



Real rank of some multiplier algebras

Downloaded from: <https://research.chalmers.se>, 2025-12-17 13:17 UTC

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Thiel, H. (2025). Real rank of some multiplier algebras. Israel Journal of Mathematics, In Press.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11856-025-2864-5>

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

REAL RANK OF SOME MULTIPLIER ALGEBRAS

BY

HANNES THIEL*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences
Chalmers University of Technology
and
University of Gothenburg
Gothenburg SE-412 96, Sweden
e-mail: hannes.thiel@chalmers.se
URL: www.hannesthiel.org*

ABSTRACT

We show that there exists a separable, nuclear C^* -algebra with real rank zero and trivial K -theory such that its multiplier and corona algebra have real rank one. This disproves two conjectures of Brown and Pedersen.

We also compute the real rank of the stable multiplier algebra and the stable corona algebra of countably decomposable type I_∞ and type II_∞ factors. Together with results of Zhang this completes the computation of the real rank for stable multiplier and corona algebras of countably decomposable factors.

1. Introduction

The real rank is a noncommutative dimension theory that was introduced by Brown and Pedersen in [BP91]. It assigns a number $\text{rr}(A) \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$ to each C^* -algebra A , and it is considered a noncommutative generalization of covering dimension since for a compact, Hausdorff space X , the real rank of $C(X)$ agrees with $\dim(X)$, the covering dimension of X .

More accurately, the real rank should be thought of as a noncommutative generalization of local covering dimension, since for a locally compact, Hausdorff space X , the real rank of $C_0(X)$ agrees with $\text{locdim}(X)$, which is equal

* The author was partially supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2021.0140).

Received February 9, 2024 and in revised form July 19, 2024

to the supremum of the covering dimension of all compact subsets of X ; see [BP09, Section 2.2(ii)]. For σ -compact, locally compact, Hausdorff spaces, the local covering dimension agrees with the covering dimension ([Pea75, Proposition 5.3.4]), but in general the covering dimension can be strictly larger than the local covering dimension.

A major theme of research about noncommutative dimension theories in general, and the real rank in particular, is to determine to what extent results about the covering dimension of topological spaces can be generalized to the noncommutative setting. In this paper, we consider the noncommutative analog of the classical result that for a σ -compact, locally compact, Hausdorff space X , the (local) covering dimension of X agrees with that of its Stone-Ćech compactification βX ; see for example [Pea75, Proposition 6.4.3].

Considering a C^* -algebra A as a noncommutative topological space, the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ is the noncommutative analog of the Stone-Ćech compactification. One might therefore expect that the real rank of a C^* -algebra A agrees with that of its multiplier algebra, at least when A is σ -unital (the noncommutative analog of σ -compactness). One always has $\text{rr}(A) \leq \text{rr}(M(A))$, but in general the real rank of A can be strictly smaller than that of $M(A)$, already for separable, subhomogeneous C^* -algebras; see [Bro16, Example 3.16(i)]. This raises the problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the equality $\text{rr}(A) = \text{rr}(M(A))$.

A particularly relevant instance of this problem occurs for $\text{rr}(A) = 0$, in which case we aim to determine when the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ has real rank zero. This problem has interesting connections to generalizations of the Weyl-von Neumann theorem and quasidiagonality, which has been extensively studied by Lin [Lin91, Lin93, Lin95] and Zhang [Zha91, Zha92b, Zha92c, Zha90a, Zha92a].

Zhang [Zha91] disproved a conjecture of Pedersen by showing that $\text{rr}(A) = 0$ does not generally imply $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$. Indeed, for the stabilization of the Calkin algebra (Example 3.4), we have

$$0 = \text{rr}(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) < \text{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

More generally, it is known that a K -theoretic obstruction arises: If $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ and if the K -theory of $M(A)$ vanishes (which is for example automatic if A is stable), then $K_1(A) = 0$. This includes the above example, since

$$K_1(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \neq 0.$$

This led Brown and Pedersen (with input from Zhang) to formulate the following three conjectures in [BP91, Remarks 3.22]:

- (1) $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ for every AF-algebra A ;
- (2) $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ for every A with $\text{rr}(A) = 0$ and $K_1(A) = 0$;
- (3) $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$ for every A with $\text{rr}(A) = 0$.

The initial evidence for the first conjecture was a verification for matroid algebras (a special class of AF-algebras) by Brown–Pedersen [BP91, Theorem 3.21] and Higson–Rørdam [HRr91, Theorem 4.4]. Soon after, Lin showed that $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ whenever A is a σ -unital C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one and $K_1(A) = 0$; see [Lin93, Theorem 10]. Since AF-algebras have these properties, this in particular confirmed the first conjecture. It also verified the second conjecture under the additional assumption of stable rank one (and σ -unitality).

The third conjecture has been confirmed by Lin for σ -unital, simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero and stable rank one ([Lin93, Theorem 15]), and by Zhang for σ -unital, simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras ([Zha92b, Corollary 2.6(i)]). Note that the second and third conjectures are closely related: By considering the extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M(A) \rightarrow M(A)/A \rightarrow 0$$

we see that $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ if and only if $\text{rr}(A) = \text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$ and the index map $K_0(M(A)/A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ vanishes; see [LR95, Proposition 4]. This shows that the third conjecture is stronger than the second, and that both conjectures are equivalent if A is stable.

In this paper, we settle the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures negatively by exhibiting several counterexamples. This also solves Problem 13 in [Zha08].

Example A (4.8): There exists a separable, nuclear C^* -algebra A with real rank zero, with trivial K -theory and such that

$$\text{rr}(M(A)) = \text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 1.$$

Another unexpectedly easy counterexample is given by the countably decomposable type I_∞ factor, that is, the algebra of bounded operators on a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Further examples are given by countably decomposable type II_∞ factors. In both cases, the stable multiplier and corona

algebra have real rank one. Together with Zhang's results for type II_1 factors ([Zha92b, Example 2.11]) and for countably decomposable type III factors ([Zha92b, Examples 2.7(iv)], see also Example 3.7), this completes the computation of the real rank for stable multiplier and corona algebras of countably decomposable factors:

THEOREM B (4.10, 6.5): *Let N be a countably decomposable factor.*

If N has type I_∞ or type II_∞ , then

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

If N has type II_1 or type III, then

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0.$$

This raises the problem of computing the real rank for stable multiplier and corona algebras of factors that are not countably decomposable, and more generally of arbitrary von Neumann algebras; see Remark 6.6.

We ask if there are also simple counterexamples to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures:

Question C: Is there a simple, separable C^* -algebra A with $\text{rr}(A) = 0$ and $K_1(A) = 0$ such that $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) \neq 0$?

METHODS. One difficulty in computing the real rank of the stable multiplier algebras of a factor N of type I_∞ or type II_∞ is that N is not simple as a C^* -algebra. To address this, we study the following problem: Given an extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras, how can we estimate the real rank of $M(E)$? It is natural to assume that E is σ -unital, since then the map $E \rightarrow B$ naturally induces a surjective morphism $M(E) \rightarrow M(B)$, and we obtain an extension

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow M(E) \rightarrow M(B) \rightarrow 0$$

where J is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra in $M(A)$; see Paragraph 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.

In [Thi24], the extension real rank, denoted by $\text{xrr}(\cdot)$, was introduced as a method to bound the real rank of an extension of C^* -algebras. (The main results are recalled in Section 2.) Applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$\max\{\text{rr}(J), \text{rr}(M(B))\} \leq \text{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{\text{xrr}(J), \text{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

We are thus faced with the problem of computing the (extension) real rank of hereditary sub- C^* -algebras of $M(A)$.

Problem D: Given a C^* -algebra A , estimate the (extension) real rank of hereditary sub- C^* -algebras of $M(A)$.

In Sections 5 and 6, we solve this problem for simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras, and for certain simple C^* -algebras with stable rank one. The latter includes as a special case $A = \mathcal{K}$, which we consider in Section 4. As a consequence, we obtain estimates for the real rank of multiplier algebras of extensions by such ideals:

THEOREM E (4.7, 5.7, 6.3): *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Assume that A is simple, and that E is σ -unital. Assume further, that A is purely infinite, or that A has real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and finitely many extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). Then:*

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(E)) = \mathrm{rr}(M(B)).$$

CONVENTIONS. Throughout, we let \mathcal{K} denote the C^* -algebra of compact operators on a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Further, we let \mathcal{B} denote the algebra of bounded operators on a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. The Calkin algebra is

$$Q := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}.$$

Given a C^* -algebra A , we let A_{sa} and A_+ denote the subsets of self-adjoint and positive elements, respectively.

By an extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ of C^* -algebras, we mean that E is a C^* -algebra containing A as a closed ideal such that B is isomorphic to E/A .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author thanks Eduard Vilalta for valuable feedback on a first version of this paper. The author also thanks the anonymous referee for his thorough reading of a former version of this work and for providing many useful comments.

2. Preliminaries on real rank of extensions

In this section, we recall estimates of the real rank of an extension of C^* -algebras from [Thi24]. We begin with the definition of the (extension) real rank.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. For $n \geq 1$, a self-adjoint tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_{\text{sa}}^n$ is said to be **unimodular** if it generates A as a left ideal. One can show that a tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_{\text{sa}}^n$ is unimodular if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$ is invertible.

Definition 2.1 (Brown–Pedersen [BP91]): The **real rank** of a unital C^* -algebra A , denoted by $\text{rr}(A)$, is defined as the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that the set of unimodular, self-adjoint $(n+1)$ -tuples is dense in A_{sa}^{n+1} . By convention, we set $\text{rr}(A) = \infty$ if there is no n with this property. The real rank of a nonunital C^* -algebra is defined as that of its minimal unitization.

Definition 2.2 ([Thi24, Definition 3.1]): Let A be a C^* -algebra, let $n \geq 0$, and let $\pi_A: M(A) \rightarrow M(A)/A$ denote the quotient map. We say that A has property (Λ_n) if for every $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in M(A)_{\text{sa}}^{n+1}$ such that $(\pi_A(a_0), \dots, \pi_A(a_n))$ is unimodular, and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unimodular tuple $(b_0, \dots, b_n) \in M(A)_{\text{sa}}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\|a_0 - b_0\| < \varepsilon, \dots, \|a_n - b_n\| < \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\pi_A(a_0) = \pi_A(b_0), \dots, \pi_A(a_n) = \pi_A(b_n).$$

The **extension real rank** of A , denoted by $\text{xrr}(A)$, is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that A has property (Λ_m) for all $m \geq n$. We set $\text{xrr}(A) = \infty$ if there is no n such that A has (Λ_m) for all $m \geq n$.

THEOREM 2.3 ([Thi24, Theorem 2.4]): *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Then*

$$\max\{\text{rr}(A), \text{rr}(B)\} \leq \text{rr}(E) \leq \text{rr}(A) + \text{rr}(B) + 1.$$

THEOREM 2.4 ([Thi24, Theorem 3.7]): *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Then*

$$\max\{\text{rr}(A), \text{rr}(B)\} \leq \text{rr}(E) \leq \max\{\text{xrr}(A), \text{rr}(B)\}.$$

The next result allows us to estimate the extension real rank of a C^* -algebra given as an extension.

PROPOSITION 2.5 ([Thi24, Proposition 4.2]): *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Then*

$$\mathrm{xrr}(E) \leq \max\{\mathrm{xrr}(A), \mathrm{xrr}(B)\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.6 ([Thi24, Corollary 5.4]): *Let A be a C^* -algebra. Assume that $\mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq 1$, $\mathrm{rr}(A) = 0$ and $K_1(A) = 0$. Then*

$$\mathrm{xrr}(A) = 0.$$

3. Real rank of multiplier algebras of some simple C^* -algebras

In this section, we estimate the real rank of the multiplier algebra of some simple C^* -algebras. In particular, and based on the seminal work of Zhang and Lin, we compute the real rank of the multiplier algebra of σ -unital, simple C^* -algebras that are either purely infinite, or have real rank zero and stable rank one; see Theorem 3.2. We recover the computation of the real rank of the stable multiplier algebra of II_1 factors (Example 3.6) and of (countably decomposable) type III factors (Example 3.7).

In the next result, we consider the index map $K_0(M(A)/A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ from the six-term exact sequence in K -theory ([Bla06, Corollary V.1.2.22]) induced by the extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M(A) \rightarrow M(A)/A \rightarrow 0.$$

If A and $M(A)/A$ have real rank zero, then the vanishing of this index map is equivalent to the condition that every projection from $M(A)/A$ lifts to $M(A)$; see, for example, [Thi24, Proposition 2.5]. If, moreover, A is stable, then the K -theory of $M(A)$ vanishes ([WO93, Theorem 10.2]), and then it is also equivalent to the condition $K_1(A) = 0$.

In Theorem 3.2 below we will see that for many simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero, the corona algebra has real rank zero as well, which then allows us to compute the real rank of the multiplier algebra. In [LN16] and [Ng22] it is shown that the corona algebra also has real rank zero for certain simple C^* -algebras with nonzero real rank, such as the Jiang–Su algebra.

PROPOSITION 3.1: *Let A be a C^* -algebra such that the corona algebra $M(A)/A$ has real rank zero. Then*

$$\mathrm{rr}(A) \leq \mathrm{xrr}(A) = \mathrm{rr}(M(A)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(A) + 1.$$

If A and $M(A)/A$ have real rank zero, then

$$\mathrm{xrr}(A) = \mathrm{rr}(M(A)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the index map } K_0(M(A)/A) \rightarrow K_1(A) \text{ vanishes,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Applying Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 for the extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M(A) \rightarrow M(A)/A \rightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(A)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(A) + \mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) + 1 = \mathrm{rr}(A) + 1,$$

and

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(A)) \leq \max\{\mathrm{xrr}(A), \mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A)\} = \mathrm{xrr}(A).$$

By [Thi24, Proposition 3.11], we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(A) \leq \mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(A)).$$

By combining these estimates, we get $\mathrm{rr}(A) \leq \mathrm{xrr}(A) = \mathrm{rr}(M(A)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(A) + 1$.

By [Thi24, Corollary 2.6], an extension of real rank zero C^* -algebras has real rank zero or one, depending on whether the index map from K_0 of the quotient to K_1 of the ideal vanishes. The computation of $\mathrm{rr}(M(A))$ follows. ■

THEOREM 3.2: *Let A be a σ -unital, simple C^* -algebra that is purely infinite or has real rank zero and stable rank one. Then $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$ and*

$$\mathrm{xrr}(A) = \mathrm{rr}(M(A)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if the index map } K_0(M(A)/A) \rightarrow K_1(A) \text{ vanishes,} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will see that in both cases we have $\mathrm{rr}(A) = 0$ and $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$, whence the result follows from Proposition 3.1. In the case that A has real rank zero and stable rank one, we have $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$ by [Lin93, Theorem 15]. Now assume that A is purely infinite. Then $\mathrm{rr}(A) = 0$ by [Bla06, Proposition V.3.2.12]; see also [Zha92b, Theorem 1.2(ii)]. Further, A is either unital or stable by [Zha92b, Theorem 1.2(i)]. It follows that $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$, using [Zha92b, Corollary 2.6(i)] in the stable case. ■

COROLLARY 3.3: *Let A be a unital, simple C^* -algebra that is purely infinite or has real rank zero and stable rank one. Then $\text{rr}(M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})/(A \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0$ and*

$$\text{xrr}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \text{rr}(M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } K_1(A) = 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By [WO93, Theorem 10.2], the K -theory of the multiplier algebra of a stable C^* -algebra vanishes. Applying the six-term exact sequence in K -theory ([Bla06, Corollary V.1.2.22]), it follows that the index map

$$K_0(M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})/(A \otimes \mathcal{K})) \rightarrow K_1(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \cong K_1(A)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus, the index map vanishes if and only if $K_1(A) = 0$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.2. ■

Example 3.4: Consider the Calkin algebra $Q := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$. Then Q is a unital, simple, purely infinite C^* -algebra with $K_1(Q) \cong \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$. It follows from Corollary 3.3 that

$$\text{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})/(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{xrr}(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \text{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

The computation of the real rank of the stable corona algebra of Q was first obtained by Zhang [Zha92b, Examples 2.7(iii)].

Example 3.5: Let A_θ be an irrational rotation algebra. We refer to [Bla06, Examples II.8.3.3(i) and II.10.4.12(i)] for details. The C^* -algebra A_θ is unital, simple, has real rank zero ([BKR92, Theorem 1.5]), stable rank one ([Put90, Theorem 1]), and $K_1(A_\theta) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \neq 0$ ([WO93, Section 12.3]). It follows from Corollary 3.3 that

$$\text{rr}(M(A_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K})/(A_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{xrr}(A_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \text{rr}(M(A_\theta \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

The computation of the real rank of the stable corona algebra of A_θ was first obtained by Lin [Lin93, Theorem 15].

We now turn to the stable multiplier algebras of von Neumann factors. Using the description of the norm-closed ideals in factors ([Bla06, Proposition III.1.7.11]), we see that a factor N is simple as a C^* -algebra if and only if it is type I_n for finite n (that is, $N \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$), N is type II_1 , or N is countably decomposable and type III. In the case of type I_n , we have $N \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{K}$, and then

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(\mathcal{B}) = 0.$$

We now consider the real rank of the stable multiplier algebra of factors of type II_1 and type III. It is well-known that every von Neumann algebra has real rank zero and vanishing K_1 -group, and that every finite von Neumann algebra has stable rank one.

Example 3.6: Let N be a type II_1 factor. Then N is a unital, simple C^* -algebra that has real rank zero, stable rank one, and vanishing K_1 -group. Thus, we have

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{xrr}(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0$$

by Corollary 3.3. The computation of the real rank of the stable multiplier algebra of type II_1 factors was first obtained by Zhang [Zha92b, Examples 2.11]; see also [Lin93, Theorem 10].

Example 3.7: Let N be a countably decomposable type III factor. Then N is a unital, simple, purely infinite C^* -algebra with $K_1(N) = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\text{xrr}(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0$$

by Corollary 3.3. The computation of the real rank of $M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})$ was first obtained by Zhang [Zha92b, Examples 2.7(iv)]. However, contrary to what is stated in [Zha92b, Examples 2.7(iv)], a type III factor is only simple if it is countably decomposable. Therefore, it remains open if we have $\text{rr}(M \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ for arbitrary type III factors; see also Remark 6.6.

4. Extensions by the algebra of compact operators

In this section, we consider extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where E is σ -compact. We solve Problem D for \mathcal{K} (Lemma 4.6) and deduce in Proposition 4.7 that

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B)).$$

We use this to exhibit a separable, nuclear counterexample to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures (Example 4.8) and to compute the real rank of the stable multiplier and corona algebra of the algebra \mathcal{B} of bounded operators on a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space (Theorem 4.10).

First, we consider the general framework for studying the multiplier and corona algebras of extensions.

4.1: Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. The natural extension $\pi^{**}: E^{**} \rightarrow B^{**}$ is a surjective morphism whose kernel is naturally isomorphic to A^{**} ; see [Bla06, III.5.2.11]. We realize the multiplier algebra of a C^* -algebra D as

$$M(D) = \{x \in D^{**} : xD + Dx \subseteq D\}.$$

Applying this for E and B , we see that π^{**} maps $M(E)$ to $M(B)$, and we denote this morphism by $\bar{\pi}: M(E) \rightarrow M(B)$.

Let us now assume that E is σ -unital. Then $\bar{\pi}$ is surjective by [Ped86, Theorem 10], and we set $J := \ker(\bar{\pi})$. We obtain the following inclusions of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \cap | & & \cap | & & \cap | & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & M(E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & M(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \cap | & & \cap | & & \cap | & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A^{**} & \longrightarrow & E^{**} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{**}} & B^{**} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

We thus have

$$J = A^{**} \cap M(E) \subseteq A^{**}.$$

The surjective morphism $\bar{\pi}: M(E) \rightarrow M(B)$ induces a surjective morphism

$$\bar{\bar{\pi}}: M(E)/E \rightarrow M(B)/B,$$

with kernel $K := \ker(\bar{\bar{\pi}})$. We obtain the following extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M(E)/E \xrightarrow{\bar{\bar{\pi}}} M(B)/B \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that K is naturally isomorphic to J/A .

LEMMA 4.2: *Retain the notation from Paragraph 4.1. Then*

$$A \subseteq J \subseteq M(A),$$

and J is a hereditary sub- C^ -algebra of $M(A)$. Further, $K = J/A \subseteq M(A)/A$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M(A)/A$.*

Proof. We will use that $J = A^{**} \cap M(E) \subseteq A^{**}$. We first establish the following:

CLAIM: *Let $x \in J$ and $e \in E$. Then $xe, ex \in A$.*

Indeed, since $x \in J \subseteq M(E)$ and $e \in E$, we have $xe, ex \in E$. Further, since $x \in J \subseteq A^{**}$, and since A^{**} is an ideal (in fact a summand) in E^{**} , we have $xe, ex \in A^{**}$. Using that $A = E \cap A^{**}$, we obtain $xe, ex \in A$. This proves the claim.

It follows directly from the claim that J is contained in

$$M(A) = \{x \in A^{**} : xA + Ax \subseteq A\}.$$

To show that $J \subseteq M(A)$ is hereditary, let $x, y \in J$ and let $z \in M(A)$. We need to verify $xzy \in J$. We clearly have $xzy \in A^{**}$, and it remains to verify that $xzy \in M(E)$. Let $e \in E$. Using the claim, we have $ex \in A$. Since $z \in M(A)$, we get $exz \in A$, and then $exzy \in A \subseteq E$. Analogously, we have $xzye \in E$. Since this holds for every $e \in E$, we have $xzy \in M(E)$, as desired.

Using that $J \subseteq M(A)$ is hereditary, it follows that $K = J/A \subseteq M(A)/A$ is hereditary as well. ■

PROPOSITION 4.3: *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras, and assume that E is σ -unital.*

If $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$, then

$$\text{rr}(M(B)) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)) \leq \text{rr}(M(B)) + 1.$$

If $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$, then

$$\text{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)/E) \leq \text{rr}(M(B)/B) + 1.$$

Proof. By Paragraph 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have extensions

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow M(E) \rightarrow M(B) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M(E)/E \rightarrow M(B)/B \rightarrow 0,$$

where J is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M(A)$, and K is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M(A)/A$.

By [BP91, Corollary 2.8], real rank zero passes to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras. Thus, if $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$, then $\text{rr}(J) = 0$, and the estimate for $\text{rr}(M(E))$ follows from Theorem 2.3. Similarly, if $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$, then $\text{rr}(K) = 0$, and the estimate for $\text{rr}(M(E)/E)$ follows analogously. ■

Thus, in the setting of Proposition 4.3, if $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$, then the real rank of $M(E)$ can take at most two values, and we are led to wonder if $\text{rr}(M(E))$ is equal to $\text{rr}(M(B))$ or to $\text{rr}(M(B)) + 1$. The following result of Brown–Pedersen provides an answer when $M(B)$ has real rank zero. This suggests Question 4.5 below.

PROPOSITION 4.4 ([BP09, Theorem 4.8]): *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Assume that E is σ -unital, and that $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ and $\text{rr}(M(B)) = 0$. Then*

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = 0.$$

Question 4.5: Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Assume that E is σ -unital, and that $\text{rr}(M(A)) = 0$. Do we have $\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B))$?

In Proposition 4.7, we will answer Question 4.5 positively for the case $A = \mathcal{K}$. Recall that we let $Q := \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{K}$ denote the Calkin algebra.

LEMMA 4.6: *We have $\text{xrr}(J) = 0$ for every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $J \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Further, we have $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ for every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $K \subseteq Q$.*

Proof. If K is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of Q , then K is simple and purely infinite and therefore $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)]. Now, if J is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of \mathcal{B} , then we obtain an extension

$$0 \rightarrow J \cap \mathcal{K} \rightarrow J \rightarrow J/(J \cap \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $J \cap \mathcal{K}$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of \mathcal{K} and therefore isomorphic to \mathcal{K} or to a complex matrix algebra. In either case, we have $\text{xrr}(J \cap \mathcal{K}) = 0$, for example by [Thi24, Proposition 5.9(1)]. Further, $J/(J \cap \mathcal{K})$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of Q , and thus $\text{xrr}(J/(J \cap \mathcal{K})) \leq 1$ as shown above. Applying that the extension real rank does not increase when passing to extensions, Proposition 2.5, we get

$$\text{xrr}(J) \leq \max\{\text{xrr}(J \cap \mathcal{K}), \text{xrr}(J/(J \cap \mathcal{K}))\} \leq 1.$$

Since $\text{rr}(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, and since real rank zero passes to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras by [BP91, Corollary 2.8], we also have $\text{rr}(J) = 0$. Further, we have $K_1(J) = 0$ by [Zha90c, Theorem 2.1]. Then $\text{xrr}(J) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. ■

PROPOSITION 4.7: *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras and assume that E is σ -unital. Then*

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B)),$$

and

$$\text{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)/E) \leq \max\{1, \text{rr}(M(B)/B)\}.$$

Proof. By Paragraph 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we have extensions

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow M(E) \rightarrow M(B) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M(E)/E \rightarrow M(B)/B \rightarrow 0,$$

where J is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of \mathcal{B} , and K is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of Q . By Lemma 4.6, we have $\text{rrr}(J) = 0$ and $\text{rrr}(K) \leq 1$, and now the result follows from Theorem 2.4. ■

Example 4.8: We construct a concrete separable, nuclear counterexample to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures. Let B be the stable Kirchberg algebra in the UCT class and with $K_0(B) = 0$ and $K_1(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (This algebra is unique by the Kirchberg–Phillips classification theorem, [Rør02, Theorem 8.4.1], and it exists by [Rør02, Proposition 4.3.3].)

Next, we compute the extension group $\text{Ext}(B, \mathcal{K})$. Applying [Bla98, Proposition 17.6.5] at the first step, and using the Universal Coefficient Theorem ([Bla98, Theorem 23.1.1]) at the second step, we have

$$\text{Ext}(B, \mathcal{K}) \cong KK^1(B, \mathcal{K}) \cong \text{Hom}(K_*(B), K_*(\mathcal{K})) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

Using Voiculescu’s theorem on the existence of absorbing extensions ([Bla98, Theorem 15.12.3]), we realize the element $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Ext}(B, \mathcal{K})$ by an essential, nonunital extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0.$$

We show that A is a separable, nuclear C^* -algebra with real rank zero, trivial K -theory, and such that

$$\text{rr}(M(A)) = \text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 1.$$

First, A is an extension of separable, nuclear C^* -algebras and therefore separable and nuclear itself; see [Bla06, Proposition IV.3.1.3]. Further, since \mathcal{K} and B have real rank zero, and since the induced map $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is surjective, it follows that A has real rank zero; see [LR95, Proposition 4].

Since the extension realizes the class $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Ext}(B, \mathcal{K})$, the associated index map

$$\mathbb{Z} \cong K_1(B) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

is an isomorphism. Using the six-term exact sequence in K -theory ([Bla06, Corollary V.1.2.22]), we get

$$K_0(A) = K_1(A) = 0.$$

Using Proposition 4.7 at the first step, and applying Corollary 3.3 at the second step (using that $K_1(B) \neq 0$), we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(A)) = \mathrm{rr}(M(B)) = 1.$$

To see that $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 1$, we consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M(A) \rightarrow M(A)/A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the real rank does not increase when passing to quotients, we deduce that $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) \leq 1$; see Theorem 2.4. To reach a contradiction, assume that $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$. Using the six-term exact sequence in K -theory, and using that $K_1(A) = 0$, we see that the map $K_0(M(A)) \rightarrow K_0(M(A)/A)$ is surjective, and then $\mathrm{rr}(M(A)) = 0$ by [LR95, Proposition 4], which is the desired contradiction. Thus, $M(A)/A$ does not have real rank zero, and so

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(A)/A) = 1.$$

Remark 4.9: In [Osa93], Osaka found non- σ -unital counterexamples to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures. Indeed, while for a σ -compact, locally compact, Hausdorff space X , we have $\mathrm{locdim}(X) = \dim(\beta X)$, there exists a non- σ -compact, locally compact, Hausdorff space Y with $\mathrm{locdim}(Y) = 0$ and $\dim(\beta Y) \geq 1$; see [Osa93] and [DH22, Section 3.3]. Then the commutative C^* -algebra $C_0(Y)$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{rr}(C_0(Y)) = 0, \quad K_1(C_0(Y)) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rr}(M(C_0(Y))) \geq 1.$$

It is a general phenomenon that multiplier algebras of non- σ -unital C^* -algebras can behave rather strange. For example, there exists a non- σ -unital C^* -algebra A such that $M(A)$ agrees with the minimal unitization of A ; see [GK18]. In this case, the corona algebra $M(A)/A$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} , and A is complemented in $M(A)$ as a Banach space.

On the other hand, if B is a nonunital, σ -unital C^* -algebra, then B is not complemented in $M(B)$ as a Banach space ([Tay72, Corollary 3.7]), and the corona algebra $M(B)/B$ is not separable ([Ped86, Corollary 2, Theorem 13]).

Therefore, σ -unitality is a common and natural assumption when working with multiplier algebras.

As another major application of Proposition 4.7, we compute the real rank of the stable multiplier and stable corona algebra of \mathcal{B} . Since $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ has real rank zero and trivial K_1 -group, this provides another natural counterexample to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures.

THEOREM 4.10: We have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

Further, we have $\mathrm{xrr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$. Thus, given any extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(E) = \mathrm{rr}(B).$$

Proof. By tensoring the extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ by \mathcal{K} , and identifying $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ with \mathcal{K} , we obtain the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow Q \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0.$$

Using Proposition 4.7 at the first step, and Example 3.4 at the second step, we get

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \mathrm{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

By [Thi24, Proposition 3.11], the extension real rank is dominated by the real rank of the multiplier algebra. Thus, we have $\mathrm{xrr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \leq 1$. We also have $\mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ and $K_1(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$, and so $\mathrm{xrr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. The statement about the real rank of extensions by $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ follows from Theorem 2.4.

Finally, to compute the real rank of the stable corona algebra of \mathcal{B} , we consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using at the first step that $\mathrm{xrr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ (and Theorem 2.4), we get

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 4.11: In the setting of Proposition 4.7, if $\mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B) = 0$, then the real rank of $M(E)/E$ takes the value 0 or 1, and both are possible. The value 0 arises for example from trivial extensions. On the other hand, for the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow Q \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$$

we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})/(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0$$

by Theorem 4.10 and Example 3.4.

Example 4.12: We have

$$\mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q) = \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes_{\max} Q)\}.$$

Indeed, since the maximal tensor product preserves short exact sequences ([Bla06, II.9.6.6]), we have an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q \rightarrow 0,$$

to which we may apply Theorem 4.10 to obtain that $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q$ have the same real rank.

Next, we consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\max} Q \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q \rightarrow Q \otimes_{\max} Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Using that $\mathrm{xrr}(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 1$ by Example 3.4, and applying Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes_{\max} Q) \leq \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q) \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes_{\max} Q)\}.$$

On the other hand, applying [Osa99, Corollary 1.2] at the first step, and using at the second step that the minimal tensor product $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$1 \leq \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q).$$

We deduce that the real rank of $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} Q$ is equal to $\max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes_{\max} Q)\}$.

Question 4.13: What is the real rank of $Q \otimes_{\max} Q$?

If $\mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes_{\max} Q) = 0$, then Example 4.12 would imply that

$$\mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}) = 1,$$

which would answer [Osa99, Question 3.3]. I suspect, however, that the real rank of $Q \otimes_{\max} Q$ is nonzero. On the other hand, note that the minimal tensor product $Q \otimes Q$ is simple, unital and purely infinite, whence $\mathrm{rr}(Q \otimes Q) = 0$ by Zhang's theorem [Bla06, Proposition V.3.2.12].

5. Extensions by simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras

In this section, we consider extensions

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where E is σ -unital, and A is simple and purely infinite. We solve Problem D for A (Lemma 5.6) and deduce in Proposition 5.7 that

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

Thus, we have $\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B))$, unless $\text{rr}(M(B)) = 0$ while $\text{rr}(M(E)) = 1$, and we will see in Example 5.8 that this exceptional case can occur. We also show that $\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B))$ if we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$. We obtain analogous results for the real rank of the corona algebra $M(E)/E$.

Since we do not want to assume that A is separable or σ -unital, we first devise a method to reduce the problem of computing $\text{rr}(M(E))$ to suitable subextensions $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow 0$, where A' is separable. To that end, we show that for a σ -unital C^* -algebra E , the multiplier algebra $M(E)$ is exhausted by the images of maps $M(D) \rightarrow M(E)$ for separable sub- C^* -algebras $D \subseteq E$ containing an approximate unit of E .

Here we use that a morphism $\varphi: D \rightarrow E$ between C^* -algebras naturally induces a unital morphism $\bar{\varphi}: M(D) \rightarrow M(E)$ if the image of φ contains an approximate unit for E .

LEMMA 5.1: *Let E be a σ -unital C^* -algebra, and let $L \subseteq M(E)$ be a separable sub- C^* -algebra. Then there exists a separable sub- C^* -algebra $D \subseteq E$ containing an approximate unit for E and such that the image of the naturally induced map $M(D) \rightarrow M(E)$ contains L .*

Proof. Let $(e_n)_n$ be a countable approximate unit for E , and let $L_0 \subseteq L$ be a countable dense subset. Let D be the sub- C^* -algebra of E generated by

$$\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{e_n a : n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in L_0\} \cup \{a e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in L_0\}.$$

Then D is a separable sub- C^* -algebra of E containing the approximate unit $(e_n)_n$. The inclusion $\iota: D \rightarrow E$ extends to an injective homomorphism

$$\iota^{**}: D^{**} \rightarrow E^{**}.$$

We realize the multiplier algebra of D as

$$M(D) = \{x \in D^{**} : xD + Dx \subseteq D\},$$

and similarly for $M(E)$. Since D contains an approximate unit for E , the map ι^{**} sends $M(D)$ into $M(E)$. We may therefore view $M(D)$ as a subalgebra of $M(E)$, as shown in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \subseteq & M(E) & \subseteq & E^{**} \\ \text{IU} & & \text{IU} & & \text{IU} \\ D & \subseteq & M(D) & \subseteq & D^{**}. \end{array}$$

To verify $L \subseteq M(D)$, it suffices to show that $M(D)$ contains L_0 . Let $a \in L_0$. If $a = 0$ then $a \in M(D)$. We now assume that $a \neq 0$. Let $d \in D$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(e_n)_n$ is an approximate unit for E (and hence for D), we obtain $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|e_n d - d\| < \varepsilon/\|a\|$. Then

$$\|ad - ae_n d\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since ae_n belongs to D by construction, we have $ae_n d \in D$. Thus, ad has distance less than ε to D . Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $ad \in D$, and thus $aD \subseteq D$. Similarly, we obtain $Da \subseteq D$, and thus $a \in M(D)$. ■

5.2: Let A be a (nonseparable) C^* -algebra. We use $\text{Sep}(A)$ to denote the collection of separable sub- C^* -algebras of A , equipped with the partial order given by inclusion. Following the terminology from model theory, we say that a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{Sep}(A)$ is a *club* if it is σ -complete (for every countable, upward directed subset $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we have $\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{F}_0} \in \mathcal{F}$) and cofinal (for every $B \in \text{Sep}(A)$ there exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $B \subseteq C$); see [Far19, Section 6.2].

A property \mathcal{P} for C^* -algebras is said to satisfy the Löwenheim–Skolem condition if for every C^* -algebra A satisfying \mathcal{P} there is a club in $\text{Sep}(A)$ of (separable) C^* -algebras satisfying \mathcal{P} . Many common properties of C^* -algebras satisfy the Löwenheim–Skolem condition, including properties that are axiomatizable in model theory [Far19, Section 7.3], and properties that are ‘separably inheritable’ in the sense of Blackadar [Bla06, Definition II.8.5.1]; see, for example, [Thi24, Paragraph 4.5].

A countable intersection of clubs in $\text{Sep}(A)$ is again a club, which shows that the conjunction of countably many properties with the Löwenheim–Skolem condition also satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition.

LEMMA 5.3: *Let A be a σ -unital C^* -algebra, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *Assume that for every separable sub- C^* -algebra $B \subseteq A$ there exists a sub- C^* -algebra $D \subseteq A$ with $B \subseteq D$ and $\text{rr}(M(D)) \leq n$. Then $\text{rr}(M(A)) \leq n$.*
- (2) *Assume that for every separable sub- C^* -algebra $B \subseteq A$ there exists a sub- C^* -algebra $D \subseteq A$ such that $B \subseteq D$ and $\text{rr}(M(D)/D) \leq n$. Then $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) \leq n$.*

Proof. We will only verify (1). The proof of (2) is analogous.

Let $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in M(A)_{\text{sa}}^{n+1}$ be a self-adjoint $(n+1)$ -tuple in $M(A)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We need to find a unimodular tuple $(b_0, \dots, b_n) \in M(A)_{\text{sa}}$ such that

$$\|b_0 - a_0\| < \varepsilon, \dots, \|b_n - a_n\| < \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 5.1, there exists a separable sub- C^* -algebra $B \subseteq A$ containing an approximate unit for A such that the image of the induced inclusion $M(B) \rightarrow M(A)$ contains a_0, \dots, a_n . By assumption, we obtain a sub- C^* -algebra $D \subseteq A$ such that $B \subseteq D$ and $\text{rr}(M(D)) \leq n$. Then D contains an approximate unit for A , and the image of the inclusion $M(D) \rightarrow M(A)$ also contains a_0, \dots, a_n . Using that $\text{rr}(M(D)) \leq n$, we can find the desired tuple (b_0, \dots, b_n) in $M(D)$. ■

Example 5.4: We can apply Lemma 5.3 to show that $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ contains many separable sub- C^* -algebras that are counterexamples to the second and third Brown–Pedersen conjectures. (See Example 4.8 for a concrete separable and nuclear counterexample.)

By Theorem 4.10, we have

$$\text{rr}(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0, \quad K_1(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 1.$$

Since ‘real rank zero’ and ‘vanishing K_0 -group’ each satisfy the Löwenheim–Skolem condition ([Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5]), there exists a club \mathcal{F} of separable sub- C^* -algebras $A \subseteq \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $\text{rr}(A) = 0$ and $K_1(A) = 0$. If every $A \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfied $\text{rr}(M(A)/A) = 0$, then Lemma 5.3 would imply $\text{rr}(M(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K})/\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists $A \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\text{rr}(A) = 0, \quad K_1(A) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rr}(M(A)/A) \neq 0.$$

We now turn to a technical result that allows us to estimate the real rank of the multiplier and corona algebra of an extension with a nonseparable ideal.

LEMMA 5.5: *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that E is σ -unital. Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *If A contains a club of separable sub- C^* -algebras $A' \subseteq A$ such that every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra J of $M(A')$ satisfies $\text{xrr}(J) \leq n$, then*

$$\text{rr}(M(B)) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{n, \text{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

- (2) *If A contains a club of separable sub- C^* -algebras $A' \subseteq A$ such that every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra K of $M(A')/A'$ satisfies $\text{xrr}(K) \leq n$, then*

$$\text{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)/E) \leq \max\{n, \text{rr}(M(B)/B)\}.$$

Proof. We identify A with an ideal in E , and we let $\bar{\pi}: M(E) \rightarrow M(B)$ be the natural morphism induced by π . Since E is σ -unital, $\bar{\pi}$ is surjective by [Ped86, Theorem 10]. It follows that the natural map $M(E)/E \rightarrow M(B)/B$ is surjective as well. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)/E).$$

We now verify the upper bounds. Set $k := \max\{n, \mathrm{rr}(M(B))\}$, which we may assume to be finite. Let $(a_0, \dots, a_k) \in M(E)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{k+1}$ be a self-adjoint $(k+1)$ -tuple in $M(E)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We need to find a unimodular tuple $(b_0, \dots, b_n) \in M(E)_{\mathrm{sa}}$ such that

$$\|b_0 - a_0\| < \varepsilon, \dots, \|b_k - a_k\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) \leq k$, the tuple $(\bar{\pi}(a_0), \dots, \bar{\pi}(a_k)) \in M(B)_{\mathrm{sa}}^{k+1}$ can be approximated by a unimodular, self-adjoint tuple, which then may be lifted to a self-adjoint tuple in $M(E)$ close to (a_0, \dots, a_k) . Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $(\bar{\pi}(a_0), \dots, \bar{\pi}(a_k))$ is unimodular.

By Lemma 5.1, there exists a separable sub- C^* -algebra $D \subseteq E$ containing an approximate unit for E such that the image of the induced inclusion $M(D) \rightarrow M(E)$ contains a_0, \dots, a_k . Then $D \cap A$ is a separable sub- C^* -algebra of A . By [Thi23, Lemma 3.2(1)], if $S \subseteq \mathrm{Sep}(A)$ is a club of separable sub- C^* -algebras, then so is $\{E' \in \mathrm{Sep}(E) : E' \cap A \in S\}$. Using the assumption, we may therefore assume that $D \cap A$ has the property that every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra J of $M(D \cap A)$ satisfies $\mathrm{xrr}(J) \leq n$. Let ϱ denote the restriction of π to D . We have the following inclusion of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \sqcup & & \sqcup & & \sqcup \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D \cap A & \longrightarrow & D & \xrightarrow{\varrho} & D/(D \cap A) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since D is separable (and hence σ -unital), the surjective morphism ϱ naturally induces a surjective morphism $\bar{\varrho}: M(D) \rightarrow M(D/(D \cap A))$, and as explained in Paragraph 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 the kernel J of $\bar{\varrho}$ is isomorphic to a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M(D \cap A)$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & M(E) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M(B) \\ & & & & \sqcup & & \sqcup \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & M(D) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varrho}} & M(D/(D \cap A)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By construction, we have $\text{xrr}(J) \leq n \leq k$. Further, the tuple (a_0, \dots, a_k) belongs to $M(D)_{\text{sa}}^{k+1}$. Let us see that $(\bar{\varrho}(a_0), \dots, \bar{\varrho}(a_k))$ is unimodular. Since $(\bar{\pi}(a_0), \dots, \bar{\pi}(a_k))$ is unimodular, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \bar{\pi}(a_j)^2 \geq \delta 1_{M(B)}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \bar{\varrho}(a_j)^2 \geq \delta 1_{M(D/(D \cap A))},$$

and thus $(\bar{\varrho}(a_0), \dots, \bar{\varrho}(a_k))$ is unimodular. Now, since $\text{xrr}(J) \leq k$, it follows from Definition 2.2 that (a_0, \dots, a_k) can be approximated by a unimodular, self-adjoint tuple in $M(D)$. The image of this tuple in $M(E)$ has the desired properties. This verifies (1). The proof of (2) is similar. We omit the details. ■

LEMMA 5.6: *Let A be a σ -unital, simple, purely infinite C^* -algebra. Then:*

- (1) *If $J \subseteq M(A)$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra, then $\text{xrr}(J) \leq 1$. If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then $\text{xrr}(J) = 0$.*
- (2) *If $K \subseteq M(A)/A$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra, then $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$. If we additionally assume that $K_0(A) = 0$, then $\text{xrr}(K) = 0$.*

Proof. By [Zha92b, Theorem 1.2], A is either unital or stable. In the first case, we have $M(A) = A$ and $M(A)/A = \{0\}$, and then (2) clearly holds. To verify (1), let $J \subseteq M(A) = A$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. The result is clear if $J = \{0\}$. If J is nonzero, then it is Morita equivalent to A and therefore simple and purely infinite. Then $\text{xrr}(J) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)]. If additionally $K_1(A) = 0$, then also $K_1(J) = 0$, and then $\text{xrr}(J) = 0$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.9(2)].

We may therefore assume that A is stable. Then it follows from results of Zhang that $M(A)/A$ is simple and purely infinite. Indeed, $M(A)/A$ is simple by [Zha90b, Theorem 3.3]. Further, every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M(A)/A$ contains an infinite projection by [Zha89, Theorem 1.3(a)], and it is known that this characterizes pure infiniteness for simple C^* -algebras; see, for example [Bla06, Proposition V.2.3.3]. See also [Lin04].

To verify (2), let $K \subseteq M(A)/A$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. Without loss of generality, we may assume that K is nonzero. Then K is Morita equivalent to $M(A)/A$, whence K is simple and purely infinite, and then $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)].

Let us now additionally assume that $K_0(A) = 0$. Since A is stable, we have $K_0(M(A)) = K_1(M(A)) = 0$; see, for example, [WO93, Theorem 10.2]. Using the six-term exact sequence in K -theory ([Bla06, Corollary V.1.2.22]) at the second step, we get

$$K_1(K) \cong K_1(M(A)/A) \cong K_0(A) = 0,$$

and then $\text{rrr}(K) = 0$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.9(2)].

To verify (1), let $J \subseteq M(A)$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. We have the following inclusion of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & M(A) & \longrightarrow & M(A)/A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \textstyle\bigcup & & \textstyle\bigcup & & \textstyle\bigcup \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J \cap A & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & J/(J \cap A) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $J \cap A \subseteq A$ and $J/(J \cap A) \subseteq M(A)/A$ are hereditary sub- C^* -algebras, they are simple and purely infinite (or the zero algebra), and hence have extension real rank at most one by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)]. Using Proposition 2.5 at the first step, we get

$$\text{rrr}(J) \leq \max\{\text{rrr}(J \cap A), \text{rrr}(J/(J \cap A))\} \leq 1.$$

Let us now additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$. Then $M(A)$ has real rank zero by [Zha92b, Corollary 2.6(ii)]. Since real rank zero passes to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras by [BP91, Corollary 2.8], we get $\text{rr}(J) = 0$. Further, we have $K_1(J) = 0$ by [Zha90c, Theorem 2.4]. Then $\text{rrr}(J) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. ■

We stress that in the next result, we do not assume that A is separable or σ -unital.

PROPOSITION 5.7: *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Assume that E is σ -unital, and that A is simple and purely infinite. Then the following statements are true:*

(1) *We have*

$$\text{rr}(M(B)) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{1, \text{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B)),$$

(2) We have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)/E) \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B)\}.$$

If we additionally assume that $K_0(A) = 0$, then

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(E)/E) = \mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B).$$

Proof. Since the property ‘simple and purely infinite’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition ([Far19, Example 7.3.4]), A contains a club \mathcal{F} of separable sub- C^* -algebras of A that are simple and purely infinite. For each $A' \in \mathcal{F}$ and for all hereditary sub- C^* -algebras $J \subseteq M(A')$ and $K \subseteq M(A')/A'$, we have $\mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by Lemma 5.6. Now the estimates for $\mathrm{rr}(M(E))$ and $\mathrm{rr}(M(E)/E)$ follow from Lemma 5.5.

Let us now additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$. Since the property ‘vanishing K_1 -group’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition ([Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5]), we obtain a club \mathcal{F}_1 of separable sub- C^* -algebras of A that are simple, purely infinite and have vanishing K_1 -group. For each $A' \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $J \subseteq M(A')$, we have $\mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq 0$ by Lemma 5.6. Then

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(E)) = \mathrm{rr}(M(B))$$

by Lemma 5.5.

If instead we additionally assume that $K_0(A) = 0$, then a similar argument (using that vanishing K_0 -group satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition) gives $\mathrm{rr}(M(E)/E) = \mathrm{rr}(M(B)/B)$. ■

Example 5.8: Let Q denote the Calkin algebra, and consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow Q \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})/(Q \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $Q \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is simple and purely infinite. Further, we have that $M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})$ and $M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})/(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})$ are unital and therefore agree with their multiplier algebras. By Example 3.4, we have

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{rr}(M(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})/(Q \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 0.$$

This shows that in Proposition 5.7(1), the exceptional case $\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) = 0$ and $\mathrm{rr}(M(E)) = 1$ can occur.

6. Extensions by certain simple C^* -algebras with stable rank one

In this section, we consider extensions

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where E is σ -unital, and A is simple, with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and finitely many extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). We solve Problem D for A (Lemma 6.2) and deduce in Proposition 6.3 that

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(B)) \leq \mathrm{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{1, \mathrm{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

Using this, we compute in Theorem 6.5 the real rank of the stable multiplier and stable corona algebra of a countably decomposable type II_∞ factor N as

$$\mathrm{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \mathrm{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

Together with Theorem 4.10 and results of Zhang [Zha92b] this completes the computation of the real rank for stable multiplier and corona algebras of countably decomposable factors; see Theorem B

Following Kirchberg–Rørdam [KR00, Definition 4.1], we say that a C^* -algebra A is **purely infinite** if A has no characters and if for any $a, b \in A_+$ such that a belongs to the ideal generated by b , then a is Cuntz subequivalent to b . It is known that simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras have real rank zero; see [Bla06, Proposition V.3.2.12]. It is also known that non-simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras need not have real rank zero; see, for example, [PR07]. The next result shows in particular, that purely infinite C^* -algebras with finite primitive ideal space have real rank at most one.

LEMMA 6.1: *Purely infinite C^* -algebras with finite primitive ideal space have extension real rank ≤ 1 .*

Proof. By induction over n , we show that the result holds for every purely infinite C^* -algebra whose primitive ideal space contains at most n elements. To show the case $n = 1$, let A be a purely infinite C^* -algebra with at most one primitive ideal. Then A is simple (and purely infinite), and therefore $\mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)].

Next, assume that the result holds for some n , and let A be a purely infinite C^* -algebra whose primitive ideal space contains at most $n + 1$ elements. Let $I \subseteq A$ be any ideal with $I \neq \{0\}$ and $I \neq A$. By [KR00, Theorem 4.19],

both I and A/I are purely infinite, and their primitive ideal spaces have at most n elements. By assumption of the induction, we get

$$\mathrm{xrr}(I) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{xrr}(A/I) \leq 1,$$

and then $\mathrm{xrr}(A) \leq 1$ by Proposition 2.5. \blacksquare

A C^* -algebra A is said to have **strict comparison of positive elements by traces** if for any $a, b \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ such that $d_\tau(a) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)d_\tau(b)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all lower semicontinuous, $[0, \infty]$ -valued (not necessarily densely finite) traces τ on A , then a is Cuntz subequivalent to b . By [NR16, Remark 3.7] and [ERS11, Theorem 6.2], a C^* -algebra has strict comparison of positive elements by traces if and only if its Cuntz semigroup is almost unperforated and every quasitrace on A is a trace. (We refer to [APT18] and [GP23] for details on the Cuntz semigroup.)

If A is a simple C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one, and strict comparison of positive elements by traces, then A necessarily admits nontrivial (lower semicontinuous, $[0, \infty]$ -valued) traces, but there are possibly no bounded traces. However, for any nonzero projections $p, q \in A$, the Choquet simplices of tracial states on pAp and qAq are canonically isomorphic. We will say that A has **finitely many extremal traces** if for any nonzero projection $p \in A$, the Choquet simplex of tracial states on pAp has finitely many extreme points.

LEMMA 6.2: *Let A be a separable, simple C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and finitely many extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). Then the following statements are true:*

- (1) *If $J \subseteq M(A)$ is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra, then $\mathrm{xrr}(J) \leq 1$. If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then $\mathrm{xrr}(J) = 0$.*
- (2) *We have $\mathrm{rr}(K) = 0$ and $\mathrm{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ for every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $K \subseteq M(A)/A$.*

Proof. For this proof, let us say that a C^* -algebra has property $(**)$ if it satisfies the assumptions of this lemma, that is, if it is separable, simple, with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and finitely many extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). In [Ng22], a C^* -algebra is said to have property $(*)$ if it is nonunital, separable, simple, with strict comparison of positive elements by traces, projection injectivity and surjectivity, and quasicontinuous scale.

CLAIM 1: *Every nonunital, nonelementary C^* -algebra with $(**)$ has $(*)$.*

To prove the claim, we first note that every σ -unital, simple, nonunital, nonelementary C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one, and strict comparison of positive element by traces has projection injectivity and surjectivity by [KNZ19, Theorem 4.5]. Essentially by definition ([KP11, Definition 2.2]), every simple C^* -algebra with real rank zero and with at most finitely many extremal quasitraces (normalized at some nonzero projection) has quasi-continuous scale. Further, if a C^* -algebra has strict comparison of positive elements by traces, then every lower-semicontinuous quasitrace is a trace ([NR16, Theorem 3.6]). The claim is proved by combining these results.

CLAIM 2: *Let B be a C^* -algebra with $(*)$, and let $K \subseteq M(B)/B$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. Then $\text{rr}(K) = 0$ and $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$.*

First, we deduce that $\text{rr}(K) = 0$ using that $\text{rr}(M(B)/B) = 0$ by [Ng22, Theorem 3.8] and that real rank zero passes to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras by [BP91, Corollary 2.8]. Further, $M(B)/B$ is purely infinite and has finitely many ideals by [KNZ19, Theorem 6.11]; see also [Ng22, Theorem 2.1]. This implies that $M(B)/B$ has finite primitive ideal space. Since pure infiniteness passes to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras by [KR00, Proposition 4.17], and since the primitive ideal space of K is naturally isomorphic to a subset of the primitive ideal space of $M(B)/B$, we obtain $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by Lemma 6.1. This proves the claim.

To verify (2), let $K \subseteq M(A)/A$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. If A is unital, then $M(A)/A = \{0\}$ and the result is clear. If A is elementary, then $M(A)/A$ is the Calkin algebra, and it follows that K is purely infinite and simple, and therefore $\text{rr}(K) = 0$ by [Bla06, Proposition V.3.2.12], and $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(2)]. Finally, if A is non-unital and non-elementary, then it follows from Claim 1 that A has $(*)$, and the result follows from Claim 2.

To verify (1), let $J \subseteq M(A)$ be a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra. Set

$$K := J/(J \cap A).$$

We have the following inclusion of extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & M(A) & \longrightarrow & M(A)/A \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \text{IU} & & \text{IU} & & \text{IU} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J \cap A & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & K \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since $K \subseteq M(A)/A$ is hereditary, we have $\text{rr}(K) = 0$ and $\text{xrr}(K) \leq 1$ by (2). Further, since $J \cap A \subseteq A$ is hereditary, and since the properties entering the definition of (**) each pass to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras, it follows that $J \cap A$ also has (**). In particular, $J \cap A$ is simple with real rank zero and stable rank one, and thus $\text{xrr}(J \cap A) \leq 1$ by [Thi24, Proposition 5.11(1)]. Using Proposition 2.5 at the first step, we get

$$\text{xrr}(J) \leq \max\{\text{xrr}(J \cap A), \text{xrr}(J/(J \cap A))\} \leq 1.$$

Next, let us additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$. Since $J \cap A$ is Morita equivalent to A , we get $K_1(J \cap A) = 0$. Using that $J \cap A$ and K both have real rank zero, we deduce that $\text{rr}(J) = 0$ by [LR95, Proposition 4]; see also [Thi24, Proposition 2.5]. Further, we have $K_1(J) = 0$ by [Lin93, Theorem 9]. Then $\text{xrr}(J) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6. ■

In the next result, we do not assume that A is separable or σ -unital. The special case $A = \mathcal{K}$ was already considered in Section 4.

PROPOSITION 6.3: *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of C^* -algebras. Assume that E is σ -unital, and that A is simple, with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and finitely many extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). Then the following statements are true:*

(1) We have

$$\text{rr}(M(B)) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)) \leq \max\{1, \text{rr}(M(B))\}.$$

If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B)).$$

(2) We have

$$\text{rr}(M(B)/B) \leq \text{rr}(M(E)/E) \leq \max\{1, \text{rr}(M(B)/B)\}.$$

Proof. Pick n such that A has at most n extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). The proof is similar to that of Proposition 5.7. Let \mathcal{F} denote the collection of separable sub- C^* -algebras of A that are simple, have real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and that have at most n extremal traces (normalized at some nonzero projection). Since each of the considered properties passes to inductive limits, we see that \mathcal{F} is σ -complete.

To show that \mathcal{F} is cofinal, let $B \subseteq A$ be a separable sub- C^* -algebra. Since ‘real rank zero’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition ([Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5]), we find a separable sub- C^* -algebra $C \subseteq A$ such that $B \subseteq C$ and $\text{rr}(C) = 0$. Choose an increasing sequence of projections $(p_n)_n$ in C that form an approximate unit. Then the unital corners $p_n C p_n$ form an increasing sequence whose union is dense in C .

Consider $p_1 A p_1$, which is a unital, simple C^* -algebra with real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and at most n extremal tracial states. In [Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5] it is shown that the property ‘unique tracial state’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition for unital C^* -algebras. The proof is easily adapted to show that ‘at most n extremal tracial states’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition for unital C^* -algebras. Using also that the Löwenheim–Skolem condition is satisfied for the properties ‘simple’ ([Bla06, Theorem II.8.5.6]), for ‘real rank zero’ and ‘stable rank one’ ([Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5]), and for ‘strict comparison of positive elements by traces’ ([FHL⁺21, Theorem 8.2.2]), we find a separable, unital, simple sub- C^* -algebra $D_1 \subseteq p_1 A p_1$ that has real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and at most n extremal tracial states, and such that $p_1 B p_1 \subseteq D_1$.

Using the same argument successively in each $p_n A p_n$, we obtain a sequence $(D_n)_n$ such that D_n is a separable, unital, simple sub- C^* -algebra of $p_n A p_n$ that has real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, and at most n extremal tracial states, and such that $D_{n-1} \subseteq D_n$ and $p_n C p_n \subseteq D_n$ for each $n \geq 2$. Set $D := \overline{\bigcup_n D_n}$. Then D belongs to \mathcal{F} and contains A , as desired. The situation is shown in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 p_1 A p_1 & \subseteq & p_2 A p_2 & \subseteq & p_3 A p_3 & \subseteq & \cdots \subseteq A \\
 \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup \\
 D_1 & \subseteq & D_2 & \subseteq & D_3 & \subseteq & \cdots \subseteq D = \overline{\bigcup_n D_n} \\
 \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup & & \textstyle \bigcup \\
 p_1 C p_1 & \subseteq & p_2 C p_2 & \subseteq & p_3 C p_3 & \subseteq & \cdots \subseteq C = \overline{\bigcup_n p_n C p_n}.
 \end{array}$$

Now the first estimate for $\text{rr}(M(E))$ and the estimate for $\text{rr}(M(E)/E)$ follow from combining Lemma 5.5 with Lemma 6.2, as in the proof of Proposition 5.7. If we additionally assume that $K_1(A) = 0$, then we use that

‘vanishing K_1 -group’ satisfies the Löwenheim–Skolem condition ([Bla06, Paragraph II.8.5.5]) to obtain the improved result for $\text{rr}(M(E))$ from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 6.2. ■

Example 6.4: Consider an extension

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where A is a UHF-algebra (for example, the CAR algebra M_{2^∞}), and E is σ -unital. Then

$$\text{rr}(M(E)) = \text{rr}(M(B)).$$

THEOREM 6.5: *Let N be a countably decomposable II_∞ factor. Then*

$$\text{xrr}(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $p \in N$ be a projection such that $N_0 := pNp$ is a type II_1 factor, and let $d: N_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ denote the unique dimension function on N with $d(p) = 1$. Since N is countably decomposable, it follows from [Bla06, Proposition III.1.7.11] that N contains a unique nontrivial (norm-closed) ideal J given by the norm-closure of

$$\{x \in N : d(x^*x) < \infty\}.$$

Set $B := N/J$. Since J is a maximal ideal in N , the quotient B is simple. Since N has real rank zero, so does B , and every projection in B lifts to a projection in N . Since J contains every finite projection in N , we deduce that every (nonzero) projection in B lifts to a properly infinite projection and is therefore properly infinite itself. This implies that B is simple and purely infinite.

Next, we show that $K_1(B) \neq 0$. Consider the six-term exact sequence in K -theory induced by the extension $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow N \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$. Since J is Morita equivalent to the II_1 factor N_0 , we have $K_0(J) \cong K_0(N_0) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Further, since N is a II_∞ factor, we have $K_0(N) = K_1(N) = 0$. It follows that $K_1(B) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{R} & \cong & K_0(J) & \longrightarrow & K_0(N) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B) \\ & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & K_1(B) & \longleftarrow & K_1(N) & \longleftarrow & K_1(Q). \end{array}$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\text{rr}(M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

Now consider the extension

$$0 \rightarrow J \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow N \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $N \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is σ -unital. Since $J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is Morita equivalent to the II_1 factor N_0 , we see that $J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is simple, has real rank zero, stable rank one, strict comparison of positive elements by traces, a unique trace normalized at p , and that $K_1(J \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$. Therefore, Proposition 6.3 applies and we obtain that

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(M(B \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.10, we next deduce that $\text{xrr}(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$. Then, by applying Theorem 2.4 for the extension

$$0 \rightarrow N \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow M(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow 0,$$

we get

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})/(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark 6.6: We have computed the real ranks of stable multiplier and stable corona algebras of countably decomposable von Neumann factors. What can we say about the analogous problem for general von Neumann algebras?

If N is a finite von Neumann algebra, then N has real rank zero, stable rank one, and trivial K_1 -group, and then it follows from Lin's theorem [Lin93, Theorem 10] that $M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})$ has real rank zero. We expect that $M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})$ also has real rank zero if N is a von Neumann algebra of type III.

On the other hand, if N contains an ideal I such that N/I is a countably decomposable factor of type I_∞ or type II_∞ , then

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) \geq \text{rr}(M((N/I) \otimes \mathcal{K})) = 1.$$

We suspect that this holds more generally whenever N has a nonzero summand of type I_∞ or type II_∞ . To complete the speculation, we expect that for every von Neumann algebra N , we have

$$\text{rr}(M(N \otimes \mathcal{K})) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } N \text{ has no nonzero summands of type } \text{I}_\infty \text{ or type } \text{II}_\infty, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With a view towards Proposition 2.6, this would imply that $\text{xrr}(N \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$ for every von Neumann algebra N .

OPEN ACCESS. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the appropriate credit is given to the original authors and the source, and a link is provided to the Creative Commons license, indicating if changes were made (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Open access funding provided by Chalmers University of Technology.

References

- [APT18] R. Antoine, F. Perera and H. Thiel, *Tensor products and regularity properties of Cuntz semigroups*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* **251** (2018).
- [Bla98] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, Vol. 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Bla06] B. Blackadar, *Operator Algebras*, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 122, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [BKR92] B. Blackadar, A. Kumjian and M. Rørdam, *Approximately central matrix units and the structure of noncommutative tori*, *K-Theory* **6** (1992), 267–284.
- [Bro16] L. G. Brown, *On higher real and stable ranks for CCR C^* -algebras*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **368** (2016), 7461–7475.
- [BP91] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **99** (1991), 131–149.
- [BP09] L. G. Brown and G. K. Pedersen, *Limits and C^* -algebras of low rank or dimension*, *Journal of Operator Theory* **61** (2009), 381–417.
- [DH22] A. Dow and K. P. Hart, *A zero-dimensional F -space that is not strongly zero-dimensional*, *Topology and its Applications* **310** (2022), Article no. 108042.
- [ERS11] G. A. Elliott, L. Robert and L. Santiago, *The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C^* -algebra*, *American Journal of Mathematics* **133** (2011), 969–1005.
- [Far19] I. Farah, *Combinatorial set theory of C^* -algebras*, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer, Cham, [2019] ©2019.
- [FHL⁺21] I. Farah, B. Hart, M. Lupini, L. Robert, A. Tikuisis, A. Vignati and W. Winter, *Model theory of C^* -algebras*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* **271** (2021).
- [GP23] E. Gardella and F. Perera, *The modern theory of Cuntz semigroups of C^* -algebras*, *EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences*, to appear, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.02290>.
- [GK18] S. Ghasemi and P. Koszmider, *An extension of compact operators by compact operators with no nontrivial multipliers*, *Journal of Noncommutative Geometry* **12** (2018), 1503–1529.
- [HRr91] N. Higson and M. Rørdam, *The Weyl–von Neumann theorem for multipliers of some AF-algebras*, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **43** (1991), 322–330.

- [KNZ19] V. Kaftal, P. W. Ng and S. Zhang, *Purely infinite corona algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory **82** (2019), 307–355.
- [KR00] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, *Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras*, American Journal of Mathematics **122** (2000), 637–666.
- [KP11] D. Kucerovsky and F. Perera, *Purely infinite corona algebras of simple C^* -algebras with real rank zero*, Journal of Operator Theory **65** (2011), 131–144.
- [Lin91] H. X. Lin, *Generalized Weyl–von Neumann theorems*, International Journal of Mathematics **2** (1991), 725–739.
- [LR95] H. X. Lin and M. Rørdam, *Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **51** (1995), 603–613.
- [Lin93] H. Lin, *Exponential rank of C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the Brown–Pedersen conjectures*, Journal of Functional Analysis **114** (1993), 1–11.
- [Lin95] H. Lin, *Generalized Weyl–von Neumann theorems. II*, Mathematica Scandinavica **77** (1995), 129–147.
- [Lin04] H. Lin, *Simple corona C^* -algebras*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **132** (2004), 3215–3224.
- [LN16] H. Lin and P. W. Ng, *The corona algebra of the stabilized Jiang–Su algebra*, Journal of Functional Analysis **270** (2016), 1220–1267.
- [Ng22] P. W. Ng, *Real rank zero for purely infinite corona algebras*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics **52** (2022), 243–261.
- [NR16] P. W. Ng and L. Robert, *Sums of commutators in pure C^* -algebras*, Münster Journal of Mathematics **9** (2016), 121–154.
- [Osa93] H. Osaka, *Counterexamples for Brown–Pedersen’s conjecture in “ C^* -algebras of real rank zero”*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **118** (1993), 495–497.
- [Osa99] H. Osaka, *Certain C^* -algebras with non-zero real rank and extremal richness*, Mathematica Scandinavica **85** (1999), 79–86.
- [PR07] C. Pasnicu and M. Rørdam, *Purely infinite C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **613** (2007), 51–73.
- [Pea75] A. R. Pears, *Dimension Theory of General Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England–New York–Melbourne, 1975.
- [Ped86] G. K. Pedersen, *SAW^* -algebras and corona C^* -algebras, contributions to non-commutative topology*, Journal of Operator Theory **15** (1986), 15–32.
- [Put90] I. F. Putnam, *The invertible elements are dense in the irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **410** (1990), 160–166.
- [Rør02] M. Rørdam, *Classification of nuclear, simple C^* -algebras*, in *Classification of Nuclear C^* -algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 126, Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 1–145.
- [Tay72] D. C. Taylor, *A general Phillips theorem for C^* -algebras and some applications*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **40** (1972), 477–488.
- [Thi23] H. Thiel, *The generator rank of subhomogeneous C^* -algebras*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **75** (2023), 1314–1342.
- [Thi24] H. Thiel, *Real rank of extensions of C^* -algebras*, Studia Mathematica **276** (2024), 131–155.

- [WO93] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C^* -algebras*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [Zha89] S. Zhang, *On the structure of projections and ideals of corona algebras*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **41** (1989), 721–742.
- [Zha90a] S. Zhang, *C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the internal structure of their corona and multiplier algebras. III*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **42** (1990), 159–190.
- [Zha90b] S. Zhang, *A Riesz decomposition property and ideal structure of multiplier algebras*, Journal of Operator Theory **24** (1990), 209–225.
- [Zha90c] S. Zhang, *Trivial K_1 -flow of AF algebras and finite von Neumann algebras*, Journal of Functional Analysis **92** (1990), 77–91.
- [Zha91] S. Zhang, *K_1 -groups, quasidiagonality, and interpolation by multiplier projections*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **325** (1991), 793–818.
- [Zha92a] S. Zhang, *C^* -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras. IV*, International Journal of Mathematics **3** (1992), 309–330.
- [Zha92b] S. Zhang, *Certain C^* -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras. I*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **155** (1992), 169–197.
- [Zha92c] S. Zhang, *Certain C^* -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras. II*, K-Theory **6** (1992), 1–27.
- [Zha08] S. Zhang, *Open problems around certain simple C^* -algebras and multiplier algebras*, in *Proceedings of the 4th International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Vol. 1*, Higher Education Press; International Press, Boston, MA, 2008, pp. 674–692.