

Mass determination of two Jupiter-sized planets orbiting slightly evolved stars: TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2024-11-08 13:23 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Carleo, I., Barragán, O., Persson, C. et al (2024). Mass determination of two Jupiter-sized planets orbiting slightly evolved stars: TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b. Astronomy and Astrophysics, 690. http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Mass determination of two Jupiter-sized planets orbiting slightly evolved stars: TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b

Ilaria Carleo^{[1](#page-12-0)[,2](#page-12-1)[,3,](#page-12-2)}∗D[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0810-3747) Oscar Barrágan^{[4](#page-12-3)}, Carina M. Persson^{[5](#page-12-4)}D, Malcolm Fridlund^{[5,](#page-12-4)[6](#page-12-5)}, Kristine W. F. Lam^{[7](#page-12-6)}, Sergio Messina^{[8](#page-12-7)}, Davide Gandolfi^{[9](#page-12-8)}[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2386-4341) Alexis M. S. Smith^{[7](#page-12-6)}[®], Marshall C. Johnson^{[10](#page-12-9)}, William Cochran^{[17](#page-12-10)}, Hannah L. M. Osborne^{[11,](#page-12-11)[12](#page-12-12)}[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4143-4767) Rafael Brahm^{[13,](#page-12-13)[14](#page-12-14)}, David R. Ciardi^{[15](#page-12-15)}, Karen A. Collins^{[16](#page-12-16)}, Mark E. Everett^{[18](#page-12-17)}, Steven Giacalone^{[19](#page-12-18)}®[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1623-5352) Eike W. Guenther^{[20](#page-12-19)}®, Artie Hatzes²⁰®, Coel Hellier^{[21](#page-12-20)}®, Jonathan Horner^{[26](#page-12-21)}®, Petr Kabáth^{[22](#page-12-22)}®, Judith Korth^{[23](#page-12-23)}, Phillip MacQueen^{[17](#page-12-10)}, Thomas Masseron^{[2,](#page-12-1)[3](#page-12-2)}⁰[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7031-7754) Felipe Murgas^{[2](#page-12-1)[,3](#page-12-2)}, Grzegorz Nowak^{[24,](#page-12-24)2,3}⁰, Joseph E. Rodriguez^{[25](#page-12-25)}, Cristilyn N. Watkins^{[16](#page-12-16)}, Rob Wittenmyer^{[26](#page-12-21)}, George Zhou²⁶, Carl Ziegler^{[27](#page-12-26)}, Allyson Bieryla¹⁶, Patricia T. Boyd^{[28](#page-12-27)}, Catherine A. Clark^{[29,](#page-12-28)[15](#page-12-15)}⁰[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2361-5812) Courtney D. Dressing^{[30](#page-12-29)}, Jason D. Eastman^{[16](#page-12-16)}, Jan Eberhardt^{[31](#page-12-30)}, Michael Endl^{[17](#page-12-10)}, Nestor Espinoza^{[32](#page-12-31)}, Michael Fausnaugh^{[33,](#page-12-32)[34](#page-12-33)} \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9113-7162) Natalia M. Guerrero^{34[,35](#page-12-34)}, Thomas Henning^{[31](#page-12-30)}, Katharine Hesse^{[34](#page-12-33)}[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5945-7975) Melissa J. Hobson^{[36](#page-12-35)}[®], Steve B. Howell^{[37](#page-12-36)}, Andrés Jordán^{[13,](#page-12-13)[14](#page-12-14)}, David W. Latham^{[16](#page-12-16)}, Michael B. Lund^{[15](#page-12-15)}, Ismael Mireles^{[38](#page-12-37)}, Norio Narita^{[39,](#page-12-38)[40](#page-12-39)[,2](#page-12-1)}⁰[,](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-6344-9589) Marcelo Tala Pinto^{[13](#page-12-13)[,14](#page-12-14)}, Teznie Pugh^{[17](#page-12-10)}⁰, Samuel N. Quinn^{[16](#page-12-16)}, George Ricker^{[34](#page-12-33)}, David R. Rodriguez^{[32](#page-12-31)}[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1286-5231) Felipe I. Rojas^{[41](#page-12-40)[,14](#page-12-14)}, Mark E. Rose^{[37](#page-12-36)}, Alexander Rudat^{[34](#page-12-33)}, Paula Sarkis^{[31](#page-12-30)}, Arjun B. Savel^{[42](#page-12-41)}, Martin Schlecker^{[43](#page-12-42)}, Richard P. Schwarz^{[16](#page-12-16)}[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8227-1020) Sara Seager ^{[34,](#page-12-33)[44](#page-12-43)[,45](#page-12-44)} , Avi Shporer^{[34](#page-12-33)}, Jeffrey C. Smith^{[46](#page-12-45)}, Keivan G. Stassun^{[47](#page-12-46)} [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2163-1437) Chris Stockdale^{[48](#page-12-47)} , Trifon Trifonov^{[31](#page-12-30)[,49,](#page-12-48)[50](#page-12-49)}, Roland Vanderspek^{[34](#page-12-33)}, Joshua N. Winn^{[52](#page-12-50)}, and Duncan Wright^{[26](#page-12-21)}

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 30 June 2024 / Accepted 8 August 2024

ABSTRACT

Context. Hot and warm Jupiters might have undergone the same formation and evolution path, but the two populations exhibit different distributions of orbital parameters. This challenges our understanding of their actual origin.

Aims. We report the results of our warm Jupiters survey, which was carried out with the CHIRON spectrograph within the KESPRINT collaboration. We addressed the question of the population origin by studying two planets that might help to bridge the gap between the two populations.

Methods. We confirm two planets and determine their mass. One is a hot Jupiter (with an orbital period shorter than 10 days), TOI-2420 b, and the other is a warm Jupiter, TOI-2485 b. We analyzed them using a wide variety of spectral and photometric data in order to characterize these planetary systems.

Results. We found that TOI-2420 b has an orbital period of P_b =5.8 days, a mass of M_b =0.9 M_J , and a radius of R_b =1.3 R_J , with a planetary density of 0.477 g cm⁻³. TOI-2485 b has an orbital period of P_b =11.2 days, a mass of M_b =2.4 M_J , and a radius of R_b =1.1 R_J with a density of 2.36 $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

Conclusions. With the current parameters, the migration history for TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b is unclear: Scenarios of a higheccentricity migration cannot be ruled out, and the characteristics of TOI-2485 b even support this scenario.

Key words. planets and satellites: detection – planets and satellites: gaseous planets

1. Introduction

Almost 30 years after the discovery of the first hot Jupiter (HJ), 51 Peg b [\(Mayor & Queloz](#page-11-0) [1995\)](#page-11-0), the formation and migration history of close-in giant planets is still debated. The orbit of 51 Peg b was a great surprise to astronomers at the time (see e.g. [Guillot et al.](#page-11-1) [1996,](#page-11-1) who state that the newly discovered planet 'is surely the most problematic find in recent memory'). A planet with a mass comparable to that of Jupiter that moved on an orbit extremely close to its host star contradicted the prevailing understanding of planet formation at the time, which was based solely on our knowledge of the Solar System^{[1](#page-1-1)}.

The two populations could have originated through in situ formation [\(Boss](#page-11-4) [1997\)](#page-11-4), disk migration [\(Lin & Papaloizou](#page-11-5) [1986\)](#page-11-5), or high-eccentricity migration [\(Wu & Murray](#page-12-51) [2003\)](#page-12-51). However,

which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
This article is published in open access under the [Subscribe to Open model.](https://www.aanda.org/subscribe-to-open-faqs) [Subscribe to A&A](mailto:subscribers@edpsciences.org) to su

The planet 51 Peg b was the first of a population that quickly became known as hot Jupiters (e.g. [Schilling](#page-11-2) [1996\)](#page-11-2). They are giant planets orbiting their host stars with periods shorter than about 10 days. In the years that followed, more exoplanets were discovered, and a number of warm Jupiters (WJs) were also found. These are giant planets with orbital periods between 10 and 200 days (e.g., [Dawson & Johnson](#page-11-3) [2018\)](#page-11-3). This is again dramatically different to the planets in our own Solar System. The origin of both HJs and WJs has been heavily debated.

[⋆] Corresponding author; ilaria.carleo@inaf.it

¹ For a detailed overview of our knowledge of the Solar System and a discussion of how it has influenced our understanding and knowledge of

planet formation, we refer to [Horner et al.](#page-11-6) [\(2020\)](#page-11-6) and references therein; the review by [Lissauer](#page-11-7) [\(1993\)](#page-11-7) describes our understanding of planet formation in the years before the dawn of the exoplanet era.

the two populations present differences in some of their properties that we list below.

a) The occurrence rate of WJs per log interval of period is lower than that for HJs (see the histogram in Fig. 4 of Dawson $\&$ [Johnson](#page-11-3) [2018\)](#page-11-3), but the total occurrence rate of WJs is higher (i.e., [Wittenmyer et al.](#page-12-52) [2010;](#page-12-52) [Zink & Howard](#page-12-53) [2023\)](#page-12-53).

b) Most HJs present low eccentricities, but WJs present a wide range of eccentricities (i.e., [Correia et al.](#page-11-8) [2020;](#page-11-8) [Zink & Howard](#page-12-53) [2023\)](#page-12-53);

c) Hot Jupiters generally lack nearby companions, but super-Earths have been found nearby WJs [\(Huang et al.](#page-11-9) [2016\)](#page-11-9), even though recent studies have demonstrated that a fraction of the HJs $\geq 12\pm6\%$ have nearby small (1–4 R_{\oplus}) companions [\(Wu](#page-12-54) [et al.](#page-12-54) [2023\)](#page-12-54) and ∼30% of the HJs have at least one warm- or cold-Jupiter companion [\(Zink & Howard](#page-12-53) [2023\)](#page-12-53).

These differences are likely related to the formation site and migration history of the planets involved. For example, disk migration is thought to be the primary mechanism that produces HJs, but cannot explain the wide eccentricity distribution of WJs. On the other hand, WJs might have experienced higheccentricity tidal migration, but this mechanism is more efficient for closer WJs because the tidal dissipation strongly depends on the semimajor axis. It is thus important to study HJs and WJs and assess the relative effectiveness of the different formation scenarios proposed for these planets (for a more comprehensive overview of the different theories of the formation and evolution of close-in giant planets as well as of the similarities and dissimilarities of HJs and WJs, see Sect. 4.3 in [Dawson & Johnson](#page-11-3) [2018\)](#page-11-3).

In the past few years, the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; [Ricker et al.](#page-11-10) [2014\)](#page-11-10) released data for thousands of planetary candidates, and the exoplanetary community have put substantial effort into the radial velocity (RV) follow-up with ground-based spectrographs in order to confirm the planetary nature and determine the mass of the candidates. With this effort, many HJs and WJs have been confirmed (144 in total), allowing us to greatly improve the statistical significance of our sample and thus to improve our understanding of the difference between these two populations.

In this paper, we present the mass determination of two close-in giant planets, one HJ, TOI-2420 b, and one WJ, TOI-2485 b. We present the observations of the two targets, including TESS photometry, ground-based photometry, and spectroscopy, in Section [2](#page-2-0) and the stellar characterization in Section [3.](#page-5-0) We model the planetary systems with the transit and RV joint fit by analyzing the photometry together with the transit fit and RV modeling in Section [4.](#page-6-0) Finally, we discuss our results and present our conclusions in Section [5.](#page-8-0)

2. Observations

2.1. TESS photometry

TOI-2420 (TIC 268532343, Table [1\)](#page-6-1) was observed by TESS between 2018 September 20 and 2019 January 24 in sector 3 on CCD1 of Camera 1 and between 2020 September 23 and 2020 November 20 in sector 30 on CCD1 of Camera 1. The alert went out on 2020 November 25. TOI-2485 (TIC 328934463, Table [1\)](#page-6-1) was observed between 2020 March 19 and 2020 May 04 in sector 23 on CCD4 of Camera 2 and between 2022 March 26 and 2022 May 11 in sector 50 on CCD3 of Camera 2. The alert went out on 2021 February 11. The data taken in each sector were observed with cadences of 30 min, 10 min, 30 min, and 2 min, respectively. The data were reduced with both the MIT

Quick-Look Pipeline (QLP; [Huang et al.](#page-11-11) [2020;](#page-11-11) [Kunimoto et al.](#page-11-12) [2021\)](#page-11-12) and the pipeline of the TESS Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC; [Jenkins et al.](#page-11-13) [2010\)](#page-11-13). The SPOC pipeline was adapted from the Kepler mission pipeline at NASA Ames Research Center. The pipeline uses simple-aperture photometry (SAP; [Twicken et al.](#page-12-55) [2010\)](#page-12-55) to produce time-series light curves. A further presearch data conditioning (PDCSAP) algorithm was subsequently used to correct for common instrumental systematics in the data [\(Stumpe et al.](#page-11-14) [2012;](#page-11-14) [Smith et al.](#page-11-15) [2012\)](#page-11-15). For the sector 50 short-cadence data of TOI-2485, we downloaded the SPOC light curve from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes ($MAST²$ $MAST²$ $MAST²$). For the other data, we downloaded light curves extracted from the TESS-SPOC pipeline [\(Caldwell et al.](#page-11-16) [2020\)](#page-11-16), which followed the same reduction routines as SPOC, but were processed from TESS full-frame images.

Transit searches and signal assessments were performed with both the SPOC and the QLP pipelines. The light curves were further analyzed using the transit-search algorithm, DST (Détection Spécialisée de Transits; [Cabrera et al.](#page-11-17) [2012\)](#page-11-17). In the QLP and DST pipelines, a transit signal was detected in the TOI-2420 data with a period $P = 5.84115 \pm 0.00257$ days, an epoch $T_{0,\text{BTJD}} = 1388.41352 \pm 0.00280$ (where BTJD is defined as BJD-7000), a transit duration $T_{14} = 4.33 \pm 0.16$ h, and a transit depth $df = 0.3023 \pm 0.0185\%$. In the TOI-2485 light curves, a transit signal with $P = 11.23702 \pm 0.00654$ days, $T_{0,\text{BTJD}} = 1939.78211 \pm 0.00327, T_{14} = 6.94 \pm 0.19 \text{ h, and } df =$ $0.5328 \pm 0.0231\%$ was detected.

We iteratively searched for further transit signatures in both datasets after transit signals of the first planet candidates were filtered out. No additional transiting candidates were detected in either system. No clear periodic variability was found in the TESS light curves either.

2.2. Ground-based photometry

The TESS pixel scale is ~21″ pixel⁻¹, and photometric apertures typically extend out to roughly one arcminute, which generally causes multiple stars to blend in the TESS photometric aperture. To attempt to determine the true source of the TESS detection, we acquired ground-based time-series follow-up photometry of the fields around TOI-2420 and TOI-2485 as part of the TESS Follow-up Observing Program (TFOP; [Collins](#page-11-18) [2019\)](#page-11-18)^{[3](#page-2-2)}. We used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customized version of the Tapir software package [\(Jensen](#page-11-19) [2013\)](#page-11-19), to schedule our transit observations.

2.2.1. WASP

The Wide-Angle Search for Planets (WASP-South) was the southern station of the WASP transit-search survey [\(Pollacco](#page-11-20) [et al.](#page-11-20) [2006\)](#page-11-20) and consisted of an array of eight wide-field cameras that observed the fields with a typical cadence of 10 min. The field of TOI-2420 was observed over spans of 160 to 180 nights in each year from 2006 to 2011. In all, 21 150 photometric observations were obtained, using an extraction aperture of 48′′ , within which TOI-2420 was the only bright star.

While TOI-2420b was not a WASP candidate with hindsight, we note that the standard WASP transit-search algorithm finds the 0.3% deep transit and reports an ephemeris of

 $TDB(JD) = 2454432.934 \pm 0.012 + N \times 5.84265 \pm 0.00014.$

² <https://mast.stsci.edu/>

³ <https://tess.mit.edu/followup>

Fig. 1. GLS periodogram of the WASP-South data for TOI-2420 from 2006 to 2011. There is a possible signal near 40 days, along with aliases from the yearly sampling. The dotted horizontal line is the 1% likelihood false-alarm level.

We also searched the WASP light curve for any rotational modulation. We computed the generalized Lomb–Scargle (GLS) periodograms [\(Zechmeister & Kürster](#page-12-56) [2009\)](#page-12-56) and estimated the false-alarm probability (FAP) via a bootstrap method [\(Murdoch](#page-11-21) [et al.](#page-11-21) [1993;](#page-11-21) [Hatzes](#page-11-22) [2016\)](#page-11-22) that generated 1000 artificial photometric datasets obtained from the real data by making random permutations in the photometry values. We found the maximum period at \sim 36 days with an FAP lower than 10⁻⁶ (see Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0).

2.2.2. Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope

We observed a partial transit window of the planet candidate TOI-2420.01 in Sloan *i* ′ on UTC 2020 December 11 from the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) [\(Brown et al.](#page-11-23) [2013\)](#page-11-23) 1 m network node at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory in Chile (CTIO). We also observed a full transit window by alternating in Sloan *g'* and Sloan *i'* on UTC 2021 Septem-
her 29 from another LCOGT 1 m network node at McDonald ber 29 from another LCOGT 1 m network node at McDonald Observatory near Fort Davis, Texas, United States (McD). The 1 m telescopes are equipped with a 4096×4096 SINISTRO camera with an image scale of 0. 389 per pixel, resulting in a $26' \times 26'$
field of view the images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT field of view, the images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline [\(McCully et al.](#page-11-24) [2018\)](#page-11-24), and differential photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ [\(Collins et al.](#page-11-25) [2017\)](#page-11-25). We used circular photometric apertures with a radius of 7. The target star aperture excluded all of the flux from the nearest known neighbor in the *Gaia* DR3 catalog *(Gaia* DR3) nearest known neighbor in the *Gaia* DR3 catalog (*Gaia* DR3 2356241534150962944), which is ∼49′′ south of TOI-2420. The light-curve data are available on the EXOFOP-TESS website^{[4](#page-3-1)} and are included in the global modeling described in Section [4.](#page-6-0)

2.2.3. KeplerCam

We observed a partial transit window of the planetary candidate TOI-2485.01 in Sloan *i* ′ on UTC 2021 April 17 with KeplerCam, which is installed on the 1.2 m telescope at the *Fred Lawrence Whipple* Observatory. The 4096×4096 Fairchild CCD 486 detector has an image scale of 0'' 672 per 2×2 binned pixel, resulting in a field of view of $23'1 \times 23'1$. The images were caliresulting in a field of view of 23.'1 × 23.'1. The images were cali-
brated and photometric data were extracted with AstroTmage 1 brated, and photometric data were extracted with AstroImageJ

using a circular aperture with a radius of 6[']. The target star
aperture excluded all of the flux from the nearest known neighaperture excluded all of the flux from the nearest known neighbor in the *Gaia* DR3 catalog (*Gaia* DR3 1443530261849361152), which is ∼16′′ north of TOI-2485. The light-curve data are avail-able on the EXOFOP-TESS website^{[5](#page-3-2)} and are included in the global modeling described in Section [4.](#page-6-0)

2.3. Ground-based spectroscopy

We collected RVs with different ground-based instruments.

2.3.1. CHIRON

We observed TOI-2420 and TOI-2485 with the spectrograph CHIRON at the SMARTS 1.5-m telescope at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Chile [\(Tokovinin et al.](#page-11-26) [2013\)](#page-11-26), within the large observing program (ID: CARL-20B-3081, PI: Carleo) that surveys a sample of ∼20 WJs within the KESPRINT col-laboration^{[6](#page-3-3)} (i.e., [de Leon et al.](#page-11-27) [2021;](#page-11-27) [Smith et al.](#page-11-28) [2022;](#page-11-28) [Tran](#page-11-29) [et al.](#page-11-29) [2022;](#page-11-29) [Kabáth et al.](#page-11-30) [2022;](#page-11-30) [Korth et al.](#page-11-31) [2023\)](#page-11-31) with the aim to confirm and characterize planet candidates from the space missions *K2* and TESS. The CHIRON observations were performed in Slicer mode and reached a spectral resolving power of $R = 80000$ over the wavelength range of 4100 to 8700 Å. We collected 18 CHIRON spectra for TOI-2420 and 14 spectra for TOI-2485. The data reduction was performed through the official spectral extraction pipeline of CHIRON [\(Paredes](#page-11-32) [et al.](#page-11-32) [2021\)](#page-11-32). Radial velocities were obtained via a least-squares deconvolution of the observation against a synthetic nonrotating ATLAS9 model atmosphere spectrum [\(Castelli & Hubrig](#page-11-33) [2004\)](#page-11-33). The least-squares deconvolution kernel was modeled via a broadening kernel in order to include the effects of radial velocity shift and rotational, instrumental, and macroturbulent broadening [\(Zhou et al.](#page-12-57) [2021\)](#page-12-57). The average RV precision obtained for TOI-2420 is 21 m s⁻¹, and it is 19 m s⁻¹ for TOI-2485.

We computed the GLS periodograms for both targets (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). They exhibit a highly significant periodicity at 5.8 days and 11.2 days for TOI-2420 and TOI-2485, respectively, which corresponds to the planetary signals. The resulting FAP estimated via bootstrap is lower than 10⁻⁶.

2.3.2. Minerva-Australis

TOI-2420 was observed between 2021 June 5 and 2021 October 4 using the MINERVA-Australis telescope array [\(Addison et al.](#page-11-34) [2019\)](#page-11-34), located at Mt. Kent Observatory, Australia. Minerva-Australis is an array of four identical 0.7 m telescopes that are linked via fiber feeds to a single KiwiSpec echelle spectrograph at a spectral resolving power of *R* ∼80 000 over the wavelength region of 5000–6300 Å. The array is entirely dedicated to RV follow-up of TESS planet candidates (e.g., [Nielsen et al.](#page-11-35) [2019;](#page-11-35) [Addison et al.](#page-11-36) [2021;](#page-11-36) [Wittenmyer et al.](#page-12-58) [2022;](#page-12-58) [Rodriguez et al.](#page-11-37) [2023;](#page-11-37) [Clark et al.](#page-11-38) [2023\)](#page-11-38). Two simultaneous fibres provide wavelength calibration and correct for instrumental variations. The calibration fibres are illuminated by a quartz lamp through an iodine cell, which eliminates contamination by saturated Argon lines. The RVs for the observations are derived for each telescope from a least-squares deconvolution against a synthetic nonrotating template, similar to the CHIRON pipeline. Each epoch consists of 30–60 min exposures from up to four individual

⁴ [https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=](https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=268532343) [268532343](https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=268532343)

⁵ [https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=](https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=328934463) [328934463](https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=328934463)

⁶ <www.kesprint.science>

Fig. 2. Periodograms of the RVs data for TOI-2420 (upper panel) and TOI-2485 (lower panel). The dotted horizontal line represents the 0.01% false-alarm level, and the vertical green line is the maximum power, which corresponds to the planetary period.

telescopes. Fibres 3, 4, 5, and 6 obtained 45, 16, 6, and 37 epochs, respectively. The radial velocities from each telescope were treated as coming from separate instruments to account for small velocity offsets between the fibres.

2.3.3. Tull Coudé spectrometer

We observed TOI-2420 with the Tull Coudé Spectrometer (TS23) [\(Tull et al.](#page-11-39) [1995\)](#page-11-39) of the McDonald Observatory 2.7 m *Harlan J. Smith* Telescope. TS23 is a cross-dispersed echelle white-pupil spectrograph with a Tektoronix 2048×2048 CCD detector. A 1.2 arcsec wide slit gave a spectral resolving power of $R = \lambda/\delta \lambda = 60000$. We focused the stellar image onto the slit with a wave-front sensor. This instrumental configuration gives complete spectral coverage from 3400 Å to 5800 Å, and then increasingly large inter-order gaps exist out to 10 800 Å. We inserted an I_2 gas absorption cell in front of the spectrograph entrance slit in order to impose a stable set of fixed absorption lines on the stellar spectrum before it entered the spectrograph. This enabled us to measure precise radial velocity variations of the target star with respect to the I_2 lines (cf. [Butler et al.](#page-11-40) [1996\)](#page-11-40). At the start of each night, the spectrograph was automatically repositioned to within 0.2 pixels of a standard reference position. We obtained 21 separate visits to TOI-2420 between 2021 July 18 and 2022 December 13. We used an exposure meter to terminate each exposure level at a preset signal-to-noise ratio level. The exposure meter data were then used to compute an accurate fluxweighted barycentric velocity correction for each spectrum. All of the CCD frames were reduced, and the echelle spectra were extracted using a script of standard IRAF procedures. We then computed the radial velocities from the extracted spectra using the AUSTRAL code [\(Endl et al.](#page-11-41) [2000\)](#page-11-41).

2.3.4. Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph

TOI-2485 was monitored with the Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph [\(Kaufer et al.](#page-11-42) [1999,](#page-11-42) FEROS) mounted to the MPG2.2 m telescope at the ESO La Silla Observatory, in Chile. FEROS has a spectral resolution of $R = 48000$ and uses a

second fibre to trace instrument-induced spectral displacements. The observations of TOI-2485 were obtained in the context of the Warm gIaNts with tEss (WINE) collaboration, which focuses on the systematic discovery of transiting WJs [\(Brahm et al.](#page-11-43) [2019,](#page-11-43) [2020,](#page-11-44) [2023;](#page-11-45) [Jordán et al.](#page-11-46) [2020;](#page-11-46) [Schlecker et al.](#page-11-47) [2020;](#page-11-47) [Hobson](#page-11-48) [et al.](#page-11-48) [2021;](#page-11-48) [Trifonov et al.](#page-11-49) [2021,](#page-11-49) [2023;](#page-11-50) [Bozhilov et al.](#page-11-51) [2023;](#page-11-51) [Hobson et al.](#page-11-52) [2023;](#page-11-52) [Eberhardt et al.](#page-11-53) [2023;](#page-11-53) [Jones et al.](#page-11-54) [2024\)](#page-11-54). We obtained 15 FEROS spectra between February 2021 and July 2023 using an exposure time of 1200 s and obtained spectra with signal-to-noise ratios between 70 and 110 per resolution element depending on the weather and observing conditions. FEROS data were proccessed with the ceres pipeline, which generates the 2D spectrum as final outputs, and the determination of precise radial velocities and bisector span measurements using the cross-correlation technique. The mean error of these radial velocity measurements was 9 m/s. ceres also performs a rough estimation of the stellar parameters, and for the case of TOI-2485, we obtained T_{eff} =5900 ± 100 K, $\log g_{\star}$ =4.2 ± 0.2 dex $[Fe/H] = 0 \pm 0.1$, and *V* sin *i* = 5 ± 1 km s⁻¹.

2.3.5. Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph

TOI-2485 was observed 11 times from UT 2021 February 17 to February 27 using the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fűrész et al. 2008)^{[7](#page-4-1)} on the 1.5 m Tillinghast Reflector at the *Fred L. Whipple* Observatory (FLWO) on Mt. Hopkins, AZ. TRES has a resolution of $R = 44000$ and covers a spectral wavelength range of 3850–9096 Å. The reduction process for TRES was described in detail in [Buchhave et al.](#page-11-56) [\(2010\)](#page-11-56), and the RV extraction process using a median combined template was presented in [Quinn et al.](#page-11-57) [\(2012\)](#page-11-57). To better understand the host star parameters, the spectra were analyzed using the Stellar Parameter Classification (SPC) package [\(Buchhave et al.](#page-11-58) [2012\)](#page-11-58), which provided a comparison constraint on the [Fe/H], T_{eff} , and rotational velocity of TOI-2485 of 0.005±0.008 dex, 5982±50 K, and 6.01 ± 0.0 km s⁻¹.

2.4. High-resolution imaging

As part of our standard process for validating transiting exoplanets to assess the possible contamination of bound or unbound companions on the derived planetary radii [\(Ciardi et al.](#page-11-59) [2015\)](#page-11-59), we observed TOI 2420 and TOI 2485 with optical speckle observations at SOAR and WIYN and near-infrared adaptive optics (AO) imaging at the Palomar and Lick Observatories.

2.4.1. Optical speckle imaging

We searched for stellar companions to TOI-2420 and TOI-2485 with speckle imaging on the 4.1m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope [\(Tokovinin](#page-11-60) [2018\)](#page-11-60) on UT 2020 December 3 and 2021 February 27, respectively, observing in Cousins I-band, which is a similar visible bandpass as TESS. These observations were both sensitive to a 5.0-magnitude fainter star at an angular distance of one arcsec from the target. More details of the observations within the SOAR TESS survey are available in [Ziegler et al.](#page-12-59) [\(2020\)](#page-12-59). No nearby stars were detected within 3′′ of either TOI-2420 or TOI-2485 in the SOAR observations.

⁷ [http://www.sao.arizona.edu/html/FLWO/60/TRES/](http://www.sao.arizona.edu/html/FLWO/60/TRES/GABORthesis.pdf) [GABORthesis.pdf](http://www.sao.arizona.edu/html/FLWO/60/TRES/GABORthesis.pdf)

Fig. 3. NESSI speckle imaging results from observations of TOI-2485 on 2021 April 1. Sensitivity curves and reconstructed images are shown for each filter (central wavelengths 562 and 832 nm). No nearby companions have been detected.

2.4.2. NN-EXPLORE Exoplanet Stellar Speckle Imager

We observed TOI-2485 on UT 2021 April 1 and UT 2022 April 18 using the NN-EXPLORE Exoplanet Stellar Speckle Imager (NESSI; [Scott et al.](#page-11-61) [2018\)](#page-11-61), a speckle imager employed at the WIYN 3.5 m telescope on Kitt Peak. NESSI was used to obtain simultaneous speckle imaging in two filters with central wavelengths $\lambda_c = 562$ and 832 nm for the 2021 observation, but only the 832 nm was available for the 2022 observation. Each observation consisted of a set of nine 1000-frame 40 ms exposures. The NESSI field of view was limited to a 256×256 pixel subarray readout, resulting in a field of 4.6×4.6 arcsec. However, our speckle measurements were further confined to an outer radius of 1.2 arcseconds from the target star. Speckle imaging of a point source standard star was taken in conjunction to each observation of the TOI. The standard observation consisted of a single 1000-frame image set and was used to calibrate the intrinsic PSF. These speckle data were reduced using the pipeline process described in [Howell et al.](#page-11-62) [\(2011\)](#page-11-62). The pipeline products include reconstructed images of the field around TOI-2485 in each filter. We used these to measure contrast curves, setting detection limits on point sources close to the TOI. No companion sources were detected for TOI-2485 (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-1).

2.4.3. Near-infrared adaptive optics imaging

Observations of TOI-2485 were made on UT 2023 June 7 with the PHARO instrument [\(Hayward et al.](#page-11-63) [2001\)](#page-11-63) on the Palomar Hale (5 m) behind the P3K natural guide star AO sys-tem [\(Dekany et al.](#page-11-64) [2013\)](#page-11-64) in the narrowband Br-γ filter (λ_0 = 2.1686; $\Delta \lambda$ = 0.0326 µm). The PHARO pixel scale is 0.025["] per pixel. A standard five-point quincunx dither pattern with steps of 5″ was repeated twice, and each repeat was separated by 0.5′′. The reduced science frames were combined into a single mosaiced image with a final resolution of 0.21′′. The sensitivity of the final combined AO image was determined by injecting simulated sources azimuthally around the primary target every 20◦ at separations of integer multiples of the central source FWHM [\(Furlan et al.](#page-11-65) [2017\)](#page-11-65). The brightness of each injected source was scaled until standard aperture photometry detected it with 5σ significance. The final 5σ limit at each separation was determined from the average of all the determined limits at that

Fig. 4. NIR AO imaging and sensitivity curves for the Paloamr Observations of TOI-2485. *Inset:* image of the central portion of the image. No nearby companions have been detected.

separation, and the uncertainty on the limit was set by the rms dispersion of the azimuthal slices at a given radial distance. The Palomar sensitivities are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-5-2)

2.4.4. Shane Ao infraRed Camera-Spectrograph

TOI-2485 was observed on UT 2021 March 04 using the Shane Ao infraRed Camera-Spectrograph (ShARCS) camera on the Shane 3-m telescope at the Lick Observatory [\(Kupke et al.](#page-11-66) [2012;](#page-11-66) [Gavel et al.](#page-11-67) [2014;](#page-11-67) [McGurk et al.](#page-11-68) [2014\)](#page-11-68). Observations were taken with the Shane adaptive optics system in natural guide-star mode in order to search for nearby unresolved stellar companions. Sequences of observations were collected using a *K^s* filter $(\lambda_0 = 2.150 \text{ }\mu\text{m}, \Delta \lambda = 0.320 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ and a *J* filter ($\lambda_0 = 1.238 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$, $\Delta \lambda$ = 0.271 µm). The data were reduced using the publicly available SImMER pipeline [\(Savel et al.](#page-11-69) [2020,](#page-11-69) [2022\)](#page-11-70)^{[8](#page-5-3)}. No stellar companions were found within the detection limits. We refer to Dressing et al. (in prep.) for more information about these observations.

3. Stellar modeling

3.1. Spectroscopic modeling of the host stars

We modeled the spectra of the two exoplanet host stars using our coadded CHIRON spectra with Spectroscopy Made Easy^{[9](#page-5-4)} (SME; [Valenti & Piskunov](#page-12-60) [1996;](#page-12-60) [Piskunov & Valenti](#page-11-71) [2017\)](#page-11-71) version 5.2.2. This software fits spectral observations to synthetic spectra computed with atomic and molecular line data from VALD^{[10](#page-5-5)} [\(Ryabchikova et al.](#page-11-72) [2015\)](#page-11-72) and different stellar atmosphere grids for a chosen set of parameters. We used the Atlas12 [\(Kurucz](#page-11-73) [2013\)](#page-11-73) atmospheric model for both host stars. A more detailed description of the SME modeling can be found in [\(Persson et al.](#page-11-74) [2018\)](#page-11-74). In summary, we fit spectral lines sensitive to different parameters: the line wings of H α at 6563Å to model T_{eff} , and the line wings of the Ca_I lines at 6102 Å, 6122 Å, and 6162 Å for $\log g_{\star}$. The abundances of iron, calcium, and sodium, and the projected rotational velocity ($V \sin i_{\star}$) were fit to narrow and unblended spectral lines between 5900 Å and 6600 Å. As a final check of the model, we fit the Na doublet at 5888 Å and 5895 Å, which is sensitive to both gravity and effective temperature. We fixed the microturbulent velocity V_{mic} to 1 km s⁻¹

⁸ <https://github.com/arjunsavel/SImMER>

⁹ <http://www.stsci.edu/~valenti/sme.html>

¹⁰ <http://vald.astro.uu.se>

[\(Bruntt et al.](#page-11-75) [2008\)](#page-11-75) for both host stars, and the macroturbulent velocity, V_{mac} to 4.1 km s⁻¹ for TOI-2420 and 4.4 km s⁻¹ for TOI-2485 [\(Doyle et al.](#page-11-76) [2014\)](#page-11-76).

All SME results for the two host stars are listed in Table [2](#page-7-0) and were adopted as the final spectroscopic parameters.

The surface gravities combined with the effective temperatures suggests a G7 IV and G0 IV spectral type for TOI-2420 and TOI-2485, respectively [\(Pecaut & Mamajek](#page-11-77) [2013\)](#page-11-77).

3.2. Modeling stellar masses and radii

The derived spectroscopic parameters from SME were used as priors in a spectral energy distribution (SED) fit (Fig. [5\)](#page-7-1) with the publicly available Python package ARIADNE^{[11](#page-6-2)} (Vines $\&$ [Jenkins](#page-12-61) [2022\)](#page-12-61). This software fits the observed broadbad photometry to the SED from grids of four stellar models, constrained by the *Gaia* DR3 parallax and the dust maps of [Schlegel et al.](#page-11-78) [\(1998\)](#page-11-78), to obtain an upper limit on A_V . We included the Johnson *V* and *B* from APASS, $GG_{BP}G_{RP}$ from *Gaia* DR3, JHK_S from *2MASS*, and the *WISE* W1 and W2 photometry. The atmospheric model grids that were used in the fit were Phoenix v2 [\(Husser et al.](#page-11-79) [2013\)](#page-11-79), BtSettl [\(Allard et al.](#page-11-80) [2012\)](#page-11-80), [Castelli &](#page-11-81) [Kurucz](#page-11-81) [\(2004\)](#page-11-81), and [Kurucz](#page-11-82) [\(1993\)](#page-11-82). The final stellar parameters were computed with Bayesian model averaging from the averaged posterior distributions of all four stellar models weighted by the respective Bayesian evidence estimate. To account for an underestimation of the uncertainties, an excess noise term was added in ARIADNE to each set of parameters.

The stellar mass was computed in two ways in ARIADNE. The first method determined a gravitational mass from a combination of the posterior $\log g_\star$, and the computed R_\star . The second technique used by ARIADNE is an interpolation from the MIST [\(Choi et al.](#page-11-83) [2016\)](#page-11-83) isochrones. We note that the posteriors of T_{eff} , $\log g_{\star}$, and [Fe/H] in the ARIADNE model agree well with the results from SME for both targets (listed in Table [2\)](#page-7-0).

The resulting stellar masses and radii were checked with the online applet PARAM1.3^{[12](#page-6-3)} [\(da Silva et al.](#page-11-84) [2006\)](#page-11-84) based on a Bayesian computation and the PARSEC isochrones. Input was the *Gaia* DR3 parallax, *T*eff, [Fe/H], and the *V* magnitude. The results agree well within 1 σ with the ARIADNE models for both host stars.

All results are listed in Table [3,](#page-7-2) including the luminosity and stellar age derived with ARIADNE and PARAM1.3. To model the planets in Sect. [4,](#page-6-0) we used the ARIADNE results.

4. Planetary system modeling: Joint fit

We performed a joint RV and transit modeling for TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b. We used the code [pyaneti XXX](https://github.com/oscaribv/pyaneti) [\(Barragán](#page-11-85) [et al.](#page-11-85) [2019;](#page-11-85) [Barragán et al.](#page-11-86) [2022\)](#page-11-86) to model all of our data.

For the transit analyses, we used the quadratic limbdarkening framework by [Mandel & Agol](#page-11-87) [\(2002\)](#page-11-87). We used the q_1 and q_2 parameterization given by [Kipping](#page-11-88) [\(2013\)](#page-11-88) to account for realistic limb-darkening parameter values. We note that the FFI data were taken with long cadence of 30 and 10 min in different TESS sectors. For these cases, we resampled the model to account for the data integration [\(Kipping](#page-11-89) [2010\)](#page-11-89), using one integration step for every minute of integration of the data. For each planet, we sampled for the time of transit, T_0 , the orbital period, *P*orb, the polar parameterization of the orbital eccentricity, the *e* P_{orb} , the polar parameterization of the orbital eccentricity, the *e* and angle of periastron, ω given as $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ (see

Table 1. Stellar properties of TOI-2420 and TOI-2485.

Parameter	TOI-2420	TOI-2485	Ref.
α (J2000)	00:59:18.44	13:40:49.04	Gaia DR3 (1)
δ (J2000)	$-19:46:16.19$	$+22:59:02.29$	Gaia DR3
μ_{α} (mas/yr)	45.023 ± 0.033	1.024 ± 0.024	Gaia DR3
μ_{δ} (mas/yr)	18.561 ± 0.034	-7.067 ± 0.015	Gaia DR3
RV (km s ⁻¹)	17.74 ± 0.43	-25.81 ± 0.59	Gaia DR3
π (mas)	2.249 ± 0.029	2.516 ± 0.023	Gaia DR3
B (mag)	12.136 ± 0.029	12.092 ± 0.312	APASS DR9 (2)
V (mag)	11.574 ± 0.092	11.935 ± 0.026	APASS DR9
G (mag)	11.2863 ± 0.0007	11.3730 ± 0.0007	Gaia DR3
TESS (mag)	10.829 ± 0.007	10.969 ± 0.008	
J_{2MASS} (mag)	10.182 ± 0.023	10.371 ± 0.022	2MASS ⁽³⁾
$H2MASS$ (mag)	9.843 ± 0.025	10.134 ± 0.030	2MASS
K_{2MASS} (mag)	9.800 ± 0.025	10.051 ± 0.021	2MASS

Notes. (1)[Gaia Collaboration](#page-11-90) [\(2023\)](#page-11-90), ⁽²⁾[Henden et al.](#page-11-91) [\(2016\)](#page-11-91), ⁽³⁾[Cutri](#page-11-92) [et al.](#page-11-92) [\(2003\)](#page-11-92).

[Anderson et al.](#page-11-93) [2011\)](#page-11-93), the scaled planetary radius R_p/R_{\star} , and stellar density ρ_{\star} (which is connected with the scaled semimajor axis a/R_{\star} via Kepler's third law). We also sampled for a photometric jitter term per band to penalize the imperfections of our transit model.

For the RV data, we used one Keplerian signal for each system. This Keplerian signal was modeled with a time of minimum conjunction (or time of transit for transiting planets), T_0 , mum conjunction (or time of transit for transiting planets), I_0 ,
the orbital period, P_{orb} , the orbital eccentricity, $\sqrt{e} \cos \omega$ and
 $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$ and the Doppler semi-amplitude K. We also included \sqrt{e} sin ω , and the Doppler semi-amplitude, *K*. We also included one offset to account for the systemic offset and a jitter term for every instrument in the corresponding dataset. For TOI-2485, we also included a slope to model the trend that is visible in the FEROS time series.

Tables [A.1](#page-13-0) and [A.2](#page-14-0) show the sampled parameters and priors used to model TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b, respectively. In all our runs, we sampled the parameter space with 250 walkers using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) ensemble sampler algorithm (as implemented in pyaneti [Barragán et al.](#page-11-85) [2019;](#page-11-85) [Foreman-Mackey et al.](#page-11-94) [2013\)](#page-11-94). We created the posterior distributions using the last 5000 iterations of converged chains, thinned with a thin factor of 10. This gives a distribution of 125 000 points for each sampled parameter.

We ran different model combinations to model TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b, including circular and eccentric orbits and linear and quadratic trends. We used the difference of the Akaike information criterion (∆ AIC) to determine the best model. We decided to use the AIC because it is more appropriate than the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to determine the best model when the true model is unknown (see discussion in [Barragán et al.](#page-11-95) [2023\)](#page-11-95). Table [4](#page-8-1) summarizes the results. We can conclude that the best model for TOI-2420 is an eccentric orbit with no trends on the RVs. For TOI-2485, the best model has a quadratic trend and an eccentric orbit. We also tested a twoplanet model, but the fit did not converge to any significant results.

The inferred and derived parameters of TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b are shown in Tables [A.1](#page-13-0) and [A.2.](#page-14-0) Figures [6](#page-8-2) and [7](#page-8-3) show the inferred transit and RV models for both planets, and Fig. [8](#page-9-0) displays the RVs time series for TOI-2485, where a linear trend is evident. We note that the TESS 2 min data in Fig. [7](#page-8-3) appear to be flat-bottomed. However, we underline that we accounted for

¹¹ <https://github.com/jvines/astroARIADNE>

¹² http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/param_1.3

Fig. 5. SED for TOI-2420 (*left*) and TOI-2485 (*right*) and the best-fit models from (Phoenix v2, [Husser et al.](#page-11-79) [2013\)](#page-11-79). The magenta and blue diamonds show the synthetic and the observed photometry, respectively. The 1σ uncertainties of the magnitudes are marked with vertical bars, and the horizontal bars show the effective width of the respective passband. The lower panels show the residuals normalized to the photometry errors.

Table 2. Spectroscopic parameters for TOI-2420 and TOI-2485 modeled with SME.

Notes. Posteriors from the ARIADNE modeling and the effective stellar temperature from *Gaia* DR2 are listed for comparison. ^(a)Adopted as priors for the stellar mass and radius modeling with ARIADNE and PARAM 1.3 in Sect. [3.2.](#page-6-4) ^(b)Posteriors from Bayesian model averaging with ARIADNE.

Table 3. Stellar parameters of TOI-2420 and TOI-2485 modeled with ARIADNE and PARAM 1.3.

		TOI-2420			
Method	M_{\star} (M_{\odot})	R_{\star} (R_{\odot})	ρ_{\star} $(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$	L_{\star} (L_{\odot})	Age (Gyr)
astroARIADNE ^(a)	1.158 ± 0.098	2.369 ± 0.124	0.12 ± 0.02	4.86 ± 0.51	5.3 ± 1.6
Gravitational mass ^(b)	1.185 ± 0.265	\cdots		.	.
PARAM 1.3	1.206 ± 0.034	2.277 ± 0.092	0.14 ± 0.02		4.6 ± 0.4
Gaia DR ₂	\cdots	$2.345_{-0.207}^{+0.098}$.	.	.
		TOI-2485			
astroARIADNE ^(a)	1.163 ± 0.053	1.720 ± 0.069	0.32 ± 0.04	3.31 ± 0.28	$6.0^{+0.8}_{-1.7}$
Gravitational mass ^(b)	1.167 ± 0.127	.	.	.	\cdots
PARAM 1.3	1.210 ± 0.048	1.625 ± 0.047	0.40 ± 0.04		4.7 ± 0.8
Gaia DR ₂	\cdots	$1.760^{+0.030}_{-0.020}$	\cdots	.	.

Notes. ^(a)ARIANDE uses SED fitting and MIST isochrones. We adopted these results as the final stellar parameters in the joint transit and RV modeling in Sect. [4.](#page-6-0) ^(b)Gravitational mass computed from $\log g_\star$ and $\overline{R_\star}$ modeled with astroARIADNE.

Table 4. Model comparison for different models of TOI-2420 and TOI-2485.

Model	TOI-2420		TOI-2485	
		Circular Eccentric Circular Eccentric		
No trend			\cdots	\cdots
Linear trend			12	
Quadratic trend	.			

Notes. Each element in the table shows the ∆AIC for each model in comparison with the minimum AIC value for each system.

Fig. 6. Resulting fit from the TOI-2420 b joint model. *Top panel*: phase-folded transit light curve TOI-2420 b. Nominal TESS and LCO observations are shown in light gray. The solid coloured circles represent the binned data. The transit models are shown with a solid black line. *Bottom panel*: phase-folded RV signal for TOI-2420 b following the subtraction of the systemic velocity. The blue circles and triangles show the CHIRON and TULL RV data, respectively, and green squares, red pentagons, purple hexagons, and brown circles show the MINERVA RVs, split into four different datasets.

Fig. 7. Resulting fit from the TOI-2485 b joint model. *Top panel*: phase-folded transit light curve TOI-2485 b. Nominal TESS and LCO observations are shown in light gray. The solid coloured circles represent the binned data. The transit models are shown with a solid black line. *Bottom panel*: phase-folded RV signal for TOI-2485 b following the subtraction of the systemic velocities. The orange circles, diamonds, and squares show CHIRON, FEROS, and TRES RV data, respectively.

the limb-darkening coefficients in the modeling, using uniform priors In this particular case, the best solution is consistent with a flat-bottom transit, suggesting that we cannot constrain the limb darkening of the star on the TESS band with this transit dataset. However, we note that this does not affect the inferred transit depth.

5. Discussion

5.1. Inferred formation mechanism of TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b

Considering the orbital period of 10 days as the boundary between HJs and WJs, TOI-2420 b falls in the HJ category and

0 50

Fig. 9. Eccentricity distribution as a function of the orbital period for Jupiter-sized planets (data taken as of UT 2024 March 13). The dashed blue line represents the 10-day boundary between HJs and WJs. The orange point represents TOI-2420 b, and the cyan point represents TOI-2485 b. The red triangles represent the planets in multiplanet systems.

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 log(Period)

TOI-2485 b in the WJ category. Both planets are common outcomes in core accretion models, including disk migration (e.g., [Ida et al.](#page-11-96) [2013;](#page-11-96) [Emsenhuber et al.](#page-11-97) [2021;](#page-11-97) [Schlecker et al.](#page-11-98) [2021a](#page-11-98)[,b\)](#page-11-99). To place them in the context of the close-in giant planet population, we selected Jupiter-sized planets from the NASA Exoplanet Archive^{[13](#page-9-1)} (mass between 0.20 and 12 $M_{\rm J}$) with orbital periods shorter than 200 days, planetary masses with a precision better than 20% and eccentricities with a precision lower than 0.1. With these criteria, we found 158 Jupiter-sized planets in 5595 exoplanets (as of March 13), 131 of which are in single systems and 27 of which are in multiplanet systems. Fig. [9](#page-9-2) presents the eccentricity distribution of these two populations as a function of the orbital period and shows that most HJs that orbit within 3 days have circular orbits, while for longer periods, there is a wide variety of low and high eccentricities. This distribution challenges the evolution theories: Disk migration cannot explain high eccentricities (i.e., [Bitsch et al.](#page-11-100) [2013;](#page-11-100) [Petrovich](#page-11-101) [2015b;](#page-11-101) [Duffell](#page-11-102) [& Chiang](#page-11-102) [2015\)](#page-11-102), but high-eccentricity tidal migration [\(Wu &](#page-12-51) [Murray](#page-12-51) [2003\)](#page-12-51) can explain the intermediate-high eccentricities of WJs with small pericenter distances because tidal migration strongly depends on the distance from the star. Highly eccentric WJs might be the results of Kozai–Lidov oscillations or other secular oscillations caused by a third body (i.e., [Dong et al.](#page-11-103) [2014;](#page-11-103) [Petrovich & Tremaine](#page-11-104) [2016\)](#page-11-104).

An important facet to consider in constraining the dynamical histories of these planets is the tidal dissipation. The orbits of both planets are nearly circular ([] for TOI-2420 b and [] for TOI-2485 b). The question is whether they could have migrated by high-eccentricity migration and undergone tidal damping of the eccentricity within the main-sequence lifetimes of the stars. If this is not the case, we can exclude this migration method.

For our purposes, we pursued a simple computation. We used the eccentricity tidal damping timescales presented in Eq. (1) of

[Dobbs-Dixon et al.](#page-11-105) [\(2004\)](#page-11-105),

$$
\tau_{ep} \simeq 5 \left(\frac{Q_P'}{10^6}\right) \left(\frac{M_P}{M_J}\right) \left(\frac{M_\star}{M_\odot}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ day}}\right)^{13/3} \left(\frac{R_P}{R_J}\right)^{-5} \text{Myr.}
$$
 (1)

model.

Fig. 8. TOI-2485 RV time series. The trend in the data is clear and was modeled with a quadratic trend, whose significance is higher than in a linear trend

The tidal quality factor Q'_p is significantly unknown, but with a nominal value of 10^5 , eccentricity damping timescales of 250 Myr and 32 Gyr are predicted for TOI-2420 and TOI-2485, respectively. This suggests that the former planet could have quickly tidally damped out any eccentricity for a range of tidal quality factors, while TOI-2485 would have required a lowquality factor to damp out a high eccentricity within the age of the system.

We can also consider whether any initial orbital obliquity, as predicted by some high-eccentricity migration models, could have been damped out. [Albrecht et al.](#page-11-106) [\(2012\)](#page-11-106) presented a formula (Eq. (2) of that work) for the obliquity tidal dissipation timescale of a convective-envelope star such as TOI-2420 or TOI-2485, based upon the theory of [Zahn](#page-12-62) [\(1977\)](#page-12-62) and calibrated using binary stars. This is

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm CE}} = \frac{1}{10 \times 10^9 \text{yr}} q^2 \left(\frac{a/R_\star}{40}\right)^{-6},\tag{2}
$$

where τ_{CE} is the tidal damping timescale, and *q* is the planetaryto-stellar mass ratio.

With the current parameters for the two systems, the estimated obliquity damping timescales are $>10^{11}$ years, which is well in excess of the main-sequence lifetimes in either system. Additionally, considering that both stars are slightly evolved and the current value of a/R_{\star} is lower than it was on the main sequence, these estimated tidal damping timescales may be underestimates. Further information on their history can be obtained from the observations of the Rossiter–McLaughlin (RM) effect, as the long tidal obliquity timescales suggest that any initial orbital obliqity should be retained. The expected semiamplitude of the RM is ~5 m s⁻¹ and ~15 m s⁻¹ for TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b, respectively. [Wang et al.](#page-12-63) [\(2024\)](#page-12-63) presented the RM for TOI-2485 b, which showed that the system is well aligned. This implies a quiet formation history.

5.2. Evidence for a long-period companion to TOI-2485

When we assume that the linear acceleration of TOI-2485 $(-0.389 \pm 0.009 \text{ m/s/days from the linear trend model})$ is caused by another orbiting body, we can place some constraints on the nature of that body and its orbit. Following equation (2) of [Smith](#page-11-107) [et al.](#page-11-107) [\(2017\)](#page-11-107) (which is derived from equation (2) of [Liu et al.](#page-11-108) [2002\)](#page-11-108), we can place the following constraint on the mass and orbital separation of planet 'c':

$$
\frac{M_c}{a_c^2} > 2.06 \, M_{\text{Jup}} \, \text{au}^{-2}.
$$

¹³ <https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/>

Furthermore, assuming an approximately circular orbit for c implies an orbital period greater than twice our RV baseline, that is, $P_c > 1800$ days. This corresponds to $a_c > 3$ au and leads to (from Eq. [\(3\)](#page-9-3)) a lower mass limit of 18 *M*Jup. For circular orbits, the third body would lie in the brown dwarf mass regime for $3 < a/a$ u < 6.3 . Alternative possibilities are a low-mass star orbiting farther out or a more massive object on a highly eccentric orbit. Using equation (4) of [Jackson et al.](#page-11-109) [\(2021\)](#page-11-109), we are able to assess whether this putative third body is capable of inducing high-eccentricity tidal migration. A perturber at 3 au whose orbit is aligned with that of TOI-2485 b, must have a mass greater than 7.3 M_{Jup} ; in other words, a 3 au, 18 M_{Jup} object meets the minimum requirement for high-eccentricity tidal migration.

Radial velocity surveys of WJs aimed at detecting longterm trends would be highly valuable in determining whether perturber-coupled high-eccentricity migration is the dominant mechanism. TOI-2485 b, exhibiting a significant long-term trend, provides a promising connection to this hypothesis, as suggested by [Jackson et al.](#page-11-109) [\(2021\)](#page-11-109).

5.3. Planets orbiting evolved stars

Both TOI-2420 b and TOI-2485 b orbit slightly evolved stars. When a main-sequence star exhausts the hydrogen in its core, the core contracts, while the temperature rises enough to ignite hydrogen fusion in a shell surrounding the core (see, e.g., [Lamers](#page-11-110) [& Levesque](#page-11-110) [2017\)](#page-11-110). Prior to becoming a red giant, the evolving star undergoes a transition phase called subgiant phase, during which the star lies between the main-sequence turn-off and the base of the red giant branch in the HR diagram (see, e.g., [Pinsonneault & Ryden](#page-11-111) [2023\)](#page-11-111). During the subgiant phase, the outer layers of the star expand while the effective temperature decreases, which profoundly affects the evolution and the fate of the surrounding planetary system. The strong tidal interaction between a close-in planet and its expanding host star is expected to play a crucial role in shaping the structure of the inner region of a planetary system [\(Villaver & Livio](#page-12-64) [2009;](#page-12-64) [Veras](#page-12-65) [2016;](#page-12-65) [Grunblatt et al.](#page-11-112) [2018;](#page-11-112) [MacLeod et al.](#page-11-113) [2018\)](#page-11-113).

Based on radial velocity follow-up observations of about 500 bright $(V < 8.5)$ subgiant stars, the Lick, Keck, and California planet-search programs [\(Johnson et al.](#page-11-114) [2006;](#page-11-114) [Sato et al.](#page-11-115) [2008;](#page-11-115) [Peek et al.](#page-11-116) [2009;](#page-11-116) [Luhn et al.](#page-11-117) [2019\)](#page-11-117) found a paucity of hot Jupiters orbiting subgiant stars with respect to main-sequence stars. This result suggests that close-in planets might be engulfed by their evolving host star during the subgiant/giant phase (e.g., [Bowler](#page-11-118) [et al.](#page-11-118) [2010;](#page-11-118) [Villaver et al.](#page-12-66) [2014\)](#page-12-66). [Grunblatt et al.](#page-11-119) [\(2019\)](#page-11-119) carried out a search for transiting planets in a sample of nearly 2500 low-luminosity red giant branch stars observed by the NASA K2 mission and found that short-period ($P < 10$ days) planets larger than Jupiter seem to be more common around evolved stars than main-sequence stars. This would suggest that close-in planets larger than Jupiter can survive the subgiant phase, at least while their host stars have radii smaller than 5–6 *R*⊙.

Only a few transiting planets with measured masses and radii around subgiant stars have been discovered so far from both ground- (e.g., [Lillo-Box et al.](#page-11-120) [2016;](#page-11-120) [Pepper et al.](#page-11-121) [2017;](#page-11-121) [Grieves](#page-11-122) [et al.](#page-11-122) [2021;](#page-11-122) [Kabáth et al.](#page-11-30) [2022;](#page-11-30) [Smith et al.](#page-11-28) [2022\)](#page-11-28) and spacebased transit-search surveys (e.g., [Borucki et al.](#page-11-123) [2010;](#page-11-123) [Rowe et al.](#page-11-124) [2014;](#page-11-124) [Ortiz et al.](#page-11-125) [2015;](#page-11-125) [Morton et al.](#page-11-126) [2016;](#page-11-126) [Wang et al.](#page-12-67) [2019\)](#page-12-67). To increase our knowledge of the evolution of planetary systems during the post-main-sequence phase of their host stars, it is crucial to increase the sample of well-characterized planets orbiting evolved stars.

6. Conclusions

We presented the discovery of two Jupiter-sized planets. TOI-2420 b has been observed by TESS in Sectors 3 and 30 and was followed-up through LCO ground-based photometry and CHIRON, TULL, and MINERVA-Australis spectroscopy. We found TOI-2420 b to have an orbital period of 5.8 days, a mass of 0.9 M_J , and a radius of 1.3 R_J . TOI-2485 b has been observed by TESS during Sectors 23 and 50. We collected LCO photometry and CHIRON, FEROS, and TRES RVs data in order to constrain the orbital properties. TOI-2485 b has an orbital period of 11.2 days, a mass of 2.4 *M*^J , and a radius of 1.1 *R*^J .

The observed characteristics of the two planetary systems and the calculation of the tidal damping timescale indicates that the high-eccentricity migration (HEM) scenario cannot be ruled out for either system, especially due to the large uncertainties in the tidal quality factor. Moreover, regarding TOI-2485 b, the possible nonzero eccentricity and the evidence for a long-period companion may support some HEM scenarios, such as coplanar high-eccentricity migration [\(Petrovich](#page-11-127) [2015a\)](#page-11-127).

Data availability

Radial velocity data is available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr ([130.79.128.5](ftp://130.79.128.5)) or via [https://](https://cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/690/A18) cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/690/A18

Acknowledgements. C.M.P. and M.F. gratefully acknowledge the support of the Swedish National Space Agency (DNR 65/19 and 177/19). O.B. acknowledges that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 865624). G.N. thanks to the research funding from the Ministry of Education and Science programme the "Excellence Initiative – Research University" conducted at the Centre of Excellence in Astrophysics and Astrochemistry of the Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland. P.K. acknowledges funding from LTT-20015 project. D.G. gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the grant for internationalization (GAND_GFI_23_01) provided by the University of Turin (Italy). M.T.P. acknowledges support from the Fondecyt-ANID Post-doctoral fellowship 3210253 and from the ANID Project ASTRO21-0037. A.J. and R.B. acknowledge support from ANID – Millennium Science Initiative – ICN12_009. R.B. acknowledges support from FONDECYT Project 1241963. A.J. acknowledges support from FONDECYT project 1210718. This work makes use of observations from the LCOGT network. Part of the LCOGT telescope time was granted by NOIRLab through the Mid-Scale Innovations Program (MSIP). MSIP is funded by NSF. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program (ExoFOP; DOI: 10.26134/Exo-FOP5) website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. Funding for the TESS mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission Directorate. KAC and CNW acknowledge support from the TESS mission via subaward s3449 from MIT. D.R.C. and C.A.C. acknowledge partial support from NASA Grant 18-2XRP18_2-0007. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program (ExoFOP; DOI: 10.26134/ExoFOP5) website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. MINERVA-Australis is supported by Australian Research Council LIEF Grant LE160100001, Discovery Grants DP180100972 and DP220100365, Mount Cuba Astronomical Foundation, and institutional partners University of Southern Queensland, UNSW Sydney, MIT, Nanjing University, George Mason University, University of Louisville, University of California Riverside, University of Florida, and The University of Texas at Austin. We respectfully acknowledge the traditional custodians of all lands throughout Australia, and recognise their continued cultural and spiritual connection to the land, waterways, cosmos, and community. We pay our deepest respects to all Elders, ancestors and descendants of the Giabal, Jarowair, and Kambuwal nations, upon whose lands the MINERVA-Australis facility at Mt Kent is situated. This research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). The results reported herein benefitted from collaborations and/or information exchange within NASA's Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS) research coordination network sponsored by NASA's Science Mission Directorate under Agreement No. 80NSSC21K0593 for the program "Alien Earths". This work is partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JPJP24H00017 and JSPS Bilateral Program Number JPJSBP120249910.

References

- Addison, B., Wright, D. J., Wittenmyer, R. A., et al. 2019, [PASP, 131, 115003](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/1)
- Addison, B. C., Wright, D. J., Nicholson, B. A., et al. 2021, [MNRAS, 502, 3704](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/2) Albrecht, S., Winn, J. N., Johnson, J. A., et al. 2012, [ApJ, 757, 18](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/3)
- Allard, F., Homeier, D., & Freytag, B. 2012, [Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/4) [A, 370, 2765](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/4)
- Anderson, D. R., Collier Cameron, A., Hellier, C., et al. 2011, [ApJ, 726, L19](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/5)
- Barragán, O., Gandolfi, D., & Antoniciello, G. 2019, [MNRAS, 482, 1017](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/6)
- Barragán, O., Aigrain, S., Rajpaul, V. M., & Zicher, N. 2022, [MNRAS, 509, 866](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/7)
- Barragán, O., Gillen, E., Aigrain, S., et al. 2023, [MNRAS, 522, 3458](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/8)
- Bitsch, B., Crida, A., Libert, A. S., & Lega, E. 2013, [A&A, 555, A124](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/9)
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D. G., Brown, T. M., et al. 2010, [ApJ, 713, L126](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/10)
- Boss, A. P. 1997, [Science, 276, 1836](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/11)
- Bowler, B. P., Johnson, J. A., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2010, [ApJ, 709, 396](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/12)
- Bozhilov, V., Antonova, D., Hobson, M. J., et al. 2023, [ApJ, 946, L36](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/13)
- Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., Jordán, A., et al. 2019, [AJ, 158, 45](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/14)
- Brahm, R., Nielsen, L. D., Wittenmyer, R. A., et al. 2020, [AJ, 160, 235](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/15)
- Brahm, R., Ulmer-Moll, S., Hobson, M. J., et al. 2023, [AJ, 165, 227](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/16)
- Brown, T. M., Baliber, N., Bianco, F. B., et al. 2013, [PASP, 125, 1031](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/17)
- Bruntt, H., De Cat, P., & Aerts, C. 2008, [A&A, 478, 487](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/18)
- Buchhave, L. A., Bakos, G. Á., Hartman, J. D., et al. 2010, [ApJ, 720, 1118](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/19)
- Buchhave, L. A., Latham, D. W., Johansen, A., et al. 2012, [Nature, 486, 375](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/20)
- Butler, R. P., Marcy, G. W., Williams, E., et al. 1996, [PASP, 108, 500](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/21)
- Cabrera, J., Csizmadia, S., Erikson, A., Rauer, H., & Kirste, S. 2012, [A&A, 548,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/22) [A44](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/22)
- Caldwell, D. A., Tenenbaum, P., Twicken, J. D., et al. 2020, [RNAAS, 4, 201](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/23)
- Castelli, F., & Hubrig, S. 2004, VizieR Online Data Catalog: Spectroscopic atlas of HD175640 (Castelli+, 2004), VizieR On-line Data Catalog: [J/A+A/425/263.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/24) Originally published in: 2004A&A...425..263C
- Castelli, F., & Kurucz, R. L. 2004, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:[astro-ph/0405087](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0405087)]
- Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, [ApJ, 823, 102](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/26)
- Ciardi, D. R., Beichman, C. A., Horch, E. P., & Howell, S. B. 2015, [ApJ, 805, 16](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/27)
- Clark, J. T., Addison, B. C., Okumura, J., et al. 2023, [AJ, 165, 207](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/28)
- Collins, K. 2019, in [American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, 233,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/29) [140.05](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/29)
- Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G., & Hessman, F. V. 2017, [AJ, 153,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/30)
- [77](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/30)
- Correia, A. C. M., Bourrier, V., & Delisle, J. B. 2020, [A&A, 635, A37](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/31)
- Cutri, R. M., Skrutskie, M. F., van Dyk, S., et al. 2003, [2MASS All Sky Catalog](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/32) [of point sources.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/32)
- da Silva, L., Girardi, L., Pasquini, L., et al. 2006, [A&A, 458, 609](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/33)
- Dawson, R. I., & Johnson, J. A. 2018, [ARA&A, 56, 175](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/34)
- de Leon, J. P., Livingston, J., Endl, M., et al. 2021, [MNRAS, 508, 195](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/35)
- Dekany, R., Roberts, J., Burruss, R., et al. 2013, [ApJ, 776, 130](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/36)
- Dobbs-Dixon, I., Lin, D. N. C., & Mardling, R. A. 2004, [ApJ, 610, 464](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/37)
- Dong, S., Katz, B., & Socrates, A. 2014, [ApJ, 781, L5](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/38)
- Doyle, A. P., Davies, G. R., Smalley, B., Chaplin, W. J., & Elsworth, Y. 2014, [MNRAS, 444, 3592](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/39)
- Duffell, P. C., & Chiang, E. 2015, [ApJ, 812, 94](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/40)
- Eberhardt, J., Hobson, M. J., Henning, T., et al. 2023, [AJ, 166, 271](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/41)
- Emsenhuber, A., Mordasini, C., Burn, R., et al. 2021, [A&A, 656, A70](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/42)
- Endl, M., Kürster, M., & Els, S. 2000, [A&A, 362, 585](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/43)
- Fűrész, G., Szentgyorgyi, A. H., & Meibom, S. 2008, in [Precision Spec](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/44)[troscopy in Astrophysics,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/44) eds. N. C. Santos, L. Pasquini, A. C. M. Correia, & M. Romaniello, 287
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, [PASP, 125,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/45) [306](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/45)
- Furlan, E., Ciardi, D. R., Everett, M. E., et al. 2017, [AJ, 153, 71](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/46)
- Gaia Collaboration (Vallenari, A., et al.) 2023, [A&A, 674, A1](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/47)
- Gavel, D., Kupke, R., Dillon, D., et al. 2014, [SPIE Conf. Ser., 9148, 914805](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/48)
- Gregory, P. C. 2005, [ApJ, 631, 1198](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/49)
- Grieves, N., Nielsen, L. D., Vines, J. I., et al. 2021, [A&A, 647, A180](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/50)
- Grunblatt, S. K., Huber, D., Gaidos, E., et al. 2018, [ApJ, 861, L5](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/51)
- Grunblatt, S. K., Huber, D., Gaidos, E., et al. 2019, [AJ, 158, 227](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/52)
- Guillot, T., Burrows, A., Hubbard, W. B., Lunine, J. I., & Saumon, D. 1996, [ApJ,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/53) [459, L35](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/53)
- Hatzes, A. P. 2016, [Space Sci. Rev., 205, 267](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/54)
- Hayward, T. L., Brandl, B., Pirger, B., et al. 2001, [PASP, 113, 105](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/55)
- Henden, A. A., Templeton, M., Terrell, D., et al. 2016, VizieR Online Data Catalog: [II/336.](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/56)
- Hobson, M. J., Brahm, R., Jordán, A., et al. 2021, [AJ, 161, 235](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/57)
- Hobson, M. J., Trifonov, T., Henning, T., et al. 2023, [AJ, 166, 201](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/58)
- Horner, J., Kane, S. R., Marshall, J. P., et al. 2020, [PASP, 132, 102001](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/59)
- Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., Sherry, W., Horch, E., & Ciardi, D. R. 2011, [AJ,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/60) [142, 19](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/60)
- Huang, C., Wu, Y., & Triaud, A. H. M. J. 2016, [ApJ, 825, 98](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/61)
- Huang, C. X., Vanderburg, A., Pál, A., et al. 2020, [RNAAS, 4, 206](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/62)
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, [A&A, 553, A6](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/63)
- Ida, S., Lin, D. N., & Nagasawa, M. 2013, [ApJ, 775](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/64)
- Jackson, J. M., Dawson, R. I., Shannon, A., & Petrovich, C. 2021, [AJ, 161, 200](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/65)
- Jenkins, J. M., Caldwell, D. A., Chandrasekaran, H., et al. 2010, [ApJ, 713, L87](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/66)
- Jensen, E. 2013, [Tapir: A web interface for transit/eclipse observability,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/67) Astrophysics Source Code Library [[record ascl:1306.007](http://www.ascl.net/1306.007)]
- Johnson, J. A., Marcy, G. W., Fischer, D. A., et al. 2006, [ApJ, 652, 1724](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/68)
- Jones, M. I., Reinarz, Y., Brahm, R., et al. 2024, [A&A, 683, A192](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/69)
- Jordán, A., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2020, [AJ, 159, 145](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/70)
- Kabáth, P., Chaturvedi, P., MacQueen, P. J., et al. 2022, [MNRAS, 513, 5955](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/71)
- Kaufer, A., Stahl, O., Tubbesing, S., et al. 1999, [The Messenger, 95, 8](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/72)
- Kipping, D. M. 2010, [MNRAS, 408, 1758](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/73)
- Kipping, D. M. 2013, [MNRAS, 435, 2152](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/74)
- Korth, J., Gandolfi, D., Šubjak, J., et al. 2023, [A&A, 675, A115](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/75)
- Kunimoto, M., Huang, C., Tey, E., et al. 2021, [RNAAS, 5, 234](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/76)
- Kupke, R., Gavel, D., Roskosi, C., et al. 2012, [SPIE Confe. Ser., 8447, 84473G](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/77)
- Kurucz, R. L. 1993, VizieR Online Data Catalog, [VI/39](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/78)
- Kurucz, R. L. 2013, [ATLAS12: Opacity sampling model atmosphere program,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/79) Astrophysics Source Code Library [[record ascl:1303.024](http://www.ascl.net/1303.024)]
- Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., & Levesque, E. M. 2017, [Understanding Stellar Evolution](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/80)
- Lillo-Box, J., Demangeon, O., Santerne, A., et al. 2016, [A&A, 594, A50](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/81)
- Lin, D. N. C., & Papaloizou, J. 1986, [ApJ, 309, 846](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/82)
- Lissauer, J. J. 1993, [ARA&A, 31, 129](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/83)
- Liu, M. C., Fischer, D. A., Graham, J. R., et al. 2002, [ApJ, 571, 519](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/84)
- Luhn, J. K., Bastien, F. A., Wright, J. T., et al. 2019, [AJ, 157, 149](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/85)
- MacLeod, M., Cantiello, M., & Soares-Furtado, M. 2018, [ApJ, 853, L1](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/86)
- Mandel, K., & Agol, E. 2002, [ApJ, 580, L171](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/87)
- Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, [Nature, 378, 355](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/88)
- McCully, C., Volgenau, N. H., Harbeck, D.-R., et al. 2018, [SPIE Conf. Ser.,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/89) [10707, 107070K](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/89)
- McGurk, R., Rockosi, C., Gavel, D., et al. 2014, [SPIE Conf. Ser., 9148, 91483A](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/90)
- Morton, T. D., Bryson, S. T., Coughlin, J. L., et al. 2016, [ApJ, 822, 86](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/91)
- Murdoch, K. A., Hearnshaw, J. B., & Clark, M. 1993, [ApJ, 413, 349](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/92)
- Nielsen, L. D., Bouchy, F., Turner, O., et al. 2019, [A&A, 623, A100](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/93)
- Ortiz, M., Gandolfi, D., Reffert, S., et al. 2015, [A&A, 573, A6](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/94)
- Paredes, L. A., Henry, T. J., Quinn, S. N., et al. 2021, [AJ, 162, 176](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/95)

Pepper, J., Rodriguez, J. E., Collins, K. A., et al. 2017, [AJ, 153, 215](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/98) Persson, C. M., Fridlund, M., Barragán, O., et al. 2018, [A&A, 618, A33](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/99)

Quinn, S. N., Bakos, G. Á., Hartman, J., et al. 2012, [ApJ, 745, 80](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/106)

Rowe, J. F., Bryson, S. T., Marcy, G. W., et al. 2014, [ApJ, 784, 45](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/109)

Schlecker, M., Kossakowski, D., Brahm, R., et al. 2020, [AJ, 160, 275](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/115) Schlecker, M., Mordasini, C., Emsenhuber, A., et al. 2021a, [A&A, 656, A71](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/116)

Tokovinin, A., Fischer, D. A., Bonati, M., et al. 2013, [PASP, 125, 1336](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/125) Tran, Q. H., Bowler, B. P., Endl, M., et al. 2022, [AJ, 163, 225](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/126) Trifonov, T., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2021, [AJ, 162, 283](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/127) Trifonov, T., Brahm, R., Jordán, A., et al. 2023, [AJ, 165, 179](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/128)

Schlecker, M., Pham, D., Burn, R., et al. 2021b, [A&A, 656, A73](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/117) Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, [ApJ, 500, 525](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/118)

Sato, B., Toyota, E., Omiya, M., et al. 2008, [PASJ, 60, 1317](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/111) Savel, A. B., Dressing, C. D., Hirsch, L. A., et al. 2020, [AJ, 160, 287](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/112)

Pecaut, M. J., & Mamajek, E. E. 2013, [ApJS, 208, 9](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/96)

Petrovich, C., & Tremaine, S. 2016, [ApJ, 829, 132](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/102)

Piskunov, N., & Valenti, J. A. 2017, [A&A, 597, A16](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/104)

Petrovich, C. 2015a, [ApJ, 805, 75](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/100) Petrovich, C. 2015b, [ApJ, 808, 120](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/101)

[914320](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/107)

[134, 124501](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/113)

[251](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/129)

Schilling, G. 1996, [Science, 273, 429](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/114)

Tokovinin, A. 2018, [PASP, 130, 035002](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/124)

Peek, K. M. G., Johnson, J. A., Fischer, D. A., et al. 2009, [PASP, 121, 613](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/97)

Pinsonneault, M. H., & Ryden, B. S. 2023, [Stellar structure and evolution](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/103)

Pollacco, D. L., Skillen, I., Collier Cameron, A., et al. 2006, [PASP, 118, 1407](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/105)

Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2014, [SPIE Conf. Ser., 9143,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/107)

Rodriguez, J. E., Quinn, S. N., Vanderburg, A., et al. 2023, [MNRAS, 521, 2765](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/108)

Ryabchikova, T., Piskunov, N., Kurucz, R. L., et al. 2015, [Phys. Scr, 90, 054005](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/110)

Savel, A. B., Hirsch, L. A., Gill, H., Dressing, C. D., & Ciardi, D. R. 2022, [PASP,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/113)

Scott, N. J., Howell, S. B., Horch, E. P., & Everett, M. E. 2018, [PASP, 130, 054502](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/119) Smith, J. C., Stumpe, M. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, [PASP, 124, 1000](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/120) Smith, A. M. S., Gandolfi, D., Barragán, O., et al. 2017, [MNRAS, 464, 2708](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/121) Smith, A. M. S., Breton, S. N., Csizmadia, S., et al. 2022, [MNRAS, 510, 5035](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/122) Stumpe, M. C., Smith, J. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, [PASP, 124, 985](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/123)

Tull, R. G., MacQueen, P. J., Sneden, C., & Lambert, D. L. 1995, [PASP, 107,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/129)

A18, page 11 of [14](#page-14-0)

- Twicken, J. D., Chandrasekaran, H., Jenkins, J. M., et al. 2010, [SPIE Conf. Ser.,](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/130) [7740, 77401U](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/130)
- Valenti, J. A., & Piskunov, N. 1996, [A&AS, 118, 595](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/131)
- Veras, D. 2016, [Roy. Soc. Open Sci., 3, 150571](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/132)
- Villaver, E., & Livio, M. 2009, [ApJ, 705, L81](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/133)
- Villaver, E., Livio, M., Mustill, A. J., & Siess, L. 2014, [ApJ, 794, 3](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/134)
- Vines, J. I., & Jenkins, J. S. 2022, [MNRAS, 513, 2719](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/135)
- Wang, S., Jones, M., Shporer, A., et al. 2019, [AJ, 157, 51](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/136)
- Wang, X.-Y., Rice, M., Wang, S., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:[2408.10038](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.10038)]
- Wittenmyer, R. A., O'Toole, S. J., Jones, H. R. A., et al. 2010, [ApJ, 722, 1854](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/138)
- Wittenmyer, R. A., Clark, J. T., Trifonov, T., et al. 2022, [AJ, 163, 82](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/139)
- Wu, Y., & Murray, N. 2003, [ApJ, 589, 605](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/140) Wu, D.-H., Rice, M., & Wang, S. 2023, [AJ, 165, 171](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/141)
-
- Zahn, J. P. 1977, [A&A, 57, 383](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/142)
- Zechmeister, M., & Kürster, M. 2009, [A&A, 496, 577](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/143)
- Zhou, G., Quinn, S. N., Irwin, J., et al. 2021, [AJ, 161, 2](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/144)
- Ziegler, C., Tokovinin, A., Briceño, C., et al. 2020, [AJ, 159, 19](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/145)
- Zink, J. K., & Howard, A. W. 2023, [ApJ, 956, L29](http://linker.aanda.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202451313/146)
- 1 INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy
- 2 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ³ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- Sub-department of Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK
- ⁵ Chalmers University of Technology, Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Onsala Space Observatory, 439 92 Onsala, Sweden.
- ⁶ Leiden Observatory, University of Leiden, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA, Leiden, The Netherlands
- ⁷ Institute of Planetary Research, German Aerospace Center (DLR), Rutherfordstrasse 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany
- 8 INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Catania, Via S. Sofia 78, 95123 Catania, Italy
- ⁹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy
- ¹⁰ Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, 4055 McPherson Laboratory, 140 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, **USA**
- ¹¹ Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK
- ¹² European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Straße 2, 85748 Garching bei Munchen, Germany
- ¹³ Facultad de Ingeniera y Ciencias, Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Av. Diagonal las Torres 2640, Peñalolén, Santiago, Chile
- ¹⁴ Millennium Institute for Astrophysics, Chile
- ¹⁵ NASA Exoplanet Science Institute-Caltech/IPAC, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ¹⁶ Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁷ Department of Astronomy and McDonald Observatory, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 vatory, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Stop C1400, Austin, TX 78712, USA
- ¹⁸ NSF National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research Laboratory, 950 N. Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85719, USA
- ¹⁹ Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ²⁰ Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, 07778 Tautenberg, Germany
- ²¹ Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
 22 Astropomical Institute Graph Asadamy of Sciences, Frižaya 200
- Astronomical Institute, Czech Academy of Sciences, Fričova 298, 25165 Ondřejov, Czech Republic
- ²³ Lund Observatory, Division of Astrophysics, Department of Physics, Lund University, Box 43, 22100 Lund, Sweden
- ²⁴ Institute of Astronomy, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziadzka 5, 87–100 Toruń, Poland
- ²⁵ Center for Data Intensive and Time Domain Astronomy, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
- ²⁶ University of Southern Queensland, Centre for Astrophysics, UniSQ Toowoomba, West Street, QLD 4350, Australia
- Department of Physics, Engineering and Astronomy, Stephen F. Austin State University, 1936 North St, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA
- ²⁸ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
²⁹ Ist, Propulsion, Laboratory, Colifornia, Institute of Technology
- Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- ³⁰ Department of Astronomy, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- ³¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ³² Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
- ³³ Department of Physics & Astronomy, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79410-1051, USA
- ³⁴ Department of Physics and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ³⁵ Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
- ³⁶ Observatoire de Genève, Département d'Astronomie, Université de Genève, Chemin Pegasi 51b, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland
- ³⁷ NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA
³⁸ Department of Physics and Astronomy Haiversity of New Me
- Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, 210 Yale Blvd NE, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- Komaba Institute for Science, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan
- 40 Astrobiology Center, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
41 Instituto de Astrofísica, Focultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad
- Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
- ⁴² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- ⁴³ Department of Astronomy/Steward Observatory, The University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ⁴⁵ Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ⁴⁶ SETI Institute, 339 N Bernardo Ave Suite 200, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA
- ⁴⁷ Department of Physics & Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA
- ⁴⁸ Hazelwood Observatory, Australia
- Department of Astronomy, Sofia University "St Kliment Ohridski", 5 James Bourchier Blvd, BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria
- ⁵⁰ Landessternwarte, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl 12, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁵¹ CAS Key Laboratory of Planetary Sciences, Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China
- ⁵² Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Appendix A: Additional tables

Table A.1: Model parameters and priors for TOI-2420 b's joint fit

Parameter	Prior $^{(a)}$	Inferred paramter ^(b)
TOI-2420 b's sampled parameters		
Orbital period P_{orb} (days) Transit epoch T_0 (BJD _{TDB} -2450000) e and ω polar parametrisation, \sqrt{e} cos ω	$\mathcal{U}[5.840, 5.845]$ U[8388.35, 8388.45] $U[-1, 1]$	$5.842641_{-0.000013}^{+0.000015}$ 8388.4119 ± 0.0013 $0.159_{-0.104}^{+0.074}$
e and ω polar parametrisation, $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$	$\mathcal{U}[-1,1]$	$0.05_{-0.20}^{+0.16}$
Scaled planet radius R_p/R_{\star}	$\mathcal{U}[0.0, 0.2]$	$0.05810^{\mathrm {+0.00098}}_{\mathrm {-0.00083}}$
Impact parameter, b	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.849_{-0.025}^{+0.018}$
Stellar density ρ_{\star} (g cm ⁻³)	U[0.01, 1]	$0.133_{-0.026}^{+0.036}$
Doppler semi-amplitude variation K (m s ⁻¹) Other sampled parameters Offset CHIRON $(km s^{-1})$	U[0, 500] $\mathcal{U}[15.31, 16.51]$	$94.25_{-6.29}^{+6.58}$ $15.8940^{+0.0108}_{-0.0097}$
Offset TULL $(km s^{-1})$	U[9.31, 10.51]	$10.2195^{+0.0060}_{-0.0059}$
Offset MINERVA 3 (km s ⁻¹)	U[15.78, 17.26]	$16.5141^{+0.0092}_{-0.0094}$
Offset MINERVA 4 (km s ⁻¹)	U[15.78, 17.26]	$16.502^{+0.015}_{-0.017}$
Offset MINERVA 5 (km s ⁻¹)	U[15.78, 17.26]	16.597 ± 0.023
Offset MINERVA 6 (km s ⁻¹)	U[15.78, 17.26]	$16.552^{+0.012}_{-0.013}$
Jitter term σ_{CHRON} (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$35.96_{-7.31}^{+10.31}$
Jitter term σ_{TULL} (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$10.06\substack{+10.39 \\ -8.17}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{\rm M3}$ (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$50.72_{-8.74}^{+10.42}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{\rm M4}$ (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$22.5^{+28.5}_{-20.3}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{\rm M5}$ (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$5.76^{+26.22}_{-4.96}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{\rm M6}$ (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$50.0_{-13.1}^{+13.4}$
TESS S03 limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.096_{-0.063}^{+0.123}$
TESS S03 limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.34\substack{+0.35 \\ -0.23}$
TESS S30 limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.089^{+0.145}_{-0.062}$
TESS S30 limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.22^{+0.23}_{-0.16}$
LCO limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.80\substack{+0.14 \\ -0.18}$
LCO limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.470_{-0.149}^{+0.096}$
Jitter term σ _{TESS, S03} (ppm)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$398.2_{-22.1}^{+27.5}$
Jitter term σ _{TESS, S30} (ppm)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$858.8^{+26.8}_{-25.1}$
Jitter term σ_{LCO} (ppm) TOI-2420 b's derived parameters	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$2384.0^{+91.5}_{-89.8}$
Planet mass $M_{\rm p}$ ($M_{\rm J}$)		$0.927^{+0.085}_{-0.079}$
Planet radius $R_p(R_J)$.	$1.340_{-0.072}^{+0.074}$
Planet density ρ_p (g cm ⁻³)	.	$0.477^{+0.099}_{-0.080}$
Orbital eccentricity, e		$0.055_{-0.031}^{+0.036}$
Angle of periastron, ω (deg)	.	$15.5^{+47.5}_{-64.4}$
Scaled semi-major axis a/R_{\star}	.	$6.21_{-0.43}^{+0.51}$
Semi-major axis a (AU)		$0.0684^{+0.0067}_{-0.0059}$
Time of periastron passage T_p (BJD-2450000) Orbit inclination i_p (\degree)	.	8388.4119 ± 0.0013 $82.07^{+0.98}_{-1.04}$
Total transit duration τ_{14} (hours)	.	$4.554^{+0.093}_{-0.092}$
Planet surface gravity g_p (cm s ⁻²)		1346_{-193}^{+251}
Equilibrium temperature T_{eq} (K) ^(c)	.	$1571.6^{+60.8}_{-64.0}$
Received irradiance (F_{\oplus})	.	1017_{-156}^{+167}

Notes. (a) $\mathcal{U}[a, b]$ refers to an uniform prior between a and b, $N[a, b]$ to a Gaussian prior with mean a and standard deviation b, and $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$ to the modified Jeffrey's prior as defined by [Gregory](#page-11-128) [\(2005,](#page-11-128) eq. 16) interval of the posterior distribution. (*c*)Assuming a zero albedo.

Parameter	Prior $^{(a)}$	Inferred parameter ^(b)
TOI-2485 b's sampled parameters		
Orbital period P_{orb} (days)	$\mathcal{U}[11.234, 11.236]$	$11.234790^{+0.000054}_{-0.000052}$
Transit epoch T_0 (BJD _{TDB} -2450000)	U[8939.77, 8939.80]	8939.7856 ^{+0.0022}
e and ω polar parametrisation, \sqrt{e} cos ω	$U[-1,1]$	$0.162_{-0.031}^{+0.023}$
e and ω polar parametrisation, $\sqrt{e} \sin \omega$	$U[-1,1]$	$0.030^{+0.091}_{-0.102}$ -0.106
Scaled planet radius R_p/R_{\star}	$\mathcal{U}[0.0, 0.2]$	$0.06470^{+0.00067}$ -0.00068
Impact parameter, b	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.121_{-0.087}^{+0.134}$
Stellar density ρ_{\star} (g cm ⁻³)	U[0.1, 1]	$0.385_{-0.036}^{+0.031}$
Doppler semi-amplitude variation K (m s ⁻¹) Other sampled parameters	U[0, 500]	$197.84_{-3.80}^{+3.99}$
Offset CHIRON $(km s^{-1})$	$U[-28.51, -27.12]$	$-27.563_{-0.050}^{+0.046}$
Offset FEROS $(km s^{-1})$	$U[-27.08, -25.68]$	$-26.148_{-0.047}^{+0.042}$
Offset TRES $(km s^{-1})$	$U[-0.57, 0.89]$	$0.371_{-0.049}^{+0.044}$
Linear trend $(m s^{-1} d^{-1})$	$U[-1,1]$	$-0.77^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$
Quadratic trend $(m s^{-1} d^{-2})$	$\mathcal{U}[-1,1]$	$0.26_{-0.12}^{+0.11}$
Jitter term σ_{CHIRON} (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$14.7^{+11.1}_{-11.9}$
Jitter term σ _{FEROS} (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$3.14^{+5.09}_{-2.54}$
Jitter term σ_{TRES} (m s ⁻¹)	$\mathcal{J}[1,100]$	$13.8^{+12.5}_{-11.4}$
TESS S23 limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.69_{-0.26}^{+0.21}$
TESS S23 limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.32_{-0.17}^{+0.23}$
TESS S50 limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.73_{-0.28}^{+0.19}$
TESS S50 limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.18_{-0.12}^{+0.19}$
LCO limb-darkening coefficient q_1	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.148_{-0.064}^{+0.124}$
LCO limb-darkening coefficient q_2	$\mathcal{U}[0,1]$	$0.54_{-0.29}^{+0.30}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{TESS,S23}$ (ppm)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$702.8^{+50.4}_{-41.7}$
Jitter term $\sigma_{TESS, S50}$ (ppm)	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$2123.4^{+59.5}_{-56.5}$
Jitter term σ_{LCO} (ppm) TOI-2485 b's derived parameters	$\mathcal{J}[1, 100]$	$1766.3^{+39.1}_{-37.0}$
Planet mass $M_{\rm p}$ ($M_{\rm J}$)		$2.412_{-0.087}^{+0.088}$
Planet radius $R_p(R_J)$.	1.083 ± 0.045
Planet density ρ_p (g cm ⁻³)	.	$2.36_{-0.28}^{+0.33}$
Orbital eccentricity, e		$0.0341^{+0.0109}_{-0.0087}$
Angle of periastron, ω (deg)		$10.0^{+27.7}_{-36.0}$
Scaled semi-major axis a/R_{\star}	.	$13.69^{+0.36}_{-0.44}$
Semi-major axis a (AU)	.	$0.1093^{+0.0055}_{-0.0057}$
Time of periastron passage T_p (BJD-2450000)	.	8939.7856+0.0022
Orbit inclination i_p (°)	.	$89.49_{-0.60}^{+0.36}$
Total transit duration τ_{14} (hours)		6.567 ± 0.088
Planet surface gravity g_p (cm s ⁻²)	.	5749_{-390}^{+317}
Equilibrium temperature T_{eq} (K) ^(c)	.	$1134.0^{+27.0}_{-25.0}$
Received irradiance (F_{\oplus})	.	$275.6^{+27.2}_{-23.5}$

Table A.2: Model parameters and priors for TOI-2485 b's joint fit

Notes. (a) $\mathcal{U}[a, b]$ refers to an uniform prior between a and b, $N[a, b]$ to a Gaussian prior with mean a and standard deviation b, and $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$ to the modified Jeffrey's prior as defined by [Gregory](#page-11-128) [\(2005,](#page-11-128) eq. 16) interval of the posterior distribution. (*c*)Assuming a zero albedo.