

Correlation between formaldehyde and methanol in prestellar cores

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-04-03 22:43 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Punanova, A., Borshcheva, K., Fedoseev, G. et al (2025). Correlation between formaldehyde and methanol in prestellar cores. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 537(4): 3686-3700. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staf214

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library

Correlation between formaldehyde and methanol in prestellar cores

A. F. Punanova,^{1★} K. Borshcheva^(D),^{2,3} G. S. Fedoseev,^{4,2,5} P. Caselli,⁶ D. S. Wiebe^(D) and A. I. Vasyunin²

¹Onsala Space Observatory, Chalmers University of Technology, Observatorievägen 90, Råö, SE-43992 Onsala, Sweden

²Research Laboratory for Astrochemistry, Ural Federal University, Kuibysheva St. 48, Yekaterinburg 620026, Russia

³Department of stellar physics and evolution, Institute of Astronomy of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 48 Pyatnitskaya Street, Moscow 119017, Russia

⁵Xinjiang Key Laboratory of Radio Astrophysics, 150 Science 1-Street, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830011, China

⁶Center for Astrochemical Studies, Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

Accepted 2025 January 30. Received 2025 January 27; in original form 2024 December 3

ABSTRACT

Formaldehyde is a key precursor in the formation routes of many complex organic molecules (COMs) in space. It is also an intermediate step in CO hydrogenation sequence that leads to methanol formation on the surface of interstellar grains in cold dense prestellar cores where pristine ices are formed. Various chemical models successfully reproduce the COMs abundances in cold cores, however, they consistently overpredict the abundance of formaldehyde by an order of magnitude. This results in an inverse $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ abundance ratio obtained in the astrochemical simulations as compared to the observed values. In this work, we present a homogeneous data set of formaldehyde observational maps obtained towards seven dense cores in the L1495 filament with the IRAM 30-m telescope. Resolving the spatial distribution of the molecules is essential to test the chemical models. We carefully estimate the formaldehyde column densities and abundances to put reliable observational constraints on the chemical models of cold cores. Through numerous tests, we aim to constrain the updated chemical model MONACO to better align with the observed formaldehyde abundance and its ratio to methanol. In particular, we elaborate on the branching ratio of the CH₃ + O reaction at low temperatures. The revised MONACO model reproduces abundances of both methanol and formaldehyde within an order of magnitude. However, the model tends to overproduce formaldehyde and underproduce methanol. Consequently, the model systematically overestimates the $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ ratio, although it remains within an order of magnitude of the values derived from observations.

Key words: Astrochemistry – Molecular processes – ISM: clouds – ISM: individual objects: L1495 – ISM: molecules – ISM: abundances.

1 INTRODUCTION

Formaldehyde (H₂CO) is widely observed in star-forming regions and is detected in interstellar ices (Boogert et al. 2008; Yang et al. 2022). Along with its direct chemical derivatives, HCO, CH₃O, and CH₂OH, it plays a central role in the cold formation routes of various O-bearing complex organic molecules (COMs), including aldehydes and polyalcohols (see e.g. Chuang et al. 2016; Fedoseev et al. 2017). COMs are now detected towards many cold pre-stellar cores (e.g. L1544, B5, cores in L1495, L1521E; Vastel et al. 2014; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2016; Taquet et al. 2017; Scibelli & Shirley 2020; Scibelli et al. 2021), which are the initial stage of star formation and a convenient laboratory to explore the primordial formation of COMs. In such environment ($T \simeq 10 \text{ K}, n > 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$), formaldehyde formation is directly connected to formation of methanol, which is often referred to as the simplest COM and used as the abundance reference for COMs in observational and theoretical studies (e.g. Jiménez-Serra et al. 2016; Vasyunin et al. 2017; Scibelli et al. 2021).

While models successfully reproduce the observed abundances of CO, methanol, and COMs, they overpredict the gas-phase abundance of formaldehyde, including its abundance with respect to that of methanol. The models predict higher gas-phase abundance of formaldehyde compared to methanol, towards the cold cores (e.g. Vasyunin et al. 2017; Sipilä, Zhao & Caselli 2020; Chen et al. 2022; Garrod et al. 2022; Potapov & Garrod 2024). This contradicts the observational results that show an opposite trend (e.g. Guzmán et al. 2013; Cuadrado et al. 2017; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019; Kirsanova et al. 2021; Mercimek et al. 2022; Freeman et al. 2023). To the best of our knowledge only two chemical models (Garrod, Wakelam & Herbst 2007; Garrod et al. 2022) showed the prevalence of methanol over formaldehyde at temperatures (8-12 K) and the chemical ages (~500–900 kyr) typical of cold dense cores, however, with no attempts to reproduce observed CO depletion or molecular distribution profiles across the cores.

In prestellar cores, methanol is supposed to be formed on the surface of dust icy mantles via subsequent hydrogenation of CO (e.g. Hiraoka et al. 1994; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002; Fuchs et al. 2009). Formaldehyde is an intermediate product of this reaction sequence ('ladder'). At each step of the 'ladder', reaction products may desorb to the gas phase and become available for sub-

© 2025 The Author(s).

⁴Xinjiang Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 150 Science 1-Street, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830011, China

^{*} E-mail: punanova@chalmers.se

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. The observed cores. The numbers are given according to Hacar et al. (2013), H2013, for cores 1, 6, 7, 10, 11, 16, and Seo et al. (2015), S2015, for core 35.

Core H2013	S2015	$lpha_{J2000}$ $(^{hms})$	δ_{J2000} (°''')	Region
1	12, 13, 14	04:17:42.347	28:07:30.88	B10
6	8, 9	04:18:06.379	28:05:34.87	B10
7	20	04:18:11.343	27:35:33.07	B211
10	22	04:19:36.768	27:15:32.00	B213
11 ^a	23^{a}	04:19:42.154	27:13:31.03	B213
16	33	04:21:20.595	27:00:13.63	B213
-	35	04:24:20.600	26:36:02.00	B216

Notes. The given coordinates are the central positions of the maps. The only protostellar core is indicated with an 'a'. The region names are given according to Barnard (1927).

millimetre observations. Also each of the species produced in this hydrogenation 'ladder' (CO \leftrightarrow HCO \leftrightarrow H2OO \leftrightarrow CH₂OH/CH₃O \leftrightarrow CH₃OH) can participate in the H-atom abstraction reactions, resulting in reformation of the initial reactant (see e.g. Hidaka et al. 2009; Minissale et al. 2016; Chuang et al. 2018). The prevalence of methanol may indicate that the balance at the last step is shifted in favour of methanol formation or its desorption probability. The H₂CO:CH₃OH ratios can provide valuable hints for the overall balance in the CO hydrogenation sequence or the reactive desorption probabilities at its steps. Recent laboratory studies demonstrated that at the last step of this reaction 'ladder', CH₃O reacts with H₂ or H₂CO instead of atomic H, to form CH₃OH (Santos et al. 2022). This may also affect the 'equilibrium' between the CH₃OH formation and destruction paths, as well as CH₃OH reactive desorption probability. In addition to the surface formation route, formaldehyde can also form in the gas phase via the reaction $O + CH_3 \rightarrow H_2CO + H$ (e.g. Baulch et al. 2005; Hack et al. 2005; Xu, Raghunath & Lin 2015).

In this work, we put under the test formation and destruction routes for formaldehyde employed in the majority of chemical models of cold cores, as well as the mechanisms of adsorption (freezeout) and desorption. We focus on the missing steps, which absence may lead to the overproduction of formaldehyde. We benchmark our findings with a large and homogeneous observational set of formaldehyde (presented in this work) and methanol lines (presented in Punanova et al. 2022) towards seven dense cores in the L1495 filament in Taurus, a nearby (130–135 pc distant; Schlafly et al. 2014; Roccatagliata et al. 2020) site of low-mass clustered star formation. We present the observational maps of formaldehyde column density and relative abundance with respect to H₂ (hereinafter - abundance). We discuss the correlation between methanol and formaldehyde abundance in cold cores, the problems with the modelling of the formaldehyde chemistry and possible reasons for the observed low abundance of formaldehyde in star-forming regions.

This paper is structured in the following way. In Section 2, we describe the observations and data reduction. In Section 3, we briefly describe the results from our previous works that we use, present our new observational results, and the results of our astrochemical simulations. In Section 4, we discuss the implications of our findings, and in Section 5 we give our conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We present here the maps of three formaldehyde lines (ortho- H_2CO at 140.8 and 150.5 GHz and para- H_2CO at 145.6 GHz) towards seven dense cores of the L1495 filamentary structure (see Table 1). We

mapped the formaldehyde line at 145.6 GHz with the IRAM 30m telescope (IRAM projects 013-18, 125-18, and 031-19) simultaneously with four methanol lines at 96.7 GHz and 145.1 GHz (the methanol observations are presented in Punanova et al. 2022). The observations were done on 2018 October 17-23, 2019 March 27-29 and September 16 under fair weather conditions, pwv = 1-10 mm. The on-the-fly formaldehyde maps were obtained with the EMIR 150 (2 mm band) heterodyne receiver.¹ in position switching mode, using the FTS 50 backend. The spectral resolution of the FTS 50 data was 50 kHz, the corresponding velocity resolution was 0.10 km s^{-1} . The other two formaldehyde lines at 140.8 and 150.5 GHz were taken from the FTS data of the previous IRAM projects (032-14, 156-14, described in Punanova et al. 2018). There are no 150.5 GHz observations for one of the sources, core 35, since it was not included in the previous projects. The exact line frequencies, beam efficiencies, beam sizes, spectral resolutions, and sensitivities are given in Table 2. In all observing runs, sky calibrations were obtained every 10-15 min. Reference positions were chosen individually for each core to make sure that the positions were free of any methanol and formaldehyde emission. Pointing was checked by observing QSO B0316+413, QSO B0439+360, QSO B0605-085, Uranus, Mars, or Venus every 2 h and focus was checked by observing QSO B0439+360, Uranus, Mars, or Venus every 6 h.

All methanol and formaldehyde maps were convolved to the 26.8 arcsec beam and 8.7 arcsec pixel size, that fulfils the Nyquist sampling criterion, to make the maps directly comparable. The convolved spectral cubes were created with the native IRAM software GILDAS/CLASS.² The spectral-line analysis was performed with the PYSPECKIT module of PYTHON (Ginsburg & Mirocha 2011).

In this work, we use the molecular hydrogen column density $N_{tot}(H_2)$, dust temperature T_{dust} , and visual extinction A_V measured via dust-continuum emission observations done with *Herschel/SPIRE*³ (Palmeirim et al. 2013), as well as the column density of CO and CO depletion factor f_d , calculated and presented in Punanova et al. (2022), based on the data, presented in Tafalla & Hacar (2015).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Formaldehyde distribution

Fig. 1 shows the sum integrated intensities of the three observed formaldehyde lines and contours of visual extinction. Cores 6 and 11 show the highest formaldehyde intensity towards the dust peaks; core 1 also shows the formaldehyde intensity peak towards its centre, but offset from the dust peak; core 35 shows the formaldehyde emission peak as a quite vast patchy spot also away from the dust peak. While cores 1, 6, 11, and 35 show concentrated formaldehyde emission, cores 7, 10, and 16 show no distinct pattern in their formaldehyde emission except for the fact that it is depleted towards the dust peaks. In cold cores, formaldehyde is formed both in the gas phase and on the surface and in the bulk of dust icy mantles. In the latter case, it can further desorb to the gas phase. Unlike methanol, it should not necessarily be abundant towards the zone of heavy freeze-out (e.g.

¹http://www.iram.es/IRAMES/mainWiki/EmirforAstronomers

²Continuum and Line Analysis Single-Dish Software http://www.iram.fr/ IRAMFR/GILDAS.

³*Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principial Investigator consortia and with important participation of NASA.

Table 2.	The observed formaldehyde lines.
----------	----------------------------------

Transition	Frequency (GHz)	$E_{\rm up}/k$ (K)	$A \\ (10^{-5} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$\frac{C}{(\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{s}^{-1})}$	$F_{\rm eff}$	Beff	$\Delta v_{\rm res}$ (km s ⁻¹)	rms in T _{mb} (K)	T _{sys} (K)
ortho- $(2_{1,2}-1_{1,1})$	140.839 502	21.92	5.3040	7.8×10^{-11}	0.93	0.74	0.11	0.08-0.17	100-160
$para-(2_{0,2}-1_{0,1})$	145.602 949	10.48	7.8130	4.7×10^{-11}	0.93	0.73	0.10	0.08-0.13	100-160
ortho- $(2_{1,1}-1_{1,0})$	150.498 334	22.62	6.4720	6.2×10^{-11}	0.93	0.72	0.10	0.08-0.25	100-160

Notes. The frequencies and energies for the H_2CO lines are taken from Bocquet et al. (1996), accessed through the JPL database (Pickett et al. 1998). The Einstein coefficients, *A*, and the collisional coefficients, *C*, are taken from the LAMDA database (Schöier et al. 2005). The collisional coefficients in the LAMDA database were calculated based on Wiesenfeld & Faure (2013).



Figure 1. Integrated intensity of all observed formaldehyde lines toward the observed cores (colour scale) and visual extinction (black contours at $A_V = 3, 4$, 5, 6, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 mag). The top A_V contours are at $A_V = 24$ mag for core 16 and at $A_V = 20$ mag for the other cores. The black star shows the position of Class 0 protostar IRAS 04166+2706 (Santiago-García et al. 2009); crosses show the *Herschel/SPIRE* dust emission peaks. The white circle at the bottom left of each map shows the 26 arcsec beam of the maps.

Vasyunin et al. 2017; Punanova et al. 2022) due to the gas phase formation mechanism.

3.2 Formaldehyde column density and abundance

In our observational set, we have two ortho-H₂CO lines with close energies of the upper level and one para-H₂CO line. Even assuming the o/p ratio = 1, we could not build reliable rotational diagrams. Thus, we consider the ortho- and para- variants of the molecule as different species. We estimate the formaldehyde column densities with two different methods: with the assumption of optically thin lines consistent with local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) and also make the column density profiles across our cores via non-LTE modelling with RADEX (van der Tak et al. 2007) applying the physical structure designed for our chemical model (see Section 3.3.1 for details) and assuming o/p ratio of H₂ of 10⁻⁴ (e.g. Sipilä, Caselli & Harju 2013; Furuya et al. 2015).

The simple assumption of LTE, optically thin lines, and excitation temperature of 10 K yields very low column densities of para-H₂CO, 2–15 times smaller than that of ortho-H₂CO while the o/p ratio of H₂CO can take values up to 3:1 (e.g. Kahane et al. 1984). RADEX modelling gives o/p = 0.9–2.8 of H₂CO towards the dust peaks, with an average value of 2.0. The formaldehyde formation temperature of

10 K corresponds to the 1:1 o/p ratio (Kahane et al. 1984). However, the observations of cold clouds give o/p = 1-2 (e.g. Kahane et al. 1984; Mangum & Wootten 1993). Besides that, Yocum et al. (2023) could not reproduce the 1:1 o/p ratio of gas phase H₂CO with the ice formation temperature of 10 K in their laboratory work. They obtained o/p = 3:1 regardless of the ice temperature of 10-40 K and suggested that lower o/p ratio indicated the gas-phase H₂CO formation. The column density of ortho-H2CO estimated with RADEX is close to that measured under the assumption of LTE and optically thin lines. It is about a factor of ~ 2 lower towards the dust peak (see Table 3) and higher towards the core edges. Since the observed cores are not spherical and the number of the formaldehyde lines and their close energies do not allow us to estimate simultaneously the gas density and the column density via RADEX across all cores, we use the LTE column densities measured through the 140 GHz ortho-H₂CO line (shown in Fig. 2) and use them further in the analysis, adopting the average o/p ratio = 2.0 to calculate the total H_2CO abundance. The ambiguity of the observed o/p ratio of H_2CO introduces a factor of 2 uncertainty in our $N_{tot}(H_2CO)$. This would still result in a low abundance of formaldehyde with respect to methanol.

The column densities of ortho-H₂CO vary in the range 0.1– 2.5×10^{13} cm⁻², with higher column density observed in the prox-

Table 3. Formaldehyde column densities towards the dust peaks estimated via non-LTE RADEX and under assumption of LTE and optically thin lines.

				RADEX 0-H ₂ CO		RADEX p-H ₂ CO			LTE o-H ₂ CO	LTE p-H ₂ CO	
Core	$n(o-H_2)$ (cm ⁻³)	$n(p-H_2)$ (10 ⁵ cm ⁻³)	<i>T</i> _k (K)	T _{mb} (K)	$v (km s^{-1})$	$\frac{N_{\rm tot}}{(10^{12}{ m cm}^{-2})}$	T _{mb} (K)	$v (km s^{-1})$	$\frac{N_{\rm tot}}{(10^{12}{\rm cm}^{-2})}$	$\frac{N_{\rm tot}}{(10^{12}{ m cm}^{-2})}$	$\frac{N_{\rm tot}}{(10^{12}{ m cm}^{-2})}$
1	12.4	1.24	10.27	1.509	0.648	10.08	1.124	0.652	4.63	15.15	2.67
6	12.1	1.21	10.19	1.571	0.318	5.39	0.849	0.556	2.86	8.38	1.44
7	7.4	0.74	10.37	0.550	0.787	4.94	0.728	0.520	3.28	5.89	1.20
10	7.8	0.78	10.71	0.724	0.447	3.61	0.500	0.377	1.40	5.79	0.77
11	24.3	2.43	11.42	0.954	0.641	3.55	0.730	0.523	1.27	8.65	1.04
16	12.3	1.23	9.76	0.382	0.414	1.31	0.489	0.490	1.39	2.46	0.84
35	5.4	0.54	10.76	0.950	0.800	12.45	0.802	0.636	5.79	9.67	1.44

Notes. The collisional coefficients between o-/p-H₂CO and o-/p-H₂ for RADEX are taken from Wiesenfeld & Faure (2013) through LAMDA database (Schöier et al. 2005).



Figure 2. Column density of ortho-H₂CO measured via the 140 GHz line toward the observed cores (colour scale) and visual extinction (black contours). The contours and other symbols are drawn like in Fig. 1. The same colour scale is used in all the panels to emphasize the differences between the cores.

imity of the dust peaks in cores 1, 6, 11 and towards the shells in cores 7, 10, 16, and 35 (see Fig. 2). This distribution is similar to that of methanol with the exception of core 1, where methanol showed a distinct ring-like structure around the core with a bright intensity blob on a side (for the methanol maps, see fig. 17 of Punanova et al. 2022).

To obtain the total formaldehyde abundance, we divided our ortho-H₂CO column densities by $N_{tot}(H_2)$ from Punanova et al. (2022) and apply a factor to account for the o/p = 2.0. The abundance varies in the range $0.1-3.2 \times 10^{-9}$ with a median value of 1.1×10^{-9} . The maps of total formaldehyde abundance (see Fig. 3) show the highest abundance towards the core shells and prominent depletion towards the dust peaks, just like methanol abundance (fig. 6 of Punanova et al. 2022). Among the cores, the highest formaldehyde abundance is observed towards core 1 (also with the highest median abundance of 1.5×10^{-9}), where the highest methanol abundance is also observed. However, the H₂CO abundance peak does not match with the CH₃OH abundance peak although is situated next to it at an almost the same A_V level. Interestingly, there is a secondary H₂CO abundance peak almost towards the dust peak of core 1 (where the $N(H_2CO)$ peak is located). There is no methanol enhancement towards that spot. The similar pattern – H_2CO abundance peak next to the CH_3OH peak at the same A_V level and the secondary H_2CO peak close to the dust peak where CH_3OH is depleted – was observed towards the prototypical prestellar core L1544 (Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019). This might indicate active formaldehyde formation in the gas phase or a locally enhanced desorbtion.

3.3 Chemical modelling

3.3.1 Physical profiles

The physical profiles are built in a similar manner to that of Punanova et al. (2022). We use the $N(H_2)$ and T_{dust} estimated through the dust continuum emission observations from *Herschel/SPIRE* (see Section 2) and presented in Punanova et al. (2022). Instead of using polynomial fits to radial distributions of $N(H_2)$ and T_{dust} , we use an average over a ring of one pixel width, centred at the dust peak. Ten concentric rings are sufficient to describe the cores, where the outer



Figure 3. The total abundance of H_2CO measured via the 140 GHz line with an assumption of o/p = 2.0 toward the observed cores (colour scale) and visual extinction (black contours). The contours and other symbols are drawn like in Fig. 1. The same colour scale is used in all the panels to emphasize the differences between the cores.

values of $N(H_2)$ are similar to each other ($\simeq 9 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). Then, $N(H_2)$ is converted into $n(H_2)$ under an assumption of spherical symmetry of the cores, with the same structure on the line of sight and in the plane of sky, as described in Hasenberger & Alves (2020) and applied in Punanova et al. (2022). The gas temperature is considered equal to T_{dust} . The profiles are shown in Fig. 4. This approach compared to the polynomial fit allows to better balance the profiles of the cores with asymmetric structure [where distribution of $N(H_2)$ and T_{dust} is far from circular symmetry]. We run the chemical model both with these profiles and with the profiles from Punanova et al. (2022) to be able to compare the new model results to that of Punanova et al. (2022).

3.3.2 Model description

We employ the updated MONACO astrochemical code, which is described in details in Borshcheva et al. (2025, under review). This is a 0D three-phase code based on the rate equations approach. Gas phase, surface ice monolayers, and bulk of icy mantles are considered separately, with reactions both on the surface and in the bulk of the ice. Being a 0D code, MONACO produces time-dependent fractional abundances of chemical species independently at every radial point of a physical model of a core. Computed fractional abundances in a set of radial points along the modelled profile are than converted into column densities in order to perform more direct comparison with observations. The key differences of the current code from the MONACO version described in Vasvunin et al. (2017) and utilized in Punanova et al. (2022) are the implementation of non-diffusive processes on dust grains (Jin & Garrod 2020), which allows adsorbed heavy radicals to react effectively even at the low temperatures typical for prestellar cores; adding H-atom abstraction reactions on dust grains for the CO hydrogenation 'ladder'; correction of H and H₂ desorption energy according to the fraction of dust surface covered by H₂ (Cuppen et al. 2009; Garrod & Pauly 2011); and several new routes for the formation of important ice constituents such as acetaldehyde (Fedoseev et al. 2022) and methane (Lamberts et al. 2022).

The chemical evolution of the cores embedded in the L1495 filament is modelled in two steps. The first stage is somewhat different from the one considered in Vasyunin et al. (2017) and Punanova et al. (2022). As in Borshcheva et al. (2025, under review), the translucent medium employed for the first phase is described with gas density of 10^3 cm^{-3} , visual extinction $A_V = 2.0$ mag and the temperature of gas and dust linearly falling from 15 to 10 K during the 10^6 yr of evolution. The original chemical composition is the 'low-metal' abundances from table 1 in Wakelam & Herbst (2008), and hydrogen initially resides in molecular form. The fractional abundances obtained at the final time moment of the first stage are then utilized as the initial chemical composition for each radial point in the second stage where chemistry in the dense cores is simulated.

Desorption processes incorporated in our modelling are thermal evaporation, cosmic-ray-induced desorption (Hasegawa & Herbst 1993), the photodesorption by cosmic-ray-induced ultraviolet photons (Prasad & Tarafdar 1983), reactive (chemical) desorption and photodesorption. The intact photodesorption yield per incident photon equals to 10^{-2} for CO (Fayolle et al. 2011) and 10^{-5} for other species (Bertin et al. 2016; Cruz-Diaz et al. 2016); see Punanova et al. (2022) for details. The parametrization of reactive desorption is the same as in Borshcheva et al. (2025, under review) and essentially agrees with the parametrization suggested by Garrod et al. (2007), which is based on Rice-Ramsperger-Kessel theory. The probability of reactive desorption, which is the parameter a in the expression (2) in Garrod et al. (2007), is equal to 0.01. The only difference from Garrod et al. (2007) is that the desorption occurs only from the surface fraction not covered by water ice, as discussed in Vasyunin et al. (2017).

In our current model, the tunnelling through diffusive barriers for light species (H and H_2) in the solid phase is switched off, while the tunnelling through reaction activation barriers is switched on.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/537/4/3686/8003768 by Chalmers University of Technology / The Main Library user on 18 March

2025





Figure 4. Physical models of the cores: molecular hydrogen number density (red squares) and dust temperature (blue triangles).

The reaction/diffusion competition for the reactions with a barrier is accounted for (Garrod & Pauly 2011). The H₂ cosmic-ray ionization rate is 1.3×10^{-17} s⁻¹. The grain size is 10^{-5} cm, the dust-to-gas mass ratio equals 0.01, the dust grain density is 3 g cm^{-3} and the surface site density equals 1.5×10^{15} cm⁻². The ratio of the diffusion energy barrier of a species to its desorption energy barrier equals 0.5 for atomic species and 0.3 for molecular species. As an example, for H atoms with the desorption energy of 450 K (Minissale et al. 2022), the diffusion barrier is 225 K, which is within the range obtained by the laboratory studies for H diffusion on amorphous solid water (Hama et al. 2012) and close to the lowest value of 255 K measured for H diffusion on pure solid CO by Kimura et al. (2018). The modelled grain mantles predominantly consist of water ice. The ratio of the bulk swapping energy of a species to its diffusion energy in surface monolayers is 1.5 for atomic hydrogen and 2.0 for all other ice constituents. With this ratio, the species heavier than atomic or molecular hydrogen are practically immobile at the low temperatures of prestellar cores, and the diffusive reactions involving only the components heavier than H or H₂ have negligible impact on abundances. Four upper ice monolayers are considered as surface (Vasyunin & Herbst 2013), while the deeper layers of icy mantles constitute bulk ice.

New reactions are added to our chemical network following recent theoretical and experimental studies. As it was mentioned before, hydrogen abstraction reactions are now switched on for every step of the CO hydrogenation 'ladder' (as is done in Jin & Garrod 2020). We also include the experimentally confirmed (Santos et al. 2022) reaction gCH₃O + gH₂CO \rightarrow gCH₃OH + gHCO with a barrier of 2670 K from Álvarez-Barcia et al. (2018). Prefix 'g' is for species in the surface layers of icy mantles. When speaking about surface reactions, we imply that we have similar reactions for bulk species in our model. The reaction gC + gH₂O \rightarrow gH₂CO, which was shown to produce H₂CO in the solid state (Molpeceres et al. 2021), is present in our network too. For more detailed information on the changes in the chemical network (see Borshcheva et al. 2025, under review).

With this model, we investigate the factors which possibly affect the gas-phase H_2CO abundance and the $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ ratio. We present the tests that did not result in significant decrease of H_2CO abundance and $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ ratio in Appendix A. Below, we present the results of the two versions of the model, the default

 Table 4.
 Cores chemical ages corresponding to the CO depletion factor from

 Punanova et al. (2022), derived from the chemical model.

Core	f_{d}	Age 1 (kyr)	Age 2 (kyr)
1	8	110	110
6	14	196	196
7	4	87	87
10	12	248	313
11	44	498	394
16	17	196	221
35	4	175	175

Notes. The age is the same in the default model and in the model with the additional channel for the $CH_3 + O$ reaction. Age 1 shows the age with the physical profiles introduced in this work and Age 2 shows the age with the physical profiles from Punanova et al. (2022).

one and the one with an additional channel for the reaction $CH_3 + O$ that is the most effective in H_2CO production.

3.3.3 Modelling results

To analyse the modelling results and compare them to the observational results, we consider the time moment where the maximal observed CO depletion is reached in the model (see Table 4). CO depletion factor at every point (t, r) in time and space is calculated as $f_d^{(t,r)} = N_{\max}^{(r)}(CO)/N^{(t,r)}(CO)$, where $N_{\max}^{(r)}(CO)$ is a maximum of CO column density by time at a certain radial point r, and $N^{(t,r)}(CO)$ is a CO column density at a point (t, r).

In our modelling, the major route of H₂CO formation is the gasphase reaction CH₃ + O \rightarrow H + H₂CO with the rate coefficient of 1.4 × 10⁻¹⁰ cm³ s⁻¹ inherited from the OSU database (Prasad & Huntress 1980). This is the only channel for this reaction present in our default network. For core 1, it is responsible for 88 per cent of gaseous H₂CO production at the time when the observed CO depletion is achieved (the percentage for other cores is similar). Another important source of H₂CO is the reactive desorption in the surface reaction gH + gHCO \rightarrow gH₂CO, which supplies 11 per cent of gaseous formaldehyde. The rate of H₃CO⁺ dissociative recombination resulting in the products H₂CO + H is three times higher than the rate of the H₂CO delivery to gas via chemical desorption, however, the major source of H₃CO⁺ ion is the reaction loop between H₂CO and H₃⁺. Switching off the channel H₃CO⁺ + e⁻ \rightarrow H₂CO + H only lowers H₂CO abundance by a factor of \approx 1.3 at the central region of the core. Thus, this reaction has a negligible impact on formaldehyde production.

In addition to the default model, we also consider a model with an additional channel for the $CH_3 + O$ reaction (hereinafter the 'additional channel' model). This reaction plays an important role in combustion chemistry and was actively studied over the last four decades (see fig. 5 of Xu et al. 2015, and references therein), although at elevated temperatures. Association of CH₃ and O produces highly excited CH₃O* radical which further decomposes through various accessible channels, such as (a) $H_2CO + H_1$ (b) $HCO + H_2$, (c) $COH + H_2$, and (d) $CH + H_2O$ (Hack et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2015). At high-temperature and high-pressure conditions channels (a) and (b) dominate with the most recently estimated ratio of about 1.5. According to Xu et al. (2015), HCO radical forming in channel (b) is unstable and can rapidly fragment into CO and H. This fragmentation is even more likely to occur at low pressure and low temperature conditions of prestellar cores in the absence of collisional cooling. The excess energy of HCO + H₂ formation is $80.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ (40 610 K), it is distributed among the reaction products. The reaction $HCO \rightarrow CO + H$ has a barrier of 17.7 kcal mol⁻¹ (8907 K). Because of low densities and low impact velocities in the interstellar medium, deexcitation rates are low too, and HCO can break down into CO and H. Initial energy excess may be also utilized to overcome the reaction barrier. With the lack of precise data on the low-temperature reaction rate and branching ratio of $CH_3 + O$ reaction, we add channel (b) as $CO + H_2 + H$ for the conditions of prestellar cores to our network. We assume this to be the dominating branch due to formation of three reaction products which help to allocate the high excess energy of $CH_3 + O$ reaction.

Up to our knowledge, no experimental studies report total rate of $CH_3 + O$ reaction and its branching ratio at low temperatures $(\sim 10 \text{ K})$. In the KIDA (Wakelam et al. 2012) and UMIST (Millar et al. 2024) astrochemical databases, the reaction $CH_3 + O$ is present with two product channels, (a) $H + H_2CO$ and (b) $CO + H_2 + H$, with the branching ratio $\simeq 5:1$. However, the rates are obtained in the studies of combustion or atmospheric chemistry, for the temperature ranges generally higher than that of cold cores (50-2500 K; Baulch et al. 1992, 2005; Fockenberg & Preses 2002; Atkinson et al. 2006; Hébrard et al. 2009). On the other hand, some experimental and theoretical studies report temperature dependence for this reaction with the decrease of its rate constant at lower temperatures (see e.g. Fockenberg & Preses 2002; Yagi et al. 2004). In order to describe the temperature dependence of the reaction rate, Fockenberg & Preses (2002) suggest an Arrhenius expression for the rate coefficient $k_{\text{CH}_3+\text{O}} = (2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} \exp(-(202 \pm 60) \text{K}/T) \text{ cm}^3$ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ with the 'effective barrier' equal to 202 ± 60 K. However, Fockenberg & Preses (2002) stress that this expression should only be used in their investigated temperature range from 354 to 935 K. The studies of this reaction at higher temperatures (> 295 K) report very different branching ratios (see e.g. Fockenberg et al. 1999; Preses, Fockenberg & Flynn 2000; Hack et al. 2005). Since the branching ratio is ambiguous, we investigate it as described below.

With the 1:1:0:0 branching ratio of the channels $CO + H_2 + H:H_2CO + H:COH + H_2:CH + H_2O$, formaldehyde abundance drops by a factor of < 1.8 compared to the default model results. With the aim to test the impact of temperature depen-

Table 5. The channels of the $CH_3 + O$ reaction and their branching ratio in our default model and in the model with additional channel.

Default	Additional channel
1	1/9
0	8/9
	Default 1 0

dence and the limited contribution of $H_2CO + H$ formation branch among the other branches of this reaction, we also performed numerical simulations with the different branching ratios between $CH_3 + O \rightarrow H_2CO + H$ and $CH_3 + O \rightarrow CO + H_2 + H$ reaction pathways. Factors of 2, 4, 8, and 16 were used in our simulations. The best fit to the observations is obtained with the channels ratio $CO + H_2 + H : H_2CO + H = 8 : 1$ (see Table 5), which is beyond the ratios presented in the literature before. Further increase of this ratio (e.g. to 16:1) has a negligible impact on H_2CO abundance because H_2CO production is further dominated by the chemical desorption in the surface reaction $gH + gHCO \rightarrow gH_2CO$.

In both versions of our model, solid formaldehyde amounts to ≈ 10 per cent of water ice within the central parts of the cores (2000 au for cores 10, 11, and 35, 4000 au for all other cores, see Fig. 5). Towards the core edge, its abundance gradually decreases to ≤ 0.1 per cent of water ice. The infrared observations reported H₂CO abundance to be up to 6 per cent of H₂O ice (Boogert et al. 2008). CH₃OH ice follows the same radial trend with the abundance a little lower (by a factor of 1.5–4.5) than ice abundance of H₂CO. These predictions can be used to plan infrared observations of the ices with *JWST*.

3.4 Compare model and observations

In Fig. 6, we compare our default model (the blue solid lines) and the model with the additional channel for the $CH_3 + O$ reaction (the dash-dotted black lines) with observations. The left panels of Fig. 6 show the CO abundances. CO is an ultimate 'sink' for carbon in the gas phase in case of C/O ratio below 1. Thus, before freeze-out, the abundance of this species is relatively constant in dark clouds and is close to the carbon elemental abundance. The ways of CO production and destruction are well described, that is why it can serve as a first test for the models. Both models give almost the same result for CO, slightly underestimating its abundance. The small (factor of a few) underestimation may be explained by the fact that the models show only the CO from the core while in the observations we also see the CO in the surrounding cloud. Insufficient elemental abundance of carbon in the model may also caused the underestimation, as discussed in Punanova et al. (2022).

The middle and the right panels of Fig. 6 show the formaldehyde abundances as functions of visual extinction and CO depletion factor. The default model overpredicts the formaldehyde abundance by an order of magnitude. However, the correlation between formaldehyde, visual extinction and CO depletion factor is reproduced well except for core 7 where both models do not show the decrease of formaldehyde abundance with A_V and f_d . The correctly reproduced correlations with the systematic difference in the abundance may indicate that either the production rate of H₂CO is overestimated or the destruction rate of H₂CO is underestimated, while the overall model is correct. The model with the additional channel reproduces the observed formaldehyde abundance fairly well, matching the upper values (cores 1, 6, 10, and 35), overestimating by a factor of a few (core 11 with an embedded protostar).



Figure 5. The radial profiles of H_2CO and CH_3OH modelled ice abundances with respect to H_2O ice for core 1 (left panel) and core 10 (right panel). Prefix 'i' denotes total amount of ice species, both on surface and in the bulk of ice.

With Fig. 7, we analyse the differences and similarities between formaldehyde and methanol, H₂CO:CH₃OH ratio, and compare the performance of the revised MONACO model with its old version. The old version of the model provides a link to the previous work where we studied methanol (Punanova et al. 2022). Just like methanol, formaldehyde decrease its abundance at higher A_V and f_d . Unlike methanol, formaldehyde does not decrease its abundance at the core edges where A_V and f_d are low, even though the reliable detections of the formaldehyde lines are present at lower values of visual extinction and CO depletion factor. In fact, formaldehyde abundance keeps increasing at lower A_V and f_d (except for core 10 where some decrease of formaldehyde abundance at the core edge is present), which indicates the gas-phase formation route working. This detail in formaldehyde abundance distribution (better seen in Fig. 6) is also missed by the chemical model, which shows a decrease in the formaldehyde abundance at lower A_V .

Fig. 7 compares modelled and observed formaldehyde (left panels) and methanol (middle panels) abundances and their ratio (right panels) as functions of A_V . The methanol data are taken from Punanova et al. (2022). The models include the default model (solid blue line), the model with additional channel (dash-dotted black line), and the best model of Punanova et al. (2022) - the diffusive model with enabled tunnelling for diffusion of hydrogen atoms and reactive desorption efficiency from (Minissale et al. 2016) (hereinafter the old model, dotted magenta line). The old model overpredicts formaldehyde abundance even more than our new default model. Methanol abundance is just the same in the default model and the model with the additional channel, in both models it is underestimated by a factor of a few or matches the lower values (core 7). The old model reproduce methanol abundance better. The figure shows that the default model misses the observed relation by about an order of magnitude, while the model with the additional channel and the old model overestimate the relation by a factor of a few. Of the three models, the model with the additional channel produces the H₂CO:CH₃OH ratio, closest to observed one.

4 DISCUSSION

While in cold cores, methanol is efficiently formed only on the ice surfaces, formaldehyde can be formed both in surface and in gas phase reactions. Our model shows that the gas-phase H₂CO formation prevails, which is indirectly confirmed by the observed o/p ratio of $\simeq 2$ (as suggested by Yocum et al. 2023). Models predict that formaldehyde is more abundant than methanol. However, in

observations, we rather see a lack of formaldehyde, which is as much or two-to-five times less abundant than methanol. We plot the methanol and formaldehyde abundances in the same coordinate scales with an indication of CO depletion factor in each position (see Fig. 8) core by core, to analyse their correlation. This plot shows that (i) formaldehyde is always less abundant than methanol; (ii) both methanol and formaldehyde abundances decrease with CO depletion, starting with maximal abundances around $f_d \simeq 1.5$; (iii) while the correlation between the abundances looks almost linear in log–log coordinates, there is a wide spread, especially in core 6.

We tried to describe the correlation with simple linear fits and search for common trends among the regions of L1495 where the cores belong, since the regions B10, B211, B213, and B216 show different dynamic age (Hacar et al. 2013; Seo et al. 2015) that might affect chemistry there, however, we did not find any common trends within the regions of the filament. Fig. 9 with all methanol and formaldehyde abundances in one plot shows that the relation between formaldehyde and methanol lie between 1:1 and 1:5.

In Punanova et al. (2022), tunnelling for diffusion of hydrogen atoms was essential to reproduce methanol abundance in cold cores: enabling/disabling the tunnelling impacted methanol abundance by one-to-two orders of magnitude $(10^{-11}-10^{-9})$ with respect to n_{H_2} , while different approaches to treat reactive desorption varied methanol abundance by a factor of 2–5. The latter was not sufficient to attain the observed methanol abundances in cold cores. The new non-diffusive model exhibits the best agreement with observations when tunnelling for diffusion of hydrogen atoms is disabled.

This fact shall not be considered as a controversy between diffusive and non-diffusive rate equations-based models. It rather reflects the fact that macroscopic rate equations-based models have limited capabilities of reproducing microscopic surface effects that control hydrogen diffusion. In a series of elaborated experiments, Watanabe et al. (2010), Hama et al. (2012), and Kuwahata et al. (2015) showed that diffusion of hydrogen atoms on real surface is complex and is mainly controlled by the existing of binding sites with different energies. Tunnelling is efficient for diffusion between the low- and mid-energy binding sites. However, if a hydrogen atom is landed in a high-energy binding site that is surrounded by low- and mid-energy binding sites, it will be trapped there. Thus, at low temperatures, the diffusion of hydrogen atoms is fast but mainly short-ranged, and the answer if tunnelling is efficient for H-atoms reactivity depends on how far on average is the closest potential reactant, i.e. on surface coverage by H atoms. When translated to macroscopic rate equationsbased models, this may result in a fact that the diffusion rate of



Figure 6. The comparison of the observed (coloured dots) and modelled CO abundance profiles as a function of visual extinction (left panel); H_2 CO abundance profiles as a function of visual extinction (middle panel); and as a function of CO depletion factor (right panel) for all cores. The colour scale represents CO depletion factor f_d (left and middle panels) and visual extinction A_V (right panel) in the individual pixels. The data points of core 35 are all shown with the same colour since there are no CO data for this core. The models include the default non-diffusive model (solid blue line) and the non-diffusive model with the additional channel for the reaction $CH_3 + O$ (dashed–dotted black line).

hydrogen atoms needed to explain observational results shall be higher than that caused by thermal hopping but lower than that due to quantum tunnelling. Depending on particular modelling case, the outcome is that tunnelling for diffusion of hydrogen atoms is necessary or, on the contrary, not necessary.

Our chemical simulations show that gas-phase H₂CO is mainly formed in the gas phase in the reaction CH₃ + O. But reactive desorption in the surface reaction gH + gHCO \rightarrow gH₂CO in the methanol formation chain is also significant; at early ages (up to about 2 × 10⁴ yr), the rate of H₂CO enriching the gas via this route is comparable to the rate of the reaction CH₃ + O, and sometimes even slightly higher. If the reaction $CH_3 + O$ is completely suppressed, significant amount of formaldehyde still enters the gas phase due to reactive desorption (and it is still slightly more abundant than methanol).

The non-diffusive mechanisms make a small contribution to the formation of gH_2CO itself. The diffusion reaction gH + gHCO is mainly what happens, and the rate of a similar non-diffusion reaction is one-to-two orders of magnitude lower. Non-diffusive chemistry helps to account for the COMs formation through recombination of low-mobile free radicals, e.g. recombination of CH_nO radicals produced by $CO + 4H \rightarrow CH_3OH$ hydrogenation 'ladder' (Fedoseev



Figure 7. The comparison of the observed (coloured dots) and modelled H_2CO abundance profiles (left panel); CH₃OH abundance profiles (middle panel); and $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ ratio (right panel) as a function of visual extinction for all cores. The colour scale represents CO depletion factor f_d in the individual pixels. The data points of core 35 are all shown with the same colour since there are no CO data for this core. The models include the default non-diffusive model (solid blue line); the non-diffusive model with the additional channel for the reaction $CH_3 + O$ (dashed–dotted black line); the old version of the model with tunnelling for diffusion of H and H_2 and reactive desorption efficiency from Minissale et al. (2016) as in Punanova et al. (2022) (dotted magenta line).

et al. 2015; Chuang et al. 2016). Formaldehyde is situated in the middle of this network. Thus, H_2CO mapping helps us to better understand the whole picture: if there were no non-diffusive chemistry, all the surface gHCO would be spent to form gH₂CO, and not COMs because the reactions between heavy radicals proceed slowly via mechanisms of diffusive chemistry.

1D modelling of chemistry in considered cores reproduce radial distributions of observed abundances of CO, H_2CO , and CH_3OH fairly well. However, as can be seen in Figs 6 and 7, modelled abundances of those species deviate from sets of observational points. Both default and additional channel MONACO models tend to

underestimate gas-phase abundances of CO and CH_3OH by a factor of 3. The reason for underestimated CO abundance can be related to the elemental abundance of carbon (see Punanova et al. 2022) or the fact that the model does not account for the CO in the surrounding cloud on the line of sight. In case of methanol, the key factor affecting its gas-phase abundance in cold cores is non-thermal (reactive) desorption from grains, which is poorly constrained. However, such agreement with observations can be considered as satisfactory. Thus, detailed consideration of the impact of elemental abundances and efficiency of non-thermal desorption on the abundances of CO and CH_3OH is out of scope of this study. On the contrary, in case of H_2CO ,



Figure 8. The abundance of formaldehyde as a function of methanol abundance. The colour scale shows CO depletion factor, f_d . The thin diagonal lines show 1:1 correlation.



Figure 9. Abundances of methanol and formaldehyde towards the studied cores. The lines show the H₂CO:CH₃OH ratio of 1:5 (thick black dashed–dotted line), 1:2 (thick blue dashed), 1:1 correlation (thick red solid), and 2:1 (thick yellow dotted). The colour represents scaled A_V (no absolute values).

the default MONACO model overestimated it by an order of magnitude regardless of the efficiency of reactive desorption of formaldehyde from surface. Introduction of a new branching ratio between the channels of the gas-phase reaction $O + CH_3$ allowed us to improve the agreement between the model and observational data. This can be considered as a case of constraining routes of the chemical reaction using the astronomical observations when necessary laboratory and computational data on the reaction is missing. According to our model tests, the formaldehyde abundance is not affected by oxygen abundance, overall metallicity, abundance of C and O in the atomic form at the beginning of the core evolution or possibly higher or lower cosmic-ray ionization rate (see Section A for the details).

In both default and additional channel models, methanol shows the maximum abundance > 10^{-8} at $\approx 5 \times 10^3$ yr towards the centres of the cores, which is higher than formaldehyde maximum abundance in both cases (see the example of core 1 in Fig. 10; other cores have similar time patterns for these species). For core 1, CH₃OH abundance prevails over H₂CO abundance at the time interval of 7×10^2 – 2×10^4 yr in our default model and at 4×10^2 – 3×10^4 yr in our additional channel model. However, these intervals are located earlier than the chemical age of the core (110 kyr) calculated in agreement with the CO depletion factor.

At high CO depletion factors typical of dynamically evolved prestellar cores, CO adsorption rate significantly decreases. This results in the increase of CO hydrogenation degree by steadily adsorbing H-atoms. During this time, most of the CO molecules available on the surface can be converted to the ultimate hydrogenation product, CH₃OH, rather than being partially locked in H₂CO ice. This results in the thin surface layer comprised mainly of CH₃OH ice (see e.g. Cuppen et al. 2009; He et al. 2022).

The problem of the overestimated H_2CO abundance is not unique for the studied sources. In many chemical models, formaldehyde abundance is always higher than that of methanol (Walsh et al. 2009; Vasyunin et al. 2017; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2022) while many detailed observational studies report the opposite results for all (except for PDRs) kinds of star-forming regions – low-mass cores, massive cores, IRDCs, etc. (e.g. Walsh et al. 2009; Vasyunina et al. 2014; Cuadrado et al. 2017; Chacón-Tanarro et al. 2019; Kirsanova et al. 2021). In fact, the only sources where formaldehyde was observed to be more abundant than methanol are PDRs (see Guzmán et al. 2013; Cuadrado et al. 2017).

One may argue that the significant sources of ambiguity of the model results are the reaction rates. Indeed, according to the latest release of the UMIST database (Millar et al. 2024), 1448 reactions have accuracy of 25 per cent, 802 reactions – of 50 per cent, 4631 reactions – of factor of 2, 1854 reactions – of an order of magnitude.



Figure 10. The time profiles of H_2CO and CH_3OH abundances derived from modelled column densities towards the centre of core 1 in our default (left panel) and additional channel (right panel) models.

The reason is that the majority of the gas phase reactions (just like the reaction $CH_3 + O$) are investigated at room temperature while we model the medium with $T \simeq 10$ K. The gas phase reactions between atoms and free radicals are difficult to study in the laboratory: the particles are not charged, so it is not possible to isolate them and cool down in the ion trap. Such reactions are typically studied by crossbeams, and the free radicals are usually produced by the thermal, electrical discharge or photon induced dissociation which makes the produced species hot. Adiabatic expansion cools the species but cooling to 10K is challenging. The impact of the reaction rate uncertainties on chemical modelling had been studied: due to these uncertainties the accuracy of the modelled abundances is of one order of magnitude (e.g. Vasyunin et al. 2004; Wakelam et al. 2005, 2010; Wakelam, Herbst & Selsis 2006; Vasyunin et al. 2008). Thus, we consider the order-of-magnitude difference between the observational results and our modelling as acceptable. However, we believe that random variations of the reaction rates still do not explain the systematic underestimation of the H₂CO:CH₃OH ratio.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we study the spatial distribution and the relative abundance of formaldehyde in seven cold dense cores of the lowmass star-forming region L1495. The obtained relative abundances of formaldehyde are compared to similar results previously obtained for methanol. We explore the correlation between formaldehyde and methanol emission and test the new astrochemical model that employs non-diffusive chemistry (Borshcheva et al. 2025, under review). Our main findings are listed as follows:

(i) The distribution of formaldehyde in cold cores is very similar to that of methanol, with maximal abundance in the core shell, the active CO freeze-out zone, where CO depletion factor $f_d \simeq 1.5$. Like methanol, formaldehyde is depleted towards the dust peaks, with the abundance lowered by a factor of 2–10.

(ii) According to our non-LTE analysis, the ortho-to-para ratio of formaldehyde is between 0.9 and 2.8 in the studied cores (2.0 on average). Following the assumption of Yocum et al. (2023) and results of our modelling, this hints for the partial gas-phase formation of formaldehyde in the cold cores.

(iii) While the models predict the formaldehyde abundance by an order of magnitude higher than that of methanol ($\sim 10^{-8}$ and $\sim 10^{-9}$, respectively), the observed formaldehyde abundance is by a factor of 1–5 smaller than that of methanol $(0.1-3.0\times10^{-9})$ versus $0.5-8.5\times10^{-9}$). The models though reproduce the shape of formaldehyde distribution. The reproduction of the observed profiles in the simulation runs provides a good overall validation for the applied astrochemical model. On the other hand, systematic overproduction of H₂CO in our model hints for the systematic overestimation of H₂CO formation rate or systematic underestimation of its destruction.

(iv) Various tests of the upgraded model MONACO show that the most effective way to bring the modelled abundances closer to the observed ones is to introduce a new channel and apply a branching ratio to the most effective reaction that produces formaldehyde: $CH_3 + O \rightarrow H + H_2CO$ (Xu et al. 2015). We put an observational constrain on the model by applying the branching ratio $CO + H_2 + H : H_2CO + H = 8:1$ to the products of this reaction.

(v) The attempts to add collapse, use higher and lower cosmicray ionization rate, vary metallicity including sulphur and oxygen abundance, vary abundance of atomic C and O at the beginning of the core evolution, vary reaction rates within those reported in the literature, vary the gas temperature in the initial diffuse cloud did not result in a change of $H_2CO:CH_3OH$ ratio in the model.

(vi) The column density and abundance maps of formaldehyde towards the cold cores in L1495 presented in this study, along with the column density and abundance maps of methanol from Punanova et al. (2022), can serve for testing chemical models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous referee for valuable comments that helped to improve the manuscript. Gleb Fedoseev is benefited from the Xinjiang Tianchi Talent Program (2024). Anton Vasyunin acknowledges the support of the Russian Science Foundation project 23-12-00315. This work is based on observations carried out under projects 032-14, 156-14, 013-18, 125-18, and 031-19 with the IRAM 30-m telescope. IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany), and IGN (Spain).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The formaldehyde spectral cubes, column density, and abundance maps will be placed on the Strasbourg astronomical Data Center (CDS). The other observation data (the methanol spectral cubes, the maps of CO depletion factor, visual extinction, methanol column density, rotational temperature, abundance as well as the model abundance profiles) are published in Punanova et al. (2022).

REFERENCES

- Álvarez-Barcia S., Russ P., Kästner J., Lamberts T., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2007
- Atkinson R. et al., 2006, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 6, 3625
- Barnard E. E., 1927, Catalogue of 349 Dark Objects in the Sky. University of Chicago Press, Chicago
- Baulch D. L. et al., 1992, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 21, 411
- Baulch D. L. et al., 2005, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 34, 757
- Belloche A., Garrod R. T., Müller H. S. P., Menten K. M., 2014, Science, 345, 1584
- Bertin M. et al., 2016, ApJ, 817, L12
- Bocquet R. et al., 1996, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 177, 154
- Boogert A. C. A. et al., 2008, ApJ, 678, 985
- Borshcheva, K., Fedoseev, G., Punanova, A.F., Caselli, P., Jimenez-Serra, I., Vasyunin, A. I., 2025, ApJ, under revision
- Cabedo V., Maury A., Girart J. M., Padovani M., Hennebelle P., Houde M., Zhang Q., 2023, A&A, 669, A90
- Chacón-Tanarro A. et al., 2019, A&A, 622, A141
- Chen L.-F., Chang Q., Wang Y., Li D., 2022, MNRAS, 516, 4627
- Chuang K. J., Fedoseev G., Ioppolo S., van Dishoeck E. F., Linnartz H., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 1702
- Chuang K. J., Fedoseev G., Qasim D., Joppolo S., van Dishoeck E. F., Linnartz H., 2018, ApJ, 853, 102
- Cimas A., Largo A., 2006, J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 10912
- Cruz-Diaz G. A., Martín-Doménech R., Muñoz Caro G. M., Chen Y.-J., 2016, A&A, 592, A68
- Cuadrado S., Goicoechea J. R., Cernicharo J., Fuente A., Pety J., Tercero B., 2017, A&A, 603, A124
- Cuppen H. M., van Dishoeck E. F., Herbst E., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2009, A&A, 508, 275
- de Souza Machado G., Martins E. M., Baptista L., Bauerfeldt G. F., 2020, J. Phys. Chem. A, 124, 2309
- Fayolle E. C., Bertin M., Romanzin C., Michaut X., Öberg K. I., Linnartz H., Fillion J.-H., 2011, ApJ, 739, L36
- Fedoseev G., Chuang K. J., Ioppolo S., Qasim D., van Dishoeck E. F., Linnartz H., 2017, ApJ, 842, 52
- Fedoseev G., Cuppen H. M., Ioppolo S., Lamberts T., Linnartz H., 2015, MNRAS, 448, 1288
- Fedoseev G., Qasim D., Chuang K.-J., Ioppolo S., Lamberts T., van Dishoeck E. F., Linnartz H., 2022, ApJ, 924, 110
- Fockenberg C., Hall G. E., Preses J. M., Sears T. J., Muckerman J. T., 1999, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103, 5722
- Fockenberg C., Preses J. M., 2002, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 2924
- Fontani F. et al., 2017, A&A, 605, A57
- Freeman P., Bottinelli S., Plume R., Caux E., Monaghan C., Mookerjea B., 2023, A&A, 678, A18
- Fuchs G. W., Cuppen H. M., Ioppolo S., Romanzin C., Bisschop S. E., Andersson S., van Dishoeck E. F., Linnartz H., 2009, A&A, 505, 629
- Furuya K., Aikawa Y., Hincelin U., Hassel G. E., Bergin E. A., Vasyunin A. I., Herbst E., 2015, A&A, 584, A124
- Garrod R. T., Jin M., Matis K. A., Jones D., Willis E. R., Herbst E., 2022, ApJS, 259, 1
- Garrod R. T., Pauly T., 2011, ApJ, 735, 15
- Garrod R. T., Wakelam V., Herbst E., 2007, A&A, 467, 1103
- Ginsburg A., Mirocha J., 2011, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1109.001
- Guzmán V. V. et al., 2013, A&A, 560, A73
- Hacar A., Tafalla M., Kauffmann J., Kovács A., 2013, A&A, 554, A55
- Hack W., Hold M., Hoyermann K., Wehmeyer J., Zeuch T., 2005, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 7, 1977
- Hama T., Kuwahata K., Watanabe N., Kouchi A., Kimura Y., Chigai T., Pirronello V., 2012, ApJ, 757, 185
- Harju J. et al., 2017, A&A, 600, A61

- Hasegawa T. I., Herbst E., 1993, MNRAS, 261, 83
- Hasenberger B., Alves J., 2020, A&A, 633, A132
- He J. et al., 2022, A&A, 659, A65
- Hébrard E. et al., 2009, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 11227
- Herron J. T., 1988, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 17, 967
- Hidaka H., Watanabe M., Kouchi A., Watanabe N., 2009, ApJ, 702, 291
 Hiraoka K., Ohashi N., Kihara Y., Yamamoto K., Sato T., Yamashita A., 1994, Chem. Phys. Lett., 229, 408
- Jasper A. W., Klippenstein S. J., Harding L. B., Ruscic B., 2007, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 3932
- Jiménez-Serra I. et al., 2016, ApJ, 830, L6
- Jiménez-Serra I. et al., 2025, A&A, preprint (arXiv:2502.10123)
- Jin M., Garrod R. T., 2020, ApJS, 249, 26
- Kahane C., Frerking M. A., Langer W. D., Encrenas P., Lucas R., 1984, A&A, 137, 211
- Kimura Y., Tsuge M., Pirronello V., Kouchi A., Watanabe N., 2018, ApJ, 858, L23
- Kirsanova M. S., Punanova A. F., Semenov D. A., Vasyunin A. I., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 3810
- Komichi Y., Aikawa Y., Iwasaki K., Furuya K., 2024, MNRAS, 535, 3738
- Kuwahata K., Hama T., Kouchi A., Watanabe N., 2015, Phys. Rev. Lett., 115, 133201
- Lamberts T., Fedoseev G., van Hemert M. C., Qasim D., Chuang K.-J., Santos J. C., Linnartz H., 2022, ApJ, 928, 48
- Larsson B. et al., 2007, A&A, 466, 999
- Loison J.-C., Wakelam V., Hickson K. M., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 398
- Mangum J. G., Wootten A., 1993, ApJS, 89, 123
- Melnick G. J., Bergin E. A., 2005, Adv. Space Res., 36, 1027
- Mercimek S. et al., 2022, A&A, 659, A67
- Millar T. J., Walsh C., Van de Sande M., Markwick A. J., 2024, A&A, 682, A109
- Minissale M. et al., 2022, ACS Earth Space Chem., 6, 597
- Minissale M., Moudens A., Baouche S., Chaabouni H., Dulieu F., 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2953
- Molpeceres G., Kästner J., Fedoseev G., Qasim D., Schömig R., Linnartz H., Lamberts T., 2021, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 12, 10854
- Ocaña A. J. et al., 2017, ApJ, 850, 28
- Olofsson A. O. H. et al., 2007, A&A, 476, 791
- Padovani M., Marcowith A., Hennebelle P., Ferrière K., 2016, A&A, 590, A8
- Pagani L. et al., 2003, A&A, 402, L77
- Palmeirim P. et al., 2013, A&A, 550, A38
- Pickett H. M., Poynter R. L., Cohen E. A., Delitsky M. L., Pearson J. C., Müller H. S. P., 1998, J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf., 60, 883
- Potapov A., Garrod R. T., 2024, A&A, 692, A252
- Prasad S. S., Tarafdar S. P., 1983, ApJ, 267, 603
- Prasad, S. S., Huntress, W. T., Jr, 1980, ApJS, 43, 1
- Preses J. M., Fockenberg C., Flynn G. W., 2000, J. Phys. Chem. A, 104, 6758
- Punanova A., Caselli P., Pineda J. E., Pon A., Tafalla M., Hacar A., Bizzocchi
- L., 2018, A&A, 617, A27 Punanova A., Vasyunin A., Caselli P., Howard A., Spezzano S., Shirley Y., Scibelli S., Harju J., 2022, ApJ, 927, 213
- Rawlings J. M. C., Hartquist T. W., Menten K. M., Williams D. A., 1992, MNRAS, 255, 471
- Roccatagliata V., Franciosini E., Sacco G. G., Rand ich S., Sicilia-Aguilar A., 2020, A&A, 638, A85
- Ruaud M., Loison J. C., Hickson K. M., Gratier P., Hersant F., Wakelam V., 2015, MNRAS, 447, 4004
- Santiago-García J., Tafalla M., Johnstone D., Bachiller R., 2009, A&A, 495, 169
- Santos J. C., Chuang K.-J., Lamberts T., Fedoseev G., Ioppolo S., Linnartz H., 2022, ApJ, 931, L33
- Schlafly E. F. et al., 2014, ApJ, 786, 29
- Schöier F. L., van der Tak F. F. S., van Dishoeck E. F., Black J. H., 2005, A&A, 432, 369
- Scibelli S., Shirley Y., 2020, ApJ, 891, 73
- Scibelli S., Shirley Y., Vasyunin A., Launhardt R., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 5754
- Seo Y. M. et al., 2015, ApJ, 805, 185
- Sipilä O., Caselli P., Harju J., 2013, A&A, 554, A92

- Sipilä O., Zhao B., Caselli P., 2020, A&A, 640, A94
- Tafalla M., Hacar A., 2015, A&A, 574, A104
- Taquet V., Wirström E. S., Charnley S. B., Faure A., López-Sepulcre A., Persson C. M., 2017, A&A, 607, A20
- van der Tak F. F. S., Black J. H., Schöier F. L., Jansen D. J., van Dishoeck E. F., 2007, A&A, 468, 627
- Vastel C., Ceccarelli C., Lefloch B., Bachiller R., 2014, ApJ, 795, L2

Vasyunin A. I., Caselli P., Dulieu F., Jiménez-Serra I., 2017, ApJ, 842, 33

- Vasyunin A. I., Herbst E., 2013, ApJ, 769, 34
- Vasyunin A. I., Semenov D., Henning T., Wakelam V., Herbst E., Sobolev A. M., 2008, ApJ, 672, 629
- Vasyunin A. I., Sobolev A. M., Wiebe D. S., Semenov D. A., 2004, Astron. Lett., 30, 566
- Vasyunina T., Vasyunin A. I., Herbst E., Linz H., Voronkov M., Britton T., Zinchenko I., Schuller F., 2014, ApJ, 780, 85
- Wakelam V. et al., 2010, Space Sci. Rev., 156, 13
- Wakelam V. et al., 2012, ApJS, 199, 21
- Wakelam V., Herbst E., 2008, ApJ, 680, 371
- Wakelam V., Herbst E., Selsis F., 2006, A&A, 451, 551
- Wakelam V., Selsis F., Herbst E., Caselli P., 2005, A&A, 444, 883
- Walsh C., Harada N., Herbst E., Millar T. J., 2009, ApJ, 700, 752
- Watanabe N., Kimura Y., Kouchi A., Chigai T., Hama T., Pirronello V., 2010, ApJ, 714, L233
- Watanabe N., Kouchi A., 2002, ApJ, 571, L173
- Wiesenfeld L., Faure A., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 2573
- Xu Z. F., Raghunath P., Lin M. C., 2015, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 7404
- Yagi K., Takayanagi T., Taketsugu T., Hirao K., 2004, J. Chem. Phys., 120, 10395
- Yang Y.-L. et al., 2022, ApJ, 941, L13
- Yocum K. M., Wilkins O. H., Bardwell J. C., Milam S. N., Gerakines P. A., 2023, ApJ, 958, L41
- Yu T., Yang D. L., Lin M. C., 1993, Int. J. Chem. Kinetics, 25, 1053

APPENDIX A: OTHER MODEL TESTS

In this section, we present our attempts to modify the model to see the impact on the formaldehyde-to-methanol ratio, those did not bring a sufficient result. We varied the cosmic-ray ionization rate, the reaction rates, metallicity, and the oxygen abundance, abundance of atomic C and O at the beginning of the core evolution, introduced gravitational collapse, and added several reactions to our network.

A1 Cosmic-ray ionization rate

Cosmic-ray ionization rate is known to vary in star-forming regions, possibly because of the acceleration of energetic particles in outflows along young stellar objects (e.g. Padovani et al. 2016; Fontani et al. 2017; Cabedo et al. 2023). With the default model, we varied the cosmic-ray ionization rate: $\zeta = 1.3 \times 10^{-16}$, 1.3×10^{-17} , and $1.3 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. With $\zeta = 1.3 \times 10^{-16} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, at the age corresponding to the observed CO depletion, obtained CH₃OH and H₂CO abundances differ by a factor of ≤ 1.5 from their default abundances. With $\zeta = 1.3 \times 10^{-18} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, CH₃OH abundance drops by a factor of 2.0 in the core centre and does not change in the outer parts of the core, and H₂CO abundance drops by a factor of ≤ 3 over all the radii. Thus, the ratio between H₂CO and CH₃OH abundances still remains high.

A2 Varying oxygen abundance and metallicity

Some of the latest studies (e.g. Harju et al. 2017; Scibelli et al. 2021) suggest that chemical modelling of cold cores in the local ISM may require higher initial elemental abundances of nitrogen or sulphur than the standard 'low-metal' set (EA1, Wakelam & Herbst 2008).

At the same time, the attempts to detect O_2 with the ODIN satellite towards the star-forming regions (Pagani et al. 2003; Melnick & Bergin 2005; Olofsson 2007; Larsson et al. 2007) showed that the oxygen abundance may be much lower than expected (X(O₂) with respect to $H_2 = 5 \times 10^{-8}$).

We varied the atomic oxygen abundance from 5×10^{-4} to 1×10^{-4} with a step of 1×10^{-4} and from 9×10^{-5} to 5×10^{-5} with a step of 1×10^{-5} (in EA1, $X(O)=1.76 \times 10^{-4}$), with the other initial elemental abundances corresponding to the EA1 'low-metal' of Wakelam & Herbst (2008). However, high or low initial oxygen abundance does not decrease H₂CO:CH₃OH abundance ratio. At the core centre, the highest H₂CO abundance is 1.1×10^{-8} when the oxygen abundance is 9×10^{-5} . Increase or decrease in oxygen abundance within the aforementioned range lowers H₂CO abundance by a factor of ≤ 1.5 . As for CH₃OH, at the core centre, its highest abundance equals 3.5×10^{-10} for the oxygen abundance of 2.0×10^{-4} . Increase or decrease in oxygen abundance within the aforementioned range lowers CH₃OH abundance by a factor of ≤ 1.1 at the core centre and by more than an order of magnitude at the edge of the core.

If we apply 'high-metal' abundances (EA2, Wakelam & Herbst 2008), at the core centre, H₂CO abundance drops to 3.2×10^{-9} , but also CH₃OH abundance drops and comes to 4.1×10^{-11} . Varying initial oxygen abundance (and keeping other initial abundances as in the 'high-metal' case) does not decrease H₂CO:CH₃OH abundance ratio.

A3 The model with collapse

The static models are limited and sometimes modelling of the cloud collapse is needed to adequately reproduce chemical processes. We included the collapse to our model, as it is defined in Rawlings et al. (1992). In addition to the free-fall collapse (B = 1), we launched fast (B = 5, 10, and 100) and slow (B = 0.3) collapse. We also launched collapse with a decrease in temperature, as was done in Garrod & Pauly (2011) and in Jiménez-Serra et al. (2025). In this case, at the stage of a translucent cloud, the temperature remains constant (15 K).

Free-fall collapse (B = 1) and the collapse with B = 0.3 result in a reverse radial profile of CH₃OH – its abundance drops towards the edge of the core which contradicts the observations. The models with a higher *B* do not change the profile of CH₃OH abundance. None of the models with collapse produce less H₂CO than CH₃OH at the moment of the observed CO depletion.

One of the aims for performing collapse is testing our assumption that at the chemical ages of prestellar cores typical for static models $(\sim 10^5 \text{ yr})$, atomic oxygen is not yet significantly incorporated in other species. However, in the models with slow collapse, its abundance does not show a significant drop until the last stage of the collapse. While CO is not frozen out, O is efficiently replenished by the reaction CO + He⁺ \rightarrow C⁺ + O + He. As for molecular oxygen, until the last stage of the collapse, its abundance gradually increases mainly due to the reaction $OH + O \rightarrow O_2 + H$. After that, when gas density becomes high enough, O2 abundance drops rapidly because of strong freeze-out. However, at the time when the observed CO depletion is reached (see Table 4), O₂ abundance is still higher than 10^{-7} in the most part of the core (within R < 8000 au), which is not in agreement with the observations for similar objects. The effective way to reduce the modelled O₂ abundance is a moderate reduction of the initial abundance of atomic oxygen. However, if the initial O abundance is reduced to $< 10^{-4}$, the CH₃OH profile becomes reverse (with high abundance towards the centre and low abundance towards the edges) for all tested collapse models.

A4 Reducing C and O abundance at the start of the core stage

Before running prestellar core models, we consider the chemical evolution of the translucent cloud stage. At the final time moment of this stage, free carbon abundance equals 2.7×10^{-6} and free oxygen abundance is 8.5×10^{-5} . Since formaldehyde is mainly produced in the reaction CH₃ + O \rightarrow H₂CO + H, the lowering of atomic C and especially O abundances could suppress its formation. In a recent modelling study by Komichi et al. (2024), who investigate the chemical evolution during the formation of molecular clouds in the compression layer behind interstellar shock waves, most C and O appeared to be locked up in CO and solid H₂O, respectively.

We have run a model with modified initial abundances for the core stage, where all free carbon is added to CO, borrowing also an appropriate amount of free oxygen, and all remaining free oxygen is added to gH₂O (borrowing an appropriate hydrogen atoms amount from the most abundant H₂ species). Compared to our default model, the resulting H₂CO abundance is practically the same in the central area of the core and only a factor of 3 lower at the core edge. Up to $\approx 3 \times 10^4$ yr, H₂CO appears in the gas phase mostly due to the chemical desorption in the reaction $gH + gHCO \rightarrow gH_2CO$, and its abundance in gas grows high, reaching 10^{-8} at 10^4 yr. After that, H₂CO abundance starts to decrease; however, at 3 \times 10⁴ yr the dominant path to gaseous H₂CO switches to the reaction CH₃ + O \rightarrow $H_2CO + H$, which increases formaldehyde abundance again to 10^{-8} . The major source of atomic oxygen is the reaction $He^+ + CO \rightarrow$ $C^+ + O + He$, and by 3 \times 10⁴ yr its abundance becomes equal to 3.3×10^{-7} at the central area of the core. This is a sufficient amount for effective H₂CO production via the reaction with CH₃.

A5 Reactions that possibly affect H₂CO chemistry

The problem of the enhanced H₂CO in the model results could be solved with its effective destruction. The most effective destruction reaction is H₂CO + O \rightarrow CO + HCO or H₂CO + O \rightarrow CO + OH + H, when no activation barrier is present like in Belloche et al. (2014). In this case, it leads to a decrease in H₂CO abundance for a factor of \approx 3.0 and does not change CH₃OH abundance. The lowest H₂CO:CH₃OH abundances ratio equals \approx 2.6 at the *R* of 8000 au from the core centre, which is still higher than unity. However, other works provide a high barrier of 11–16 kJ mole⁻¹ for this reaction (Herron 1988), with which it cannot proceed at low temperatures characteristic for molecular clouds.

For the reaction $H_2CO + OH \rightarrow HCO + H_2O$, we have a rate of $10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ proposed in the OSU database (Prasad & Huntress 1980). de Souza Machado et al. (2020) suggest an Arrhenius expression for the rate coefficient in the range of 20 < T < 550 K (equation 7 in their paper). When extrapolating this to the temperature of 10 K, we obtain $1.26 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, which is similar

to our value. The Arrhenius expression by Ocaña et al. (2017) for 22 < T < 300 K (equation 11 in their paper) implies a rate of $2.57 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ at 10 K, which is an order of magnitude higher than the above-mentioned ones. However, with any of these rate coefficients, the reaction does not affect H₂CO abundance.

Ruaud et al. (2015) suggest Arrhenius constants $\alpha = 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$, $\beta = -0.4$, and $\gamma = 0$ for the reaction H₂CO + CN \rightarrow HCO + HCN. Including this reaction into our network does not influence the H₂CO abundance. The reaction has also been studied at high temperatures (Yu, Yang & Lin 1993), however, an extrapolation of these results to the cold conditions of prestellar cores is questionable.

Another way to decrease formaldehyde production rate is to spend efficiently its precursor CH₃ in other reactions except the formation of H₂CO. We tested the reactions CH₃ + N \rightarrow CH₂N + H (Cimas & Largo 2006; Loison, Wakelam & Hickson 2014), CH₃ + HCO \rightarrow CH₄ + CO (Hébrard et al. 2009) and CH₃ + OH \rightarrow CH₂ + H₂O (Jasper et al. 2007), however, they do not affect H₂CO abundance.

A6 Varying the rate of the CH₃ + O reaction

To obtain the rate constants of the CH₃ + O reaction for a wide temperature range of 50–2000 K, Yagi et al. (2004) performed multistate quantum reactive scattering calculations, as well as classical capture calculations (see their fig. 7), resulting in a positive temperature dependence. The lowest suggested rate is 0.6×10^{-10} cm³ s⁻¹ at the lowest temperature of 50 K. Implementing this value in our default model leads to decrease of H₂CO abundance by a factor of 1.1 at the core centre.

A7 Varying the initial temperature and density

We varied the initial physical conditions of the diffusive/translucent cloud:

(i) initial temperature equals 20 K and is decreasing to 10 K, gas density equals 10^3 cm^{-3} ;

(ii) initial temperature equals 20 K and is decreasing to 10 K, gas density equals 10^2 cm^{-3} ;

(iii) temperature equals 20 K and is not decreasing, gas density equals 10^3 cm^{-3} ; and

(iv) temperature equals 20 K and is not decreasing, gas density equals $10^2 \, \text{cm}^{-3}$.

In all these cases, the abundance of H_2CO either remains the same as in our default model or even increases a little.

This paper has been typeset from a $T_EX/I = T_EX$ file prepared by the author.

© 2025 The Author(s)