

Multi-flavour neutrino searches from the Milky Way Galaxy

Downloaded from: https://research.chalmers.se, 2025-06-09 18:08 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Abbasi, R., Ackermann, M., Adams, J. et al (2024). Multi-flavour neutrino searches from the Milky Way Galaxy. Proceedings of Science, 444. http://dx.doi.org/10.22323/1.444.1010

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

research.chalmers.se offers the possibility of retrieving research publications produced at Chalmers University of Technology. It covers all kind of research output: articles, dissertations, conference papers, reports etc. since 2004. research.chalmers.se is administrated and maintained by Chalmers Library



Multi-flavour neutrino searches from the Milky Way Galaxy

The IceCube Collaboration

(a complete list of authors can be found at the end of the proceedings)

E-mail: pierpaolo.savina@icecube.wisc.edu, lu.lu@icecube.wisc.edu, tianlu.yuan@icecube.wisc.edu

High-energy neutrinos are expected to be produced in the Milky Way by cosmic ray interactions at sites of acceleration or during their propagation. Neutrinos provide distinctive information on hadronic interactions and can be pointed back to production origins, unraveling unique properties of the Galaxy. We present an analysis on the search for the diffuse neutrino flux along the Galactic Plane by using data collected at the largest operating neutrino telescope in the world - the IceCube Neutrino Observatory. More than 10 years of data since the completion of the detector are used in this analysis. We utilize three event selections including through-going tracks, showers and starting-tracks to reach full-sky coverage and to be sensitive to all three neutrino flavours.

Corresponding authors: Pierpaolo Savina^{1*}, Lu Lu¹, Tianlu Yuan¹

¹ Dept. of Physics and Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA

* Presenter

The 38th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2023) 26 July – 3 August, 2023 Nagoya, Japan



© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

Situated at the geographic South Pole, the IceCube Neutrino Observatory stands as a cubickilometer neutrino detector, at a depth ranging from 1450 m to 2450 m [1]. IceCube provided evidence for the existence of various neutrino sources, including the flaring blazar TXS 0506+056 and the active galaxy NGC 1068 [2–4]. The identification of these sources relied on the analysis of data derived from charged-current muon-neutrino interactions, whose signature in the detector is a track-like event. Both TXS 0506+056 and NGC 1068 are located in the Northern Sky, in an optimal region for the search of point sources employing through-going tracks [5] from the Northern Hemisphere. However, the presence of a large atmospheric muon background greatly diminishes the discovery potential for sources within the Southern Sky. To address the challenge of enhancing sensitivity in the Southern Sky, two event selections have been introduced: cascade-like events and starting tracks, described in [6–9] and [10].

The study of neutrinos offers invaluable insights into both astrophysical phenomena and the fundamental realm of particle physics. Beyond hypothetical sources within our own Galaxy, the interaction of cosmic rays during their acceleration and propagation through the interstellar medium can generate high-energy neutrinos. Consequently, the Galactic plane has long been postulated as a possible source of neutrino emissions.

Recently, this postulated emission from the Milky Way has been observed at a 4.5σ level of significance by using cascade-like events collected by IceCube [11]. While this observation identifies the Galactic plane as a source of neutrinos, the utilization of cascade-like events alone complicates further interpretation of the signal. Both starting tracks and the through-going tracks from the Northern Hemisphere are characterized by higher resolution. For this reason, a combination of these three datasets can lead to a better interpretation of the emission description from the galactic plane.

In this work, we present a combined dataset that merges the different event selections, including through-going tracks from Northern Hemisphere, cascades, and starting tracks, to achieve comprehensive sky coverage and sensitivity to all three neutrino flavors. By exploiting the unique characteristics of each event selection, we aim to enhance our ability to identify and study astrophysical neutrino sources.

Here, we provide a description of the dataset, discuss its characterization in terms of effective area and angular resolution in section 2, and present the sensitivity of the combined dataset compared to individual event selections in section 3. Furthermore, in section 4 we explore the dataset's potential for Galactic Plane searches, where neutrinos produced from cosmic-ray interactions in the Galactic medium are expected to be present. The combined dataset offers improved sensitivity in probing the Galactic Plane emission, providing new opportunities for understanding the astrophysical processes involved.

2. Dataset Description

In this work, different event selections are combined to achieve full sky coverage and sensitivity to all three neutrino flavors. The event selections include through-going tracks from Northern



Figure 1: Simulated event topologies considered in this joint analysis: (a) contained cascades, (b) partially contained cascades, (c) through-going tracks, (d) starting tracks. Color, from red to blue, shows the photons arrival time at the optical module, while the arrow shows the reconstructed arrival direction of the event.

Hemisphere, cascades, and starting tracks. A visualization of the characteristic events included in this analysis is shown in Fig. 1, which are:

- Contained (fig. 1a) and partially contained (fig. 1b) cascades-like events. Cascades are produced from neutral-current interactions of all neutrino flavors and charge current interactions of flavors other than muon neutrinos. These events have a lower angular resolution compared to track-like events but can be easily distinguished from the dominant background of downward-going muons from atmospheric interactions in the Southern Sky.
- Through-going muon tracks (Fig. 1c) from Northern Emisphere. These events are induced by charged current muon neutrino events where the interaction vertex can be outside the detector volume. Allowing neutrinos to interact outside the instrumented volume achieves a large

effective area. However, the analysis needs to be limited to the Northern Hemisphere where the Earth efficiently filters atmospheric muons.

3. Starting muon tracks (Fig. 1c) are events induced by charged-current muonic neutrino interactions where the interaction vertex is contained in the detector. By identifying neutrino events that start in the detector, the atmospheric muon component is reduced while retaining a high rate of starting neutrino events.

Each of these event selections is differentiated not only by event topology but also by directional and energy reconstruction. To ensure independence among the different datasets and simplify their combination, an analysis of the overlapping events between them was performed using simulations. Our goal is to maximize the sensitivity of the combined dataset by establishing a rule for assigning overlapping events to a single dataset.

The overlap between cascades and tracks is minimal. The events belonging to the overlap exhibit a topology resembling cascades. As a consequence, the reconstruction of cascades offers a better angular and energy resolution compared to other selections. Therefore overlapping events are considered in the cascade event selection and removed by the other selections.



Figure 2: (2a) Effective Area of the Combined Dataset (black solid line) compared to Through-going Tracks (green dashed-dotted line) [5], Cascades (solid blue line) [10], and Starting Events (red dashed line) [6]. (2b) Comparison between the angular resolution of the Through-going Tracks (blue), Cascades (green), and Starting Events (red).

On the other hand, the overlap between through-going muons from the Northern Hemisphere and starting tracks is more significant, with approximately 50% of starting tracks being contained in the through-going dataset. The overlapping events are all up-going events with the interaction vertex contained within the detector. In this case, the reconstruction that maximizes sensitivity is that of starting tracks.

Fig. 2 shows the characterization of the combined dataset. The left panel presents the all-flavour effective area, averaged over the entire sky, of the combined dataset (solid black line) compared to through-going tracks (green dashed-dotted line), cascades (solid blue line), and starting events (red dashed line). The right panel illustrates the angular resolution of the different datasets considered. The combined dataset benefits from the large effective area of cascades/through-going tracks and the high angular resolution of starting/through-going tracks.

3. Sensitivity

The combined dataset can be used for the search of astrophysical neutrino sources. As previously shown, the dataset benefits from the advantages of the individual event selections. This implies that the dataset defined in this work is extremely promising for searches in both the Southern and Northern Sky.

Fig. 3 presents the per-flavor 90 % sensitivities of the combined dataset (black) compared to upgoing tracks (green), cascades (blue), and starting events (red). The figure also includes the 5σ discovery potential flux as a function of the sin of declination for a source spectrum proportional to E^{-2} . In the Northern Sky, through-going tracks have a dominant effect, while the contribution related to starting tracks and cascades is more relevant in the Southern Sky.



Figure 3: Per-flavor sensitivities of the combined dataset (black) compared to through-going tracks (green) [5], cascades (blue) [10], and starting events (red) [12]. See text for more details.

4. Galactic Plane Searches

In cosmic-ray interactions with the Galactic medium, the production of neutral pions gives rise to high-energy gamma rays. Similarly, in the case of neutrinos, the production of neutrinos occurs through the decay of charged pions. By investigating the emission of neutrinos from the Galactic plane, we can gain insights into the properties of our Galaxy.

IceCube has detected neutrino emission from the Milky Way through the analysis of cascades applied to a 10-year dataset. By comparing diffuse emission models to a background-only hypothesis, a significant neutrino emission originating from the Galactic plane has been identified at a 4.5σ level of significance. The signal observed is consistent with diffuse emission of neutrinos from the Milky Way but could also arise from a population of unresolved point sources [11] therefore further investigations are needed.



Figure 4: Fermi- π^0 model [13] of diffuse Galactic neutrino emission. The model is convolved with the IceCube detector acceptance, as shown in panel (a), and then smeared with Gaussian distributions representing the different dataset uncertainties. The applied smearing values are as follows: (b) 0.3° for upgoing-tracks, (c) 1.0° for starting tracks, and (d) 7.0° for cascades.

In this work, we explore the potential of the combined dataset for Galactic plane searches. The improved sensitivity and sky coverage of the combined dataset enable a more comprehensive investigation of neutrino emission from the Galactic plane. In this work a model based on *Fermi*-LAT observations [13] is tested. The model is reported in fig. 4 where the predicted neutrino flux

from the plane is convolved with the IceCube detector acceptance, as illustrated in panel (a). To incorporate the uncertainties of the different datasets, Gaussian smearing is applied. It is important to note that the values used for smearing in panels (b), (c), and (d) $(0.3^{\circ}$ for through-going tracks, 1.0° for starting tracks, and 7.0° for cascades) are provided here as examples. In the actual analysis, a per-event uncertainty is utilized, which means that each event in the dataset has its own specific uncertainty rather than applying a single uncertainty value for the entire dataset.

The results show that the combined dataset provides a significant improvement in sensitivity compared to individual event selections, particularly in the Galactic plane region.

Dataset	Sensitivity	Discovery Potential
	$[10^{-11} \mathrm{TeV}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	$[10^{-11} \text{ TeV cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$
Starting Tracks	2.67	4.62
Cascades	0.60	2.46
Combined	0.49	1.71

Table 1: Sensitivity and discovery potential obtained through the combined dataset, to a model based on the *Fermi*-LAT observations [13]. The sensitivity and discovery potential are also reported for cascades [10] and starting tracks [6] for comparison. The combined dataset gives the best sensitivity.

The sensitivity derived for the model is presented in Table 1, compared to the published sensitivities obtained using starting tracks [6] and cascades [10]. Sensitivities in this work do not include the effects of systematic uncertainties. Due to their smaller effective area, starting tracks exhibit lower sensitivity compared to the other datasets. However, starting tracks offer a significant contribution in the southern sky. On the other hand, cascades have a larger effective area in the Southern sky, resulting in the highest sensitivity when considered individually. The combined dataset benefits from the contribution of all individual datasets, as can be observed in Table 1, resulting in the highest sensitivity overall.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we have presented a new analysis that combines through-going tracks, cascades, and starting tracks for comprehensive sky coverage and improved sensitivity to astrophysical neutrino sources. The dataset's characterization in terms of effective area and angular resolution demonstrates its advantages compared to individual event selections.

The combined dataset, as can be observed from the improved sensitivities, is extremely promising, and can provide the opportunity to probe potential neutrino sources with enhanced observation power.

References

- [1] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. JINST 12 no. 03, (2017) P03012.
- [2] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. Science 361 no. 6398, (2018) 147–151.

- [3] IceCube, Fermi-LAT, MAGIC, AGILE, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S., INTEGRAL, Kanata, Kiso, Kapteyn, Liverpool Telescope, Subaru, Swift NuSTAR, VERITAS, VLA/17B-403 Collaborations, M. G. Aartsen *et al. Science* 361 no. 6398, (2018) eaat1378.
- [4] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 no. 5, (2020) 051103.
- [5] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. Astrophys. J. 835 no. 2, (2017) 151.
- [6] IceCube Collaboration, M. Silva et al. PoS ICRC2023 (2023) 1008.
- [7] IceCube Collaboration, R. Abbasi et al. Phys. Rev. D 104 (Jul, 2021) 022002.
- [8] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (Sep, 2020) 121104.
- [9] IceCube Collaboration, M. Aartsen et al. Phys. Rev. D 91 no. 2, (Jan, 2015) 022001.
- [10] IceCube Collaboration, S. Sclafani et al. PoS ICRC2021 (2021) 1150.
- [11] IceCube Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen et al. Science 380 (2023) 1338–1343.
- [12] IceCube Collaboration, M. Silva and S. Mancina PoS ICRC2021 (2021) 1130.
- [13] M. Ackermann et al. ApJ 750 no. 1, (Apr, 2012) 3.

Full Author List: IceCube Collaboration

R. Abbasi¹⁷, M. Ackermann⁶³, J. Adams¹⁸, S. K. Agarwalla^{40, 64}, J. A. Aguilar¹², M. Ahlers²², J.M. Alameddine²³, N. M. Amin⁴⁴, K. Andeen⁴², G. Anton²⁶, C. Argüelles¹⁴, Y. Ashida⁵³, S. Athanasiadou⁶³, S. N. Axani⁴⁴, X. Bai⁵⁰, A. Balagopal V.⁴⁰, M. Baricevic⁴⁰, S. W. Barwick³⁰, V. Basu⁴⁰, R. Bay⁸, J. J. Beatty^{20, 21}, J. Becker Tjus^{11, 65}, J. Beise⁶¹, C. Bellenghi²⁷, C. Benning¹, S. BenZvi⁵², D. Berley¹⁹, E. Bernardini⁴⁸, D. Z. Besson³⁶, E. Blaufuss¹⁹, S. Blot⁶³, F. Bontempo³¹, J. Y. Book¹⁴, C. Boscolo Meneguolo⁴⁸, S. Böser⁴¹, O. Botner⁶¹, J. Böttcher¹, E. Bourbeau²², J. Braun⁴⁰, B. Brinson⁶, J. Brostean-Kaiser⁶³, R. T. Burley², R. S. Busse⁴³, D. Butterfield⁴⁰, M. A. Campana⁴⁹, K. Carloni¹⁴, E. G. Carnie-Bronca², S. Chattopadhyay^{40, 64}, N. Chau¹², C. Chen⁶, Z. Chen⁵⁵, D. Chirkin⁴⁰, S. Choi⁵⁶, B. A. Clark¹⁹, L. Classen⁴³, A. Coleman⁶¹, G. H. Collin¹⁵, A. Connolly^{20, 21}, J. M. Conrad¹⁵, P. Coppin¹³, P. Correa¹³, D. F. Coven^{59, 60}, P. Dave⁶, C. De Clercq¹³, J. J. DeLaunay⁵⁸, D. Delgado¹⁴, S. Deng¹, K. Deoskar⁵⁴, A. Desai⁴⁰, P. Desiati⁴⁰, K. D. de Vries¹³, G. de Wasseige³⁷, T. DeYoung²⁴, A. Diaz¹⁵, J. C. Díaz-Vélez⁴⁰, M. Dittmer⁴³, A. Domi²⁶, H. Dujmovic⁴⁰, M. A. DuVernois⁴⁰, T. Ehrhardt⁴¹, P. Eller²⁷, E. Ellinger⁶², S. El Mentawi¹, D. Elsässer²³, R. Engel^{31, 32}, H. Erpenbeck⁴⁰, J. Evans¹⁹, P. A. Evenson⁴⁴, K. L. Fan¹⁹, K. Fang⁴⁰, K. Farrag¹⁶, A. R. Fazely⁷, A. Fedynitch⁵⁷, N. Feigl¹⁰, S. Fiedlschuster²⁶, C. Finley⁵⁴, L. Fischer⁶³, D. Fox⁵⁹, A. Franckowiak¹¹, A. Fritz⁴¹, P. Fürst¹, J. Gallagher³⁹, E. Ganster¹, A. Garcia¹⁴, L. Gerhardt⁹, A. Ghadimi⁵⁸, C. Glaser⁶¹, T. Glauch²⁷, T. Glüsenkamp^{26, 61}, N. Goehlke³², J. G. Gonzalez⁴⁴, S. Goswami⁵⁸, D. Grant²⁴, S. J. Gray¹⁹, O. Gries¹, S. Griffin⁴⁰, S. Griswold⁵², K. M. Groth²², C. Günther¹, P. Gutjahr²³, C. Haack²⁶, A. Hallgren⁶¹, R. Halliday²⁴, L. Halve¹, F. Halzen⁴⁰, H. Hamdaoui⁵⁵, M. Ha Minh²⁷, K. Hanson⁴⁰, J. Hardin¹⁵, A. A. Harnisch²⁴, P. Hatch³³, A. Haungs³¹, K. Helbing⁶², J. Hellrung¹¹, F. Henningsen²⁷, L. Heuermann¹, N. Heyer⁶¹, S. Hickford⁶², A. Hidvegi⁵⁴, C. Hill¹⁶, G. C. Hill², K. D. Hoffman¹⁹, S. Hori⁴⁰, K. Hoshina^{40, 66}, W. Hou³¹, T. Huber³¹, K. Hultqvist⁵⁴, M. Hünnefeld²³, R. Hussain⁴⁰, K. Hymon²³, S. In⁵⁶, A. Ishihara¹⁶, M. Jacquart⁴⁰, O. Janik¹, M. Jansson⁵⁴, G. S. Japaridze⁵, M. Jeong⁵⁶, M. Jin¹⁴, B. J. P. Jones⁴, D. Kang³¹, W. Kang⁵⁶, X. Kang⁴⁹, A. Kappes⁴³, D. Kappesser⁴¹, L. Kardum²³, T. Karg⁶³, M. Karl²⁷, A. Karle⁴⁰, U. Katz²⁶, M. Kauer⁴⁰, J. L. Kelley⁴⁰, A. Khatee Zathul⁴⁰, A. Kheirandish^{34, 35}, J. Lozano Mariscal⁴³, L. Lu⁴⁰, F. Lucarelli²⁸, W. Luszczak^{20, 21}, Y. Lyu^{8, 9}, J. Madsen⁴⁰, K. B. M. Mahn²⁴, Y. Makino⁴⁰, E. Manao²⁷, S. Mancina^{40, 48}, W. Marie Sainte⁴⁰, I. C. Mariş¹², S. Marka⁴⁶, Z. Marka⁴⁶, M. Marsee⁵⁸, I. Martinez-Soler¹⁴, R. Maruyama⁴⁵, F. Mayhew²⁴, T. McElroy²⁵, F. McNally³⁸, J. V. Mead²², K. Meagher⁴⁰, S. Mechbal⁶³, A. Medina²¹, M. Meier¹⁶, Y. Merckx¹³, L. Merten¹¹, J. Micallef²⁴, J. Mitchell⁷, T. Montaruli²⁸, R. W. Moore²⁵, Y. Morii¹⁶, R. Morse⁴⁰, M. Moulai⁴⁰, T. Mukherjee³¹, R. Naab⁶³, R. Nagai¹⁶, M. Nakos⁴⁰, U. Naumann⁶², J. Necker⁶³, A. Negi⁴, M. Neumann⁴³, H. Niederhausen²⁴, M. U. Nisa²⁴, A. Noell¹, A. Novikov⁴⁴, S. C. Nowicki²⁴, A. Obertacke Pollmann¹⁶, V. O'Dell⁴⁰, M. Oehler³¹, B. Oeyen²⁹, A. Olivas¹⁹, R. Ørsøe²⁷, J. Osborn⁴⁰, E. O'Sullivan⁶¹, H. Pandya⁴⁴, N. Park³³, G. K. Parker⁴, E. N. Paudel⁴⁴, L. Paul^{42, 50}, C. Pérez de los Heros⁶¹, J. Peterson⁴⁰, S. Philippen¹, A. Pizzuto⁴⁰, M. Plum⁵⁰, A. Pontén⁶¹, Y. Popovych⁴¹, M. Prado Rodriguez⁴⁰, B. Pries²⁴, R. Procter-Murphy¹⁹, G. T. Przybylski⁹, C. Raab³⁷, J. Rack-Helleis⁴¹, K. Rawlins³, Z. Rechav⁴⁰, A. Rehman⁴⁴, P. Reichherzer¹¹, G. Renzi¹², E. Resconi²⁷, S. Reusch⁶³, W. Rhode²³, B. Riedel⁴⁰, A. Rifaie¹, E. J. Roberts², S. Robertson^{8,9}, S. Rodan⁵⁶, G. Roellinghoff⁵⁶, M. Rongen²⁶, C. Rott^{53, 56}, T. Ruhe²³, L. Ruohan²⁷, D. Ryckbosch²⁹, I. Safa^{14, 40}, J. Saffer³², D. Salazar-Gallegos²⁴, P. Sampathkumar³¹, S. E. Sanchez Herrera²⁴, A. Sandrock⁶², M. Santander⁵⁸, S. Sarkar²⁵, S. Sarkar⁴⁷, J. Savelberg¹, P. Savina⁴⁰, M. Schaufel¹, H. Schieler³¹, S. Schindler²⁶, L. Schlüter⁴³, F. Schlüter¹², N. Schmeisser⁶², T. Schmidt¹⁹, J. Schneider²⁶, F. G. Schröder^{31, 44}, L. Schumacher²⁶, G. Schwefer¹, S. Sclafani¹⁹, D. Seckel⁴⁴, M. Seikh³⁶, S. Seunarine⁵¹, R. Shah⁴⁹, A. Sharma⁶¹, S. Shefali³², N. Shimizu¹⁶, M. Silva⁴⁰, B. Skrzypek¹⁴, B. Smithers⁴, R. Snihur⁴⁰, J. Soedingrekso²³, A. Søgaard²², D. Soldin³², P. Soldin¹, G. Sommani¹¹, C. Spannfellner²⁷, G. M. Spiczak⁵¹, C. Spiering⁶³, M. Stamatikos²¹, T. Stanev⁴⁴, T. Stezelberger⁹, T. Stürwald⁶², T. Stuttard²², G. W. Sullivan¹⁹, I. Taboada⁶, S. Ter-Antonyan⁷, M. Thiesmeyer¹, W. G. Thompson¹⁴, J. Thwaites⁴⁰, S. Tilav⁴⁴, K. Tollefson²⁴, C. Tönnis⁵⁶, S. Toscano¹², D. Tosi⁴⁰, A. Trettin⁶³, C. F. Tung⁶, R. Turcotte³¹, J. P. Twagirayezu²⁴, B. Ty⁴⁰, M. A. Unland Elorrieta⁴³, A. K. Upadhyay^{40, 64}, K. Upshaw⁷, N. Valtonen-Mattila⁶¹, J. Vandenbroucke⁴⁰, N. van Eijndhoven¹³, D. Vannerom¹⁵, J. van Santen⁶³, J. Vara⁴³, J. Veitch-Michaelis⁴⁰, M. Venugopal³¹, M. Vereecken³⁷, S. Verpoest⁴⁴, D. Veske⁴⁶, A. Vijai¹⁹, C. Walck⁵⁴, C. Weaver²⁴, P. Weigel¹⁵, A. Weindl³¹, J. Weldert⁶⁰, C. Wendt⁴⁰, J. Werthebach²³, M. Weyrauch³¹, N. Whitehorn²⁴, C. H. Wiebusch¹, N. Willey²⁴, D. R. Williams⁵⁸, L. Witthaus²³, A. Wolf¹, M. Wolf²⁷, G. Wrede²⁶, X. W. Xu⁷, J. P. Yanez²⁵, E. Yildizci⁴⁰, S. Yoshida¹⁶, R. Young³⁶, F. Yu¹⁴, S. Yu²⁴, T. Yuan⁴⁰, Z. Zhang⁵⁵, P. Zhelnin¹⁴, M. Zimmerman⁴⁰

- ¹ III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany
- ² Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 5005, Australia
- ³ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alaska Anchorage, 3211 Providence Dr., Anchorage, AK 99508, USA
- ⁴ Dept. of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, 502 Yates St., Science Hall Rm 108, Box 19059, Arlington, TX 76019, USA
- ⁵ CTSPS, Clark-Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA 30314, USA
- ⁶ School of Physics and Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- ⁷ Dept. of Physics, Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA
- ⁸ Dept. of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- ⁹ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- ¹⁰ Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
- ¹¹ Fakultät für Physik & Astronomie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany
- ¹² Université Libre de Bruxelles, Science Faculty CP230, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

- ¹³ Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Dienst ELEM, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- ¹⁴ Department of Physics and Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁵ Dept. of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ¹⁶ Dept. of Physics and The International Center for Hadron Astrophysics, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
- ¹⁷ Department of Physics, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA
- ¹⁸ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand
- ¹⁹ Dept. of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- ²⁰ Dept. of Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ²¹ Dept. of Physics and Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ²² Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
- ²³ Dept. of Physics, TU Dortmund University, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
- ²⁴ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
- ²⁵ Dept. of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E1
- ²⁶ Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany
- ²⁷ Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Department of Physics, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany
- ²⁸ Département de physique nucléaire et corpusculaire, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève, Switzerland
- ²⁹ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
- ³⁰ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
- ³¹ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Astroparticle Physics, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ³² Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Experimental Particle Physics, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ³³ Dept. of Physics, Engineering Physics, and Astronomy, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada
- ³⁴ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, 89154, USA
- ³⁵ Nevada Center for Astrophysics, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA
- ³⁶ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA

³⁷ Centre for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology - CP3, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

- ³⁸ Department of Physics, Mercer University, Macon, GA 31207-0001, USA
- ³⁹ Dept. of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- ⁴⁰ Dept. of Physics and Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- ⁴¹ Institute of Physics, University of Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
- ⁴² Department of Physics, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, 53201, USA
- ⁴³ Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, D-48149 Münster, Germany
- ⁴⁴ Bartol Research Institute and Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
- ⁴⁵ Dept. of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
- ⁴⁶ Columbia Astrophysics and Nevis Laboratories, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
- ⁴⁷ Dept. of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia Galileo Galilei, Università Degli Studi di Padova, 35122 Padova PD, Italy
- ⁴⁹ Dept. of Physics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- ⁵⁰ Physics Department, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD 57701, USA
- ⁵¹ Dept. of Physics, University of Wisconsin, River Falls, WI 54022, USA
- ⁵² Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA
- ⁵³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA
- ⁵⁴ Oskar Klein Centre and Dept. of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁵⁵ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA
- ⁵⁶ Dept. of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
- ⁵⁷ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan
- ⁵⁸ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA
- ⁵⁹ Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁶⁰ Dept. of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁶¹ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, S-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
- ⁶² Dept. of Physics, University of Wuppertal, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany
- ⁶³ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany
- ⁶⁴ Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Sainik School Post, Bhubaneswar 751005, India
- ⁶⁵ Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden
- ⁶⁶ Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the following agencies and institutions: USA – U.S. National Science Foundation-Office of Polar Programs, U.S. National Science Foundation-Physics Division, U.S. National Science Foundation-EPSCoR, Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Center for High Throughput Computing (CHTC) at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, Open Science

Grid (OSG), Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS), Frontera computing project at the Texas Advanced Computing Center, U.S. Department of Energy-National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, Particle astrophysics research computing center at the University of Maryland, Institute for Cyber-Enabled Research at Michigan State University, and Astroparticle physics computational facility at Marquette University; Belgium – Funds for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS and FWO), FWO Odysseus and Big Science programmes, and Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (Belspo); Germany – Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP), Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY), and High Performance Computing cluster of the RWTH Aachen; Sweden – Swedish Research Council, Swedish Polar Research Sceretariat, Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC), and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation; European Union – EGI Advanced Computing for research; Australia – Australian Research Council; Canada – Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Calcul Québec, Compute Ontario, Canada Foundation for Innovation, WestGrid, and Compute Canada; Denmark – Villum Fonden, Carlsberg Foundation, and European Commission; New Zealand – Marsden Fund; Japan – Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) and Institute for Global Prominent Research (IGPR) of Chiba University; Korea – National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Switzerland – Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF); United Kingdom – Department of Physics, University of Oxford.