



The Possibility of Protest

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2025-11-04 18:29 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Vasconcelos, E., Samuelsson-Gamboa, M., Andersen, K. et al (2025). The Possibility of Protest. Conference Proceedings Computing X Crisis 6th Decennial Aarhus Conference Aar Adjunct 2025. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3737609.3747091>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.



The Possibility of Protest

Elvia Vasconcelos
Industrial design
Eindhoven University of Technology
Eindhoven, Netherlands
elvia.vasconcelos@gmail.com

Mafalda Gamboa
Interaction Design, CSE
Chalmers University of Technology,
University of Gothenburg
Gothenburg, Sweden
mafalda.gamboa@chalmers.se

Kristina Andersen
Industrial Design
Eindhoven University of Technology
Eindhoven, —, Netherlands
h.k.g.andersen@tue.nl

Bruna Goveia da Rocha
Department of Industrial Design
TU Eindhoven
Eindhoven, Netherlands
b.goveia.da.rocha@tue.nl

Seda Özçetin
Umeå Institute of Design
Umeå University
Umeå, Sweden
seda.ozcetin@umu.se

Yuxi Liu
Industrial Design Engineering
Delft University of Technology
Delft, Netherlands
y.liu-20@tudelft.nl

Lone Koefoed Hansen
Department of Digital Design and
Information Studies
Aarhus University
Aarhus, Denmark
koefoed@cavi.au.dk

Helen Milne
Department of Industrial Design
Eindhoven Technical University
Eindhoven, Netherlands
h.j.s.milne@tue.nl

Léa Paymal
Centre de Recherche en Design
Ecole Normale Supérieure
Paris-Saclay
Gif sur Yvette, France
lea.paymal@ens-paris-saclay.fr

Abstract

Design research is inherently both social and political. We are living and working in times of great unrest and upheaval, and we feel that our work must increasingly reflect this. We propose this workshop to engage with the material practices of protest. These range from long-held traditions of banners and badges to more emergent forms of zines, stickers, tattoos, and visible repairs. We propose a day of making and exchange to engage these practices. We will explore the materiality of the protest banner as a surface of collective expression, while also emphasizing care, repair, and feminist practices of collective knowledge-making in design research. Practically, we will be making banners, stickers, and temporary tattoos, using a speculative trade union as a unifying structure for discussions around care and justice in design.

CCS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**.

Keywords

Protest, making, material driven design, collaboration

ACM Reference Format:

Elvia Vasconcelos, Mafalda Gamboa, Kristina Andersen, Bruna Goveia da Rocha, Seda Özçetin, Yuxi Liu, Lone Koefoed Hansen, Helen Milne, and Léa Paymal. 2025. The Possibility of Protest. In *Adjunct proceedings of the sixth*

decennial Aarhus conference: Computing X Crisis (AAR Adjunct 2025), August 18–22, 2025, Aarhus N, Denmark. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3737609.3747091>

1 Overview

We propose a day of making together at the Aarhus Decennial on the theme of protest. We ground this in the making of protest banners that can be seen as manifestations of collective resistance, together with stickers and tattoos that function as more personal commitments. We make this proposal in acknowledgment and gratitude for the role collective action and solidarity have played in making our lives possible. We envision the workshop as a place to continue the building of shared ground through making and storytelling.

The materiality of protest will be explored as a political and performative device to discuss strategies for more open and equitable ways of doing design research. Through fabulation and speculative fiction, [33], we will create a temporary trade union as a structure to gather around the notions of care, repair, and justice in design research.

The workshop will be used as a temporary site to engage participants in making side-by-side, to share and imagine stories of protest and resistance. Drawing from feminist practices of collective knowledge-making, we aim to make space for individual voices, whilst creating shared ground through the act of making itself. The signs of protest will range from the discreet to the loud, from the widely distributed sticker to the large and unwieldy banner. These materials will be used as conversational sites to discuss strategies and commitments to community organising in research, through the language of protest.

The outcomes of the day will serve as performative and visual acts of dissent that highlight personal perspectives while generating collective insights. We will consider ways of leaving behind traces



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License.

AAR Adjunct 2025, Aarhus N, Denmark

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-1968-4/25/08

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3737609.3747091>



Figure 1: Left: Elvia Vasconcelos. 2022. My research methods form a politics from what they question. What about yours? Right: Mafalda Gamboa. 2024. Stay with the trouble + be the trouble

of alternative ways of knowing that participants can carry forward into the rest of the conference.

The work will be documented during the day and alongside the banners and stickers themselves, through a small zine to be distributed at the conference. We will also consider other ways to allow the conversation to continue after the workshop.

2 Protest

Research through design [13, 15, 18] and material-driven design [28] use making as a way to construct knowledge. At the same time Participatory Design [24, 32], Action Research [5, 22, 25, 30, 37, 40] and Co-Design [8, 23, 39, 42] are based on a long history of activism [11, 14, 31, 34] and making voices heard inside design processes [7]. Given these complex times, we believe that it is of increasing importance for design researchers to revisit these origins to work within frameworks of justice and sustainability [19, 21, 36] to find ways to express solidarity and care.

As a framework for the workshop, we propose a fabulated union through the making of protest banners, stickers and temporary tattoos as sites for exploring commitments to resistance for the future. This framing can be seen in direct relation to projects such as New World Embassy [35], Unionising the speculative [41], Elgaland-Vargaland [38], The Secret Post Office [12] and The Battle of Orgreave [10] in which performance and the construction of temporary parallel institutions are central to the imagining of alternative outcomes.

Meanwhile, materiality has long been used as a political and performative device. We take inspiration from works that use textiles to convey political concerns [9], rooted in a long history of textiles and their socio-political constructs [27]. This is directly related to a feminist stance on design research [1, 2], that we use to frame our work as situated knowledges [20], fictions [6], and critical fabulations [29]. We believe there is fundamental value in engaging with the creation of physical and material aspects of these critical discourses.

We hope that the open format of the workshop will welcome many voices to shape the direction and focus of the day's work. As we will be engaged in collaborative making, we are hoping for the results of the workshop to be layered and intersectional, manifesting conversation composites [16] in physical form. This proposal builds on previous making-centered gatherings such as the workshops "Crafting a place for attending to the things of design" [26] at CHI2017, "Doing things with research through design: with what, with whom, and toward what ends?" [3] at CHI2019, "Memoirs for the future, imaginations of the past: crafting samplers for intent and commitment" [4] and "More Samples of One: Weaving First-Person Perspectives into Mainstream HCI Research" [17], both at DIS2024. In these workshops, researchers have argued that we should pay attention to the things we make in research through design, the importance of making alongside each other, and expressing personal and collective perspectives.

3 Workshop structure

This workshop will take place from morning to late afternoon, with an optional social dinner in the evening. The main activity will be making together as a unifying task throughout the day, but also intended as a way to hold the space for conversations and the sharing of techniques, stories and experiences.

The making activities of the day will be inspired by the work and practices of both participants and workshop organizers as seen in the figures. As a result, the workshops brings elements of both personal and collective resistance, offering a balance between first-person perspectives and shared experience. Participants will be encouraged to express personal perspectives through intimate objects like stickers or tattoos, whilst contributing to larger collective gestures through shared banner-making.

The physical banners, stickers, and tattoos will serve as lasting expressions, but the small zine documenting the collective processes of the day will be a way to engage the ephemeral nature of protest and its possibilities for repair and care. The zine can function as a



Figure 2: Helen Milne. 2017. Protest Flags.

tangible artifact that extends the workshop's influence beyond the event.

4 Potential Outcomes

- **Banners & Stickers:** Representing a spectrum of protests, from loud, large banners to personal, intimate stickers.
- **Zine:** A tangible collection of insights, reflections, and images documenting the day's activities and future visions for protest and care in design research.
- **Pictorial:** To submit a pictorial for next year's conference, this workshop could generate rich visual and narrative content that could inspire others in the field to think about protest, care, and repair in design research.
- **Performative elements:** The making process could also involve performative elements, where participants collectively discuss or enact certain aspects of protest, bringing the speculative and fabulative facets into a performative dimension.

5 Learning goals

Through the artifacts created during the workshop, participants will contribute and gain an understanding of the materialities of the deeply personal and collective aspects of resistance. We propose that this may form the basis for a longer engagement with the commitments and framings of our design research work.

6 Plans for dissemination of workshop results

The workshop will be documented in several ways:

In preparation for the day, we will build a website similar to <https://memoirs-samplers-commitments.glitch.me/>, with all the necessary information. This website will be updated with the results afterwards.

We will work with the workshop chairs for exploring dissemination options during the conference - this could, for example, take the form of organizing a temporary display of the artifacts (banners, booklet, posters) created during the workshop.

The results of the workshop will be documented and used to inform a pictorial, a booklet and a critique.

7 Participant recruitment strategy

The workshop is designed with design researchers in mind, but is open to all who are interested. No specific prior knowledge or skill is required to participate. Participants will be recruited via word of mouth and various social media platforms (such as LinkedIn and Instagram) as well as through the networks of the organisers. We will also be distributing an email call for attendance.

References

- [1] 2023. *Feminist Designer: On the Personal and the Political in Design*. The MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/14269.001.0001>
- [2] Sara Ahmed. 2010. Feminist killjoys (and other willful subjects). *S&F Online, Issue 8.3 : Summer 2010, Polyphonic Feminisms: Acting in Concert* (2010). http://barnard.edu/sfonline/polyphonic/ahmed_01.htm
- [3] Kristina Andersen, Andy Boucher, David Chatting, Audrey Desjardins, Laura Devendorf, William Gaver, Tom Jenkins, William Odom, James Pierce, and Anna Vallgård. 2019. Doing Things with Research through Design: With What, with Whom, and Towards What Ends?. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Glasgow, Scotland UK) (CHI EA '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3299011>
- [4] Kristina Andersen, Bruna Goveia da Rocha, Laura Devendorf, Elvia Vasconcelos, Lee Jones, Irene Posch, Anuradha Venugopal Reddy, Aisling Kelliher, Verena Fuchsberger, Lone Koefoed Hansen, and Etta Sandry. 2024. Memoirs for the Future, Imaginations of the Past: Crafting Samplers for Intent and Commitment. In *Companion Publication of the 2024 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference* (IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark) (DIS '24 Companion). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 441–444. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3656156.3658406>
- [5] Fran Baum, Colin MacDougall, and Danielle Smith. 2006. Participatory action research. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health* 60, 10 (2006), 854–857.

- <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.028662>
- [6] Mark Blythe. 2014. Research through design fiction: narrative in real and imaginary abstracts (*CHI '14*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 703–712. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557098>
 - [7] Emeline Brulé and Katta Spiel. 2019. Negotiating Gender and Disability Identities in Participatory Design. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Communities & Technologies - Transforming Communities*. ACM, Vienna Austria, 218–227. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3328320.3328369>
 - [8] Ned Cooper, Tiffanie Horne, Gillian R Hayes, Courtney Heldreth, Michal Lahav, Jess Holbrook, and Lauren Wilcox. 2022. A Systematic Review and Thematic Analysis of Community-Collaborative Approaches to Computing Research. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517716>
 - [9] Lucia Cuba. [n. d.]. Wearables To/For Protest. <https://www.newschoolfreepress.com/2019/09/26/in-the-historical-present-a-hidden-gem-the-new-schools-past-and-present-unite-in-immersive-gallery/>
 - [10] Jeremy Deller. [n. d.]. The Battle of Orgreave. <https://www.jeremydeller.org/TheBattleOfOrgreave/TheBattleOfOrgreave.php>
 - [11] Design, Oppression Network, and Bibiana Serpa. 2023. Militant Design Research: A Proposal to Politicize Design Knowledge-making. *Revista Diseña* 22 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.7764/disena.22.Article.4>
 - [12] Max Dovey. [n. d.]. The Secret Post Office. <https://www.maxdovey.com/secretpostoffice/#:-:text=The%20Secret%20Post%20Office%20is,their%20friends%20at%20the%20Festival>
 - [13] Abigail C. Durrant, John Vines, Jayne Wallace, and Joyce S. R. Yee. 2017. Research Through Design: Twenty-First Century Makers and Materialities. *Design Issues* 33, 3 (July 2017), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00447
 - [14] Sarah Fox, Catherine Lim, Tad Hirsch, and Daniela K. Rosner. 2020. Accounting for Design Activism: On the Positionality and Politics of Design Intervention. *Design Issues* 36, 1 (Jan. 2020), 5–18. https://doi.org/10.1162/DESI_a_00571
 - [15] Christopher Frayling. 1994. Research in Art and Design (Royal College of Art Research Papers, Vol 1, No 1, 1993/4). <https://researchonline.rca.ac.uk/384/>
 - [16] Mafalda Gamboa, Sara Ljungblad, and Miriam Sturdee. 2023. Conversational Composites: A Method for Illustration Layering. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction* (Warsaw, Poland) (*TEI '23*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 22, 13 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3569009.3572793>
 - [17] Mafalda Gamboa, Claudia Núñez Pacheco, Sarah Homewood, Andrés Lucero, Janne Mascha Beuthel, Audrey Desjardins, Karey Helms, William Gaver, Kristina Höök, and Laura Forlano. 2024. More Samples of One: Weaving First-Person Perspectives into Mainstream HCI Research. In *Companion Publication of the 2024 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference* (IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark) (*DIS '24 Companion*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 364–367. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3656156.3658382>
 - [18] William Gaver. 2012. What should we expect from research through design?. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Austin, Texas, USA) (*CHI '12*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 937–946. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208538>
 - [19] Aimi Hamraie. 2013. Designing Collective Access: A Feminist Disability Theory of Universal Design. *Disability Studies Quarterly* 33, 4 (Sept. 2013). <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v33i4.3871>
 - [20] Donna Haraway. 1988. Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective. *Feminist Studies* 14, 3 (1988), 575. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>
 - [21] Christina N. Harrington, Aashaka Desai, Aaleyah Lewis, Sanika Moharana, Anne Spencer Ross, and Jennifer Mankoff. 2023. Working at the Intersection of Race, Disability and Accessibility. In *The 25th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*. ACM, New York NY USA, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3597638.3608389>
 - [22] Liz Jackson. [n. d.]. Empathy reifies disability stigmas. <https://interaction19.ixda.org/program/keynote--liz-jackson/>
 - [23] Stefan Johansson, Per Olof Hedvall, Mia Larsdotter, Thomas P. Larsson, and Catharina Gustavsson. 2023. Co-designing with extreme users: a framework for user participation in design processes. *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research* 25, 1 (14 Dec. 2023), 418–430. <https://doi.org/10.16993/sjdr.952> Funding Information: The study was funded by grants from FORTE – The Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (grant number: 2018-01806)..
 - [24] Ann Light and Yoko Akama. 2014. Structuring future social relations: the politics of care in participatory practice. In *Proceedings of the 13th Participatory Design Conference: Research Papers - Volume 1* (Windhoek, Namibia) (*PDC '14*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 151–160. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2661435.2661438>
 - [25] Cathy MacDonald. 2012. UNDERSTANDING PARTICIPATORY ACTION RESEARCH: A QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY OPTION. *The Canadian Journal of Action Research* 13, 2 (Sept. 2012), 34–50. <https://doi.org/10.33524/cjar.v13i2.37>
 - [26] William Odom, Tom Jenkins, Kristina Andersen, Bill Gaver, James Pierce, Anna Vallgård, Andy Boucher, David Chatting, Janne van Kollenburg, and Kevin Lefevre. 2017. Crafting a place for attending to the things of design at CHI. *Interactions* 25, 1 (dec 2017), 52–57. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3161605>
 - [27] Rozsika Parker. 1984. *The subversive stitch: embroidery and the making of the feminine*. Women's Press, London.
 - [28] Anuradha Reddy. 2022. Artificial everyday creativity: creative leaps with AI through critical making. *Digital Creativity* 33, 4 (2022), 295–313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2022.2138452> arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2022.2138452>
 - [29] Daniela Rosner. [n. d.]. *Critical Fabulations Reworking the Methods and Margins of Design*. MIT press.
 - [30] J.D. Selener. 1992. *Participatory Action Research and Social Change: Approaches and Critique*. Cornell University. <https://books.google.pt/books?id=9ttPAAAAAYAAJ>
 - [31] Firuzeh Shokooh Valle. 2021. “How Will You Give Back?": On Becoming a Compañera as a Feminist Methodology from the Cracks. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography* 50, 6 (Dec. 2021), 835–861. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08912416211021631>
 - [32] Jesper Simonsen and Toni Robertson (Eds.). 2012. *Routledge International Handbook of Participatory Design* (0 ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203108543>
 - [33] Marie Louise Juul Søndergaard, Nadia Campo Woytuk, Noura Howell, Vasiliki Tsaknaki, Karey Helms, Tom Jenkins, and Pedro Sanches. 2023. Fabulation as an Approach for Design Futuring. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM Designing Interactive Systems Conference* (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) (*DIS '23*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1693–1709. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3563657.3596097>
 - [34] Katta Spiel, Kathrin Gerling, Cynthia L. Bennett, Emeline Brulé, Rua M. Williams, Jennifer Rode, and Jennifer Mankoff. 2020. Nothing About Us Without Us: Investigating the Role of Critical Disability Studies in HCI. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Honolulu HI USA, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.3375150>
 - [35] Jonas Staal. [n. d.]. New World Embassy: Kurdistan. <https://www.jonasstaal.nl/projects/new-world-embassy-kurdistan/>
 - [36] Cella M Sum, Rahaf Alharbi, Franchesca Spektor, Cynthia L. Bennett, Christina N. Harrington, Katta Spiel, and Rua Mae Williams. 2022. Dreaming Disability Justice in HCI. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3503731>
 - [37] María Elena Torre and Jennifer Ayala. 2009. Envisioning Participatory Action Research Entremundos. *Feminism & Psychology* 19, 3 (Aug. 2009), 387–393. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0959353509105630>
 - [38] Carl Michael von Hausswolff and Leif Elggren. [n. d.]. Elgaland-Vargaland. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elgaland-Vargaland>
 - [39] Valerie Watchorn, Richard Tucker, Danielle Hitch, and Patsie Frawley. 2024. Co-design in the context of universal design: An Australian case study exploring the role of people with disabilities in the design of public buildings. *The Design Journal* 27, 1 (Jan. 2024), 68–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14606925.2023.2264652>
 - [40] Laura J. Wernick. 2023. *9. Learning Disability Justice through Critical Participatory Action Research*. New York University Press, New York, USA, 99–107. <https://doi.org/doi:10.18574/nyu/9781479819386.003.0012>
 - [41] Noam Youngrak Son. [n. d.]. Workshop: Unionizing the Speculative. <https://www.platformbk.nl/en/unionizing-the-speculative-2/>
 - [42] Theodore Zamenopoulos and Katerina Alexiou. 2018. *Co-design as collaborative research*. University of Bristol: AHRC Connected Communities Programme, Bristol.