

Low electric current in a bioelectrochemical system facilitates ethanol production from CO using CO-enriched mixed culture

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-10-20 08:10 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Im, C., Kim, M., Kim, J. et al (2024). Low electric current in a bioelectrochemical system facilitates ethanol production from CO using CO-enriched mixed culture. FRONTIERS IN MICROBIOLOGY, 15. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Idania Valdez-Vazquez, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Karla M. Muñoz-Páez, CONACYT-UNAM, Mexico René Cardeña, Technological University of Uruguay (UTEC), Uruguay Cecilia Lizeth Alvarez Guzmán, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE Chaeho Im chaeho@chalmers.se Carl Johan Franzén franzen@chalmers.se

RECEIVED 26 May 2024 ACCEPTED 24 July 2024 PUBLISHED 29 August 2024

CITATION

Im C, Kim M, Kim JR, Valgepea K, Modin O, Nygård Y and Franzén CJ (2024) Low electric current in a bioelectrochemical system facilitates ethanol production from CO using CO-enriched mixed culture. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1438758. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Im, Kim, Kim, Valgepea, Modin, Nygård and Franzén. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Low electric current in a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full) [bioelectrochemical system](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full) [facilitates ethanol production](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full) [from CO using CO-enriched](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full) [mixed culture](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full)

Chaeho Im^{1*}, Minsoo Kim², Jung Rae Kim², Kaspar Valgepea³, Oskar Modin⁴, Yvonne Nygård^{1,5} and Carl Johan Franzén^{1*}

¹Division of Industrial Biotechnology, Department of Life Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, ²School of Chemical Engineering, Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea, ³Institute of Bioengineering, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia, ⁴Division of Water Environment Technology, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, ⁵VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Espoo, Finland

Fossil resources must be replaced by renewable resources in production systems to mitigate green-house gas emissions and combat climate change. Electrofermentation utilizes a bioelectrochemical system (BES) to valorize industrial and municipal waste. Current electro-fermentation research is mainly focused on microbial electrosynthesis using $CO₂$ for producing commodity chemicals and replacing petroleum-based infrastructures. However, slow production rates and low titers of metabolites during $CO₂$ -based microbial electrosynthesis impede its implementation to the real application in the near future. On the other hand, CO is a highly reactive gas and an abundant feedstock discharged from fossil fuelbased industry. Here, we investigated CO and $CO₂$ electro-fermentation, using a CO-enriched culture. Fresh cow fecal waste was enriched under an atmosphere of 50% CO and 20% CO₂ in N₂ using serial cultivation. The CO-enriched culture was dominated by *Clostridium autoethanogenum* (≥89%) and showed electroactivity in a BES reactor with $CO₂$ sparging. When 50% CO was included in the 20% $CO₂$ gas with 10 mA applied current, acetate and ethanol were produced up to 12.9 ± 2.7 mM and 2.7 ± 1.1 mM, respectively. The coulombic efficiency was estimated to $148\% + 8\%$ without an electron mediator. At 25 mA, the culture showed faster initial growth and acetate production but no ethanol production, and only at $86\% + 4\%$ coulombic efficiency. The maximum optical density (OD) of 10 mA and 25 mA reactors were 0.29 \pm 0.07 and 0.41 \pm 0.03, respectively, whereas it was 0.77 ± 0.19 without electric current. These results show that CO electro-fermentation at low current can be an alternative way of valorizing industrial waste gas using a bioelectrochemical system.

KEYWORDS

bioelectrochemical system, microbial electrosynthesis, bioethanol, gas fermentation, carbon monoxide, acetogen, *Clostridium autoethanogenum*

1 Introduction

Electro-fermentation is a promising technology to valorize residual carbons using renewable energy [\(Jiang et al., 2019](#page-11-0)). Both gaseous carbon (e.g., $CO₂$) and organic wastes (e.g., municipal and agricultural waste) can be used as substrates for electro-fermentation. Gasification of such waste results in so-called syngas, containing CO , $CO₂$ and $H₂$. Depending on the oxidation state of the carbon being used as a substrate in a bioelectrochemical system (BES), various products can be produced, either by anodic or cathodic electro-fermentation [\(Chandrasekhar et al.,](#page-11-1) [2021\)](#page-11-1). Gaseous carbon substrate for cathodic electro-fermentation usually refers to $CO₂$ to contribute to the Sustainable Development Goal 13, Climate Action, aiming at net zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 in accordance with the Paris Agreement [\(United Nations Environment](#page-12-0) [Programme, 2015](#page-12-0)). Electro-fermentation requires lower power consumption than traditional catalytic electrosynthesis, resulting in carbon-negative chemical production with the help of renewable energy ([Jiang et al., 2019](#page-11-0); [Chu et al., 2020](#page-11-2)). $CO₂$ is a favored substrate for cathodic electro-fermentation, because it leads to valorization of residual $CO₂$ that would otherwise both be wasted and contribute to climate change. The main products of CO₂ electro-fermentation are methane and/or acetate, depending on operational conditions such as the composition of the medium and of the mixed culture inoculum ([Marshall et al., 2012](#page-12-1); [Samanides et al., 2020](#page-12-2)). Recent studies have also reported production of ethanol and butanol, as well as short- and medium-chain fatty acids, from gaseous carbon in BESs using mixed cultures already enriched in BES reactors ([Vassilev et al., 2018;](#page-12-3) [Romans-Casas et al., 2024\)](#page-12-4). Therefore, cathodic electro-fermentation is a viable option for capturing and valorizing CO₂. Nevertheless, low titers and selectivity of products, along with a diverse product spectrum causing increased cost in product recovery, challenges the implementation of $CO₂$ -based electrofermentation in the near future [\(Claassens et al., 2019](#page-11-3); [Prevoteau](#page-12-5) [et al., 2020\)](#page-12-5).

Gas fermentation employs acetogens as biocatalysts, such as *Clostridium ljungdahlii* and *Clostridium autoethanogenum* ([Tanner](#page-12-6) [et al., 1993;](#page-12-6) [Abrini et al., 1994](#page-11-4)). These acetogens utilize the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway to fix one-carbon molecules, specifically CO and CO2, converting them into acetyl-CoA [\(Fackler et al., 2021](#page-11-5)). From this pivotal central metabolite, a variety of valuable chemicals can be synthesized [\(Liew et al., 2016](#page-12-7)). Understanding and optimizing CO conversion is important as CO is a major component of syngas and industrial flue gas. Moreover, the standard redox potential of the $CO₂/CO$ half-cell (-520 mV) is more negative than that of the 2H⁺/ H₂ half-cell (−420 mV). This means that CO conversion has more potential to produce reduced metabolites than the conversion of $CO₂$ as a sole carbon source with the help of H_2 oxidation (Chu et al., [2020\)](#page-11-6). Some of the possible reactions that lead to ethanol formation from $CO₂$ and CO are listed in Eqs. 1-4.

$$
2CO_2 + 6H_2 \rightarrow C_2H_5OH + 3H_2O(\Delta G = -97.4 \text{kJ/mol}) \quad (1)
$$

$$
2CO + 4H_2 \rightarrow C_2H_5OH + H_2O(\Delta G^\circ = -137.6 \text{kJ/mol})
$$
 (2)

$$
3CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow C_2H_5OH + CO_2 \left(\Delta G^\circ = -157.7 \text{kJ/mol}\right) \tag{3}
$$

$$
6CO + 3H2O \rightarrow C2H5OH + 4CO2 (\Delta G = -218.0 kJ/mol) (4)
$$

Focusing on CO conversion can significantly increase the overall efficiency of value-added chemical production [\(Im et al., 2018](#page-11-7)). In addition to electro-fermentation, industrial waste gases and gasified solid organic waste can be utilized using gas fermentation without the need of supplying electrical current to the cultures. Gas fermentation is already technologically mature enough to be commercially viable. That this technology can replace at least part of the petrochemical industry is illustrated by LanzaTech, which is one of the leading companies commercializing ethanol from syngas fermentation and actively broadening the product spectrum ([Mihalcea et al., 2023](#page-12-8)). Moreover, the efficient conversion of CO into useful products via acetogens not only has industrial relevance but also bears considerable environmental importance [\(Badr and Probert, 1995\)](#page-11-8). One limitation of CO as the sole or main substrate is that CO has low solubility in water (27.6mg/L at 25°C), which limits the performance of syngas fermentation (Phillips et al., 2017). Moreover, when H_2 supply is insufficient, two-thirds of the carbons from CO are lost as $CO₂$ [\(Eq. 4\)](#page-2-1) ([Valgepea et al., 2018\)](#page-12-10). When fermenting syngas three-fold more H_2 than $CO₂$ are required to convert $CO₂$ into ethanol [\(Eq. 1](#page-2-0)), and not many types of syngas contain such high percentage of hydrogen ([Ciliberti et al., 2020\)](#page-11-9). In this case, a BES can be an option to supply extra reducing equivalents for fixing $CO₂$ and to improve carbon yields of products [\(Im et al., 2018](#page-11-7); [Song et al., 2020](#page-12-11), [2021](#page-12-12)).

CO conversion in a BES was first studied using the electron mediator neutral red (Im et al., 2018). The authors suggested that electrons supplied from the electrode to the bacterial cells via an electron mediator could increase product yields from CO conversion by lowering $CO₂$ production in the BES [\(Im et al., 2018](#page-11-7)). Although several operational parameters were modulated to increase the performance of the microbial electrosynthetic CO conversion, low titers of short-chain fatty acids dominated the product spectrum, with low selectivity [\(Song et al., 2020,](#page-12-11) [2021\)](#page-12-12). In order to evaluate the use of industrial waste gas as an alternative to pure $CO₂$, Chu et al., tested different $CO/CO₂$ ratios in a BES using a mixed culture and fixed current without an electron mediator [\(Chu et al.,](#page-11-6) 2020). Even though an optimal $CO/CO₂$ ratio was found for better CO consumption and caproate selectivity during CO electro-fermentation compared to other conditions, both the coulombic efficiency and the selectivity towards caproate stayed low (<100 and 15.4%, respectively) ([Chu et al., 2020\)](#page-11-6). Moreover, the complexity of the mixed culture makes it difficult to identify paths for further improvement. Pre-enriching the mixed culture under conditions suitable for the study may provide important information for CO electro-fermentation achieving higher CE and higher titers of metabolites produced with high selectivity [\(Patil](#page-12-13) [et al., 2015](#page-12-13)).

Im et al., tested different cathode potentials ranging from −0.6 to -1.2 (vs 3M Ag/AgCl,) for CO₂ microbial electrosynthesis using *Clostridium ljungdahlii* [\(Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)). In the study, cathode potentials at −0.8V and −1.0V (vs 3M Ag/AgCl), corresponding to currents about 10mA and 25mA, respectively, resulted in CEs close to 100% ([Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). When a cathode potential of −1.2V (vs 3M Ag/AgCl) was applied, the performance (in terms of CE, productivity, and cell growth) was instead decreased [\(Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). These results suggest that higher current driven by higher cathodic potential does not lead to better performance.

In this study, cow fecal waste was enriched under CO before being used as an inoculum in electro-fermentation experiments. Different fixed currents (10 mA and 25 mA) and different gaseous substrates $(CO/CO₂$ mix and $CO₂$ only) were tested to investigate the performance of the inoculum in a BES. When 10 mA was applied to the BES reactor, improved cell viability and ethanol conversion was observed. The results of this study indicate important parameters to consider when a CO-containing gas mixture is used in a BES.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 The source of inoculum and medium composition

In order to maintain near-anaerobic conditions, a 50mL Falcontube was fully filled with fresh cow fecal waste from a cow farm located in Yangsan-si, South Korea. The sample tube used for inoculation was moved into a Coy anaerobic chamber within an hour after sample collection.

The medium used in serum flasks contained: K_2HPO_4 , 0.35 g/L; KH₂PO₄, 0.23 g/L; NH₄Cl, 1.0 g/L; KCl, 0.1 g/L; MgSO₄⋅7H₂O, 0.2 g/L; NaCl, 0.8 g/L; CaCl₂⋅2H₂O, 0.02 g/L; sodium acetate, 0.25 g/L; yeast extract, 1.0g/L; L-Cysteine⋅HCl, 0.6g/L; 1mL/L of 1g/L resazurin, and 10mL/L of mineral solution and vitamin solution, respectively. The composition of the mineral and vitamin solutions were the same as in DSMZ medium 879 ([Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)). The medium was prepared anaerobically, and the pH of the medium was set to pH 5.0. Prior to inoculation, 5mM of sodium 2-bromoethanesulfonate was added to the medium to inhibit methanogenesis during the enrichment process.

2.2 Inoculum enrichment of cow fecal waste under CO

The enrichment process was adapted from [Grimalt-Alemany](#page-11-11) [et al. \(2018\)](#page-11-11). Briefly, 5 g of fresh cow fecal waste was inoculated into 50 mL medium in a 250 mL serum flask. The headspace was evacuated and filled with 2 bars of ultra-high purity $[N_2:CO:CO_2] = [30:50:20]$ gas (99.999%, impurities <5 ppm, GD Gas, Korea). The headspace gas was replaced when the overpressure was lower than 0.5 bar. Liquid samples were taken every 24 h to measure pH and metabolite concentrations. Whenever the pH stopped decreasing and no more changes in metabolite production were detected, 10% of the culture was transferred to another serum bottle containing 50 mL of fresh medium. After ten rounds of such serial cultivations, the enriched culture was used as inoculum for BES experiments. All cultivations were done in a temperaturecontrolled incubator at 37°C.

The primary source of CO for use in electro-fermentation is synthesis gas (syngas). The CO content in syngas varies from 13 to 63% [\(Ciliberti et al., 2020;](#page-11-9) [Girod et al., 2020\)](#page-11-12). In this study, a moderately high CO concentration (50%) was chosen to test the potential inhibitory effect of CO on extracellular electron transfer. H_2 was omitted to see the effect of electric current applied without interference from H_2 consumption.

2.3 Bioelectrochemical system operation

H-type BES reactors (Adams and Chittenden Scientific Glass Coop, Berkeley, CA, United States) were used for bioelectrochemical system operation. An Aquivion® E98-09S cation exchange membrane (Solvary Specialty Polymers, United States) was used to separate the cathode and anode chambers. Each chamber contained 250mL of the culture medium, but without resazurin and with yeast extract added only in the cathode chamber. A graphite felt $(2.5 \text{ cm} \times 4 \text{ cm}; GF065, \text{Fuel cell store}, United States)$ was used as the cathode and a platinized titanium wire as the anode (MAGNETO special anodes, The Netherlands). Ultra-high purity $[N_2:CO:CO_2] = [30:50:20]$ gas was sparged continuously through a stainless needle (Merck, United States) connected to a needle valve at a rate of 10mL/min. Constant currents were applied to the BES reactors using a multi-channel potentiostat (WMPG1000K, WonA Tech, Korea). The BES reactors were operated in a temperaturecontrolled incubator at 37°C. Each cathode chamber of the BES reactors was stirred using a magnetic bar at 50 rpm throughout the experiment. The enriched culture was inoculated to the cathode chamber to an OD of 0.05 to initiate the experiments. Prior to testing CO electro-fermentation, CO₂ electro-fermentation was done to test electro-activity of the CO-enriched culture. $CO₂$ electrofermentation was done in duplicates, and CO electro-fermentation in triplicates.

2.4 Analysis

Using a syringe, a 1mL liquid sample was taken every 24h from the serum bottle during the enrichment and from the cathode and the anode chambers during BES reactor operation. Bacterial cell growth was estimated by measuring the optical density (OD) using a spectrophotometer (WPA S1200+, Biochrom, Cambridge, United Kingdom) at a wavelength of 600nm in 1cm light path.

Samples for metabolite quantification were stored at −20°C until analysis. After thawing, the samples were centrifuged and filtered through a syringe filter (0.22μm, PTFE-H, Korea). The filtered samples were analyzed using an HPLC system equipped with a refractive index detector (at 40°C) and a UV detector (at 210nm) (Jasco, Japan). The ROA-Organic acid H+ (8%) column (Rezex, Torrance, CA, United States) was installed in the oven and the oven temperature was maintained at 60 $^{\circ}$ C, using 5 mM H₂SO₄ as the mobile phase at 0.6mL/min.

The headspace of cultures was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, 6500GC, YL Instrument, Korea) equipped with a Porapak N column (10ft. \times 1/8 in \times 2.1 mm) and Mol sieve 13X (3ft. \times 1/8 in \times 2.1 mm). Gaseous carbon molecules (CH₄ and CO₂) were detected using a flame ionization detector (FID), and other gas components, such as hydrogen and nitrogen, were detected using a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). Argon was used as the carrier gas. 100 μL of the gas samples were introduced directly into the injector using a pressure-lock syringe (100 μL, Hamilton, United States).

The diversity and taxonomic composition of the bacterial community of the CO-enriched culture was characterized by nextgeneration sequencing (NGS, Macrogen, Korea). Both the V1–V2 (27F-Eub338 primer set) and V3–V4 (Bakt_341F-805R primer set) regions of the 16S rRNA gene were analyzed to increase the resolving power for identifying bacterial taxa. Briefly, Maxwell® 16 Tissue DNA Purification kit (AS1030, Promega, United States) was used to purify DNA from the sample. Afterwards, Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase Nextera XT Index V2 Kit was used for the library preparation and Illumina Miseq platform for the sequencing. FLASH (1.2.11) was used for merging assembly of the paired end reads from the original DNA fragments ([Magoc and Salzberg, 2011](#page-12-14)). Raw read filtering and trimming, error-free reads picking, and operational taxonomic units (OTU) clustering at different distance cutoffs (0.03) were performed using CD-HIT-OTU and rDnaTools ([Li et al., 2012](#page-12-15)). QIIME was used to assign taxonomy with NCBI_16S_20230103 (BLAST) (Sequences with ≥97% similarity at species level).

2.5 Coulombic efficiency

The CE is the ratio of coulombs recovered in products to coulombs input to the system ([Eq. 5](#page-4-0)), calculated as

$$
CE\left(\frac{\%}{\ } = \frac{F\sum_{i} b_{i}n_{i}}{\int_{0}^{t} I dt} \times 100\%,\right)
$$
 (5)

where *F* corresponds to Faraday's constant (96,485 C/mol), b_i is the number of electrons required in the synthesis of the product *i* (mol e[−]/mol product), *ni* is the amount of product *i* (mol), and *i* is the electrical current (A) through the BES circuit at time *t*. The CE of each experiment was calculated based on the $CO₂$ reduction into acetate and ethanol [\(Eqs. 6, 7](#page-4-1)) ([Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). The products detected by HPLC, both from the cathode and the anode chambers, were considered for CE calculations as product diffusion through a proton exchange membrane was reported [\(Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)).

$$
2CO2 + 8e- + 8H+ \rightarrow CH3COOH + 2H2O
$$
 (6)

$$
2\text{CO}_2 + 12\text{e}^- + 12\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
$$
 (7)

2.6 Cyclic voltammetry

CV measurements were done at the end of the $CO₂$ electrofermentation experiment and abiotic experiment to compare bacterial activities. The CV was measured from −1.1V to 0.2V vs. an Ag/AgCl (3M KCl) reference electrode at a scan rate of 1mV/s. Cathode potential mentioned throughout this manuscript is compared to Ag/ AgCl (3M NaCl) reference electrode.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R software, function *t*.test(), for two-tailed *t*-test. Statistical significance was established at *p*-value <0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Bacterial community after enrichment in CO

Four weeks after inoculation of the initial cow fecal waste in serum flasks pressurized to 2 bar with a gas mixture of 30% N_2 , 50% CO and 20% CO₂, CO consumption and acetate production was detected. After the initial batch, serial sub-culturing was performed after every 7–9days. The early cultures produced acetate, ethanol, butyrate, and butanol (data not shown). After ten serial batch cultivations, the enriched microbial culture produced only acetate and ethanol.

The enriched bacterial community was analyzed using 16S rRNA sequencing. The majority of the bacterial community $(\geq 89\%)$ was found to consist of *Clostridium autoethanogenum* according to the sequencing service ([Table 1\)](#page-4-2). The results were consistent between the V1–V2 and the V3–V4 primer pairs for the 16S rRNA gene. However, *Clostridium autoethanogenum* cannot be distinguished from the closely related *Clostridium ljungdahlii* on the 16S RNA level, as discussed below. The second most frequent bacterial species of the enriched community was identified to be the lactic acid bacterium *Lacticaseobacillus paracasei* (7.5% using primers V1–V2) or *Lacticaseobacillus chiayiensis* (4% with primers V3–V4). *Lacticaseibacillus* spp., *Enterococcus* spp., and the rest of the bacterial community, are non-autotrophic bacteria, which were present in the population in relatively small amounts, less than 8% ([Table 1](#page-4-2)). Nevertheless, no lactate was detected during the enrichment process. *Clostridium muellerianum*, which constituted about 0.1% of the population, is a recently isolated CO-oxidizing acetogen (Doyle et al., [2022\)](#page-11-13). It can produce acetate, butyrate, caproate, ethanol, and hexanol from CO and CO₂ ([Doyle et al., 2022](#page-11-13)). Considering the low relative abundance (<0.1%), the actual $pH=5$, and its pH range for growth (pH 5.0–8.5) ([Doyle et al., 2022\)](#page-11-13), it is unlikely to have contributed to the metabolite production to any major extent. Therefore, the bacterial community other than *C. autoethanogenum* is assumed to have persisted because of growth on the yeast extract present in the culture

TABLE 1 16S rRNA microbial community analysis using the V1–V2 and V3–V4 primer sets.

| | $V1-V2$ (%) | $V3-V4$ (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Clostridium autoethanogenum/ | 89.14 | 94.32 |
| Clostridium ljungdahlii | | |
| Lactocaseibacillus paracasei | 7.49 | |
| Lactocaseibacillus chiayiensis | | 4.03 |
| Enterococcus hirae | 1.80 | 1.07 |
| Caproicibacter fermentans | 1.07 | 0.32 |
| Caldanaerobius fijiensis | | 0.17 |
| Clostridium muellerianum | | 0.05 |
| Clostridium neuense | 0.04 | |
| Massiliimalia massiliensis | 0.04 | |
| Ethanoligenens harbinense | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| Caproiciproducens galactitolivorans | | 0.02 |
| Enterococcus thailandicus | | 0.01 |

medium. In conclusion, the bacterial community analysis showed that the CO-oxidizing bacterium *C. autoethanogenum* (or *C. ljungdahlii*) was well enriched and become the dominant species under the given condition.

3.2 Effect of electrical current on $CO₂$ electro-fermentation

Since *C. autoethanogenum* (or *C. ljungdahlii*) was highly predominant in the CO-enriched mixed culture, the operating conditions for CO₂ electro-fermentation was chosen according to a previous microbial electrosynthesis study using *C. ljungdahlii*, in which it was found that the optimal cathodic potential was between −0.8V and −1.0V ([Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)). Therefore, 10mA and 25mA of fixed current were chosen, which corresponded to −0.8V and −1.0V in the results of the previous study [\(Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)).

The electro-activity of the enriched culture was first tested in CO₂ electro-fermentation. An abiotic experiment was conducted as a control. Electrical current levels of 10mA and 25mA were chosen to test the electro-activity. The abiotic experiment was done by sparging a gas mix containing 30% N_2 , 50% CO and 20% CO₂ to test if the fixed electric current applied to each reactor ensured constant hydrogen evolution from the electrode and to estimate if changes in hydrogen partial pressure could be used as an indicator of electro-activity ([Figure 1\)](#page-5-0). Throughout the experiments, hydrogen was detected in the headspace of the abiotically operated reactors, at 1.5–3.5% when 10mA was applied to the reactor and 2–5% when 25mA was applied [\(Figures 1C,D\)](#page-5-0). While no acetate production was observed in the abiotic experiment, formate was electrochemically produced $(3.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ mM}$ for 10 mA and $5.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ mM}$ for 25mA, *p*-value=0.03) [\(Figure 1B\)](#page-5-0).

To assess the electro-activity of the enriched culture on $CO₂$, H-type BES reactors were sparged with a gas mix of 20% CO₂ and 80% N₂, and were inoculated with the enriched culture. As in the abiotic experiment, the pH increased after the first day from an initial pH 5.0 to pH 5.9 and pH 6.2 for 10mA and 25mA reactors, respectively. After this, acetate started being produced, and the pH was maintained in the 25mA reactor and gradually decreased with time in the 10mA reactor ([Figure 2A\)](#page-6-0). At the end of the experiments, concentrations of 11.3 ± 0.9 mM acetate and 1.6 ± 0.1 mM ethanol were detected in the 10 mA reactor, and $6.8\pm0.9 \text{ mM}$ of acetate and $1.2\pm0.6 \text{ mM}$ of ethanol in the 25mA reactor ([Figures 2A,B\)](#page-6-0). The 10mA reactor showed some cell growth until day 3, after which the cell growth decreased. Hydrogen in the headspace slightly decreased to $0.6\% \pm 0.2\%$ until day 3, after which it increased again to around $1.3\% \pm 0.3\%$ on day 5. The cell growth rate in the 25 mA reactor was faster (μ = 0.044 ± 0.001 h⁻¹) than that in the 10 mA reactor (μ = 0.011 ± 0.001 h⁻¹) (p -value = 0.01). In the 25mA reactor, the OD was highest on day 1, after which it decreased. The OD in the 10mA reactor gradually increased through day 3, after which it decreased. The percentage of hydrogen in the headspace instead increased to become similar to the abiotic experiment ([Figure 2](#page-6-0)).

(C,D) headspace gas composition in abiotical reactors operated at (C) 10  mA and (D) 25  mA. Error bars indicate standard deviation (*n*  =  2).

At the end of the experiment, cyclic voltammetry was performed to identify extracellular electron transfer to the culture [\(Figure 3](#page-6-1)). While no significant redox activities were observed with fresh medium without inoculum, both the 10mA and the 25mA reactors showed a drastic reductive current increase below −0.8V before an oxidative peak around −0.6V ([Figure 3](#page-6-1)). This indicates improved catalysis of the hydrogen evolution reaction on the cathode.

3.3 Effect of electrical current on CO electro-fermentation

The enriched bacterial culture was inoculated in an H-type BES reactor to establish if applying an electric current could change the metabolite profile during CO electro-fermentation without an electron mediator. As a control experiment, no electric current was applied to the reactor. When no electric current was applied, the maximum OD, 0.78 ± 0.19 , was achieved on day 7, when 16.9 ± 2.8 mM of acetate was detected [\(Figures 4A,B](#page-7-0)). Only one of the triplicate experiments produced 4.2mM of ethanol, while the other two produced no ethanol.

The OD increased faster in both the 10 and the 25mA reactors than in the control during the first day, but after day 2 it started to decrease. When 10mA of electric current was applied, the maximum OD was lower (0.30 ± 0.05) than in the control experiment (0.78 ± 0.19) ([Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0). At the end of the experiment, 12.9 ± 2.7 mM acetate and 2.7 ± 1.1 mM ethanol were detected in the 10 mA reactor [\(Figure 4B](#page-7-0)).

The H_2 content in the headspace gradually decreased from $0.6\% \pm 0.4\%$ on day 1 to $0.2\% \pm 0.1\%$ on day 8, indicating that the enriched inoculum was utilizing hydrogen during CO electro-fermentation ([Figure 4C\)](#page-7-0). When an electric current of 25mA was applied, the initial cell growth and acetate production became even faster than when 10mA current was applied to the BES reactor. The maximum OD reached 0.42 ± 0.04 on day 2, and 15.3 ± 3.0 mM of acetate was detected

on day 4 [\(Figures 4A,B](#page-7-0)). The OD decreased from day 3 onwards, which corresponded to an increase in the hydrogen content in the headspace ([Figure 4D](#page-7-0)). Overall, this experiment showed that the CO-oxidizing bacterial culture could utilize electrons from the electrode for acetate production and cell growth during CO electrofermentation [\(Figure 4](#page-7-0)). Nonetheless, the applied current caused a decrease in the OD, presumably due to cell death ([Figure 4\)](#page-7-0).

Coulombic efficiency is an important parameter in the evaluation of electro-fermentation processes, since it indicates the recovery of energy, supplied by the electrode, in the metabolic products. The CEs varied depending on the stage of the fermentations [\(Figure 5\)](#page-8-0). Both the 10mA and 25mA reactors produced acetate and hydrogen as the main products until day 3, when the highest CE and OD were measured. The highest CE observed at day 3 from the 10mA applied reactor was 148%±29% when acetate and ethanol were considered, and $212\% \pm 40\%$ when also including H₂ [\(Figure 5\)](#page-8-0). At 25 mA the corresponding numbers were 75%±11 and 120%±31%, respectively ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)). After day 3, acetate production ceased, and most of electrons were recovered in $H₂$ along with the decrease in OD ([Figures 4,](#page-7-0) [5\)](#page-8-0).

4 Discussion

Clostridium autoethanogenum is a well-known ethanol producer during CO and CO₂ fermentation ([Marcellin et al., 2016](#page-12-16); Heffernan [et al., 2020](#page-11-14)). *C. autoethanogenum* and *C. ljungdahlii* are closely related and are often mentioned together when syngas fermentation is discussed ([Brown et al., 2014\)](#page-11-15). Although genomic and phenotypic differences of the two strains have been reported [\(Cotter et al.,](#page-11-16) [2009a](#page-11-16)[,b\)](#page-11-17), the two strains are similar enough to be indistinguishable at the 16S rRNA level, and the overall similarity of the two suggests they share a common ancestor ([Stackebrandt et al., 1999](#page-12-17); [Brown et al.,](#page-11-15) [2014\)](#page-11-15). The electro-activity of *C. ljungdahlii* has been studied since the beginning of microbial electrosynthesis research ([Nevin et al., 2011;](#page-12-18) [Bajracharya et al., 2015](#page-11-18); [Wang et al., 2020](#page-12-19); [Zhu et al., 2022](#page-12-20)). Although the electro-activity of *C. autoethanogenum* has not been tested under autotrophic conditions, its electro-activity has been demonstrated under heterotrophic conditions both with and without an electron mediator ([Kracke et al., 2016;](#page-12-21) [Martínez-Ruano et al., 2023\)](#page-12-22).

 $CO₂$ electro-fermentation using the enriched culture at 25 mA showed lower acetate production $(p$ -value = 0.04) than with 10 mA while higher and faster cell growth was seen in the reactor at 25mA on day 1 [\(Figures 2A,B\)](#page-6-0). This might be attributed to the drastic pH increase (pH>6.0), as the optimal pH for growth of *C. autoethanogenum* and *C. ljungdahlii* is pH 6.0 ([Figure 2A\)](#page-6-0) ([Tanner](#page-12-6) [et al., 1993](#page-12-6); [Abrini et al., 1994](#page-11-4)). The cell potentials during CO₂ electrofermentation at 10mA and 25mA were gradually decreased from −3.9V to −3.5V and from −5.5V to −4.7V, respectively ([Supplementary material\)](#page-11-19). The decrease in the cell potentials may be due to improved catalysis for H_2 evolution of the culture in the BES reactor. No visible biofilm was observed on the cathodes. The cyclic voltammetry showed that current consumption started at around −0.75V and showed a drastic increase in current consumption

between −0.8V and −1.1V [\(Figure 3](#page-6-1)). Similar cyclic voltammetry trends as those observed in this study have previously been observed with *Clostridium ljungdahlii*, which was claimed to utilize hydrogenmediated external electron transfer [\(Figure 3\)](#page-6-1) [\(Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10); [Boto](#page-11-20) [et al., 2023\)](#page-11-20). The OD decreased already after day 1 and 3 ([Figure 2A](#page-6-0)). If the decrease in OD was because of biofilm formation and a biofilm of the enriched culture had an important role for extracellular electron transfer in this system, the CV would have shown another reductive peak between −0.6 and −0.8V, or a curved change below −0.8V ([Figure 3\)](#page-6-1). When Nevin et al. tested microbial electrosynthesis using *C. ljungdahlii*, a $[N_2:CO_2:H_2] = [83:10:7]$ gas mix was used to promote biofilm formation ([Nevin et al., 2011\)](#page-12-18). However, scanning electron microscopy and confocal laser-scanning microscopy results showed only a thin layer of active cells on the electrode [\(Nevin et al., 2011](#page-12-18)). Biofilm formation of *C. ljungdahlii* can be induced by sodium chloride stress [\(Philips et al., 2017\)](#page-12-23). However, multiple batch operations of microbial electrosynthesis using *C. ljungdahlii* with electrochemically produced H2 demonstrated the importance of planktonic cells, with non-sustainable performances after multiple medium replacements ([Bajracharya et al., 2015\)](#page-11-18). Considering current densities used in this study were much higher (40 and 100mA/L) than in the microbial electrosynthesis study using *C. ljungdahlii* (estimated volumetric current density~7.5mA/L) [\(Bajracharya et al., 2015\)](#page-11-18), the planktonic cells were assumed to play a dominant role in this study. Altogether, the enriched culture could utilize electrons from the electrode and produce acetate in the same way as *C. ljungdahlii* utilizes electrons from an electrode. Given the uncertainty whether the main microorganism in the enriched culture was *Clostridium ljungdahlii* or *Clostridium autoethanogenum*, the results either confirm observations for *Clostridium ljungdahlii*, or show that *Clostridium autoethanogenum* also possesses electro-activity.

Electro-fermentation of CO has previously been done with undefined microbial consortia and single cultures, with or without the addition of electron mediators [\(Table 2\)](#page-9-0). The necessity of using an exogenous electron mediator in previous studies was assumed to be due to the inhibitory effect of CO on electron transfer [\(Im et al.,](#page-11-7) [2018;](#page-11-7) [Chu et al., 2020](#page-11-6)). Carbon monoxide is a well-known inhibitor of hydrogenase and heme proteins, that acts by binding Fe^{II} (Purec [et al., 1962;](#page-12-24) [Wilson et al., 2012](#page-12-25)). Hence, consumption of H₂ produced from the cathode is challenged in the presence of CO. CO electrofermentation was previously tested with an electron mediator to bypass the inhibition on extracellular electron transfer (Im et al., [2018\)](#page-11-7). When an electron mediator was used for CO electrofermentation, a theoretical coulombic efficiency of about 200% was easily achieved ([Im et al., 2018\)](#page-11-7), while CEs of CO electro-fermentation without an electron mediator were so far reported to be lower than 100% ([Table 2](#page-9-0)) ([Chu et al., 2020\)](#page-11-6). On the contrary, the results from this study suggest that utilization of electrons transferred from the electrode during CO electro-fermentation is possible as long as the cell viability is maintained and the inoculum is well enriched with CO-oxidizing acetogens [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0). When CO-oxidizing acetogens are well enriched in the culture, the concentration of dissolved CO would stay low, since CO would be consumed by the CO-oxidizing acetogens. Thus, the inhibitory effect of CO on extracellular electron transfer may be minimized.

The weak hydrogenase inhibition is illustrated by the H_2 in the headspace of both the 10mA and 25mA reactors, which was lower than the H₂ evolution obtained in the abiotic control experiment (*p*value=0.02 and 0.01, respectively). Moreover, the hydrogen content increased at the end of the experiment as the OD decreased [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0). This clearly indicates microbial consumption of the electrochemically generated hydrogen. It should be noted that the H-type BES reactor used in this study was not designed to improve mass transfer of gas to liquid to compensate for the low solubility of CO and H₂. This likely explains why CO consumption was not that noticeable during headspace analysis using GC [\(Figures 4C,D\)](#page-7-0). The medium used here contained 1g/L of yeast extract, but previous studies have shown that metabolite production from yeast extract without an electron source is negligible [\(Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10).

Higher concentration of alcohol production has always been the focus of syngas fermentation. To achieve this, many different approaches have been introduced, such as modifications of medium composition, culture techniques, and cultivation temperatures [\(Abubackar et al., 2015;](#page-11-21) [Phillips et al., 2015](#page-12-26); [Ramio-Pujol et al., 2015;](#page-12-27) [Monir et al., 2020](#page-12-28)). The

application of electrical current can be another approach to increase alcohol production. The control reactor without applied current produced 28.0±9.4mM/OD acetate and 2.5±2.5mM/OD ethanol, over the whole experiment. Interestingly, when 10mA was applied to the reactor, the acetate production was 300±200mM/OD acetate and the ethanol production was significantly increased to 62.4±17.5mM/OD $(p$ -value=0.02) (Figures $4A,B$). A similar result was observed in a previous study, when H₂ was supplied with CO [\(Valgepea et al., 2018\)](#page-12-10). In the study, $[CO:Ar] = [60:40]$ condition, $[CO:H_2:CO_2:Ar] = [50:20:20:10]$ condition, and $[CO:H_2:Ar] = [15:45:40]$ condition were compared to see the effect of H₂ supplementation on gas fermentation (Valgepea et al., 2018). Supplementation of H₂ significantly decreased the production of $CO₂$ as by-product and increased carbon flux to ethanol by a factor four (Valgepea et al., 2018). This may be explained by the fact that H_2 oxidation leads to ferredoxin reduction for ethanol production and NADH formation for $CO₂$ reduction, while CO oxidation leads only to ferredoxin reduction.

Traditional bioreactors require delicate control of operational parameters such as temperature, pH, substrate availability, nutrient level, dissolved gas levels (pO_2 or pCO_2) for desirable performance ([Asimakopoulos et al., 2018](#page-11-24)). Operation of electro-fermentation processes need control of more operational parameters because current flow in bioreactors changes the distribution of ions across the culture broth as the electrode is polarized [\(Harnisch and](#page-11-25) [Schroder, 2009\)](#page-11-25). An ion exchange membrane is introduced to maintain equal ion concentrations in each anode and cathode chamber. However, the mobility of protons and hydroxide ions in an ion exchange membrane is usually slower than in an aqueous solution, and slower than the formation of protons at the anode and the reduction of protons at the cathode ([Harnisch et al., 2009](#page-11-26); [Harnisch and Schroder, 2009\)](#page-11-25). This imbalance between the mobility and consumption of protons leads to an increase in pH in the cathode chamber, with the extent of the pH increase varying based on the current flow ([Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)). The extent of the pH increase might influence ethanol production as well as cell viability during CO electro-fermentation. Ethanol production from CO by *C. ljungdahlii* and *C. autoethanogenum* mainly occurs via aldehyde:ferredoxin oxidoreductase- (AOR-) mediated conversion of acetaldehyde, which is formed from intracellular acetate. The ratio between the undissociated and dissociated forms of an acid varies depending on the pH, and only the undissociated acetic acid can diffuse over the cell membrane [\(Richter et al., 2016](#page-12-32); [Valgepea](#page-12-10) [et al., 2018\)](#page-12-10). At high extracellular pH, acetate would primarily be present in its dissociated anion form, which would lead to a depletion of intracellular acetate and low acetaldehyde formation. This would effectively hinder ethanol formation. The bulk pH observed in both 10 mA and 25 mA reactors were not significantly different ([Figure 4A](#page-7-0)). It would be difficult to measure the local pH around the electrodes. However, it is likely that the local pH at the surface of a cathode supplying 25 mA is much higher than when 10 mA is supplied, as the extent of reduction of protons, the primary electron acceptor, to H_2 would be higher in the 25 mA case. Hypothetically, such local high pH would stop ethanol production at higher currents despite the supply of reducing power.

Likewise, when an electric current was applied to the reactor, inhibition in cell growth was observed ([Figure 4\)](#page-7-0). The maximum OD of the culture supplied with 10 mA was lower than that of the control (p -value=0.04) and of the 25mA-supplied culture (p value=0.02), but the decrease in OD was slower than that of the control and the 25 mA reactor [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0). Low cell growth during microbial electrosynthesis using *C.ljungdahlii* has been reported several times (Im et al., 2022; Boto et al., 2023). It has been speculated that this is because of limited electron supply from the electrode or elevated pH around the electrode [\(Im et al., 2022](#page-11-10)). In our experiments, CO was available as the primary carbon and electron source, in addition to CO₂ and electrons from the electrode. Therefore, low cell growth of *C. ljungdahlii* in a BES was not due to limited electron supply from the electrode. Indeed, the current supply was helping the cell grow and acetate production for the first 2 days [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, the problem might be elevated pH at the cathode.

Different initial pH for microbial electrosynthesis using *C. ljungdahlii* was not previously reported, but different initial pH for autotrophic growth of *C. ljungdahlii* has been tested [\(Cotter et al.,](#page-11-16) [2009a](#page-11-16); [Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). In the studies by Im et al., and Cotter et al., the focus was on the effect of pH on ethanol production from gas fermentation, but *C. ljungdahlii* only showed improved cell growth and acetate production at initial pH 5.0 [\(Cotter et al., 2009a;](#page-11-16) [Im et al.,](#page-11-10) [2022\)](#page-11-10). The authors tested microbial electrosynthesis using *C. ljungdahlii* at initial pH 5.0 to have better acetate production and to mitigate elevated pH around the electrode ([Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). However, Boto et al., suggested high inoculation cell density could achieve a continuous increase in the OD ([Boto et al., 2023](#page-11-20)). This might suggest a quorum sensing system involved for planktonic cell growth during microbial electrosynthesis [\(Piatek et al., 2022](#page-12-33)).

This study investigated a CO-enriched culture in a bioelectrochemical system using $CO₂$ and CO as carbon sources. The enriched culture was dominated by *C. autoethanogenum* or *C. ljungdahlii*. A bioelectrochemical system could affect the metabolite profile during CO electro-fermentation. The coulombic efficiency is used to evaluate the efficiency of BES processes [\(Jourdin and Burdyny,](#page-11-27) [2021\)](#page-11-27). The CE indicates how many electrons are recovered in metabolites compared to the number of electrons input to the system. CEs of $CO₂$ electro-fermentation usually stay around 100% or less because the electrode is the main electron donor for $CO₂$ reduction ([Im et al., 2022\)](#page-11-10). However, in CO electro-fermentation, where CO is used as the primary electron donor and carbon source, the aim is to achieve more than 100% of CE by re-utilizing $CO₂$ generated from CO oxidation [\(Im et al., 2018\)](#page-11-7). In this study, CEs of acetate and ethanol production was $148\% \pm 29\%$ when applying 10 mA to the BES, indicating an efficient electron uptake. At 10mA, the culture was able to produce more ethanol during CO electro-fermentation than at 25mA. These results suggest that appropriately designed CO electrofermentation can increase the yield of a targeted chemical using industrial waste gas, and enhance the performance of a bioelectrochemical system.

Data availability statement

The 16S rRNA sequencing data presented in this study are deposited in NCBI Sequence Read Archive (GenBank), with accession numbers PQ164455 - PQ164475. All data is also available in the Supplementary material.

Author contributions

CI: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. MK: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Formal analysis. JRK: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. KV: Writing – review & editing, Visualization. OM: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. YN: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. CJF: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Swedish Energy Agency (grant number 46605-1) and the Area of Advance Energy, Chalmers University of Technology. The collaboration between PNU and Chalmers University of Technology was supported by Korean National Research Foundation (2021K2A9A2A12000206) and The Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education (STINT) (MG2020-8844).

References

Abrini, J., Naveau, H., and Nyns, E. J. (1994). *Clostridium autoethanogenum*, sp-nov, an anaerobic bacterium that produces ethanol from carbon-monoxide. *Arch. Microbiol.* 161, 345–351. doi: [10.1007/BF00303591](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00303591)

Abubackar, H. N., Veiga, M. C., and Kennes, C. (2015). Carbon monoxide fermentation to ethanol by *Clostridium autoethanogenum* in a bioreactor with no accumulation of acetic acid. *Bioresour. Technol.* 186, 122–127. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.113) [biortech.2015.02.113](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.02.113)

Asimakopoulos, K., Gavala, H. N., and Skiadas, I. V. (2018). Reactor systems for syngas fermentation processes: a review. *Chem. Eng. J.* 348, 732–744. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.05.003) [cej.2018.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.05.003)

Badr, O., and Probert, S. D. (1995). Sinks and environmental impacts for tmospheric carbon-monoxide. Appl Energ. 50, 339-372. doi: atmospheric carbon-monoxide. *Appl Energ.* 50, 339–372. doi: [10.1016/0306-2619\(95\)98803-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-2619(95)98803-A)

Bajracharya, S., ter Heijne, A., Dominguez Benetton, X., Vanbroekhoven, K., Buisman, C. J. N., Strik, D. P. B. T. B., et al. (2015). Carbon dioxide reduction by mixed and pure cultures in microbial electrosynthesis using an assembly of graphite felt and stainless steel as a cathode. *Bioresour. Technol.* 195, 14–24. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.081) [biortech.2015.05.081](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.081)

Boto, S. T., Bardl, B., Harnisch, F., and Rosenbaum, M. A. (2023). Microbial electrosynthesis with *Clostridium ljungdahlii* benefits from hydrogen electron mediation and permits a greater variety of products. *Green Chem.* 25, 4375–4386. doi: [10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3GC00471F) [D3GC00471F](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3GC00471F)

Brown, S. D., Nagaraju, S., Utturkar, S., De Tissera, S., Segovia, S., Mitchell, W., et al. (2014). Comparison of single-molecule sequencing and hybrid approaches for finishing the genome of *Clostridium autoethanogenum* and analysis of CRISPR systems in industrial relevant Clostridia. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 7:40. doi: [10.1186/1754-6834-7-40](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-7-40)

Chandrasekhar, K., Naresh Kumar, A., Kumar, G., Kim, D. H., Song, Y. C., and Kim, S. H. (2021). Electro-fermentation for biofuels and biochemicals production: current status and future directions. *Bioresour. Technol.* 323:124598. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124598) [biortech.2020.124598](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124598)

Chu, N., Liang, Q., Jiang, Y., and Zeng, R. J. (2020). Microbial electrochemical platform for the production of renewable fuels and chemicals. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 150:111922. doi: [10.1016/j.bios.2019.111922](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.111922)

Chu, N., Liang, Q., Zhang, W., Ge, Z., Hao, W., Jiang, Y., et al. (2020). Waste C1 gases as alternatives to pure $CO₂$ improved the microbial electrosynthesis of C4 and C6 carboxylates. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 8, 8773–8782. doi: [10.1021/](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c02515) [acssuschemeng.0c02515](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c02515)

Ciliberti, C., Biundo, A., Albergo, R., Agrimi, G., Braccio, G., de Bari, I., et al. (2020). Syngas derived from lignocellulosic biomass gasification as an alternative resource for innovative bioprocesses. *Processes.* 8:1567. doi: [10.3390/pr8121567](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8121567)

Claassens, N. J., Cotton, C. A. R., Kopljar, D., and Bar-Even, A. (2019). Making quantitative sense of electromicrobial production. *Nat Catal.* 2, 437–447. doi: [10.1038/s41929-019-0272-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0272-0)

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1438758/full#supplementary-material)

Cotter, J. L., Chinn, M. S., and Grunden, A. M. (2009a). Ethanol and acetate production by *Clostridium ljungdahlii* and *Clostridium autoethanogenum* using resting cells. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 32, 369–380. doi: [10.1007/s00449-008-0256-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-008-0256-y)

Cotter, J. L., Chinn, M. S., and Grunden, A. M. (2009b). Influence of process parameters on growth of *Clostridium ljungdahlii* and *Clostridium autoethanogenum* on synthesis gas. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.* 44, 281–288. doi: [10.1016/j.enzmictec.2008.11.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2008.11.002)

Doyle, D. A., Smith, P. R., Lawson, P. A., and Tanner, R. S. (2022). *Clostridium muellerianum* sp. nov., a carbon monoxide-oxidizing acetogen isolated from old hay. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 72:5297. doi: [10.1099/ijsem.0.005297](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005297)

Fackler, N., Heijstra, B. D., Rasor, B. J., Brown, H., Martin, J., Ni, Z., et al. (2021). Stepping on the gas to a circular economy: accelerating development of carbon-negative chemical production from gas fermentation. *Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng.* 12, 439–470. doi: [10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-120120-021122](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-120120-021122)

Girod, K., Lohmann, H., Schlüter, S., and Kaluza, S. (2020). Methanol synthesis with steel-mill gases: simulation and practical testing of selected gas utilization scenarios. *PRO* 8:1673. doi: [10.3390/pr8121673](https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8121673)

Grimalt-Alemany, A., Lezyk, M., Lange, L., Skiadas, I. V., and Gavala, H. N. (2018). Enrichment of syngas-converting mixed microbial consortia for ethanol production and thermodynamics-based design of enrichment strategies. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 11:198. doi: [10.1186/s13068-018-1189-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1189-6)

Harnisch, F., and Schroder, U. (2009). Selectivity versus mobility: separation of anode and cathode in microbial bioelectrochemical systems. *ChemSusChem* 2, 921–926. doi: [10.1002/cssc.200900111](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.200900111)

Harnisch, F., Warmbier, R., Schneider, R., and Schroder, U. (2009). Modeling the ion transfer and polarization of ion exchange membranes in bioelectrochemical systems. *Bioelectrochemistry* 75, 136–141. doi: [10.1016/j.bioelechem.2009.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioelechem.2009.03.001)

Heffernan, J. K., Valgepea, K., de Souza Pinto Lemgruber, R., Casini, I., Plan, M., Tappel, R., et al. (2020). Enhancing CO₂-valorization using *Clostridium autoethanogenum* for sustainable fuel and chemicals production. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 8:204. doi: [10.3389/fbioe.2020.00204](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00204)

Im, C. H., Kim, C., Song, Y. E., Oh, S. E., Jeon, B. H., and Kim, J. R. (2018). Electrochemically enhanced microbial CO conversion to volatile fatty acids using neutral red as an electron mediator. *Chemosphere* 191, 166–173. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.004) [chemosphere.2017.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.004)

Im, C., Valgepea, K., Modin, O., and Nygård, Y. (2022). *Clostridium ljungdahlii* as a biocatalyst in microbial electrosynthesis — effect of culture conditions on product formation. *Bioresour Technol Rep.* 19:101156. doi: [10.1016/j.biteb.2022.101156](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2022.101156)

Jiang, Y., May, H. D., Lu, L., Liang, P., Huang, X., and Ren, Z. J. (2019). Carbon dioxide and organic waste valorization by microbial electrosynthesis and electro-fermentation. *Water Res.* 149, 42–55. doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.092](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.10.092)

Jourdin, L., and Burdyny, T. (2021). Microbial electrosynthesis: where do we go from here? *Trends Biotechnol.* 39, 359–369. doi: [10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.10.014)

Kracke, F., Virdis, B., Bernhardt, P. V., Rabaey, K., and Krömer, J. O. (2016). Redox dependent metabolic shift in *Clostridium autoethanogenum* by extracellular electron supply. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 9:249. doi: [10.1186/s13068-016-0663-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0663-2)

Li, W., Fu, L., Niu, B., Wu, S., and Wooley, J. (2012). Ultrafast clustering algorithms for metagenomic sequence analysis. *Brief. Bioinform.* 13, 656–668. doi: [10.1093/bib/bbs035](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs035)

Liew, F., Martin, M. E., Tappel, R. C., Heijstra, B. D., Mihalcea, C., and Kopke, M. (2016). Gas fermentation–a flexible platform for commercial scale production of lowcarbon-fuels and chemicals from waste and renewable feedstocks. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:694. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2016.00694](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00694)

Magoc, T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507) [btr507](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507)

Marcellin, E., Behrendorff, J. B., Nagaraju, S., DeTissera, S., Segovia, S., Palfreyman, R. W., et al. (2016). Low carbon fuels and commodity chemicals from waste gases — systematic approach to understand energy metabolism in a model acetogen. *Green Chem.* 18, 3020–3028. doi: [10.1039/C5GC02708J](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5GC02708J)

Marshall, C. W., Ross, D. E., Fichot, E. B., Norman, R. S., and May, H. D. (2012). Electrosynthesis of commodity chemicals by an autotrophic microbial community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 8412–8420. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02401-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02401-12)

Martínez-Ruano, J., Suazo, A., Véliz, F., Otálora, F., Conejeros, R., González, E., et al. (2023). Electro-fermentation with *Clostridium autoethanogenum*: effect of pH and neutral red addition. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 31:103183. doi: [10.1016/j.eti.2023.103183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2023.103183)

Mihalcea, C, Burton, F, Conrado, R, and Simpson, S. (2023). R&D&I and Industry Examples: LanzaTech's Gas Fermentation. *CO2 and CO as Feedstock*. eds. M. Kircher and T. Schwarz Springer Professional. 333–343. Available at: [https://www.](https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/circular-economy-and-sustainability/23969920)
springerprofessional.de/en/circular-economy-and-sustainability/23969920 n/circular-economy-and-sustaina

Monir, M. U., Yousuf, A., and Aziz, A. A. (2020). Syngas fermentation to bioethanol. *Lignocellulosic Biomass Liquid Biofuels*, eds. A. Yousuf, D. Pirozzi and F. Sannino Academic Press. 195-216. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com/sc [book/9780128159361](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/book/9780128159361)

Nevin, K. P., Hensley, S. A., Franks, A. E., Summers, Z. M., Ou, J., Woodard, T. L., et al. (2011). Electrosynthesis of organic compounds from carbon dioxide is catalyzed by a diversity of acetogenic microorganisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2882–2886. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02642-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02642-10)

Patil, S. A., Arends, J. B., Vanwonterghem, I., van Meerbergen, J., Guo, K., Tyson, G. W., et al. (2015). Selective enrichment establishes a stable performing community for microbial electrosynthesis of acetate from CO₂. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 8833-8843. doi: [10.1021/es506149d](https://doi.org/10.1021/es506149d)

Philips, J., Rabaey, K., Lovley, D. R., and Vargas, M. (2017). Biofilm formation by *Clostridium ljungdahlii* is induced by sodium chloride stress: experimental evaluation and transcriptome analysis. *PLoS One* 12:e0170406. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0170406](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170406)

Phillips, J. R., Atiyeh, H. K., Tanner, R. S., Torres, J. R., Saxena, J., Wilkins, M. R., et al. (2015). Butanol and hexanol production in *Clostridium carboxidivorans* syngas fermentation: medium development and culture techniques. *Bioresour. Technol.* 190, 114–121. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.043)

Phillips, J., Huhnke, R., and Atiyeh, H. (2017). Syngas fermentation: a microbial conversion process of gaseous substrates to various products. *Fermentation* 3:28. doi: [10.3390/fermentation3020028](https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation3020028)

Piatek, P., Humphreys, C., Raut, M. P., Wright, P. C., Simpson, S., Kopke, M., et al. (2022). Agr quorum sensing influences the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway in *Clostridium autoethanogenum*. *Sci. Rep.* 12:411. doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-03999-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03999-x)

Prevoteau, A., Carvajal-Arroyo, J. M., Ganigue, R., and Rabaey, K. (2020). Microbial electrosynthesis from CO₂: forever a promise? *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 62, 48-57. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2019.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.08.014)

United Nations Environment Programme. Paris Agreement. (2015)

Purec, L., Krasna, A. I., and Rittenberg, D. (1962). The inhibition of hydrogenase by carbon monoxide and the reversal of this inhibition by light. *Biochemist* 1, 270–275. doi: [10.1021/bi00908a013](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi00908a013)

Ramio-Pujol, S., Ganigue, R., Baneras, L., and Colprim, J. (2015). Incubation at 25°C prevents acid crash and enhances alcohol production in *Clostridium carboxidivorans* P7. *Bioresour. Technol.* 192, 296–303. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.05.077)

Richter, H., Molitor, B., Wei, H., Chen, W., Aristilde, L., and Angenent, L. T. (2016). Ethanol production in syngas-fermenting *Clostridium ljungdahlii* is controlled by thermodynamics rather than by enzyme expression. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 9, 2392–2399. doi: [10.1039/C6EE01108J](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EE01108J)

Romans-Casas, M., Feliu-Paradeda, L., Tedesco, M., Hamelers, H. V. M., Baneras, L., Balaguer, M. D., et al. (2024). Selective butyric acid production from $CO₂$ and its upgrade to butanol in microbial electrosynthesis cells. *Environ Sci Ecotechnol.* 17:100303. doi: [10.1016/j.ese.2023.100303](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2023.100303)

Samanides, C. G., Koutsokeras, L., Constantinides, G., and Vyrides, I. (2020). Methanogenesis inhibition in anaerobic granular sludge for the generation of volatile fatty acids from CO₂ and zero valent iron. *Front Energy Res.* 8:37. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00037) [fenrg.2020.00037](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2020.00037)

Song, Y. E., Kim, C., Baek, J., Im, C. H., Seol, E., Jae, J., et al. (2020). Increased CODH activity in a bioelectrochemical system improves microbial electrosynthesis with CO. *Sustain Energy Fuels.* 4, 5952–5957. doi: [10.1039/D0SE01200A](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SE01200A)

Song, Y. E., Kim, C., Li, S., Baek, J., Seol, E., Park, C., et al. (2021). Supply of proton enhances CO electrosynthesis for acetate and volatile fatty acid productions. *Bioresour. Technol.* 320:124245. doi: [10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124245](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124245)

Stackebrandt, E., Kramer, I., Swiderski, J., and Hippe, H. (1999). Phylogenetic basis for a taxonomic dissection of the genus *Clostridium*. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 24, 253–258. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-695X.1999.tb01291.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.1999.tb01291.x)

Tanner, R. S., Miller, L. M., and Yang, D. (1993). *Clostridium ljungdahlii* sp. nov., an acetogenic species in clostridial rRNA homology group I. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 43, 232–236. doi: [10.1099/00207713-43-2-232](https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-43-2-232)

Valgepea, K., de Souza Pinto Lemgruber, R., Abdalla, T., Binos, S., Takemori, N., Takemori, A., et al. (2018). H₂ drives metabolic rearrangements in gas-fermenting *Clostridium autoethanogenum*. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 11:55. doi: [10.1186/s13068-018-1052-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1052-9)

Vassilev, I., Hernandez, P. A., Batlle-Vilanova, P., Freguia, S., Krömer, J. O., Keller, J., et al. (2018). Microbial electrosynthesis of isobutyric, butyric, caproic acids, and corresponding alcohols from carbon dioxide. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 6, 8485–8493. doi: [10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b00739](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b00739)

Wang, G., Huang, Q., Song, T.-s., and Xie, J. (2020). Enhancing microbial electrosynthesis of acetate and butyrate from CO₂ reduction involving engineered *Clostridium ljungdahlii* with a nickel-phosphide-modified electrode. *Fuel* 34, 8666–8675. doi: [10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c01710](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c01710)

Wilson, J. L., Jesse, H. E., Poole, R. K., and Davidge, K. S. (2012). Antibacterial effects of carbon monoxide. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* 13, 760–768. doi: [10.2174/138920112800399329](https://doi.org/10.2174/138920112800399329)

Zhu, X., Jack, J., Leininger, A., Yang, M., Bian, Y., Lo, J., et al. (2022). Syngas mediated microbial electrosynthesis for CO₂ to acetate conversion using *Clostridium ljungdahlii*. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 184:106395. doi: [10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106395](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106395)