

Jensen's inequality for partial traces in von Neumann algebras

Mizanur Rahaman ^{*1, 2} and Lyudmila Turowska ^{†2}

¹Wallenberg Centre for Quantum Technology, Chalmers University of Technology

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology

July 4, 2025

Abstract

Motivated by a recent result on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, we prove a Jensen's inequality for partial traces in semifinite von Neumann algebras. We also prove a similar inequality in the framework of general (non-tracial) von Neumann algebras.

1. Introduction

Jensen's inequality is one of the useful inequalities in convex analysis and probability theory. It provides a relationship between convex functions and expectations (or integrals). Extending this connection, Davis [Dav57], and later Choi [Cho74] proved Jensen's inequality for positive maps and operator convex functions. Also, Hansen–Pedersen showed an operator inequality ([HP03a]) which is a powerful and elegant generalization of Jensen's inequality to the setting of operator algebras, particularly involving operator convex functions. These results play a crucial role in functional analysis and have wide applications in probability and quantum information theory.

In a recent article ([CFL25]), Carlen, Frank, and Larson established a Jensen's inequality for partial traces on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. More precisely, they showed the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Carlen-Frank-Larson, 2025). *For two finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$ and a self-adjoint operator H acting on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ whose spectrum lies within an interval I , we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_2 f(\mathrm{Tr}_1(\rho \otimes \mathbb{1})^{\frac{1}{2}} H (\rho \otimes \mathbb{1})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \mathrm{Tr}_1(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{Tr}_2 f(H) \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

for every convex function f defined on I and every density matrix (positive semi-definite matrix with trace 1) ρ on $\mathcal{H}_1$.

^{*}mizanurr@chalmers.se

[†]turowska@chalmers.se

This inequality helped to establish some results about eigenvalue asymptotics of operators with homogeneous potentials.

Owing to the general interest in Jensen's inequality the aim of this article is to extend this new result in the framework of von Neumann algebras. Some notable works have already been done in generalizing tracial version of Jensen's inequality in operator algebras: pioneered by Brown and Kosaki [BK90] who proved a trace Jensen's inequality in semifinite von Neumann algebras. Later Petz showed similar inequality involving contractive positive maps [Pet87]. Harada-Kosaki [HK10] further improved both the previous results involving semi-bounded self-adjoint operators. We also note that there are many variations of Jensen's inequality in different contexts, and for the reader's convenience, we give some references here [AMS07, FZ07, HP03b]. It is noteworthy that such an inequality for partial traces on operator algebraic contexts has not been established.

We state our main theorem below.

Theorem 2 (Jensen's inequality for partial traces in von Neumann algebras). *Let $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$ and $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ be two von Neumann algebras with the corresponding normal semifinite traces. Let $H \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$ be a self-adjoint element, and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous convex function. For $a \in L^2(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$, with $\tau_1(a^*a) = 1$, we have*

$$\tau_2 f(\tau_1 \otimes id)[(a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)] \leq \tau_1[a^*(id \otimes \tau_2)f(H)a], \quad (1)$$

whenever both sides are defined.

Moreover, if $\tau_1(a^*a) \leq 1$, and $f(0) = 0$, then the stated inequality 1 still holds whenever both sides are defined.

See remark 1 for what we mean by both sides of the inequality being defined.

We remark that when $\mathcal{M}_1 = B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, and $\mathcal{M}_2 = B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ where $\mathcal{H}_1$, and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, the inequality above is slightly stronger than the statement in Theorem 1, as in their work, they need the square root of a density operator in the conjugation. For the finite-dimensional case, any operator is trace-class and hence the extra assumption on the element a in our theorem is not needed. We would like to further point out that we proved a similar inequality in Theorem 8 for general (non-tracial) von Neumann algebras that involve normal states but here we require an operator convex function for the inequality.

2. Preliminary on semifinite von Neumann algebras

We refer to [PX03] and [Tak79] for some preliminary contexts on von Neumann algebras and we give a brief outline of semifinite traces below. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra acting on $\mathcal{H}$, and write $\mathcal{M}_+$ for the cone of positive elements of $\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with normal faithful semifinite trace, we call $\mathcal{M}$ tracial. Recall that a trace on $\mathcal{M}$ is $\tau : \mathcal{M}_+ \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ satisfying

1. $\tau(x + y) = \tau(x) + \tau(y)$, $x, y \in \mathcal{M}_+$;
2. $\tau(\lambda x) = \lambda \tau(x)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$;
3. $\tau(xx^*) = \tau(x^*x)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

τ is said to be faithful if $\tau(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$, and τ is semifinite if for any $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ there exists $y \in \mathcal{M}_+$ such that $y \leq x$ and $\tau(y) < \infty$.

Given any tracial von Neumann algebra, let S_+ be the set of all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ such that $\tau(\text{supp}x) < \infty$, where $\text{supp}x$ denotes the support of x , that is the least projection p such that $xp = x$. Let S be the linear span of S_+ . Then for any $x \in S$ one has $\tau(|x|) < \infty$. The completion of S with respect to $\|x\|_1 := \tau(|x|)$ is the non-commutative L^1 -space $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ associated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The space $\mathcal{M} \cap L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is dense in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the trace τ is finite on $\mathcal{M}_+ \cap L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and extends to a functional on $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The elements of $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be considered as closed densely defined operators on $\mathcal{H}$ affiliated with $\mathcal{M}$. An affiliated element is called measurable with respect to τ if $\tau(e_\lambda(|x|)) < \infty$ for some $\lambda > 0$, e_λ is the characteristic function of the set (λ, ∞) . Let $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for the space of measurable elements. It is known that $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a $*$ -algebra and τ can be extended to the positive part of $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|x|) < \infty\}$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\|_1 = \tau(|x|)$. For $x \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ recall the generalized singular numbers

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \tau(e_\lambda(|x|)) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

It is known that τ can be extended to $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$, by letting $\tau(x) = \int_0^\infty \mu_t(x) dt$, $x \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$. Similar to $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ one defines the non-commutative L^2 -space, $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, as all elements $x \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\tau(x^*x) < \infty$. One has that for any $x, y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $xy^* \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(xy^*)$ is an inner product making $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ a Hilbert space. Writing $\|x\|_2$ for the corresponding norm we have $\|xa\|_2^2 = \tau(a^*x^*xa) \leq \|x\|_2^2 \|a\|_2^2$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $a \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

3. Trace Jensen's inequality for positive linear maps

Recall that a function f defined on an interval I is convex if $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ whenever $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in I$.

We start this section by stating Petz's result (see Theorem A and the corollary after theorem B in [Pet87]).

Theorem 3 (Petz. 1987). *Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras and $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function. Assume that τ is a normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a unital positive map. Then for any $a \in \mathcal{N}_+$ one has*

$$\tau(f(\Phi(a))) \leq \tau(\Phi(f(a))),$$

provided both sides exist.

Furthermore, if we further assume that $f(0) = 0$, then the above inequality remains valid for contractive Φ .

Note that a positive unital linear mapping on a C^* -algebra is necessarily a contraction ([Pau]). In this section we show that the assertion of Petz's result holds even when we replace the positive element in the statement of Theorem 3 by a self-adjoint element. This is a crucial step and the main technical ingredient in proving our main result given in the next section.

Following [BK90] we make the following definition

Definition 1. *Let x be a selfadjoint operator in $\mathcal{M}$ with the Jordan decomposition $x = x_+ - x_-$. We say that $\tau(x)$ is defined if $\tau(x_+) < +\infty$ and $\tau(x_-) < +\infty$, in this case we set $\tau(x) = \tau(x_+) - \tau(x_-) \in [-\infty, +\infty]$.*

We have

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be von Neumann algebras and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function. Assume that τ is a normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a unital positive map. Then for any self-adjoint $x \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\tau(f(\Phi(x))) \leq \tau(\Phi(f(x))),$$

provided both sides exist.

Furthermore, the above inequality remains valid if we have a contractive map Φ and a continuous convex function with $f(0) = 0$.

Note that the above statement not only improves Petz's result, but also gives a generalization of one of the main results of [HK10], as in Theorem 7 in [HK10] they prove a trace Jensen's inequality for maps of the form $x \rightarrow a^*xa$, where a is a contraction.

We need a few lemmas, which are generalizations of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 given in [HK10]. Indeed, in [HK10] the following lemma was stated for the special map $\Phi(x) = a^*xa$, for a contraction $a \in \mathcal{M}$. Here we show that the assertion holds for a positive contractive map. In the following we will use the concept of spectral pre-order.

Definition 2. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ be two self-adjoint elements. Then we say that $a \lesssim b$ if $e_{(s,\infty)}(a)$, is equivalent, in the Murray-von Neumann sense, to a subprojection of $e_{(s,\infty)}(b)$ for every real number s , where $e_I(z)$ is the spectral projection of any self-adjoint element $z \in \mathcal{M}$ corresponding to the subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

We remark that if $a \lesssim b$ and τ is a normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$ then $\tau(a) \leq \tau(b)$ (see [BK90, Lemma 3]).

The following Lemma was proved in [BK90] for maps $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$, $\Phi(x) = a^*xa$, where $a \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a contraction. It is easily generalized to any contractive positive normal map on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 5. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a unital normal positive map and suppose $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$. Then for any continuous convex function f and a self-adjoint $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) \leq \langle \Phi(f(x))\xi, \xi \rangle,$$

for any unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Furthermore, if Φ is assumed to be contractive, then the above inequality holds for any continuous convex function f with the assumption $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. As $g \mapsto \langle \Phi(g(x))\xi, \xi \rangle$ is a positive bounded linear functional on $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, by Riesz theorem there exists a Borel measure μ_Φ such that

$$\langle \Phi(g(x))\xi, \xi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(t) d\mu_\Phi(t).$$

Moreover, we have $\mu_\Phi(S) = \langle \Phi(\chi_S(x))\xi, \xi \rangle$, for any Borel subset S of $\mathbb{R}$. As the spectrum $\sigma(x)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and $g(x) = 0$ if $\text{supp } g \cap \sigma(x) = \emptyset$, $\text{supp } \mu_\Phi$ is compact. Let $\nu_\Phi = \mu_\Phi + (1 - \langle \Phi(1)\xi, \xi \rangle)\delta_0$, where δ_0 is the Dirac measure supported in $\{0\}$. Then ν_Φ is a probability measure. Now suppose that Φ is contractive and $f(0) = 0$. As the functions $f(t)$ and $g(t) = t$ vanish in 0 we obtain from the classical Jensen's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(f(x))\xi, \xi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) d\mu_\Phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) d\nu_\Phi(t) \\ &\geq f\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t d\nu_\Phi(t)\right) = f\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} t d\mu_\Phi(t)\right) = f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if Φ is unital, then μ_Φ is a probability measure and the rest of the proof follows for any continuous convex function f without the assumption $f(0) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a unital normal positive map. For any continuous convex function f and a self-adjoint $x \in \mathcal{M}$, let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval on which $f(t)$ is monotone, that is, either increasing or decreasing. And set $p = e_I(\Phi(x))$ to be the spectral projection of $\Phi(x)$ on the interval I .*

(a) *If $f(t) \geq 0$ on the interval I , then we have $p\Phi(f(x))p \geq 0$ and moreover*

$$p\Phi(f(x))p \succeq pf(\Phi(x))p.$$

(b) *If $f(t) \leq 0$ on the interval I , then the negative part $(p\Phi(f(x))p)_-$ of the Jordan decomposition satisfies*

$$-pf(\Phi(x))p \succeq (p\Phi(f(x))p)_-.$$

Furthermore, if Φ is assumed to be contractive, then the assertions of (a) and (b) follow for any continuous convex functions f with $f(0) = 0$.

Proof. (a) To see how to prove $p\Phi(f(x))p \geq 0$, we note that if we choose $\xi \in \text{Range}(p)$, then using Lemma 5 yields $\langle \Phi(f(x))\xi, \xi \rangle \geq f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) \geq 0$. The last inequality follows because f was assumed to be positive on I .

We have to prove that for any $s > 0$ there exists a projection q_s such that

$$e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) \sim q_s \leq e_{(s,+\infty)}(p\Phi(f(x))p).$$

For $s > 0$ we write $[f > s] \cap I$ for $\{t \in I : f(t) > s\}$. We observe first that $e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) = e_{[f>s] \cap I}(\Phi(x))$, as $\chi_I(t)f(t) > s$ if and only if $t \in I$ and $f(t) > s$. Assuming that $e_{[f>s] \cap I}(\Phi(x))$ is non-zero, in particular, $[f > s] \cap I \neq \emptyset$, and taking ξ a unit vector in $\text{Range}(e_{[f>s] \cap I}(\Phi(x)))$, we obtain using monotonicity of f on I , that $\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \in \overline{[f > s] \cap I}$. In fact, letting $q = e_{[f>s] \cap I}(\Phi(x))$, the spectrum $\sigma(q\Phi(x)q)$ is in the closure of $[f > s] \cap I$ which is a closed interval, say $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \bar{I}$, giving $\alpha \leq \langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \beta$. Assume that f is increasing on I (the case f is decreasing is treated similarly). Then either $f(\alpha) = s$ or $f(\alpha) > s$; in the latter case $f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) \geq f(\alpha) > s$ and hence

$$s < f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) = f(\langle p\Phi(x)p\xi, \xi \rangle);$$

and in the former case if $f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) = f(\alpha) = s$, then $\langle q\Phi(x)q\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \leq \alpha$, as $f(t) > s$ for all $t > \alpha$, $t \in I$; on the other hand, $q\Phi(x)q \geq \alpha$, giving $\Phi(x)\xi = q\Phi(x)q\xi = \alpha\xi$ and $\xi = e_{[f=s]}(\Phi(x))\xi$, a contradiction. Therefore,

$$f(\langle p\Phi(x)p\xi, \xi \rangle) = f(\langle \Phi(x)\xi, \xi \rangle) > f(\alpha) = s.$$

Applying Lemma 5, we get

$$\langle p\Phi(f(x))p\xi, \xi \rangle > s$$

giving $e_{(-\infty, s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p)\xi = 0$ and hence

$$e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) \wedge e_{(-\infty, s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p) = 0.$$

If $e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) = e_{[f>s] \cap I}(\Phi(x)) = 0$, the latter holds trivially.

Now using Kaplanski's formula $p_1 \vee p_2 - p_1 \sim p_2 - p_1 \wedge p_2$ for any pair of projections (p_1, p_2) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) &= e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) - e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) \wedge e_{(-\infty,s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p) \\ &\sim e_{(s,+\infty)}(pf(\Phi(x))p) \vee e_{(-\infty,s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p) - e_{(-\infty,s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p) \\ &\leq I - e_{(-\infty,s]}(p\Phi(f(x))p) = e_{(s,+\infty)}(p\Phi(f(x))p). \end{aligned}$$

(b) is obtained using similar arguments. □

Proof of Theorem 4 : We follow the same line of argument as given in [HK10]. Without loss of generality we consider $f(t)$ is decreasing at the origin. We assume that $f(t)$ is

1. positive and decreasing on $I_1 = (\infty, 0)$,
2. negative and decreasing on $I_2 = [0, t_1)$,
3. negative and increasing on $I_3 = [t_1, t_2)$,
4. positive and increasing on $I_4 = [t_2, \infty)$.

Some of these intervals may be empty, for example, if $f(t) \geq 0$, then $I_2 = I_3 = \emptyset$. Now for the self-adjoint element $x \in \mathcal{M}$ we set $p_i = e_{I_i}(\Phi(x))$ and let $E(y) = \sum_{i=1}^4 p_i y p_i$. We can write $f(\Phi(x)) = E(f(\Phi(x))) = \sum_i p_i f(\Phi(x)) p_i$ in the matrix form:

$$f(\Phi(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 f(\Phi(x)) p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 f(\Phi(x)) p_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_3 f(\Phi(x)) p_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4 f(\Phi(x)) p_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that for $i = 1, 4$, $p_i f(\Phi(x)) p_i \geq 0$ and for $i = 2, 3$, we have $p_i f(\Phi(x)) p_i \leq 0$.

However, $\Phi(f(x))$ is not diagonal, and we have

$$E(\Phi(f(x))) = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \Phi(f(x)) p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 \Phi(f(x)) p_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_3 \Phi(f(x)) p_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4 \Phi(f(x)) p_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that using part (a) of the Lemma 6 we have $p_1 \Phi(f(x)) p_1 \geq 0$ and $p_4 \Phi(f(x)) p_4 \geq 0$.

The Jordan decomposition of $f(\Phi(x)) = f(\Phi(x))_+ - f(\Phi(x))_-$ where $f(\Phi(x))_+ \geq 0$ and $f(\Phi(x))_- \geq 0$ have orthogonal supports, is now given by

$$f(\Phi(x))_+ = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 f(\Phi(x)) p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4 f(\Phi(x)) p_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$f(\Phi(x))_- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_2 f(\Phi(x)) p_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p_3 f(\Phi(x)) p_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, the Jordan decomposition of $\Phi(f(x))$ is difficult to describe, but $E(\Phi(f(x)))$ is given by

$$(E(\Phi(f(x))))_+ = \begin{bmatrix} p_1\Phi(f(x))p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (p_2\Phi(f(x))p_2)_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (p_3\Phi(f(x))p_3)_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4\Phi(f(x))p_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(E(\Phi(f(x))))_- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (p_2\Phi(f(x))p_2)_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (p_3\Phi(f(x))p_3)_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now using Lemma 6 we can compare the diagonal blocks of $f(\Phi(x))_\pm$ and $(E(\Phi(f(x))))_\pm$:

$$p_i\Phi(f(x))p_i \gtrsim p_if(\Phi(x))p_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 4$$

$$-p_if(\Phi(x))p_i \gtrsim (p_i\Phi(f(x))p_i)_- \text{ for } i = 2, 3.$$

Taking direct sum, we get

$$(E(\Phi(f(x))))_+ \geq \begin{bmatrix} p_1\Phi(f(x))p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4\Phi(f(x))p_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\gtrsim \begin{bmatrix} p_1f(\Phi(x))p_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_4f(\Phi(x))p_4 \end{bmatrix} = f(\Phi(x))_+.$$

Thus we get

$$(E(\Phi(f(x))))_+ \gtrsim f(\Phi(x))_+. \quad (2)$$

And similarly one obtains

$$f(\Phi(x))_- \gtrsim (E(\Phi(f(x))))_-. \quad (3)$$

Thus, equation 2 yields $\tau((E(\Phi(f(x))))_+) \geq \tau(f(\Phi(x))_+)$ and equation 3 yields $\tau(f(\Phi(x))_-) \geq \tau((E(\Phi(f(x))))_-)$. Now assume that both the quantities $\tau(\Phi(f(x)))$ and $\tau(f(\Phi(x)))$ are well defined. Using the fact that E is τ -preserving, we get that $\tau(E(\Phi(f(x))))$ is well defined. Indeed, from the minimality of the Jordan decomposition (see [HK10, Section 2.4]) we see that

$$\tau(E(\Phi(f(x))))_\pm \leq \tau(E(\Phi(f(x)))) = \tau(\Phi(f(x)))_\pm.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(E(\Phi(f(x)))) &= \tau((E(\Phi(f(x))))_+) - \tau((E(\Phi(f(x))))_-) \\ &\geq \tau(f(\Phi(x))_+) - \tau(f(\Phi(x))_-) \\ &= \tau(f(\Phi(x))). \end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$E(\Phi(f(x)))_+ - E(\Phi(f(x)))_- = E(\Phi(f(x))) = E(\Phi(f(x))_+) - E(\Phi(f(x))_-)$$

and hence

$$\tau(E(\Phi(f(x)))_+) + \tau(E(\Phi(f(x))_-)) = \tau(E(\Phi(f(x))_+)) + \tau(E(\Phi(f(x))_-)),$$

and we get using the trace preservation of E

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\Phi(f(x))) &= \tau(\Phi(f(x))_+) - \tau(\Phi(f(x))_-) \\ &= \tau(E(\Phi(f(x))_+)) - \tau(E(\Phi(f(x))_-)) \\ &= \tau((E(\Phi(f(x))_+) - \tau((E(\Phi(f(x))_-))_-) \\ &= \tau(E(\Phi(f(x)))) \geq \tau(f(\Phi(x))). \end{aligned}$$

4. The proof of the main theorem

Let $(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$, $(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ be two tracial von Neumann algebras. For $\omega \in (\mathcal{M}_2)_* \simeq L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ let $R_\omega : \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ be the right slice operator defined on elementary tensors as $R_\omega(a \otimes b) = a\omega(b)$. Similarly, we define a left slice map $L_\omega : \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$, $w \in (\mathcal{M}_1)_*$. The maps are completely bounded normal maps such that $\|L_\omega\|_{cb} = \|\omega\|$, $\|R_\omega\|_{cb} = \|\omega\|$. Moreover, if ω is a positive linear functional (a state) then R_ω is normal completely positive (and unital).

The same holds for the left slice map. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$, $a, b \in \mathcal{M}_1$, we have $R_\omega((a \otimes 1)X(b \otimes 1)) = aR_\omega(X)b$ (checked on elementary tensor products). Assuming $\tau_1(a^*a) < \infty$, i.e. $a \in L^2(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$, we obtain $a^*R_\omega(X)a$ is in $L^1(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$, with

$$|\tau_1(a^*R_\omega(X)a)| \leq \|a\|_2 \|R_\omega(X)\| \leq \|a\|_2 \|\omega\| \|X\|.$$

Therefore we can define an element $(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1))$ in $\mathcal{M}_2$ by letting

$$\langle (\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)), \omega \rangle = \tau_1(a^*R_\omega(X)a).$$

We have also $\|(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1))\| \leq \|a\|_2 \|X\|$. Note that letting $\omega_{\tau_1, a}(Y) = \tau_1(a^*Ya)$, $Y \in \mathcal{M}_1$, which is a bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{M}_1$, we have $(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)) = L_{\omega_{\tau_1, a}}(X)$.

Remark 1. For $X \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$, we say that $(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)$ is **defined** whenever $L_\omega(X) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ for any $\omega \in (\mathcal{M}_1)_*$ and let $\langle (\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X), \omega \rangle := \tau_2(L_\omega(X))$. By the Uniform Boundedness Principle, $(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)$ is indeed a bounded linear functional on $(\mathcal{M}_1)_*$ and hence is an element of $\mathcal{M}_1$.

In Theorem 2, by (1) being defined we mean that $(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(f(H))$ is defined and show that in this case the left hand side is defined in the sense of Definition 1.

Lemma 7. Let $a \in L^2(\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1)$ and $X \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$. If $(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)$ is defined, then $(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ and

$$\tau_2((\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1))) = \tau_1(a^*(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)a).$$

Proof. Consider $\omega \in (\mathcal{M}_1)_*$, given by $\omega(M) = \tau_1(a^*Ma)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Then for any $w \in (\mathcal{M}_2)_*$, as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle L_\omega(X), w \rangle &= \langle X, \omega \otimes w \rangle = \omega(R_w(X)) \\ &= \tau_1(a^*R_w(X)a) = \langle (\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})(a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1), w \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $L_\omega(X) = (\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$. On the other hand, $\langle (\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X), \omega \rangle = \tau_1(a^*(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)a)$, giving

$$\tau_2(L_\omega(X)) = \tau_2((\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})(a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)) = \tau_1(a^*(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(X)a).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2:

Define a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ by

$$\Phi(X) = (\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})((a^* \otimes 1)X(a \otimes 1)).$$

Note that the condition $\tau_1(a^*a) = 1$ makes the map well-defined, completely positive and unital as the slice map $L_{\omega_{\tau_1, a}}$ with $\omega_{\tau_1, a}(y) = \tau_1(a^*ya)$, $y \in \mathcal{M}_1$; see the above arguments.

Using Theorem 4 we get for any self-adjoint H

$$\tau_2(f(\Phi(H))) \leq \tau_2(\Phi(f(H))),$$

whenever both sides are defined.

As $\Phi(f(H)) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$, the right hand side is defined and finite. Moreover, if E is as in the proof of Theorem 4, $E(\Phi(f(H))) \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ and $\tau_2(\Phi(f(H))) = \tau_2(E(\Phi(f(H))))$ and $\tau_2((E(\Phi(f(H))))_+)$, $\tau_2((E(\Phi(f(H))))_-)$ are finite. It now follows from the proof of Theorem 4 that $\tau_2(f(\Phi(H))_+) \leq \tau_2(E(\Phi(f(H))))_+ < \infty$ and hence the left hand side is defined, but can take the value $-\infty$. This yields

$$\tau_2 f(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})[(a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)] \leq \tau_2(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})[(a^* \otimes 1)f(H)(a \otimes 1)].$$

Now using Lemma 7 to the RHS of the above inequality we get

$$\tau_2 f(\tau_1 \otimes \text{id})[(a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)] \leq \tau_1(a^*(\text{id} \otimes \tau_2)(f(H))a),$$

which yields the desired result.

5. Jensen's inequality for states (non-tracial case)

In this section we show a Jensen inequality similar to the partial traces but this works for more generally two states and without the tracial property. We need the notion of operator convexity which is a stronger notion than that of ordinary convexity. Note that a continuous function f on an interval I is said to be **operator convex** if $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ holds whenever $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for any self-adjoint operators x, y with spectra included I . Operator convexity is stronger than ordinary convexity [Bha97].

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ be two von Neumann algebras and two normal faithful states ρ_1, ρ_2 acting on $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$ respectively. Suppose that H is a self-adjoint element in $\mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$. Then for any contraction $a \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and any operator convex function f defined on the spectrum of H we have

$$\rho_2 f[(\rho_1 \otimes id)((a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1))] \leq \rho_1(a^*(id \otimes \rho_2)(f(H))a). \quad (4)$$

Proof. We note that for states ρ_1, ρ_2 , the corresponding left and right slice maps are unital completely positive maps, that is, $L_{\rho_1} : \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ defined by $L_{\rho_1}(x \otimes y) = \rho_1 \otimes id(x \otimes y) = \rho_1(x)y$ and similarly $R_{\rho_2} : \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$ defined by $R_{\rho_2}(x \otimes y) = id \otimes \rho_2(x \otimes y) = x\rho_2(y)$. Note that these maps are unital because the ρ_1, ρ_2 are states, so we have $\rho_1(1) = 1 = \rho_2(1)$, where we abused our notations slightly and denoted the identity element of both the algebras as 1 and the number appears in the middle is the numeric 1.

Note that for any ucp map Φ and an operator convex function f on an interval I , we have $\Phi(f(H)) \geq f(\Phi(H))$ for every self-adjoint H (see Theorem 2.1 in [Cho74, Dav57]) such that spectrum of H is inside I . Now calling $X := (a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)$ which is a self-adjoint element, we have for the left-hand side of the equation 4

$$\rho_2 f[(\rho_1 \otimes id)((a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1))] = \rho_2(f(L_{\rho_1}(X))) \leq \rho_2(L_{\rho_1}(f(X))). \quad (5)$$

Now note that for any $A \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$ we have

$$\rho_2(L_{\rho_1}(A)) = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2(A) = \rho_1(R_{\rho_2}(A)).$$

Now using the above commutation relation we see the right hand side of the equation 5

$$\rho_2(L_{\rho_1}(f(X))) = \rho_1(R_{\rho_2}(f[(a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)])) \leq \rho_1(R_{\rho_2}((a^* \otimes 1)f(H)(a \otimes 1))), \quad (6)$$

where we have used Jensen's operator inequality (contractive version) from Hansen and Pederson (see corollary 2.3 in [HP03a]):

$$f((a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1)) \leq (a^* \otimes 1)f(H)(a \otimes 1),$$

and positivity of the map $\rho_1 R_{\rho_2}$. As for any $a \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $A \in \mathcal{M}_1 \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_2$,

$$R_{\rho_2}((a^* \otimes 1)A(a \otimes 1)) = a^* R_{\rho_2}(A)a,$$

we get that the right hand side of equation 6 is

$$\rho_1 R_{\rho_2}((a^* \otimes 1)f(H)(a \otimes 1)) = \rho_1[a^* R_{\rho_2}(f(H))a].$$

Putting all together we have

$$\rho_2 f[(\rho_1 \otimes id)((a^* \otimes 1)H(a \otimes 1))] \leq \rho_1[a^*(id \otimes \rho_2)(f(H))a].$$

□

6. Acknowledgement

We thank Simon Larson for many interesting discussions during the course of this work.

References

- [AMS07] Jorge Antezana, Pedro Massey, and Demetrio Stojanoff. Jensen's inequality for spectral order and submajorization. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 331(1):297–307, 2007.
- [Bha97] Rajendra Bhatia. *Operator Monotone and Operator Convex Functions*, pages 112–151. Springer New York, New York, NY, 1997.
- [BK90] Lawrence G. Brown and Hideki Kosaki. Jensen's inequality in semi-finite von neumann algebras. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 23(1):3–19, 1990.
- [CFL25] Eric A. Carlen, Rupert L. Frank, and Simon Larson. A Jensen inequality for partial traces and applications to partially semiclassical limits. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2502.09160, February 2025.
- [Cho74] Man Duen Choi. A schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C^* - algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 1974.
- [Dav57] Chandler Davis. A schwarz inequality for convex operator functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 8(1):42–44, 1957.
- [FZ07] Douglas R Farenick and Fei Zhou. Jensen's inequality relative to matrix-valued measures. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 327(2):919–929, 2007.
- [HK10] Tetsuo Harada and Hideki Kosaki. Trace jensen inequality and related weak majorization in semi-finite von neumann algebras. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 63(1):129–150, 2010.
- [HP03a] Frank Hansen and Gert K Pedersen. Jensen's operator inequality. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 35(4):553–564, 2003.
- [HP03b] Frank Hansen and Gert K Pedersen. Jensen's trace inequality in several variables. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 14(06):667–681, 2003.
- [Pau] Vern Paulsen. *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, volume 78 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [Pet87] Dénes Petz. Jensen's inequality for positive contractions on operator algebras. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 99(2):273–277, 1987.
- [PX03] Gilles Pisier and Quanhua Xu. *Non-commutative L_p -spaces*. In *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, volume 2. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003.
- [Tak79] Masamichi Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. I*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.