

# Postprandial Effects of Four Test Meals Containing Wholegrain Rye or Refined Wheat Foods on Circulating Incretins, Ghrelin, Glucose, and

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-02-22 04:24 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Åberg, S., Webb, D., Nordin, E. et al (2025). Postprandial Effects of Four Test Meals Containing Wholegrain Rye or Refined Wheat Foods on Circulating Incretins, Ghrelin, Glucose, and Inflammatory Markers. Journal of Nutrition, 155(1): 185-196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2024.10.046

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library



**N** THE JOURNAL OF NUTRITION

journal homepage: https://jn.nutrition.org/

Nutrition and Disease

# Postprandial Effects of Four Test Meals Containing Wholegrain Rye or Refined Wheat Foods on Circulating Incretins, Ghrelin, Glucose, and Inflammatory Markers



JN

Sebastian Åberg<sup>1,\*</sup>, Dominic-Luc Webb<sup>2</sup>, Elise Nordin<sup>1</sup>, Per M Hellström<sup>2</sup>, Rikard Landberg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Division of Food and Nutrition Science, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Sciences, Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** High intake of whole grains has consistently been associated with reduced risk of obesity, coronary artery disease, and type 2 diabetes. Dietary interventions have shown beneficial metabolic effects of whole grains, but the metabolic response varies with different types of cereals.

**Objectives:** We evaluate the metabolic effects of substituting refined wheat with wholegrain rye foods within a complex diet, examining the day-long postprandial response of glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), ghrelin, glucose, and inflammatory biomarkers in individuals with overweight and obesity.

**Methods:** Twenty-nine healthy adults with body mass index of  $32 \pm 9 \text{ kg/m}^2$  were randomly assigned to 3 intervention days, separated by 1-wk washout. Participants adhered to a hypocaloric diet rich in wholegrain rye for 1 intervention and refined wheat for the second intervention and were randomly assigned to either diet for the third intervention with continuous blood sampling.

**Results:** No differences in GIP, GLP-1, or ghrelin levels were found between the diets when measured throughout the whole intervention day. GIP total area under the curve after the rye-based lunch was 31% (P < 0.05) lower compared with the wheat-based lunch, and ghrelin concentrations were 29% (P < 0.05) lower after the rye-based dinner. Baseline Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance-adjusted model showed 61% (P = 0.015) lower whole-day GLP-1 and 40% (P = 0.03) lower GIP after the rye-based diet. Day-long glucose incremental area under the curve was 30% (P < 0.001) lower after the rye-based diet, and glycemic variability was measured as SD reduced (-0.13 mmol/L, P = 0.04). The rye-based diet compared with refined wheat induced higher glycoprotein N-acetylation A, as measured by z-scores (0.36, P = 0.014).

**Conclusions:** Overall, no day-long differences in gut hormone levels were observed, but the wholegrain rye-based compared with refined wheat-based dinner showed lower postprandial ghrelin concentrations. The rye-based diet improved day-long glycemic control in individuals with overweight and obesity. Observations of diet-induced inflammation after whole-grain rye intake warrant further investigation.

**Trial registration number:** This study was registered at Clinical Trials Registry of clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05004584): https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05004584?locStr=Gothenburg,%20Sweden&country=Sweden&state=V%C3%A4stra%20G%C3%B6taland%20County&city=Gothenburg&distance=50&term=appetite&aggFilters=status:com&rank=1.

*Keywords*: wholegrain rye, obesity, overweight, continuous glucose, ghrelin, incretin, appetite regulation, postprandial glucose, postprandial incretin, clinical trial

Received 16 July 2024; Received in revised form 30 September 2024; Accepted 29 October 2024; Available online 6 November 2024

Abbreviations: CGM, continuous glucose monitoring system; CI, confidence interval; GIP, glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GlycA, glycoprotein N-acetylation A; GlycB, glycoprotein N-acetylation B; iAUC, incremental area under the curve; MAGE, mean amplitude of glycemic excursion; PYY, peptide tyrosine tyrosine; SPC, supramolecular phospholipid composite peak; tAUC, total area under the curve.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: abergse@chalmers.se (S. Åberg).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2024.10.046

<sup>0022-3166/© 2024</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Society for Nutrition. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# Introduction

Diets rich in whole grains have consistently been associated with reduced incidence of obesity, coronary artery disease, type 2 diabetes, colorectal cancer, and all-cause mortality [1]. Consequently, official dietary guidelines in many countries advocate for increased consumption of wholegrain foods. Acute meal studies and long-term dietary interventions have shown beneficial metabolic effects of wholegrain foods, including improvements in glycemia, blood lipid profiles, inflammation, and gut hormones. However, the metabolic responses differ between grains and there is large heterogeneity across studies. The appetite-regulating properties of foods may be of importance for development of overweight and obesity and its prevention [2,3]. Wholegrain foods have shown beneficial effects on subjective appetite control when compared with refined alternatives [4], particularly wholegrain rye [5–8]. Wholegrain rye has the highest content of dietary fiber among all cereals and has consistently shown improved subjective appetite compared with isocaloric refined wheat products [4]. However, there is a lack of studies in obese and free-living individuals, where effects have appeared less pronounced [9]. Moreover, postprandial gut hormone response as objective markers of appetite has shown inconclusive results [5,7,10,11].

Commonly consumed refined cereals elicit a high glycemic response, and substitution with whole grain alternatives has emerged as a dietary strategy to improve glycemic control. Specifically for wholegrain rye, some intervention studies have shown improved acute meal responses, whereas others report no difference in glycemic response compared with refined alternatives [12].

The whole-day glycemic response or glycemic variability after diets rich in wholegrain rye has not yet been studied. Although, whole grains have shown potential to lower inflammation when part of the diet, especially in individuals with overweight or obesity [13], inflammatory biomarkers have rarely been studied during the postprandial period.

Novel inflammation markers glycoprotein N-acetylation (GlycA and GlycB) and supramolecular phospholipid composite peak (SPC) detected by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy can give important systemic metabolic information [14]. Elevated GlycA concentrations have been shown to predict the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality [15], and both GlycA and GlycB have been suggested to better reflect inflammation than traditional inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6 [16,17]. SPC mainly correlates with apolipoproteins levels [18]. Additionally, GlycA has recently been identified as a promising candidate biomarker for assessing diet-induced inflammatory response during the postprandial phase [19].

The aim of this study was to evaluate effects of replacing refined wheat cereals with wholegrain rye cereals within a complex diet on gut hormones that are relevant in reflecting postprandial appetite response: glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), peptide tyrosine tyrosine (PYY), ghrelin and blood glucose control in individuals with overweight and obesity. In addition, we aimed to explore diet-induced inflammation after consecutive wholegrain rye and refined wheat-based meals.

# Methods

# Study design, participants, and intervention diets

A randomized crossover trial was conducted between August and November 2021 in Gothenburg, Sweden, with the main objective to evaluate self-reported appetite in free-living compared with clinic-based settings and to assess appetite response after wholegrain rye and refined wheat-based diets [9]. Study details have been outlined elsewhere [9]. Secondary objectives of the trial, including the impact of the intervention diets on appetite-regulating gut hormones and glycemic control, were examined in this study. Briefly, 29 participants were randomly assigned to the sequence of 5 intervention days, each separated by 1-wk washout. This paper presents data from 3 intervention days conducted in a controlled clinical setting (Figure 1), whereas data from the 2 remaining intervention days in a free-living setting are not considered in this paper and have been reported elsewhere [9]. The sequence was generated using a Latin square design ("blockrand" package in R), kept in a closed, numbered envelope, and allocation was concealed at the time of participant enrollment. Randomization of intervention sequence was performed by a researcher not involved in the conduct of the study. Men and women aged 30–70 y with a BMI of  $27-35 \text{ kg/m}^2$ with blood pressure <160/105 mm Hg, fasting serum triglycerides  $\leq$  2.6 mmol/L, serum thyroid-stimulating hormone  $\leq$ 3.7 mIE/L, hemoglobin  $\geq$ 117g/L for women and  $\geq$ 134 g/L for men, and plasma low-density lipoprotein <5.3 mmol/L were eligible for participation. Exclusion criteria included smoking or use of nicotine products, chronic gastrointestinal conditions, thyroid disorder, type 1 diabetes, medication for type 2 diabetes, or medication for weight management. For a full list of exclusion criteria, see Supplemental Text 1. Intervention days started at 08:00 and ended at 21:00. Participants followed hypocaloric meal plans providing 1300-2300 kcal/d, calculated based on their estimated energy requirements with a 500 kcal deficit. The energy requirements were determined using equations developed by Henry [20], assuming a physical activity level of 1.4. The full-day meal plan included a breakfast 08:00 (0 min) consisting of cereal puffs with milk, a lunch 12:00 (240 min) with tomato soup, crisp bread and cheese/jam, an afternoon snack 15:00 (420 min) consisting of crisp bread with cheese/jam and for dinner a goulash soup with soft bread and jam/cheese 19:00 (660 min). Participants consumed a fixed amount of wholegrain rye cereals during the first intervention day and corresponding refined wheat cereal products during the second intervention day. On the third intervention day, participants were randomly assigned (50:50) to either rye or wheat-based diets (Table 1). The rye and wheat cereals provided approximately one-third of the total energy and other foods were adjusted to meet the individual meal plan energy levels and contribute to a complete diet. The nutritional comparison of rye- and wheat-based intervention diets is presented in Table 1 and detailed nutritional data for the cereal products in Supplemental Table 1.

Throughout intervention days, participants answered questions about their perceived appetite (fullness, hunger and desire to eat) every 30 min from 08:00 to 12:00 and every 60 min from 13:00 to 21:00; see Supplemental Figure 1. Interstitial blood glucose was measured during all 3 intervention days through continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), whereas physical activity



**FIGURE 1.** Overview of the study design and interventions reported in this paper. Intervention days 1–3 were all conducted at the research clinic. On intervention day 1, participants adhered to a wholegrain rye-based diet; on intervention day 2, participants adhered to a refined wheat-based diet; on intervention day 3, participants were randomly assigned to either a rye or wheat-based diet (50:50), with venous blood samples drawn throughout the intervention day. Interventions were conducted in random order.

#### TABLE 1

Nutritional composition of rye- and wheat-based intervention diets

|                               | Energy (kcal) | CHO <sup>1</sup> (g) | Protein (g) | Fat (g) | Dietary fiber $(g)^2$ |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| (A) Wholegrain rye-based diet |               |                      |             |         |                       |
| Whole day (0–780 min)         | 1697          | 210                  | 66          | 56      | 32                    |
| Breakfast (0–240 min)         | 372           | 57                   | 18          | 6       | 10                    |
| Lunch (240-420 min)           | 557           | 58                   | 18          | 25      | 7                     |
| Snack (420–660 min)           | 184           | 22                   | 7           | 5       | 2                     |
| Dinner (660–780 min)          | 584           | 74                   | 24          | 21      | 12                    |
| (B) Refined wheat-based diet  |               |                      |             |         |                       |
| Whole day (0–780 min)         | 1708          | 219                  | 72          | 58      | 9                     |
| Breakfast (0–240 min)         | 373           | 62                   | 20          | 6       | 3                     |
| Lunch (240-420 min)           | 575           | 60                   | 19          | 27      | 2                     |
| Snack (420-660 min)           | 190           | 22                   | 7           | 5       | 1                     |
| Dinner (660–780 min)          | 571           | 76                   | 26          | 20      | 3                     |

Nutritional composition for intervention diets. Energy and macronutrient values are average values calculated from meal plans of all randomly assigned participants.

<sup>1</sup> Available carbohydrates (CHO).

<sup>2</sup> Dietary fiber is contributing with 2.0 kcal/g as described by FAO/WHO Expert Consultation on Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition 1997.

was monitored using pedometers throughout interventions. All 3 intervention days were conducted at the research clinic, where all meals were provided, and venous blood samples were drawn throughout the third intervention day (Supplemental Table 2). This study was prospectively registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05004584) and approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority on May 11, 2021 (dnr 2021-02489). The study is reported in accordance with CONSORT [21].

# Continuous glucose monitors and physical activity

Interstitial blood glucose was measured during intervention days 1–3 through CGM (Abbott Freestyle Libre Pro IQ; Abbott Laboratories). The sensor was applied at the back of the upper part of the nondominant arm at the clinic and removed after completed interventions at the clinic by study personnel. Participants were blinded to glucose data. Physical activity was monitored throughout intervention days using pedometer (Yamax Digiwalker SW-700/701; Yamasa Tokei Keiki Co Ltd). Participants were instructed to attach the pedometer to the waistband at the hip in the morning 08:00 and the step count was registered at the end of each intervention day, at 21:00. Participants were instructed not to look at their step count during intervention days, to avoid behavioral changes.

# **Blood sampling**

On the third intervention day, half of the participants consumed wheat cereals, whereas the other half consumed rye cereals according to the diets at the clinic, and venous blood samples were drawn into 6 mL k<sub>2</sub>-EDTA and a 4 mL sodium heparin tubes by an experienced research nurse. Blood samples were collected 10 min before and 15, 35, 65, and 95 min after all meals, and additional sampling at 125, 155, 185, and 230 min depending

on the proximity to the next meal. In total, 27 blood samples were drawn throughout the intervention day (Supplemental Table 2). An inhibitor cocktail was added to k<sub>2</sub>-EDTA tubes to inhibit protease degradation of peptide hormones. The inhibitor cocktail was prepared daily by dissolving 1 SIGMAFAST Protease Inhibitor tablet (catalog no. S8820; Sigma-Aldrich Co) in 2.2 mL deionized water containing 5.5 µL 10 mM-dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibitor KR-62436 (catalog no. K4264; Sigma-Aldrich) in dimethyl sulfoxide (catalog no. S8820; Sigma-Aldrich). The k2-EDTA and heparin tubes were kept on ice before and after sampling, and centrifuged at 4°C at 2500 relative centrifugal force (RCF) for 10 min. Plasma was transferred to cryotubes and stored at -20°C for a maximum of 7 d before being transferred to -80°C for long-term storage. Buffy coat and erythrocyte samples were extracted from a fasted k<sub>2</sub>-EDTA sample (time 0) and stored in  $-20^{\circ}$ C for  $\leq 7$  d, before being transferred to -80°C for long-term storage.

# **Blood** analyses

Acyl-ghrelin (hereafter ghrelin), along with incretins GIP and active GLP-1, as well as total PYY was assayed by multiplex ELISA using electrochemiluminescence detection. Samples were thawed and vortexed, then analyzed in duplicate on 96-well multispot plates [Meso Scale Diagnostics (MSD)] with specific capture antibodies. Quantification of the immunoassay was conducted using the MSD imager (QuickPlex SQ120). Intra-assay variability was obtained through a quality control plasma sample on all plates and interassay variability was calculated from duplicate samples as percent coefficient of variation (CV%). Intra/ and interassay CV% were ghrelin 7.7/13.1; GIP 5.2/12.8; active GLP-1 10.8/26.6; and PYY 13.1/13.7. Concentrations were calculated from MSD software algorithm based on the luminescence signal, and standard curves were calculated separately for each plate/assay. Concentrations were calculated for 27 timepoints (whole-day response) and analyzed for postprandial periods after breakfast, lunch, snack, and dinner. Insulin and CRP were analyzed using accredited methods at the Clinical Chemistry Laboratory, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg. Plasma samples were analyzed for insulin by Abbott Laboratories ALINITY ci through immunoassay utilizing chemiluminescent detection (at 340 nm). CRP concentrations were obtained through turbidimetric immunoassay (at 572 nm), with Abbott Laboratories Alinity C clinical chemistry. Concentrations for insulin and CRP were calculated for 27 timepoints (wholeday response) and analyzed for postprandial periods. The interassay CV for CRP and insulin were 7% and 10%, respectively.

Inflammatory biomarkers GlycA, GlycB, and SPC were analyzed with proton NMR at Swedish Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Centre, Gothenburg. NMR data were acquired at 310K on a Bruker 600 MHz Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a cooled SampleJet sample changer. Analysis algorithms (Bruker BioSpin) delivered lipoprotein profile and metabolite data and GlycA, GlycB, and SPC composite inflammation markers were extracted with an in-house MatLab script (available upon request) for 8 timepoints (whole-day response).

#### **Primary outcome measures**

The primary outcome assessed to evaluate gut hormone responses was total area under the curve (tAUC), which was calculated, using approximate integrals according to the trapezoidal rule [22]. Postprandial glucose control was primarily evaluated through incremental area under the curve (iAUC) from CGM data using the trapezoidal rule. Additionally, mean concentrations of gut hormones and glucose were considered.

### Statistical analysis

The number of participants in the study was determined based on the primary aim of the trial, to detect 10% within-group differences in subjective appetite ratings; details have been previously published [9]. Complete case analysis was employed for all outcome measures, defining complete cases as participants who completed both rye- and wheat-based interventions at the research clinic for CGM-derived measures, and either a rye or wheat-based intervention with venous blood sampling for hormone and inflammation data. Measures of glycemic variability: SD, CV, mean amplitude of glycemic excursion (MAGE), and Peak Glucose Concentration (Cmax) were calculated from CGM. Glucose rise<sub>0-2 h</sub> was defined as the maximum level above the baseline within the 2-h period, as a percentage of the average baseline level. Midpoint carry-forward imputation was utilized for hormone data, and in instances where the last observation was missing, the last observation carried-forward method was applied. Nonparametric missing value imputation using random forest (missForest, 1.4) was applied for CGM data before trapezoids, and iAUC was calculated.

We evaluated the effect of dietary interventions on whole-day glycemia and postprandial glycemic response: breakfast (0–240 min), lunch (240–420 min), snack (420–660 min), and dinner (660–780 min) in 2 separate mixed effects models for repeated measures accounting for intervention order. In model 1, glucose iAUC was evaluated as response variable and age, blood sampling, and step count were added as covariates. Participant was included as a random-effects variable and the interaction terms diet × intervention order and diet × step count were evaluated in separate models and nonsignificant interactions excluded from the final model. Model 1 was also used to analyze SD, MAGE, CV, and Cmax as response variables to assess glycemic variability. Model 2 was built to evaluate mean glucose concentration for all postprandial periods and included time as an additional covariate, after consideration of diet × time interactions.

A third mixed model was built to assess between-diet differences for all gut hormones and insulin in postprandial periods breakfast-dinner and whole-day contrast between rye- and wheatbased diets. Model 3 included covariates; age, step count, time, and participant were fitted as random-effects variables. Inflammatory biomarkers GlycA, GlycB, SPC, and CRP were analyzed in a modified model 3, including baseline concentration as covariate for the corresponding inflammatory response variable. Interaction terms: diet  $\times$  step count and diet  $\times$  time were considered. We assessed insulin and gut hormone tAUC as response variables in model 4, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) model including age and baseline concentration as covariates. Interaction effects of step count and diet were evaluated. In a sensitivity analysis, hormone data were normalized to baseline participants' baseline HOMA-IR, and tAUC was calculated and fitted to model 4. Associations between postprandial glucose iAUC, glucose rise\_{0-2 h}, subjective appetite, insulin, and gut hormones were investigated by Spearman rank correlation analysis.

Normality of residuals in all statistical models was assessed using Q–Q plots, while homoscedasticity was evaluated both graphically by plotting model residuals and quantitatively using



FIGURE 2. CONSORT flow diagram. Example of sequence order for interventions in figure.

Bartlett's test. Data presented are estimated marginal means with SE of the mean and considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. All probability (*P*) values reported underwent post-hoc Bonferroni correction to take multiple comparisons into account. All statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.1.3 [packages: lme4 (1.1–35.1), dplyr (1.1.4), tidyverse (2.0.0), emmeans (1.10.0), ggeffects (1.5.0), lmetest (09–40), missForest (1.4), caTools (1.18.2), pracma (2.4.4), corrplot (0.92)].

# Results

In total, 58 individuals were screened for eligibility to participate in the study (Figure 2) and 29 participants,  $56 \pm 13$  y, predominantly female (n = 16) were randomly assigned to intervention order (Table 2). No adverse events were reported. Twenty-one study participants completed interventions 1 and 2 at the research clinic (Table 2) and 21 participants completed the third clinic-based intervention with continuous blood sampling, 9 of which were randomly assigned to rye and 12 to the wheatbased diet (Table 3). Because of substantial deviations from the study protocol, 1 participant assigned to the wheat-based diet

 TABLE 2
 Baseline characteristics of participants (total and completers)

|             | Total<br>( <i>n</i> = 29)         | Completers (intervention 1 and 2) $(n = 21)$ | Completers<br>(intervention 3)<br>( <i>n</i> = 20) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Female (%)  | 72                                | 76   | 73   |
| Age         | $55.2 \pm 12.8$                   | $\textbf{56.0} \pm \textbf{12.9}$            | $\textbf{56.5} \pm \textbf{12.6}$                  |
| Weight      | $\textbf{86.4} \pm \textbf{12.8}$ | $\textbf{87.7} \pm \textbf{13.7}$            | $\textbf{85.7} \pm \textbf{9.5}$                   |
| BMI         | $\textbf{29.7} \pm \textbf{2.3}$  | $\textbf{30.0} \pm \textbf{2.4}$             | $\textbf{30.1} \pm \textbf{1.9}$                   |
| Systolic BP | $130.6 \pm 12.9$                  | $130.9 \pm 12.6$                             | $131.5\pm12.6$                                     |

Abbreviation: BP, blood pressure. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD.

was excluded from analysis for not consuming the intervention foods.

In the analysis of GLP-1 data, 5 participants were excluded where missing data exceeded 30%, and 1 participant with fasting GLP-1 levels 10 times higher than the median was identified as an outlier and subsequently excluded from analysis. Insufficient liver dipeptidyl peptidase-4 and subsequent disrupted incretin hormone breakdown were suggested as reason for elevated fasting GLP-1, as consulted with an endocrinology expert. Hence, 14 participants (5 rye and 9 wheat) with <3% missing data, before imputation were included in the final analysis of GLP-1. PYY data were excluded from analysis because of considerable background noise in the assay, with over a third of the observations falling below the limit of detection. For GIP and ghrelin, all participants were included in the analysis and missing data accounted for 2.4% and 4.4%, respectively, before imputations. Complete case analysis was performed for glucose data derived from CGM, resulting in 21 participants who completed both ryeand wheat-based dietary interventions in the research clinic being included in the analysis (Table 2). Missing CGM data

# TABLE 3

Baseline characteristics of participants that completed the third intervention

| Completers: intervention 3 |                     |                  |  |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
|                            | Rye ( <i>n</i> = 9) | Wheat $(n = 11)$ |  |
| Female (%)                 | 67                  | 73               |  |
| Age                        | $58.9 \pm 10.5$     | $56.5 \pm 12.6$  |  |
| Weight                     | $92.0\pm18.0$       | $85.7\pm9.5$     |  |
| BMI                        | $30\pm2.9.0$        | $30.1\pm1.9$     |  |
| Systolic BP                | $132.8\pm10.2$      | $131.5\pm12.6$   |  |
| HOMA-IR <sup>1</sup>       | $4.7\pm 6.3$        | $1.9 \pm 1.0$    |  |

Abbreviation: BP, blood pressure.

Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD.

<sup>1</sup> Outlier identified in the rye-group.

accounted for 4% before imputation. No statistically significant interaction with intervention order and diet was found and interaction terms were removed in the final model. Inflammatory markers GlycA, GlycB, SPC, and CRP had missing data 0.5%–1.3% and were all analyzed without imputation.

# Overall, no differences in whole-day gut hormone concentrations between wholegrain rye and refined wheat diets

No differences in GIP, ghrelin, or GLP-1 were found between the diets when measured throughout the whole intervention day as tAUC (Table 4). However, GIP tAUC after the rye-based lunch was 31% (P < 0.05) lower compared with the wheat-based lunch. Moreover, mean ghrelin concentrations were 29% (P < 0.05) lower after rye-based dinners compared with those based on wheat (Figure 3C), aligning with a 23% (P = 0.08) reduction in ghrelin tAUC. No differences between diets were observed in plasma insulin concentrations throughout the intervention day or after specific meals.

Baseline fasting mean insulin was higher in the rye group and mean HOMA-IR was calculated to 4.7 in the rye group compared with 1.9 in the wheat group (Table 3). In a sensitivity analysis, gut hormone tAUC data were normalized to participants' baseline HOMA-IR and corresponding ANCOVA models were fitted. When taking differences in HOMA-IR into account, the results changed considerably for GLP-1, indicating a 61% (P = 0.015) lower whole-day GLP-1 response to the rye-based diet compared with the wheat-based diet, as measured by tAUC. A similar difference between diets was observed for breakfast, lunch, and snack postprandial periods (Supplemental Table 3). GIP wholeday tAUC was also 40% (P = 0.03) lower after the rye-based compared with wheat-based diet, with similar reductions

| TABLE | 4 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

| Postprandial | gut | hormone | response |
|--------------|-----|---------|----------|
|--------------|-----|---------|----------|

| Measure           | Wholegrain<br>rye                            | Refined<br>wheat                             | P value<br>difference<br>between<br>diets |
|-------------------|--|--|---|
| Whole-day GLP-1   | $6146 \pm 1726$                              | $8181 \pm 1273$                              | 0.37                                      |
| Breakfast GLP-1   | $1723\pm597$                                 | $2674 \pm 440$                               | 0.24                                      |
| Lunch GLP-1       | $1657 \pm 438$                               | $2280\pm323$                                 | 0.29                                      |
| Snack GLP-1       | $1553\pm405$                                 | $1937 \pm 299$                               | 0.47                                      |
| Dinner GLP-1      | $1213 \pm 399$                               | $1290 \pm 294$                               | 0.88                                      |
| Whole-day GIP     | $\textbf{20,915} \pm \textbf{2698}$          | $26{,}205\pm2438$                            | 0.17                                      |
| Breakfast GIP     | $5633\pm 643$                                | $7251 \pm 581$                               | 0.08                                      |
| Lunch GIP         | $5168 \pm 800$                               | $7497 \pm 723$                               | 0.047*                                    |
| Snack GIP         | $6301\pm909$                                 | $7402\pm822$                                 | 0.38                                      |
| Dinner GIP        | $3774 \pm 579$                               | $4515\pm523$                                 | 0.36                                      |
| Whole-day         | 15,9514 $\pm$                                | 17,4014 $\pm$                                | 0.40                                      |
| ghrelin           | 12,185                                       | 10,991                                       |   |
| Breakfast ghrelin | $\textbf{49,884} \pm \textbf{2816}$          | $\textbf{47,342} \pm \textbf{2540}$          | 0.52                                      |
| Lunch ghrelin     | $\textbf{38,}\textbf{189} \pm \textbf{3902}$ | $\textbf{42,}\textbf{586} \pm \textbf{3520}$ | 0.42                                      |
| Snack ghrelin     | $\textbf{52,812} \pm \textbf{4816}$          | $59,990 \pm 4344$                            | 0.29                                      |
| Dinner ghrelin    | $18{,}629 \pm 2148$                          | $\textbf{24,096} \pm \textbf{1937}$          | 0.08                                      |

Postprandial gut hormone responses; glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), ghrelin and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) measured as total AUC for rye- and wheat-based diets in postprandial periods: whole day 0–755 min, breakfast 0–230 min, lunch 240–410 min, snack 420–650, and dinner 660–755 min. Significant difference between diets in the same postprandial period is indicated by \*P < 0.05. Data are presented as estimated marginal means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 20.

observed during the lunch and snack postprandial periods. Ghrelin tAUC was 33% (P = 0.04) lower after the rye-based dinner but no difference measured over the whole day.

# Wholegrain rye compared with refined wheat foods improved measures of glycemia

The wholegrain rye-based diet resulted in 30% (P < 0.001) lower whole-day glucose iAUC as compared with the wheat-based diet (Table 5). The reduction in glycemic response to the ryebased diet was most pronounced in the morning (Figure 4) and early afternoon with 28% (P < 0.001) lower glucose iAUC after the breakfast and 26% (P = 0.02) after the lunch (Table 5). Daylong glycemic variability was also lower for rye compared with wheat-based diets when measured as SD [-0.13 mmol/L (95% confidence interval (CI): -0.7, -0.19)] and Cmax [-0.75 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.57, -0.93)] (Table 6). No difference between diets was observed for day-long CV and MAGE. After breakfast, a reduction in Cmax was observed [-0.58 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.35, -0.81)], and a similar decrease was observed after the snack [-0.46 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.33, -0.59)]. However, no significant difference was detected between diets after lunch and dinner.

# Postprandial glucose and insulin correlated with hunger and satiety

We found that postprandial glucose iAUC<sub>0-4h</sub> was correlated with fullness<sub>0-4h</sub> after breakfast (r = 0.44, P = 0.002). Additionally, inverse correlations were found for hunger<sub>0-4h</sub> (r =-0.40, P < 0.004) and desire to eat<sub>0-4h</sub> (r = -0.38, P < 0.006) measured as tAUC (Table 7). Postprandial glucose rise<sub>0-2h</sub> was correlated with fullness<sub>0-4h</sub> (r = 0.55, P = 0.008) and inversely correlated with hunger<sub>0-4h</sub> (r = -0.57, P = 0.006) and desire to  $eat_{0-4h}$  (r = -0.63, P = 0.002) after the wheat-based breakfast (Supplemental Figure 2B). In addition, for the wheat-based breakfast, insulin tAUC<sub>0-4h</sub> was correlated with fullness<sub>0-4h</sub> (r= 0.75, P = 0.03) and inversely correlated with hunger<sub>0-4h</sub> (r =-0.8, P = 0.01) and desire to  $eat_{0-4h}$  (r = -0.77, P = 0.02) (Table 7). Irrespective of diet, insulin tAUC<sub>0-3h</sub> after lunch, was</sub> correlated with fullness<sub>0-3h</sub> and inversely correlated with hun $ger_{0-3h}$  and desire to  $eat_{0-3h}$  (Table 7). However, significant associations with GIP were observed only in response to wheatbased meals. GIP<sub>0-4h</sub> after the wheat-based breakfast showed an inverse correlation with hunger<sub>0-4h</sub> (r = -0.83, P = 0.02), and  $\text{GIP}_{0-3h}$  after the wheat-based lunch with desire to  $\text{eat}_{0-3h}$  (r =-0.77, P = 0.02) (Supplemental Figure 2B). Interestingly, GLP-10-4h after the wheat-based snack meal was inversely correlated (r = -0.86, P = 0.02) with glucose iAUC<sub>0-4h</sub> (Supplemental Figure 2B). No associations were observed for ghrelin and GLP-1 and subjective appetite measures.

# Consecutive wholegrain rye-based meals elevate GlycA levels without affecting CRP

We observed higher GlycA and SPC after the rye-based diet compared with the wheat-based diet measured as mean z-scores over the whole day (Table 8). Additionally, GlycB was trending in the same direction with higher z-scores  $0.35 \pm 0.17$  (P = 0.054) after the rye-based diet. GlycA increased above fasting concentrations with a mean increase of 10.7% in the rye group and 6.2% in the wheat group (Supplemental Table 4). We observed no difference in CRP concentrations between diets.



**FIGURE 3.** Gut hormone responses: GIP (A), GLP-1 (B) and ghrelin (C) measured as mean concentrations for rye- and wheat-based diets 0–755 min. Significant differences between diets at certain timepoints are indicated by \*P < 0.05. Data are presented as estimated marginal means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 20. GIP, glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.

# Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the metabolic effects of substituting refined wheat with wholegrain rye foods as part of a complex diet, examining the day-long postprandial responses of incretin hormones, ghrelin, glucose, and inflammatory markers among men and women with overweight and obesity.

Replacing refined wheat cereals with wholegrain rye cereals within a complex diet had no effect on GIP, GLP-1, and ghrelin concentrations throughout the whole day. The rye-based dinner

#### TABLE 5

Postprandial glucose responses-iAUC calculated from CGM

| Postprandial period | Rye                               | Wheat                             | <i>P</i> value<br>difference<br>between diets |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Whole day           | $\textbf{460} \pm \textbf{58.4}$  | $657 \pm 62.8$                    | 0.0001**                                      |
| Breakfast           | $143 \pm 21.7$                    | $198 \pm 22.5$                    | < 0.0001**                                    |
| Lunch               | $147 \pm 22.3$                    | $198 \pm 25.0$                    | 0.019*  |
| Snack               | $\textbf{73.9} \pm \textbf{13.4}$ | $\textbf{74.5} \pm \textbf{16.1}$ | 0.97  |
| Dinner              | $245\pm22.1$                      | $285 \pm 25.2$                    | 0.084   |

Abbreviations: CGM, continuous glucose monitoring; iAUC, incremental AUC.

Postprandial glucose responses measured as iAUC. Significant differences between diets in the same postprandial period are indicated by \*P < 0.05 and \*\*P < 0.005. Data are presented as estimated marginal means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 21.

reduced mean postprandial ghrelin concentrations compared with the wheat-based dinner. Additionally, the wholegrain ryebased diet reduced day-long glucose iAUC and improved measures of glycemic variability, indicating improved glycemic control. When adjusting for differences in baseline HOMA-IR in a sensitivity analysis, the wholegrain rye-based diet significantly reduced whole-day GLP-1 and GIP tAUC compared with the wheat-based diet. Interestingly, we observed increased GlycA and SPC after the rye-based diet, whereas CRP levels remained similar between diets.

Recently, we showed indications of reduced sensation of hunger and increased satiety during the afternoon and evening when free-living participants consumed consecutive meals based on wholegrain rye [9]. When we measured ghrelin concentrations as an objective marker of hunger in the same participants, levels were reduced after the rye-based dinner compared with the wheat-based dinner, in line with subjective reporting of reduced hunger and increased fullness [9]. Most studies comparing wholegrain rye with refined wheat have found increased postprandial self-reported satiety for wholegrain rye [5–7,10]. Yet, few studies have investigated the effects on appetite-regulating hormones. In 2 acute meal studies by Rosén et al. [7,23], reduced postprandial ghrelin after intake of wholegrain rye compared with refined wheat products was found. In contrast, neither Hartvigsen et al. [5] nor Heinonen et al. [24] observed any difference in postprandial ghrelin response to wholegrain rye foods compared with refined wheat alternatives among participants with obesity. Hartvigsen et al. [5] also analyzed postprandial GLP-1 and showed significant reductions after wholegrain rye compared with semolina porridge. Juntunen et al. [11] demonstrated lower GLP-1 and GIP concentrations after wholegrain rye kernel bread compared with refined wheat bread, but no difference was measured as iAUC. Both studies employed a crossover design, focusing on the postprandial response to meals composed solely of rye products, unlike our study, which evaluates hormone response to diets containing approximately one-third of calories from rye intervention foods.

This study was initially designed to evaluate digital visual analogue scales in free-living compared with clinic-based settings and to assess subjective appetite response after wholegrain rye and refined wheat-based diets and the assessment of gut hormone response in a parallel subset was a secondary objective. Participants who completed the third intervention with the ryebased diet demonstrated significantly higher baseline HOMA-IR compared with those after the wheat-based diet. This reflects progression toward insulin resistance and may influence glucose metabolism as well as incretin secretion. Additionally, interindividual variation in the hormone data proved to be higher than anticipated.

Hence, we opted to conduct a sensitivity analysis where incretin concentrations were normalized to the participants' baseline HOMA-IR. This analysis showed significantly lower whole-day GLP-1 and GIP response for the rye group compared with the wheat group. This trend was consistent across breakfast, lunch, and snack postprandial periods. These findings suggest a potential impact of wholegrain rye on incretin responses in individuals with overweight or obesity. However, the results from this sensitivity analysis should be interpreted with caution. Future studies investigating incretin and ghrelin response to complex meals and diets with wholegrain rye should consider a crossover design or stratified randomization reflecting biomarkers of insulin resistance.

In line with our study, systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown improved postprandial glycemia with wholegrain



**FIGURE 4.** Glucose concentrations over the whole day 0–780 min after rye- and wheat-based diets. Significant difference between diets at certain timepoints are indicated by \*P < 0.05. Data are presented as estimated marginal means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 21.

#### TABLE 6

Measures of glycemic variability calculated from CGM

| Measures of<br>glycemic variability |      | Rye                               | Wheat                             | <i>P</i> value<br>difference<br>between diets |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Whole-day                           | CV   | $18.5\pm1.24$                     | $\textbf{20.0} \pm \textbf{1.34}$ | 0.13  |
| Whole-day                           | SD   | $1.08\pm0.09$                     | $1.21 \pm 0.09$                   | 0.04*   |
| Whole-day                           | MAGE | $0.33\pm0.03$                     | $\textbf{0.38} \pm \textbf{0.03}$ | 0.06  |
| Whole-day                           | Cmax | $\textbf{8.61} \pm \textbf{0.22}$ | $\textbf{9.37} \pm \textbf{0.24}$ | 0.0004**                                      |
| Breakfast                           | Cmax | $\textbf{7.82} \pm \textbf{0.33}$ | $\textbf{8.4} \pm \textbf{0.35}$  | 0.019*  |
| Lunch                               | Cmax | $\textbf{7.44} \pm \textbf{0.22}$ | $\textbf{7.84} \pm \textbf{0.25}$ | 0.11  |
| Snack                               | Cmax | $\textbf{6.43} \pm \textbf{0.16}$ | $\textbf{6.89} \pm \textbf{0.18}$ | 0.002**                                       |
| Dinner                              | Cmax | $\textbf{7.63} \pm \textbf{0.3}$  | $\textbf{7.96} \pm \textbf{0.33}$ | 0.13  |

Abbreviation: CGM, continuous glucose monitoring.

Measures of glycemic variability; coefficient of variation (CV), maximum concentration (Cmax), SD, and mean amplitude of glycemic excursion (MAGE) for rye- and wheat-based diets. Significant difference between diets in the same postprandial period is indicated by \**P* < 0.05 and \*\**P* < 0.005. Data are presented as estimated marginal means  $\pm$  SEM, n = 21.

cereals compared with refined alternatives [12,25–27]. However, few have investigated commonly consumed refined wheat cereals with wholegrain rye alternatives. Marventano et al. [25] showed reduced postprandial glucose iAUC for wholegrain rye foods compared with refined wheat; however, specifically, 3 studies by Rosén et al. [7,23,28] were driving the observed effect.

Recently, we conducted a narrative review [12], identifying the studies by Rosén et al. and additionally 2 studies showing reduced postprandial glucose AUC when comparing wholegrain rye with semolina porridge [5,10] and 1 study with soft pretzels as control [29]. Five studies investigated effects of replacing habitual cereals with wholegrain rye and found no difference in glycemic control [12]. Some studies reported decreased postprandial peak concentrations or sporadic observations after the consumption of rye-based foods [5,11,30,31], but most studies did not observe any effect of rye foods.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to show improved postprandial glycemia with consecutive meals with wholegrain rve compared with refined wheat cereals and not solely cereals but as part of a complex diet, constituting approximately onethird of the total caloric intake. Rosén et al. studied effects of specific wholegrain rye products on acute postprandial glycemia in young, healthy individuals, BMI  $<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , whereas Hartvigsen et al. examined glycemic response to rye porridge with added arabinoxylan and semolina porridge in adults with metabolic syndrome and mean BMI 31.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Our findings align with those of Hartvigsen et al. for the breakfast postprandial period and they extend to improved day-long glycemia 0-780 min, under a mixed diet regime. Recently, microstructure examination of wholegrain rye products included in this study showed partially intact digesta particles after 120 min of digestion and less-degraded starch granules compared with refined wheat bread [32]. Additional in-vitro digestion analysis showed higher glucose and maltose release during digestion for wheat products. This may be attributed to increased viscosity of soluble arabinoxylans in rye, contributing to the improved glycemic profile we observed in our trial. The soluble arabinoxylans may also increase the viscosity of chyma, slowing gastric emptying and limiting glucose absorption rate in the small intestine.

In contrast to previous studies, we did not observe differences in plasma insulin between diets [12]. This may be due to previously mentioned differences in insulin between intervention groups at baseline. Interestingly, observed correlations between postprandial glucose  $rise_{0-2h}$ , insulin and subjective appetite were limited to the wheat group. This trend extended to associations with GIP, demonstrating a positive correlation with fullness and an inverse correlation with hunger, among participants in the wheat group.

Wyatt et al. [33] recently showed that glucose  $dip_{0-2h}$  but not glucose rise\_ $0-2h}$  or glucose iAUC was correlated with

#### TABLE 7

Postprandial insulin and glucose and associations with subjective appetite measures for rye- and wheat-based meals

|                            | Hunger tAUC                 | Desire to eat tAUC          | Fullness tAUC          |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Breakfast 0–4 h            |                             |                             |                        |
| Glucose rise 0–2 h         | $r = -0.46, P < 0.001^{**}$ | $r = -0.46, P < 0.001^{**}$ | r = 0.45, P = 0.001 ** |
| Glucose iAUC 0-4 h         | r = -0.40, P < 0.004**      | r = -0.38, P = 0.006*       | r = 0.44, P < 0.002**  |
| Insulin tAUC 0–4 h         | r = -0.51, P = 0.01*        | r = -0.52, P = 0.028*       | r = 0.59, P = 0.03*    |
| Insulin rye <sup>1</sup>   | r = -0.50, P = 0.18         | r = -0.55, P = 0.13         | r = -0.55, P = 0.13    |
| Insulin wheat <sup>1</sup> | r = -0.8, P = 0.01*         | r = -0.77, P = 0.02*        | r = 0.75, P = 0.03*    |
| Lunch 0–3 h                |                             |                             |                        |
| Glucose rise 0–2 h         | r = -0.15, P = 0.34         | r = -0.06, P = 0.7          | r = 0.12, P = 0.45     |
| Glucose iAUC 0–3 h         | r = -0.19, P = 0.21         | r = 0.12, P = 0.45          | r = 0.22, P = 0.15     |
| Insulin tAUC 0–3 h         | r = -0.58, P = 0.02*        | r = -0.52, P = 0.03*        | r = 0.75, P < 0.001**  |
| Snack 0–4 h                |                             |                             |                        |
| Glucose rise 0–2 h         | r = -0.16, P = 0.28         | r = -0.13, P = 0.36         | r = 0.15, P = 0.31     |
| Glucose iAUC 0-4 h         | r = -0.22, P = 0.12         | r = -0.24, P = 0.09         | r = 0.2, P = 0.16      |
| Insulin tAUC 0–4 h         | r = -0.29, P = 0.23         | r = -0.30, P = 0.22         | r = 0.43, P = 0.068    |
| Dinner 0–2 h               |                             |                             |                        |
| Glucose rise 0–2 h         | r = 0.07, P = 0.66          | r = 0.02, P = 0.92          | r = -0.02, P = 0.92    |
| Glucose iAUC 0–2 h         | r = -0.19, P = 0.21         | r = -0.32, P = 0.04*        | r = 0.19, P = 0.23     |
| Insulin tAUC 0–2 h         | r = -0.34, P = 0.18         | r = -0.38, P = 0.14         | r = 0.15, P = 0.56     |

Abbreviations: iAUC, incremental AUC; tAUC, total AUC.

Spearman rank correlation (*r*) between postprandial glucose rise, glucose iAUC, insulin tAUC and subjective appetite measures: fullness, desire to eat and hunger measured as tAUC, across diets.

<sup>1</sup> Insulin tAUC<sub>0-4 h</sub> after rye- and wheat-based breakfasts. Significant correlations are indicated by \*P < 0.05 and \*\*P < 0.005. n = 21.

#### TABLE 8

Continuously measured inflammatory markers in plasma

|       | Z-score difference between diets $\pm$ SE | <i>P</i> value difference between diets |
|-------|---|---|
| GlycA | $0.36\pm0.13$                             | P = 0.014*                              |
| GlycB | $0.35\pm0.17$                             | P = 0.054                               |
| SPC   | $0.27\pm0.13$                             | P = 0.044*                              |
| CRP   | $0.024\pm0.18$                            | $P = 0.89 \mathrm{xx}$                  |

Abbreviations: CRP, C-reactive protein; GlycA, glycoprotein N-acetylation A; GlycB, glycoprotein N-acetylation B; SPC, supramolecular phospholipid composite peak.

Z-score difference of diet-induced inflammation measured over the whole day 0–725 min. Significant difference between diets is indicated by \*P < 0.05. n = 20.

self-reported hunger. In a meta-analysis, Flint et al. [34] suggest that postprandial insulin, but not glucose, is associated with increased satiety and decreased hunger in normal-weight individuals, as opposed to those who are overweight or obese. We report associations with insulin and self-reported appetite in line with Flint et al. [34] but we also observe similar associations with postprandial glucose in individuals with overweight and obesity. Furthermore, we observe that these associations are driven by wheat-based meals, where the postprandial response induces higher amplitude in insulin, glucose, and GIP concentrations compared with rye-based meals. Structural differences with slower degradation of starch from wholegrain rye cereals compared with refined wheat may influence the glucose absorption and observed differences in concentrations.

High intake of whole grains has been associated with lower CRP levels [35], although the results from intervention studies are inconclusive [13]. Recently, we showed reduced fasting CRP levels after a 12-wk dietary intervention rich in wholegrain rye compared with corresponding diet containing refined wheat cereals [36]. In this trial, we observed no differences in CRP concentrations. However, postprandial GlycA and SPC were elevated in the rye group. To date, studies connecting postprandial GlycA levels and traditional clinical markers are lacking. Wyatt et al. propose that postprandial GlycA may provide a more accurate reflection of an individual's inflammatory status when compared with fasting GlycA and IL-6 levels [19]. We can speculate that the observed increase in GlycA is induced by rye foods, but the study was not primarily designed to evaluate GlycA, and results should be interpreted with caution. Further examination of inflammatory markers and oxidative stress in acute meals response is warranted in future studies.

Although this study comprised a carefully controlled feeding design, we focused on acute biomarkers and therefore cannot evaluate long-term health outcomes. In contrast to previous work, we assessed metabolic effects of successive meals where wholegrain rye foods contributed roughly one-third of the energy intake. This intervention mimics participants' habitual diet and may be sustainable over extended periods [36], and the observed metabolic effects could potentially contribute to long-term sustained health.

The study may have had limited power to detect effects of the wholegrain rye-based diet on incretin hormones, ghrelin, and inflammatory markers. Given the small sample size, these results should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, the study was insufficiently powered to evaluate effects of the intervention diet in men and women separately, different levels of glycemic control, and progression toward insulin resistance. Hormonal fluctuations during the menstrual cycle may influence appetite control and eating behavior in menstruating women [37]. Information about menstruation and the use of hormonal contraceptives was not collected and controlled for, which may have influenced gut hormones, insulin, and glycemic response in female participants. With above-mentioned differences in metabolic response between individuals with normal weight and overweight/obesity, future studies should aim both BMI groups in the same study to enable stratified analyses of metabolic responses to wholegrain rye foods within a complex diet.

In conclusion, while our overall findings did not support the hypothesis that day-long levels of ghrelin and incretin hormones would decrease following consecutive wholegrain rye meals compared with refined wheat-based meals, we observed specific postprandial effects. The rye-based dinner reduced mean postprandial ghrelin concentrations, aligning with decreased hunger sensation after the same meal. Replacing refined wheat with wholegrain rye cereals within a complex diet reduced day-long glucose iAUC and measures of glycemic variability, indicating improved glycemic control in individuals with overweight and obesity. The rye-based diet induced higher levels of GlycA and SPC, which needs to be investigated further in acute meal response studies. Interestingly, postprandial insulin, GIP, and glucose rise<sub>0-2 h</sub> were associated with sensations of satiety exclusively in the wheat-group, advocating for further studies investigating appetite regulation in individuals with overweight and obesity.

# Acknowledgments

We thank all participants of this study. We thank study nurses from CTC in Gothenburg as well as Gothenburg University Center for Health and Performance for providing research facilities. We thank Per Hellström as being the responsible medical doctor. We also gratefully acknowledge colleagues at Department of Life Sciences, Division of Food and Nutrition Science at Chalmers University: Thérése Hjort, Marie Palmnäs-Bédard, and Therese Karlsson for trial coordination; Karin Larsson for handling of biological samples.

#### Author contributions

The authors' responsibilities were as follows – SÅ: conducted the study and collected the data; RL: was the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all data in the study and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis; SÅ, RL: conceived and designed the study, and critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content; DLW, SÅ: conducted immunoassays and analyzed gut hormones; SÅ: was responsible for data curation and statistical analysis; SÅ, drafted the manuscript; SÅ, RL, PMH, EN: revised and edited the manuscript; and all authors: critically revised, read, and approved the final manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

RL is an Editor for The Journal of Nutrition and played no role in the journal's evaluation of the manuscript. None of the authors reported a conflict of interest related to the study.

# Funding

RL received grants from government research council Formas under grant number 00542, 2014 and the APC was funded by Swedish Research Council under grant number 2017-05840. RL also received funding for this work from Lantmännen Research Foundation, Lantmännen Ek and Barilla who provided rye and wheat intervention products. RL is the initiator of the Nordic Rye Forum, which is a Nordic collaboration platform between academia, institutes, and enterprises with interest in rye and health. The Nordic Rye Forum obtains annual fees from industrial partners to fund the activities.

# Data availability

Data described in the manuscript, code book, and analytic code will be made available upon request pending (e.g., application and approval, payment, other).

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tjnut.2024.10.046.

# References

- A. Reynolds, J. Mann, J. Cummings, N. Winter, E. Mete, L. Te Morenga, Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, Lancet. 393 (10170) (2019) 434–445.
- [2] B.C. Sadoul, E.A.H. Schuring, D.J. Mela, H.P.F. Peters, The relationship between appetite scores and subsequent energy intake: an analysis based on 23 randomized controlled studies, Appetite 83 (2014) 153–159.
- [3] C.G. Forde, E. Almiron-Roig, J.M. Brunstrom, Expected satiety: application to weight management and understanding energy selection in humans, Curr. Obes. Rep. 4 (1) (2015) 131–140.
- [4] L.M. Sanders, Y. Zhu, M.L. Wilcox, K. Koecher, K.C. Maki, Effects of whole grain intake, compared with refined grain, on appetite and energy intake: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Adv. Nutr. 12 (4) (2021) 1177–1195.
- [5] M.L. Hartvigsen, H.N. Lærke, A. Overgaard, J.J. Holst, K.E. Bach Knudsen, K. Hermansen, Postprandial effects of test meals including concentrated arabinoxylan and whole grain rye in subjects with the metabolic syndrome: a randomised study, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 68 (5) (2014) 567–574.
- [6] D.P. Johansson, I. Lee, U. Risérus, M. Langton, R. Landberg, Effects of unfermented and fermented whole grain rye crisp breads served as part of a standardized breakfast, on appetite and postprandial glucose and insulin responses: a randomized cross-over trial, PLOS ONE 10 (3) (2015) e0122241.
- [7] L.A.H. Rosén, E.M. Östman, I.M.E. Björck, Effects of cereal breakfasts on postprandial glucose, appetite regulation and voluntary energy intake at a subsequent standardized lunch: focusing on rye products, Nutr. J. 10 (1) (2011) 7.
- [8] H. Isaksson, I. Tillander, R. Andersson, J. Olsson, H. Fredriksson, D.L. Webb, et al., Whole grain rye breakfast—sustained satiety during three weeks of regular consumption, Physiol. Behav. 105 (3) (2012) 877–884.
- [9] S. Åberg, M. Palmnäs-Bédard, T. Karlsson, T. Hjorth, K.N. Iversen, R. Landberg, Evaluation of subjective appetite assessment under freeliving vs. controlled conditions: a randomized crossover trial comparing whole-grain rye and refined wheat diets (VASA-Home), Nutrients 15 (11) (2023) 2456.
- [10] I. Lee, L. Shi, D.L. Webb, P.M. Hellström, U. Risérus, R. Landberg, Effects of whole-grain rye porridge with added inulin and wheat gluten on appetite, gut fermentation and postprandial glucose metabolism: a randomised, cross-over, breakfast study, Br. J. Nutr. 116 (12) (2016) 2139–2149.
- [11] K.S. Juntunen, L.K. Niskanen, K.H. Liukkonen, K.S. Poutanen, J.J. Holst, H.M. Mykkänen, Postprandial glucose, insulin, and incretin responses to grain products in healthy subjects, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 75 (2) (2002) 254–262.

- [12] K.N. Iversen, K. Jonsson, R. Landberg, The effect of rye-based foods on postprandial plasma insulin concentration: the rye factor, Front. Nutr. 9 (June) (2022) 868938.
- [13] G. Milesi, A. Rangan, S. Grafenauer, Whole grain consumption and inflammatory markers: a systematic literature review of randomized control trials, Nutrients 14 (2) (2022) 374.
- [14] P. Nitschke, S. Lodge, T. Kimhofer, R. Masuda, D. Hall, et al., J-edited diffusional proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopic measurement of glycoprotein and supramolecular phospholipid biomarkers of inflammation in human serum, Anal. Chem. 94 (2) (2022) 1333–1341.
- [15] D.A. Duprez, J. Otvos, O.A. Sanchez, R.H. Mackey, R. Tracy, D.R. Jacobs, Comparison of the predictive value of GlycA and other biomarkers of inflammation for total death, incident cardiovascular events, noncardiovascular and noncancer inflammatory-related events, and total cancer events, Clin. Chem. 62 (7) (2016) 1020–1031.
- [16] J.D. Otvos, I. Shalaurova, J. Wolak-Dinsmore, M.A. Connelly, R.H. Mackey, J.H. Stein, et al., GlycA: a composite nuclear magnetic resonance biomarker of systemic inflammation, Clin. Chem. 61 (5) (2015) 714–723.
- [17] E. Fung, E.Y.S. Chan, K.H. Ng, K.M. Yu, H. Li, Y. Wang, Towards clinical application of GlycA and GlycB for early detection of inflammation associated with (pre)diabetes and cardiovascular disease: recent evidence and updates, J. Inflamm. (Lond.). 20 (1) (2023) 32.
- [18] J. Kazenwadel, G. Berezhnoy, C. Cannet, H. Schäfer, T. Geisler, A.K. Rohlfing, et al., Stratification of hypertension and SARS-CoV-2 infection by quantitative NMR spectroscopy of human blood serum, Commun. Med. (Lond.). 3 (1) (2023) 145.
- [19] M. Mazidi, A.M. Valdes, J.M. Ordovas, W.L. Hall, J.C. Pujol, J. Wolf, et al., Meal-induced inflammation: postprandial insights from the Personalised REsponses to DIetary Composition Trial (PREDICT) study in 1000 participants, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 114 (3) (2021) 1028–1038.
- [20] C.J.K. Henry, Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of new equations, Public Health Nutr 8 (7A) (2005) 1133–1152.
- [21] K.F. Schulz, D.G. Altman, D. Moher, CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials, BMJ 340 (7748) (2010) 698–702.
- [22] J. Gabrielsson, D. Weiner, Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data analysis: concepts and applications, in: Pharmacokinetic and Pharmacodynamic Data Analysis: Concepts and Applications, 3rd ed., Swedish Pharmaceutical Press, Stockholm, Sweden, 2000, pp. 141–153.
- [23] L.A.H. Rosén, L.O.B. Silva, U.K. Andersson, C. Holm, E.M. Östman, I.M. Björck, Endosperm and whole grain rye breads are characterized by low post-prandial insulin response and a beneficial blood glucose profile, Nutr. J. 8 (1) (2009) 42.
- [24] MV. Heinonen, L.J. Karhunen, E.D. Chabot, L.K. Toppinen, K.S. Juntunen, D.E. Laaksonen, et al., Plasma ghrelin levels after two high-carbohydrate meals producing different insulin responses in patients with metabolic syndrome, Regul. Pept. 138 (2–3) (2007) 118–125.
- [25] S. Marventano, C. Vetrani, M. Vitale, J. Godos, G. Riccardi, G. Grosso, Whole grain intake and glycaemic control in healthy subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Nutrients 9 (7) (2017) 769.
- [26] L.M. Sanders, Y. Zhu, M.L. Wilcox, K. Koecher, K.C. Maki, Whole grain intake, compared to refined grain, improves postprandial glycemia and insulinemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 63 (21) (2023) 5339–5357.
- [27] K. Musa-Veloso, T. Poon, L.S. Harkness, M. O'Shea, Y. Chu, The effects of whole-grain compared with refined wheat, rice, and rye on the postprandial blood glucose response: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials, Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 108 (4) (2018) 759–774.
- [28] L.A. Rosén, E.M. Östman, P.R. Shewry, J.L. Ward, A.A. Andersson, V. Piironen, et al., Postprandial glycemia, insulinemia, and satiety responses in healthy subjects after whole grain rye bread made from different rye varieties, J. Agric. Food Chem. 59 (22) (2011) 12139–12148.
- [29] J. Goletzke, F.S. Atkinson, K.L. Ek, K. Bell, J.C. Brand-Miller, A.E. Buyken, Glycaemic and insulin index of four common German breads, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 70 (7) (2016) 808–811.
- [30] A.A. Moazzami, I. Bondia-Pons, K. Hanhineva, K. Juntunen, N. Antl, K. Poutanen, et al., Metabolomics reveals the metabolic shifts following an intervention with rye bread in postmenopausal women—a randomized control trial, Nutr. J. 11 (2012) 88.

- [31] C. Breen, M. Ryan, M.J. Gibney, M. Corrigan, O'D. Glycemic, Insulinemic, and appetite responses of patients with type 2 diabetes to commonly consumed breads, Diabetes Educ 39 (3) (2013) 376–386.
- [32] J. Lu, H. Hansson, D.P. Johansson, R. Landberg, M. Langton, Microstructure and viscosity of in vitro-digested rye and wheat food products, Food Hydrocoll. 154 (2024) 109990.
- [33] P. Wyatt, S.E. Berry, G. Finlayson, R. O'Driscoll, G. Hadjigeorgiou, D.A. Drew, et al., Postprandial glycaemic dips predict appetite and energy intake in healthy individuals, Nat. Metab. 3 (4) (2021) 523–529.
- [34] A. Flint, N.T. Gregersen, L.L. Gluud, B.K. Møller, A. Raben, I. Tetens, et al., Associations between postprandial insulin and blood glucose responses, appetite sensations and energy intake in normal weight and

overweight individuals: a meta-analysis of test meal studies, Br. J. Nutr. 98 (1) (2007) 17–25.

- [35] R.E. Taskinen, S. Hantunen, T.P. Tuomainen, J.K. Virtanen, The associations between whole grain and refined grain intakes and serum C-reactive protein, Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 76 (4) (2022) 544–550.
- [36] K.N. Iversen, F. Carlsson, A. Andersson, K. Michaëlsson, M. Langton, U. Risérus, et al., A hypocaloric diet rich in high fiber rye foods causes greater reduction in body weight and body fat than a diet rich in refined wheat: a parallel randomized controlled trial in adults with overweight and obesity (the RyeWeight study), Clin. Nutr. ESPEN. 45 (2021) 155–169.
- [37] L. Dye, J.E. Blundell, Menstrual cycle and appetite control: implications for weight regulation, Hum. Reprod. 12 (6) (1997) 1142–1151.