

# **JWST NIRSpec Spectroscopy of the Remarkable Bright Galaxy GHZ2/GLASS-z12 at Redshift 12.34**

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-11-05 23:22 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Castellano, M., Napolitano, L., Fontana, A. et al (2024). JWST NIRSpec Spectroscopy of the Remarkable Bright Galaxy GHZ2/GLASS-z12 at Redshift 12.34. Astrophysical Journal, 972(2). http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad5f88

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library



# JWST NIRSpec Spectroscopy of the Remarkable Bright Galaxy GHZ2/GLASS-z12 at Redshift 12.34

Marco Castellano<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3820-2823)</sup>  $\Phi$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3820-2823) Lorenzo Napolitano<sup>1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8951-4408)</sup>  $\Phi$ , Adriano Fontana<sup>1</sup>  $\Phi$ , Guido Roberts-Borsani<sup>[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4140-1367)</sup>  $\Phi$ , Tommaso Treu<sup>[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8460-0390)</sup>  $\Phi$ ,

Eros Vanzella<sup>[5](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5057-135X)</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-1100) Jorge A. Zavala<sup>[6](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-1100)</sup> , Pablo Arrabal Haro<sup>[7](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7959-8783)</sup> , Antonello Calabrò<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9572-7813)</sup> , Mario Llerena<sup>1</sup> , Sara Mascia<sup>1</sup> ,

Emiliano Merlin<sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-8705)</sup> (**D**[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-2221) Diego Paris<sup>1</sup> (**D**, Laura Pentericci<sup>1</sup> (**D**, Paola Santini<sup>1</sup> (**D**, Tom J. L. C. Bakx<sup>[8](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-2221)</sup> (**D**, Pietro Bergamini<sup>9,[5](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1383-9414)</sup> (**D**,

Guido Cupani<sup>[10](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6870-8900)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-0103)11</sup> (0), Mark Dickinson<sup>1[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5414-5131)</sup> (0), Alexei V. Filippenko<sup>1[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-0103)</sup> (0), Karl Glazebrook<sup>1[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3254-9044)</sup> (0), Claudio Grillo<sup>9,15</sup> (0),

Patrick L. Kelly<sup>1[6](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3142-997X)</sup> , Matthew A. Malkan<sup>[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648)</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648) Charlotte A. Mason<sup>17,18</sup> , Takahiro Morishita<sup>1[9](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8512-1404)</sup> , Themiya Nanayakkara<sup>14</sup> ,

Piero Rosati<sup>5,2[0](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6813-0632)</sup>  $\bullet$ , Eleonora Sani<sup>2[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3140-4070)</sup>  $\bullet$ [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-3865) Xin Wang<sup>[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3407-1785)2,23,2[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-3865)</sup>  $\bullet$ , and Ilsang Yoon<sup>2[5](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9163-0064)</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via di Frascali 33, 00078 Monte Perzio Catone, Italy-<br>
<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Roma Sapienza, Città Universitati ad Roma —Sapienza, Piarzale Aldo Moro, 2, 00185; Amen, Italy-<br>
<sup>2</sup> Di

Received 2024 March 15; revised 2024 June 14; accepted 2024 July 3; published 2024 September 3

#### Abstract

We spectroscopically confirm the  $M_{\text{UV}} = -20.5$  mag galaxy GHZ2/GLASS-z12 to be at redshift  $z = 12.34$ . The source was selected via NIRCam photometry in GLASS-JWST Early Release Science data, providing the first evidence of a surprising abundance of bright galaxies at  $z \gtrsim 10$ . The NIRSpec PRISM spectrum shows detections of N IV, C IV, He II, O III, C III, O II, and Ne III lines and the first detection at high redshift of the O III Bowen fluorescence line at 3133 Å rest frame. The prominent C IV line with rest-frame equivalent width (EW)  $\approx$  46 Å puts GHZ2 in the category of extreme C IV emitters. GHZ2 displays UV lines with EWs that are only found in active galactic nuclei (AGNs) or composite objects at low/intermediate redshifts. The UV line-intensity ratios are compatible with both AGNs and star formation in a low-metallicity environment, with the low limit on the [Ne IV]/ [N IV] ratio favoring a stellar origin of the ionizing photons. We discuss a possible scenario in which the high ionizing output is due to low-metallicity stars forming in a dense environment. We estimate a metallicity  $\leq 0.1$  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ , a high ionization parameter log  $U > -2$ , a N/O abundance 4–5 times the solar value, and a subsolar C/O ratio similar to the recently discovered class of nitrogen-enhanced objects. Considering its abundance patterns and the high stellar mass density (10<sup>4</sup> M<sub>☉</sub> pc<sup>-2</sup>), GHZ2 is an ideal formation site for the progenitors of today's globular clusters. The remarkable brightness of GHZ2 makes it a "Rosetta stone" for understanding the physics of galaxy formation within just 360 Myr after the Big Bang.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: [High-redshift galaxies](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/734) (734); [Primordial galaxies](http://astrothesaurus.org/uat/1293) (1293)

#### 1. Introduction

The search for and characterization of galaxies beyond redshift  $z = 9-10$  has been one of the drivers for the development of the

Original content from this work may be used under the terms  $\bigcirc$  $|$  (cc) of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), and the earliest results have not been short of surprises. As demonstrated by a number of different surveys (e.g., Castellano et al. [2022a](#page-14-0), [2023a;](#page-14-0) Finkelstein et al. [2022](#page-15-0), [2023](#page-15-0), [2024](#page-15-0); Bouwens et al. [2023](#page-14-0); Chemerynska et al. [2024](#page-15-0); Harikane et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Pérez-González et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) McLeod et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), the density of galaxies (and in particular of the brightest ones) at  $z > 9$  is significantly larger than previously estimated by extrapolation of lower-redshift observations as well as theoretical models. Several scenarios have been proposed to explain these findings, including a higher star formation efficiency, the effect of stochastic star formation histories, a lower dust extinction, an increased luminosity owing to the contribution of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) or low-metallicity stars, or even nonstandard cosmological models (e.g., Haslbauer et al. [2022;](#page-15-0) Ferrara et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Fiore et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Kohandel et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Mason et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Melia [2023;](#page-15-0) Padmanabhan & Loeb [2023;](#page-15-0) Ziparo et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Ferrara [2024;](#page-15-0) Trinca et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). Follow-up spectroscopy of the newly discovered highredshift candidates is fundamental both to confirm the measured "excess" compared to theoretical predictions (e.g., Harikane et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and to understand its physical origin. Early spectroscopic campaigns carried out with JWST NIRSpec have already provided support to the robustness of photometric selections and enabled the exploration of the physical conditions of galaxies at unprecedented redshifts (e.g., Arrabal Haro et al. [2023a,](#page-14-0) [2023b](#page-14-0); Boyett et al. [2023](#page-14-0); Curtis-Lake et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Roberts-Borsani et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Wang et al. [2023](#page-15-0)).

Early results have found a trend of decreasing metallicity and increasing excitation and ionization efficiency with increasing redshift (Curti et al. [2024;](#page-15-0) Nakajima et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Tang et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Trump et al. [2023](#page-15-0)), although most of the sources show physical conditions comparable to those of low-redshift analogs (e.g., Schaerer et al. [2022b;](#page-15-0) Cameron et al. [2023b](#page-14-0)). A relatively small number of objects have shown features that are not usually found in low-redshift counterparts and that may be due to physical properties unique to the first phases of star formation and galaxy assembly. A tantalizing example is the bright galaxy GNz11 at  $z = 10.6$  (Oesch et al. [2016](#page-15-0)), whose NIRSpec spectrum shows evidence of a nitrogen abundance that is higher than expected for its metallicity (Bunker et al. [2023;](#page-14-0) Cameron et al. [2023a](#page-14-0)). The discovery of other objects with a comparable nitrogen enrichment (Isobe et al. [2023a](#page-15-0); Jones et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Pascale et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) has suggested that we may be witnessing the formation of globular cluster progenitors (Bekki & Tsujimoto [2023](#page-14-0); D'Antona et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Senchyna et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Marques-Chaves et al. [2024](#page-15-0); Watanabe et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). Instead, a high C/O ratio in galaxy GSz12 at  $z = 12.5$  has been interpreted as the imprint of ejecta from a previous generation of Population III stars (D'Eugenio et al. [2023](#page-15-0)). A surprisingly large incidence of AGNs has also been suggested by NIRSpec follow-up observations of highredshift objects (e.g., Fujimoto et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Kokorev et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Larson et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Maiolino et al. 2023), with candidates reaching  $z \approx 10$  and beyond (e.g., Goulding et al. [2023](#page-15-0); Bogdán et al. [2024](#page-14-0)), including GNz11 itself (Maiolino et al. [2024](#page-15-0)).

It is thus fundamental to push spectroscopic investigations to larger samples and higher redshifts to achieve a deeper understanding of the physical conditions of early star-forming regions and to assess the potential contribution of AGN accretion to the ultraviolet (UV) emission of distant galaxies.

In this paper, we present the spectroscopic confirmation and characterization of galaxy  $\overline{GHZ2}/\overline{GLASS-Z12}^{26}$  initially discovered as a robust  $z \approx 12.0 - 12.5$  candidate by Castellano et al. ([2022a,](#page-14-0) hereafter [C22](#page-14-0)) and Naidu et al. ([2022](#page-15-0)) in the GLASS-JWST Early Release Science NIRCam field (Treu et al. [2022;](#page-15-0) see also Bouwens et al. [2022;](#page-14-0) Atek et al. [2023](#page-14-0); Donnan et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Harikane et al. [2023](#page-15-0)). GHZ2 provided the first example of an unexpected population of high-redshift bright galaxies and was targeted with JWST NIRSpec multiobject spectroscopy through program GO-3073 (PI: M. Castellano), which is aimed at extensive follow-up observations of the  $z \ge 10$  candidates selected by [C22](#page-14-0) and Castellano et al. ([2023a](#page-14-0)) in the GLASS-JWST region. A companion paper presents JWST MIRI spectroscopy of GHZ2 at  $\lambda > 5 \mu$ m under program GO-3703 (PI: J. Zavala; Zavala et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). A combined analysis of the two data sets is presented in Calabro et al. ([2024](#page-14-0)).

The paper is organized as follows. We describe observations and data reduction in Section 2 and discuss the main features detected in the NIRSpec spectrum in Section [3](#page-3-0). In Section [4](#page-6-0), we investigate the source of ionizing photons by comparing GHZ2 to models of AGN and star formation emission. Section [5](#page-9-0) presents the properties of the object and a potential physical scenario for GHZ2 in the context of high-redshift star formation. We summarize the results and discuss future prospects in Section [6](#page-12-0).

Throughout the paper, we adopt AB magnitudes (Oke & Gunn [1983](#page-15-0)), a Chabrier ([2003](#page-14-0)) initial mass function (IMF), a solar metallicity of  $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.69$  (Asplund et al. [2009](#page-14-0)), and a flat  $\Lambda$ CDM concordance model ( $H_0 = 70.0$  km s<sup>-1</sup> Mpc<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Omega_M = 0.30$ ).

#### 2. Observations and Data Reduction

Program GO-3073 comprises two partially overlapping NIRSpec PRISM pointings on the GLASS-JWST NIRCam field. The observation of each pointing is divided into three visits to enable six-band coordinated parallel NIRCam observations on flanking fields. Each visit has an exposure time of 6567 s and adopts a NRSIRS2 readout pattern, standard three-shutter "slits" for the primary targets, and a three-point nodding. The first pointing was observed on 2023 October 24, with the second pointing being scheduled for 2024 June/July. Unfortunately, two of the three nodding positions of the third visit were affected by an electric short and are unusable. The present paper thus exploits seven dithered observations of GHZ2 for a total observing time of 15,323 s. A forthcoming paper (L. Napolitano et al. 2024, in preparation) will present spectroscopy of the other  $z \gtrsim 10$  candidates already observed.

The data were reduced as outlined by Arrabal Haro et al.  $(2023a, 2023b)$  $(2023a, 2023b)$  $(2023a, 2023b)$  $(2023a, 2023b)$  $(2023a, 2023b)$  with the STScI Calibration Pipeline<sup>27</sup> version 1.13.4. We provide here a brief description of the main steps. The pipeline modules are divided into three components. In summary, the CALWEBB\_DETECTOR1 module corrects for detector 1/f noise, subtracts dark current and bias, and generates count-rate maps from the uncalibrated images. The CALWEBB\_SPEC2 module creates two-dimensional (2D) cutouts of the slitlets, corrects for flat-fielding, performs background subtraction using the three-nod pattern, executes photometric and wavelength calibrations, and resamples the 2D spectra to correct distortions of the spectral trace. The CALWEBB\_SPEC3 module combines images from the three nods, utilizing customized extraction apertures to extract the one-dimensional (1D) spectra.

We found that two out of seven dithered observations of GHZ2 from two different visits include a secondary, low-redshift <sup>26</sup> The two discovery papers appeared on arXiv on the same day and named  $(z = 1.68)$  object in the upper part of the slit. When performing

the galaxy GHZ2 ([C22](#page-14-0)) and GLASS-z12 (Naidu et al. [2022](#page-15-0)). In the remainder of the paper, we refer to it only as GHZ2 for conciseness.

 $^{27}$  https://[jwst-pipeline.readthedocs.io](https://jwst-pipeline.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html)/en/latest/index.html

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Figure 1. Observed 2D (top) and 1D (bottom) NIRSpec PRISM spectra of GHZ2. In the bottom panel, the gray line shows the noise rms, and red dashed lines highlight the wavelength of the UV features discussed in the present paper.

the standard background subtraction, the H $\alpha$  line of the contaminating source would create a spurious absorption feature in the main target spectrum at  $\sim$ 17500–17700 Å. We therefore masked the contaminated 2D regions before applying the threenod pattern background subtraction. Also, since the third visit has only one usable dither, we applied a custom master background subtraction by defining local ad hoc background windows in the adjacent empty shutters. Subsequently, both 2D and 1D spectra were examined with the MOSVIZ visualization tool (Developers et al. [2023](#page-15-0)) to mask potential remaining hot pixels and artifacts in the spectra. Following the masking of image artifacts, data from three consecutive exposure sequences were consolidated to produce the final 2D and 1D spectral products. No contamination due to the secondary source is apparent in the final science spectrum. Nonetheless, we will not consider in the following analysis the region of the H $\alpha$  line of the secondary object, which was found to severely affect the GHZ2 spectrum at 1310–1330 Å rest frame when performing a standard nodded background correction.

We correct for wavelength-dependent slit and aperture losses by matching the spectrum to the NIRCam broadband photometry as follows. Synthetic photometry is first computed by integrating the spectrum with the relevant transmission curves in the F200W, F277W, F356W, and F444W bands. We then fit linear relations to both the original NIRCam and the synthetic NIRSpec photometry and use the wavelengthdependent ratio of the two to correct the spectrum longward of the Lyman break. The correction ranges from 1.19 at 2  $\mu$ m to 1.78 at 5  $\mu$ m. These values are consistent with the correction factors found for prism spectra of bright  $z > 10$  galaxies by Arrabal Haro et al. ([2023b](#page-14-0)).

In this work, we use an updated measurement of the NIRCam photometry in the A2744 field that will be discussed in detail in a forthcoming paper (E. Merlin et al. 2014, in preparation). The new measurements exploit the latest reduction of the GLASS-JWST NIRCam data including new observations acquired in 2023 July. As discussed by [C22](#page-14-0) and stated above, GHZ2 is close ( $\sim$ 0" 5) to a foreground galaxy that also contaminates the total flux measured within the Kron ellipse (Kron [1980](#page-15-0)). We thus estimated its total flux with the T-PHOT software (Merlin et al. [2015](#page-15-0), [2016](#page-15-0)) using the GHZ2 light profile in the F277W band as high resolution prior to reextracting the F444W photometry, finding a total magnitude

of  $27.05 \pm 0.02$ . Fluxes in the other bands were measured by scaling the aforementioned total flux according to colors measured on point-spread function (PSF) matched images with A-PHOT (Merlin et al. [2019](#page-15-0)) in an aperture with a diameter 2 times the PSF full width at half-maximum (FWHM) intensity (FWHM  $= 0$ "28; see also Merlin et al. [2022](#page-15-0); Paris et al. [2023](#page-15-0)).

Finally, throughout the work, we will derive rest-frame physical properties, taking into account that GHZ2 is affected by moderate lensing magnification ( $\mu = 1.3$ ) estimated on the basis of the model by Bergamini et al. ([2023](#page-14-0)). Line ratios and equivalent widths (EWs) are unaffected by lensing.

#### 3. UV Spectrum of GHZ2

#### 3.1. Redshift Determination, Emission-line Detection, and Flux Measurement

The NIRSpec spectrum of GHZ2 (Figure 1) shows a sharp Lyman break and clear emission features consistent with  $z \approx 12.3$ . We obtain a first measurement of its redshift  $(z = 12.33 \pm 0.02)$  from the centroid of the [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868 emission, which is the single line measured at the highest spectral resolution ( $R = 300$ ) among those detected at high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

To measure the flux and EW of all the emission lines, we perform a direct integration of the continuum-subtracted spectrum in a window centered at the expected wavelength  $\lambda$ and having a width  $4 \times \sigma_R(\lambda)$ , where  $\sigma_R(\lambda)$  is the expected Gaussian rms of a line observed at resolution  $R(\lambda)$ . In the case of partially blended lines, we assess the significance of the entire line complex and the different components in narrower windows with width  $2 \times \sigma_R(\lambda)$ . The continuum is measured as a linear interpolation of the regions free of potential features closest to each line. To make sure that the examined lines are not affected by unmasked artifacts, we inspected all the singledithered spectra and the spectra obtained by separately combining the two visits that were observed at three nodding positions. We consider as significant every detection with  $SNR > 5$ , where the uncertainty takes into account errors in both the integrated flux and the extrapolated continuum at the line position.

A Gaussian fit of the continuum-subtracted flux is then performed for all significant emission features using the specutils package of astropy. Unresolved doublets and



**Figure 2.** From top left to bottom right: snapshots of the NIRSpec spectrum in regions with width of 160 Å rest frame centered at the position of C IV  $\lambda$ 1549 and N IV] λ1488; He II λ1640 and O III] λ1663; the tentative N III] λ1750 line; C III] λ1908; O III λ3133, [Ne IV] λ2424; [Ne V] λ3426; [O II] λ3727; and [Ne III] λ3868. All flux densities are in units of  $10^{-19}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> Å<sup>-1</sup>. The gray shaded area shows the 1 $\sigma$  uncertainty in each pixel. Red dashed lines indicate the wavelength of all potential features in the relevant spectral range. The vertical orange lines enclose the region where the SNR of the feature is evaluated from direct integration. For all significant lines, the relevant single-Gaussian fit is shown in green. When a double-Gaussian fit was used, the two components are shown as green and red curves and the sum of the two in orange. The blue line in each panel shows the estimated UV continuum.

multiplets are treated as a single-Gaussian profile, while a double-Gaussian fit is used for partially blended lines (see Figure 2). We let the mean of the Gaussian vary according to  $\Delta z = 0.04$  and the Gaussian rms within 5% of the nominal  $\sigma_R(\lambda)$  to account for the uncertainty in the redshift and considering the potential uncertainty in the centroid and rms of unresolved multiplets. We report in Table [1](#page-5-0) the measured fluxes, EWs, and significance of the lines obtained from the direct integration test described above. The uncertainties are obtained through a Monte Carlo simulation taking into account



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Line	Flux $(10^{-19} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$	EW $\mathring{A})$	<b>SNR</b>
$Ly\alpha$	${<}8.5$	$<$ 10	$\leq$ 3
N IV] $\lambda$ 1488	$6.9 \pm 0.6$	$12.1 \pm 1.2$	13
C IV $\lambda$ 1549	$25.7 \pm 0.6$	$45.8 \pm 1.2$	43
He II $\lambda$ 1640	$2.7 \pm 1.6$	$4.9 \pm 3.1$	5
O III] $\lambda$ 1663	$7.2 \pm 1.5$	$13.7 + 4.8$	9
N III] $\lambda$ 1750	$3.4 \pm 0.9$	$6.8 \pm 2.1$	7
$C$ III] $\lambda$ 1908	$9.1 \pm 0.2$	$25.6 + 12.5$	11
[Ne IV] $\lambda$ 2424	${<}2.2$	$<$ 16	$\leq$ 3
O III $\lambda$ 3133	$2.9 \pm 0.5$	$30.5 \pm 10.3$	9
[Ne V] $\lambda$ 3426	< 3.1	< 75	$\leq$ 3
$[O II]$ $\lambda$ 3727	$2.7 \pm 1.1$	$42 \pm 28$	12
[Ne III] $\lambda$ 3868	$6.4 \pm 0.8$	$35.5 \pm 4.2$	32

Note. The SNR is evaluated from the integration of the continuum-subtracted flux in a region centered on each feature; fluxes and EWs are measured with Gaussian fits. See Section  $3.1$  for details. All upper limits are at  $3\sigma$ .

errors in both the Gaussian fit and the continuum and are thus more conservative than the SNR measured through direct integration. In the case of lines that are not significant, the  $3\sigma$ limits obtained through direct integration are reported.

All lines yield redshift estimates that are consistent within the relevant uncertainty with the value obtained from the [Ne III] λ3868 line. From a weighted average of the measurements of the best-resolved high-SNR lines (N IV]  $\lambda$ 1488, C IV  $\lambda$ 1549, C III]  $\lambda$ 1908, and [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868), we obtain  $z =$  $12.342 \pm 0.009$ , which we adopt hereafter.

#### 3.2. UV Emission Lines

The most prominent line is the unresolved C IV  $\lambda\lambda$ 1548, 1551 doublet, $28$  which is at close separation from the unresolved N IV]  $\lambda\lambda$ 1483, 1488 doublet. We measured the  $C IV + N IV$  complex with a double-Gaussian fit as described above, obtaining  $EW(C IV) = 46 \text{ Å}$  and  $EW(N IV) = 12 \text{ Å}$ . This EW is  $\sim$ 20 times higher than the average value found in Ly $\alpha$ emitting systems at  $z = 5-11$  (Roberts-Borsani et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and places GHZ2 in the class of high-redshift C IV emitters such as RXC J2248-ID at  $z = 6.11$  (EW(C IV)  $\approx$  34 Å; Balestra et al. [2013;](#page-14-0) Schmidt et al. [2017](#page-15-0); Mainali et al. [2017](#page-15-0); Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and A1703-zd6 at  $z = 7.045$  (EW(C IV)  $\approx 38$  Å; Stark et al. [2015](#page-15-0)). We have checked the spatial extent of the C IV line to search for evidence of outflows associated with this strong emission feature. We compared the spatial profile of the line, averaged over a wavelength window with width  $2 \times \sigma_R(\lambda)$ , to the spatial profile of the continuum averaged in the two closest windows free of emission features. We find that the line and continuum profiles are statistically consistent, providing no indication of spatially extended C IV outflows. In the [Appendix](#page-13-0), we discuss the detection of the C IV  $\lambda$ 1548 line in a Very Large Telescope (VLT) X-SHOOTER spectrum, suggesting that its flux is mostly attributed to a component with FWHM  $\approx 390 \pm 160$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, we detected the blended  $O III$  + He II complex and separated the two components with a double-Gaussian fit, finding EW(He II  $\lambda$ 1640) = 4.9 ± 3.1 Å, comparable to the highest values found

at low/intermediate redshifts (e.g., Berg et al. [2019;](#page-14-0) Nanayak-kara et al. [2019;](#page-15-0) Saxena et al. [2020](#page-15-0)). The O III]  $\lambda\lambda$ 1661, 1666 doublet has a total EW  $\approx$  14 Å, and it is ~2.7 times brighter than [O II]  $\lambda\lambda$ 3726, 3729, which is only marginally detected. The ratio between the two oxygen lines, as well as the presence of a prominent [Ne III] λ3868 line, points to a high ionization and low metallicity, as we will discuss in more detail in Section [5.2](#page-10-0). The C III]  $\lambda$ 1908 line is also found at high significance with EW  $\approx$  25 A, at the extreme end of the range measured at high redshift (e.g., Le Fèvre et al. [2019;](#page-15-0) Llerena et al. [2022](#page-15-0)). GHZ2 lies significantly above the redshift–EW (C III) relation derived by Roberts-Borsani et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) for the general high-z population (13.7 ± 0.9 Å at  $z \sim 11$  and 17.3 Å inferred for  $z = 12.34$ ), similarly to the  $z = 12.5$  galaxy GSz12 (D'Eugenio et al. [2023](#page-15-0)).

We did not significantly detect the very high-ionization lines [Ne IV]  $\lambda$ 2424 and [Ne V]  $\lambda$ 3426, with 3 $\sigma$  upper limits on their flux of  $\sim$ 2.2 × 10<sup>-19</sup> and  $\sim$ 3.1 × 10<sup>-19</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup><sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.

## 3.3. Tentative Detection of the N III]  $\lambda$ 1750 Line

We obtained a  $7\sigma$  detection of the (unresolved) N III]  $\lambda$ 1750 multiplet. This line is extremely important to assess the  $N/O$ ratio (Section [5.2](#page-10-0)) and whether GHZ2 belongs to the class of N-enhanced objects discussed in the recent literature (e.g., Bunker et al. [2023](#page-14-0); Jones et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). However, we note that the SNR of this detection is heavily dependent on the estimate of the local continuum, which is challenging to measure at the resolution of the prism in the region of the N III] line. In the baseline measurement of the line, we used the closest windows that are distant enough from potential features, at 1690–1710 Å and 1840–1850 Å rest frame. As an alternative, we estimated a global continuum as a third-degree polynomial fit of all regions in the GHZ2 spectrum that are at a distance in wavelength of at least  $2\sigma(R)$  from any potential emission or absorption line. We found that our conclusions remain unchanged for all the lines discussed in the previous section and, most importantly, for the N III]  $\lambda$ 1750 line. However, the detection is found not to be significant, with a 3 $\sigma$  upper limit of 0.9 erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, if we adopt as an estimate of the continuum the median value in the blueward window at 1690–1710 Å. While this is not unexpected considering the steep shape of the UV continuum of GHZ2, it reflects the tentative nature of the detection; future deeper/higher-resolution observations are needed to confirm it. In Section [5.2](#page-10-0), we will address the implications of the case of both a detection and the conservative upper limit mentioned above.

## 3.4. Detection of the O III λ3133 Bowen Fluorescence Line

We significantly detected (SNR  $\approx$  9) an emission line at  $\lambda_{obs} = 4.17 \mu \text{m}$ , corresponding to ~3128 Å rest frame. The only known features compatible with the measured wavelength are the O III lines at 3123 Å and 3133 Å emitted via Bowen resonance fluorescence (Bowen [1934,](#page-14-0) [1947](#page-14-0)); no other potential emission lines can affect the examined wavelength range. These O III emission lines arise in a highly ionized and dense environment (though in the case of GHZ2, not so dense as to inhibit the formation of forbidden lines) with strong UV ionizing flux. Briefly, He II Ly $\alpha$  photons emitted by the ionized gas at rest wavelength 303.782 Å can be absorbed by O III at a nearly coincident wavelength (303.800 Å), exciting its  $2p3d^3P_2$ level. When the O III optical depth is large, the He II  $Ly\alpha$ 

 $\frac{28}{28}$  In Table 1 and in the discussion, we will refer to unresolved doublets and multiplets as a single line at the relevant central wavelength.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>photons find a way out of resonance through a cascade of fluorescent transitions in the optical and UV, including the 3123 and 3133 Å lines. The O III line at 3133 Å is the brightest and most commonly observed Bowen transition of this kind, although the resolution of the GHZ2 spectrum does not allow us to exclude some contribution from the (generally much weaker) O III Bowen transition at 3123 Å in the detected emission. For a detailed discussion of the physics of these transitions in different astrophysical contexts, see Harrington ([1972](#page-15-0)), Deguchi ([1985](#page-15-0)), and Djeniže et al. ([2003](#page-15-0)).

The Bowen lines are usually observed in symbiotic and X-ray binaries (e.g., Schachter et al. [1989,](#page-15-0) [1991](#page-15-0); Pereira et al. [1999;](#page-15-0) Selvelli et al. [2007](#page-15-0)), planetary nebulae (e.g., Liu & Danziger [1993](#page-15-0)), and some low-redshift Seyfert galaxies (e.g., Malkan [1986](#page-15-0); Schachter et al. [1990](#page-15-0)). Nearly all Seyfert 2 nuclei exhibit Bowen lines, at least weak ones, if the samples of Malkan ([1986](#page-15-0)) and Schachter et al. ([1990](#page-15-0)) are representative; however, although Seyfert 1 nuclei and quasars should also have Bowen lines (Netzer et al. [1985](#page-15-0)), those produced by the broad-line region are much more difficult to detect and quantify because they have low EW and merge with the continuum or with other lines (Fe II, etc.). While fluorescent transitions have been previously observed at intermediate redshifts thanks to strong gravitational lensing (Vanzella et al. [2020](#page-15-0)), to our knowledge, GHZ2 is the first reionization-era object showing the O III  $\lambda$ 3133 emission feature.

The O III  $\lambda$ 3133 line might suggest that GHZ2 is an AGN. However, the nondetection of the [Ne V] line is puzzling; this line is generally 2–5 times brighter than the O III line in AGNs (Malkan [1986](#page-15-0); Schachter et al. [1990](#page-15-0); Kraemer et al. [1994](#page-15-0); Lanzuisi et al. [2015](#page-15-0)), with the only known exceptions being Mrk 42 (Malkan [1986](#page-15-0)) and events belonging to the class of "Bowen fluorescence flares" interpreted as enhanced accretion episodes onto an already active supermassive black hole (Trakhtenbrot et al. [2019](#page-15-0); Makrygianni et al. [2023](#page-15-0)). Since the ionization potentials of  $Ne^{2+}$ ,  $Ne^{3+}$ , and  $Ne^{4+}$  are (respectively) 63.45, 97.12, and 126.2 eV, and only [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868 is visible, it is likely that the highest-energy ionizing photons are 70–90 eV. A low abundance of Ne is also possible (e.g., Isobe et al. [2023b](#page-15-0)), given that [Ne III] λ3868 is generally ∼10 times stronger than  $O \text{ III}$   $\lambda$ 3133 in AGNs yet is only about twice as strong in GHZ2.

It is perhaps also curious that there is no sign of He II  $\lambda$ 3204 in the spectrum of GHZ2. This line is typically ∼60% of the strength of O III  $\lambda$ 3133 in Seyfert nuclei (Malkan [1986](#page-15-0); Schachter et al. [1990](#page-15-0)), so it should be detectable, albeit at a low SNR. However, its strength can sometimes be as low as  $\sim$ 25% of that of O III  $\lambda$ 3133; if this is the case in GHZ2, then it would not be detected in the current data. Moreover, based on Tables 2 and 3 of Seaton ([1978](#page-15-0)), He II λ3204 is usually ∼0.06 times the strength of He II  $\lambda$ 1640 in gases with  $T = (1-2) \times 10^4$  K and  $n_e = 10^4 - 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>; given the measured flux of He II  $\lambda$ 1640 in the spectrum of GHZ2, it thus might not be surprising that He II  $\lambda$ 3204 is undetected.

A higher-SNR spectrum of GHZ2 is needed to detect and accurately measure He II  $\lambda$ 3204 and thus be able to determine the Bowen yield ( $y_{HeO}$ , the fraction of He II Ly $\alpha$  converted to O III Bowen lines) from the relative intensity of the two lines; the relevant relation (Schachter et al. [1990](#page-15-0)) is  $y_{\text{HeO}} =$  $0.12(I(3133)/I(3204))$ . We can also use He II  $\lambda$ 1640 to estimate the Bowen yield;  $y_{\text{HeO}} = 0.12[I(3133)/I(3204)] =$  $0.12[I(3133)/I(1640)][I(1640)/I(3204)]$  and (as noted above)  $I(1640)/I(3204) \approx 0.06$ . However, the measured intensity ratio  $I(3133)/I(1640)$  in GHZ2 is  $1.1 \pm 0.8$ , quite uncertain. Our formal result is that  $y_{\text{HeO}} = 3.8 \pm 2.9$ , where the error bar includes only the uncertainty in  $I(3133)/I(1640)$ , not the variation in  $I(1640)/I(3204)$  among gases having different physical conditions. A value of  $\geq 1$  is unphysical, but in any case, our result is so uncertain that the Bowen yield is essentially unconstrained. Moreover, the He II  $\lambda$ 3204 line is preferred over He II  $\lambda$ 1640 when determining the Bowen yield, even though the latter is intrinsically a factor of 16–17 stronger than the former, since the result with He II  $\lambda$ 3204 is independent of reddening.

#### 3.5. UV Continuum and Limits on Lyα Emission

Finally, we exploited the continuum measured in the NIRSpec spectrum to constrain the UV magnitude and slope of GHZ2 free of the systematics introduced by emission lines. The UV slope  $\beta$  was measured by fitting the continuum flux with a power law after masking all potential emission features. While the fit is usually performed at 1400–2600 Å rest frame (Calzetti et al. [2000](#page-14-0)), we restrict our analysis to the 1400–2600 Å range because the potential impact of damped  $Ly\alpha$  absorption wings can affect the continuum at shorter wavelengths (Heintz et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). We employed EMCEE (Foreman-Mackey et al. [2013](#page-15-0)) to perform a Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis identifying the best-fitting model free parameters through 100 chains and 100,000 steps. As a prior, we imposed a flat distribution  $-3 \le \beta \le 0$ . A UV slope of  $\beta = -2.46 \pm 0.08$  was measured, where the best-fit value and its uncertainty are the median and standard deviation of the posterior distribution. In this respect, GHZ2 is consistent with the most UV-luminous and high stellar mass sources at  $z > 6$ , which show little evolution between  $z \sim 6$  and 11 ( $\beta \sim -2.4$  to −2.5), indicative of mostly dust-poor systems (Roberts-Borsani et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). We then derived a UV absolute magnitude  $M_{\text{UV}} = -20.53 \pm 0.01$  from the average continuum flux of the fitted power law in the range 1450–1550 Å rest frame after correcting for magnification. Interestingly, we obtain a bluer UV slope  $\beta = -2.54 \pm 0.07$  by fitting the NIRCam photometry in the F200W, F277W, and F356W bands due to the impact of the emission lines at  $2 \mu m$ .

The measurement of  $Ly\alpha$  emission in PRISM spectra is challenging owing to the poor resolution and the effects of interstellar and intergalactic absorption at the Lyman break.

We followed the procedure described in detail by Jones et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) and Napolitano et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) to derive a limit on the restframe  $Ly\alpha$  EW on the basis of the measured redshift, the resolution of the instrument at the  $Ly\alpha$  wavelength, and the continuum flux and uncertainty redward of  $Ly\alpha$ . The continuum is extrapolated on the basis of the UV slope measured as described above. We derived a  $3\sigma$  limit of  $EW(Ly\alpha) < 10$  Å. A consistent limit is found when using the continuum estimated using a third-degree polynomial fit of line-free regions (Section [3.3](#page-5-0)).

#### 4. Source of Ionizing Photons: Star Formation or AGN?

The prominent emission lines detected in the GHZ2 spectrum, including high-ionization transitions such as C IV and N IV], clearly suggest the presence of a hard ionizing source. In order to discriminate whether the dominant ionization source of GHZ2 is an AGN or emission from young

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 3. The position of GHZ2 (orange filled square with black error bars) in the UV line diagnostic diagrams discriminating between star formation and an AGN as the main ionizing source: C III]/He II vs. O III]/He II (top; the black lines divide the diagram according to the selection criteria from Mingozzi et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and C IV/ C III] vs.  $(C IV + C III)/He II$  (bottom). The AGN and star-forming models from [F16](#page-15-0) and [G16](#page-15-0) (left panels) and from [NM22](#page-15-0) (right panels) are shown in red and blue, respectively. The positions of reference objects are shown when relevant data are available: GNz11 (purple hexagon), GSz12 (green hexagon), and RXC J2248-ID (magenta triangle) using measurements from Bunker et al. ([2023](#page-14-0)), D'Eugenio et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), and Topping et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)), respectively.

stellar populations, we tested several diagnostics exploiting the ratios and EWs of UV lines (e.g., Feltre et al. [2016,](#page-15-0) hereafter [F16;](#page-15-0) Nakajima et al. [2018](#page-15-0); Hirschmann et al. [2019,](#page-15-0) [2023;](#page-15-0) Mingozzi et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). In the following, we compare GHZ2 to two different sets of models built by processing stellar or AGN emission with the photoionization code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. [2013](#page-15-0)). We consider models for star-forming galaxies by Gutkin et al. ([2016](#page-15-0), hereafter [G16](#page-15-0)) based on the most recent version of the Bruzual & Charlot ([2003](#page-14-0)) stellar population synthesis models (see Vidal-García et al. [2017](#page-15-0) for details) and the narrow-line AGN models by [F16.](#page-15-0) We also compare with Population II star-forming models (based on BPASS v2.2.1 spectral energy distributions, SEDs; Stanway & Eldridge [2018](#page-15-0)) and AGN models by Nakajima & Maiolino ([2022](#page-15-0), hereafter [NM22](#page-15-0)). We refer the reader to the abovementioned papers for a detailed description of the modeling procedures and assumptions. While the considered models encompass a large range of values for metallicity, ionization parameter, and (in the case of  $F16$  and  $G16$ ) C/O ratio and gas density, we chose not to restrict the comparison to any predefined range of values considering the unknown nature of GHZ2.

When possible, we compare GHZ2 to reference objects with well-characterized spectra: GNz11 ( $z = 10.6$ ; Bunker et al. [2023](#page-14-0); Maiolino et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), GSz12 ( $z = 12.48$ ; D'Eugenio et al. [2023](#page-15-0)), the high-redshift C IV emitters with  $EW > 30$  Å RXC J2248-ID  $(z = 6.1;$  Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and A1703-zd6  $(z = 7.045;$  Stark et al. [2015](#page-15-0)), and the only similar example known at low redshift, galaxy J2229+2727 ( $z = 0.07622$ ; Izotov et al. [2024](#page-15-0)).

For the comparison, we exploit a wide range of emission-line ratios that are reported in Figure 3. In all these cases, GHZ2 usually falls in the region where star-forming and AGN models

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 4. Diagnostic diagrams based on the EW of UV lines including, where available, the selection regions from Hirschmann et al. ([2019](#page-15-0)). Models are from [NM22.](#page-15-0) The comparison with observed sources is as in Figure [3](#page-7-0) but including in the top right and bottom left panels here objects J2229+2727 (dark cyan diamond; Izotov et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) and A1703-zd6 (purple triangle; Stark et al. [2015](#page-15-0)). The EW(O III]) for object A1703-zd6 is considered an upper limit because only one of the two components of the doublet is measured.

tend to overlap. Notably, the assessment is extremely modeldependent. GHZ2 is more compatible with star formation according to the models by  $F16$  and  $G16$  in both the C III]/He II versus O III]/He II and the C IV/C III] versus  $(C IV + C III)/$ He II diagrams. Instead, the AGN models by [NM22](#page-15-0) extend to the region where GHZ2 is found. The position of GHZ2 in the C III]/He II versus O III]/He II diagram is also compatible with emission by shocks according to the selection criteria by Mingozzi et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)). A comparison with other high-redshift objects with prominent emission lines shows a remarkable similarity between GHZ2 and RXC J2248-ID in all diagrams. GHZ2 is also very close to  $GNz11$  in the C III]/He II versus O III]/He II plot, while the much weaker C IV  $\lambda$ 1549 emission in GNz11 differentiates the two sources in the other diagrams. Perhaps the ionizing continuum is weaker in GNz11, which could also help explain the absence of obvious Bowen fluorescence lines in its spectrum. We find that in the case of both the [F16](#page-15-0)/[G16](#page-15-0) and [NM22](#page-15-0) models, the line ratios measured

in GHZ2 are typical of low metallicities  $(Z/Z_{\odot} \lesssim 0.2)$  and a high ionization parameter in both the star formation and AGN case. In fact, differences between the two model sets can be likely explained by the [NM22](#page-15-0) models allowing for a ionization parameter as high as log  $U = -0.5$ , while the [F16](#page-15-0) and [G16](#page-15-0) ones are limited to  $\log U \le -1$ . On the basis of these comparisons, and considering the significant differences between the various models, we conclude that it is hard to conclusively identify the source of ionizing radiation based only on the line ratios.

We show in Figure 4 the diagnostic diagrams based on the EWs of the UV lines proposed by Nakajima et al. ([2018](#page-15-0)) compared to the [NM22](#page-15-0) models (the only ones that provide EW information). The rest-frame EWs of the GHZ2 UV lines are always consistent with AGN emission or with composite emission from both star formation and accretion. GHZ2 would be classified as an AGN according to the thresholds proposed by Nakajima et al. ([2018](#page-15-0)) and either an AGN or a composite

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 5. The position of GHZ2 and GNz11 in the O III]/He II vs. [Ne IV]/N IV] plane compared with models from [F16](#page-15-0) and [G16](#page-15-0) (left panel) and from [NM22](#page-15-0) (right panel). Symbols are as in Figure [3](#page-7-0).

according to the selection criteria by Hirschmann et al. ([2019](#page-15-0)). The other C IV emitters show a similar agreement with the typical AGN EWs and line ratios; in particular, RXC J2248-ID is remarkably close to GHZ2 in all considered diagrams, similar to the case of the line ratio diagnostics discussed above.

Finally, we checked whether the nondetection of the very high-ionization lines [Ne IV]  $\lambda$ 2424 and [Ne V]  $\lambda$ 3426 discriminates between AGN and star formation powered emission in the case of GHZ2. These lines have ionization potentials that are higher than those of any of the other UV emission features detected in GHZ2, and, in particular, [Ne V] λ3426 with a ionization energy of ∼97 eV is a robust marker of accretion onto a supermassive black hole (e.g., Schmidt et al. [1998;](#page-15-0) Gilli et al. [2010](#page-15-0)). We find that our EW limits are not stringent enough to rule out AGN emission in GHZ2. The limit on EW([Ne V]) in GHZ2 is much higher than the typical values measured in both narrow-line ( $\sim$ 8 A) and broad-line ( $\sim$ 1–3 A) AGNs at  $z \sim 1$  (Mignoli et al. [2013](#page-15-0)). Similarly, C IV-selected AGNs at  $1.5 \le z \le 3$  have EW([Ne IV])  $\simeq$  1–5 Å(Mignoli et al. [2019](#page-15-0)), lower than the  $3\sigma$  limit of 16 Å we measure in GHZ2. On the one hand, GHZ2 shows properties similar to these obscured AGNs, which have typical EW(C IV)  $\simeq$  30–60 Å and EW(C III])  $\simeq$  15–30 Å. On the other hand, the position of GHZ2 on the C IV/He II versus  $C$  IV/C III] plane falls just outside the region occupied by most of the aforementioned AGNs, which is well described by pure AGN models (Figure 5 in Mignoli et al. [2019](#page-15-0)).

These findings are consistent with the tests shown in Figures [3](#page-7-0) and [4](#page-8-0) and do not resolve the ambiguity on the nature of GHZ2. Instead, line ratio diagnostics using very high-ionization lines can provide more stringent constraints. We explored several combinations, finding that the most useful diagram exploits the O III]/He II versus [Ne IV]/N IV] ratios, which are compatible only with star formation according to the models by [F16](#page-15-0) and [G16](#page-15-0) (Figure 5). However, we cannot consider this test to be conclusive, since GHZ2 is still marginally compatible with the AGN models by [NM22](#page-15-0), and it has ratios consistent with those of GNz11, which shows AGN features (Maiolino et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). We also explored other diagnostics based on the [Ne IV]/C III] or [Ne V]/C III] ratios (Scholtz et al. [2023](#page-15-0)) and on Ne53 = [Ne V]/[Ne III] (Cleri et al. [2023](#page-15-0)), finding that they are not conclusive because the

available limits for GHZ2 are compatible with either very lowmetallicity stellar populations or AGNs. In particular, GHZ2 has  $log(Ne53) < -0.31$  at  $3\sigma$  and  $log(O \text{ III})/H\beta = 0.72 \pm 0.11$ (Calabro et al. [2024;](#page-14-0) Zavala et al. [2024](#page-15-0)) compatible with the "composite" zone defined by Cleri et al.  $(2023)$  $(2023)$  $(2023)$ . The O III  $\lambda$ 3133 fluorescence line may point to GHZ2 being a Seyfert-like AGN. However, as already mentioned in Section [3.4](#page-5-0), the nondetection of the [Ne V] line is puzzling in this respect.

To summarize, the available data do not allow us to ascertain whether the dominant ionization source within GHZ2 is star formation or AGN accretion. On the one hand, the high EWs of the carbon and oxygen lines point to an AGN contribution. On the other hand, the measurable line ratios, and in particular [Ne IV]/N IV], favor the star formation case according to the [F16](#page-15-0) and [G16](#page-15-0) models but are compatible with both scenarios according to the [NM22](#page-15-0) models.

#### 5. A Star Formation Scenario for GHZ2

The nondetection of very high-ionization lines and the similarity between GHZ2 and other C IV emitters in terms of UV line ratios motivate us to explore the possible physical conditions of GHZ2 under the hypothesis that star-forming regions are the main source of ionizing photons.

#### 5.1. Global Properties from Photometry

We first derive the global properties of GHZ2 by performing SED fitting of the observed photometry with BAGPIPES v. 1.0.3 (Carnall et al. [2018,](#page-14-0) [2019](#page-14-0)). The templates are based on BPASS v. 2.2.1 stellar models with an upper mass cutoff of the IMF of 300  $M_{\odot}$  (Stanway & Eldridge [2018](#page-15-0)) and nebular emission computed self-consistently with CLOUDY (Ferland et al. [2013](#page-15-0)) as described by Carnall et al. ([2018](#page-14-0)). We assume a double power-law star formation history and a Charlot & Fall ([2000](#page-15-0)) dust attenuation model with power-law index  $0.3 \le n \le 2.5$ .

After correcting for magnification, we find a star formation rate (SFR) of  $5.2^{+1.1}_{-0.6} M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> and a stellar mass  $log (M_{\text{star}}/M_{\odot}) = 9.05^{+0.10}_{-0.25}$ , implying a specific SFR (sSFR) =  $4.7^{+5.1}_{-1.0}$  Gyr<sup>-1</sup>. In agreement with the measured blue UV slope, GHZ2 is found to have very low dust extinction  $(A_V = 0.04^{+0.07}_{-0.03}$  mag). While these values are obtained solely

<span id="page-10-0"></span>The Astrophysical Journal, 972:143 (15pp), 2024 September 10 Castellano et al.

Table 2 Physical Properties of GHZ2<sup>a</sup>

Physical Property	Measured Value	
$M_{\rm UV}$	$-20.53 \pm 0.01$	
UV slope	$-2.46 \pm 0.08$	
$log (M_{\rm star}/M_{\odot})$	$9.05_{-0.25}^{+0.10}$	
SFR $(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1})$	$5.2^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$	
$sSFR$ (Gyr <sup>-1</sup> )	$4.7^{+5.1}_{-1.0}$	
$\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$ ( <i>M</i> <sub><math>\odot</math></sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> kpc <sup>-2</sup> )	$75 \pm 4$	
$\Sigma_M$ ( $M_{\odot}$ pc <sup>-2</sup> )	$16.2^{+1.1}_{-5.4} \times 10^3$	
$A_V$ (mag)	$0.04^{+0.07}_{-0.03}$	
$12 + \log(O/H)$	$7.26_{-0.24}^{+0.27}$	
log U	$-1.78 \pm 0.28$	

Note.

<sup>a</sup> UV slope and magnitude measured from the continuum in the NIRSpec spectrum (Section [3.5](#page-6-0)). Metallicity and ionization parameter estimated from UV emission lines following Curti et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)) and Mingozzi et al. ([2022](#page-15-0)), respectively (Section 5.2). All other quantities obtained through SED fitting using BAGPIPES (Section [5.1](#page-9-0)).

on the basis of photometry, and we defer a detailed spectrophotometric fitting to future work, we note that the best-fit ranges for log U (−2.7 to −1.4) and metallicity ( $Z = 0.02 0.17 Z_{\odot}$ ) are in reassuring agreement with the estimates based on emission lines that will be discussed below. We caution, however that broadband observations of the optical rest frame will be needed to obtain stronger constraints on the stellar mass, which may be subject to systematics due to the large range of the  $M/L_{UV}$  values (Santini et al. [2023](#page-15-0)). Somewhat reassuringly, as discussed in Zavala et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)), a fit performed jointly on photometry and MIRI spectroscopy yields consistent values for the stellar mass and SFR and an estimate of the mass-weighted age  $(=28^{+10}_{-14}$  Myr), which is poorly constrained when using only the broadband UV photometry.

GHZ2 has an extremely small size, with an effective radius of  $R_e = 105 \pm 9$  pc (corrected for magnification; Yang et al. [2022](#page-15-0)). An even smaller radius ( $= 34 \pm 9$  pc, delensed) was measured by Ono et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), who have shown that its morphology can also be fitted with a two-component model with a PSF-like plus compact (≈42 pc, delensed) galaxy profile. By conservatively adopting the estimate from Yang et al. ([2022](#page-15-0)), we obtain  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR} =$ 75 ± 4  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup> kpc<sup>-2</sup> and  $\Sigma_M = 16.2^{+1.1'}_{-5.4} \times 10^3$   $M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-2</sup>, while  $\sim$ 6–9 times larger values are obtained using the  $R_e$  values estimated by Ono et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)) for the two- and single-component fits, respectively.

The properties of the source are summarized in Table 2.

#### 5.2. Metallicity and Ionization Properties

Detailed modeling of the nebular conditions requires measuring line ratios of doublets sensitive to temperature or density (e.g., Berg et al. [2019;](#page-14-0) Kewley et al. [2019](#page-15-0)) that are unresolved by the NIRSpec prism. Nonetheless, several scaling relations and criteria have been proposed in the literature to provide estimates of metallicity and ionizing conditions from

UV emission lines when a thorough modeling is not possible. In fact, the strong C IV  $\lambda$ 1549 emission in GHZ2 is immediately suggestive of low metallicity, as this line is only observed in star-forming galaxies with  $Z \lesssim 0.2 Z_{\odot}$  (Mingozzi et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). We obtain a quantitative evaluation using the  $Ne<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> =$  [Ne III]  $\lambda$ 3868/[O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 index, which is considered a good proxy for oxygen abundance (e.g., Maiolino et al. [2008](#page-15-0)). We adopt the relation by Curti et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), which extends to metallicity <0.1  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ , obtaining  $12 + \log(O/M)$  $H$ ) = 7.26<sup>+0.27</sup>, corresponding to  $Z = 0.038^{+0.033}_{-0.016} Z_{\odot}$ . The more conservative  $Ne<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  versus metallicity relation by Bian et al. ([2018](#page-14-0)) yields a slightly larger value of  $12 + \log(O/H) =$ 7.57<sup>+0.15</sup> ( $Z = 0.075^{+0.031}_{-0.015}$   $Z_{\odot}$ ). Considering that the Ne<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> index might be affected by collisional de-excitation of [O II] at high density, we explored other indicators. Reassuringly, the same metallicity range is obtained with the calibrations by Mingozzi et al.  $(2024)$  $(2024)$  $(2024)$  based on the C III/O III ratio (∼0.05  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ ) and EW(C III) (∼0.1  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ ). The variance among different estimators is likely due to the intrinsic degeneracies among the abundance of oxygen and other elements and the physical conditions of the emitting gas. Nonetheless, we can safely assume a metallicity for GHZ2 in the range of 3%–10%  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ .

When considering the metallicity obtained with  $Ne<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ following Curti et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), we find a significant offset from the mass–metallicity relations (MZR) measured at different redshifts (Figure [6](#page-11-0), left panel), including the range  $z \approx 6{\text -}10$ (Curti et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). However, GHZ2 would still be consistent with the MZR according to the more conservative estimate of  $0.1 Z_{\odot}$ . A more significant deviation is found compared to the fundamental metallicity relation (FMR; Mannucci et al. [2010](#page-15-0)). As shown in the right panel of Figure [6](#page-11-0), GHZ2 is offset by  $>0.6$  dex from the FMR by Curti et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) considering all aforementioned metallicity estimates. In fact, given its mass and SFR, GHZ2 would be expected to have a metallicity of  $\sim$ 0.5 Z<sub>⊙</sub> if following the FMR.

Finally, we can infer the ionization parameter on the basis of the relations between  $log U$  and line properties measured by Mingozzi et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) on the CLASSY sample. Among the equations proposed by Mingozzi et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)), we exploit the one based on the  $C\text{IV}/C\text{III}$  ratio, which is calibrated against the [O III]  $\lambda$ 5007/[O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 ratio that traces the intermediate/high-ionization zone. The  $C$  IV/C III ratio has the advantage that consecutive ionization states of the same element are less prone to potential biases owing to different abundances in GHZ2 and the CLASSY sources. We find a high ionization parameter,  $\log U = -1.78 \pm 0.28$ , where the uncertainty includes the scatter in the fitting equation reported by Mingozzi et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)). The analysis of MIRI observations of GHZ2 yields estimates for both the metallicity ( $Z = 0.02{\text -}0.17 Z_{\odot}$ ) and the ionization parameter (log  $U > -2$ ) consistent with our findings (Zavala et al. [2024](#page-15-0)).

A low metallicity and a high ionization parameter are typical of strong C IV emitters. As a comparison, RXC J2248-ID has a metallicity of 4%–8% solar (Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), with even lower oxygen abundances for A1703-zd6 (2%–4%; Stark et al. [2015](#page-15-0)) and J2229+2727 (∼2.5%; Izotov et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). Similarly, their ionization parameters are extreme, ranging from log  $U = -1.7$  (J2229+2727) to ~−1 (A1703-zd6 and RXC J2248-ID). Less extreme but still comparable values have been measured in GNz11 (0.08–0.12  $Z/Z_{\odot}$ , log  $U \approx -2$ ; Bunker et al. [2023](#page-14-0)). Instead, the carbon-enhanced source GSz12

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 6. Left: the position of GHZ2 and other objects on the gas metallicity vs. stellar mass plane (symbols as in Figure [3](#page-7-0)) compared to the MZR at  $z = 0$  (black line; Curti et al. [2020](#page-15-0)),  $z \approx 2$  (light red) and  $z \approx 3$  (dark red) from Li et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)),  $z \approx 4-9$  (cyan; Nakajima et al. 2023), and  $z \approx 6-10$  (blue; Curti et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). The orange arrows indicate the upper limit on the metallicity of 0.1  $Z_{\odot}$  for GHZ2, which is the highest among the available estimates. Blue and cyan points indicate single sources at  $z > 6$  from Curti et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) and Nakajima et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), respectively. The shaded regions enclose the scatter around the best-fit relations. Right: deviation from the FMR by Curti et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) as a function of stellar mass for GHZ2 and the other single sources with the same symbols as in the left panel.

appears to be both more metal-enriched ( $\sim$ 0.16 Z/Z<sub>⊙</sub>; D'Eugenio et al. [2023](#page-15-0)) and with a significantly lower ionization parameter (log  $U < -2$  from the C IV/C III ratio, considering a  $3\sigma$  upper limit on the C IV line).

#### 5.3. Is GHZ2 Carbon- or Nitrogen-enhanced?

We used PyNeb (Luridiana et al. [2012,](#page-15-0) [2015](#page-15-0)) to estimate the  $C/O$  and  $N/O$  abundance ratios with the task getIonAbundance. For electron density, we consider the discrete values  $[10^3, 5 \times 10^3, 10^4, 5 \times 10^4, \text{ and } 10^5] \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and for the electron temperature, we consider 1.5, 2, 2.5, and  $3 \times 10^4$  K. We note that a high electron density  $\log(n_e/cm^{-3}) > 3$  is favored by the combined analysis of the MIRI data and the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array observations of GHZ2 from Bakx et al. ([2023](#page-14-0)) (Zavala et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). For C/O, we assume it can be inferred from the  $C^{2+}/O^{2+}$  ratio to which we apply an ionization correction factor (ICF). Using the calibration by Berg et al. ([2019](#page-14-0)), which depends on the ionization parameter, and for a metallicity of  $0.05 Z_{\odot}$ , we find an ICF of 1.2. We use the line ratio C III]  $\lambda$ 1908/O III]  $\lambda$ 1663 and the grid of electron densities and temperatures to estimate the total  $C/O$ . For each assumed temperature, we performed 1000 Monte Carlo simulations perturbing the observed fluxes by their corresponding uncertainties. We obtain values ranging from  $\log(C/O) = -0.94$  (for  $n_e = 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $T = 1.5 \times$  $10^4$  K) to log(C/O) = -0.53 (for  $n_e = 10^3$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $T = 3.0 \times$  $10^4$  K). These estimates imply that GHZ2 has a subsolar carbon abundance of  $\left[\frac{\text{C}}{\text{O}}\right] \approx -0.68$  to  $-0.27$  dex (assuming  $log(C/O)_{\odot} = -0.26$  from Asplund et al. [2009](#page-14-0)).

We explore the N/O abundance ratio adopting our  $7\sigma$  fiducial detection of the N III]  $\lambda$ 1750 line and assuming that the oxygen abundance is dominated by the  $O^{2+}$  state and the total N/O is derived as  $N/O \approx (N^{2+} + N^{3+})/O^{2+}$ . We use the line ratios N IV]  $\lambda$ 1488/O III]  $\lambda$ 1663 and N III]  $\lambda$ 1750/O III]  $\lambda$ 1663 and the same grid of electron densities and temperatures as above. In all considered cases, the derived N/O exceeds the solar value, ranging from  $\log(N/O) = -0.29$  (for  $n_e = 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $T = 3.0 \times 10^4$  K) to log(N/O) = -0.2 (for  $n_e = 10^3$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $T = 1.5 \times 10^4$  K); these are a factor of  $\sim$ 4–5 higher than the solar abundance (log(N/O)<sub>⊙</sub> = −0.86; Asplund et al. [2009](#page-14-0)). However, the measured nitrogen abundance relies on the detection of the N III]  $\lambda$ 1750 line, which,

as discussed in Section [3](#page-3-0), needs further assessment considering the low SNR and the dependence on the estimated continuum level. In fact, when considering the conservative  $3\sigma$  upper limit discussed above in place of a detection for N III]  $\lambda$ 1750, we get an upper limit of log( $N/O$ )  $\leq -0.4$ .

The abovementioned estimates indicate a remarkable similarity between GHZ2 and the recently discovered class of nitrogen-enhanced objects. In fact, GNz11 and RXC J2248-ID have both a supersolar nitrogen abundance and a subsolar C/O (Senchyna et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), and similar cases of high C/N ratios are also discussed by Isobe et al. ([2023b](#page-15-0)).

#### 5.4. Our Proposed Scenario: Highly Ionizing Radiation Sources in a Dense Environment

Assuming a star-forming origin of the ionizing radiation inside GHZ2, the elemental abundance described above hints at conditions that are significantly different from the typical cases of low- and intermediate-redshift galaxies. In fact, available models struggle at reproducing the high EW of UV lines observed in GHZ2 and other sources (e.g., Nanayakkara et al. [2019](#page-15-0); Saxena et al. [2020](#page-15-0); Olivier et al. [2022](#page-15-0)). Additional sources of ionizing photons may include X-ray binaries (Schaerer et al. [2019](#page-15-0); Garofali et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), very massive stars (Lecroq et al. [2024](#page-15-0); Upadhyaya et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), soft X-rays emitted by hot gas within young stellar clusters (Oskinova & Schaerer [2022](#page-15-0)), and shock-ionized gas (Jaskot & Ravindranath [2016](#page-15-0)).

In this context, the O III  $\lambda$ 3133 fluorescence line detected in GHZ2 may provide insights into the highly ionizing sources responsible for the He II emission and the extreme EW of the carbon lines. While detailed modeling of this uncommon emission feature is beyond the scope of the present work, it must be stressed that it indicates the presence of dense, highly ionized gas within the object (e.g., Deguchi [1985](#page-15-0)). Its emission mechanism requires a large amount of He-ionizing photons ( $>54.4$  eV) recombining into the unobservable He II Ly $\alpha$  line at 303.782 Å, which is not surprising in our case considering the detection of the He II Balmer- $\alpha$  line at 1640 Å with EW  $\approx$  5 Å.

The C and N abundances provide a signature of early enrichment dominated by the burning of hydrogen through the CNO cycle (e.g., Isobe et al. [2023b](#page-15-0)). In particular, the nitrogen enrichment can be explained in the same context as the

<span id="page-12-0"></span>"excess" of ionizing photons by the presence of supermassive stars in a densely clustered environment (Charbonnel et al. [2023;](#page-14-0) Senchyna et al. [2023;](#page-15-0) Vink [2023;](#page-15-0) Marques-Chaves et al. [2024](#page-15-0)).

The abundance patterns in GHZ2 and other similar sources are compatible with them being formation sites of the stellar populations that will end up in globular clusters and other very dense environments studied in the local Universe showing similar nitrogen excess (e.g., D'Antona et al. [2023](#page-15-0)). In this respect, it is notable that GHZ2 has both a high  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$  (log( $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$  $[M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}]$ ) ≈ 1.9) and a high  $\Sigma_M$  (log( $\Sigma_M$  [ $M_{\odot}$  pc<sup>-2</sup>])  $\gtrsim$ 4), making this object an ideal host of gravitationally bound stellar clusters. Similar dense conditions have been observed through gravitational lensing at  $z \approx 2-8$ , e.g., the Sunburst (Vanzella et al. [2022](#page-15-0)), Sunrise (Vanzella et al. [2023](#page-15-0)), and Firefly Sparkle (Mowla et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), along with the recently discovered  $z \approx 10.2$  Cosmic Gems (Adamo et al. [2024](#page-14-0)), which shows extremely dense stellar clusters located within a 50 pc physical region. In this scenario, the luminosity and density of GHZ2 can be explained by the presence of young massive stellar clusters at the peak of their formation, the majority of them confined within a barely resolved 100 pc scale (e.g., Adamo et al. [2024](#page-14-0)). Following Langeroodi & Hjorth ([2023](#page-15-0)), the compact size of GHZ2 can also explain its significant deviation from the FMR in a scenario where centrally concentrated star formation is ignited by infall of pristine gas (see also Tacchella et al. [2023](#page-15-0)).

While RXC J2248-ID is more extreme in terms of SFR and stellar mass density than GHZ2, the similarities to GHZ2 are also striking. The two objects have very similar line ratios and EWs and are both dense and highly ionized objects with comparable metallicity and abundance patterns. These findings suggest that GHZ2 belongs to a population of very highredshift objects that are undergoing an intense phase of star formation in a dense and compact volume. It is tempting to speculate that the remnants of this phase will end up in dense stellar environments at low redshift.

Its characteristics, combined with the blue UV slope  $\beta = -2.39$  measured from the spectrum (which suggests little dust opacity), are those expected in scenarios in which the objects populating the bright end of the UV luminosity function have undergone a "blue monster" phase that effectively pushed away the previously formed dust through radiation-driven winds (Ferrara [2024;](#page-15-0) Fiore et al. [2023](#page-15-0)).

Objects in the same evolutionary phase as GHZ2 may also significantly contribute to cosmic reionization. The analysis of Izotov et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)) shows a relation between low metallicity, high  $N/O$ , low  $C/O$ , and significant leakage of Lyman continuum (LyC) photons. In addition, Schaerer et al. ([2022a](#page-15-0)) and Kramarenko et al. ([2024](#page-15-0)) found a correlation between a high  $C \text{IV}/C \text{III}$  ratio and a nonzero escape fraction of ionizing photons: GHZ2 has  $C \text{ IV}/C \text{ III} \approx 3$ , higher than the threshold of 0.75 characterizing strong LyC leakers according to the aforementioned analysis. Indication of a significant escape fraction of ionizing photons has also been found at  $z \approx 3$ in C IV emitters by Mascia et al. ([2023](#page-15-0)), with these objects (and strong-line emitters in general, e.g., Castellano et al. [2023b](#page-14-0)) also having a high photon production efficiency. In this respect, deeper and higher-resolution spectra of GHZ2 will be able to investigate its escape fraction through the analysis of the Mg II  $\lambda$ 2800 doublet (e.g., Chisholm et al. [2020](#page-15-0)), which is currently

undetected to a limit (EW  $\leq 10 \text{ Å}$ ) that prevents this kind of constraint.

#### 6. Conclusions

We report here the spectroscopic confirmation at  $z = 12.34$ of GHZ2/GLASS-z12. The spectroscopic redshift is in remarkable agreement with the estimates obtained from NIRCam photometry (e.g., [C22](#page-14-0); Naidu et al. [2022](#page-15-0); Harikane et al. [2023](#page-15-0)), lending support to the accuracy of JWST-based photometric selections of high-redshift galaxies, at least as far as bright objects are concerned. Together with similar results obtained from NIRSpec follow-up spectroscopy (e.g., Arrabal Haro et al. [2023b](#page-14-0)), this provides a crucial confirmation that the relatively large density of bright galaxies at  $z \gtrsim 9$  is real and deserves detailed investigation in order to understand the earliest phases of galaxy and structure formation.

The spectrum of GHZ2 shows strong N IV, C IV, He II, O III, C III, O II, and Ne III emission lines. The prominent C IV line puts GHZ2 in the category of strong C IV emitters (Stark et al. [2015;](#page-15-0) Izotov et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). In fact, GHZ2 is the most distant, brightest, and most massive member of this recently discovered class of objects. Assessing the main source of ionizing photons from UV spectroscopy is known to be challenging, in particular for high-redshift objects likely dominated by young, lowmetallicity stellar populations. In fact, our extended comparisons with theoretical models spanning a large range in metallicity and ionization parameter are somewhat inconclusive. We find that, similarly to other C IV emitters and other very high-redshift objects, the large EWs of UV lines found in GHZ2 seem to imply an AGN-like ionizing spectrum, while the UV line ratios are broadly compatible both with AGN emission and with star formation in a very low-metallicity environment.

While it is not possible to draw a firm conclusion from the aforementioned tests, we consider the star-forming case to be slightly favored in light of the low [Ne IV]/N IV] ratio and the comparison with C IV emitters, which are considered to be most likely star-forming galaxies. In fact, the line ratios of GHZ2 are remarkably similar to those of RXC J2248-ID, whose spectrum shows no AGN signatures (Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)), and A1703-zd6 and J2229+2727, which are well reproduced by highly ionizing stellar populations (Stark et al. [2015;](#page-15-0) Izotov et al. [2024](#page-15-0)).

Regardless of the nature of the dominant ionizing flux, we found that GHZ2 has a very low metallicity (below 10% solar) and a high ionization parameter (log  $U > -2$ ). The N/O abundance is found to be 4–5 times the solar value, while the C/O is subsolar, similar to a number of recently discovered high-redshift objects (Isobe et al. [2023b](#page-15-0); Topping et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). Given its small effective radius ( $R_e \approx 100$  pc), GHZ2 has a high  $\Sigma_{\rm SFR}$  and a high stellar mass density similar to gravitationally bound stellar clusters; it is intriguing to speculate that GHZ2 is undergoing a phase of intense star formation in a dense configuration that may evolve into the nitrogen-enhanced stellar populations of globular clusters and other dense environments that are observed at low redshifts.

The origin of the copious amounts of ionizing photons in objects such as GHZ2 is currently unknown, but scenarios of dense star formation at very low metallicity including supermassive stars and high-mass X-ray binaries have the potential to also explain the atypical abundance patterns and the highionization spectra. A detailed investigation of the rare detection of the O III λ3133 fluorescence line in GHZ2 can provide

<span id="page-13-0"></span>further insight into its sources of ionizing photons and their local environment. The high  $C IV/C III$  ratio and the abundance patterns of GHZ2 are also suggestive of a high escape fraction of ionizing photons. A comprehensive search for sources in this evolutionary stage can reveal if they play a significant role in the reionization of the intergalactic medium.

We caution, however, that in-depth studies will be needed to consolidate the proposed scenario. The N/O ratio needs to be assessed on the basis of a robust detection of the N III line in a higher-resolution spectrum considering the strong dependence of our measurement on the extrapolated continuum level at its position. Similarly, a high-resolution spectrum is needed to estimate the density and temperature of the ionized gas, ascertain the presence of broad components due to AGNs or stellar winds, and separate nebular and stellar contributions to the C IV emission.

The remarkable brightness of GHZ2 makes it accessible to a wealth of follow-up strategies, as showcased by the results described in this paper and by the MIRI detection discussed in a companion paper (Zavala et al. [2024](#page-15-0)). As such, GHZ2 has the potential to become a reference object for understanding galaxy formation at only 360 Myr after the Big Bang.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the referee for the constructive comments that helped us improve the manuscript. We thank K. Nakajima and A. Feltre for kindly providing updated tables of their line emission models and A. Carnall for the useful feedback on BAGPIPES SED fitting. We thank E. Giallongo and E. Piconcelli for the interesting discussions. We thank Tony Roman (Program Coordinator) and Glenn Wahlgren (NIRSpec reviewer) for the assistance in the preparation of GO-3073 observations. This work is based on observations made with the NASA/ESA/ CSA James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). The JWST data presented in this article were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute. The specific observations analyzed are associated with program JWST-GO-3073 and can be accessed via [DOI](https://doi.org/10.17909/4r6b-bx96). We acknowledge financial support from NASA through grant JWST-ERS-1342. Support was also provided by the PRIN 2022 MUR project 2022CB3PJ3—First Light And Galaxy aSsembly (FLAGS) funded by the European Union—Next Generation EU and by INAF Mini-grant "Reionization and Fundamental Cosmology with High-Redshift Galaxies." A.V.F. is grateful for financial assistance from the Christopher R. Redlich Fund and numerous other donors. C.G. and P.R. acknowledge support through grant MIUR2020 SKSTHZ. C.M. acknowledges support by the VILLUM FONDEN under grant 37459 and the Carlsberg Foundation under grant CF22-1322. The Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN) is funded by the Danish National Research Foundation under grant DNRF140. K.G. and T.N. acknowledge support from Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship FL180100060.

Facilities: JWST, VLT:Kueyen.

Software: A-PHOT (Merlin et al. [2019](#page-15-0)), Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. [2013](#page-14-0), [2018,](#page-14-0) [2022](#page-14-0)), BAGPIPES (Carnall et al. [2018,](#page-14-0) [2019](#page-14-0)), JWST Calibration Pipeline version 1.13.4 (Bushouse et al. [2024](#page-14-0)), Matplotlib (Hunter [2007](#page-15-0)), PyNeb (Luridiana et al.

[2012,](#page-15-0) [2015](#page-15-0)), Specutils (https://[specutils.readthedocs.io](https://specutils.readthedocs.io/en/stable/)/en/ [stable](https://specutils.readthedocs.io/en/stable/)/), T-PHOT (Merlin et al. [2015,](#page-15-0) [2016](#page-15-0)).

# Appendix VLT X-SHOOTER Spectroscopy

GHZ2 was observed by X-SHOOTER under program 110.244H.001 (PI: E. Vanzella). The observations were performed in nodding mode with  $11'' \times 0''$  slits and 900 s per single exposure in the near-infrared arm. The total observing time was 37 hr, corresponding to an on-target exposure time of 30 hr.

Data reduction was performed as by Castellano et al. ([2022b](#page-14-0)) with the official X-SHOOTER pipeline (Modigliani et al. [2010](#page-15-0)), v. 3.3.5, using the associated raw calibrations from the ESO archive. Sky subtraction was done using the X-SHOOTER nodding strategy, combining frames acquired at two different positions in the sky for each OB execution. A fixed boxcar window was used to extract the target on the rectified 2D spectra to ensure that the target was correctly localized along the slit (extractmethod = LOCALIZATION and localizemethod = MANUAL in pipeline recipe xsh\_scired\_slit\_nod).

The 1D extracted spectra were corrected to the barycentric reference frame in vacuum and combined with the data analysis package ASTROCOOK (Cupani et al. [2020](#page-15-0)). The combined spectra were rebinned to a resolution of 4.8  $\AA$  pixel<sup>-1</sup>.

We detect at SNR = 4.4 an emission feature at  $\lambda = 20665.16 \text{ Å}$ , which is compatible with one of the two components of the C IV  $\lambda$ 1549 emission detected with NIRSpec (Figure [7](#page-14-0)). We interpret it as the C IV  $\lambda$ 1548 line, which is the brightest component of the doublet, implying  $z = 12.348$ , consistent with the NIRSpec redshift. The measured flux is  $(0.78 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-18}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, and we estimate FWHM =  $390 \pm 160$  km s<sup>-1</sup> rest frame. This estimate is lower than observed in broad-line AGNs (e.g., Shen et al. [2011](#page-15-0)), and it is consistent with a stellar wind origin of the line (e.g., Chisholm et al. [2019](#page-15-0)). However, the low SNR of the detection clearly prevents any firm assessment of the line profile, which will require higher-resolution JWST spectroscopy.

The SNR implies a  $3\sigma$  limit of  $0.6 \times 10^{-18}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> for the second component, which leaves the ratio between the two poorly constrained. Following Lemaux et al. ([2009](#page-15-0)), we expect the slit losses in the X-SHOOTER spectrum to be small (∼10%– 15%) on the basis of the slit dimension, the compact size of the source ( $\langle 0 \rangle$ '1), and the median seeing ( $\sim$ 0''8). Considering that the total C IV λ1549 flux detected in the (aperture-corrected) NIRSpec spectrum is  $(2.57 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-18}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, the flux of the C IV  $\lambda$ 1548 line implies a relatively high ratio between the two components of the doublet (as in, e.g., A1703-zd6; Stark et al. [2015](#page-15-0)) and/or the presence of an additional broad component (e.g., Berg et al. [2019](#page-14-0); Llerena et al. [2022](#page-15-0)) that is not detectable in the X-SHOOTER spectrum and shall be investigated with NIRSpec high-resolution spectroscopy.

No other features are found at the expected position of other emission lines, consistent with the fluxes measured in the NIRSpec spectrum and the noise level in the X-SHOOTER one. Interestingly, the higher resolution of the X-SHOOTER spectrum enables measurements close to the Lyman break, which is severely affected by damping wing absorption at the PRISM resolution yielding a  $3\sigma$  upper limit on N V  $\lambda$ 1240 of  $0.6 \times 10^{-18}$  erg s<sup>-1'</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup>.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Top: the 2D X-SHOOTER spectrum of GHZ2 with the expected positions of Ly $\alpha$  and N v  $\lambda$ 1240 and the position of the detected C IV  $\lambda$ 1548 line highlighted. Bottom: the extracted 1D spectrum at the position of the C IV  $\lambda$ 1548 emission (blue dashed line). The red dotted line indicates the expected position of the C IV λ1551 component.

#### ORCID iDs

Marco Castellano **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9875-8263)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-9875-8263](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9875-8263)** L[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8951-4408)renzo Napolitano **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8951-4408)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-8951-4408](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8951-4408)** Adriano Fontana **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3820-2823)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-3820-2823](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3820-2823)** Gu[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4140-1367)do Roberts-Borsani il [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4140-1367)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-4140-1367](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4140-1367) Tommaso Tre[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8460-0390) <sup>th</sup> [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8460-0390)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-8460-0390](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8460-0390) Eros V[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5057-135X)nzella  $\Phi$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5057-135X)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-5057-135X](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5057-135X) Jorge A. Z[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-1100)vala **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-1100)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7051-1100](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-1100)** Pablo Arrabal Haro **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7959-8783)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7959-8783](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7959-8783)** Antonello Calabrò **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2536-1614)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-2536-1614](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2536-1614)** M[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1354-4296)rio Llerena **to** [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1354-4296)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-1354-4296](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1354-4296) S[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9572-7813)ra Mascia **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9572-7813)**//orcid.org/[0000-0002-9572-7813](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9572-7813) Emilia[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6870-8900)o Merlin the [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6870-8900)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-6870-8900](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6870-8900) Diego Pari[s](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7409-8114) @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7409-8114)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-7409-8114](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7409-8114) Laura Penter[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8940-6768)cci C[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8940-6768)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-8940-6768](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8940-6768) Paola Sant[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-8705)ni <sup>to</sup> [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-8705)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-9334-8705](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-8705) Tom J. L. C. Bakx **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-2221)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-5268-2221](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5268-2221)** P[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1383-9414)etro Bergamini  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1383-9414)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-1383-9414](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1383-9414) Guido Cupani <sup>to</sup> [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6830-9093)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-6830-9093](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6830-9093) Mark Dicki[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5414-5131)son **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5414-5131)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-5414-5131](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5414-5131)** Alexei V. Filippenk[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-0103) **to** [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-0103)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-3460-0103](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3460-0103) Karl Glazebrook **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3254-9044)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-3254-9044](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3254-9044)** Claudio Grill[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5926-7143) [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5926-7143)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-5926-7143](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5926-7143) Patrick L. Kelly <sup>th</sup> [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3142-997X)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-3142-997X](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3142-997X) Matthew A. Malka[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6919-1237)  $\bullet$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6919-1237)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-6919-1237](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6919-1237) Charlotte A. Maso[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3407-1785) @ [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3407-1785)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-3407-1785](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3407-1785) T[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8512-1404)kahiro Morishita [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8512-1404)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-8512-1404](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8512-1404) Themiy[a](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648) Nanayakkara **[https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648)//orcid.org/[0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648)** [2804-0648](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2804-0648)

P[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6813-0632)ero Rosati <sup>th</sup> [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6813-0632)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-6813-0632](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6813-0632) Eleonora San[i](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3140-4070)  $\Phi$  [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3140-4070)//orcid.org/[0000-0002-3140-4070](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3140-4070) Xin Wang **the [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-3865)**//orcid.org/[0000-0002-9373-3865](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-3865) Ilsang Yoo[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9163-0064) [https:](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9163-0064)//orcid.org/[0000-0001-9163-0064](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9163-0064)

#### References

Adamo, A., Bradley, L. D., Vanzella, E., et al. 2024, arXiv[:2401.03224](http://arxiv.org/abs/2401.03224) Arrabal Haro, P., Dickinson, M., Finkelstein, S. L., et al. 2023a, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdd54), [951, L22](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...951L..22A/abstract) Arrabal Haro, P., Dickinson, M., Finkelstein, S. L., et al. 2023b, [Natur,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06521-7) [622, 707](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023Natur.622..707A/abstract) Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, [ARA&A](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.46.060407.145222), [47, 481](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ARA&A..47..481A/abstract) Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., et al. 2022, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74), [935, 167](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...935..167A/abstract) Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, [AJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018AJ....156..123A/abstract)

- [156, 123](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018AJ....156..123A/abstract) Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068) [558, A33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...558A..33A/abstract)
- Atek, H., Chemerynska, I., Wang, B., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1998) [524, 5486](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.524.5486A/abstract)
- Bakx, T. J. L. C., Zavala, J. A., Mitsuhashi, I., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3723) [519, 5076](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.519.5076B/abstract)
- Balestra, I., Vanzella, E., Rosati, P., et al. 2013, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322620), [559, L9](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...559L...9B/abstract)
- Bekki, K., & Tsujimoto, T. 2023, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slad108), [526, L26](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.526L..26B/abstract)
- Berg, D. A., Erb, D. K., Henry, R. B. C., Skillman, E. D., & McQuinn, K. B. W. 2019, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab020a) [874, 93](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...874...93B/abstract)
- Bergamini, P., Acebron, A., Grillo, C., et al. 2023, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acd643) [952, 84](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...952...84B/abstract)
- Bian, F., Kewley, L. J., & Dopita, M. A. 2018, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aabd74), [859, 175](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...859..175B/abstract)
- Bogdán, Á., Goulding, A. D., Natarajan, P., et al. 2024, [NatAs,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-02111-9) [8, 126](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024NatAs...8..126B/abstract)
- Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2022, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac4791), [927, 81](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...927...81B/abstract)
- Bouwens, R. J., Stefanon, M., Brammer, G., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1145) [523, 1036](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.523.1036B/abstract) Bowen, I. S. 1934, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/124435) [46, 146](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1934PASP...46..146B/abstract)
- Bowen, I. S. 1947, [PASP,](https://doi.org/10.1086/125951) [59, 196](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1947PASP...59..196B/abstract)
- Boyett, K., Trenti, M., Leethochawalit, N., et al. 2023, arXiv[:2303.00306](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.00306)
- Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S. 2003, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06897.x) [344, 1000](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.344.1000B/abstract)
- Bunker, A. J., Saxena, A., Cameron, A. J., et al. 2023, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346159), [677, A88](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...677A..88B/abstract)
- Bushouse, H., Eisenhamer, J., Dencheva, N., et al. 2024, JWST Calibration Pipeline, v1.13.4, Zenodo, doi:10.5281/[zenodo.10569856](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10569856)
- Calabro, A., Castellano, M., Zavala, J. A., et al. 2024, arXiv:[2403.12683](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12683)
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/308692), [533, 682](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...533..682C/abstract)
- Cameron, A. J., Katz, H., Rey, M. P., & Saxena, A. 2023a, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1579), [523, 3516](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.523.3516C/abstract)
- Cameron, A. J., Saxena, A., Bunker, A. J., et al. 2023b, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346107), [677, A115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...677A.115C/abstract)
- Carnall, A. C., McLure, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., & Davé, R. 2018, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2169), [480, 4379](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.480.4379C/abstract)
- Carnall, A. C., McLure, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., et al. 2019, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2544), [490, 417](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.490..417C/abstract)
- Castellano, M., Belfiori, D., Pentericci, L., et al. 2023b, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346069) [675, A121](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...675A.121C/abstract)
- Castellano, M., Fontana, A., Treu, T., et al. 2022a, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac94d0), [938, L15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...938L..15C/abstract)
- Castellano, M., Fontana, A., Treu, T., et al. 2023a, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/accea5), [948, L14](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...948L..14C/abstract)
- Castellano, M., Pentericci, L., Cupani, G., et al. 2022b, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243348) [662, A115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...662A.115C/abstract) Chabrier, G. 2003, [PASP](https://doi.org/10.1086/376392), [115, 763](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003PASP..115..763C/abstract)
- Charbonnel, C., Schaerer, D., Prantzos, N., et al. 2023, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346410) [673, L7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...673L...7C/abstract)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Charlot, S., & Fall, S. M. 2000, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/309250), [539, 718](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...539..718C/abstract)
- Chemerynska, I., Atek, H., Furtak, L. J., et al. 2024, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae1260) [531, 2615](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.531.2615C/abstract)
- Chisholm, J., Prochaska, J. X., Schaerer, D., Gazagnes, S., & Henry, A. 2020, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2470), [498, 2554](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.498.2554C/abstract)
- Chisholm, J., Rigby, J. R., Bayliss, M., et al. 2019, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3104), [882, 182](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ApJ...882..182C/abstract)
- Cleri, N. J., Olivier, G. M., Hutchison, T. A., et al. 2023, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acde55), [953, 10](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...953...10C/abstract)
- Cupani, G., D'Odorico, V., Cristiani, S., et al. 2020, [Proc. SPIE,](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2561343) [11452,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020SPIE11452E..1UC/abstract) [114521U](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020SPIE11452E..1UC/abstract)
- Curti, M., D'Eugenio, F., Carniani, S., et al. 2023, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2737), [518, 425](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.518..425C/abstract)
- Curti, M., Maiolino, R., Curtis-Lake, E., et al. 2024, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346698) [684, A75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...684A..75C/abstract)
- Curti, M., Mannucci, F., Cresci, G., & Maiolino, R. 2020, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2910)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.491..944C/abstract) [491, 944](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.491..944C/abstract)
- Curtis-Lake, E., Carniani, S., Cameron, A., et al. 2023, [NatAs,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-023-01918-w) [7, 622](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023NatAs...7..622C/abstract)
- D'Antona, F., Vesperini, E., Calura, F., et al. 2023, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348240), [680, L19](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...680L..19D/abstract) Deguchi, S. 1985, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/163090) [291, 492](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1985ApJ...291..492D/abstract)
- 
- D'Eugenio, F., Maiolino, R., Carniani, S., et al. 2023, arXiv:[2311.09908](http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.09908)
- Developers, J., Averbukh, J., Bradley, L., et al. 2023, Jdaviz, v3.2.0, Zenodo, doi:10.5281/[zenodo.7504710](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7504710)
- Djeniže, S., Bukvić, S., & Srećković, A. 2003, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031359), [411, 637](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003A&A...411..637D/abstract)
- Donnan, C. T., McLeod, D. J., McLure, R. J., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad471) [520, 4554](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.520.4554D/abstract) Feltre, A., Charlot, S., & Gutkin, J. 2016, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2794) [456, 3354](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016MNRAS.456.3354F/abstract)
- Ferland, G. J., Porter, R. L., van Hoof, P. A. M., et al. 2013, RMxAA, [49, 137](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013RMxAA..49..137F/abstract) Ferrara, A. 2024, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348321) [684, A207](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...684A.207F/abstract)
- Ferrara, A., Pallottini, A., & Dayal, P. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1095) [522, 3986](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.522.3986F/abstract)
- Finkelstein, S. L., Bagley, M. B., Arrabal Haro, P., et al. 2022, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac966e), [940, L55](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...940L..55F/abstract)
- Finkelstein, S. L., Bagley, M. B., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acade4) [946, L13](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...946L..13F/abstract)
- Finkelstein, S. L., Leung, G. C. K., Bagley, M. B., et al. 2024, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad4495) [969, L2](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...969L...2F/abstract)
- Fiore, F., Ferrara, A., Bischetti, M., Feruglio, C., & Travascio, A. 2023, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acb5f2)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...943L..27F/abstract) [943, L27](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...943L..27F/abstract)
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, [PASP](https://doi.org/10.1086/670067)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PASP..125..306F/abstract) [125, 306](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013PASP..125..306F/abstract)
- Fujimoto, S., Wang, B., Weaver, J., et al. 2023, arXiv[:2308.11609](http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.11609)
- Garofali, K., Basu-Zych, A. R., Johnson, B. D., et al. 2024, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad0a6a) [960, 13](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...960...13G/abstract)
- Gilli, R., Vignali, C., Mignoli, M., et al. 2010, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014039) [519, A92](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...519A..92G/abstract)
- Goulding, A. D., Greene, J. E., Setton, D. J., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acf7c5) [955, L24](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...955L..24G/abstract)
- Gutkin, J., Charlot, S., & Bruzual, G. 2016, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1716), [462, 1757](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016MNRAS.462.1757G/abstract)
- Harikane, Y., Nakajima, K., Ouchi, M., et al. 2024, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad0b7e), [960, 56](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...960...56H/abstract)
- Harikane, Y., Ouchi, M., Oguri, M., et al. 2023, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acaaa9) [265, 5](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJS..265....5H/abstract)
- Harrington, J. P. 1972, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/151615), [176, 127](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1972ApJ...176..127H/abstract)
- Haslbauer, M., Kroupa, P., Zonoozi, A. H., & Haghi, H. 2022, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac9a50), [939, L31](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...939L..31H/abstract)
- Heintz, K. E., Brammer, G. B., Watson, D., et al. 2024, arXiv:[2404.02211](http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02211)
- Hirschmann, M., Charlot, S., Feltre, A., et al. 2019, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1256) [487, 333](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.487..333H/abstract)
- Hirschmann, M., Charlot, S., Feltre, A., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2955) [526, 3610](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.526.3610H/abstract) Hunter, J. D. 2007, [CSE,](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55) [9, 90](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007CSE.....9...90H/abstract)
- Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2023a, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acf376) [956, 139](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...956..139I/abstract)
- Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Tominaga, N., et al. 2023b, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad09be) [959, 100](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...959..100I/abstract)
- Izotov, Y. I., Schaerer, D., Guseva, N. G., Thuan, T. X., & Worseck, G. 2024, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slad166), [528, L10](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.528L..10I/abstract)
- Izotov, Y. I., Schaerer, D., Worseck, G., et al. 2023, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1036), [522, 1228](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.522.1228I/abstract) Jaskot, A. E., & Ravindranath, S. 2016, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/833/2/136) [833, 136](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...833..136J/abstract)
- Jones, G. C., Bunker, A. J., Saxena, A., et al. 2024, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347099), [683, A238](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...683A.238J/abstract)
- Jones, T., Sanders, R., Chen, Y., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acd938) [951, L17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...951L..17J/abstract)
- Kewley, L. J., Nicholls, D. C., & Sutherland, R. S. 2019, [ARA&A,](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081817-051832) [57, 511](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019ARA&A..57..511K/abstract)
- Kohandel, M., Ferrara, A., Pallottini, A., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slac166) [520, L16](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.520L..16K/abstract)
- Kokorev, V., Fujimoto, S., Labbe, I., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ad037a) [957, L7](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...957L...7K/abstract)
- Kraemer, S. B., Wu, C.-C., Crenshaw, D. M., & Harrington, J. P. 1994, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/174803)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994ApJ...435..171K/abstract) [435, 171](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994ApJ...435..171K/abstract)
- Kramarenko, I. G., Kerutt, J., Verhamme, A., et al. 2024, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3853), [527, 9853](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.527.9853K/abstract) Kron, R. G. 1980, [ApJS](https://doi.org/10.1086/190669), [43, 305](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1980ApJS...43..305K/abstract)
- Langeroodi, D., & Hjorth, J. 2023, arXiv:[2307.06336](http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06336)
- Lanzuisi, G., Perna, M., Delvecchio, I., et al. 2015, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526036), [578, A120](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...578A.120L/abstract)
- Larson, R. L., Finkelstein, S. L., Kocevski, D. D., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ace619) [953, L29](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...953L..29L/abstract)
- Le Fèvre, O., Lemaux, B. C., Nakajima, K., et al. 2019, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732197) [625, A51](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...625A..51L/abstract)
- Lecroq, M., Charlot, S., Bressan, A., et al. 2024, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3838), [527, 9480](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.527.9480L/abstract)
- Lemaux, B. C., Lubin, L. M., Sawicki, M., et al. 2009, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/700/1/20) [700, 20](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...700...20L/abstract)
- Li, M., Cai, Z., Bian, F., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acf470) [955, L18](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...955L..18L/abstract)
- Liu, X.-W., & Danziger, J. 1993, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/261.3.465) [261, 465](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993MNRAS.261..465L/abstract)
- Llerena, M., Amorín, R., Cullen, F., et al. 2022, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141651), [659, A16](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...659A..16L/abstract)
- Luridiana, V., Morisset, C., & Shaw, R. A. 2012, in IAU Symp. 283, Planetary Nebulae: An Eye to the Future, ed. A. Manchado, L. Stanghellini, & D. Schönberner (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), [422](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012IAUS..283..422L/abstract)
- Luridiana, V., Morisset, C., & Shaw, R. A. 2015, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201323152), [573, A42](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...573A..42L/abstract)
- Mainali, R., Kollmeier, J. A., Stark, D. P., et al. 2017, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/836/1/L14), [836, L14](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...836L..14M/abstract)
- Maiolino, R., Nagao, T., Grazian, A., et al. 2008, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809678) [488, 463](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...488..463M/abstract)
- Maiolino, R., Scholtz, J., Curtis-Lake, E., et al. 2023, arXiv:[2308.01230](http://arxiv.org/abs/2308.01230)
- Maiolino, R., Scholtz, J., Witstok, J., et al. 2024, [Natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07052-5), [627, 59](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024Natur.627...59M/abstract)
- Makrygianni, L., Trakhtenbrot, B., Arcavi, I., et al. 2023, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ace1ee) [953, 32](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...953...32M/abstract)
- Malkan, M. A. 1986, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/164718), [310, 679](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986ApJ...310..679M/abstract)
- Mannucci, F., Cresci, G., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., & Gnerucci, A. 2010, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17291.x), [408, 2115](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010MNRAS.408.2115M/abstract)
- Marques-Chaves, R., Schaerer, D., Kuruvanthodi, A., et al. 2024, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347411) [681, A30](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...681A..30M/abstract)
- Mascia, S., Pentericci, L., Saxena, A., et al. 2023, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202245152), [674, A221](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...674A.221M/abstract)
- Mason, C. A., Trenti, M., & Treu, T. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad035) [521, 497](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.521..497M/abstract)
- McLeod, D. J., Donnan, C. T., McLure, R. J., et al. 2024, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3471) [527, 5004](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.527.5004M/abstract) Melia, F. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slad025) [521, L85](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.521L..85M/abstract)
- Merlin, E., Bonchi, A., Paris, D., et al. 2022, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8f93) [938, L14](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...938L..14M/abstract)
- Merlin, E., Bourne, N., Castellano, M., et al. 2016, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628751), [595, A97](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016A&A...595A..97M/abstract)
- Merlin, E., Fontana, A., Ferguson, H. C., et al. 2015, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526471), [582, A15](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015A&A...582A..15M/abstract)
- Merlin, E., Fortuni, F., Torelli, M., et al. 2019, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2615), [490, 3309](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019MNRAS.490.3309M/abstract)
- Mignoli, M., Feltre, A., Bongiorno, A., et al. 2019, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935062) [626, A9](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...626A...9M/abstract)
- Mignoli, M., Vignali, C., Gilli, R., et al. 2013, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220846) [556, A29](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013A&A...556A..29M/abstract)
- Mingozzi, M., James, B. L., Arellano-Córdova, K. Z., et al. 2022, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac952c) [939, 110](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...939..110M/abstract)
- Mingozzi, M., James, B. L., Berg, D. A., et al. 2024, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad1033) [962, 95](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...962...95M/abstract)
- Modigliani, A., Goldoni, P., Royer, F., et al. 2010, [Proc. SPIE](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.857211), [7737, 773728](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010SPIE.7737E..28M/abstract)
- Mowla, L., Iyer, K., Asada, Y., et al. 2024, arXiv[:2402.08696](http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.08696)
- Naidu, R. P., Oesch, P. A., van Dokkum, P., et al. 2022, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac9b22), [940, L14](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...940L..14N/abstract)
- Nakajima, K., & Maiolino, R. 2022, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1242) [513, 5134](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.513.5134N/abstract)
- Nakajima, K., Ouchi, M., Isobe, Y., et al. 2023, [ApJS,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/acd556) [269, 33](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJS..269...33N/abstract)
- Nakajima, K., Schaerer, D., Le Fèvre, O., et al. 2018, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731935) [612, A94](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018A&A...612A..94N/abstract)
- Nanayakkara, T., Brinchmann, J., Boogaard, L., et al. 2019, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834565) [624, A89](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...624A..89N/abstract)
- Napolitano, L., Pentericci, L., Santini, P., et al. 2024, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449644), [688, A106](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...688A.106N/abstract)
- Netzer, H., Elitzur, M., & Ferland, G. J. 1985, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/163741) [299, 752](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1985ApJ...299..752N/abstract)
- Oesch, P. A., Brammer, G., van Dokkum, P. G., et al. 2016, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/129), [819, 129](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2016ApJ...819..129O/abstract)
- Oke, J. B., & Gunn, J. E. 1983, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/160817), [266, 713](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1983ApJ...266..713O/abstract)
- Olivier, G. M., Berg, D. A., Chisholm, J., et al. 2022, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac8f2c) [938, 16](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...938...16O/abstract)
- Ono, Y., Harikane, Y., Ouchi, M., et al. 2023, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acd44a), [951, 72](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...951...72O/abstract)
- Oskinova, L. M., & Schaerer, D. 2022, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142520) [661, A67](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...661A..67O/abstract)
- Padmanabhan, H., & Loeb, A. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acea7a) [953, L4](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...953L...4P/abstract)

[373, 633](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991ApJ...373..633S/abstract)

[470, 3532](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.470.3532V/abstract)

[520, 2445](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.520.2445Z/abstract)

15

Vink, J. S. 2023, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202347827), [679, L9](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023A&A...679L...9V/abstract)

Seaton, M. J. 1978, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/185.1.5P), [185, 5P](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1978MNRAS.185P...5S/abstract)

- Paris, D., Merlin, E., Fontana, A., et al. 2023, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acda8a) [952, 20](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...952...20P/abstract)
- Pascale, M., Dai, L., McKee, C. F., & Tsang, B. T. H. 2023, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acf75c), [957, 77](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...957...77P/abstract)
- Pereira, C. B., de Araújo, F. X., & Landaberry, S. J. C. 1999, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02934.x), [309, 1074](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999MNRAS.309.1074P/abstract)
- Pérez-González, P. G., Costantin, L., Langeroodi, D., et al. 2023, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acd9d0), [951, L1](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...951L...1P/abstract)

Schachter, J., Filippenko, A. V., Kahn, S. M., & Paerels, F. B. S. 1991, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.1086/170083)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991ApJ...373..633S/abstract)

- Roberts-Borsani, G., Treu, T., Chen, W., et al. 2023, [Natur](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-05994-w), [618, 480](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023Natur.618..480R/abstract)
- Roberts-Borsani, G., Treu, T., Shapley, A., et al. 2024, arXiv[:2403.07103](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.07103)
- Santini, P., Fontana, A., Castellano, M., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac9586) [942, L27](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...942L..27S/abstract) Saxena, A., Pentericci, L., Mirabelli, M., et al. 2020, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937170) [636, A47](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020A&A...636A..47S/abstract)

Schachter, J., Filippenko, A. V., & Kahn, S. M. 1989, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/167457) [340, 1049](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989ApJ...340.1049S/abstract) Schachter, J., Filippenko, A. V., & Kahn, S. M. 1990, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.1086/169244) [362, 74](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1990ApJ...362...74S/abstract) Schaerer, D., Fragos, T., & Izotov, Y. I. 2019, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935005), [622, L10](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...622L..10S/abstract) Schaerer, D., Izotov, Y. I., Worseck, G., et al. 2022a, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243149), [658, L11](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...658L..11S/abstract) Schaerer, D., Marques-Chaves, R., Barrufet, L., et al. 2022b, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244556) [665, L4](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...665L...4S/abstract) Schmidt, K. B., Huang, K. H., Treu, T., et al. 2017, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa68a3) [839, 17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ApJ...839...17S/abstract) Schmidt, M., Hasinger, G., Gunn, J., et al. 1998, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/9709144) [329, 495](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1998A&A...329..495S/abstract) Scholtz, J., Maiolino, R., D'Eugenio, F., et al. 2023, arXiv[:2311.18731](http://arxiv.org/abs/2311.18731)

Selvelli, P., Danziger, J., & Bonifacio, P. 2007, [A&A,](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066175) [464, 715](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007A&A...464..715S/abstract)

Tang, M., Stark, D. P., Chen, Z., et al. 2023, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad2763), [526, 1657](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.526.1657T/abstract)

Trakhtenbrot, B., Arcavi, I., Ricci, C., et al. 2019, [NatAs,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0661-3) [3, 242](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019NatAs...3..242T/abstract) Treu, T., Roberts-Borsani, G., Bradac, M., et al. 2022, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac8158), [935, 110](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...935..110T/abstract) Trinca, A., Schneider, R., Valiante, R., et al. 2024, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae651), [529, 3563](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.529.3563T/abstract) Trump, J. R., Arrabal Haro, P., Simons, R. C., et al. 2023, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acba8a), [945, 35](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...945...35T/abstract) Upadhyaya, A., Marques-Chaves, R., Schaerer, D., et al. 2024, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449184), [686, A185](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024A&A...686A.185U/abstract) Vanzella, E., Caminha, G. B., Calura, F., et al. 2020, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2286) [491, 1093](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.491.1093V/abstract) Vanzella, E., Castellano, M., Bergamini, P., et al. 2022, [A&A](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141590), [659, A2](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022A&A...659A...2V/abstract) Vanzella, E., Claeyssens, A., Welch, B., et al. 2023, [ApJ](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acb59a), [945, 53](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...945...53V/abstract)

Wang, B., Fujimoto, S., Labbé, I., et al. 2023, [ApJL,](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acfe07) [957, L34](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023ApJ...957L..34W/abstract) Watanabe, K., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2024, [ApJ,](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad13ff) [962, 50](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024ApJ...962...50W/abstract) Yang, L., Morishita, T., Leethochawalit, N., et al. 2022, [ApJL](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8803), [938, L17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022ApJ...938L..17Y/abstract) Zavala, J. A., Castellano, M., Akins, H. B., et al. 2024, arXiv:[2403.10491](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10491) Ziparo, F., Ferrara, A., Sommovigo, L., & Kohandel, M. 2023, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad125)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.520.2445Z/abstract)

Senchyna, P., Plat, A., Stark, D. P., & Rudie, G. C. 2023, arXiv:[2303.04179](http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04179) Shen, Y., Richards, G. T., Strauss, M. A., et al. 2011, [ApJS](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/194/2/45), [194, 45](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJS..194...45S/abstract) Stanway, E. R., & Eldridge, J. J. 2018, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1353), [479, 75](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018MNRAS.479...75S/abstract) Stark, D. P., Walth, G., Charlot, S., et al. 2015, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1907) [454, 1393](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.454.1393S/abstract) Tacchella, S., Johnson, B. D., Robertson, B. E., et al. 2023, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1408) [522, 6236](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2023MNRAS.522.6236T/abstract)

Topping, M. W., Stark, D. P., Senchyna, P., et al. 2024, [MNRAS,](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae682) [529, 3301](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2024MNRAS.529.3301T/abstract)

Vidal-García, A., Charlot, S., Bruzual, G., & Hubeny, I. 2017, [MNRAS](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1324)[,](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017MNRAS.470.3532V/abstract)