

Actions on classifiable C*-algebras without equivariant property (SI)

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-12-23 19:53 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Gardella, E., Kranz, J., Vaccaro, A. (2024). Actions on classifiable C*-algebras without equivariant property (SI). Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 56(12): 3623-3633. http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/blms.13154

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

DOI: 10.1112/blms.13154

Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society

Actions on classifiable C*-algebras without equivariant property (SI)

Eusebio Gardella¹ | Julian Kranz² | Andrea Vaccaro³ ^(D)

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

²Data Science: Machine Learning and Data Engineering, Prof. Dr. Fabian Gieseke, Leonardo-Campus 3, Münster, Deutschland

³Mathematisches Institut, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Universität Münster, Münster, Germany

Correspondence

Andrea Vaccaro, Mathematisches Institut, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany. Email: avaccaro@uni-muenster.de

Funding information

Swedish Research Council; Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Grant/Award Number: EP/X026647/1; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant/Award Numbers: 427320536 - SFB 1442, EXC 2044 390685587; ERC, Grant/Award Number: 834267

Abstract

We exhibit examples of actions of countable discrete groups on both simple and non-simple nuclear stably finite C*-algebras that are tracially amenable but not amenable. We furthermore obtain that, under the additional assumption of strict comparison, amenability is equivalent to tracial amenability plus the equivariant analogue of Matui–Sato's property (SI). By virtue of this equivalence, our construction yields the first known examples of actions on classifiable C*-algebras that do not have equivariant a over show that such actions can be chosen to absorb the trivial action on the universal UHF algebra, thus proving that equivariant \mathcal{Z} -stability does not in general imply equivariant property (SI).

MSC 2020 46L05, 37A55 (primary)

1 | INTRODUCTION

Property (SI) was isolated in [19] by Matui and Sato in the context of the Toms–Winter regularity conjecture. For nuclear C*-algebras, it is a consequence of strict comparison, and since its introduction it has proved to be a powerful tool for converting traits and features of tracial ultrapowers, or more abstractly properties involving tracial 2-norms, into attributes of C*-norm ultrapowers

Bull. London Math. Soc. 2024;56:3623-3633.

^{© 2024} The Author(s). *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* is copyright © London Mathematical Society. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

and properties expressible with the C*-norm. One of the most notorious example is Matui–Sato's work itself [19], and its later generalizations [15, 24, 33], proving that, under some assumptions on the trace space, simple separable nuclear unital infinite-dimensional C*-algebras with strict comparison are \mathcal{Z} -stable.

The techniques developed in the context of the Toms–Winter conjecture that we alluded to above were also profoundly influential in the study of actions of amenable groups on *classifiable* C*-algebras. This term denotes the class of all separable nuclear simple \mathcal{Z} -stable C*-algebras that satisfy the Universal Coefficient Theorem and which, by now, are known to be classifiable by means of *K*-theoretic and tracial invariants (see [34, 35] for an overview on the topic). For actions on such algebras, *equivariant* \mathcal{Z} -stability, namely the property of absorbing up to cocycle conjugacy the identity action on the Jiang–Su algebra \mathcal{Z} , is a powerful and desirable regularity feature that serves a purpose similar to that of \mathcal{Z} -stability in classification. Among its numerous applications, this notion has recently seen a great deal of interest due to the role it plays in establishing \mathcal{Z} -stability, and ultimately classifiability, of crossed products originating from actions on classifiable C*-algebras (see [9–11, 25, 31, 36]).

Most of the proofs that verify equivariant \mathcal{Z} -stability for actions on stably finite C*-algebras follow the same pattern, inspired by the work in [19]: First an equivariant McDuff-type condition is established in the tracial ultrapower of the algebra acted upon. Then this property is transferred to the C*-norm ultrapower, where equivariant \mathcal{Z} -stability is verified using an equivalent formulation stating the existence of an invariant copy of \mathcal{Z} in the central sequence algebra. The tool allowing the alluded transfer is an equivariant version of Matui–Sato's property (SI), implicitly appearing already in [18, 20, 25], which is now referred to as *equivariant property* (SI) ([31, Definition 2.7]; see Definition 3.1).

Equivariant property (SI) is known to automatically hold for actions of amenable groups on infinite-dimensional simple separable nuclear C*-algebras with strict comparison [31], a result which has recently been extended also to amenable actions (in the sense of [2]) of non-amenable groups [32]. We show here that non-amenable actions may fail to have equivariant property (SI), even when equivariantly \mathcal{Z} -stable.

Example A. Let F_n be the free group on $n \ge 2$ generators. There exists an action α : $F_n \to \text{Aut}(A)$ on a C*-algebra A with the following properties:

- (1) A is a unital simple AF-algebra,
- (2) α is tracially amenable but does not have the weak containment property, in particular it is not amenable,
- (3) α is conjugate to its tensor product with the identity on the universal UHF algebra. In particular, α is equivariantly \mathcal{Z} -stable.
- (4) α does not have equivariant property (SI).

The action constructed in Example A is the first known example of an equivariantly \mathcal{Z} -stable action of a discrete group on a classifiable C*-algebra without equivariant property (SI). We remark that, in the non-equivariant setting, property (SI) is an automatic consequence of \mathcal{Z} -stability, if nuclearity is assumed [19, 23]. Our Example A shows the failure of the analogous implication in the equivariant setting, answering negatively a question of Mikael Rørdam. In hindsight, this should not come as a complete surprise: As we mentioned, the arguments showing that \mathcal{Z} -stability implies property (SI) crucially rely on nuclearity. Amenability often acts as a natural counterpart

of nuclearity in the equivariant setting, so it makes sense that the lack of it constitutes an obstacle when trying to generalize this implication to actions.

As hinted by item (2), Example A originates from the comparison between the notions of *amenability* and *tracial amenability* for actions on C*-algebras. The former was introduced in [2] as a C*-algebraic adaptation of the definition of amenability on von Neumann algebras.

Definition 1.1 (Amenability). An action $\alpha : G \to \text{Aut}(A)$ of a discrete group on a C*-algebra is *amenable* if the induced action α^{**} on the double dual A^{**} is a *von Neumann amenable* in the sense of [1].

There has recently been a renewed interest in this property, leading to some significant progress in the study of C*-dynamics, mainly in the form of generalizations of techniques that, up until few years ago, were limited to actions of amenable groups; see, for instance, [6, 22] and the references therein. On the other hand, tracial amenability was defined in [9] as a tracial analogue of amenability, which is weak enough to be verified in concrete examples, yet strong enough to guarantee interesting structural properties for the crossed product (such as pure infiniteness). We recall its definition below.

Definition 1.2 (Tracial Amenability). An action $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ of a discrete group on a stably finite unital C*-algebra is said to be *tracially amenable* if the induced action α_{fin}^{**} on the *finite part* of the double dual A_{fin}^{**} is von Neumann amenable.

The main motivation for introducing this definition is that it is currently not known whether amenable actions of non-amenable groups on simple unital stably finite C*-algebras exist. Purely infinite examples have been found in [22, 26], as well as simple stably finite *non-unital* ones in [27, 29]. More recently, in [30] Suzuki also built amenable actions on simple unital C*-algebras which are neither stably finite nor purely infinite. On the other hand, in [9] it is shown that an action is tracially amenable if and only if the induced action on the trace space is topologically amenable, allowing to use techniques developed within the Elliott Classification Program to construct concrete examples.

While it is clear that amenability implies tracial amenability, in [9] it was left open whether the converse holds. The actions in Example A were originally built to show that these two conditions are different. Indeed, our construction is based on the tracially amenable actions built in [9, Example 2.11] and uses the main result of [16] to produce an approximately inner perturbation of such actions with an invariant state,[†] which thus cannot be amenable by [22, Proposition 3.5]. As pointed out to us by Damian Ferraro, having an invariant state implies furthermore the failure of the weak containment property, since this condition forces the stabilizers of states to be amenable. We recall that an action $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ has the *weak containment property* if the canonical surjection $A \rtimes_{\max} G \to A \rtimes_{\operatorname{red}} G$ is an isomorphism, and that this property is automatic for amenable actions of discrete groups by [2, Proposition 4.8].

Item (4) of Example A is a consequence of the following equivalence, showing that the difference between amenability and tracial amenability is precisely equivariant property (SI), which once again fills the gap between the tracial and the operator-norm world. We prove moreover that the discrepancy between the two properties can also be identified in the notion of *separable stability* of the fixed point algebra of the intersection of the central sequence algebra with

[†] A similar remark can also be found after [28, Lemma 2.3].

the trace kernel ideal. We defer the precise definitions to Section 2. As we mentioned previously, the implication $(1)\Rightarrow(2)$ was obtained in [32] (a partial version of this equivalence has also been independently proved in JK's PhD thesis [17]).

Theorem B. Let α : $G \rightarrow Aut(A)$ be an action of a countable discrete group G on a unital simple separable nuclear stably finite C*-algebra A with strict comparison. The following are equivalent:

- (1) α is amenable,
- (2) α is tracially amenable and has equivariant property (SI).
- (3) α is tracially amenable and $(J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ is separably stable.

We furthermore build tracially amenable actions which are not amenable in the non-simple setting, more precisely on the Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T} .

Example C. For any $n \ge 2$, there is a tracially amenable, non-amenable action $\alpha : F_n \to Aut(\mathcal{T})$ of the free group on *n* generators on the Toeplitz algebra.

As we will see, the reason why amenability fails in this case is that the action leaves the ideal of compact operators invariant.

It remains an open problem (see [9, Problem D]) to determine whether there exist amenable actions of nonamenable groups on simple unital stably finite C*-algebras. The proof of Example A shows that such actions cannot be obtained *abstractly* and directly from the classification theorem, since in this context amenability is not determined by the induced action on the Elliott invariant. To further illustrate the difficulties surrounding this problem, we show another obstacle in obtaining such actions by *explicit* constructions using amenability of the action of F_n on its Gromov boundary $X = \partial F_n$ or any other boundary action in the sense of [13, Definition 3.8].

Proposition D. Let α : $G \to Aut(A)$ be an action of a non-amenable discrete group on a unital, simple C*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $X \neq \{*\}$ be a G-boundary. Then there is no G-equivariant unital completely positive map $C(X) \to A$.

In particular, amenable actions of non-amenable groups on simple, stably finite, unital C*algebras cannot be constructed as equivariant inductive limits of the form $\varinjlim C(X) \otimes A_n$ for a *G*-boundary *X*. Since most explicit examples of amenable actions of non-amenable groups on compact spaces arise as boundary actions, this may help explain why the problem of finding such actions is so difficult to tackle at the moment.

2 | PRELIMINARIES

Fix a free ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and let *A* be a separable unital C*-algebra. The (*C**-*norm*) *ultrapower* is the C*-algebra

$$A_{\omega} = \ell^{\infty}(A) / \{(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{\infty}(A) : \lim_{n \to \infty} ||a_n|| = 0\}.$$

We identify A with the subalgebra of all (classes of) constant sequences in A_{ω} and we denote by $A_{\omega} \cap A'$ its relative commutant.

Let T(A) be the set of all tracial states of A, which we shall simply refer to as *traces*. Given a non-empty subset $X \subseteq T(A)$, we define the 2-seminorm

$$\|a\|_{2,X} = \sup_{\tau \in X} \tau(a^*a)^{1/2},$$

for all $a \in A$. A *limit trace* on A_{ω} is a trace $\tau \in T(A_{\omega})$ for which there is a sequence $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in T(A) satisfying

$$\tau((a_n)_{n\in\omega}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau_n(a_n),$$

for all $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in A_{\omega}$. We denote by $T_{\omega}(A)$ the set of limit traces on A_{ω} . The *trace kernel ideal* $J_{T(A)}$ is defined as

$$J_{T(A)} = \{ a \in A_{\omega} : \|a\|_{2, T_{\omega}(A)} = 0 \}.$$

The *tracial ultrapower* of *A* is defined to be the quotient $A^{\omega} = A_{\omega}/J_{T(A)}$. When $\|\cdot\|_{2,T(A)}$ is a norm on *A* (for instance when *A* is simple), then the map sending each element in *A* to the corresponding constant sequence into A^{ω} is an embedding. In such case, we denote by $A^{\omega} \cap A'$ the relative commutant of the image of this embedding. It is well known that the restriction of the quotient map $q: A_{\omega} \to A^{\omega}$ to $A_{\omega} \cap A'$ is surjective onto $A^{\omega} \cap A'$ (see, for instance, [15, Theorem 3.3]).

Let *G* be a countable discrete group, and fix an action α : $G \to \text{Aut}(A)$. Acting coordinate-wise, α naturally induces actions α_{ω} and α^{ω} on A_{ω} and A^{ω} , respectively. Given $B \subseteq A$, we let $B^{\alpha} = \{b \in B : \alpha_{\alpha}(b) = b \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ be the corresponding fixed point algebra.

Let \mathcal{K} denote the algebra of compact operators on ℓ^2 . A C*-algebra A is said to be *separably stable* if for every separable subalgebra $B \subseteq A$ there exists a separable C*-algebra $C \subseteq A$ containing B such that $C \otimes \mathcal{K}(\ell^2) \cong C$. In [7, Proposition 6.10], separable stability of A is proved to be equivalent to the *Hjelmborg–Rørdam criterion*, stating that if a positive contraction $e \in A_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are given, then there is a contraction $s \in A$ such that $||e - s^*s|| < \varepsilon$ and $||(s^*s)(ss^*)|| < \varepsilon$. This equivalent formulation will be used in the proof of Theorem B.

3 | RESULTS AND EXAMPLES

In this section, we will exploit different equivalent reformulations of amenability and tracial amenability to obtain our main results and examples. We refer to [22, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 4.4] and [9, Theorem B] for the complete list of such characterizations.

Theorem B asserts that, for actions on sufficiently regular C*-algebras, the only difference between amenability and its tracial counterpart is given by equivariant property (SI), whose definition we recall below.

Definition 3.1 ([25, Proposition 5.1], [31, Definition 2.7]). Let *G* be a discrete group, let *A* be a unital separable simple C*-algebra with $T(A) \neq \emptyset$, and let $\alpha : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ be an action. We say that α has *equivariant property* (*SI*) if for all positive contractions $e, f \in (A_{\omega} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ satisfying the following:

(1) *e* is *tracially small*, that is, $e \in J_{T(A)}$, and

(2) *f* is tracially supported at 1, that is,

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\|1-f^m\|_{2,T_{\omega}(A)}<1,$$

there exists a contraction $s \in (A_{\omega} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ such that $s^*s = e$ and fs = s.

Proof of Theorem B. Since all three conditions in the statement imply exactness of G, that is the existence of an amenable G-action on a compact metrizable space (see [5, Corollary 6.2] and [9, Theorem 2.5]), we may assume that G is exact.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$. The fact that all amenable actions are tracially amenable immediately follows from the definitions (see, for instance, the observation after [9, Remark 2.3]), and the main result of [32] shows that all amenable actions automatically have equivariant property (SI) (see also [17, Lemma 5.3.3] for a proof of a special case).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. We will show that $(J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ satisfies the Hjelmborg–Rørdam criterion. Once we have done this, the conclusion will follow from [7, Proposition 6.10]. Let $e \in (J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}_+$ be a non-zero positive contraction. Since $J_{T(A)}$ is an *equivariant* σ -*ideal* (see, for example, [11, Proposition 7.3]), there exists a positive contraction $x \in (J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ such that ex = e. Set f = 1 - x and note that f is orthogonal to e. Moreover, f is tracially supported at 1, hence by equivariant property (SI) there is a contraction $s \in (A_{\omega} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ such that $s^*s = e$ and fs = s, which implies $ess^* = efss^* = 0$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. By [22, Theorem 4.4] it suffices to construct, for any action $\gamma : G \rightarrow Aut(C)$ on a unital separable C*-algebra C, an equivariant unital completely positive map $\Phi : (C, \gamma) \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A', \alpha_{\omega})$.

By [9, Theorem 2.5], tracial amenability implies the existence of an equivariant unital completely positive map

$$\varphi: (C,\gamma) \to (A^{\omega} \cap A', \alpha^{\omega}).$$

By a standard argument that goes back to [14], and which we briefly spell out below for the reader's convenience, there exists an equivariant completely positive contractive map ψ : $(C, \gamma) \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A', \alpha_{\omega})$ making the following diagram commute:



Let indeed $\psi_0 : C \to A_\omega$ be a set-theoretic lift of ϕ to A_ω , and let $D \subseteq A_\omega$ be a *G*-invariant subalgebra containing $\psi_0(C)$ and *A*. Arguing as in the proof of $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$, since $J_{T(A)}$ is an equivariant σ -ideal, there is a positive contraction $b \in (J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_\omega}$ such that ba = a for all $a \in J_{T(A)} \cap D$. It is now straightforward to check that the function defined as $\psi(c) = (1 - b)\psi_0(c)$, for $c \in C$, is as desired.

Note that $e = 1 - \psi(1)$ is a positive contraction in $(J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the Hjelmborg–Rørdam criterion, there is $s \in (J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ such that $||e - s^*s|| < \varepsilon$ and $||(s^*s)(ss^*)|| < \varepsilon$. A standard saturation argument then yields $s \in (J_{T(A)} \cap A')^{\alpha_{\omega}}$ such that $e = s^*s$ and $ess^* = 0$, which by the C*-identity gives $\psi(1)s = s$.

$$\Phi(c) = \psi(c) + s^* \psi(c) s,$$

for all $c \in C$. The map Φ is completely positive, being the sum of two completely positive maps. Furthermore,

$$\Phi(1) = \psi(1) + s^* \psi(1)s = \psi(1) + s^* s = 1,$$

so Φ is unital. Finally, Φ is equivariant since *s* is *G*-invariant and ψ is equivariant. The result thus follows from [22, Theorem 4.4].

The following two examples give instances, one on a simple AF-algebra and the other on the Toeplitz algebra, of actions which are tracially amenable but not amenable. Using Theorem B, in Example A, we are able to exhibit an equivariantly \mathcal{Z} -stable action for which equivariant property (SI) fails.

Example A. Denote by *X* the Cantor set and fix a topologically amenable action $\gamma : F_n \curvearrowright X$ (let, for instance, $X = \partial F_n$ be the Gromov boundary of F_n and consider the boundary action). Using Elliott's classification of AF-algebras in [8], it is possible to construct a unital simple AF-algebra *B* whose trace space has extreme boundary $\partial_e T(B)$ homeomorphic to *X*, and an action $\beta : F_n \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(B)$ such that the induced action on $\partial_e T(B) \cong X$ is identified with γ (see [9, Example 2.11] for the details).

Fix a pure state ρ on *B* and denote by g_1, \ldots, g_n the generators of F_n . By [16, Theorem 1.1], the action of all approximately inner automorphisms of *B* on the pure state space of *B* is transitive. Thus, there are approximately inner automorphisms $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in \operatorname{Aut}(B)$ satisfying $\rho = \rho \circ \beta_{g_i} \circ \varphi_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Denote by *Q* the universal UHF algebra. Using the universal property of F_n , we define an action α of F_n on $A = B \otimes Q$ by setting

$$\alpha_{g_i} = \beta_{g_i} \circ \varphi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_Q$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Then *A* is a unital simple AF-algebra and α is conjugate to $\alpha \otimes id_Q$. As $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are approximately inner, they all act trivially on T(B). Since moreover *Q* is monotracial, it follows that the action induced by α on $\partial_e T(A) \cong \partial_e T(B) \cong X$ is conjugate to that of β , and therefore can also be identified with γ . It follows that the action on T(A) induced by α is topologically amenable, which implies that α is tracially amenable by [9, Theorem 2.5]. On the other hand, the action induced by α on the state space S(A) of *A* has a fixed point: Indeed, given any state ψ on *Q*, the state $\rho \otimes \psi$ is F_n -invariant. This means that the action on S(A) is not topologically amenable, a necessary condition for amenability of α by [22, Proposition 3.5]. Theorem B now implies that α does not have equivariant property (SI).

To see the failure of the weak containment property, let $u : F_n \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ be the universal representation of F_n . Identify $\rho \otimes \psi$ with the map sending $a \in A$ to $(\rho \otimes \psi)(a) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}(H)}$. Since $\rho \otimes \psi$ is F_n -invariant, we get that the pair $(\rho \otimes \psi, u)$ satisfies, for all $a \in A$ and $g \in F_n$, the covariance relation

$$(\rho \otimes \psi)(\alpha_a(a)) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = (\rho \otimes \psi)(a) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}(H)} = \mathbf{u}_a(\rho \otimes \psi)(a) \cdot 1_{\mathcal{B}(H)}\mathbf{u}_{a^{-1}}.$$

By [6, Lemma 5.3], we therefore get that the function

$$\begin{split} (\rho \otimes \psi) \rtimes \mathrm{u} : \ C_c(F_n, A) &\to C^*_{\max}(F_n) \\ f &\mapsto \sum_{g \in F_n} (\rho \otimes \psi)(f(g)) \mathrm{u}_g \end{split}$$

extends to a completely positive map $(\rho \otimes \psi) \rtimes u : A \rtimes_{\max} F_n \to C^*_{\max}(F_n)$. If α had the weak containment property, then $A \rtimes_{\max} F_n \cong A \rtimes_{\text{red}} F_n$, with the isomorphism being the canonical quotient map. The restriction of such map to $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n) \subseteq A \rtimes_{\text{red}} F_n$ would then be an isomorphism between $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ and $C^*_{\max}(F_n)$ extending the identity map on $C_c(F_n)$, a contradiction since F_n is not amenable.

Example C. Denote by $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ the unit circle and by $H^2 \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$ the Hardy space, that is, the Hilbert space generated by all the monomials $z^n \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ for $n \ge 0$. Let $P : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2$ be the orthogonal projection onto the Hardy space. For a function $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$, let $M_f : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to L^2(\mathbb{T})$ be the corresponding multiplication operator, and set $T_f = PM_f P \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$. The C*-algebra \mathcal{T} generated by $\{T_f : f \in C(\mathbb{T})\}$ is the Toeplitz algebra, and there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(H^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\pi} C(\mathbb{T}) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the quotient map π is determined by $\pi(T_f) = f$, for all $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$; see, for instance, [21, Theorem 3.5.11].

Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ denote the canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , and denote by $\mathbb{R}P^1$ the space of onedimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^2 . It is easy to see that the natural action of the special linear group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}P^1$ is transitive, and that the stabilizer of $\mathbb{R} \cdot e_1$ is given by the subgroup $P \subseteq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ of upper triangular matrices. Note that *P* is solvable and therefore amenable. Since F_n embeds into $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ as a discrete subgroup,[†] the restriction γ of $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}P^1$ to F_n is thus amenable by [3, Examples 2.2.18(1)]. Using that $\mathbb{R}P^1$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{T} , we obtain a topologically amenable action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{T} that restricts to a topologically amenable action of F_n on \mathbb{T} .

To each $g \in F_n$ we now associate a Toeplitz operator T_g by identifying g with an element of $C(\mathbb{T})$ via its action on $\mathbb{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Since $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is path-connected, every $g \in F_n$ acts on \mathbb{T} via a homeomorphism that is homotopic to the identity and thus with winding number 1. By the Toeplitz Index Theorem (see, for example, [12, Theorem 2.3.2]), this implies that the Toeplitz operators $T_g \in \mathcal{T}$ have Fredholm index equal to -1. By Brown–Douglas–Fillmore theory [4, Theorem 3.1], we conclude that there exist compact operators $K_1, \ldots, K_n \in \mathcal{K}(H^2)$ and unitaries $U_1, \ldots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}(H^2)$ such that

$$T_{q_i} + K_i = U_i T_z U_i^*$$

for i = 1, ..., n, where $z \in C(\mathbb{T})$ is the identity function.

Define finally an action α of F_n on \mathcal{T} by letting each generator g_i act by $\operatorname{Ad}(U_i)$. By construction, α induces the given topologically amenable action γ on the trace space $T(\mathcal{T}) \cong \operatorname{Prob}(\mathbb{T})$ and is therefore tracially amenable by [9, Theorem 2.5]. However, if α were amenable, so would be its

[†] This is standard: For example, one can use the ping-pong lemma to see that the subgroup of SL(2, \mathbb{R}) generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is isomorphic to F_2 .

restriction to the invariant ideal $\mathcal{K}(H^2)$ by [6, Proposition 3.23]. Since amenability is preserved by Morita equivalence [6, Proposition 3.20], this would imply that the trivial action $F_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}$ is amenable, which is a contradiction. We conclude that α is not amenable, as desired.

We conclude the paper with the proof of Proposition D. Given a discrete group *G*, a *G*-boundary is a compact Hausdorff space *X* along with an action $G \curvearrowright X$ which is *minimal*, meaning that all orbits are dense, and *strongly proximal*, which is the case if the weak*-closure of the orbit of every probability measure $\mu \in Prob(X)$ contains a Dirac measure.

Proof of Proposition D. Assume by contradiction that Φ exists. Let $Y \subseteq T(A)$ be a minimal closed *G*-invariant subspace and denote by ev : $A \to C(Y)$ the evaluation map, that is, $ev(a)(\tau) = \tau(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $\tau \in Y$. The composition

$$C(X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} A \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}} C(Y)$$

is a unital positive equivariant map, hence by [13, Lemma 3.10] it is a unital injective *-homomorphism and it is therefore induced by a continuous surjective map $\phi : Y \to X$.

Fix $x \in X$, a non-zero positive function $f \in C(X)$ with f(x) = 0, and $\tau \in Y$ with $\phi(\tau) = x$. Then the element (ev $\circ \Phi$)(f) $\in C(Y)$ is non-zero and satisfies

$$\tau(\Phi(f)) = (\text{ev} \circ \Phi)(f)(\tau) = f(\phi(\tau)) = 0.$$

Since *A* is simple, the trace τ is faithful. As $\Phi(f)$ is positive, we deduce from the above that $\Phi(f) = 0$, contradicting the fact that $(ev \circ \Phi)(f) \neq 0$. This contradiction shows that Φ does not exist and proves the proposition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Jamie Gabe and Stuart White for instructive feedback. We thank furthermore the anonymous referee for indicating to us the equivalence with item (3) in Theorem B. JK thanks Mikael Rørdam for valuable conversations, and AV thanks Gábor Szabó for helpful discussions. We are moreover grateful to Alcides Buss and Damian Ferraro for pointing out to us that Example A fails to satisfy the weak containment property. Some of the results in this paper have already appeared in JK's PhD thesis [17]. EG was supported by a research grant of the Swedish Research Council. JK was partially supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (Grant Ref: EP/X026647/1) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) - Project-ID 427320536 - SFB 1442, as well as by Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics-Geometry-Structure. AV was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Y S Excellence Strategy EXC 2044–390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics-Geometry-Structure, through SFB 1442, by the ERC Advanced Grant 834267 - AMAREC.

Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

JOURNAL INFORMATION

The *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* is wholly owned and managed by the London Mathematical Society, a not-for-profit Charity registered with the UK Charity Commission.

3631

ORCID

Andrea Vaccaro D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3563-9704

REFERENCES

- 1. C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, Action moyennable d'un groupe localement compact sur une algèbre de von Neumann, Math. Scand. **45** (1979), no. 2, 289–304.
- 2. C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Systèmes dynamiques non commutatifs et moyennabilité*, Math. Ann. **279** (1987), no. 2, 297–315.
- 3. C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids*, vol. 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000.
- L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas, and P. A. Fillmore, Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C*-algebras, Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory (Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., 1973), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 345, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973, pp. 58–128.
- 5. A. Buss, S. Echterhoff, and R. Willett, *Injectivity, crossed products, and amenable group actions, K-*theory in Algebra, Analysis and Topology, vol. 749, Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020, pp. 105–137.
- 6. A. Buss, S. Echterhoff, and R. Willett, *Amenability and weak containment for actions of locally compact groups on C*-algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (2022), to appear.
- 7. J. R. Carrión, J. Gabe, C. Schafhauser, A. Tikuisis, and S. White, *Classifying* *-homomorphisms I: unital simple nuclear C*-algebras, arXiv:2307.06480, 2023.
- 8. G. A. Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras, J. Algebra **38** (1976), 29–44.
- E. Gardella, S. Geffen, J. Kranz, P. Naryshkin, and A. Vaccaro, *Tracially amenable actions and purely infinite crossed products*, Math. Ann. (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-024-02833-9
- E. Gardella, S. Geffen, P. Naryshkin, and A. Vaccaro, Dynamical comparison and Z-stability for crossed products of simple C*-algebras, Adv. Math. 438 (2024), 109471.
- E. Gardella, I. Hirshberg, and A. Vaccaro, Strongly outer actions of amenable groups on Z-stable nuclear C*algebras, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 162 (2022), 76–123.
- 12. N. Higson and J. Roe, *Analytic K-homology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- M. Kalantar and M. Kennedy, Boundaries of reduced C*-algebras of discrete groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 727 (2017), 247–267.
- 14. E. Kirchberg, *Central sequences in C*-algebras and strongly purely infinite algebras*, Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium, vol. 1, 2004, 175–231, Abel Symp., 1, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, Central sequence C*-algebras and tensorial absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra, J. Reine Angew. Math. 695 (2014), 175–214.
- A. Kishimoto, N. Ozawa, and S. Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space of a separable C*-algebra*, Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (2003), no. 3, 365–372.
- 17. J. Kranz, Amenable actions on C*-algebras, Ph.D. thesis, University of Münster, 2023.
- H. Matui and Y. Sato, Z-stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions, Comm. Math. Phys. 314 (2012), no. 1, 193–228.
- H. Matui and Y. Sato, Strict comparison and Z-absorption of nuclear C*-algebras, Acta Math. 209 (2012), no. 1, 179–196.
- H. Matui and Y. Sato, Z-stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions II, Amer. J. Math. 136 (2014), no. 6, 1441–1496.
- 21. G. J. Murphy, C*-algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- N. Ozawa and Y. Suzuki, On characterizations of amenable C*-dynamical systems and new examples, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 27 (2021), no. 5, Paper No. 92, 29.

- 3633
- M. Rørdam, The stable and the real rank of Z-absorbing C*-algebras, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 10, 1065– 1084.
- Y. Sato, Trace spaces of simple nuclear C*-algebras with finite-dimensional extreme boundary, arXiv:1209.3000, 2012.
- Y. Sato, Actions of amenable groups and crossed products of Z-absorbing C*-algebras, Operator algebras and mathematical physics, vol. 80, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Math. Soc., Japan, Tokyo, 2019, pp. 189–210.
- Y. Suzuki, Simple equivariant C*-algebras whose full and reduced crossed products coincide, J. Noncommut. Geom. 13 (2019), no. 4, 1577–1585.
- 27. Y. Suzuki, *Every countable group admits amenable actions on stably finite simple C*-algebras*, Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- 28. Y. Suzuki, Non-amenable tight squeezes by Kirchberg algebras, Math. Ann. 382 (2022), no. 1-2, 631-653.
- 29. Y. Suzuki, Amenable actions on finite simple C*-algebras arising from flows on Pimsner algebras, Münst. J. Math., special issue in honour of Eberhard Kirchberg, to appear.
- 30. Y. Suzuki, Amenable actions on ill-behaved simple C*-algebras, arXiv:2405.10191, 2024.
- 31. G. Szabó, Equivariant property (SI) revisited, Anal. PDE 14 (2021), no. 4, 1199-1232.
- 32. G. Szabó, *Equivariant property (SI) revisited*, *II*, Münst. J. Math., special issue in honour of Eberhard Kirchberg, to appear.
- A. S. Toms, S. White, and W. Winter, *Z-Stability and finite-dimensional tracial boundaries*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2015 (2014), no. 10, 2702–2727, 02.
- 34. S. White, *Abstract classification theorems for amenable C*-algebras*, Proceedings of the ICM, 2022, vol. 4, EMS Press, Berlin, 2023, pp. 3314–3338.
- 35. W. Winter, *Structure of nuclear C*-algebras: from quasidiagonality to classification and back again*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018, vol. 2, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, pp. 1801–1823.
- 36. L. Wouters, Equivariant Z-stability for single automorphisms on simple C*-algebras with tractable trace simplices, Math. Z. 304 (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 22, 36.