



CHALMERS
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

H.E.S.S. realtime follow-ups of IceCube high-energy neutrino alerts

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2025-06-02 21:02 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Bradascio, F., Ashkar, H., Borowska, J. et al (2024). H.E.S.S. realtime follow-ups of IceCube high-energy neutrino alerts. *Proceedings of Science*, 444. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22323/1.444.1546>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

H.E.S.S. realtime follow-ups of IceCube high-energy neutrino alerts

Federica Bradascio,^{1,*} Halim Ashkar,² Jowita Borowska,³ Jean Damascene Mbarubucyeye,⁴ Enzo Oukacha,⁵ Fabian Schüssler,¹ Hiromasa Suzuki⁶ and Alicja Wierzcholska⁷ on behalf of the **H.E.S.S. and IceCube Collaborations**
(a complete list of authors can be found at the end of the proceedings)

¹*IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

²*Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, F-91128 Palaiseau, France*

³*Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-12489 Berlin, Germany*

⁴*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany*

⁵*Université Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, AstroParticule et Cosmologie (APC), Paris F-75013, France*

⁶*Department of Physics, Konan University, 8-9-1 Okamoto, Higashinada-ku, Kobe, Hyogo, 658-8501, Japan*

⁷*Instytut Fizyki Jadrowej PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland*

E-mail: federica.bradascio@cea.fr

The evidence for multi-messenger photon and neutrino emission from the blazar TXS 0506+056 has demonstrated the importance of realtime follow-up of neutrino events by various ground- and space-based facilities. The effort of H.E.S.S. and other experiments in coordinating observations to obtain quasi-simultaneous multiwavelength flux and spectrum measurements has been critical in measuring the chance coincidence with the high-energy neutrino event IC-170922A and constraining theoretical models. For about a decade, the H.E.S.S. transient program has included a search for gamma-ray emission associated with high-energy neutrino alerts, looking for gamma-ray activity from known sources and newly detected emitters consistent with the neutrino location. In this contribution, we present an overview of follow-up activities for realtime neutrino alerts with H.E.S.S. in 2021 and 2022. Our analysis includes both public IceCube neutrino alerts and alerts exchanged as part of a joint H.E.S.S.–IceCube program. We focus on interesting coincidences observed with gamma-ray sources, particularly highlighting the significant detection of PKS 0625-35, an AGN previously detected by H.E.S.S., and three IceCube neutrinos.

The 38th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2023)
26 July – 3 August, 2023
Nagoya, Japan



*Speaker

1. Introduction

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory in Antarctica discovered an astrophysical flux of high-energy neutrinos in 2013 [1], prompting a search for their sources. Despite the strong evidence of TeV neutrino emissions from the active galaxy NGC 1068 [2], the majority of the sources remain unidentified due to the limited angular resolution of neutrino detectors, the background noise from atmospheric neutrinos, and the low signal statistics (~ 10 /year). To overcome these challenges, a multi-messenger approach combining observations in different wavelengths and astrophysical messengers is employed. Very-high-energy (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV) gamma rays are particularly promising in identifying neutrino sources, as they are produced alongside neutrinos through cosmic-ray interactions. Unlike neutrinos, gamma rays can be absorbed or scattered during their journey through the source region and extragalactic space. However, their detection or non-detection consistent with high-energy neutrinos provides valuable information about the distances and environments of the sources. Notably, the identification of the flaring gamma-ray blazar TXS 0506+056 coincident with a single, highly energetic neutrino in 2017 demonstrated the effectiveness of the multi-messenger approach [3]. In this contribution, the follow-up strategy of the H.E.S.S. imaging atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope (IACT) array to real-time neutrino alerts is presented, along with a summary of results from November 2021 to the end of 2022. Our analysis includes both public IceCube neutrino alerts and alerts exchanged as part of a joint H.E.S.S.-IceCube program.

2. H.E.S.S. neutrino follow-up program

H.E.S.S. is an array consisting of one 28 m and four 12 m IACTs, located in the Khomas Highland of Namibia, at an elevation of 1800 m above sea level, with a field-of-view (FoV) of 5° in diameter [4]. The H.E.S.S. observatory has been engaged in a neutrino Target of Opportunity (ToO) program since 2012, aimed at investigating potential correlations between neutrino and VHE gamma-ray emission. Initially triggered by real-time alerts from the ANTARES neutrino telescope, H.E.S.S. later joined the IceCube Realtime Alert Stream [5] and IceCube's Gamma-ray Follow-Up (GFU) program [6]. H.E.S.S. dedicates approximately 20 hours of observation time per year to deep investigations of intriguing neutrino events, with the goal of detecting VHE gamma-ray emissions. In case of detection, longer and deeper follow-up observations are triggered to perform source characterization [7].

This contribution presents the follow-up of six neutrino alerts received through IceCube's singlet alert stream and the GFU program, with singlet alerts comprising high-energy single events of likely astrophysical origin, categorized into *gold* and *bronze* alerts based on their signalness¹ probability [8]. Events with an average signalness value above 50% are flagged and distributed as *gold* alerts, while those with an average signalness value between 30% and 50% are categorized as *bronze* alerts. These events are broadcast by IceCube in realtime with a typical latency of ~ 30 s, and have a localization uncertainty of $\sim 1^\circ$, matching a typical IACT field of view of $3.5 - 5^\circ$. The GFU alerts, emitted when clusters of neutrino events around a priori defined list of sources surpass predefined significance thresholds, are accessible to H.E.S.S. through a Memorandum of

¹Probability that this is an astrophysical signal relative to backgrounds, assuming the best-fit diffuse muon neutrino astrophysical power-law flux $E^{2.19}$

Understanding (MoU), allowing for fully automated follow-up observations via a VOEvent-based alert stream [9]. Without prior identification of promising source candidates (e.g., using the *Fermi*-LAT and IACT catalogs), the searches typically encompass the entire region of interest (ROI) defined by the uncertainty in neutrino localization. If the external conditions permit, such as receiving the alert during a dark night when the source is visible and the weather is favorable, an automatic re-pointing procedure is initiated by the telescopes, enabling immediate observation of the alert. Otherwise, observations usually occur within the next few days, once these conditions are met. As a result, the response time varies for each individual alert, with the fastest recorded at less than 70 seconds.

The H.E.S.S. data presented in this contribution were analyzed using the method described in [10] with estimated gamma-hadron separation and event selection cuts. The background was determined using the standard “reflected background” technique [11]. The results were validated by a cross-check analysis which uses an independent event calibration and reconstruction [12]. The flux upper limit calculation is performed using the Rolke method and assuming a power-law spectrum with a photon index of 2.0 [13]. The minimum energy is chosen as the energy where the effective area reaches 10% of its maximum value, while the maximum energy is determined by the last energy bin used in the spectrum, where the number of background events is $N_{\text{OFF}} \geq 10$.

3. Multiwavelength observations

For follow-up observations triggered by the GFU stream or the identification of potential counterparts in single alerts, we conducted multiwavelength analyses using data from the *Fermi*-Large Area Telescope (LAT), the X-Ray Telescope on the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (*Swift*-XRT), and the Automatic Telescope for Optical Monitoring (ATOM). Our analysis of *Fermi*-LAT data involved a binned likelihood analysis using *Fermipy* (v.1.2.0) [14]. We utilized the P8R3_SOURCE event class and corresponding instrument response functions², selecting events in the energy range of 100 MeV to 300 GeV within 15° of the neutrino position and applying a zenith angle cut of 90° . The *PowerLaw* model³ was used for the gamma-ray spectrum, with Galactic and isotropic templates for the background⁴, and all catalog sources within 25° of the neutrino position were included [15]. Each analysis covered a two-day time-scale, spanning a day before and after the arrival time of the neutrino events, in order to be sensitive to the detection of fast, bright transients, even down to durations of a few hours. No significant emission from the neutrino events was observed in the *Fermi*-LAT data during the two-day time-scale that included the H.E.S.S. observations, resulting in the computation of 95% C.L. upper limits assuming an E^{-2} spectrum for the differential energy flux between 100 MeV and 300 GeV.

We conducted X-ray analysis using data from *Swift*-XRT [16]. The analysis was performed using version 6.29 of the HEASOFT software [17], with data collected around the time of the neutrino ToO observations. The data utilized and the results of the analysis integrated in the 0.3-10 keV energy range are presented in Table 1.

²https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/documentation/Cicerone/Cicerone_LAT_IRFs/IRF_overview.html

³https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/scitools/source_models.html

⁴<https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html>

NEUTRINO ToO	SOURCE	OBS. IDS	PHOTON INDEX	ENERGY FLUX (UNABS.) $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$	GALACTIC COLUMN DENSITY $\times 10^{20}$ cm $^{-2}$
GFU PKS 0625-35	PKS 0625-35	00039136003-00039136004	$2.19^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$	$10.7^{+1.27}_{-1.00}$	7.9
IC-211125A	4FGL J0258.1+2030	00041549003	$1.60^{+0.70}_{-0.50}$	$1.80^{+0.80}_{-0.50}$	24.0
GFU PKS 0829+046	PKS 0829+046	00038144014	$1.87^{+0.33}_{-0.30}$	$2.30^{+0.40}_{-0.30}$	2.70
GFU 1ES 0229+200	1ES 0229+200	00031249115	$1.83^{+0.18}_{-0.17}$	$17.96^{+0.16}_{-0.15}$	12

Table 1: *Swift*-XRT data analysis parameters. The unabsorbed energy flux is obtained by integrating over the full energy range 0.3-10 keV, correcting for the Galactic column density value [19].

Optical data in the B, V, R, and I bands (440 nm, 550 nm, 640 nm, and 790 nm, respectively) were obtained using the 75 cm ATOM telescope located at the H.E.S.S. site in Namibia [18]. The ATOM data analyzed in this study corresponds to observation times that coincide with the H.E.S.S. ToO observations. Energy fluxes for candidate sources were derived for four neutrino follow-ups.

4. Results

4.1 GFU PKS 0625-35

On April 16, 2022, IceCube detected a neutrino flare originating from the blazar PKS 0625-35. The detection pre-trial significance was 3.56σ , with a false alarm rate of 0.003 per year. The three neutrinos were detected within an energy range of 63 TeV to 302 TeV. Given that the source had been previously observed by H.E.S.S. [20], a ToO observation was initiated on the night of April 19th, 2022 centered on the coordinates of the previous detection: RA: 6h26m58s, Dec: -35d29m50s. The observations lasted for three nights, resulting in approximately three hours of data. The source was detected in both H.E.S.S. chain analyses, with a significance of 3.5σ . The data was fitted with a power-law function, yielding a spectral index of 2.45 ± 0.42 in the energy range 0.35 to 6.58 TeV. The resulting spectral point is illustrated in Figure 1, alongside the H.E.S.S. flux points from the source’s previous observation in 2018. During the same ToO, the source was also observed in optical and X-ray wavelengths. By comparing the flux distribution in April 2022 with archival data⁵ and the H.E.S.S. data from 2018, it becomes evident that there was no variation in the shape of the spectral energy distribution (SED), implying no association with the neutrino flare.

4.2 IC-211125A

On November 25, 2021, IceCube reported the detection of a *bronze* track-like event with a 39% probability of having an astrophysical origin and a false alarm rate of 1.973 events per year due to atmospheric backgrounds [21]. Two sources in the ROI were identified as potential counterparts to the neutrino event: the bright optical transient AT2021afpi, which was detected by MASTER [22] and classified as a classical nova [23], and the AGN *Fermi*-LAT source 4FGL J0258.1+2030. During the first night of observations on November 29th, 2021 H.E.S.S. pointed at the position of the Nova source with a 0.5° “wobble” offset⁶. In the following four nights, a manual pointing pattern without wobble offsets was defined to cover both sources. However, due to bad weather conditions and resulting unstable trigger rates, only ~ 5 hours of observations were analyzed. Upper limits

⁵<https://tools.ssd.csi.it/SED/>

⁶In wobble observation mode the source direction has an offset in declination with respect to the camera center.




Figure 1: SED of the blazar PKS 0625-35 using data from H.E.S.S., *Fermi*-LAT, *Swift*-XRT, and ATOM. Archival data is displayed in gray.




Figure 2: (a): IC-211125A integral upper limits map of H.E.S.S. observations at 95% C.L. (b): SED of the candidate source 4FGL J0258.1+2030 with *Fermi*-LAT upper limits and *Swift*-XRT data.

were then computed in the energy range between 0.42 and 100 TeV centered on the AGN position, as shown in Figure 2a. The yellow circle represents the first 90% error on the neutrino position, while the updated contours provided by IceCube are depicted as orange circles. The updated contours are calculated offline based on more sophisticated reconstruction algorithms. Notably, the Nova source lies outside the 90% IceCube contours. The minimum energy at the Nova position is 0.46 TeV. Additionally, both *Fermi*-LAT and *Swift*-XRT conducted observations during the neutrino alert, and a SED was generated on the 4FGL J0258.1+2030 source position (see Figure 2b).

4.3 IC-211208A

On December 8, 2021, IceCube reported the detection of a track-like neutrino event classified as a *bronze* alert with a 50.2% probability of being astrophysical in origin [24]. Three potential AGN gamma-ray counterparts were identified: 4FGL J0738.4+1539, 4FGL J0743.1+1713, and PKS 0735+178 (redshift $z = 0.45$). Multiwavelength observations revealed that the gamma-ray blazar




Figure 3: (a): IC-211208A integral upper limits map of H.E.S.S. observations at 95% C.L. (b): SED of the PKS 0829+046 using data from H.E.S.S., *Fermi-LAT*, *Swift-XRT*, and ATOM. Archival data are displayed in gray.

PKS 0735+178 was in flaring state in the radio, optical, X-ray, and GeV gamma-ray band [25]. As depicted in Figure 3a, PKS 0735+178 was located just outside the 90% error region of the neutrino event, $\sim 2.0^\circ$ away from the best-fit position. H.E.S.S. observed the direction of PKS 0735+178 for a total of 16 hours from December 8 to 15, 2021, at an average zenith angle of 42.2° above 0.1 TeV energy. 3.8 hours of data were selected based on strict criteria for weather conditions and instrumental status. Observations were performed in wobble mode at an offset from the center of the camera of 0.5° [4]. A circular ROI of 0.1° centered on the position of PKS 0735+178 was defined, and two regions of 0.25° radius around the nearby sources 4FGL J0738.4+1539 and 4FGL J0743.1+1713 were excluded from the background estimation. No significant gamma-ray excess above the expected background was detected from the direction of PKS 0735+178. Therefore, integral flux upper limits at 95% C.L. in the energy range between 0.4 TeV and 100 TeV in the full field of view (FoV) were calculated (see Figure 3a). A complete SED of this source during IC-211208A alert is given in [25].

4.4 GFU PKS 0829+046

PKS 0829+046 is a BL Lac type object located at RA: 08h 31m 48.88s, Dec: $+04^\circ 29' 39.09''$. The neutrino flare occurred on December 22nd, 2021, lasting ~ 7.9 days. It had a pre-trial significance just above the trigger threshold (3.03σ) and a false alert rate of 0.055/year. The flare consisted of 8 events, with the most energetic event having an energy of 2 TeV. Observations by H.E.S.S. were conducted for two nights starting on December 30th, 2021, resulting in ~ 4.7 hours of data. In contrast to the other analyses, the *loose cuts* configuration [10] has been employed in this particular case. However, the source was not detected at VHE, and an upper limit on the integral flux was computed above an energy threshold of 224 GeV. Similarly, the *Fermi-LAT* telescope did not detect the source. Nevertheless, during the IceCube alert, the source was detected in the optical band by ATOM and in the X-ray band by *Swift-XRT*. The flux and upper limit data points are presented in Figure 3b. Comparisons with archival data reveal no significant variation in the SED associated with the neutrino flare.




Figure 4: (a): IC-220425A integral upper limits map between 0.37 TeV and 100 TeV at a 95% C.L. (b): SED of the HBL GFU 1ES0229+200 using data from H.E.S.S., *Fermi*-LAT, *Swift*-XRT, and ATOM. Archival data is displayed in gray.

4.5 IC-220425A

The *gold* alert IC-20220425A was observed on April 25, 2022 and reported with a signalness of 17% [26]. The H.E.S.S. observation was triggered automatically within less than 70 seconds from the neutrino detection, resulting in 75 minutes observations under moonlight conditions. Due to lack of detection, 95% C.L. integral upper limits were calculated between 0.37 TeV and 100 TeV and using ad-hoc simulations of the instrument response to take into account moonlight condition [27]. As depicted in Figure 4a, the updated neutrino position was refined offline, causing the H.E.S.S. observation to fall outside the 90% neutrino error region. The *Fermi*-LAT analysis revealed no evidence of potential high-energy gamma-ray counterparts.

4.6 GFU 1ES0229+200

1ES0229+200 is a high-frequency peaked BL Lac (HBL) located at RA: 02h 32m 49s, Dec: +20d 17m 1s [28]. On August 25th, 2022, IceCube detected a short neutrino flare from this source, lasting 1.2 days. The flare had a pre-trial significance of 3.09σ and a false alert rate of 0.050/year. Only 4 neutrino events were detected, with the most energetic event having an energy of 1.3 TeV. Observations by H.E.S.S. were carried out over five nights starting on August 27th, 2022, resulting in approximately 13.5 hours of data. However, the observations were affected by unstable weather conditions and only ~ 8 hours are passing quality criteria. During the neutrino alert, the source was observed in the optical band by ATOM, in the X-ray band by *Swift*-XRT, and in the high-energy gamma-ray band by *Fermi*-LAT. The flux and upper limit data points are presented in Figure 4b. Comparisons with archival data reveal no significant variation in the spectral distribution associated with the neutrino flare.

5. Conclusions

We presented the follow-up strategy of H.E.S.S. to real-time neutrino alerts and summarized the search for gamma-ray emission from November 2021 to the end of 2022. While no significant

gamma-ray excess was detected in the specific events studied, except for the blazar PKS 0625-35, the program contributed valuable insights into the search for neutrino sources and demonstrated the importance of multi-messenger observations in understanding high-energy astrophysical phenomena.

References

- [1] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen and other *Science* **342** no. 6161, (2013) 1242856.
- [2] **IceCube** Collaboration, R. Abbasi *et al.* *Science* **378** no. 6619, (2022) 538–543.
- [3] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen *et al.* *Science* **361** no. 6398, (July, 2018) 147–151.
- [4] **H.E.S.S.** Collaboration, F. Aharonian *et al.* *A&A* **457** no. 3, (2006) 899–915.
- [5] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. Aartsen *et al.* *Astroparticle Physics* **92** (2017) 30–41.
- [6] **IceCube** Collaboration, M. G. Aartsen *et al.* *JINST* **11** no. 11, (Nov., 2016) P11009.
- [7] F. Schüssler *PoS ICRC2023* (these proceedings) 1470.
- [8] **IceCube** Collaboration, R. Abbasi *et al.* *arXiv e-prints* (Apr., 2023) arXiv:2304.01174.
- [9] **H.E.S.S.** Collaboration, C. Hoischen *et al.* *A&A* **666** (Oct., 2022) A119.
- [10] M. de Naurois and L. Rolland *Astroparticle Physics* **32** no. 5, (2009) 231–252.
- [11] D. Berge, Funk, S., and Hinton, J. *A&A* **466** no. 3, (2007) 1219–1229.
- [12] R. Parsons and J. Hinton *Astroparticle Physics* **56** (2014) 26–34.
- [13] W. A. Rolke, A. M. López, and J. Conrad *NIM-A* **551** no. 2, (2005) 493–503.
- [14] M. Wood *et al.* *PoS ICRC2017* (July, 2017) 824.
- [15] S. Abdollahi *et al.* *ApJS* **247** no. 1, (Mar., 2020) 33.
- [16] D. N. Burrows *et al.* *SSR* **120** (Oct., 2005) 165–195.
- [17] P. A. Evans *et al.* *MNRAS* **397** no. 3, (07, 2009) 1177–1201.
- [18] M. Hauser *et al.* *Astronomische Nachrichten* **325** no. 6, (Oct., 2004) 659–659.
- [19] R. Willingale *et al.* **431** no. 1, (May, 2013) 394–404.
- [20] **H.E.S.S.** Collaboration, H. Abdalla *et al.* *MNRAS* **476** no. 3, (May, 2018) 4187–4198.
- [21] **IceCube** Collaboration *GRB Coordinates Network* **31126** (Nov., 2021) 1.
- [22] K. Zhirkov *et al.* *The Astronomer’s Telegram* **15067** (Nov., 2021) 1.
- [23] R. Stein *et al.* *The Astronomer’s Telegram* **15069** (Nov., 2021) 1.
- [24] **IceCube** Collaboration *GRB Coordinates Network* **31191** (Dec., 2021) 1.
- [25] M. Pohl *PoS ICRC2023* (these proceedings) 1470.
- [26] **IceCube** Collaboration *GRB Coordinates Network* **31971** (Apr., 2022) 1.
- [27] M. Holler *et al.* *Astroparticle Physics* **123** (2020) 102491.
- [28] **H.E.S.S.** Collaboration, F. Aharonian *et al.* *A&A* **475** (2007) L9–L13.

Full Author List: H.E.S.S. Collaboration

F. Aharonian^{1,2,3}, F. Ait Benkhali⁴, J. Aschersleben⁵, H. Ashkar⁶, M. Backes^{7,8}, V. Barbosa Martins⁹, R. Batzofin¹⁰, Y. Becherini^{11,12}, D. Berge^{9,13}, K. Bernlöhr², M. Böttcher⁸, C. Boisson¹⁴, J. Bolmont¹⁵, M. de Bony de Lavergne¹⁶, J. Borowska¹³, F. Bradascio¹⁶, B. Bruno¹⁷, T. Bulik¹⁸, C. Burger-Scheidlin¹, S. Casanova¹⁹, R. Cecil²⁰, J. Celic¹⁷, M. Cerruti¹¹, T. Chand⁸, S. Chandra⁸, A. Chen²¹, J. Chibueze⁸, O. Chibueze⁸, G. Cotter²², J. Damascene Mbarubucyeye⁹, I.D. Davids⁷, A. Dmytriiev⁸, V. Doroshenko²³, K. Egberts¹⁰, J.-P. Ernenwein²⁴, S. Fegan⁶, G. Fontaine⁶, M. Füßling⁹, S. Funk¹⁷, S. Gabici¹¹, S. Ghafourizadeh⁴, G. Giavitto⁹, D. Glawion¹⁷, J.F. Glicenstein¹⁶, G. Grolleron¹⁵, T. L. Holch⁹, M. Holler²⁵, M. Jamroz²⁶, F. Jankowsky⁴, V. Joshi¹⁷, E. Kasai⁷, K. Katarzyński²⁷, R. Khatoon⁸, B. Khélifi¹¹, Nu. Komin²¹, K. Kosack¹⁶, D. Kostunin⁹, R.G. Lang¹⁷, S. Le Stum²⁴, F. Leitzl¹⁷, A. Lemièrè¹¹, J.-P. Lenain¹⁵, F. Leuschner²³, J. Mackey¹, D. Malyshev²³, V. Marandon¹⁶, P. Marinos²⁸, R. Marx⁴, A. Mehta⁹, A. Mitchell¹⁷, R. Moderski²⁹, L. Mohrmann², A. Montanari⁴, T. Murach⁹, M. de Naurois⁶, J. Niemiec¹⁹, P. O'Brien³⁰, S. Ohm⁹, L. Olivera-Nieto², E. de Ona Wilhelmi⁹, M. Ostrowski²⁶, E. Oukacha¹¹, S. Panny²⁵, M. Panter², G. Peron¹¹, D.A. Prokhorov³¹, G. Pühlhofer²³, A. Quirrenbach⁴, M. Regeard¹¹, P. Reichherzer¹⁶, O. Reimer²⁵, M. Renaud³², F. Rieger², B. Rudak²⁹, V. Sahakian³, H. Salzmänn²³, M. Sasaki¹⁷, F. Schüssler¹⁶, J.N.S. Shapopi⁷, A. Specovius¹⁷, S. Spencer¹⁷, S. Steinmassl², C. Steppa¹⁰, K. Streil¹⁷, T. Takahashi³³, T. Tanaka³⁴, C. Thorpe-Morgan²³, C. van Eldik¹⁷, M. Vecchi⁵, J. Veh¹⁷, T. Wach¹⁷, R. White², A. Wierzcholska¹⁹, D. Zargaryan¹, A.A. Zdziarski²⁹, A. Zech¹⁴, N. Żywucka⁸.

¹Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 31 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin 2, Ireland

²Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, P.O. Box 103980, D 69029 Heidelberg, Germany

³Yerevan State University, 1 Alek Manukyan St, Yerevan 0025, Armenia

⁴Landessternwarte, Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl, D 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

⁵Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, Landleven 12, 9747 AD Groningen, The Netherlands

⁶Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, F-91128 Palaiseau, France

⁷University of Namibia, Department of Physics, Private Bag 13301, Windhoek 10005, Namibia

⁸Centre for Space Research, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

⁹DESY, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

¹⁰Institut für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Strasse 24/25, D 14476 Potsdam, Germany

¹¹Université de Paris, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, F-75013 Paris, France

¹²Department of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Linnaeus University, 351 95 Växjö, Sweden

¹³Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstr. 15, D 12489 Berlin, Germany

¹⁴Laboratoire Univers et Théories, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Université de Paris, 92190 Meudon, France

¹⁵Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, LPNHE, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France

¹⁶IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹⁷Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Nikolaus-Fiebiger-Str. 2, D 91058 Erlangen, Germany

¹⁸Astronomical Observatory, The University of Warsaw, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland

¹⁹Instytut Fizyki Jądrowej PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

²⁰Universität Hamburg, Institut für Experimentalphysik, Luruper Chaussee 149, D 22761 Hamburg, Germany

²¹School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, 1 Jan Smuts Avenue, Braamfontein, Johannesburg, 2050 South Africa

²²University of Oxford, Department of Physics, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

²³Institut für Astronomie und Astrophysik, Universität Tübingen, Sand 1, D 72076 Tübingen, Germany

²⁴Aix Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

²⁵Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

²⁶Obserwatorium Astronomiczne, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, ul. Orła 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland

²⁷Institute of Astronomy, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziadzka 5, 87-100 Torun, Poland

²⁸School of Physical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia

²⁹Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

³⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom

³¹GRAPPA, Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³²Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, Université Montpellier, CNRS/IN2P3, CC 72, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

³³Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), The University of Tokyo Institutes for Advanced Study (UTIAS), The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwa-no-Ha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8583, Japan

³⁴Department of Physics, Konan University, 8-9-1 Okamoto, Higashinada, Kobe, Hyogo 658-8501, Japan

Acknowledgements

The support of the Namibian authorities and of the University of Namibia in facilitating the construction and operation of H.E.S.S. is gratefully acknowledged, as is the support by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF), the Max Planck Society, the Helmholtz Association, the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS/IN2P3 and CNRS/INSU), the Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives (CEA), the U.K. Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), the Irish Research Council (IRC) and the Science Foundation Ireland (SFI), the Polish Ministry of Education and Science, agreement no. 2021/WK/06, the South African Department of Science and Innovation and National Research Foundation, the University of Namibia, the National Commission on Research, Science & Technology of Namibia (NCRST), the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), the Australian Research Council (ARC), the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, the University of Amsterdam and the Science Committee of Armenia grant 21AG-1C085. We appreciate the excellent work of the technical support staff in Berlin, Zeuthen, Heidelberg, Palaiseau, Paris, Saclay, Tübingen and in Namibia in the construction and operation of the equipment. This work benefited from services provided by the H.E.S.S. Virtual Organisation, supported by the national resource providers of the EGI Federation.

Full Author List: IceCube Collaboration

R. Abbasi¹⁷, M. Ackermann⁶³, J. Adams¹⁸, S. K. Agarwalla^{40, 64}, J. A. Aguilar¹², M. Ahlers²², J.M. Alameddine²³, N. M. Amin⁴⁴, K. Andeen⁴², G. Anton²⁶, C. Argüelles¹⁴, Y. Ashida⁵³, S. Athanasiadou⁶³, S. N. Axani⁴⁴, X. Bai⁵⁰, A. Balagopal V.⁴⁰, M. Baricevic⁴⁰, S. W. Barwick³⁰, V. Basu⁴⁰, R. Bay⁸, J. J. Beatty^{20, 21}, J. Becker Tjus^{11, 65}, J. Beise⁶¹, C. Bellenghi²⁷, C. Benning¹, S. BenZvi⁵², D. Berley¹⁹, E. Bernardini⁴⁸, D. Z. Besson³⁶, E. Blaufuss¹⁹, S. Blot⁶³, F. Bontempo³¹, J. Y. Book¹⁴, C. Boscolo Meneguolo⁴⁸, S. Böser⁴¹, O. Botner⁶¹, J. Böttcher⁷, E. Bourbeau²², J. Braun⁴⁰, B. Brinson⁶, J. Brostean-Kaiser⁶³, R. T. Burley², R. S. Busse⁴³, D. Butterfield⁴⁰, M. A. Campana⁴⁹, K. Carloni¹⁴, E. G. Carnie-Bronca², S. Chattopadhyay^{40, 64}, N. Chau¹², C. Chen⁶, Z. Chen⁵⁵, D. Chirkin⁴⁰, S. Choi⁵⁶, B. A. Clark¹⁹, L. Classen⁴³, A. Coleman⁶¹, G. H. Collin¹⁵, A. Connolly^{20, 21}, J. M. Conrad¹⁵, P. Coppin¹³, P. Correa¹³, D. F. Cowen^{59, 60}, P. Dave⁶, C. De Clercq¹³, J. J. DeLaunay⁵⁸, D. Delgado¹⁴, S. Deng¹, K. Deoskar⁵⁴, A. Desai⁴⁰, P. Desati⁴⁰, K. D. de Vries¹³, G. de Wasseige³⁷, T. DeYoung²⁴, A. Diaz¹⁵, J. C. Díaz-Vélez⁴⁰, M. Dittmer⁴³, A. Domi²⁶, H. Dujmovic⁴⁰, M. A. DuVernois⁴⁰, T. Ehrhardt⁴¹, P. Eller²⁷, E. Ellinger⁶², S. El Mentawi¹, D. Elsässer²³, R. Engel^{31, 32}, H. Erpenbeck⁴⁰, J. Evans¹⁹, P. A. Evenson⁴⁴, K. L. Fan¹⁹, K. Fang⁴⁰, K. Farrag¹⁶, A. R. Fazzio⁷, A. Fedynitch⁵⁷, N. Feigl¹⁰, S. Fiedlschuster²⁶, C. Finley⁵⁴, L. Fischer⁶⁷, D. Fox⁵⁹, A. Frankowiak¹¹, A. Fritz⁴¹, P. Fürst¹, J. Gallagher³⁹, E. Ganster¹, A. Garcia¹⁴, L. Gerhardt⁹, A. Ghadimi⁵⁸, C. Glaser⁶¹, T. Glauch²⁷, T. Glusenkamp^{26, 61}, N. Goehke³², J. G. Gonzalez⁴⁴, S. Goswami⁵⁸, D. Grant²⁴, S. J. Gray¹⁹, O. Gries¹, S. Griffin⁴⁰, S. Griswold⁵², K. M. Groth²², C. Günther¹, P. Gutjahr²³, C. Haack²⁶, A. Hallgren⁶¹, R. Halliday²⁴, L. Halve¹, F. Halzen⁴⁰, H. Hamdaoui⁵⁵, M. Ha Minh²⁷, K. Hanson⁴⁰, J. Hardin¹⁵, A. A. Harnisch²⁴, P. Hatch³³, A. Haungs³¹, K. Helbing⁶², J. Hellrung¹¹, F. Henningsen²⁷, L. Heuermann¹, N. Heyer⁶¹, S. Hickford⁶², A. Hidvegi⁵⁴, C. Hill¹⁶, G. C. Hill², K. D. Hoffman¹⁹, S. Hori⁴⁰, K. Hoshina^{40, 66}, W. Hou³¹, T. Huber³¹, K. Hultqvist²³, M. Hünnefeld²³, R. Hussain⁴⁰, K. Hymon²³, S. In⁵⁶, A. Ishihara¹⁶, M. Jacquart¹⁶, O. Janik¹, M. Jansson⁵⁴, G. S. Japaridze⁵, M. Jeong⁵⁶, M. Jin¹⁴, B. J. P. Jones⁴, D. Kang³¹, W. Kang⁵⁶, X. Kang⁴⁹, A. Kappes⁴³, D. Kappesser⁴¹, L. Kardum²³, T. Karg⁶³, M. Karle²⁷, A. Karle⁴⁰, U. Katz²⁶, M. Kauer⁴⁰, J. L. Kelley⁴⁰, A. Khatee Zathul⁴⁰, A. Kheirandish^{34, 35}, J. Kiryluk⁵⁵, S. R. Klein^{8, 9}, A. Kochocki²⁴, R. Koirala⁴⁴, H. Kolanoski¹⁰, T. Kontrimas²⁷, L. Köpke⁴¹, C. Kopper²⁶, D. J. Koskinen²², P. Koundal³¹, M. Kovacevich⁴⁹, M. Kowalski^{10, 63}, T. Kozynets²², J. Krishnamoorthi^{40, 64}, K. Kruijswijk³⁷, E. Krupczak²⁴, A. Kumar⁶³, E. Kun¹¹, N. Kurahashi⁴⁹, N. Lad⁶³, C. Lagunas Gualda⁶³, M. Lamoureux³⁷, M. J. Larson¹⁹, S. Latseva¹, F. Lauber⁶², J. P. Lazar^{14, 40}, J. W. Lee⁵⁶, K. Leonard DeHolton⁶⁰, A. Leszczyńska⁴⁴, M. Lincetto¹¹, Q. R. Liu⁴⁰, M. Liubarska²⁵, E. Lohfink⁴¹, C. Love⁴⁹, C. J. Lozano Mariscal⁴³, L. Lu⁴⁰, F. Lucarelli²⁸, W. Luszczyk^{20, 21}, Y. Lyu^{8, 9}, J. Madsen⁴⁰, K. B. M. Mahn²⁴, Y. Makino⁴⁰, E. Manao²⁷, S. Mancina^{40, 48}, W. Marie Sainte⁴⁰, I. C. Mariş¹², S. Marka⁴⁶, Z. Marka⁴⁶, M. Marsee⁵⁸, I. Martinez-Soler¹⁴, R. Maruyama⁴⁵, F. Mayhew²⁴, T. McElroy²⁵, F. McNally³⁸, J. V. Mead²², K. Meagher⁴⁰, S. Mechbal⁶³, A. Medina²¹, M. Meier¹⁶, Y. Merckx¹³, L. Merten¹¹, J. Micallef²⁴, J. Mitchell⁷, T. Montaruli²⁸, R. W. Moore²⁵, Y. Morii¹⁶, R. Morse⁴⁰, M. Moulai⁴⁰, T. Mukherjee³¹, R. Naab⁶³, R. Nagai¹⁶, M. Nakos⁴⁰, U. Naumann⁶², J. Necker⁶³, A. Negi⁴, M. Neumann⁴³, H. Niederhausen²⁴, M. U. Nisa²⁴, A. Noell¹, A. Novikov⁴⁴, S. C. Nowicki²⁴, A. Obertacke Pollmann¹⁶, V. O'Dell⁴⁰, M. Oehler³¹, B. Oeyen²⁹, A. Olivas¹⁹, R. Ørsøe²⁷, J. Osborn⁴⁰, E. O'Sullivan⁶¹, H. Pandya⁴⁴, N. Park³³, G. K. Parker⁴, E. N. Paudel⁴⁴, L. Paul^{42, 50}, C. Pérez de los Heros⁶¹, J. Peterson⁴⁰, S. Philippen¹, A. Pizzuto⁴⁰, M. Plum⁵⁰, A. Pontén⁶¹, Y. Popovych⁴¹, M. Prado Rodriguez⁴⁰, B. Pries²⁴, R. Procter-Murphy¹⁹, G. T. Przybylski⁹, C. Raab³⁷, J. Rack-Helleis⁴¹, K. Rawlins³, Z. Rechac⁴⁰, A. Rehman⁴⁴, P. Reichherzer¹¹, G. Renzi¹², E. Resconi²⁷, S. Reusch⁶³, W. Rhode²³, B. Riedel⁴⁰, A. Rifaie¹, E. J. Roberts², S. Robertson^{8, 9}, S. Rodan⁵⁶, G. Roellinghoff⁵⁶, M. Rongen²⁶, C. Rott^{53, 56}, T. Ruhe²³, L. Ruohan²⁷, D. Ryckbosch²⁹, I. Safa^{14, 40}, J. Saffer³², D. Salazar-Gallegos²⁴, P. Sampathkumar³¹, S. E. Sanchez Herrera²⁴, A. Sandrock⁶², M. Santander⁵⁸, S. Sarkar²⁵, S. Sarkar⁴⁷, J. Savelberg¹, P. Savina⁴⁰, M. Schaufel¹, H. Schieler³¹, S. Schindler²⁶, L. Schlickmann¹, B. Schlüter⁴³, F. Schlüter¹², N. Schmeisser⁶², T. Schmidt¹⁹, J. Schneider²⁶, F. G. Schröder^{31, 44}, L. Schumacher²⁶, G. Schwefer¹, S. Sclafani¹⁹, D. Seckel⁴⁴, M. Seikh³⁶, S. Seunarine⁵¹, R. Shah⁴⁹, A. Sharma⁶¹, S. Shefali³², N. Shimizu¹⁶, M. Silva⁴⁰, B. Skrzypek¹⁴, B. Smithers⁴, R. Snihur⁴⁰, J. Soedingrekso²³, A. Sogaard²², D. Soldin³², P. Soldin¹, G. Sommani¹¹, C. Spannfellner²⁷, G. M. Spiczak⁵¹, C. Spiering⁶³, M. Stamatikos²¹, T. Stanev⁴⁴, T. Stetzelberger⁹, T. Stürwald⁶², T. Stuttard²², G. W. Sullivan¹⁹, I. Taboada⁶, S. Ter-Antonyan⁷, M. Thiesmeyer¹, W. G. Thompson¹⁴, J. Thwaites⁴⁰, S. Tilav⁴⁴, K. Tollefson²⁴, C. Tönnis⁵⁶, S. Toscano¹², D. Tosi⁴⁰, A. Trettin⁶³, C. F. Tung⁶, R. Turcotte³¹, J. P. Twagirayezu²⁴, B. Ty⁴⁰, M. A. Unland Elorrieta⁴³, A. K. Upadhyay^{40, 64}, K. Uphaw⁷, N. Valtonen-Mattila⁶¹, J. Vandenbroucke⁴⁰, N. van Eijndhoven¹³, D. Vannerom¹⁵, J. van Santen⁶³, J. Vara⁴³, J. Veitch-Michaelis⁴⁰, M. Venugopal³¹, M. Vereecken³⁷, S. Verpoest⁴⁴, D. Veske⁴⁶, A. Vijai¹⁹, C. Walck⁵⁴, C. Weaver²⁴, P. Weigel¹⁵, A. Weindl³¹, J. Weldert⁶⁰, C. Wendt⁴⁰, J. Werthebach²³, M. Weyrauch³¹, N. Whitehorn²⁴, C. H. Wiebusch¹, N. Willey²⁴, D. R. Williams⁵⁸, L. Witthaus²³, A. Wolf¹, M. Wolf²⁷, G. Wrede²⁶, X. W. Xu⁷, J. P. Yanez²⁵, E. Yildizci⁴⁰, S. Yoshida¹⁶, R. Young³⁶, F. Yu¹⁴, S. Yu²⁴, T. Yuan⁴⁰, Z. Zhang⁵⁵, P. Zhelnin¹⁴, M. Zimmerman⁴⁰

¹ III. Physikalisches Institut, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

² Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, 5005, Australia

³ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alaska Anchorage, 3211 Providence Dr., Anchorage, AK 99508, USA

⁴ Dept. of Physics, University of Texas at Arlington, 502 Yates St., Science Hall Rm 108, Box 19059, Arlington, TX 76019, USA

⁵ CTSPS, Clark-Atlanta University, Atlanta, GA 30314, USA

⁶ School of Physics and Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

⁷ Dept. of Physics, Southern University, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA

⁸ Dept. of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

⁹ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

¹⁰ Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, D-12489 Berlin, Germany

¹¹ Fakultät für Physik & Astronomie, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

¹² Université Libre de Bruxelles, Science Faculty CP230, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

- ¹³ Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Dienst ELEM, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium
- ¹⁴ Department of Physics and Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁵ Dept. of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ¹⁶ Dept. of Physics and The International Center for Hadron Astrophysics, Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan
- ¹⁷ Department of Physics, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA
- ¹⁸ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand
- ¹⁹ Dept. of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- ²⁰ Dept. of Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ²¹ Dept. of Physics and Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
- ²² Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
- ²³ Dept. of Physics, TU Dortmund University, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany
- ²⁴ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
- ²⁵ Dept. of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2E1
- ²⁶ Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany
- ²⁷ Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Department of Physics, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany
- ²⁸ Département de physique nucléaire et corpusculaire, Université de Genève, CH-1211 Genève, Switzerland
- ²⁹ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, B-9000 Gent, Belgium
- ³⁰ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
- ³¹ Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Astroparticle Physics, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ³² Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Experimental Particle Physics, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ³³ Dept. of Physics, Engineering Physics, and Astronomy, Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada
- ³⁴ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, 89154, USA
- ³⁵ Nevada Center for Astrophysics, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA
- ³⁶ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA
- ³⁷ Centre for Cosmology, Particle Physics and Phenomenology - CP3, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
- ³⁸ Department of Physics, Mercer University, Macon, GA 31207-0001, USA
- ³⁹ Dept. of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- ⁴⁰ Dept. of Physics and Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA
- ⁴¹ Institute of Physics, University of Mainz, Staudinger Weg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany
- ⁴² Department of Physics, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, 53201, USA
- ⁴³ Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, D-48149 Münster, Germany
- ⁴⁴ Bartol Research Institute and Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA
- ⁴⁵ Dept. of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
- ⁴⁶ Columbia Astrophysics and Nevis Laboratories, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
- ⁴⁷ Dept. of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia Galileo Galilei, Università Degli Studi di Padova, 35122 Padova PD, Italy
- ⁴⁹ Dept. of Physics, Drexel University, 3141 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- ⁵⁰ Physics Department, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD 57701, USA
- ⁵¹ Dept. of Physics, University of Wisconsin, River Falls, WI 54022, USA
- ⁵² Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA
- ⁵³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA
- ⁵⁴ Oskar Klein Centre and Dept. of Physics, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- ⁵⁵ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800, USA
- ⁵⁶ Dept. of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
- ⁵⁷ Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan
- ⁵⁸ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487, USA
- ⁵⁹ Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁶⁰ Dept. of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁶¹ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, S-75120 Uppsala, Sweden
- ⁶² Dept. of Physics, University of Wuppertal, D-42119 Wuppertal, Germany
- ⁶³ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany
- ⁶⁴ Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Sainik School Post, Bhubaneswar 751005, India
- ⁶⁵ Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden
- ⁶⁶ Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the following agencies and institutions: USA – U.S. National Science Foundation-Office of Polar Programs, U.S. National Science Foundation-Physics Division, U.S. National Science Foundation-EPSCoR, Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, Center for High Throughput Computing (CHTC) at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, Open Science

Grid (OSG), Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS), Frontera computing project at the Texas Advanced Computing Center, U.S. Department of Energy-National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, Particle astrophysics research computing center at the University of Maryland, Institute for Cyber-Enabled Research at Michigan State University, and Astroparticle physics computational facility at Marquette University; Belgium – Funds for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS and FWO), FWO Odysseus and Big Science programmes, and Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (Belspo); Germany – Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Helmholtz Alliance for Astroparticle Physics (HAP), Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY), and High Performance Computing cluster of the RWTH Aachen; Sweden – Swedish Research Council, Swedish Polar Research Secretariat, Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC), and Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation; European Union – EGI Advanced Computing for research; Australia – Australian Research Council; Canada – Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Calcul Québec, Compute Ontario, Canada Foundation for Innovation, WestGrid, and Compute Canada; Denmark – Villum Fonden, Carlsberg Foundation, and European Commission; New Zealand – Marsden Fund; Japan – Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS) and Institute for Global Prominent Research (IGPR) of Chiba University; Korea – National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Switzerland – Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF); United Kingdom – Department of Physics, University of Oxford.