curves ^{b)} The average PCE values were obtained from 15 devices with their deviations shown in brackets.



Www.advancedscience.com



Figure 3. a) Diagram describing voltage and energy loss. b) ΔE_2 and ΔE_3 energy loss, c) s-EQE at absorption onset, d) temperature-dependent spacecharge-limited current (SCLC) curves, e) electron mobility of corresponding devices as a function of $1/T^2$ using SCLC estimated data, and f) electroluminescence EQE of optimized devices based on PM6:V-DYIC, PM6:V-DYIC-4F, and PM6:DIBP3F-S.

temperature. Figure 3e illustrates the plots of electron zerofield mobilities of the two devices as a function of $1/T^2$ along with the corresponding fitted linear curves. The decreasing σ values in the order of V-DYIC ($\sigma = 46$ meV), DIBP3F-S ($\sigma = 43$ meV), and V-DYIC-4F ($\sigma = 41$ meV) indicate a lower density of trapped states within those in the blends of PM6/V-DYIC-4F and PM6/DIBP3F-S. This, in turn, suggests that holes have a reduced likelihood of becoming trapped at tailed states and subsequently recombining with electrons. Consequently, PM6:V-DYIC-4F and PM6:DIBP3F-S blend exhibit significantly fewer traps and lower energy disorder. Based on these results, it is evident that acceptors featuring peripheral fluorine exhibit lower energy disorder, which corresponds to a reduced ΔE_2 .

The ΔE_3 , representing nonradiative $E_{\rm loss}$, can be calculated using the equation: $\Delta E_3 = -kT \ln({\rm EQE}_{\rm EL})$. As shown in Figure 3f, the electroluminescence EQE (EQE_{EL}) measurements indicate that the emission efficiency of the PSCs based on V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F, highlighting *S*-shape skeleton, are 2.37 × 10⁻²% and 1.88 × 10⁻²% (measured with injection current equal to $V_{\rm oc}$), respectively, which is significantly higher than that observed in the *O*-shaped DIBP3F-S-based counterpart (1.07 × 10⁻²%). This suggests that dimeric acceptors featuring the *S*-shape conformation exhibit higher emission efficiency along with lowered ΔE_3 . Unquestionably, the reductions in both ΔE_2 and ΔE_3 contribute to the overall reduction in $E_{\rm loss}$, amounting to 0.556 eV for V-DYIC-4F-based PSCs. These results underscore that the incorporation of the F atoms on IC moiety and the adoption of the *S*-shape conformation for OAs effectively mitigate both ΔE_2 and ΔE_3 . This,

in turn, leads to suppressed energy disorder and minimizes nonradiative recombination losses.

In addition to maintaining relatively high $V_{\rm oc}$ and low voltage/energy loss, EG fluorination-based OA with S-shape conformation also exhibits excellent I_{sc} and FF. Further investigations into carrier transport and recombination were undertaken to elucidate the mechanism contributing to the enhanced photovoltaic performance observed in the V-DYIC-4F-based device. Recombination properties within the three devices were examined by analyzing photovoltaic parameters under varying light intensities (P_{light}) . By fitting the V_{oc} s with light power on a semilogarithmic scale ($V_{oc} \propto nkT/q \ln P_{\text{light}}$, Figure 4a), the slopes (S) were close to kT/q for the three cells, implying effectively suppressed trapmediated charge recombination in their devices.^[35] In Figure 4b, photovoltage decay kinetics are primarily governed by charge recombination using transient photovoltage (TPV) measurement, and the decay time reflects the lifetimes of the charges.^[36] Devices utilizing V-DYIC-4F or DIBP3F-S exhibit longer lifetimes (1.039 and 0.990 ms, respectively) compared to the V-DYICbased one (0.526 ms). This observation is further supported by irradiation-dependent I_{sc} measurements, as shown in Figure 4c, indicating a power-law dependency on the power $(J_{\rm sc} \propto P_{\rm light}^{\alpha})$ approaching unity.^[37] This implies that bimolecular recombination is indeed the dominant process. Therefore, the longer charge lifetime in devices based on OAs with EG fluorination can be attributed to the suppression of bimolecular recombination.

In Figure 4d, photocurrent decay kinetics under short-circuit conditions are probed through transient photocurrent (TPC).^[36] It is evident that the V-DYIC-4F-based cell exhibits faster decay,



www.advancedsciencenews.com

www.advancedscience.com



Figure 4. a) Light intensity dependency on V_{oc} , b) Normalized TPV data, c) light intensity dependency on J_{sc} , d) Normalized TPC data, e) characteristics of the photocurrent density versus effective voltage (J_{ph} - V_{eff}) for the optimized PSCs. f) Hole and electron mobilities of the devices obtained from SCLC measurements for, solar cell devices from PM6:V-DYIC, PM6:V-DYIC-4F, and PM6: DIBP3F-S blends, respectively.

indicating a swifter carrier sweepout time compared to V-DYIC and DIBP3F-S. The charge extraction of the V-DYIC-4F device $(0.177 \ \mu s)$ is notably shorter than that of the V-DYIC (0.194 \ \mu s) and DIBP3F-S (0.191 µs), respectively, indicating more efficient charge extraction. In summary, the TPV and TPC results collectively suggest that the PM6:V-DYIC-4F BHJ exhibits reduced recombination and more efficient charge extraction. In addition, the exciton dissociation probabilities ($P_{\rm diss},$ under $J_{\rm sc}$ conditions) and charge collection efficiencies (P_{coll} at the maximum power point) were calculated as 94.8%/74.9%, 96.1%/82.9% and 95.7%/82.5% for the PM6:V-DYIC, PM6:V-DYIC-4F and PM6: DIBP3F-S blends, respectively (Figure 4e). The higher P_{diss} and $P_{\rm coll}$ values observed in PM6:V-DYIC-4F-based PSCs signify superior exciton dissociation and enhanced charge collection, which, in turn, can account for the higher J_{sc} and FF values in the corresponding devices.^[38,39]

Charge transport characteristics in both neat and blend films were evaluated by space-charge-limited current (SCLC) measurements^[40] (Figure 4f; Table S8, Supporting Information). All neat/blend films based on OAs with difluorinated IC present higher electron mobilities (μ_e) (2.88/8.46 × 10⁻⁴ and 3.82/7.06 × 10⁻⁴ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for V-DYIC-4F and DIBP3F-S, respectively) compared to the nonfluorinated one (1.74/5.40 × 10⁻⁴ cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for V-DYIC). This outcome underscores that the acceptors containing IC-2F moieties demonstrate enhanced electron conductivity when compared to their nonfluorinated counterparts, a result that aligns with expectations. Moreover, PM6:V-DYIC-4F films exhibit more balanced electron and hole mobilities, as reflected in a μ_e/μ_h ratio of 1.58, whereas those

of 1.94 and 2.12 for PM6:V-DYIC and PM6:DIBP3F-S blended films display substantial imbalances. That unbalanced charge transport can reasonably account for the lower FF observed in their devices. These results highlight the efficacy of fluorinated EG modification and the advantages of the *S*-shape conformation in suppressing trap-mediated recombination, promoting efficient electron transport and high exciton dissociation efficiency, and ultimately maximizing the PSC performance.

By means of contact angle measurements (Figure S11, Supporting Information), surface free energy $(\gamma)^{[41]}$ values were determined as 40.47, 45.18, 41.22, and 39.16 mN m⁻¹ for neat films of PM6, V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S, (Table S9, Supporting Information), respectively. Notably, the introduction of peripheral F atoms to dimeric acceptors contributes to a reduction in γ . This effect is reflected in the lower interaction parameters (χ) calculated between PM6 and acceptors (V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F) using the Flory-Huggins method,^[42,43] signifying enhanced miscibility between the donor and acceptors. Lower χ values (according to the equation $\chi \propto (\gamma_{\rm D}^{-2} - \gamma_{\rm A}^{-2})^2)$, estimated as 0.1296, 0.0107, and 0.0034 for PM6-based blends of V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S, respectively, suggest reduced potential for excessive phase separation and favorable conditions for exciton dissociation, particularly in the aforementioned order. However, V-DYIC-4F exhibits a relatively weaker compatibility with PM6 as reflected by its slightly bigger χ value compared to DIBP3F-S. This propensity promotes the formation of interpenetrating networks with the more appropriate (enhanced) size of fiber-like domains as shown in the atomic force microscopy (AFM) phase images (Figure S12, Supporting Information), which is consistent

www.advancedsciencenews.com

CIENCE NEWS

www.advancedscience.com



Figure 5. a) The schematic π - π stacking of V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F (left), and the schematic lamellar packing of V-DYIC-4F and DIBP3F-S (right). b) 1D line cuts of the films based on neat V-DYIC, V-DYIC-4F, and DIBP3F-S, and their blends with PM6.

with the highest P_{diss} and P_{coll} of the PM6:V-DYIC-4F blend as discussed above from the TPC and TPV analysis.

To reveal the nuanced variations in the morphology of blend films prompted by both EG modification and conformational effects, GIWAXS characterization was conducted to scrutinize the crystallinity and orientation of films (Figures S13, S14, and Table S10, Supporting Information).^[44,45] The neat and blended films exhibit a discernible lamellar stacking peak in the IP direction and a dominant π - π stacking peak in the OOP direction at the range of 0.31–0.38 and 1.61–1.63 Å⁻¹, respectively. This implies a face-on orientation conducive to vertical charge transport. Regarding neat OAs, V-DYIC, and V-DYIC-4F manifest slightly denser *d*-spacing corresponding to the (100) plane, coupled with markedly enhanced crystal coherence length (CCL) values of 56.54 and 61.65 Å, respectively, compared to that of 42.77 Å for DIBP3F-S in the IP direction. This suggests a more ordered lamellar packing for the molecules with S-shaped conformation. (Figure 5a). In the OOP direction, V-DYIC exhibits subdued peaks at $q = 1.61 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (d = 3.89 Å) with a *CCL* value of 20.33 Å, which is a bit surpassed by the V-DYIC-4F at q = 1.63 Å⁻¹ (d = 3.84 Å) and an enhanced *CCL* of 21.21 Å. This divergence may be attributed to the F atoms facilitating the formation of multiple noncovalent interactions, promoting deeper intermolecular overlap and tighter layer-layer contact. Upon blending with PM6, the three blends exhibit identical lamellar stacking peaks at 0.31 $Å^{-1}$ (ascribed to the donor, see Figure S15 and Table S8, Supporting Information) in the IP direction, indicating minimal influence from different OAs on PM6 molecular packing. However, a noteworthy increase in CCL is observed from the S-shaped V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F (82.87 and 76.07 Å, respectively), compared to the O-shaped DIBP3F-S (74.23 Å). This observed trend, akin to the one observed in the neat films, may result from the reduced miscibility of S-shaped-OA-induced PM6 crystallization, aligning with elevated hole mobilities (Figure 5b). Furthermore, it is observed that EG fluorination-based blends present a similar yet marginally closer $\pi - \pi$ stacking distance compared to V-DYIC at peaks around $q = 1.62 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (d = 3.87 Å). Additionally, the former exhibits a considerably larger CCL (27.57 Å for V-DYIC-4F, 26.81 Å for DIBP3F-S) than the latter (25.54 Å). Consequently, a dimeric acceptor with an S-shaped conformation contributes to enhanced lamellar order, while the peripheral fluorination strategy improves the order of π - π stacking. V-DYIC-4F amalgamates the benefits of both modification strategies, exhibiting robust crystallinity in both IP and OOP directions. This characteristic proves a systematic strategy in facilitating charge transfer and reducing charge recombination, thereby contributing to the highest FF of the corresponding device.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we synthesized two dimeric acceptor materials, namely V-DYIC and V-DYIC-4F, featuring distinct EGs– nonfluorination and difluorination of IC, respectively. The incorporation of vinylene linkage units facilitated the connection of



disparate Y-segments in both acceptors. Significantly, both OAs were first confirmed to adopt S-shaped molecular conformations other than O- or γ -shape, through NMR experiments and theoretical calculations, which is enabled by the strategic introduction of F atoms next to the linking unit. A comparative examination with O-shaped DIBP3F-S highlights the distinctive coplanar and rigid structure of vinylene-linked acceptors, deviating from the helical structures associated with O-shaped counterparts. The synergistic effects of conformational attributes and EG fluorination in V-DYIC-4F result in augmented absorption spectra, improved $\pi - \pi$ stacking, and a meticulously ordered molecular arrangement. This translates to heightened I_{sc} and FF in the resultant PSCs. Despite a slightly downshifted LUMO level compared to DIBP3F-S, V-DYIC-4F maintains a noteworthy Voc. Consequently, PM6:V-DYIC-4F-based devices exhibit superior performance, with a champion efficiency of 18.10% and a minimized energy loss of 0.556 eV, accompanied by enhanced carrier transport efficiency and reduced charge recombination. Moreover, our findings underscore that OAs with S-shaped molecular conformations significantly enhance lamellar order and mitigate nonradiative recombination losses compared to their O-shaped counterparts. Simultaneously, acceptors featuring EG difluorination exhibit improved order in π - π stacking, effectively suppressing energy disorder. Our study demonstrates that it is possible to experimentally determine conformations of OAs and the conformations can have a profound impact on the molecular packing and resulting device performances. These results also provide valuable guidelines for the strategic design of OAs with favorable conformations toward high-performance PSCs.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Swedish Research Council (2019-02345, 2019-04683), the Swedish Research Council Formas, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (2022.0192), the Swedish Energy Agency (Grant number: P2021-90067), Wenner-Gren Foundations (UPD2021-0123), and STINT (MG2021-9063) for financial support. J.W. thanks the financial support from the Chinese Scholarship Council. X.W. and R.Y. are deeply grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22375077, 52203225, 52073122), the Key R & D Project of Hubei Province (2022BAA095), and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (2021YFE0113600). The computations were enabled by resources provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at Chalmers/C3SE partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement no. 2022-06725 and no. 2018-05973. L.R.F. and C.M.A. acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Research Council (2020-05233) and standup for Energy collaboration. Some computations were performed at SNIC at the National Supercomputer Centre (NSC) at Linköping University. Support from the Sino-Danish Center (SDC) for Education and Research is fully acknowledged.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

molecular conformation, oligomeric acceptors, solar cells

Received: June 18, 2024 Revised: August 5, 2024 Published online:

- X. Gu, X. Zhang, H. Huang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202308496.
- [2] F. Qi, Y. Li, F. R. Lin, A. K. Jen, ChemSusChem **2024**, 17, 202301559.
- Y. Liang, D. Zhang, Z. Wu, T. Jia, L. Lüer, H. Tang, L. Hong, J. Zhang,
 K. Zhang, C. J. Brabec, N. Li, F. Huang, *Nat. Energy* 2022, *7*, 1180.
- [4] H. Wang, C. Cao, H. Chen, H. Lai, C. Ke, Y. Zhu, H. Li, F. He, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2022, 61, e202201844.
- [5] L. Zhang, Z. Zhang, D. Deng, H. Zhou, J. Zhang, Z. Wei, Adv. Sci. 2022, 9, e2202513.
- [6] H. Hu, M. Ghasemi, Z. Peng, J. Zhang, J. J. Rech, W. You, H. Yan, H. Ade, Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 2005348.
- [7] L. Yu, D. Qian, S. Marina, F. A. A. Nugroho, A. Sharma, S. Hultmark, A. I. Hofmann, R. Kroon, J. Benduhn, D. M. Smilgies, K. Vandewal, M. R. Andersson, C. Langhammer, J. Martín, F. Gao, C. Müller, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 21766.
- [8] M. Ghasemi, H. Hu, Z. Peng, J. J. Rech, I. Angunawela, J. H. Carpenter, S. J. Stuard, A. Wadsworth, I. McCulloch, W. You, H. Ade, *Joule* 2019, *3*, 1328.
- [9] J. Wang, M. Zhang, J. Lin, Z. Zheng, L. Zhu, P. Bi, H. Liang, X. Guo, J. Wu, Y. Wang, L. Yu, J. Li, J. Lv, X. Liu, F. Liu, J. Hou, Y. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *15*, 1585.
- [10] W. Gao, F. Qi, Z. Peng, F. R. Lin, K. Jiang, C. Zhong, W. Kaminsky, Z. Guan, C. S. Lee, T. J. Marks, H. Ade, A. K. Jen, *Adv. Mater.* 2022, 34, 2202089.
- [11] J. Fu, P. W. K. Fong, H. Liu, C. S. Huang, X. Lu, S. Lu, M. Abdelsamie, T. Kodalle, C. M. Sutter-Fella, Y. Yang, G. Li, *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 1760.
- [12] H. Yu, Y. Wang, H. K. Kim, X. Wu, Y. Li, Z. Yao, M. Pan, X. Zou, J. Zhang, S. Chen, D. Zhao, F. Huang, X. Lu, Z. Zhu, H. Yan, *Adv. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 2200361.
- [13] R. Ma, Q. Fan, T. A. Dela Peña, B. Wu, H. Liu, Q. Wu, Q. Wei, J. Wu, X. Lu, M. Li, W. Ma, G. Li, Adv. Mater. 2023, 35, 2212275.
- [14] J. Guo, X. Xia, B. Qiu, J. Zhang, S. Qin, X. Li, W. Lai, X. Lu, L. Meng, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Adv. Mater. 2023, 35, 2211296.
- [15] T. Chen, X. Zheng, D. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Ouyang, J. Xue, M. Wang, S. Wang, W. Ma, C. Zhang, Z. Ma, S. Li, L. Zuo, H. Chen, *Adv. Mater.* **2023**, *3*, 2308061.
- [16] R. Sun, T. Wang, Q. Fan, M. Wu, X. Yang, X. Wu, Y. Yu, X. Xia, F. Cui, J. Wan, X. Lu, X. Hao, A. K. Y. Jen, E. Spiecker, J. Min, *Joule* **2023**, *7*, 221.
- [17] Q. Fan, Z. Xiao, E. Wang, L. Ding, Sci. Bull. 2021, 66, 1950.
- [18] Z. Genene, W. Mammo, E. Wang, M. R. Andersson, Adv. Mater. 2023, 31, 1807275.
- [19] J. Wu, Z. Ling, L. R. Franco, S. Y. Jeong, Z. Genene, J. Mena, S. Chen, C. Chen, C. M. Araujo, C. F. N. Marchiori, J. Kimpel, X. Chang, F. H. Isikgor, Q. Chen, H. Faber, Y. Han, F. Laquai, M. Zhang, H. Y. Woo, D. Yu, T. D. Anthopoulos, E. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2023**, *62*, e202302888.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



www.advancedscience.com

- [20] W. Liu, J. Yuan, C. Zhu, Q. Wei, S. Liang, H. Zhang, G. Zheng, Y. Hu, L. Meng, F. Gao, Y. Li, Y. Zou, *Sci. China Chem.* **2022**, 65, 1374.
- [21] X. Gu, Y. Wei, N. Yu, J. Qiao, Z. Han, Q. Lin, X. Han, J. Gao, C. Li, J. Zhang, X. Hao, Z. Wei, Z. Tang, Y. Cai, X. Zhang, H. Huang, CCS *Chem.* **2023**, *5*, 2576.
- [22] J. W. Lee, C. Sun, C. Lee, Z. Tan, T. N. Phan, H. Jeon, D. Jeong, S. K. Kwon, Y. H. Kim, B. J. Kim, ACS Energy Lett. 2023, 8, 1344.
- [23] P. Tan, H. Chen, H. Wang, X. Lai, Y. Zhu, X. Shen, M. Pu, H. Lai, S. Zhang, W. Ma, F. He, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 34, 2305608.
- [24] H. Zhuo, X. Li, J. Zhang, S. Qin, J. Guo, R. Zhou, X. Jiang, X. Wu, Z. Chen, J. Li, L. Meng, Y. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2023, 62, 2305608.
- [25] M. Lv, Q. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Wang, Z. G. Zhang, T. Wang, H. Zhang, K. Lu, Z. Wei, D. Deng, *Adv. Mater.* **2024**, *36*, 2310046.
- [26] C. Amatore, A. A. Bahsoun, A. Jutand, G. Meyer, A. Ndedi Ntepe, L. Ricard, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4212.
- [27] D. M. Koch, C. Toubin, G. H. Peslherbe, J. T. Hynes, J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 2972.
- [28] M. Chatzopoulou, R. F. Martínez, N. J. Willis, T. D. W. Claridge, F. X. Wilson, G. M. Wynne, S. G. Davies, A. J. Russell, *Tetrahedron* 2018, 74, 5280.
- [29] L. Zhan, S. Li, H. Zhang, F. Gao, T. K. Lau, X. Lu, D. Sun, P. Wang, M. Shi, C. Z. Li, H. Chen, *Adv. Sci.* 2018, *5*, 1800755.
- [30] S. Liu, J. Yuan, W. Deng, M. Luo, Y. Xie, Q. Liang, Y. Zou, Z. He, H. Wu, Y. Cao, Nat. Photon. 2020, 14, 300.
- [31] J. Liu, S. Chen, D. Qian, B. Gautam, G. Yang, J. Zhao, J. Bergqvist, F. Zhang, W. Ma, H. Ade, O. Inganäs, K. Gundogdu, F. Gao, H. Yan, *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16089.
- [32] T. D. Subha, R. T. Prabu, S. Parasuraman, A. Kumar, Opt. Quant. Electron. 2023, 55, 794.

- [33] F. Sun, X. Wang, M. Wan, Z. Liu, Y. Luo, J. Ren, X. Zheng, T. Rath, C. Xiao, T. Hu, G. Trimmel, R. Yang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, 2306791.
- [34] H. Yin, K. L. Chiu, P. Bi, G. Li, C. Yan, H. Tang, C. Zhang, Y. Xiao, H. Zhang, W. Yu, H. Hu, X. Lu, X. Hao, S. K. So, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* 2019, *5*, 1900497.
- [35] L. J. A. Koster, V. D. Mihailetchi, R. Ramaker, P. W. M. Blom, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2005, 86, 123509.
- [36] X. Song, K. Zhang, R. Guo, K. Sun, Z. Zhou, S. Huang, L. Huber, M. Reus, J. Zhou, M. Schwartzkopf, S. V. Roth, W. Liu, Y. Liu, W. Zhu, P. Muller-Buschbaum, Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2200907.
- [37] M. Lenes, M. Morana, C. J. Brabec, P. W. M. Blom, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2009, 19, 1106.
- [38] J. L. Wu, F. C. Chen, Y. S. Hsiao, F. C. Chien, P. Chen, C. H. Kuo, M. H. Huang, C. S. Hsu, ACS Nano 2011, 5, 959.
- [39] J. Wu, Q. Fan, M. Xiong, Q. Wang, K. Chen, H. Liu, M. Gao, L. Ye, X. Guo, J. Fang, Q. Guo, W. Su, Z. Ma, Z. Tang, E. Wang, H. Ade, M. Zhang, *Nano Energy* **2021**, *82*, 105679.
- [40] C. M. Proctor, C. Kim, D. Neher, T. Q. Nguyen, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2013, 23, 3584.
- [41] D. K. Owens, R. C. Wendt, J. Appl. Poly. Sci. 1969, 13, 1741.
- [42] S. Pang, R. Zhang, C. Duan, S. Zhang, X. Gu, X. Liu, F. Huang, Y. Cao, Adv. Energy Mater. 2019, 9, 1901740.
- [43] J. Wu, G. Li, J. Fang, X. Guo, L. Zhu, B. Guo, Y. Wang, G. Zhang, L. Arunagiri, F. Liu, H. Yan, M. Zhang, Y. Li, *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 4612.
- [44] M. A. Ruderer, S. Guo, R. Meier, H. Y. Chiang, V. Körstgens, J. Wiedersich, J. Perlich, S. V. Roth, P. Müller-Buschbaum, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2011, 21, 3382.
- [45] P. Müller-Buschbaum, Adv. Mater. 2014, 26, 7692.